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## Abstract

Historical catches were analyzed from 1960-1980. Catches in recent years have increased substantially and in 1980 especially, have exceeded any recorded levels.

Research vessel data are presented from 1970-1980. No ageing material is available at this time. Estimates of total population in numbers and biomass, as well as mean number per tow and mean weight per tow do not indicate a large increase in hake abundance. Increase in catch therefore must be attributed to increased inshore effort.

## Résumé

Les prises de 1960 à 1980 ont été examinées. Elles ont augmenté de façon substantielle ces dernières années et surtout en 1980 lorsqu'elles ont dépassé tous les niveaux jamais enregistrés.

Nous présentons les données obtenues par navires de recherche entre 1970 et 1980. Nous ne disposons pas pour le moment de données sur les âges. Les estimations de population totale, en nombre et en biomasse, ainsi que le nombre moyen et le poids moyens de sujets par trait de chalut n'indiquent pas d'augmentation d'abondance de la merluche blanche. L'augmentation des prises est donc attribuable à un effort de pêche côtière accru.

## Introduction

In the last ten to fifteen years, hake has become an increasingly important fishery (Whitaker 1980). The growing difficulty in obtaining the traditional white fleshed species, cod, haddock and various flatfish, at prices the consumer is willing to pay, has resulted in an active interest in the Atlantic hake stocks for human consumption.

Locally, this trend can be seen in the hake catch statistics in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Here, a seasonally directed white hake fishery exists mainly at the east end of Prince Edward Island.

The purpose of this paper is to present an update of the status of the inshore fishery in division 4 T for 1980. In addition it reviews the results of the annual groundfish surveys up to 1980 and the available catch rate.

The fishery
Background information on the hake fishery is well documented in Beacham and Schweigert (1980) and Beacham and Nepszy (1980).

The fishery is both directed and seasonal. The peak fishing season is approximately July to September with no fishing from December to April due to ice (Beacham and Nepszy 1980).

Total landings from 1960-1977 have averaged 5000 mt annually (Figure 1); nominal catches since 1978 have increased greatly. The nominal catch for 1979 increased by $60 \%$ to 7240 mt and a further increase was seen in 1980, to a high of $11,619 \mathrm{mt}$ (provisional) (Tabie 1).

Table 2 shows the nominal catch of white hake taken by the major gears. Up to $40 \%$ of the total catch is taken by otter trawlers. Danish and Scottish seiners take less, only about $11 \%$ of the total catch. Gillnetters take 20-30\% of the total catch annually. In 1980, this percentage increased to $38 \%$ (Figure 2).

Most of the white hake is taken by vessels under 25 GRT. In Table 3, updated from Beacham and Schweigert (1980), it can be seen that an average of $86 \%$ of total hake landings are taken by these small boats. In 1979 and 1980 landings increased to $90 \%$ and $93 \%$ respectively. Otter trawlers and Danish seiners, as well as gillnetters, increased their catches.

Catches have increased steadily with the increase in price during the past ten years. In earlier years, when hake was considered trash fish, the fishing effort on hake was minimal. However, since hake is now salted or canned as "chicken haddies" and sold for human consumption (A. King, pers. comm.) effort has increased.

1 Mr. Armand King, Fisheries Officer, Dept. Fisheries and Oceans, Richibucto, P.E.I.

A representative catch per unit of effort is not available since most catches are made by the inshore fleet and no effort is recorded. Although a $200 \%$ increase in fishing effort has been estimated, (A. King pers. comm.) in the Richibucto area, most fishermen claim that an overall decrease in catch per boat of hake has occurred.

Table 4 shows catch by month. Peak months are July, August and September, the time at which hake is resident in the shallow inshore waters.

## Research Abundance Indices

Annual groundfish surveys have been carried out in the Gulf of St. Lawrence since 1970, in the month of September by the E.E. Prince.

Data are available per standardized tow, to estimate population and biomass. No ageing material has been analyzed to date. Despite this shortcoming, there are some interesting trends to be seen.

Figure 3 shows the estimated population of white hake in numbers ( $\times 10^{-6}$ ) and estimated biomass in mt $\left(x 10^{-3}\right)$. The total population seems to be slightly increasing from 1978 to the present. From 1972 to 1974 there was also an increase, but this was followed by a decline in population numbers (and biomass) until 1977.

An examination of catches per individual strata in the Gulf shows a high percentage of hake (in numbers) in strata 15, 20-21, 25, 32-33, and 37 (Table 5). These strata have accounted for $65-98 \%$ of research vessel catches of white hake since 1971.

Table 6 shows the mean number and mean weight of hake per tow for each of the main strata. The overall trend since 1970 shows an increase, peaking in 1974 and 1978.

Figure 4 shows the trend in research vessel stratified mean number per tow and weight (kg) per tow. Smoothed numbers per tow using MED3R (S. Smith pers comm.) also show the increasing trend.

## Conclusion

The following changes have been observed in the white hake fishery. Landings have doubled in 1980, and an increase in inshore effort is evident.

Research abundance indices indicate a small increase in abundance from 1970-1980.

It is not possible to predict whether the white hake fishery can support the present level of exploitation on an ongoing basis. There are some grounds for concern that it cannot.
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Table 1. Nominal catch (metric tons round) of white hake in NAFO Div. 4T, 1960-1980.

| YEAR | CANADA (MQ) | CANADA (N) | TOTAL |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 2008 | 7 | 2015 |
| 61 | 5333 | - | 5333 |
| 62 | 7244 | - | 7244 |
| 63 | 6546 | - | 6550 |
| 64 | 6205 |  | 6205 |
| 1965 | 4706 | - | 4706 |
| 66 | 7024 | - | 7024 |
| 67 | 6550 | - | 6550 |
| 68 | 4260 | 1 | 4260 |
| 69 | 4207 | - | 4208 |
| 1970 |  | 61 |  |
| 71 | 5668 | 26 | 5668 |
| 72 | 5646 | 13 | 5707 |
| 73 | 5731 | 17 | 5757 |
| 74 | 5681 | 13 | 5702 |
| 1975 | 3603 | 5 | 3616 |
| 76 | 4108 | 8 | 4125 |
| 77 | 3745 | 7 | 3758 |
| 78 | 3979 |  | 3984 |
| 79 | 4553 | - | 4561 |
| $1980 *$ | 7233 | 71679 |  |

* Provisional.

Table 2. Nominal catch (metric tons round) of Div. 4 T . white hake by gear, 1972-1980 (\% of annual landings in parenthesis). ${ }^{1}$

| YEAR | OTTER AND PAIR TRAWLS | DANISH AND SCOTTISH SEINES | LONG- and HAND-LINES | GILLNETS | MISCELLANEOUS or UNKNOWN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 1140 (20) | 863 (15) | 1604 (27) | 1790 (21) | 960 (17) |
| 1973 | 2468 (43) | 211 (4) | 1045 (18) | 1265 (22) | 713 (13) |
| 1974 | 1454 (40) | 305 (8) | 345 (10) | 1100 (31) | 412 (11) |
| 1975 | 1576 (39) | 306 (7) | 324 (8) | 1285 (31) | 634 (15) |
| 1976 | 1429 (37) | 398 (17) | 183 (5) | 1747 (37) | 601 (16) |
| 1977 | 1227 (31) | 408 (10) | 231 (6) | 1300 (33) | 818 (20) |
| 1978 | 1265 (28) | 606 (13) | 419 (9) | 1689 (37) | 582 (13) |
| 1979 | 2819 (39) | 890 (13) | 469 (6) | 2337 (32) | 725 (10) |
| $1980^{2}$ | 3561 (31) | 1429 (12) | 831 (7) | 4457 (38) | 1343 (12) |
| TOTAL | 16,933 (34) | 5,414 (11) | 5,450 (11) | 15,765 (31) | 6,787 (13) |

[^0]Table 3. Nominal catch (metric tons round) of Div. $4 T$ white hake by vessels under 25 GRT, 1972-1980 (\% of total landings for that gear in parenthesis)

| YEAR |  <br> PAIR TRAWLS |  <br> SCOTTISH <br> SEINES | LONG-and <br> HAND-LINES | GILLNETS | MISC. or <br> UNKNOWN | TOTAL <br> (<25 TONS) | \% OF <br> TOTAL <br> LANDINGS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | $503(44)$ | $739(86)$ | $1592(99)$ | $1185(100)$ | $960(100)$ | 4979 | 86 |
| 1973 | $1801(73)$ | $7(3)$ | $1039(99)$ | $1252(99)$ | $713(100)$ | 4812 | 84 |
| 1974 | $1045(72)$ | $129(42)$ | $342(99)$ | $1084(99)$ | $412(100)$ | 3012 | 83 |
| 1975 | $995(63)$ | $174(37)$ | $316(98)$ | $1191(93)$ | $634(100)$ | 3250 | 79 |
| 1976 | $1067(75)$ | $269(68)$ | $183(100)$ | $1103(96)$ | $601(100)$ | 3223 | 86 |
| 1977 | $1056(86)$ | $69(17)$ | $230(100)$ | $1182(91)$ | $818(100)$ | 3355 | 84 |
| 1978 | $1163(92)$ | $54(9)$ | $412(98)$ | $1474(87)$ | $582(100)$ | 3685 | 81 |
| 1979 | $2702(96)$ | $239(27)$ | $465(99)$ | $2325(100)$ | $722(99)$ | 6453 | 90 |
| $1980 *$ | $3486(98)$ | $578(41)$ | $817(98)$ | $4457(100)$ | $1343(100)$ | 10,681 | 93 |

[^1]Table 4. Nominal catch by month of white hake in Div. $4 T$ 1972-1980

| YEAR | MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D |  |
| 1972 | 1 | 2 | - | 11 | 52 | 391 | 1275 | 1693 | 1164 | 873 | 278 | 16 | 5756 |
| 1973 | - | - | - | 9 | 53 | 567 | 1477 | 1363 | 1420 | 696 | 114 | 3 | 5702 |
| 1974 | - | - | - | 4 | 38 | 228 | 1376 | 923 | 434 | 426 | 181 | 6 | 3616 |
| 1975 | 1 | - | - | - | 54 | 352 | 1270 | 1040 | 866 | 384 | 151 | 7 | 4125 |
| 1976 | 10 | 3 | - | 15 | 70 | 249 | 1097 | 855 | 949 | 469 | 41 | - | 3758 |
| 1977 | - | - | - | 1 | 68 | 550 | 1211 | 905 | 654 | 363 | 231 | 1 | 3984 |
| 1978 | - | - | - | - | 32 | 543 | 1178 | 1116 | 874 | 456 | 363 | - | 4561 |
| 1979 | - | - | - | - | 53 | 685 | 2052 | 1656 | 1147 | 823 | 810 | 14 | 7240 |
| 1980* | - | - | - | - | 53 | 595 | 3234 | 3063 | - 1538 | 2097 | 1019 | 20 | 11619 |
| TOTAL | 12 | 5 | - | 40 | 473 | 4160 | 14170 | 12614 | 9046 | 6587 | 3189 | 67 | 50361 |
| $\% \mathrm{OF}$ <br> TOTAL LANDINGS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 28 | 25 | 19 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 100 |

* Provisional

Table 5. Percentage of total catch in different strata of white hake during research cruises, 1971 - 1980.

| STRATUM | YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| 15 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 11 | 32 | 5 | 10 | 14 |
| 20 | 4 | 15 | 13 | 4. | 1 | 5 | 7 | 26 | 10 | 8 |
| 21 | 2 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| 25 | 20 | 16 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| 32 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 55 | 1 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 6 |
| 33 | 18 | 2 | 72 | 66 | 2 | 45 | 9 | 40 | 37 | 23 |
| 37 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 65 | 88 | 94 | 95 | 84 | 98 | 86 | 95 | 76 | 69 |

Table 6. White Hake in NAFO Div. 4T. Research abundance indices for 1970-1980.

| YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stratum | 1970 * | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| 15 | - | 0.45 | 2.50 | 1.46 | - | 26.84 | 20.68 | 30.02 | 12.85 | 19.15 | 25.80 |
| 20 | 1.54 | 3.03 | 6.18 | 20.28 | 12.35 | 1.55 | 9.56 | 6.77 | 62.93 | 18.81 | 14.69 |
| 21 | 2.93 | 2.52 | 32.52 | 4.81 | 7.50 | 5.35 | 87.50 | 3.54 | 12.66 | 22.00 | 40.54 |
| 25 | - | 17.45 | 7.71 | 4.14 | 27.21 | 22.17 | 23.75 | 18.01 | 19.27 | 5.99 | 12.96 |
| 32 | - | 18.90 | 11.64 | 21.23 | 59.60 | 312.52 | 6.18 | 33.06 | 66.47 | 52.67 | 30.82 |
| 33 | - | 8.13 | . 65 | 72.34 | 118.49 | 2.77 | 54.74 | 5.12 | 63.39 | 47.06 | 26.51 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUM/TOW } \\ & 15-39 \end{aligned}$ | 0.59 | 2.68 | 1.52 | 5.92 | 10.45 | 8.39 | 7.06 | 3.50 | 9.95 | 7.46 | 6.85 |
| MED3R | 0.59 | 1.52 | 2.68 | 5.92 | 8.39 | 8.39 | 7.06 | 7.06 | 7.46 | 7.46 | 6.85 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KG/TOW } \\ & 15-39 \end{aligned}$ | 1.67 | 2.13 | 2.98 | 7.82 | 12.99 | 5.35 | 4.23 | 3.25 | 10.98 | 9.56 | 9.71 |
| MED3R | 1.67 | 2.13 | 2.98 | 7.82 | 7.82 | 5.35 | 4.23 | 4.23 | 9.56 | 9.71 | 9.71 |

*Strata 15, 25, 32, and 33 inclusive were not surveyed in 1970.


Fig. 1. Nominal catches of white Hake - 4T, 1960-1980
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Figure 3. Estimated population (numbers $\times 10^{-6}$ ), and biomass for White Hake in Division 4T from research cruises.


Fig. 4. Research CPUE (Kg/Tow) and (Number/Tow) of white hake in 4 T for 1970-1980.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for 1972-78 from Beacham and Schweigert (1980)
    2 Provisional

[^1]:    * Provisional

