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## NOTICE

The annual reports prepared by the Inductry and Mercinandising Division of the Bureau of Statistics are divided into 4 volumes, as follows: Volume I-The Primary Inqustries, including mining, forestry and fisheries; Volume II-Manufacturing; Volume III Construction; Volume IV - Merchandising and Services.

Volume IV consists of the following parts with individual trade reports listed under each:

## Part I - Wholesale Statistics

A - Wholesale Trade, 254 .
B - Operating Results of Food Wholesalers, 254.
C - Operating Res̀ults of Dry Goods, Piece Goods, and Footwear Wholesalers, 254 .
D-Operating Results of Miscellaneous Wholesalers, (Automotive parts and accessories, drugs, hardware, plumbing and heating equipment), 25 \$.

## Part II - Retail Statistics

E-General Review, $25 \$$.
F-Retadl Trade, $25 \%$.
G-Retail Chain Stores, 25 .
H - Operating Results of Chain Food Stores, $25 \$$.
I - Operating Results of Chain Clothing Stores, 25 ¢.
J-Operating Results of Miscellaneous Chain Stores (variety, drug, furniture), $25 \$$.
*K - Operating Results of Retail Food Stores, $25 \$$.
*L - Operating Results of Retail Clothing Stores, 25 .

- M - Operating Results of Retail Hardware, Furniture, Appliance and Radio Stores, 254.
* N - Operating Results of Filling Stations and Garages, 254.
*O - Operating Results of Miscellaneous Retail Stores, $25 \$$.
P - Retail Consumer Credit, 254.


## Part III - Service and Special Fields

Q-Laundrles, Cleaners and Dryers, $25 \$$.
R-Motion Picture Theatres, Exhlbitors, and Distributors, $25 ¢$.
S-Hotels, $25 ¢$.
T-Sales Financing, 25\$.
U-Farm Implement and Equipment Sales, 25\$.
V - New Motor Vehicle Sales and Motor Vehicle Financing 25ф.
W-Advertising Agencies (Memorandum) $25 \$$.
The reports are punched to permit of flling in a ring binder.

* Biennial reports - not issued for 1951.
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## OPERATING RESULTS - LIST OF REPORTS

WHOLESALE TRADE (1947, 1949 and 1951)

1. Food Wholesalers.
2. Dry Goods, Plece Goods and Footwear Wholesalers.
3. Miscellaneous Wholesalers (automotive equipment, drugs, hardware, heating and plumbing supplies).

INDEPENDENT RETAIL STORES (1944, 1945, 1948 and 1950)

1. Food Stores.
2. Slothing Stores.
3. Hardware, Furniture, Household Appliance and Radio Stores.
4. Filling Stations and Garages.
5. Miscellaneous Stores (country general, restaurants, fuel, drugs, jewellery and tobacco stores).

RETAIL CHAIN STORES (1947, 1949 and 1951)

1. Food Stores.
2. Clahing Stores.
3. Miscellaneous Stores (variety, furniture and drugs).

## OPERATING RESULTS OF CHAIN FOOD STORES

## INTRODUCTION

The following explanatory notes describe certain features of the operating results series contained in this report.

Scope-Chain Store firms are those which operate four or more retail outlets. Chain firms of three trades are covered in this bulletin - grocery stores, combination grocery and meat stores, and meat markets.

Content - This bulletin deals with profit and loss statistics. Average gross and net profits and detailed operating expenses are shown for the most suitable sales-size classes. There were no chain food stores in Newfoundland. Sularies of proprietors of unincorporated companjes have been included with executive salaries. Income tax tabulation was made for incorporated companies only.

In this report "occupancy expense" includes taxes, insurance, mortgage interest, repairs and
depreciation on owned real estate used in the business, together with rental expence on rented premises. Similarly "delivery expense" includes salaries, insurance, licenses, repairs an : maintenance, gas, oil and depreciation of owned equipment, together with expense of contract delivery.

Purpose - The primary purpose of the operating costs surveys is to provide averages on the different phases of business operations against which firms in the same trade may make direct comparisons with their own operating results. They also provide useful information to others interested in the cost of distribution of consumer goods.

Period covered - This report deals mainly with 1951 operations and is the third biennial publication in a series begun in 1947. Certain summary tables show comparative results for 1949 and 1951. The charts show the gross profit components for 1947, 1949 and 1951 by suitable sales-size classifications for each trade.

## SUMMARY OF 1951 OPERATING RESULTS BY TRADES

The principal operating results figures for the chain food store business are summarized in the following table. In analyzing the results of an individual cood chain company, reference should be made to the detailed tables in the appropriate sections of this bulletin. In these sections (grocery, combination and meat) where practicable, operating figures for typical sales-size classifications, in addition to detailed operating ratios, are revealed. Because a chain store is a firm operating four or more retail outlets, the absolute dollar values (average net sales, cost of goods etc.) are shown for a singular purpose only. That is, these dollar values are shown for the purpose of assisting chain store managements to place their respective firms in relation to the average in the appropriate sales-size category. This relationship to the average facilitates a more accurate profit and loss statement ratio analysis.

Average net sales of the three trades, due to the respective peculiarities, ranged from $\$ 785,905$ for meat to $\$ 2,136,087$ and $\$ 26,604,019$ for grocery and combination chain stores respectively. The intcrrelationship of stock turnover rates showed meat stores highest with 44.33 , combination with 18.21 and grocery with 10.60. Gross profits, expressed as percentages of average net sales, showed a small range from $15.53 \%$ to $15.84 \%$ for grocery and meat stores respectively. However, operating expense ratios showed a wider range from $12.67 \%$ for combination stores to $\mathbf{1 5 , 4 0 \%}$ for meat stores. Consequently, combination stores obtained the highest net operating profit ratio of $3.15 \%$ and meat stores, with the comparativeiy highest operating expenses, averaged $0.44 \%$. Grocery stores averaged a net operating profit ratio of $1.41 \%$. For each trade, miscellaneous expense and income netted a slight increase to the net operating profits.

Comparison of Main Profit and Loss Items in Chain Fuod Stores, 1951

| Item | Grocery | Combination | Meat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of firms | 8 | 21 | 8 |
| Average net sales per firm .................................................................... | 2, 136,087 | 26,604, 019 | 785,905 |
| Rate of stock turnover (times per year) | 10.60 | 18.21 | 44. 33 |
| Profit and Loss data (Per cent of net sales): |  |  |  |
| Cirass profit | 15.53 | 15.82 | 15. 84 |
| Oparating expenses | 14.12 | 12.67 | 15. 40 |
| No: operatine prof it .................................................................... | 1.41 | 3.15 | 0.44 |
| Other income | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.08 |
| Alscella neous expense | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.02 |
| Net profit before income tex deduction | 1.55 | 3.34 | 0.50 |



## CHAIN GROCERY STORES

The 8 firms from which reports were received, together operated 129 retail outlets in 1951. It was not practicable, in view of the small number of
firms in this classification, to present a sales-size classification breakdown.

## REVIEW OF 1951 OPERATING RESULTS

## Profit and Expense Ratios

Profit and expense ratios represent portions of the average net sales' dollar. With profits and detailed expense items presented in this form, on a unit basis, a standard is established. To this standard the operating results of grocery store chains, irrespective of the number of retail outlets, might be compared with a high degree of accuracy. In 1951 grocery store chains obtained an average gross profit of 15.53 per cent of average net sales. Average operating expense ratios totalling $14.12 \%$ reduced this figure to a net operating profit of $1.41 \%$. Salaries and wages, amounting to 8.53\%, together with employees' benefits of $0.26 \%$, accounted for almost two thirds of the total operating expense
ratio. Miscellaneous income and expense netted a slight increase to the net operating profit resulting in a net profit before income tax deduction ratio of 1.55\%.

## Inventories and Stock Tumover

Average inventories, expressed as proportions of average net sales of responding firms, increased from $7.13 \%$ at the beginning of the year, to $8.80 \%$ at the end of the year. The rate of stock turnoveraverage of beginning and ending inventories divided into cost of goods sold-was 10.60 , a slight increase over the rate ascertained from the 1949 survey results.

TABLE 1 . Operating Results of Chain Grocery stores, 1951


## Comparison of 1949 and 1951 Operating Results

The principal operating ratios of the 1949 and 1951 surveys are summarized in the following table for the purpose of comparison. Although eight nonidenticul firms reported in each survey, the number of retall outlets of the firms responding in the 1951 survey was almost double the number of outlets shown in the 1949 survey results. Ratios, however, tend to eliminate this difference and may be used with a high degree of reliability when compared with any one firm's results irrespective of the number of outlets.

Profit and expense ratios are expressed as percentages of average net sales and it is therefore difficult to interpret the ratio changes from one year to the nexi without examining the changes in net sales. Because the average net sales figure is an average per firm, it is an impractical figure (as such) to use for year to year comparative purposes due to the distortion by the variation in the number of retail outlets. Therefore, in order to examine the change in average nat sales together with changes in operating ratios, it would be advisable to express average net sales as an average per retail outlet. Ratios are practically unaffected by this condition, therefore sales and operating ratios have a common basis which permits direct comparison. That is, because a profit or expense ratio decreases from one year to the next, it तoes not
necessarily mean that the profit or expense has decreased. However, because the ratios are percentages of the respective net sales, a decrease does mean that the profit or expense item did not increase at as great a rate as net sales. As an example, if net sales increased $10 \%$ from $\$ 1,000,000$ in 1949 to $\$ 1,100,000$ in 1951, and rent showed a proportionate increase from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 5,500$, the rent expense ratio would be identical for both years. However, if rent remained unchanged, or increased less than $10 \%$, the rent expense ratio would show a decrease. It is therefore necessary to note changes in net sales in order to interpret a change in ratios between two years correctly.

Average net sales per retail outlet showed an increase of approximately $20 \%$. Therefore, the slight decline of the gross profit ratios indicates that the average gross protit or margin increased at a rate slightly below that of average net sales. Each of the itemized factors of expense, salaries, occupancy and supplies, showed a slight ratio decline, but the "all other" expense group ratio increased sufficiently to result in a greater total operating expense ratio for 1951 operations. This, together with the slight decline in gross profit, resulted in a substantial decrease in the 1951 net operating profit ratio.

TABLE 2. Main Operating Results Items for 1949 and 1951 Compared
(Items expressed as percentages of net sales)

| Item | $\begin{gathered} 1949 \\ (8 \text { firms }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1951 \\ (8 \text { firms }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross profit | 15. 96 | 15.53 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |
| Salaries | 8.66 | 8. 5. |
| Occupancy | 1.24 | 1. 19 |
| Supplies ... | 0. 66 | -1 49 |
| All other | 2.38 | 3. 91 |
| Total operating expenses | 12.94 | 14.12 |
| Net operating profit | 3.02 | 1.51 |

## CHAIN COMBINATION STORES

A total of 831 retail outlets were operated by the 21 chain combination store firms reporting to this survey. All firms in the two largest sales-size
categories were incorporated companies for which income tax information was tabulated.

## REVIEW OF 1951 OPERATING RESULTS

## Prolit and Expense Ratios

Profit and expense ratios represent portions of the average net sales' dollar. With profits and detailed expense items presented in this form, on a unit basis, a standard is established. To this standard the operating results of combination store chains, irrespective of the number of retail outlets, might be compared with a high degree of accuracy.

In 1951 combination store chains obtained a gross profit ratio or margin of 15.82 per cent of average net sales. Average operating expense ratios totalling $12.67 \%$ reduced this figure to a net operating profit ratio of $3.15 \%$. Miscellaneous expense and income netted a slight increase to the net operating profit resulting in a net profit before income tax deduction ratio of $3.34 \%$. Firms classified in the smallest sales-size category operated
on a gross profit ratio equal to the average ratio of all firms. The ratio for the middle category firms was slightly below and the ratio for the firms of the largest sales-size was slightly above the average for all firms combined. Total operating expense ratios for the three categories ranged from $12.60 \%$ to $15.54 \%$ and varied inversely with the sales-size classifications. Consequently, the net operating profit ratios ranged from $0.28 \%$ in the smallest category to $1.32 \%$ and $3.28 \%$ in the middle and largest categories respectively. Miscellaneous expense netted an increase in each instance to raise the range to $0.34 \%, 1.49 \%$ and $3.47 \%$ for the three respective categories. Income tax reduced the net profits of the middle and largest sales-size firms by $49.0 \%$ and $47.6 \%$ respectively.

## Inventories and Stock Turnover

Average Inventories, expressed as percentages of average net sales of all responding firms, increased from $4.17 \%$ at the beginning of the year to $5.07 \%$ at the end of the year. This trend was common to each of the sales-size categories. The rate of stock turnover-average of beginning and ending inventories divided into cost of goods sold-was 18.21, a slight increase over the rate ascertained from the 1949 survey results. The stock turnover rates of the three categories increased with the sales-size.

TABLE 3. Operating Results of Chain Combination Stores, 1951, by Size of Firm

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { All } \\ & \text { Sizes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 1,000,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 9,999,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 10,000,000$ and over |  |
| Number of firms | 5 |  |  |  |
| Number of stores operated ..................................... | 4 24 | 3.151, ${ }^{92}$ | 87,451.530 | 26,604,019 |
| Average net sales per firm..................................... | 492.551 414.652 | $3,151,092$ $2,680,314$ | 87,451,530 | $26,604,019$ $22,394,093$ |
| Average cost of goods sold ................................... | 414,652 |  |  |  |
| Average inventories per firm. <br> Beginning of year $\qquad$ s | 35,764 | 186,578 | 3,543,233 | 1.109, 717 |
| Per cent of average net sales .......................... | 7.28 | 5.92 | 4.05 | 4.17 |
| End of year ...................................................... | 37, 007 | 193, 931 | 4.368, 850 | . 349406 |
| Per cent of average net sales .......................... | 7.51 | 6.15 | 4.99 | 5. 07 |
| Stock turnover (times per year) ............................ | 11. 40 | 14.09 | 18.60 | 18.21 |
| Profit and loss data (per cent of net sales): |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit $\qquad$ Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries: | 1.15 | 0.58 | 0.30 | 0.32 |
| Executives <br> Other employees | 8.15 | 6. 69 | 7.28 | 7.25 |
| Employees ${ }^{\text {d }}$ be nefits ......................................... | 0.14 | 0. 06 | 0.26 | 0. 25 |
| Occupancy ...................................................... | 1. 33 | 1.79 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| Heat, light and power ....................................... | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Taxes, licenses ....... | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| Repairs and maintenance | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| Depreciation ................. | 0.51 | 0.64 | 0. 50 | 1.10 |
| Supplies | 0.76 | 1.05 0.66 | 1.48 | 0.49 |
| Advertising ...n.................................................... | ${ }^{0.68}$ | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.14 |
| Travelling | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Communication. | 0.79 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
| Delivery ........ | 0.13 | 0.05 | - | 0.01 |
| All other expenses ......................................................................... | 0.69 | 0. 50 | 0.65 | 0.64 |
| Total operating expenses ..................................... | 15.54 | 13.62 | 12.60 | 12.67 |
|  | 0.28 | 1.32 | 3.28 | 3.15 |
| Net operating proflil $\qquad$ | 0.06 | 0. 29 | 0.25 | 0. 25 |
| Miscellaneous ex pense ..................................... | - | 0.12 | 0.06 |  |
|  | 0.34 | 1. 49 | 3.47 | 3.34 |
| Aet profit before income tax deduchon .............. <br> ncome tax | 0. 34 | 0.73 | 1.65 | - |
| Final net profit | - | 0.78 | 1.82 | - |

## Comparison of 1949 and 1951 Operating Results

The principal operating ratios of the 1949 and 1951 surveys are summarized in the following table for the purpose of comparison. Although 21 nonidentical firms reported in each survey, the number of retail outlets of the firms responding increased from 816 to 831 in 1949 and 1951 respectively. Ratios, however, tend to eliminate this difference and may be used with a high degree of reliability when compared with any one firm's results irrespective of the number of outlets.

Profit and expense ratios are expressed as percentages of average net sales and it is therefore difficult to interpret the ratio changes from one year to the next without examining the changes in net sales. Because the average net sales figure is an average per firm, it is an impractical figure (as such) to use for year to year comparative purposes due to the distortion by the variation in the number of retail outlets. Therefore, in order to examine the change in average net sales together with changes in operating ratios, it would be advisable to express average net sales as an average per retail outlet. Ratios are practically unaffected by this condition, therefore sales and operating ratios have a common basis which permits direct comparison. That is, because a profit or expense ratio decreases from one year to the next, it does not necessarily mean that the profit or expense
has decreased, However, because the ratios are percentages of the respective net sales, a decrease does mear that the profit or expense item did not increase at as great a rate as net sales. As an example, if net sales increased $10 \%$ from $\$ 1,000,000$ in 1949 to $\$ 1,100,000$ in 1951, and rent showed a proportionate increase from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 5,500$, the rent expense ratio would be identical for both years. However, if rent remained unchanged, or increased less than $10 \%$, the rent expense ratio would show a decrease. It is therefore necessary to note changes in net sales in order to interpret a change in ratios between two years correctly.

Average net sales per retail outlet showed an increase of approximately $40 \%$. Therefore, the slight increase of the gross profit ratio in 1951 indicates that the average gross profit or margin increased at a slightly greater rate than did average net sales. Each of the itemized factors of expense and the total expenses showed a ratio decline with the exceptions of supplies, which remained of the same order, and the all other expense category, which showed a slight increase in 1951. The ratio decrease of total operating expenses together with an increased gross profit ratio, resulted in a greater net operating profit ratio for 1951 operations. That is, the net cperating profit increased at a greater rate than did net sales.

TABLE 4. Main Operating Results Items for 1949 and 1951 Comparei
(Items expressed as percentages of net sales)

|  | Item | 194 <br> ( 21 firms) | $\begin{gathered} 1951 \\ (21 \text { firms }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross profit |  | 15.60 | 15.82 |
| Opersting expenses: |  |  |  |
| Salaries ............. | .... | 7.99 | 7.57 |
| Occupancy |  | 1.12 | 1.01 |
| Supplies | ....... | 1. 31 | 1.10 |
| Advertising | ...... | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| All other.... |  | 2.22 | 2.50 |
| Total operating expenses |  | 13.13 | 12.67 |
| Net operating profit |  | 2.47 | 3.15 |

## CHAIN MEAT STORES

A total of 43 retail outlets were operated by the eight chain meat store firms reporting to this survey. It was not practicable, in view of the small number
of firms in this classification, to present operating results in a sales-size classification breakdown.

## REVIEW OF 1951 OPERATING RESULTS

## Profit and Expense Ratios

Profit and expense ratios represent portions of the average net sales' dollar. With profits and detailed expense items expressed in this form, on a unit basis, a standard is established. To this
standard the operating results of meat store chains, irrespective of the number of retail outlets, might be compared with a high degree of accuracy. In 1951, meat store chains obtained an average gross profit of 15.84 per cent of average net sales. Average operating expense ratios totalling $15.40 \%$
reduced this figure to a net operating profit ratio $10.44 \%$. Salaries and wages, amounting to $9.82 \%$, together with employees' benefits of $0.14 \%$ accounted inr almost two thirds of the total operating expense ratio. Miscellaneous expense and income netted a slight increase to the net operating profit resulting in a net profit before income tax deduction ratio of $0.50 \%$.

## Inventories and Stock Turnover

Average inventories, expressed as proportions of average net sales of responding firms, increased from $1.63 \%$ at the beginning of the year to $2.16 \%$ at the end of the year. The rate of stock turnover average of beginning and ending inventories divided into cost of goods sold-was 44.33 , a very slight increase over the rate ascertained from the 1949 survey results.

TABLE 5. Operating Results of Chain Meat Stores, 1951


## Comparison of 1949 and 1951 Operating Results

The principal operating ratios of the 1949 and 1951 surveys are summarized in the following table for the purpose of comparison. Only eight firms reported fc: the 1951 survey, compared to 11 respondents in 1949. The total number of retail outlets decreased correspondingly. Ratios, however, tend to eliminate this difference and may be used with a high degree of reliability when compared with any one firm's results irrespective of the number of ontlets.

Profit and expense ratios are expressed as percentages of average net sales and it is therefore fifficult to interpret the ratio changes from one
year to the next without examining the changes in net sales. Because the average net sales figure is an average per firm, it is an impractical figure (as such) to use for year to year comparative purposes due to the distortion by the variation in the number of retail outlets. Therefore, in order to examine the change in average net sules together with changes in operating ratios, it would be advisable to express average net sales as an average per retail outlet. Ratios are practically unaffected by this condition, therefore sales and operating ratios have a common basis which permits direct comparison. That is, because a profit or expense ratio decreases from one year to the next, it does
not necessarily mean that the profit or expense has decreased. However, because the ratios are percentages of the respective net sales, a decrease does mean that the profit or expense item did not increase at as great a rate as net sales. As an example, if net sales increused 10 r: from $\$ 1,000,000$ in 1949 to $\$ 1,100,000$ in 1951, and rent showed as proportionate increase from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 5,500$, the rent expense ratio would be identical for both yeurs. However, if rent remained unchanged, or increased less thin $10 \%$, the rent expense rutio would show a decrease. It is therefore necessary to note changes in net sales in order to interpret a change in ratios between two years correctly.

Average net sales per retail outlet in 1 were more than $50 / 6$ greater than in 1949, Therel_re, the decline in gross the profit ratio in 1951 indicates thit the average gross profit increased at a slightly smaller rate than did average net sales. Each of the itemized fuctors of expense showed a ratio decline for 1951 operations. However, this expense ratio decline was insufficient to offset the effect of the decreased gross profit ratio resulting in a slight decline in the net operating profit ratio from $0.64 \%$ in 1949 to $0.44 \%$ in 1951.

TABLE 6. Aais Operating liesults Items for 1949 and 1951 Compared
(Ite:us expressed as percentages of net sales)


