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## Abstract

An index of effort calculated from the USA commercial catch rate was used to fine tune ages 6-7-8 fishing mortalities. A $1980 \mathrm{~F}=0.066$ was considered to best represent the ages 6 and older stock status. Partial recruitment on age 2 was adjusted to obtain a 1978 year-class size at age 1 of 2 billion fish while P.R. on ages $1,3,4$, and 5 were adjusted to obtain year-class size at age 1 of approximately the smallest size observed. Various Y/R calculations and projections were made. These indicated that a 1982 catch of $115,000 \mathrm{mt}$ would not adversely affect the stock status.

## Rēsumé

Un indice de l'effort dérivé des taux de captures commerciaux américains a été utilisé pour ajuster les mortalités par pêche sur les âges 6-7-8. Un $F=0.066$ en 1980 a semblé donné la meilleure représentation de l'état du stock de 6 ans et plus en 1980. Le recrutement partiel des poissons de 2 ans a étē ajustē pour que la classe d'âge de 1978 soit de 2 milliards de poissons à 1 an alors que les recrutement partiels sur les âges $1,3,4$, et 5 ont été ajustēs pour obtenir des classes d'âges à 1 an approximativement égales à la plus petite classe d'âge observée. Différents calculs de rendement par recrue et projections ont étē effectuēs. Elles indiquent qu'une capture de $115,000 \mathrm{tm}$ en 1982 n'affecterait pas le stock de façon défavorable.

## Introduction

The Northwest Atlantic mackerel stock has produced relatively good year-classes between 1965 and 1974 with the 1967 year-class being approximately twice the size of any other observed at close to 8 billion fish (Anderson, 1980; Maguire, 1980). Prior to 1961 Canada and the USA were the only countries involved in the mackerel fishery but the late sixties and early seventies saw the involvement of East European countries in that fishery. The nominal catches (commercial and recreational USA) (Table 1) went from $10,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1961 to 430,000 mt in 1973. Following the extension of their jurisdication over fisheries by Canada and the USA, the mackerel resource is again, since 1978, mainly exploited by these two countries.

## 1980 Catches

The total 1980 nominal catches are down to about $27,500 \mathrm{mt}$ (Table 2) from almost $36,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1979. Canada caught approximately $75 \%$ of the total (20,552 mt), the USA about $23 \%$ (estimated 6,300 for the commercial and recreational catches combined) and various other countries caught the remaining $2 \%$.

The lack of market appears to have kept the 1980 catches by the Maritime Provinces low even if over the side sales were arranged because the factory trawlers had problems being at the appropriate location at the right time. This led to dumping of significant quantities of mackerel in northern New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island in early June. A fishermen's strike in Newfoundland during the summer of 1980 is believed to be, at least partly responsible for the halving of the Newfoundland mackerel nominal catches from 1979 to 1980.

## Catch at age

The 1980 removals at age for the Maritimes catch were calculated by Hunt ${ }^{1}$ and by Moores ${ }^{2}$ (pers. comm.) for the Newfoundland catches (Table 3). Their numbers were prorated upwards to account for the unsampled catches of other countries. The Newfoundland catch at age indicate very low contribution of the 1977 year-class compared to the 1978 year-class while the Maritimes data indicate a reasonable contribution of the 1977 year-class but abnormally low contribution of the 1976 year-class. Since the catch of younger age-groups is more significant in the Maritimes the relative contribution of the 1977 and 1976 year-classes of the total catch at age reflects more the Maritimes catch at age. Although those two year-classes are believed to be very weak, recent indications were that the 1977 year-class was weaker than the 1976 year-class. The 1962 to 1979 catch at age was taken from Anderson (1980), Table 4a-b, and Canadian catch at age Table 4c and 4d.

1 J.J. Hunt, Biological Station, Fisheries \& Oceans, St. Andrews, N.B. EOG $2 \times 0$
2 J.A. Moores, Fisheries and Oceans, P.0. Box 5667, St. John's, Newfoundland A1C 5X1

## Stock Size Indices

As for last year's assessment (Maguire, 1980) the main sources of information on this stock's status are coming from the USA research vessel surveys catch per tow and from the US commercial catch per standardized day. Due to lack of time it has been impossible to calculate the northern population spawning stock size estimate from egg data. The US data are given in Tables 5 and 6 and both show an increase in 1980 over 1979. The RV spring survey indicates that the 1980 value is about 1.6 times the 1979 value and the commercial 1980 value is almost twice the 1979 value. It should also be noted that the USA granted a special permit to Polish vessels to fish for mackerel in NAFO Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6 in 1981. Those boats were initially allocated $2,000 \mathrm{mt}$ which they caught relatively rapidly and later were given another $2,000 \mathrm{mt}$ (Andersonl pers. com.). It appears that even after 4 years of non participation in the fishery, Polish captains are still relatively good at mackerel fishing. Their catch per day in 1981 was approximately $60 \mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{day}$ (which may have been limited by the ship's processing capacity, Anderson pers. com.). The most efficient vessels of the Polish fleet between 1970 and 1976 was the B-418 category
( > 1800 GRT). Their catch rates for that period were (Anderson and Paciorkowski, 1978):

| Year | Catch/Day |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 34.6 |
| 1971 | 29.0 |
| 1972 | 41.5 |
| 1973 | 72.4 |
| 1974 | 19.2 |
| 1975 |  |

The results of the 1981 Polish mackerel fishery would thus indicate biomasses similar to the 1972 to 1974 levels.

The 1980 USA autumn RV survey indicated that the 1978 year-class is probably relatively strong with possibly a reasonably strong 1980 year-class (Anderson, pers. com.). The 1981 USA spring survey is presently being conducted and preliminary information (Anderson, pers. com.) indicates that the stock size index will probably be higher than the 1980 value. It thus appears that, as expected, the stock is further recovering after the removal of the foreign fishing effort since April 1, 1977.

## Sequential Population Analysis

The removals at age given in Table 4a were used in a Virtual Population Analysis (ages 1 to 11 only). The partial recruitment multipliers from last year's assessment (Table 8, Maguire 1980) were used for ages 1, 2 and 3 with full recruitment at age 4 and older.

1 Dr. E.D. Andersons, NMFS, Northeast Fisheries Center, Woods Hole Laboratory, Woods Hole, Massachussetts 02543

Since all indices indicated a recovery of the stock (Figure 1) and given the low catches, a low 1980 fishing mortality was postulated, so an initial VPA run was made with the selectivity at age quoted above and a fully recruited F of 0.05 . An examination of the fishing mortalities at age generated by that run indicated an older age of full recruitment in 1978 and 1979 and to a lesser extent in 1977 when the effect of the Distant Water Fleet could still be seen with high fishing mortalities at ages 3 and 4. The 1973 and 1974 year-classes were then the most numerous in the stock and it is likely that the foreign fleet concentrated their effort on them.

The fishing mortalities at age for 1978 from this initial run were used to calculate a new vector of partial recruitment multipliers with full recruitment at age 6 and after (Table 9). This vector was used in subsequent tuning of VPA. In all VPA runs, the fishing mortality on the oldest age was taken as the average of ages 6-7-8 fishing mortalities (adjusted iteratively).

The indices available for fine tuning were:

1. the US spring research vessel catch/tow in kg ;
2. the US commercial catch per standardized day; and
3. the US fall research vessel catch/tow.

The third index was not used in this analysis due to the very low catches made during that survey. A number of preliminary VPA runs indicated that different indices of mackerel biomass versus the US spring research vessel catch per tow were totally insensitive to the 1980 fishing mortality input values. The same indices of mackerel biomass versus the US catch per standardized day did not show any useful relationships. The same problems with these indices were encountered last year (Maguire, 1980). A relative effort index was calculated using the total international catch divided by the US catch per standardized day (Table 7) and the average fishing mortalities on ages 6,7 and 8 were regressed against that index.

The mackerel catch at age data are not very reliable before 1968 and the $\bar{F}_{5-7-8}$ vs relative effort from 1964 to 1967 indicated a lot of scatter and added considerable noise to the relationship. Other runs were made with the 1968 to 1980 F and effort values. These indicated that this relationship did not have a high discriminatory power either. This was mainly caused by the slow convergence of the $F$ at age table. The 1977 to 1980 F values are much below those of preceeding years and it soon became obvious that it would be impossible to base a decision on a line fitted using these points.

Another series of runs was made in an attempt to find the 1980 fishing mortality that gave the best relationship for the 1968 to 1976 period. Runs were made a $\mathrm{F}_{80}=.025$, .05, . $075,0.10$, . 15 and
0.066. The results are given in Table 10. The two highest correlation coefficients were obtained with $F_{80}=.05(r=.825)$ and
$\mathrm{F}_{80}=.075(r=.822)$. The relationship deteriorated significantly at $F_{80}=0.025$ and $F_{80}=.15$. The 1980 predicted value with $\mathrm{F}_{80}=.05$ was 0.065 and the same value was 0.068 with $F_{80}=0.075$. A final run at $\mathrm{F}_{80}=0.066$ was made resulting in $r=.827$, and a predicted 1980 value of 0.068 . $\mathrm{F}_{80}=0.066$ on fully recruited ages was thus accepted as best representing the stock status in 1980 (Figure 3).

Examination of the population at age table showed that the 1976 to 1980 year-class sizes at age 1 were low. The 1980 partial recruitment multipliers were adjusted to obtain reasonable year-class sizes for the 1976, 1977 and 1979 year-classes. There is some evidence from the Newfoundland fishery, the US research vessel surveys and the US commercial fishery that the 1978 year-class is relatively strong, (about 2 billion fish, Anderson, 1980) so the PR at age 2 in 1980 was adjusted accordingly. The adjusted PR multipliers are given in Table 14a, and the resulting population at age, biomass at age and F's at age are shown in Tables 11,12 and 13 and the $2+$ biomass in Figure 2. The population biomass of Table 12 is calculated with the "stock" weights given by Anderson (1980) (Table 8) and, for 1980, will be different than the biomass given in the projections table where different weights at age were used.

Yield per recruit
Anderson (1980) calculated the mean partial recruitment multipliers from the Canadian fishery during 1968-79 (excluding the high and low values at each age). This vector (Table 14a) is probably the most representative of the expected selectivity of the Canadian fishery in the future. That P.R. vector as well as the one used to obtain the 1980 population estimates at age were used with the average weights at age observed in the 1980 Canadian fishery and the average weights at age in the catch, calculated by Anderson (1980) (Table 14a) to calculate yield per recruit by the method of Thompson and Bell. The results of these four yield per recruit calculations are given in Table 14b. The exploitation pattern observed in the 1980 fishery is such that the maximum yield per recruit is obtained at a very high fishing mortality. This is due to the high age of full recruitment and rapid growth rate of mackerel. The average exploitation pattern calculated by Anderson (1980) for the Canadian fishery gives results that are more realistic. Whether the post 1977 exploitation pattern is due to some peculiar behavior of young mackerel or of the fishery or whether it is the result of an overestimation of the 1976 to 1979 year-classes remains to be determined.

## Projections:

Using the 1980 population estimates obtained by fine tuning VPA (Table 11) and the geometric mean recruitment at age 1 of the 1961 to

1975 year-classes ( $1,585 \times 10^{6}$ fish) as input values for recruiting year-classes, projections were made, fishing at each of the four Fo. 1 values given in Table 14b for both of 1981 and 1982, using the appropriate partial recruitment multipliers and weights at age. No TAC has been set for this stock for 1981, but it is most likely that the 1981 catch will be below the $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ level. If such is the case, the $1982 \mathrm{~F}_{0.1}$ projected catch would be higher than presented in Table 15.

Table 15 shows that, depending on the parameters used, the projected $1981 \mathrm{~F}_{0.1}$ catch could be between $168,000 \mathrm{mt}$ and 306,000 mt , while that projected for 1982 would be between $118,000 \mathrm{mt}$ and $271,000 \mathrm{mt}$. It should be noted that these catches would be for NAFO SA 3-6, the entire range of the Northwest Atlantic mackerel stock.

## Conclusion:

This mackerel stock appears to have recovered from the high exploitation rates it experienced during the late sixties to mid-seventies. It should be noted, however, that the fishing mortalities for the period 1970 to 1976 presented in this analysis are not as high as previous estimates. It is not suggested that the fishing mortalities of Table 13 are more realistic than those previously estimated, but rather that the under-reporting of catches suggested from overflights (Brennan, 1976) really occurred. If this were the case, the 1980 stock status could essentially be the same but the slope of the relationship between $F$ and effort would be greater.

Mackerel year-class sizes are highly variable and since 1962 have shown differences of more than one order of magnitude. Consequently projections incorporating geometric mean estimates of year-class size for the most recent year-classes may not estimate future yields well, and thus potentially substantial fluctuations in yield, from that projected, must be expected.
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Table 1. Mackerel nominal catch (mt) from NAFO SA 3-6 since 1962 and TAC since 1973.

| Year | USA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | Commercial | Recreational | Canada | Other <br> Countries | Total | TAC |
| 1962 | 938 | 3565 | 6801 | 175 | 11479 | - |
| 1963 | 1320 | 3981 | 6363 | 1299 | 12963 | - |
| 1964 | 1644 | 4343 | 10786 | 801 | 17574 | - |
| 1965 | 1998 | 4292 | 11185 | 2945 | 20420 | - |
| 1966 | 2724 | 4535 | 11577 | 7951 | 26787 | - |
| 1967 | 3891 | 4498 | 11181 | 19047 | 38617 | - |
| 1968 | 3929 | 7781 | 11134 | 65747 | 88597 | - |
| 1969 | 4364 | 13050 | 13257 | 114189 | 144860 | - |
| 1970 | 4049 | 16039 | 15690 | 210864 | 246642 | - |
| 1971 | 2406 | 16426 | 14735 | 355892 | 389459 | - |
| 1972 | 2006 | 15588 | 16254 | 391464 | 425312 | - |
| 1973 | 1336 | 10723 | 21247 | 396759 | 430065 | 4500003 |
| 1974 | 1042 | 7640 | 16701 | 321837 | 347220 | 359000 |
| 1975 | 1974 | 5190 | 13544 | 271719 | 292427 | 355000 |
| 1976 | 2712 | 4202 | 15746 | 223275 | 245935 | 310000 |
| 1977 | 1376 | 522 | 20362 | 56067 | 78328 | 105000 |
| 1978 | 1605 | 6571 | 25429 | 841 | 34446 | 105000 |
| 1979 | 1989 | 33152 | 30237 | 440 | 35981 |  |
| 1980 | 3300 | 3000 | 20552 | 557 | 27409 |  |

[^0]Table 2. Mackerel 1980 Nominal Catches Breakdown ( $m \pm$ )

| Canada - Maritimes-Quebec | 13381 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Canada - Newfoundland | 7171 |
| USA - Commercial | 3300 |
| USA - Recreational | 3000 |
| Others | 557 |

Total

Table 3. Mackerel catch at age ('000) for 1980

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maritimes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter 2 | 3 | 492 | 930 | 714 | 2066 | 3231 | 1972 | 976 | 661 | 232 | 216 | 11494 |
| Quarter 3 | 3 | 866 | 1209 | 520 | 1750 | 3258 | 1833 | 720 | 353 | 187 | 225 | 10923 |
| Newfoundland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3K | 0 | 15.4 | 3.8 | 33.4 | 169.6 | 511.4 | 339.2 | 122.3 | 93.7 | 41.2 | 108.1 | 1438.1 |
| 3L | 0 | 60.8 | 12.5 | 160.1 | 841.9 | 2324.6 | 1610.5 | 674.3 | 399.6 | 241.2 | 623.2 | 6948.7 |
| 3 P | 0 | 0 | . 4 | . 5 | 11.6 | 30.6 | 19.5 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 4.8 | 11.8 | 96.1 |
| 4R | 0 | 21.2 | . 5 | 34.9 | 248.2 | 477 | 373.6 | 190.1 | 88.7 | 23.1 | 85.0 | 1542.3 |
| Total <br> Sampled Catch | 6 | 1455.4 | 2156.2 | 1462.9 | 5087.3 | 9832.6 | 6147.8 | 2691.9 | 1603.7 | 729.3 | 1269.1 | 32436.2 |
| Total Sampled and Unsampled Catch | 8.0 | 1941 | 2876 | 1951 | 6786 | 13116 | 8201 | 3591 | 2139 | 973 | 1693 | 43267 |

Table 4a
mothwest atlantic mackerel miemmational catch at age (million fish).
10/5/81

|  | 1 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 3,7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 |  | 16.1 | 1.1 | 12.9 | 9.0 | 24:0 | 0.8 | 141.4 | 7.1 | 193.5 | 74.6 | 22.1 | 161.8 | 95.9 | 373.7 | 12.5 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| 2 |  | 2.8 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 3.6 | 11.5 | 26.7 | 61.5 | 262.1 | 54.5 | 294.2 | 85.7 | 283.2 | 242,2 | 431.4 | 353.5 | 27.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.9 |
| 3 |  | 15.2 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 19.8 | 59.3 | 160.7 | 522.1 | 127.4 | 256.2 | 285.1 | 264,4 | 113.7 | 272.5 | 101.0 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 2.9 |
| 4 |  | 3.8 | 26.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 38,1 | 65.8 | 162.9 | 558.9 | 182.6 | 233.6 | 101.5 | 100.8 | 85.7 | 54.0 | 17.4 | 7.1 | 2.0 |
| 5 |  | 1.2 | 6.0 | 19.4 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 14.3 | 5.7 | 27.6 | 203.5 | 390.4 | 192.4 | 114.3 | 58.6 | 52.4 | 12.0 | 13.3 | 18.6 | 6.8 |
| 6 |  | 1.6 | 0.3 | 4,1 | 19.5 | 7.9 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 7.0 | 34.6 | 87.3 | 197.2 | 111.8 | 67.8 | 27.3 | 9.9 | 8.4 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
| 7 |  | 1.4 | 0.2 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 21,8 | 6.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 24.0 | 31.2 | 108.3 | 51.9 | 40.5 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 8.2 |
| 8 |  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 32.3 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 9.9 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 11.0 | 25.7 | 50.5 | 34.6 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| 9 |  | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 2.2 | 10.0 | 4.3 | 8.2 | 4.1 | 6.4 | 12.5 | 22.6 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 8.3 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 13.4 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}0+1 & 43.7 & 40.0 & 57.1 & 53.1 & 78.5 & 99.7 & 330.2 & 524.0 & 1004.2 & 1327.7 & 1073.7 & 1409.0 & 1075.8 & 1267.9 & 916.4 & 225.8 & 62.8 & 56.4 & 43.3\end{array}$

Table 4b. fercemtage comfositton of the mimerhational mackerel catch at age
10/5/81 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1962 & 1963 & 1964 & 1965 & 1966 & 1967 & 1968 & 1969 & 1970 & 1971 & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976 & 1977 & 1978 & 1979 & 1980\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 0.368 & 0.027 & 0.226 & 0.169 & 0.306 & 0.008 & 0.428 & 0.014 & 0.193 & 0.056 & 0.021 & 0.115 & 0.089 & 0.295 & 0.014 & 0.009 & 0.002 & 0.007 & 0.000\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2 & 1 & 0.064 & 0.105 & 0.123 & 0.068 & 0.146 & 0.268 & 0.186 & 0.500 & 0.054 & 0.222 & 0.080 & 0.201 & 0.225 & 0.340 & 0.386 & 0.120 & 0.003 & 0.011 & 0.045\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}3 & 1 & 0.348 & 0.032 & 0.072 & 0.055 & 0.068 & 0.199 & 0.180 & 0.307 & 0.520 & 0.096 & 0.239 & 0.202 & 0.246 & 0.090 & 0.297 & 0.447 & 0.075 & 0.023 & 0.066\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}5 & 0.027 & 0.150 & 0.340 & 0.098 & 0.060 & 0.033 & 0.043 & 0.011 & 0.027 & 0.153 & 0.364 & 0.137 & 0.106 & 0.046 & 0.057 & 0.053 & 0.212 & 0.330 & 0.157\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}6 & 1 & 0.037 & 0.007 & 0.072 & 0.367 & 0.101 & 0.051 & 0.020 & 0.006 & 0.007 & 0.026 & 0.081 & 0.140 & 0.104 & 0.053 & 0.030 & 0.044 & 0.134 & 0.232 & 0.303\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}8 & 1 & 0.018 & 0.005 & 0.012 & 0.075 & 0.006 & 0.324 & 0.003 & 0.006 & 0.010 & 0.003 & 0.004 & 0.008 & 0.024 & 0.040 & 0.038 & 0.028 & 0.035 & 0.046 & 0.083\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}9 & 1 & 0.009 & 0.005 & 0.014 & 0.013 & 0.003 & 0.003 & 0.018 & 0.004 & 0.010 & 0.003 & 0.008 & 0.003 & 0.006 & 0.010 & 0.025 & 0.017 & 0.072 & 0.039 & 0.049\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}10 & 1 & 0.002 & 0.002 & 0.004 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.016 & 0.004 & 0.006 & 0.004 & 0.003 & 0.002 & 0.002 & 0.015 & 0.016 & 0.024 & 0.039 & 0.022\end{array}$ $1110.0070 .0020 .0000 .000 \quad 0.0000 .0000 .0000 .000 \quad 0.0030 .0050 .0050 .0010 .0010 .0010 .002 \quad 0.0030 .092 \quad 0.0370 .039$
$0+11.0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .000$

| Table 4c. |  |  |  | camadiam hacketel catch at age (l000 fish) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10/ 5/81 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1771 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1777 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 922 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 22991 | 4049 | 15165 | 4365 | 0 | 5139 | 3223 | 5306 | 803 | 647 | 2 | 204 | 5 |
| 21 | 3821 | 18751 | 2733 | 4507 | 99 | 11550 | 9103 | 9302 | 10082 | 6243 | 182 | 480 | 1455 |
| 31 | 5522 | 12845 | 25117 | 1038 | 3199 | 5404 | 9937 | 4874 | 12910 | 19742 | 3831 | 1189 | 2156 |
| 41 | 3947 | 1442 | 6018 | 21917 | 4028 | 5227 | 5461 | 4346 | 5230 | 9902 | 1.4733 | 6015 | 1463 |
| 51 | 1505 | 661 | 1357 | 4648 | 18046 | 7825 | 4710 | 2634 | 3686 | 3222 | 11575 | 17202 | 5097 |
| 61 | 720 | 608 | 337 | 1069 | 3616 | 12485 | 4644 | 2811 | 1842 | 2248 | 6353 | 12321 | 9833 |
| 71 | 385 | 782 | 318 | 1344 | 3815 | 4658 | 5751 | 2038 | 2344 | 708 | 3157 | 5590 | 6143 |
| 81 | 885 | 313 | 1180 | 931 | 56 | 1552 | 1515 | 1463 | 1894 | 1262 | 1649 | 2282 | 2692 |
| 91 | 5566 | 329 | 1230 | 1146 | 397 | 469 | 641 | 308 | 1487 | 785 | 1402 | 1702 | 1604 |
| 101 | 52 | 6869 | 871 | 605 | 2 | 375 | 315 | 121 | 340 | 1204 | 1098 | 759 | 729 |
| 111 | 0 | 0 | 2371 | 2760 | 4965 | 523 | 339 | 96 | 215 | 302 | 1399 | 1598 | 1269 |
| $0+1$ | 45394 | 46649 | 57207 | 45252 | 38223 | 55461 | 45690 | 33299 | 40833 | 46265 | 45386 | 50042 | 32442 |

Table 4drencemtage composition of the caikariman mackenel catch at age $10 / 5 / 81$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1 & 1968 & 1969 & 1970 & 1971 & 1772 & 1773 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976 & 1977 & 1978 & 1779 & 1790\end{array}$
$010,0000.0000,0000.0200,0000.0050,0000.0000,0000,0000,000 \quad 0.000 \quad 0,000$
$110.5060 .0870 .2650 .0960 .000 \quad 0.0930 .0710 .1590 .020 \quad 0.0140 .0000 .0040 .000$
$2: 0.0940 .4020 .0480 .1000 .0030 .2080 .1990 .2790 .2470 .1350 .0040 .010 \quad 0.045$
$\equiv!0.1220 .2750 .4370 .0250 .0840 .0770 .2190 .1460 .3160 .4270 .0840 .0240 .066$
$4: 0.0870 .031 \quad 0.1050 .4340 .1050 .0940 .120 \quad 0.1310 .125 \quad 0.2140 .3250 .1320 .045$
$510.0330 .0140 .0330 .1030 .4720 .1410 .1030 .0790 .090 \quad 0.070 \quad 0.2550 .3440 .157$
$610.0160 .0130 .0060 .0240 .0950 .2250 .1020 .0840 .0450 .0490 .140 \quad 0.2460 .303$
$710.0080 .017 \quad 0.0060 .030 \quad 0.100 \quad 0.0840 .1260 .0610 .0570 .0150 .070 \quad 0.1120 .196$
$3 \mid 0.0170 .0070 .0210 .0210 .0010 .0280 .0330 .0 .440 .0460 .0270 .0360 .0460 .033$
$\Rightarrow 10.1230 .0070 .0220 .0250 .0100 .0030 .0140 .0090 .0360 .0170 .031 \quad 0.0340 .047$
$\therefore 10.0010 .1470 .0150 .0120 .0000 .0070 .0070 .0040 .000 \quad 0.0250 .0240 .0150 .022$
$110,0000.0000 .0410 .0610 .1300 .0050 .0070 .0030 .0050,0070.0310 .3240 .039$
$0 \pi 1,0001,0001.0001,0001.0001 .0001 .0001 .000 \quad 1.000 \quad 1.000 \quad 1.000 \quad 1.000 \quad 1.000$

Table 5. Mackerel stratified mean catch (kg) per tow (retransformed) from USA spring bottom trawl surveys (strata 1-25, 61-76) and autumn (strata 1-42, 49) (from Anderson 1980)

| YEAR | SPRING $^{\top}$ | AUTUMN $^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1963 | - | .02 |
| 1964 | - | $<.01$ |
| 1965 | - | .03 |
| 1966 | - | .04 |
| 1967 | - | .15 |
| 1968 | 4.00 | .10 |
| 1969 | .06 | .19 |
| 1970 | 2.04 | .05 |
| 1971 | 1.97 | .04 |
| 1972 | 1.33 | .11 |
|  |  |  |
| 1973 | .75 | .05 |
| 1974 | .77 | .05 |
| 1975 | .26 | .01 |
| 1976 | .32 | .04 |
| 1977 | .20 | .04 |
| 1978 | .45 | .10 |
| 1979 | .22 | .06 |
| 1980 | .35 | .06 |

1 Based on catches with No. 41 trawl; 1968-72 catches were with No. 36 trawl and were adjusted to equivalent No. 41 catches using a $3.25: 1$ ratio (41/36)

2 Based on catches with No. 36 trawl
3 Dr. E. D. Anderson (pers. comm.)
National Marine Fisheries Service Northeast Fisheries Center Woods Hole Laboratory Woods Hole, Massachussets 02543.

Table 6. Mackerel catch per standarized US day fished (Anderson, 1980).

| YEAR | CATCH PER DAY $(\mathrm{mt})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1964 | .43 |
| 1965 | .49 |
| 1966 | .84 |
| 1967 | 1.75 |
| 1968 | 2.80 |
| 1969 | 1.92 |
| 1970 | 2.07 |
| 1971 | 1.29 |
| 1972 | .84 |
| 1973 | .53 |
| 1974 | .17 |
| 1975 | .53 |
| 1976 | .59 |
| 1977 | .52 |
| 1979 | .48 |
| 1980 | .69 |

1
Dr. E. D. Anderson pers. comm. op. cit.

Table 7. Calculation of relative effort index for mackerel based on the USA mackerel catch per standardized US day fished.

| Year | Internationa1 <br> Catch (mt) | US Standard <br> Catch/Day (mt) | Effort |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964 | 17574 | .43 | 40870 |
| 1965 | 20420 | .49 | 41673 |
| 66 | 26787 | .84 | 31889 |
| 67 | 38617 | 1.75 | 22067 |
| 68 | 88591 | 1.80 | 31640 |
| 69 | 144860 | 2.07 | 75448 |
| 1970 | 246662 | 1.29 | 119160 |
| 71 | 389666 | .84 | 302067 |
| 72 | 425312 | .53 | 506324 |
| 73 | 430437 | .53 | 812145 |
| 74 | 347220 | .59 | 655132 |
| 1975 | 292427 | .52 | 551749 |
| 76 | 245935 | .48 | 416839 |
| 77 | 78328 | .69 | 150631 |
| 78 | 34446 | 1.35 | 71763 |
| 79 | 35658 |  | 51678 |
| 1980 |  |  | 20303 |
| 1 |  |  |  |

Table 8. Atlantic mackerel mean weight at age (from Anderson 1980) and from this study. Weight is in kg .

| Age | Stock $^{1}$ | Catch $^{2}$ | Canadian 1980 catch |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .096 | .143 | .161 |
| 2 | .178 | .231 | .387 |
| 3 | .267 | .320 | .426 |
| 4 | .353 | .405 | .509 |
| 5 | .436 | .540 | .600 |
| 6 | .507 | .601 | .641 |
| 7 | .570 | .646 | .662 |
| 8 | .622 | .683 | .701 |
| 9 | .695 | .713 | .744 |
| 10 | .725 | .737 | .770 |
| 11 |  |  | .798 |

${ }^{1}$ As of January 1st.
${ }^{2}$ As of June 1 st.

Table 9. Northwest Atlantic mackerel. Calculations of initial partial recruitment multipliers for VPA

|  | Initial P.R. <br> used in VPA <br> $\mathrm{F}_{80}=0.05$ | Resulting <br> 1978 F's at <br> age | P.R. <br> Multipliers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |
|  | .26 | .001 |  |
| 1 | .60 | .003 | .015 |
| 2 | .90 | .018 | .047 |
| 3 | 1.0 | .033 | .309 |
| 4 | 1.0 | .04 | .587 |
| 5 | 1.0 | .057 | .703 |
| 6 | 1.0 | .054 | 1.0 |
| 7 | 1.0 | .066 | 1.0 |
| 8 | 1.0 | .028 | 1.0 |
| 9 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |
| 10 |  |  | 1.0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |

1 obtained by dividing the F's at age by 0.066 . The highest $F$ value in the table and assuming full recruitment at age 6 .

Table 10. Northwest Atlantic Mackerel. Fine Tuning of VPA


1 Period used to calculate the relationship.

Table 11.

| 1 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. 1 | 063 | 447 | 419 | 536 | 1169 | 3107 | 9305 | 3678 | 3716 | 1814 | 2037 | 1706 | 2518 | 2375 | 661 | 345 | 422 | 2000 | 520 |
| 21 | 785 | 626 | 330 | 299 | 390 | 845 | 2301 | 6772 | 2718 | 2587 | 1280 | 1490 | 1126 | 1783 | 1440 | 479 | 254 | 312 | 1481 |
| 31 | 740 | 579 | 460 | 238 | 219 | 279 | 603 | 1652 | 4793 | 1867 | 1665 | 875 | 862 | 628 | 954 | 766 | 332 | 188 | 231 |
| 41 | 38 | 535 | 428 | 337 | 174 | 157 | 190 | 376 | 1086 | 3104 | 1348 | 1015 | 407 | 415 | 368 | 476 | 482 | 242 | 138 |
| 51 | 21 | 25 | 374 | 314 | 246 | 127 | 114 | 108 | 237 | 666 | 1823 | 843 | 553 | 215 | 221 | 200 | 306 | 342 | 173 |
| 61 | 5 | 15 | 13 | 261 | 228 | 178 | 91 | 72 | 75 | 152 | 321 | 1018 | 461 | 312 | 109 | 119 | 138 | 215 | 237 |
| 71 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 176 | 162 | 128 | 62 | 51 | 50 | 83 | 163 | 586 | 246 | 174 | 58 | 80 | 95 | 148 |
| 81 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 112 | 115 | 94 | 44 | 33 | 29 | 41 | 94 | 342 | 138 | 94 | 38 | 55 | 65 |
| 91 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 84 | 67 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 21 | 48 | 210 | 73 | 64 | 26 | 39 |
| 101 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 60 | 41 | 14 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 25 | 136 | 51 | 44 | 18 |
| 11 \| | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 42 | 24 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 98 | 36 | 31 |
| $1+1$ | 2461 | 2232 | 2037 | 1997 | 2603 | 4968 | 12902 | 12954 | 12868 | 10481 | 8646 | 7187 | 6642 | 6380 | 4308 | 2754 | 2264 | 3555 | 3080 |
| $2+1$ | 1598 | 1785 | 1618 | 1461 | 1434 | 1861 | 3597 | 9277 | 9152 | 8666 | 6609 | 5480 | 4124 | 4005 | 3647 | 2409 | 1842 | 1556 | 2561 |
| $3+1$ | 813 | 1160 | 1289 | 1161 | 1045 | 1016 | 1296 | 2504 | 6433 | 6079 | 5329 | 3990 | 2998 | 2222 | 2206 | 1930 | 1589 | 1243 | 1079 |
| $4+1$ | 73 | 581 | 829 | 923 | 826 | 737 | 693 | 852 | 1641 | 4112 | 3664 | 3115 | 2136 | 1594 | 1252 | 1164 | 1257 | 1056 | 849 |
| STOF SET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VFFAJ[56] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12.
FOFULATIOM FIOMASS (thousand mt).
$10 / 5 / 81$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1962 & 1963 & 1964 & 1965 & 1966 & 1967 & 1968 & 1969 & 1970 & 1971 & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976 & 1977 & 1978 & 1979 & 1980\end{array}$

| 1. 1 | 83 | 43 | 40 | 51 | 112 | 298 | 893 | 353 | 357 | 174 | 196 | 164 | 242 | 228 | 63 | 33 | 40 | 192 | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 140 | 111 | 59 | 53 | 69 | 150 | 410 | 1205 | 484 | 461 | 228 | 265 | 200 | 317 | 256 | 85 | 45 | 56 | 264 |
| 31 | 198 | 155 | 123 | 64 | 58 | 74 | 161 | 441 | 1280 | 525 | 445 | 23.4 | 230 | 168 | 255 | 205 | 89 | 50 | 62 |
| 41 | 13 | 189 | 151 | 117 | 61 | 56 | 67 | 140 | 383 | 1096 | 476 | 358 | 144 | 146 | 130 | 168 | 170 | 85 | 49 |
| 51 | 9 | 11 | 163 | 137 | 107 | 55 | 50 | 47 | 104 | 290 | 795 | 368 | 241 | 94 | 97 | 87 | 133 | 149 | 75 |
| 61 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 132 | 115 | 90 | 46 | 36 | 38 | 77 | 163 | 516 | 234 | 158 | 55 | 61 | 70 | 109 | 120 |
| 71 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 101 | 92 | 73 | 35 | 29 | 28 | 48 | 93 | 334 | 140 | 99 | 33 | 46 | 54 | 85 |
| 81 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 70 | 71 | 59 | 27 | 21 | 18 | 26 | 59 | 213 | 86 | 59 | 24 | 34. | 40 |
| 91 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 56 | 44 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 32 | 139 | 48 | 43 | 17 | 26 |
| 1.01 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 42 | 29 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 95 | 35 | 31 | 12 |
| 111 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 30 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 71 | 26 | 22 |
| $1+1$ | 451 | 520 | 550 | 563 | 626 | 887 | 1608 | 2397 | 2802 | 2747 | 2408 | 2047 | 1707 | 1507 | 1202 | 879 | 766 | 804 | 805 |
| $2+1$ | 368 | 477 | 510 | 512 | 513 | 589 | 914 | 2044 | 2445 | 2573 | 2213 | 1883 | 1465 | 1279 | 1139 | 845 | 725 | 612 | 755 |
| 311 | 228 | 360 | 452 | 458 | 444 | 438 | 505 | 839 | 1962 | 2112 | 1985 | 1618 | 1265 | 962 | 882 | 760 | 680 | 556 | 491 |
| $4+1$ | 30 | 211 | 329 | 395 | 386 | 364 | 344 | 398 | 682 | 1587 | 1540 | 1384 | 1035 | 794 | 627 | 555 | 592 | 506 | 429 |
| STOF EET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VF\%. | [59] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13.

|  | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.022 | 0.003 | 0.036 | 0.020 | 0.024 | 0.000 | 0.018 | 0.002 | 0.052 | 0.049 | 0.013 | 0.116 | 0.045 | . 200 | 0.022 | 0.007 | 0.000 | . 000 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.025 | 0.014 | 0.035 | 0.037 | 0.031 | 0.046 | 0.023 | 0.141 | 0.080 | 0.247 | 0.284 | 0.325 | 0.331 | 0.067 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 3 | 0.024 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.028 | 0.086 | 0.120 | 0.119 | 0.134 | 0.078 | 0.195 | 0.467 | 0.432 | 0.234 | 0.396 | 0.165 | 0.017 | 0.008 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 0.123 | 0.058 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.017 | 0.026 | 0.263 | 0.212 | 0.190 | 0.232 | 0.170 | 0.307 | 0.338 | 0.327 | 0.311 | 0.140 | 0.043 | 0.035 | 0.016 |
| 5 | 0.067 | 0.325 | 0.062 | 0.019 | 0.022 | 0.031 | 0.157 | 0.063 | 0.144 | 0.431 | 0.283 | 0.304 | 0.271 | 0.375 | 0.317 | 0.072 | 0.052 | 0.065 | 0.046 |
| 6 | 0.416 | 0.024 | 0.437 | 0.090 | 0.041 | 0.034 | 0.087 | 0.049 | 0.114 | 0.302 | 0.374 | 0.252 | 0.327 | 0.287 | 0.338 | 0.101 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.066 |
| 7 | 0.630 | 0.092 | 0.537 | 1.352 | 0.154 | 0.045 | 0.006 | 0.038 | 0.128 | 0.231 | 0.400 | 0.248 | 0.239 | 0.277 | 0.312 | 0.119 | 0.070 | 0.079 | 0.066 |
| 8 | 0.844 | 0.185 | 0.597 | 2.792 | 0.631 | 0.401 | 0.010 | 0.039 | 0.298 | 0.134 | 0.181 | 0.363 | 0.374 | 0.186 | 0.339 | 0.080 | 0.069 | 0.056 | 0.066 |
| 9 | 1.433 | 0.598 | 8.994 | 8.432 | 5.806 | 1.214 | 0.135 | 0.031 | 0.189 | 0.228 | 0.574 | 0.302 | 0.421 | 0.354 | 0.132 | 0.062 | 0.084 | 0.102 | 0.066 |
| 101 | 0.069 | 7.917 | 7.244 | 0.164 | 0.104 | 0.025 | 5.631 | 0.308 | 0.075 | 0.257 | 0.364 | 0.662 | 0.343 | 0.294 | 0.932 | 0.031 | 0.035 | 0.060 | 0.066 |
| 111 | 0.630 | 0.101 | 0.523 | 1.411 | 0.275 | 0.160 | 0.035 | 0.042 | 0.180 | 0.222 | 0.319 | 0.288 | 0.313 | 0.250 | 0.329 | 0.100 | 0.071 | 0.069 | 0.066 |
| $1+$ | 0.388 | 0.847 | . 861 | . 302 | . 649 | . 16 | . 590 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 050 |  |

Table 14a. Atlantic Mackerel Parameters for $Y / R$ calculations.

| Partial Recruitment |  |  | Weights (kg) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | Cohort | Average <br> Canadian | Canadian <br> Fishery 1980 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ \text { ( } 7962-79 \text { average) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | A | B | C | D |
| 1 | . 00027 | . 15 | . 161 | . 130 |
| 2 | . 023 | . 35 | . 387 | $\bigcirc 216$ |
| 3 | . 22 | . 70 | . 426 | . 306 |
| 4 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 509 | . 392 |
| 5 | . 703 | 1.00 | . 60 | . 469 |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 641 | . 536 |
| 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 662 | . 593 |
| 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 701 | . 640 |
| 9 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 744 | . 678 |
| 10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 770 | . 709 |
| 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 798 | . 733 |

Table•14b. Atlantic mackerel yield per recruit calculations results ( $M=0.3$ )

|  | $F_{0.1}$ | $Y / R(\mathrm{~kg})$ | $F_{\max }$ | $Y / R(\mathrm{~kg})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | .682 | .143 | 13.35 | .223 |
| A-C | .581 | .108 | 11.63 | .129 |
| B-D | .477 | .170 | 2.118 | .205 |
| B-D | .402 | .179 | 1.449 | .137 |

Table 15. Northwest Atlantic mackerel projection results. Sizes of incoming year-classes equal to the GM mean year-class size at age 1 for the 1961 to 1975 year-classes ( $1585 \times 10^{6}$ fish) fishing at $F_{0.1}$.

| Year | P.R. from cohort, weights from 1980 fishery |  | Average P.R., weights from 1980 fishery |  | P.R. from Cohort, average weights for 1962-79 |  | Aver average 1962 | ge P.R., ights for 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ \text { ('000 mt) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1+ Biomass } \\ & (' 000 \mathrm{mt}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { '000 mt } \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1+\text { Biomass } \\ & (' 000 \mathrm{mt}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ (' 000 \mathrm{mt}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1+ Biomass } \\ & (' 000 \mathrm{mt}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { '00 mt } \end{array}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 1+\text { Biomass } \\ (' 000 \mathrm{mt}) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1980 | 27 | 1292 | 27 | 1292 | 23 | 912 | 23 | 912 |
| 1981 | 231 | 1341 | 306 | 1341 | 168 | 1025 | 207 | 1025 |
| 1982 | 156 | 1401 | 271 | 1304 | 118 | 1027 | 185 | 975 |



Figure 1. Mackerel 2+ biomass, . U.S. catch per day, and R.V. c/tow standardized to their respective mean.


figure 3. average fishing mortality on age o-7-8 vs. relative
Effort index for fulty recruited f in 1980 Of 0.066
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    2 Estimated
    3 NAFO SA5-6 only
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