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## PREFACE

The following report is a study of the Canadian wholesale dry goods trade made by the Internal Trade Branch of the Dominion Bureau of Statistics in cooperation with Canadian dry goods wholesalers who contributed figures of their operations for the year 1938．The purpose of the survey is to make available detailed knowledge of opera－ ting costs insofar as the wholesale davy goods trade is concerned and to furnish execu－ fives of the trade with standards of operating margins and expenses with which they can measure their own results．

Representative dry goods wholesalers and their accountants across Canada were consulted in＇order to ascertain the type of information which could be provided conveniently and on the basis of their advice a questionnaire was prepared and sub－ mitted to 116 leading dry goode wholesale firms．Unfortunately accounting systems vary and certain firms found it difficult to supply some of the cost items requested． For this reason and because other questionnaires were answered incorrectly it was necessary to discard a number of the replies received．Fifty－seven questionnaires in all were used in compiling the tables published in this bulletin．Of this number 22 were from wholesalers carrying a general line of dry goods and all of these were able to give the detailed breakdown of expenses called for in the questionnaire．The remaining 35 returns were from specialty wholesalers．The 14 largest firms in this group；were able to give detailed figures，while the 21 smaller firms reported major group totals only．These were firms with annual sales of less tina $\$ 100,000$ and probably because of the small size of their business they were unable to allocate their expenses to the detailed items of the questionnaire．

The small number of questionnaires which were sufficiently complete to be used placed limits on the comparisons which could be made．For example，it would undoubtedly be of interest to show the operating results of firms of similar size classified according to the province or economic division in which they are located， or the extent of the territory which they sarve．It would be of interest to show the results of the specialty wholesalers according to the specialty lines they handle． But these and other comparisons could not be made without the possibility of dis－ closing．individual operations and it has，therefore，been necessary to confine the study to broad groups．

It has been pointed out that one of the principal objects of studies of this nature is to give executives a standard against which they can measure their own results．The standard offered is not one of perfection but is ration the standard of the＂average＂firm．Against this the executive can compare his own gross margin and items of operating expense．Knowing the peculiarities and requirements of his own business，these tables of．．＂averages＂may assist him in determining what readjust－ monte，if any，could be made in improving his firm＇s position？

The Bureau acknowledges with thanks the assistance of those wholesalers whose care in completing their questionnaires made the present study possible．The report has been prepared under the direction of Mr．Herbert Marshall，BoA，Fo So So， Chief of the Internal Trade Branch，by $M r$ 。 A 。A。Caneron， $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A}$ 。
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## General Line Wholesalers

A sample consisting of twonty-two general line dry goods wholesalers with aggragate sales of $\$ 15,886,395$ submitted detailed inforiation regarding their operating results for 1938. These firms operated on an average gross margin of 19.18 por cent of net sales, had total operating expensas amounting to 18.84 per cont of sales with a resulting net operating profit of 0.34 per cent of sales. Other income to the extent of 1.37 per cent of sales increased the total profit ratio to 1.71 per cent. Stock was turned over at an average rate of 3.23 times during the year.

General and administrative expenses accounted for 8.59 per cent of net sales; selling expenses, 8.21 per cent and, warehouse, handling and delivery expense, 2.04 per cent. The principal general and administrative expenses were salaries of executivas and salaries and wages of general office staff. These two items together accounted for 4,28 per cent of net sales. The remuneration of outside selling force was the largestitam of selling expense amounting to 3,12 per cent of net sales. Expenses of travalling salesmen accounted for another 1.79 per cent. The other principal itams of selling expense were: remunaration of inside sales force and discounts allowed to customers.-Remuneration of staff was the principal item of warchouse, handling and delivery expense, amounting to 1.39 per cent of net sales.

Table 1 gives a breakdom of operating resulta in terms of percentage of net sales for 22 general line dry goods wholesalers classified by size according to volume of sales. The first group includes 6 firms with individual sales of between $\$ 100,000$ and $\$ 499,999$. The total gales of this group amounted to $\$ 1,521,366$. The second group includes 11 firms with sales of froa $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 999,999$, amounting to a total volume of $\$ 7,903,395$. The third group includes 5 firns with individual sales of from $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 2,000,000$, their total volume of sales being $\$ 6,461,634$. The possibility of disclosing individual operations prevented the use of questionnaires of firme with sales exceeding $\$ 2,000,000$ 。

Firms with an annual sales volume of from $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 999,999$ made the highest not profit in 1938. The 11 firms of this group had an average grose margin of 18.97 por cent and an operating expense amounting to 18.29 per cont of net sales. Their net operating profit, therafore, amounted to 0,68 per cent which was increased to 2.01 per cent by the item of "other income," Snaller firms, comprising the group with individual annual sales of from $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 499,999$, recorded a loss on the year'g operations which even their "other income" failed to make up. The firms of this grou, had an average gross margin of 21.69 per cent of net sales. Their operating expensas, however, amounted to 22.93 per cent. Their operations for the year, therefors, resulted in a loss of l. 24 per cont. Kevenue derived from "other income"

## GENERAL LINE DRY GOODS WHOLESALERS

 OPERATING RESULTS FOR 1938
amounted to 0.94 per cent which reduced their loss to 0,30 per cent of net sales. The five firms with individual annual sales of from $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 2,000,000$ had a gross margin of 18.85 per cent, an operating expense of 18.55 per cent and, therefore, a net operating profit of 0.30 per cent. "Other Income" amounting to 1.53 per cent increased the profit on the year's operations to 1.83 per cent of net sales.

In the acoomsanying tables gross margin was computed by deducting the cost of goods sold from the total net.sales. The cost of goods sold was arrived at by deducting the December 31, 1938, inventory from the total of the cost of goods purchased during the year and the inventory as on January 1, 1938. It is axiomatic that the gross margin will be determined by the cost at which merchandise can be purchased and the price at which it can be sold, factors ovar which the individual wholesaler has only a very limited contral Operating expenses on the other hand are factors over which, within certain limits, he can exercise direct control. The importance, therefore, of closely scrutinizing :every itea of operating expense is apparent.

In the accompanying tables operating expenses have been allocated under three main headings: Genenal and Administrative, Selling, and Warehousing and Handling Expenses. Each of these main divisions has been subdivided into a number of minor classifications. Some allowence should be made, however, for variations in reporting specific items due to differences in the organization of reporting firms, their methode of doing business, or their inability to segregate the items requested. For example, in the matter of employees remuneration, it was perhaps difficult for some firas, particularly the smaller ones, to break down the salaries paid on a functional basis because of the varying duties which each employee might diecharge.

Mercantile firms are not built along labour union lines in which it is oftan forbidden for the workmen in one trade to perform tasks of another trade. On the contrary, members of the clerical or shipping staff of a wholesale house may at times functicn as aalasmen while salesmen may be assigned to both inside and outside selling activities or for that matter duties aside from selling altogether. The expenses shown, therefore, represent payments to individuals according to the reporting firms' ideas of their functions and do not necessarily represent the actual cost for the type of work indicated。

## General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses varied inversely with the size of business, averaging 12.63 per cent of sales for the smallest group, 9.08 per cent of sales for the middle size group' and 7.06 per cent of sales 'for the large concerna. This decline in expense for the larger businesses was particularly marked in the item of salaries paid to executives. For every sale of $\$ 100$, executives were paid $\$ 4.87$ by the group of small firms, $\$ 3.20$ by the middle group and $\$ 2.23$ by the group of large firms. Apparently increased sales make it possible to effect economies in this item of expense. But it should be noted that oxecutives of small firms may perform many other duties such as inside selling, the expense of which appears as "remuneration of inside sales force" in the returns of the larger firms.

Another item of general and administrative expence which varied invercely with the size of businese was the rental paid for property used in the business. This does not necessarily mean that rental costs were roduced by incrased sales. It is more likely to indicate that the large firms own their own premises whereas small firms rent. No classification has been made of the contributing firms on the basis of whether they rent or own the property they occupy so that it is impossible to draw any definite conclusions as to the effect of increased sales on rental expenscs. But a firm which own its own premises thereby saving rent mould have expenses inherent in ownership which a tonant company would not have, such as repairs and depreciation,
taxes and insurance. Interest on investwent in real estate is norwally considered in arriving at rental figures, Such interest finds no counterpart in the expenses reported by firms in owned premises. Nevertheless, combined figures for rent, taxes, repairs, depreciation and insurance offer some indication of the way that tenancy costs vary for different sizes of business. For these items the group of small stores expendod 1.95 per cent of net sales; the next group, 1.81 per cent and the group of larger stores, 1.02 per cent. On this basis, there was a definite decrease of expense with increased salus. Professional services, though not a large item in any of the grouls, also decreased with increased sales.

In the case of certain items of generol and administrative expense there were apparently limits to the extent to which increased sales tended to decrease expenses. For example, althougl the remuneration of general office staff decreased from 1.99 per cent in the first group to 1.22 per cent in the second group, there was no further decrease for the largest size group. The item: of light, heat, power and water, postage and excise, and printine and stationery showed very little variation in the three groups. Bad debte provided for or written off, although of minor importance, were higher for the middle group than for the other two.

## Interest

Each firis was asked to report the amount of interest paid on borrowed money. Such interest averaged 0.58 per cent of salos for all general dry goods firms reporting. The group of small firms reported an average of 1.16 per cent; the middle grou ${ }_{j}, 0.37$ per cent and the grouj of large firms, 0.70 per cent.

Interest payments repurted by any fira represents the resultant of two factors: (1) the rate of interest paid and (2) the volume of borrowed money used. Since the first factor is relatively unifcrm whereas the second may vary between wide limite for different companies, it is evident that the average interest expense ratios shown in the tables are significant in that they indicate the relative extent to which borrowed money is used in various sizes of business and they provide a measure against which each firm can compare the extent to its use of borrowed funds with the average for the corresponding size class.

Inclucion of interest on borrowed funds in the total expense ratios introduces a lack of comparability in the final results as between firms operating on their own capital and those making use of borrowed money. Capital is worth fair return whether invested in one's own business or in some outside enterprise. Some allowance for interest on capital investment should be made before a business can be said to yisld a true profit on its operations. Two methods have been used by the Harvard Bureau of Business Research in securing comparability in total expenge ratios.

In one method the item of interest expense is made up of the interest payments actually made for borrowed funde and in addition, an arbitrarily chosen percentage ( 6 per cent) of the firm'som capital investnent. By this means all firms are brought to an approximately comon basis insofar as total expense and net operating profit are concerned, But since the allowance on a firm's own capital does not represent a cash outlay of funds this amount is leter credited back to "Other net incone" before a final net gain or loss for the year is declared.

In the second method an arbitrary percentage ( 6.0 per cent) of the assets (not the capital investruent) of each firm is charged as an operating expense. Actual inter eet payments for borromed moncy are omitted. To include in expenses a percentage on all assete and also to include the actual interest paid on borrowed money would introduce duplications because the asseta include those
smounte or liabilities such as mortgages, bank loans, etc., for which interest is being paid. Therefore, interest actually paid for borrowed money is not considered as an expenge itom but is covered by the 6.0 per cent of total assets which is charged as an expense, whether those assets represent omed or borroweid capital. The assets include-merchandise inventory, investment in omed land, buildings, equipment, cash and securities on hand and accounts receivable. Interest should be earned on these before a true profit can be declared.

But again whils interect on the assets is a true expense, it does not require an actual casin outlay. Having been charged previously as an expense it should be credited back as revenue along with the items included in "other income." But it includes the amount of interest which has been paid for borrowed funde and which was omitted from expenses. Intereet actually paid, therefore, is deducted from the percentage of assets which was regarded as an expense and the remainder is credited to other income.

## MHOLESALE DRY GOODS TRADE, GTNEIAL LINE

Operating Regulte of Firne Classified According to Amount of Annual Sales, 1938
(Showing results when value of assets is considered)

| Expense Item | Total <br> all <br> Firms | Amount of Annual Sales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,000,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 2,000,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of firms reporting | 22 | 6 | 11 | 5 |
| Aggregate sales ................... | \$15,886,395 | 1,521,366 | 7,903,395 | 6,461,634 |
| Average sales | \& 722.109 | 153,561 | 718,490 | 1,292, 327 |
| Net sales | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Net cost of merchandise sold .... | 80,82 | 78.31 | 81.03 | 81.15 |
| Gross margin ................. | 19.18 | 21.69 | 18.97 | 18.85 |
| Operating Expensee - \% of Sales |  |  |  |  |
| Genoral and Administrativo: |  |  |  |  |
| All iteme except interest paid |  |  |  |  |
| on borrowed funds .......... | 8.01 | 11.47 | 8.71 | 6.36 |
| $5.0 \%$ of assets | 2.87 | 3.46 | 2.87 | 2.73 |
| Total | 10.88 | 14.93 | 11. 58 | 9.09 |
| Total selling expense | 8.21 | 8.20 | 7.39 | 9.21 |
| Total handiling expense | 2.04 | 2.10 | 1.82 | 2.28 |
| Total oparating expense | 21.13 | 25,23 | 20.79 | 20.58 |
| Net Profit or Loss | -1.95 | -3. 54 | $-1.82$ | $-1.73$ |
| Other income (including 5.0\% of assets less intarest paid) .. | 3.66 | 3.24 | 3.82 | 3.56 |
| Total Net Gain | 1.71 | -0.30 | 2.00 | 1.83 |

These are the two altornative methode which have been usad by the Harvard Buraau of Bueiness Research in szcuring comparability. In the table on the previous page the second method has been used to readjuet the figures shown in Table 1 . An arbitrary rate of 5.0 per cent of the asaete of the firme in each group is shown as an Iten of the goneral and administrative expense. No separate item for interest on borrowed funds is shown Only the sub-totale are given.

It will be seen in comparing the adjusted figures with those given in Table 1 that the average general and administrative expense and total operating expense are increased to such an extent that all groupe record operating losses. An average of 5.0 per cent of the assets less interest paid on borrowed funde is then included with "othar income" The total net gain is, therefore, the same as that shown in Table 10

## Selling Expenses

It was in itams of selling expens that the group of firms with sales of from $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 999,999$ made their best showing compared with the two other groups. Thair expenses under this hoading averaged 7.39 per cent of net sales. Selling expenses of the group of small firms amounted to 8,20 per cent of net sal.s. The highest eelling expenso of 9,21 per cont was recorded by the group of large firms. One might reasonably expect that selling expense would be reduced with volume. This may be true up to a point beyond which.selling expense is liable to increase and this point, according to the data of the limited number of firms covered by this survey, is between $\$ 1,000,000$ and $\$ 2,000,000$ of annual net sales. It is difficult to say, however, whether or not a wider investigation would bear out this conclusion.

The table also reveals to some extent the method of operation of firms in the three grours. For example, the remuneration of the inside sales force of gmall firms averaged 0.39 per cent of net sales compared with 1.81 per cent and 1.38 per cent for the middle group and group of large firms respectively. It has been pointed out that the item for salarise of executives averaged higher for the small-firm group than for the other two. It is not too much to assume, thernfore, that the lower ratio for the group of small firme in this item of remuneration for inside sales force is due perhaps in part to the fact that their exacutives handle a large portion of their inside sales business.

Remunaration of outside sales force accounted for 4.27 per cent of net sales in the group of small firms, 2.46 per cent in the middle group and 3.65 per cent in the group of large firms. Unfortunately, in order to avoid disclosing individual ojerations it has not been possible to give the detaile of a factor which is most important in influencing this itom, nanely, extont of sales territory. Speaking generally, the larger firms included in this surve, confined their operations to one or two provinces. Many of the smaller firme reporting operated on a national basis. It may be due to this factor of an oxtended sales territury that the remunerntion and expenses of travelling salesmen of small firms are high. A comparison of expenses on the basis of territory covered would have been of value had the number of reporting firms made this possible.

According to Table 1 no brokerage commssions wore paid. None of the 22 reporting firas found it necessary to use the services of brokers.

Advertising is a limited iten of selling expense in the wholecale dry goods trade. For 1988 the advertising of firms in the small grouk accounted for only 0.26 per cent of net sales; 0.27 per cent for the middle group and 0.35 per cent for the group of large firms. Discounts allowed to custoners averaged 0.73 per cent in the group of gmall firms; 0.92 per cent in the middle group and 1.88 per cent in the group of large firms.

## Warehouse, Handling and Delivery Expense

Firms of the midale group which made the highest not profit also recorded the lowest average for warchouse, handing and delivery expense. Their total for these items was 1.82 per cent of net sales. The group of small firms averaged 2.10 per cent while the group of large firms averaged 2.28 per cent.

The most important item of warehouse handing and delivery expense was the remuneration paid to warehouse, shipping and delivery staff. This amounted to 1.60 per cent of net sales for the group of small firms, 1.30 per cent for the midde group and 1.46 per cent for the group of large firms. For the maintenance of delivery trucks the group of small firms had the negligible average of 0.01 per cent of net sales whereas the middle group with 0.12 per cent had the highost. This item is worth noting merely as an indication that few firms maintained their own delivery tracks. Outward parcel postage, freight, etc., averaged 0.21 per cent, 0.20 per cent, and 0.45 per cent for the three groups in order of size. Warehouse supplies averaged 0.15 per cent, 0.04 per cent, and 0.09 per cent.

Warehouse, handling and delivery expense and perhaps a number of items under other headings would be influenced by the volume of "drop shipment" business which a wholesaler transacts. Contributing firms, hozever, were not requested to sujply this information as it is not believed to be of importance in the general line wholesale dry goods trade.

## Not Profit or Loss

Upon deducting the total operating expenses from the gross margin it was found that firms with annual sales of from $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 499,999$ averaged an operating loss of 1.24 per cent of thoir net salos. Those with annual sales of from $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 999,999$ averaged a net profit of 0.68 per cent of net calns and those with annual sales of from $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 2,000,000$ averaged a net profit of 0,30 per cent of net sales. A striking feature of the completed questionnaires was the large number of firms which just managed to "break even," or perhaps recorded a gmall loss. Firms with either a substantial profit or with a large loss were exceptional.

Cooperating firms were asked tu give the amount of their "other income" such as service charg to or advertising allowances collected from customers, commissions earned, interest, discounts earned and other incone incidentel to the business. This item in a number of cases was sufficient to change a loss intu a profit. With "other income" taken into consideration the net loss of the first group was reduced to 0.30 per cent of net sales. The profit of the secund group was increased to 2.01 per cent of sales and to 1.83 per cent of sales in the case of the third group.

## Rate of Stock Turn

It seems logical te suppose that the rate at which a merchant turns over his stock during a year's operations will have sose affect on his operating results. A rapid turnover should effect a saving on capital investment, storage space, and insurance. No table has been prepared on the basis of a classification according to rate of stock turn but the rate of turnover is shown for all clascifications given.

In Table 1 the average rate of stock turn is shown for the 22 firms examined. In the group of firms with annual sales of $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 499,999$ the average stock turn for the year was 2.41 times. In the middle group the average stock turn was 3.15 times while in the group of large firms tha average stock turn was 3.63 times.

The rate of stock turn is the number of times the volume of merchandise on the sholves is sold and replaced. It is measured in the present survey by dividing the net cost of the merchandise sold by the average inventory of merchandise at cost. If, for example, the net cost of merchandise sold during the year is $\$ 100,000$ and the value of the average inventory at cost is $\$ 25,000$, then the rate of stuck turn is 4.0 times a year.. In other words the average inventory of $\$ 25,000$ has been turned over four times. Perhaps it should be emphasized that the rate of stock turn is obtained here by dividing the average inventory into the cost of merchandise sold and not, as is sometimes done, into the net sales. To use the sales figure, which includes gross margin, would give a misleadingly large figure for turnover.

In order to determine the average inventory, questionnaires submitted to the cooperating firme asked for their inventory figures at the beginning and end of the year. These were added together and averaged. But the average inventory computed on the basis of year and inventorise may be considerably above or below the true average inventory carried throughout the year. The inventory taken at one period of the year when business is normally dull or brisk will not be typical. Other records in the Bureau of Statistics indicate that the average of the year-end inventories is approximately 17 per cent below an average figure based on monthly raturns. An adjustment was accordingly made before computing the stock turn to allow for this difference.

## Table 1.-WHCLESALE DRY GOODS TRADE, GFNERAL LINE,

## Operating Results for Firms Clascified According to Amount of

 Annual Sales - 1938 .| Expense Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { Firms } \end{aligned}$ | Amount of Annual Sales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000,000 \\ \text { to } \\ 2,000,000 \end{array}$ |
| Nupper of firms reporting Aggregate sales <br> Average sales | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ \$ 15,886,395 \\ \$ \quad 722,109 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 1,521,366 \\ 153,561 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 7,903,395 \\ 718,490 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 6,461,634 \\ 1,292,327 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Net sales | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Net cost of merchandise sold. | 80.82 | 78.31 | 81.03 | 81.15 |
| Gross margin ................. | 19.18 | 21.69 | 18.97 | 18.85 |
| Operating Results--\% of Sales |  |  |  |  |
| General and Administrative: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries of executives | 2.96 | 4.87 | 3. 20 | 2.23 |
| Directors' fees and expenses. | 0.09 | . 00 | 0.08 | 0.13 |
| staff . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.32 | 1.99 | 1. 22 | 1. 28 |
| Taxes--except income or sales. Rentals for property used in | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.36 |
| business ................... | 0.55 | 1.06 | 0.76 | 0.16 |
| Light, heat, power and water | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.19 |
| Insurance | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.18 |
| Repairs and depreciation <br> (buildings and equipment) | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.32 |
| Bad debts, provided for or written off | 0.64 | 0.50 | 0.86 | 0.41 |
| Profescional services | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| Postage and excise ........... | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.24 |
| Telephone and telegraph ...... | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| Printing and stationery . | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.20 |
| Interest paid on borrowed funds | 0.58 | 1.16 | 0.37 | 0.70 |
| Miscellancous ................. | 2. 60 | 1.19 | 0.60 | 0.48 |
| trative Expenses .... | 8. 59 | 12.63 | 9.08 | 7.06 |
| Selling Expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Remuneration--inside sales force $\qquad$ | 1. 50 | 0.39 | 1.81 | 1.38 |
| Remuneration--outside sales |  |  |  | 1.38 |
| force .................... | 3.12 | 4.27 | 2.46 | 3.65 |
|  | 1.79 | 2.52 | 1.67 | 1.78 |
| Brokerage and commissions paid to others | . 00 | . 00 | . 000 | 1.78 .00 |
| Advertising .................. | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.35 |
| Discounts allowed to customers | 1.29 | 0.73 | 0.92 | 1.88 |
| Miscellaneous selling expense. | 1.20 | 0,03 | 0.25 | 0,17 |
| TOTAL--Selling Expenses ... | 8.21 | 8.20 | 7.39 | 9.21 |

## Table $10-$ - PMOLESALE DRY GOODS TRADE, GPNEKAL LINE--(Cont'd.)

Operating lesulte for Firms Classified According to Amount of Annual
Sale: - 1938.

| Expense Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { Firms } \end{aligned}$ | Amount of Annual Sales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,000,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 2,000,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Warehouse, Handling and Delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Expense: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.11 |
| Outward parcel postage, freight, |  |  |  |  |
| etc. | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.45 |
| Warehouse supplies | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.17 |
| Miscellaneous | -0.07 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.09 |
| TOTAL--Warehouse and Handling Expenses ............. | 2.04 | 2,10 | 1.82 | 2.28 |
| TOTAL, Operating Expenses | 18.84 | 22.93 | 18.29 | 18.55 |
| Net Operating Profit or Loss | 0.34 | -1.24 | 0.68 | 0. 30 |
| Other Incone | 1.37 | 0.94 | 1. 32 | 1,53 |
| Total Net Profit or Loss | 1.71 | -0.30 | 2.00 | 1.83 |
| Rate of Stock Turnover (times per year) | 3.23 | 2.41 | 3.15 | 3.63 |

## Firms Classified According to Profit or Loss

Taile 2 sets out the operating results of general line wholesalers with annual sales ranging from $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 993,983$ on the basis of profit or loss. From this table some indication way be obtained of the expense factore which contributed to the profitable or unprofitable operations of the year.

Questionnaires of only 11 reporting firms with a total sales volume of $\$ 7,903,395$ were sufficiently complete to be used in this comparison. Eight firms reported profits while throe roportad losess. The firme reporting profits obtained better results than those roporting losses both froni the standpoint of gross margin as well as that of operating expense. Their gross margin was 19.57 per cent of net sales, or 2.18 per cent of net sales higher than the gross margin of 17.37 per cent secured by unprofitable firms. In addition to ottaining a better gross margin, profitable firms also operated on an average expense of 17.87 per cent of sales, which was 1.56 per cent less than that of unprofitable firms whose average expense amounted to 19.43 per cent.

A rapid stock turn normally contributes to profitable operations but in the present comparison the advantage, if any, lay with unprofitable firms whose stock turn for the year was 3.31 times. The profitable firms had a stock turn of 3.10 times.

The greatest difference in expense was in the item of salaries paid to exocutives. For this item the profitable firms recorded 2.73 per cent of net sales which was 1.72 per cont lowr than the $1: 15$ per cent recorded by unprofitable firns.

Largely due to this item general and administrative expenses were considerably higher in the unprofitable group than in the profitable group. In fact, many iteme of general and administrative expence, such as remuneration of general office staff, toxes, rent, light, heat, power and water, insurance and ropairs and depreciation on buildinge and equipment were lower in the case of the unjrofitable firms than in the case of profitable ones. On the other hand, cortair iteme of expense mare higher. Bad debte, for example, amounted to 1.21 per cent of net sales compared with 0.73 per cent for profitable firms.

Selling expenses for the profitable grour amounted to 7.49 per cant of net sales. Inside sales force accounted for 2.12 per cent while outside sales force accounted for 2.47 per cent with 1.56 per cent for travelling expenses. Selling expenses of the unprofitable grous were 7.16 per cent of sales. Inside sales force accounted for l.0l per cent; outside sales forco for 2.42 per cent and travolling expenses 1.98 per cent of sales.

Expenses for warehouse, handling and shipping were slightly higher in the case of upprofitable firus, amounting to 2.03 per cent of net sales compared with 1.74 per cent for profitable firms.

TABLE 2--WHOLESALE DRY GOODS TRADE - GENENLAL LINE
Operating Resulte for Firms Classified According to Profit or Loss - 1938 (Annual Sales $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 999,999$ )

| Expense. Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Firms } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Profitable Firms | Unprofitable <br> Firms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of firns reporting Aggragate sales Average sales | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ \$ 7,903,395 \\ 718,430 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 5,742,476 \\ 717,810 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 2,160,919 \\ 720,306 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Net Sales | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Net cost of merchandise sold | 81.03 | 80.43 | 82.53 |
| Gross margin | 18.97 | 19.57 | 17.37 |
| Operating Expenses = \% of Sales |  |  |  |
| General and Administrative: |  |  |  |
| Selaries of executives | 3.20 | 2.73 | 4.45 |
| Directors' fees and expenses | 0.08 | 0.11 | . 00 |
| Remunaration - general office staff | 1.22 | 1. 26 | 1.10 |
| Taxes-except incous or sales .......... | 0,51 | 0,56 | 0.39 |
| Rontale for property used in business.. | 0.76 | 0.85 | 0.52 |
| Light, heat, power and water | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| Insurance | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.24 |
| Ropaire and dopreciation (buildings and equi proent) | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.22 |
| Bad debts, provided for or written off . | 0.86 | 0.73 | 1. 21 |
| Professional sarvices | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| Postage and excise ...................... | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.35 |
| Telephone and tolegraph | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Printing and stationery .................. | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.30 |
| Interest paid on borrowed funds ........ | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.43 |
| Miacellansous | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.60 |
| Expenses | 9.08 | 8.64 | 10. 24 |

## 

Operating Results for Firm Clasgified According to Profit or Loss - 1938
(Amual Sales $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 989,999$ )

| Expense Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Topal } \\ & \text { All } \\ & \text { Firma } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Profitable } \\ & \text { Firms } \end{aligned}$ | Unprofitable Firms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selling Expenses: |  |  |  |
| Remuneration--inside salas force .... n outgide sales force .... | 1.81 2.46 | 2.12 2.47 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & 2.42 \end{aligned}$ |
| Expenses of travelling salesmen Brokerage and comissions paid to | 1.67 | 1.56 | 1.98 |
| others | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| Advertising | 0. 27 | 0.19 | 0.49 |
| Discounts allowed to customers | 0.92 | 0.99 | 0.74 |
| Miscellaneous selling expense | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0. 52 |
| TOTAL - Selling Expenses | 7. 39 | 7.49 | 7,16 |
| Warehouse. Handling and Delivery Expenses |  |  |  |
| Remuneration--ahipping and delivery ataff | 1.30 | 1.24 | 1,45 |
| Mandenance of delivery trucks | 0.12 | 0.15 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,45 \\ & 0,04 \end{aligned}$ |
| Outward parcel postage, freight, etco. | 0.20 | 0.19 | $0.24$ |
| Warehouse supplies ......................... | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.26 |
| Mtscellaneous. | 0.04 | 0. 03 | 0.04 |
| Expenses | 1.82 | 1.74 | 2.03 |
| Total-Operating Expenses | 18.29 | 17.87 | 19.43 |
| Net Operating Profit or Loss | 0.68 | 1.70 | -2.06 |
| Other Income | 1.32 | 1.06 | 2.00 |
| Total Net Profit or Loss | 2.01 | 2.76 | -0.06 |
| Rate of Stock Turnover | 3.15 | 3.10 | 3.31 |

## Specialty Lines

In Table 3 the operating results are shom for dry goods wholesalers of specialty lines as distinct frow the results of general dry goods wholesalers dealt with in Table $l_{\text {. Specialty wholesale firms are characteristically maller }}$ than those handling a general line of dry goods and their gross margins and operating expenses are considerably higher, not altogether because of their small size but to some extent because of the nature of their business.

There were 35 apecialty firme whose questionnaires were used in the survey. Of this number, however, there were 21 firms with individual annual gales of less than $\$ 100,000$ who were unable to give complete answers to all the questiona. Small firms apperently do not find it necessary to keep their accounting records itemized to the sane extent as their larger competitors, and because of their size and the multiplicity of duties which their employees discharge perhaps it is impossible for them to do so. In any cese, as their questionnaires mere
not completed in the itemized form requested the sub-totals only were used.
The questiunaires of only 14 large firis wer completa and their averages are shown in the last column of Table 2. This group of large specialty dry goods wholesalers had a gross margin of 20.51 per cent witin operating axpenses of 21.56 per cent. Thair operating lose, therafore, amounted to 1.05 per cent of net sales. "Other Incume" of 1.27 per cent was sufficient to give thean net profit of 0.22 per cent on the year's operations. The group of 21 specialty wholesslars whose annual sales were less than $\$ 100,000$ had a grose margin of 25.19 per cent, an operating expense of 24.57 per cent and a consequent operating profit of 0.63 per cent which was increased to 1.49 per cent of net sales by "Other Income" Although their operating expenses were considerably higher then those of the larger zifme, neverthelens the substantially larger gross margin of the smaller firms was sufficient to offset the difierence and provide small profit.

Stock turn was elightly faster with larger firms, smounting to 2.19 times compared with 2.15 times for their saeller compotitors.

The classification of "Specialty" wholesaler includes those specialising in knit goods, cottons, piece goods, rayons, silks and velvets, woollens and worsteds, notions and other dry goods. The operating expenses of these specialty firms are not always on the same basis and their problems and the requirements of their varlous lines of business differ according to the line they handle. For example, due to the very nature of their line, firms specializing in notions on the average have higher operating expense and a greater groas margin than their fellow merchants in other lines. It would, therePore, have been most desirable to show these specialty firms classified according to their lins of business, but the number of usable questionnaires received was not sufficient to allow the calculation of representative average operating ratios for different types.

## TABLE 3.--WHOLESALE DRY GOODS TRADE, SPECIALTY LINES

Operating Kesulta of Firms Classified According to Amount of Annual Sales - 1938.

| Expense Item | Total <br> All <br> Firms | Amount of Annual Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \$ 5,223,892 \\ \$ \quad 149,254 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 1,477,751 \\ \hline 70,369 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 3,746,141 \\ 267,581 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Net sales | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Net cost of marchandise sold ................ | 78.16 | 74.81 | 79.49 |
| Gross margin | 21.84 | 25.19 | 20.51 |
| Operating Expenses--\% of Sales |  |  |  |
| General and Administrative: |  |  |  |
|  | - |  | 3.46 |
| Directors! fees and expenses ................. | - | - | . 00 |
| Remuneration--general office staff......... | - | -- | 1.52 |
| Taxes--except income or sales $0.0 .0 . . . .$. | - |  | 0. 29 |

TABLE $30-$-HHOLESALE DRY GOODS TKMDE SPECIALTY LINES--(Cont'd.)
Operating Reaults of Firms Clagafiad According to Amount of Anmual Sales-1938。

| Expense Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { All } \\ & \text { Firms } \end{aligned}$ | Amount of Annual Salos |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ |
| Operating Expenses--Sof Sales--(Cono) |  |  |  |
| General and Administrative: |  |  |  |
| kentals for property used in business | - | - | 1.29 |
| Light, heat, power and water .............. | - | - | 0.08 |
| Insurance Repaire and depreciation (buildings and | - | - | 0.37 |
| equipment) ...................... | - | - | 0.07 |
| Bad debts, provided for or written off... | - | - | 1.21 |
| Profassional services .................... | - | - | 0.18 |
| postage and excise | - | - | 0.22 |
| Telephone and tolegraph .................. | - | - | 0.21 |
| Printing and stationery ......0.0.0.0.... | - | - | 0.25 |
| Interest paid on borrowed funds | - | - | 1.58 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | 0.89 |
| TOTAL--General and Administrative Expenses | 13.12 | 16.93 | 11.62 |
| Selling Expenses: |  |  |  |
| Remuneration-inside sales force ......... " outside sales force ........ | - | - | $1.82$ |
| Expenses of travelling salesmen .......... | - | - | 0.94 |
| Brokerage and commissions paid to others . | - | - | 0.29 |
| Advartising ................................ | - | - | 0.22 |
| Discounts allowed to customers ........... | - | - | 0.81 |
| Miscellaneous selling expense ............ | - | - | 0.57 |
| TOTAL--Selling Expenses ............... | 7.72 | 6.43 | 8.23 |
| Warehouse, Handling and Delivery Expense: |  |  |  |
| Kerauneration--shipping and delivery staff. | - | - | 1.01 |
| Maintenance of delivery trucks ........... | - | - | . 00 |
| Outward parcel postage, freight, etc..... | - | - | 0.49 |
| Warehouse supplies ........................ | - | - | 0.17 |
| Miscellaneous .............................. |  | - | 0.04 |
| - TOTAL-Warehouse and Handling Expenses | 1.57 | 1.21 | 1.71 |
| TOTAL, Operating Expenses | - | 24.57 | 21.56 |
| Net Operating Profit or Loss ................. | - | 0.63 | -1.05 |
| Other Income . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.15 | 0.86 | 1.27 |
| Total Net Profit or Lose | - | 1.49 | 0.22 |
| Kate of Stock Turnover (times per year) - | 2.18 | 2.15 | 2.19 |

## Firns Clasgified According to Profit or Loss

There were 21 specialty dry goods wholesale firms with annual sales of less than $\$ 100,000$ able to give totals but not able to break down their expenges into the items requested. Thess firms have been divided in Table 4 into two groups, those which operated profitably and those which operated at a loss. The better results of the profitable firms were due to their gross margin of 28.85 per cent of net sales which was 10.12 per cent higher than the gross margin of 18.73 per cent which was obtained by the unprofitable firms.

Operating expenses of profitable firms amounted to 25.02 per cent of net sales compared with 25.76 per cent in the case of unprofitable firms. The general and administrative exponses of profitable firis were slightly lower than unprofitable firms but thei: larger selling, and warehousing, shipping and handling expenseg more than offset this difference.

The rate of stock turn for profitable firms was 2.27 times compared with 2.08 times for unprofitable firms.

## TABLE 4.--WHOLESALE DRY GOODS, SPECIALTY LINE

Operating Results for Specialty Line Wholesale Dry Goods Firme Classified According to Profit or Loss, 1938.
(Annual Sales lese than $\$ 100,000$ )

| Expense Item | TOTAL All <br> Firms | $\begin{gathered} \text { Profitable } \\ \text { Firms } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unprofitable } \\ & \text { Firms } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of firms reporting Aggregate sales Average sales | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ \$ 1,477,751 \\ \$ \quad 70,369 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 944,249 \\ 78,687 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 533,502 \\ 59,278 \end{array}$ |
| Net sales | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Net cost of merchandise sold | 74.81 | 71.15 | 81.27 |
| Gross margin | 25.19 | 28.85 | 18.73 |
| Operating Expenses--\% of Sales |  |  |  |
| TOTAL-Goneral and Administrative Expenses | 16.93 | 16.74 | 17.27 |
| TOTAL--Selling Expenses .................... | 6.43 | 6.86 | 5.65 |
| TOTAL-Warehouse and Handling Expenses .... | 1.21 | 1.42 | 0.83 |
| TOTAL--Operating Expenses .................. | 24.57 | 25.02 | 23.76 |
| Net Operating Profit or Loss | 0.63 | 3.82 | -5.03 |
| Other Income | 0.86 | 0.99 | 0.64 |
| Total Net Profit or Loss | 1.49 | 4.81 | -4.39 |
| Rate of Stock Turnover | 2,19 | 2.27 | 2.08 |

NOTE: Further breakdom of expense items was not available.

## Middle Range Comparigons

The foregoing tablea show the arithmatic averages of the co-operating firms. That is to say, the dollar totale of each expense iter of a group have been expressed as a percontage of the aggregate sales of that group. It will be realized that even one contributing firm with abnormally high or abnormally low figures for any item would unduly affect the averages thus obtained. The total figures for the group in which that firm is included would be out of line with the other firms in the group and the result to that extent would not be representative. In Table 5 this difficulty is overcome by the use of "גiddle Range" figures which eliminate firms reporting exceptionally high or low figures for any item.

The method of compiling the table of middle range figures diefers from the method used in computing arithmetic averages. For the purpose of explanation we may consider the classification of Gensral Line Wholesalers with annual sales of from $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 999,999$. The reports of 11 firme were used in this clase. To obtain the arithmetic averages the salas of these 11 firms were added togethef. The total of each expense item was then taken for the 11 firms and exprossed as a percentage of the total sales of the group. But in computing the middle range figures show in Table 5 , the questionnaire of each contributing firin was considered separately. Each and every item of that questionnaire was expressed as a percentage of that particular firm? sales without relation to any of the other contributing firms. These 11 comanies could then be compared with each other item by itern

The middle ranga and "median" figures for this group were then obtained as follows: To obtain the median of salaries of executives the 11 questionnaires were arranged in ascending order according to the amount of the percentage which had been computed for each for this item. For example, the salaries paid to executives by raporting firm "A" may have amounted to 0.75 per cent of net sales; of firm "B", 0.87 per cent of net sales; of firm "C", l. 34 .per cent of net sales and so on. When the eleven questiomaires had been arranged according to the amount of this item it was found that the questionnaire in the middle of this list of eleven, or the sixth firm in ascending order, reported executives salarise of 2095 per cent of net sales. This, then, was the median given in the table. Out of the group of 11 reporting firms there were 5 reporting a higher and 5 repurting a lower percentage of net sales paid as salaries to executives. The 11 questionnaires were thon rearranged to obtain the median of the next iten of expense in the same way. Each itern in the table, therefore, is the percentage of net sales which was expended for that item of expense by the firm appearing in the middle when the 11 firme were arranged in order for that particular item.

To obtain the total median and quartile figures of General Line Wholesalers, the questionnaires of the 22 firms were arranged in order. The median shown in the table for each item is the average of tha returns of the two middle firms. The 22 questionnares pere arranged as before for each item in order of the size of the percentage reported for that item. The percentage of the firm which is sixth in the ascending order when thus arranged is the lower quartile, while the percentage of the firm which is seventeenth in the ascending order is the upper quartile. The figures for the first five firms, or the five firms reporting the lowest percentage exponse, and the figures for the last five firins or the five firms reporting the highest percentage of expense for each item are, therefore, throm out. The middle figure or median is given and upper and lowr quartiles are the upper and lower limits of the middle half of the reporting firms.

Theoretically the median figures for each item represent the percentage which the common or typical firm expended for that item. If one has a large number of firme to work with this chould be practically correct. In the present case, where there are su few firms reporting it is well to check true medians of Table 5 with the arithmetic averages of the previous tables. Whils the figures of the two types of table are not expected to agree, nevertheless, the large difference in the case of a few items is sufficiont to merit a word of explanationo

For example, the iten "Maintenance of delivery trucks," while not large, neverthelers, does account for a small expense in the arithmetic tablea whereas it is given as 0.0 per cent in Table 5 . The ex ianation of this is that the majority of firms reporting did not maintain any delivery service and, therefore, incurred no expense in this connection. In a seruence of firms for this item the midde firm would, therefore, be ons having no expense for delivery truck maintenance. In the same way other apparent discrepancies or vide variations in the two types of table may be accounted for.

Finally it should be pointed out that the sub-totals and the totals of operating expense are comuted in the same way as each item. They are not the sum of the medians shown but arc in themselves medians.

A copy of the questionnaire conpleted by contributing firms is appended.

| Item | GENERAL LTNE |  |  |  |  |  | SPECIALTY LINES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Annual Sales (thousands of dollare) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL, All Firms |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Firms } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Lower Quartile | Median | Upper Quartile | Medians |  |  | Medians |  |
| Number of firms |  | 22 |  | 6 | 11 | 5 | $35$ |  |
| Net sales ..... | 100,00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100,00 | 100,00 | 100,00 | 100.00 | $100,00$ |
| Net cost of merchandise sold ....... | 81.83 | 80.10 | 77.45 | 77.70 | 81. 29 | 79,69 | 80.35 | 80.83 |
| Gross margin | 18.17 | 19.90 | 22.55 | 22.30 | 18.71 | 20. 31 | 19.65 | 19.17 |
| Operating Expenses--\% of Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General and Administrative: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries of executives | 2.33 | 3.79 | 4,41 | 5.06 | 2.95 | 1.97 | - | 2.76 |
| Directors' fees and expenses ........ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | - | 0.00 |
| Remuneration--general office staff | 1.06 | 1.42 | 1.73 | 1.89 | 1. 34 | 1.20 | - | 1.65 |
| Taxes-except incone or sales ..... | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.66 | 0.30 | 0.66 | 0.35 | - | 0. 24 |
| Kentals paid--(a) All firns ........ | 0.02 | 0.65 | 1.11 | 1.06 | 0.59 | 0.02 | - | 1.14 |
| (b) Firms in rented premisec only | 0.58 | 0, 77 | 1.18 | 1.06 | 0.73 | 0.19 | - | 1.14 |
| Light, heat, power and water ....... | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.20 | - | 0.04 |
| Insurance ........................... | 0.16 | 0,22 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.16 | - | 0.27 |
| Repairs and depreciation (buildings and equipment) | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.34 | - | 0.02 |
| Bad debts provided for or written off | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.71 | 0.52 | 0.40 | 0.48 | - | 1.02 |
| Professional services ............... | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.06 | - | 0.16 |
| Postage and excise ................. | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.24 | - | 0.22 |
| Telephone and telegraph | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.12 | - | 0.23 |
| Printing and stationery ............ | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.24 | - | 0.24 |
| Interest paid on borrowed funds .... | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.93 | 1.01 | 0.24 | 0.74 | - | 1.10 |
| Kiscellaneous .................... | 0.29 | 0.62 | 1.01 | 1.09 | 0.48 | 0.29 | - | 0.76 |
| TOTAL--General and Administrative <br> Expences | 7.80 | 9.85 | 11.59 | 12.94 | 9.64 | 7.80 | 13.89 | 10.48 |

Middle Range Figures of Expense Items by Size of Business


## Extent of tholesale Dry Goods Trade

In 1930, at the time of the last. decennial census there were 1,176 firme in Canada, excluaive of shoe: anci other fontwear, engaged in the wholesale dry goods and apparel trade with total armun sales anounting to $\$ 212,716,700$. Table 6 shows the number of firms and annual sales by kind of business and by type of operation.

All types are roughly classifind into two main groups designated "Wholesaler"E Proper" and "Other Wholesalerso" There were 500 "Wholesalere Proper" incliding the regular wholagalors such as wholesale merchants and importers who usually perform the complete functions of wholesalers, such as extending credit, buying in bulk and selling in broken lots, providing warehousing and delivery facilities, soliciting orders through salesmen and furnishing market information. Included with these wholesalers proper are also cash and carry wholesalers, dro, shippers, mail order wholesalers and wagon distributors but they are unimportant in the Canadian trade。 There were 576 "Other Wholesalers" including district sales offices, general sales offices, manufacturers" sales branches, export merchants, various types of agents and brokers such as auction companies, comission agents, buyers' and sellers' brokers, chain store warehouses, etc.

The present report is concerned with the operations of "Wholesalers Proper" and does not deal with "Other Wholesalers." The ropur is further restricted to four kinds of business; namely, dry goods--general line; dry goods--(specialties n.e... $s_{0}$ ); notions; and piece goodso

From Table 6 it will be noted that the total sales for wholesalers proper in the four lines of business covered amounted to $\$ 77,907,400$ in 1930. Total sales of firms reporting to this survey of operating expenses amounted to $\$ 21,110,287$ for 1938. On making allowance for the different level of sales in 1930 and 1938, the coverage of sales in this report may be estimated at between 30 and 35 per cent.

Certain data regarding operating expense were obtained in the 1930 Census but many firns were unable to give accurate or detailed information on this subject. Data furnished by firms for payroll and rent, however, were generally complete. Table 7 show the operating expenses for wholesalers proper in the dry goods and apparel trade for 1930. Wholesalers of shoes and other footwear are not included. The figures for wholesalers of Dry Goods (General Line) are of particular interest. It will be noted that the operating expenses in 1930 of 78 establishments with annual net sales of $\$ 40,592,900$ amounted to 18.64 per cent of net ales. The result of the investigation of 1938 operating expenses as shown in Table 1 reveals that during 1938 the operating expenses of 22 general dry goods wholesalers with annual sales of $\$ 15,886,395$ amounted to 18,84 per cent of net sales.

Table 6u--Wholesale Dry Goods and Apparel Trade by Kinds of Business and Type or Operation

| Kind of Business | Total <br> Firms |  | Wholesalers Proper |  | All Other Tholesaler: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \$ | No. | \$ | No. | [. $\$$ |
| TOTAL | , 176 | 212, 716,700 | 500 | 92,574,900 | 576 | 0 |
| Clothing and furnishings (other than millinery and foorwear) | 280 | 34,247,500 | 104 | 11,897,400 | 176 | 22,750,100 |
| Clothing (goneral line)................................. | 11 | 652,900 | 8 | 471,800 | 176 3 | $181,100$ |
| Clothing and furnishinge | 43 | 5,989,400 | 28 | 4,426,100 | 15 | $1,563,500$ |
| Clothing, men's and boys ...... | 33 | 2,183,800 | 11 | 772,700 | 22 | 1,411,100 |
| Clothing, women's and children's | 51 | 3,567,700 | 14 | 578,600 | 37 | 2,989,100 |
| Clothing (secondhand) | , | 61,000 | 4 | 61,000 | 37 | 2,08, 100 |
| Furnishings (ganeral line) . | 26 | 8,124,300 | 10 | 1,919,900 | 16 | 6,204,400 |
| Furnishings, (mens and boys ) ..... | 48 | 5,794,000 | 10 | 1,434,100 | 38 | 4,359,900 |
| Furnishings, (women's and children s) | 14 | 1,019,300 | 3 | 137,100 | 11 | 882,200 |
| Furs and fur clothing Hate and caps | 9 | 507,600 | 3 | 61,000 | 6 | 446,600 |
| Hate and caps Hosiery | 18 | 2,726,800 | 7 | 1,571,200 | 11 | 1,155,600 |
| Hosiery <br> Other Clothing and furnishings | 8 | 2,366,100 | 1 |  | 7 | ( X ) |
| Dry goods (general line) .......... | 15 | 1,254,600 | 5 | (X) | 10 | ( X ) |
| Dry goods (general line) .... Dry goods (specialty, n.e.s.) | 142 | $51,338,900$ | 89 | 41,648,200 | 53 | 9,690,700 |
| Dry goods (specialty, n.e.s.s. | 95 | 21,402,400 | 37 | 4,425,400 | 58 | 16,977,000 |
| Other dry goods | 77 | 19,569,700 | 29 | 3,570,500 | 48 | 15,999,200 |
| Millinery and millinery supplies | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notions |  | 5,790 | 29 | 2,770,100 | 63 | 3,020,700 |
| Buttons |  | 11,673,900 | 85 | 5,480; 400 | 34 | 6,193,500 |
| Gloves |  | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{X}) \\ 1,717,100 \end{gathered}$ | 2 6 | ( |  |  |
| Laces and embroideries | 16 | -905,800 | 12 | 1,514,500 | 4 | $202,600$ |
| Notions (general line) | 79 | $\begin{array}{r} 905,800 \\ 8,447,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 794,900 \\ 2,680,500 \end{array}$ | 4 25 | $\begin{array}{r} 110,900 \\ 5,767,300 \end{array}$ |
| Tailors trimmings and supplies | 13 | (x) | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $2,680,500$ <br> (x) |  | $5,767,300$ <br> ( X ) |
| Piece goods | 348 | 88,263,200 | 156 | 26,353,400 |  |  |
| Cotton goods | 36 | 27,172,300 | 8 | $(x)$ | 28 | (X) |
| Linens ................... | 11 | 673,300 | 5 | 320,400 | 6 | 352,900 |
| Piece goods (general line) | 126 | 20,714,300 | 65 | 10,415,100 | 61 | 10,299,200 |
| Rayone ............ | 13 | 6,865,800 | 2 | (X) | 11 |  |
| Silks and velvets .... | 43 | 12,881, 300 | 20 | 5,165,700 | 23 | 7,715,300 |
| Woollens and worsteds | 119 | 19,956,500 | 56 | 7,726,400 | 63 | 12,230,100 |

(X) Figures witihneld to avoid disclosing individual ojerations.

## Curpent Trends

The last complete consus was taken for 1930 in which year dry goods wholesalers (including notions and piece goods) recorded sales of $\$ 77,907,000$. Although no subsequant census has been taken, surveys are made each year and on the basis of samples of representative firme indexes of sales are computed which show the genaral trend of the trade. Following the year 1930, sales fell off and in 1933 had dropped to $\$ 47,693,000$. The sales indox for that year stood at $61.2(1930=100$. ) The index rose to 70.3 in 1934; 71.6 in 1935; 74.8 in 1936; and 82.6 in 1937.

This gradual improvement in the trade received a set back in 1938, however, during evary month of which sales of dry goods wholesalers fell below sales for the corresponding months of 1937. At the end of December, it was found on the basis of monthly reports receive: at the Bureau from sample firms acrose Canada that sales for the year ware 10.9 per cent below sales for 1937, a decrease which was recorded in evary econonic section of Canada.

Table 7 Operating Expenses for Wholesalers Proper
in the Dry Goods and Apparel Trade
Canada - 1930

| Kind' of Businesa | Total <br> No, of <br> Estab: <br> 1iehments <br> Repon- <br> ting | No. of <br> Proprie tors | Salaries and Wages of Employees | Total Expe (including ries and wa <br> Amount | nses <br> sala. <br> ges) ${ }^{2}$ <br> \% of <br> Net <br> Sales | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { Sales } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL ${ }^{(1)}$ | 437 | 370 | 7.648, 000 | 15,140, 300 | 17.12 | 88, 433,400 |
| Clotining and furnishings (other tian millinery and footwear) $\qquad$ | 89 | 85 | 851,200 | 1,825,000 | 16.28 | 11,211,200 |
| Dry goods (general. line) | 78 | 72 | 4,062,300 | 7,567,700 | 18.64 | 40,592,900 |
|  | 27 | 30 | 230,900 | 527,600 | 16.92 | 3,119,000 |
| Millinery and millinery supplies .................. | 29 | 22 | 289,000 | 604,800 | 21.83 | 2,770,100 |
| Notions | 74 | 73 | 541,500 | 1.119,000 | 21.73 | 5,148,700 |
| Piece goods | 140 | 88 | 1,673,100 | 3,496,200 | 13.66 | 25,591,500 |

(1) Not all firms wre able to raport operating expenses. This table is based upon information furnished by those firms which could supply the necessary data.
(2) Not including proprietors' salaries.

## SPECIAL AHALYGIS OF OPELATING RESULTS OF THE RHOLESALE TRADE, 1938

Please note that all replies will be treated as strictly confidential. Average resulte only will be shown.

All figurde given in answer to the questions on this scheduln should relate to the calendar year, 1938, or to your fiscal yoar most closely conforming to that period。

1. GKOSS SALES
$\$$
$\qquad$
2. DEDUCIIONS--(Do not itemize separately)
(a) Returns from and allowances to customers.
(Note--Do not deduct cash discounts taken by customers which will be shown as an expanse)
(b) Freight, postage and othar iteas charged to custumer: and included in amount of gross sales.
(c) City or provincial sales taxes, if any.
(d) Dominion sales taxes paid by licensed wholesalers.

TOTAL DEDUCTIONS . .................................................
\$ $\qquad$
3. NET SALES-- (Item (1) minus Item (2) ....................................... \$ $\qquad$
4. COST OF MERCHANDISE SOLD.
(a) Inventory, January 1, 1938, or nearest inventory date \$ $\qquad$
(b) Value of merchandise purchased during year (Include duty, inward freight, express or truckage and other expenser incidental to receipt of goods. Do not deduct cash discounts taken which will be shown as "other income." Include manufacturing wages, if any)
\$ $\qquad$
(c) Total of (a) and (b) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\qquad$
 $\qquad$
(e) Cost of goods sold, Item (c) minus Item (d) .......................... $\qquad$
5. GROSS PROFIT ON SALES (Net sales less cost of goods sold)

Item (3) minus Iten 4(e)
\$ $\qquad$

7. TOTAL INCOME-- (Iten (5) plus Item (6) ......................................... $\qquad$

## 8. OPERATING EXPENSES:

## (a) General and Administrative Expenses:

1. Salaries of executives--(Include buyers) ..... \$
2. Directors' fees and expenses ..................... \$
3. Salaries and wages of general office staff ... \$
4. Taxes (property and business, but no income
nor sales taxes) ............................................
5. ikent ar other occupancy expenses paid for all
rented property used in the business ..... \$
6. Light, heat, power and water ................... \$ $\qquad$
7. Insurance (fire, theft, liability, compensation insurance on employees, etc.) ....... \$ \$
8. Repairs and depreciation on buildings and
equipment--(Do not include depreciation
on dolivary trucks or salesmen's cars)... \$
9. Provision for bad debte or amounts actually
written off during the year $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. \$
10. Professional services (legal, auditing, etc.) \$ $\qquad$
11. Postage and excise .................................. \$ $\qquad$
12. Telephone and telegraph .......................... $\qquad$
13. Printing and stationary ............................ $\qquad$
14. Interest paid on borrowed funds--(Include bond and mortgage interest--Do not include interest on own investments.)
$\qquad$
15。Miscellaneous . ........................................... \$ $\qquad$
TOTAL General and Administrative Expenses ................... \$
(b) Selling Expenses:
15. Salaries, commissions and bonuses paid to
inside sales force .......................... $\$ ~$
16. Salaries, connissions and bonuses paid to
outside sales force ........................... $\$ 1$
17. Expenses of travelling salesmen (Include allowances for automobiles, gas and oil, depreciation, repairs, insurance, etc., on owned cars)
\$
(b) Selling Expenses: (Cont'd。)
18. Brokerage fees and commissions paid to
others .................................
\$ $\qquad$
\$ $\qquad$
$\stackrel{*}{3}$ $\qquad$
19. Miscrllaneous selling expense ...........

TOTAL Selling Expense . ........................................ $\$$ $\qquad$
(c) Warehousn llanding and Delivery Expense:

1. Salaries and wages of shipping, receiving and stock taking staff and truck drivers
$\$$ $\qquad$
2. Maintenance of delivory trucke--(Include gas, oil, depreciation, repairs, licenses, insurance, etc.) ............................. \$
$\qquad$
3. Outward parcel postace, freight, express
and trucking paid to outsiders ........... \$ $\qquad$
As Warohouse supplies (paper, twine, packing, etc. . . . .......................................... \$ $\qquad$
4. iniscellaneous ........................................ \$ $\qquad$
TOTAL Warehousu and Handling Expense ................... \$ $\qquad$
Total Openating Expense
\$

5. NET PFOFIT--(Beiors making provision for Dominion Incone tax)

Item 7 minus Item 8(d)
\$ $\qquad$
10. What is the extent of territor. covered by your sales?
(a) Within a radius of 25 miles
(b) Provincial
(c) Region of two or three provinces
(d) Dominion wide
11. Please give general description of the merchandise carried by your firm:
(a) General line of
(Indicate whether groceries, dry goods, hardware, etc.)
or
(b) Specialty line of -
(Name chief specialty lines carried)
(1)
(2)
(3)
12. What was the total value of the assets of your firm at the and of 1338, including a reasonable valie for land, buildings and equipment owned, merchandise invantory, improvenente on leased properties, accounts and notes receivable and cash on hand? $\qquad$
13. State tite number of propristors engag ia the businesa and whose galaries or renumeration is not included in the answer to Question 8(a) No $\qquad$
14. Give any special comments regarding the business transacted by your firm which you think might ascist us in the preparation of a composits report giving average operating results.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Period sowared by above Itguseas
to 193

Nene and title of person :umishing inPomation:

Name

Title (accountant, etc.)

Address

1010738499
1010738499

