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Archaeological investigations at Castle Fill, Placentia, Newfoundland, were conducted in order to complete the excavation of the previously unexcavated west curtain wall and several smaller units within the redoubt. In conjunction wi.th this aim, the stabilization and restoration of all remaining features was undertaken to prevent further deterioration through vandalism and weathering.

Investigations showod that during the period of British restoration, beginning in 1757, some French structures were incorporated into British repairs and modified to suit imnediate needs, rather than being totally destroyed.

Between June 1, 1969 and August 15, 1969, archaeological excavations and stabilization of standing rasonry was carried out at Castle Hill, National Historic Park located at Placentia, Newfoundland.

The author and Hr. James Henderson, both of Ottawa, were contract archaeologists in charge of field work. From July 25 until the end of the field season, I supervized the final stages of stabilization.

A crew of ten laborers was hired from the nearby towns of Dunville, Ferndale, Freshwater, Placentia, and Jersey Side. All nembers of the crew had been employed at the site during the 1958 field season and consisted of Richard Fillier, Aloysius Pittman, Cornelius Traverse, Edward O'Keefe, Harold Healey, Victor Collins, Michael Murray, Francis Fagin, Joe Rodgers, and Pat Bruce.

Four masons from St. John's, working under Mir. Wilson Butler, contracted to do the stabilization and necessary reconstruction, being assisted by Mike Emis, Alphonsis Lockyer, and Leo Fower.

The stabilization project was under the general direction of Mr . Ray Johnsor, Newfoundland Area Engineer, although much of the irmediate supervision and decisions were niade at the site by the archaeologists. Valuable assistance was given by lirokevin O'Neil, Park Superintendent, Signal Hill National Historic Park and Mr. Roland McDonah of regional office in Halifax.

Finally, Mr. Donald O'Keefe, Town Clerk of jersey Side, assisted us frequently by providing local heavy ecquipment whenever necessaryo

The 1969 archaeological field season was instituted in order to complete previously unfinished work of the 1968 season and, in conjunction with this aim, to finish the stabilization or reconstruction of previously uncovered features.

The major task undertaken was the stratigraphic excavation of the west curtain wall (Figs. 6,7) and its subsequent reconstruction. As well, the interior of the redoubt was re-excavated and features therein were stabilized as fourd for site development purposes. Sinilarly, the N.E. corner of the original entrance on the east side of the fortress was re-established and the modern bridge, providing access to the interior of the redoubt over the ditch, was re-oriented for the sake of authenticity and, incidentally, easier accessibility.

Finally, at the request of eastern regional headquarters, some investigations were conducted in attempting to locate fectures of interest such as old trails within the existing park boundaries.

As in 1968, the excavation of the site was conducted by local laborers using picks, shovels, and trowels. A front end loader was used to remove the cannons from the interior of the redoubt before re-excavation took place and the bridge was moved and re-oriented using the crew nembers.

Elevations were taken with a surveying level and excavations were plotted with an alidade and plane table。 Photographic records were made both in colour and black and white film throughout the course of the field season.

In accordance with the National Historic Sites Service system of recording (Rick), excavations were designated as to operations,
sub-operatiors, and lots.
Operations Excavated

## Sub-oneration 2A.4A

Sub-operation 2A4A constituted the excavation of the west curtain wall which, because of its' length and state of disrepair, became the major undertaking of the season. Lots in this sub-opercition were utilized in such a way as to produce a continuum with those luts designated in 1965 and 1968 by Grange (Grange 1969:74)。 Sub-operation 2A7A

This sub-operation was excavated in 1965 and revealed a British hearth base. Subsequent re-excavation revealed a brick fireplace beneath the English hearth base and as a result of this newly found feature, the hearth base was removed. There was good evidence of stratigraphy in conjunction with the fireplace. Sub-oneration 2A8C

The entrance to the redoubt, on the east iace of the curtain wall is represented by sub-operation 2ABC. This relatively small area beneath the upper end of the foot bridge was excavated in one lot and enabled the re-establishment of the N.E. corner of the entranceway (Fig. 4).

Sub-oneration 2A9M
Sub-operation 2A9M was applied to tne nixed rubble immediately berind the existing portion of the west curtain wall. Sub-operation 2A9N

This sub-operation was a shallow trench behind the nortin curtain wall from the N.W. salient angle to the N.W. re-entrart angle of the powder magazine. This was excavated to aid in stabilizing and cap-
ping the north curtain wall.
Sub-operation 2A10J
The excavation of material imediately behind the south and west walls of the redoubt to facilitate stabilization, constituted lots 2AlOJI4 and 2A10Jl5 respectively.

## Sub-overation 2A10K

Sub-operation 2AlOk was designated as the balk running N.-S. in the centre of the redaubt interior. This balk was left unexcavated in 1965 to act as a control device. Unfortunately, when the interior wa.s backfilled, the balk was partially destroyed in some areas due to heavy equipment, but three distinct layers were discernable. Sub-o, eration 2A10L

The interior of the redoubt, excavated and refilled in 1965, was re-excavated to bedrock to facilitate stabilization of existing features. The removal of this more or less uniform fill was given the designation of sub-operation 2A10L.

Sub-operation 2ALA
In 1965, excavation was begun on the west curtain wall, beginning at the S.i. salient angle and working northward. At that time, lots 2A4Al-3 were utilized. Again in 1968, toward the end of the field season, excavations were continued in the same area and lots 2A4A4-11 were used and as a result of this work, a $\ddot{\text { á }} 7 \mathrm{ft}$. long section of wall was exposed and partially stabilized. A section of the curtain wall, extending northward for a distance of approximately $6 \mathrm{ft} .$, including the salient angle was totally stabilized in 1968 and the remaining 21 ft . was shored for the winter by banking boulders and earth against the wall face.

This temporary reinforcing was removed at the beginning of the 1969 field season and work continued along the face of the curtain wall, beginning with lot 2ALA12. Lots 2ALAl2-16 were used to complete the excavation of this area and also to remain consistent with lots used previously in this area.

In order to properly stabilize the west curtain wall, excavations were conducted along the total length of the face to bedrock (Figs. 6,7).

At a distance of 4 ft . north of the salient angle, a course of large stones was uncovered, lying on a clay base at an elevation of 319.46 ft . ASL. This line of stones comprised a footer for the southend of the wall and extended northward for a distance of 19.1 ft . The south end of the footer extended out from the wall for a distance of $0.3 \mathrm{ft}$. However, as it progressed north toward the central, fallen section of the wall, this extension becane more pronounced until at its' termination 23 ft . north of the salient angle, the edge of
the stone course was 0.9 ft. out from the base of the wall. A distance of 3.9 ft . further north brougnt excavations to the linits reached in 1968.
excavations for the 1969 season concerning the west curtain wall begar from the north and south ends of the wall, working toward the central slumped area. From the N.W. re-entrant angle, running south for a distance of $21.5 \mathrm{ft} .$, two distinct stratigraphic layers were seen which terminated on bedrock, rising to a high point of 328.75 ft 。 ASL at a distance of 4 ft . south of the re-entrant angle. At this point the west curtain wall completely disappeared in a mass of rubble and continued in this state for a distance of 18.9 ft . This entire 18.9 f.t. collapsed section was excavated to bedrock and was completely rebuilt when the wall line was re-established.

A further distance of 3.4 ft . south of the fallen area was excavated to bedrock and completion of this short section constituted the remaining excavation of the face of the west curtain well.

2A4A12 Lot 12 of sub-operation $2 A 4 A$ was assigned to the material immediately on top of the west curtain wall and that material in front of the wall. This lot consisted of reddish-brown earth and mixed rubble which coincided with lot $2 A_{4} A l$ of the 1965 excavations. Artifacts from this lot were too mixed to be of any assistance as dating aids, ranging from modern Coca-Cola bottle fragments to wrought iron nails.

2A4A13 This lot consisted of a mixture of stone, mortar rubble, and brownish clay fill which, in the area immediately in front of the west curtain wall to the north of the collapsed area, ended on bedrock. From a distance of 21.5 ft 。 south of the re-entrant angle of the N.iv.
demi-bastion, this lot continued southward, ending 27 ft . north of the salient angle where excavations were halted the previous year.

In the central area of the west curtain wall it became evident that much of the standing masonry had fallen outward and had been carried down the hill to the west。 As a result of this, it was supposed that the majority of artifacts uncovered in this area were deposited from siumped fill from the area between the curtain arıd redoubt walls.

2A4Al4 Lot 14 consisted of a relatively compact brown clay layer mixed with large rock rubisle and mortar. In the 18.9 ft . centre section where the wall had collapsed, the hypothesis about much of the wall having been carried down the hill was proven by the presence of large building stones being found at a greater distance west of the wall than in areas of starding masonry. Where the wall was still standing, building rubble was found immediately adjacent to the wall.

2ALAl5 Grey mortar and sand with snaller rubble than was found in Iot 14 , cheracterized lot 15 . In the south section of the curtain wall this material was found at the level of the footer, beginning at a maximum elevation of 321.15 ft . ASL at the north end of the footer and sloping downwards toward the south to an elevation of 318.36 ft . ASL at the salient angle. Excavations in the area of the fallen section of wall showed that this lot extended horizontally for a distance of 10 ft . which further siowed that much of the wall in this area had been carried away to the west.

2A4A16 Lot 16 was a thin layer of compact clay, ranging ir colour from reddish-pink to a dark purple colour and immediately overlay bedrock as well as filling the fissures in the bedrock itself. This
layer was consistent with the type of fill overlying bedrock in excavations coriducted in 1968, for example, sub-operation 2A9F and 2A9G。

Sub-oneration 2A.7A
In 1965, the interior of the guardroom was excavated and given the designation sub-operation 2A'7A. This structure is located adjacent to the east wall of the redoubt and just to the south of the entranceway. In 1965, a hearth base, built at the time of the Inglish occupation, was uncovered and in order to stabilize this feature, as well as the walls of the guardroom, re-excavation of this structure and its' associated hearth base was undertaken. The guardroom was not a parallel sided structure, but narrowed toward the south end, measuring 8.3 ft . wide on the north interior by 7.5 ft . widc on the south. The sides measured 16.4 ft . in length. When re-excavated, the British hearth kase (Fig. 10) was seen to abutt the south wall of the guardroom and extended northward for a distance of 4 It . It measured 5.8 ft . wide at the frorit and 5.3 ft . wide where it abutted the wall. Juring the course of re-excavation, stratigraphy similar to that in 1965 was seen where the digging ceased at that time.

In cleaning the abovementioned profile, several bricks were seen below the level of the British hearth base in the S.E. corner. Subsequent investigation and the decision to remove the hearth base itself revealed a well-preserved brick fireplace built on large, flat limestone blocks (Fig, 1l). This was believed to have been from the original French occupation since the limestone blocks rested on a pad of mortar on bedrock.

The mortar area beneath the linestone and brickwork on the west
side of the fireplace extended north for a distance of 3 ft. from the existing south wall of the guardroom and measured 1.2 ft . wide, maximum, being almost parallel-sided. 'The mortar pad on the east side of the fireplace was more irregularly shared, extending north for a distance of 2.9 ft . from the wall, measuring 1.2 ft , wide at this poirt and 2.1 ft . wide at the wall.

On top of the mortar layer on the west side of the fireplace lay a split linestone slab which extended north from the wall for a distance of 2.4 ft . and measured 1.4 ft . wide maxinum, tapering to the south where it terminated just beneath the bricawork and measured 1.2 ft . wide. On the east side of the fireplace, a single limestone pad sat on the mortar and extended northward for a distance of 204 ft . from the existing south guardroom wall. This stone also tapered toward the south, measuring 2.3 ft . wide at the north and 1.1 ft . wide where it passed beneath the brickwork.

The actual brickwork of the fireplace was set into the south wall of the guardroom as was the brickwork of the hearth remains uncovered in sub-operation 2 AlOC , excavated in 1965. As a result of the fireplace having been built into the wall, the masonry varied in thickness from 0.2 ft . to 0.5 ft . in the actual area of the fireplace. Elsewhere, the south guardroom wall measured 2 ft . in thickness.

On the west side of the fireplace, the brickwork extended north for a distance of 1 ft . from the south wall (on the west side) and on the east side, was set into the wall core for a distance of 0.7 ft. The brickwork itself measured I ft. in width.

The brickwork of the east side of the fireplace extended nortiward for a distance of 0.9 ft . (on the east side) and on the west
side, extended into the south wall core for a distance of 0.7 ft . Here the brickwork also measured 1 ft . in width.

The back of the fireplace was represented by a line of bricks, three rows wide which was recessed into the wall for a distance of 0.7 ft . Ir front of this back wali of bricks, and directly beneath it, was a heavy mortar concentration which extended northward for a distance of 0.7 ft . in the $S . W$. corner of the fireplace and 1.1 ft . in the S.E. corner. Beneath this mortar sheli', and extending to the bedrock within the confines of the fireplace interior, was a sterile ash layer. Interior measurements of the fireplace were 3.3 ft . wide by 1.7 ft . in depth (Fig. 12).

Directly adjacent to the east side of the fireplace and extending along the east guardroom wall for a distance of 10.5 ft . was a stone footer, which, in the S.E. corner of the guardroom, extended out from the wall for a distance of 0.8 ft . and terminated at a distance of 10.5 ft . north of the $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E}$. corner.

2A7Al Lot 2A'7Al constituted a layer of mixed mortar and brick fragments with beach gravel ranging in tinickness from 0.3 ft . at the north end of the sub-operation to 0.5 ft . where the fill overlay the hearth base. This lot proved to be sterile.

2A7A2 Directly beneath lot 1 , imediately in front of the hearth base and along the east side of it, laj another sterile layer of red clay and gravel which was designated lot 2. I'his lot had an average thickness of 0.3 ft .

2A7A3 Lot 2A7A3 was a mixed mortar, clay and gravel layer 0.6 ft. in thickness containing relatively few artifacts. Only one ceranic rim sherd was present in this zone and it has been identified as
being English salt－glazed stoneware．This straturi correlates with Grange＇s 1965 stratigraphy as being an linglish occupation level （Grange 1967：47）。

2A7A4 Beneatil lot 3 was another sterile layer composed of mortar and ash．Within the fireplace itself，this ash layer measured 1.9 ft．in thickness and extended to bedrock．

2A7A5 Finally，a layer of clay and gravel，imediately overlying bedrock and neasuring 0.15 ft 。in thickness was excavated which produced two pipe stem fragments，bone fragments，several wrought iron nail fragments，and one hollow shot shell fragment． Sub－operation 2A8C

This sub－operation was an irregularly shaped excavation at the entranceway of the redoubt on the east curtain wall（Fig．4）。 The excavation measured 7 ft ．on the north， 4 ft ．on the south， 7 ft ． on the east，and 6.5 ft ．on the west side．The excavation of this area was conducted after the foot bridge had been moved and covered that area of the entrance and bedrock on wich the west end of the bridge had rested．

The reasons for moving the bridge were twofold．In the first instance，investigations were conducted in the area covered by the bridge and，secondly，reconstruction of the N．E．corner of the entranceway，which fell within the limits of this sub－operation， was undertaken。

By projecting string lines along the remaining masonry faces of the east curtain wall and the north wall of the entranceway，a point of intersection was obtained which appeared suitable for the N．E．corner of the entranceway．When this sub－operation was
completely excavated and the bedrock flushed clean, nortar stains in the N.E. corner of the sub-operation (where the two strings intersected) gave the investigators further proof as to where the N.E. corner of the entranceway had been originally (Fig. I4).

Similar mortar stains on bedrock in sub-operation 2A3A made possible accurate restoration of the east end of the rorth curtain wall (Grange 1967:88).

Within the previously described limits of sub-operation 2 A 8 C , there was only one uniform layer of brown soil which varied in depth from 0.1 ft . to 0.3 ft . where it had settled in fissures in the bedrock.

Various artifacts were found within this layer and, near the botton there were three lead-glazed coarse earthenware pottery sherds, two of which undoubtedly came from an early l8th century occupation (Cloutier; persorial communication). There were aiso glass bottle fragments, some of which can be placed in a 20 th century context which indicates a rather mixed single layer for this sub-operationo Sub-operation 2A9M

Sub-operation 2A9M constituted removal of fill behind the length of the west curtain wall and was carried out in conjunction with sub-operation 2A4A. Immediately behind the west curtain wall, excavations were continued to bedrock to facilitate reconstruction.

The excavation measured 56.5 ft 。in length, beginning at the back of the face of the S.W. demi-bastion at a point 16 ft . east of the interior of the salient angle and extendirg northward, ending at the south end of sub-operation 2A9F. Fill from sub-operation 2A9M was used to refill the open area of sub-operation $2 A 9 G$, excavated in
1968.

At the south end of the sub-operation it was necessary to extend the excavation limits a distance of 16 ft , east from the interior of the S.W. salient angle due to the severe slope and unconsolidated nature of the rubble fill. Hxcavation limits at the north end of the sub-operation measured 3.75 ft . east of the back of the curtain wall because of the sharp rise of bedrock and stable nature of the curtain wali (Fig. 5).

2A9M1 Lot 1 consisted of a mixture of topsoil and gravel which increased in thickness as it neared the back of the curtain wall. Thickness varied from 0.3 ft . near the upper limits of the excavation to 1.8 ft . near the back of the wall. The highest concentration of artifacts occurred south oi the fallen section of the curtain wall and contained specimens of early earthenware ceramics near the bottom of the layer. Also, a high conceritration of large wrought iron nails was noted in the rampart fill. This artifact concentration would help reinforce the historical evidence for a battery of guns being placed on the ramparts in this area.

2A91i2 Reddish-brown clay and mediun sized rock rubule characterized lot 2 and continued along the entire length of the sub-operation, ending on bedrock at the north end of the sub-operation. Lut 2 measured approximately 1 ft . in thi.ckness throughout and contained some bone and shell fragments.

2A9\%3 A layer of reddish-brown gravel containing a few large wrought nails, brick fragments, and some pipe stem fragments was designated as lot 3. Again, as was the case in the two previous lots, the higher concentration of artifacts came from the south end
of the excavation. Lot 3 varied in thickness from 0.6 ft . at a distance of 16.5 ft . south of the N.W. re-entrant angle to a thickness of 1.8 ft . in the fill behind the S.W. demi-bastion.
$2 \mathrm{~A} 9 \mathrm{M}_{4}$ Lot 4 consisted of a thick layer of gravel and angular rock rubble containing relatively few artifacts. It was this rubble layer, $4 \mathrm{ft} .-5 \mathrm{ft}$. in thickness, which made the fill behind the curtain wall so unstable.

2A9N5 A layer of black clay, averaging 0.3 ft . in thickness lay directly beneath lot 4 and was designated as lot 5. Ihis lot began at the south end of the excavation and continued north for a distance of 42.3 ft . at which point it disappsared. No artifacts were found in this lot.

2A9M6 Lot 6 was the layer imiediately overiying bedrock and measured 0.1 ft . in thickress except in places where it reached irto crevices in bedrock where it was naturally thicker. As was the case in the previous layer, there were no artifacts presert. Sub-o,jeration 2A9N

This sub-operation was a trench, 1.5 ft . wide and 85.5 ft . long immediately behind the north curtain wall. It began at the back of the N.W. salient angle ard continued eastward to where it terminated at the west side of sub-operation 2A9J。 The object of this suboperation was to facilitate capping and stabilizing of the north curtain wall.

2A9N1 Lot 1 consisted of medium sized rubble and brown earth which lay directly behind the north curtain wall. This lot measured approximately $l \mathrm{ft}$. in depth throughout its' entire length. Artifacts in this sub-operation were scanty, consisting of a few large wrought
nails, some fish and animal bones, and three pipe stem fragments. Su'0-oper tion 2AlOJ

Sub-operation 2A10J consisted of two trenches 1.5 ft , wide and approximately $l \mathrm{ft}$. deep running along the outside of the south and west redoubt walls for respective distances of 54.25 ft . and 24 ft . The object of this sub-operation, as was the case in sub-ojeration 2A9N, was to facilitate the cappirg and stabilization of the two previously mentioned walls. In excavating sub-operation 2A1OJ in 1968, lots 1-13 were used in the interior and exterior of the S.W. corner of the redoubt. In order to maintain the continuum be oun the previous year, lots 14 and 15 were utilized. Excavations benind the south wall of the redoubt were designated as 2A10J14 and the excavation behind the west redoubt wall was labelled 2A10Jl5.

2410J14 As was stated previously, this excavation measured 1.5 ft. in width and extended for a distance of 54.25 ft . in length, beginning at the S.E. corner of the redoubt and ending 1.75 ft . west of the S.W. exterior corner of the redoubt. The excavation averaged 1 ft . in depth and fill consisted of medium sized rubble and brown earth which produced no artifacts.

2A1OJ15 Like lot 2A1OJ14, 2A1OJ15 measured 1.5 ft . in width and 1 f't. in depth, beginning at the S.W. exterior corner of the redoubt wall and extending north along the exterior of the west wall of the redoubt for a distance of 24 ft ., ending at the south limit of sub-operation 2 A 9 F . Fill from this sub-operation consisted of red-brown topsoil and medium sized angular rubble containing no artifacts。

Sub-operation 2A10K
A balk in the approximate centre of the redoubt running on a N.- axis was excavated when the interior of the redoubt was reexcavated, ard was designated as sub-operation 2AIOK。 At the north and, the balk measured l.? ft. in width and the N.W. corner of the balk was 23.25 ft . east of the interior N.W. corner of tine redou't. The south end of the balk measured 1.5 ft . wide and its' S.W. corner measured 26 ft . east of the interior S.W. corner of the redoubt. The baik was all that rerained in the interior from axcevations conducted in 1965 and was relatively undisturbed except in a few places where it had been deotruged by heavy equipment winich backfilled the redoubt interior at the erd of operations in 1955. Good stratigraphy was roted throughout the undisturbed parts of the balik, and it was possible to distinguish separate layers even in the disturbed area.

2AIOKI Lot 1 consisted of a sod layer neasuring 0.1 ft . irı thickness where still present. Backfilling in 1965 did not cor.pletely cover the sod layer in some places and as a resuit, much of it was worn away by weather and tourist traffic. Where the sod layer remained, there were no artifacts found.

2A10k2 Lot 2 measured between 0.7 ft , and 0.8 ft . in thichness and consisted of reddish-brown earth mixed with small brick fragments and small stones. A large quantity of fish ard animal bones was found in this lot as well as pipe stem fragments and wrought iron nails. Also present in this lot was one rim sherd of british white saltglazed stoneware dating between 1750 and 1775 (Cloutier; personal communication)。

2Alok 3 Lot 3 consisted of a mixture of brown earth and clay measuring 0.2 ft．in thickness，immediately overlying bedrock． Artifacts uncovered in this lot consisted of aniral and fish bone as well as some bottle glass and pipe stem and bowl fragments． Ceranic material from this lot indicated a mixture of both French and English wares ranging from early l8th century Prench lead－ glazed earthenware to late l8th century English crearware（Moussette； personal communication）． Sub－operation 2A10L

Kemoval of fill overlying all features withir the redoubt constituted sub－operation 2AlOL．Originally the interior was to have been backfilled with sand in order to protect those features uncovered in 1965．Instead of sand，local beach gravels and excavation backfill were used which contained a mixture of artifacts． Except where the fill overlay a leature，the gravels and bacifill extended to bedrock and the overall interior was excavated in one lot．The area excavated as sub－operation $2 \Lambda 10 L$ included all of the redoubt interior as well as sub－operations 2ABA，2A8B，and a distance of 5 ft 。into sub－operation 2A1A。

2AIOLl Lot 1 varied in thickness from 0.05 ft 。in thickness， where bedrock was high，to a depth of 1.3 ft ．in the area of the S．W．interior corner of the redou＇bt where bedrock reached its＇ lowest point．

Artifacts recovered in the fill consisted of fish，amimal，and bird bones as well as a large number of blue mussel shells，pipe bowls and stem fragments，bricks and wrought iron nails．Une small fragnent of English white salt－glazed stoneware dating from the
third quarter of the l8th century was also recovered in the fill ('loutier; personal communication).

## Stratigraphic Synthesis

In all areas excavated during the lyóy fizeld season at lastle Hill, excavations were conducted and lots were assigned as strata became apparent. In all, nine distinct units were excavated in the abovementioned manrer and the desired puryose here is to correlate any relationships tnere might be between tne stratigraphy, as observed, and architectural features present.

The first area to be excavated was that of sub-o eration 2 A 4 A , cuntajning five separate stratigraphic units, one above the other, ending on bedroci. Layer 1 (Iot 2A4A12) consisted of rediish-brown earth and mixed rubole and continued uniformly along the previously unexcavated extent of the exterior of the west curtain wall. It appeared to have been material deposited latterly, from the upper ramparts, after the collapse of the west curtain wall.

Layer 2 which consisted of brownish clay, mortar rubile and stone lying on bedrock north of the collapsed section of the west wall, apyeared to be the result of building activities associated with wall construction during the original French occupation of 1690 (Fig. 2).

Layer 3, consisting of brown clait, iarge rock rubole, and some mortar, was concentrated in front of the fallen section of the curtain wall and appears to have been original rampart fill, deposited sometime between the final French occupation, eriding in $l^{\prime} / 14$, and the time of English repairs, beginuing in $I^{r} / 5$ ?

The grey mortar, sand and medium rubble layer which was concentrated at the south erd of the curtain wall, in conjunctior with the stone footer, was, like layer 2, thought to coistitute building
rubble from the original French occupation of 1690 (Fig. 2).
Finally, layer 5 which imiediately overlay bedrock and consisted of reddish-pink to dark purple clay and was sterile, appeared to have been undisturbed by either the French or English occupations.

Area 2 which was designated as sub-operation 2A9M, was excavated in six lots, one above the other.

Lot 2A9MI which consisted of a topsoil and gravel mixture was seen to contain a variety of artifacts including sherds of earthenware which were of exclusive French use. However, positive identification of this lot is difficult at best and most likely originates during the Erglish occupation of $1 / 5^{5}(-1765$.

Directly beneath this lot, lay lot 2A9M2, characterized by redbrown clay and gravel containing only bone and sheli fragments. This layer is consistent with lot 2A7A2 which is identified as being late English and therefore is considered to be same period (1757-1765).

Lot 2A9M3, which consisted of a layer of red-brown gravel and brich fragments, lay directly beneath lot 2 and the presence of brick fragments suggests a layer into which useless rubble and debris was discarded. Un the basis of this therefore, we suggest that this layer coincides with the late French occupation of $1700-1714$, during which period modifications were made to the original barracks, and a second fireplace, using bricks, was erected.

Beneath lot 3 and extending the full length of the excavation, was a thick layer of angular rock rubble and mixed gravel containing wrought nails and pipe stem fragments. This layer is consistent with fill elsewhere in the ramparts, used during the original construction period of 1690-1700.

Lot 2AM5 consisted of a black clay layer which , roved to be sterile. Ir other excavated areas, this black clay lajer has proven to be contemporaneous with an early French occupation and therefore, was considered to have originated during this period.

Beneath lot 5 was a reddish-pink layer directiy over bedrock which proved to be sterile. As was the case in sub-operation 2A4A, this layer was undisturbed by either the French or English occupations.

The third area to be excavated was that of sub-oneration 2A7A. containing five distinct layers.

The first of these layers was a modern deposition of beach gravel, laid down in $196 \%$.

Beneath this layer lay a sterile layer of red clay and gravel which correlated with lot 2 A 9 M 2 and likely originated during the English occupation period of 1757-1765.

Lot 2A7A3 consisted of a layer of mixed mortar, clay and gravel and was found in conjunction with a hearth base from the British occupation.

Beneath the hearth base, a brick fireplace was uncovered, the centre part of which contained a sterile mortar and ash layer designated as lot 2A7A4. Because of its' position, relative to the hearth base, this layer predates the English occupation and therefore must be assumed to have originated during the late French period (1700-1714) (Fig. 3).

Dark clay and gravel overlying bedrock in the sub-operation constituted layer 2A7A5 and correlated with similar strata in the site. Elsewhere, this layer has been associated with an early French context and therefore should be considered to be similar in this
sub-operation.
The fourth area to be excavated was the balk within the reduubt iriterior designated 2 AlOk . In this sub-operation, three distinct layers were excavated.

Lot 1 was a thin sod layer which proved to be sterile.
Lot 2 consisted of a layer of red-brown earth and grave $\perp$ which cortiained various ceramic artipacts and corresponds to lots $2 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~L}_{4} \mathrm{Al2}$, 2AIOJ15, and 2A8Cl. This layer corresponds with certain British features withir the interior of the redoubt and ceramic materials have been dated during the period of English occupation (1757-1765).

Beneath lot 2 lay a layer of brown earth and dark clay immediately overlving bedrock. This layer corresponds to lots 2A7A5 and 2A9M6 which have been considered as being early French in origin. Unfortunately, artifacts from this lajer present a varied picture. We suggest however, an early Frerch date of 1690 for this laver with later ceramic materials being intmasive.

Sub-operation 2A9N constituted area 5 and consisted of brown earth and medium rubble which correlated with lot 2 AlOJl4. A.lthough not identical to $249 \mathrm{~N} / 4$, we would suggest thet this layer constitutes major rampart fill and therefore should be cunsidered to be French of the 1690-1700 period.

Like the abovemertioned sub-operation, 2A10JI4, consisted of brown earth and niedium sized rubule. Since this lot corresjonds with lot 2A9N1, we have placed this layer in an early french context.

Sub-operation 2A10J15, area 7, consisted of a mixture of redbrown topsoil and angular rubble which corres jonded to lots 2A4A12, $2 A 10 K 2$, and $2 A 8 C l$. Lot $2 A 10 K 2$ is almost certainily a result of the English occupation of $1757-1755$, therefore, we would place this
layer in a similar context.
Sub-operation 2A8C has been designated as area 8. This one laycr excavation of red-brown earth and rubble contained a mixture of artifacts and correlates with $2 A 4 A 12,2 A 10 J 15$, and 2A1OK2. This would place this layer in an English context of 1757-1755. Early earthenware found at the bottom of this layer however, would place it in a late French context of between $1700-1714$ which seems, to us, to be a more accurate interpretation for this laver (Fig. 4).

Finally, area 9, designated as sub-operation 2AlOL consisted of a layer of brown earth and beach gravel known to heve been deposited in 1955. Unfortunately, the brown earth turned out to be bacrifill from 1965 excavations at Castle lill and as a result of this, artifacts were uncovered which spanned the entire occupation period of the site。

Taken area by area, each sub-operation presents itself in a one-to-one correlation as far as lots and layers are concerned. For the overall stratigraphic synthesis of the excavations see Table l.

Juring the course of stabilization at Lastle fill, a rolarcid canera was used to advantage for recording sections of wall which had popped but not collapsed due to frost and water action. In these areas, out-of-place stones were numbered with a felt marner and then a photograph was taken. In this way, any numbered section of wall could be imirediately removed, the core cleaned off and stabilized, and the face could be restored to its' original position without misplacing the face stones.

A mixture of masonry cement and sand, arrived at by the masons and engineering staff, was used exclusively throughout the site for initial stabilization.

Since the abovementioned mixture did not coincide with the colour of the original remaining mortar, being too dark and fine in texture, a final wash of Medusa cement was applied to the joints between the stones and was allowed to cover, partialiy, the face of the stones as it was seen to do in remnants of origiral wall. Into the Kedusa facing, while it was still soft, large amounts of small gravel and large grained sand were $a_{i} p p l i e d ~ b y ~ h a n d ~ t o ~ g i v e ~ a n ~$ overall appearance of the original coarse masonry.

In the case of the west curtain wall, the only actual reconstruction occurred in the central area where the wall had been carried away. Here all wall core renains and building rubble were removed and bedrock was well cleaned of any earth and clay. When this job was conpleted, a line was stretched from the S.W. salient angle, along the remaining wall face, to the N.W. shoulder angle. Along this line the face of the west curtain wall was established. Sinilarly,
a line was extended along the back of the west curtain wail, starting at the wide section of wall to the south and extending nortr. to the back of the N.W. shoulder argle. Tinis line constituted the line on which the back of the wall was to be re-established. urainage pipes were then placed on bedrock and reinforced with linestone covers in the south end of the wall where drainage was a serious problen.

Several courses of stones were laid both along the back and face lines in the central area and the core was built up with stone rubole and large quantities of masonry cement. The central portion was rebuilt in this manner until its' height came into line with already standing masonry. At this point, the face of the standing masonry at the north and south ends of the west curtain wall was raised to the highest point of remaining wall core at any given point. When the desired wall height was attained, the top was capped and Nedusa and sand were applied to the face.

The result was a partially rebuilt, partially stabilized curtain wall with adequate hidden drainage, having a straight face line and solid core. Finally, rampart fill was again banked against the back of the curtain wall until only about 1 ft . along the back was still exposed to view.

Stabilization of features within the redoubt was accomplished with the aid of field photographs and on-the-spot Polaroid photographs. After re-excavation, a feature was thoroughly cleaned and, with reference to photographs, the feature was then taken apart and all loose mortar and rubble beneath was renoved and replaced with masonry cement. The stones of a feature were then $\mathrm{re}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{laced}$ in this matrix and when set, were coated with liedusa cement and small gravel.

Sections of redoubt wall which had bulged were numbered, phutographed, removed and the core behind was cleaned and solidified. lhe bulged sections of wall were then replaced and brought intc line. As was the case with other walls, when stabilized, the top was capped and a finishing coat of Medusa and srall gravel was applied.

Stabilization of the French hearth involved removal of old mortar from between the bricks and then replacing them where they had been. Nedusa cement and small gravei was used exclusively for the stabilization of this feature because of small suriaces irvolved, for example, the narrow spaces between the bricks. As the bording agent was applied, quartities of gravel were pressed into it oy hand to give the proper aged eifect.

Existing flagstones in the ertranceway area were both level and slightly above the height of the foot bridge. Although no eviderce exists as to the original positioning and orientation of the flagstone entranceway, it was hypothesized that the entranceway was indeed level rather than being sloped down to the bridge. With this in mind, the existing flagstones were lifted and the area beneath them was washed. They were then replaced on a bed of masonry cement. Several more flagstones were added at this time to make a more complete picture and were also mortared in the abovementioned marner. Finally, Hedusa and gravel were ap,lied to all surfaces and the fout bridge was replaced and elevated siightly to provide more easy access to the interior.

Investigations in the area of the west curtain wall showed thet What was originally thought to be an internal buttress (Grange 1969:97) was in fact all that remained of original French construction. It appeared that repairs carried out during the Inglish occupation took the form of refacing the west curtain wall where the core was solid and then following this line, rebuilding on more or less solid French wall core。

When operations around the west curtain wall neared bedrock, it was seen that immediately south of the falien section, construction was on solid bedrock wifich accounts for the relatively stable condition of the wall.

Where the west wall had collapsed, investigations showed that although the wall may originally have been constructed on bedrock, large sections had sheared off, likely from the weight of the wall itself and through frost and water action since natural drainage tends toward the S.W. on the west side of Castie Hill.

Work in the entranceway of the fort showed there to have been flagstones mortared to bedrock. Several of these were found in situ and in the course of our stabilization work, these vere cemented in place and several nore were added in order to produce a more consolidated picture in this area (Fig. 13).

In conjunction with the above, ard after the N.E. corner of the entrance was re-established, the foct bridge was replaced ori an axis thought to ne nore in line with the original. At present it jrovides a.dequate access to the interior of the redoubt and ha.s been adjusted so as to be level with the restored flagstones in the entrance.

Tris structure will suffice for the present but it is thought that a more historicaily representative bridge should be irstalled in the future (Orange 1967:49; Plate 15).

Investigations of trails were carried out for future develoment a.t the request of the Park Superintendent and several of these have been outlined. The origiral trail providing acceus to dastie Hill from the town of rlacentia was investigated and seen to terminate in whi.t is now the Jersey side town dump. If this is to be developed for use as a tourist feature, long range plans should include relocation of the dump area.

A second trail leading to a detached guin emplacerient was also investigated. located innediately behind the Castle Hill interpretation centre, this relatively accessible trail leads to a knob of rock, now overgrown, on which stood a secondary battery of guns overlooking the beach of Freshwater. Remnants of dry stone masonry can still be seen here and this area would afford another view of Castle Hill and its' related defences without too much clearing.

Finally, on the easternmost boundary of Castie Hill National Historic Park stands a redoubt, referred to by the French as tine Gaillardin. Investigations of this structure during 1969 showed the structure to be of dry stone masonry, overgrown with shrubs and surrounded by trees. Without too much difficulty this structure can be reached by a short trail imsuediately behind the water tower which serves the town of Jersey Siae. Should a decision be made to develop this structure as a tourist attraction, pians should first we made to stabilize the Gaillardin because of its' deteriorated nature. Local informants told us of a similar structure, restored some years
ago, on U.S. Naval property but investigations failed to turr up and evidence of this structure. Further investigations however migrit prove fruitful if jlans to restore the Gaillardin and its' associated trail are oeing considered for a future date.
fs for the end of the 1969 field season, archaeulogical investigations of the redoubt and surrounding revetment walis were cominleted. Should further park developrent be anticipated in future, however, archaeological investigुations might be advisable for structures ard features related to the defence of Castle Fill.
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Layer
```

Lot
1 Sod
Modern, 20th century 2AlOKl
2 Reddish-brown earth and mixed rubble
English 1757-1765
3 Brown earth and medium rubble French 1690-1700

4 Topsoil and gravel English 1757-1765

5 Brownish clay, mortar rubble and stone
French 1690-1700
6 Brown clay, large rock rubble, and mortar
1/14-1757 deterioration between occupations

7 Grey mortar, medium rubble, and sand
French 1690-1700
$\delta$ Keddish-pink to dark purple clay pre-1690

9 Hed-brown clay and gravel Linglish $175^{7} 7-1^{\prime} / 65$

10 Ked-brown gravel and brick fragrients
French 1700-1714
1i Gravei and angular rock rubile
French 1690-1700
12 Black clay
French 1690-1700
13 mixed mortar, clay and gravel English 1757-1765

2 AgMI

2A4A13
$2 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{Al} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
$2 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{Al} 2,2 \mathrm{ABCl}, 2 \mathrm{AlOK} 2$, 2AIOJIS

2A9N1, 2A1OJ14
-

2A4AI5

2A4Al6, 2A7Aj, 2AlOK3, 2A9M6

2A9M2, 2A7A2

2A9M3

2A9Ni4

2AgM5
$2 A^{\prime} 7 \mathrm{~A} 3$

## TABLE 1 (Cont'd)

Layer ..... Lot
14 Grey morcar and ash ..... 2 F .7 A 4French 1690-1700

15 Beach gravel and earth 2A7Al, 2A1OLI Modern fill 1965 and mixed fill 1690-1959

## -32-
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Map of site 2 A showing linits of excavations with refererce to Sub-operations excavated in 1965 and 1968.

FIGURE 1

DRAWING No. 2A-68-102-4
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Figure 2.
Sub-operation 2 A 4 A , exterior of the west curtain wall after excavation and beiore stabilization.

FIGURE 2

DRAWING No. 2A-70-102-1
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Sub-operation 2A7A, showing a pian view of the French fireplace before stabilization.


FIG. 3
PLAN VIEW OF FIREPLACE
2A7A

## Figure 4.

Sub-operation 2A8C, showing excavation limits and restored flagstones in the entranceway.


FIG. 4
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Sub-operation 2A9M, interior of the west curtain wall after excavation and before stabilization.

## FIGURE 5

DRAWING NO. 2A-70-102-2


Figure 6.
2A-26 M: North end of west curtain wall looking east before excavation. Sub-operation 2ikN.

## Figure 7.

2A-27 N: South end of west curtain wall looking S.E. before excavation. Sup-operation 2A4A.


Figure 8.
2A-7 X: Top of west curtain wall looking south during excavation. Sub-oneration 2A4A.

2A-24 X: Top of west curtain wall looking south at the centre section being rebuilt. Sub-operation 2ALA.


Figure 10.
2A-14 N: Britisin hearth base looking south as uncovered in 1969. Sub-operation 2A.7A.

Figure 11.
2A-18 M: French fireplace looking south as found beneath British hearth. Lot 2A7A4.


2A-10 M: French fireplace restored, before final levelling looking south. Su'b-o eration 2A7A.


## Figure 13.

24-7 T: Flagstones in entranceway looking east. Sub-operation 2A8C.

Figure 14 .
2A-8 T: Kestored N.i. corner of entranceway and flagstones looking west. Sub-operation 2A8C.


