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## NOTICE

The annual reports prepared by the Industry and Merchandising Division of the Bureau of Statistics are divided into 4 volumes, as follows: Volume I-The Primary Industries, including mining, forestry and fisheries; Volume II-Manufacturing; Volume III Construction; Volume IV - Merchandising and Services.

Volume IV consists of the following parts with individual trade reports listed under each:

## Part I-Wholesale Statistics

A - Wholesale Trade, $25 \$$.
B-Operating Results of Food Wholesalers, 254.
C-Operating Results of Dry Goods, Piece Goods, and Footwear Wholesalers, 254 .
D-Operating Results of Miscellaneous Wholesalers, (Automotive parts and accessories, drugs, hardware, plumbing and heating equipment), 25 .

## Part II - Retail Statistics

```
E-General Review, 25c.
F-Retail Trade, 25$.
G-Retall Chain Stores, 25%.
H-Operating Results of Chain Food Stores, 25%.
I -Operating Results of Chain Clothing Stores, 25$.
j -Operating Results of Miscellaneous Chaln Stores (variety,
        drug, furniture), 25$.
* K - Operating Results of Retail Food Stores, 25$.
-L -Operating Results of Retail Clothing Stores, 25$.
*M-Operating Results of Retail Hardware, Furniture, Appliance
        and Radio Stores, 254.
    *N - Operating Results of Filling Stations and Carages, 25$.
    *O-Operating Results of Miscellaneous Retall Stores, 25$*
    P - Retail Consumer Credit, 25$.
```

                                    Part III - Service and Special Fields
    Q-Laundries, Cleaners and Dryers, \(25 \$\).
    \(\mathbb{R}\)-Motion Picture Theatres, Exhibitors, and Distributors, \(25 \$\).
    S-Hotels, 25 .
    T-Sales Financing, \(25 \phi\).
    U - Farm Implement and Equipment Sales, 25¢.
    V - New Motor Vehicle Sales and Motor Vehicle Financing 25\$.
    W - Advertising Agencies (Memorandum) 25\$.
    The reports are punched to permit of flling in a ring binder.

- Blennial reports - not issued for 1951.
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## OPERATING RESULTS - LIST OF REPORTS

WHOLESALE TRADE (1947, 1949 and 1951)

1. Frood Wholesalers
2. Dry Goods, Plece Goods and Footwear Wholesalers
3. Miscellaneous Wholesalers (Automotive Parts and Accessories, Drugs Hardware, Plumbing and Heating Supplies)

INDEPENIFFNT RETAIL STORES (1944, 1945, 1946, 1948 and 1950)

1. Food Stores
2. Clothing Stores
3. Hardware, Furniture, Household Appliance and Radio Stores
4. Filling stations and Garages
5. Miscellaneous Stores (Country General, Restaurants, Fuel, Drugs, Jewellery, and Tobacco Stores)

REIAIL CHAIN STORES (1947, 1949 and 1951)

1. Food Store Chains
2. Clothing Store Chains
3. Miscellaneous Chain Stores (Variety, F'urniture, Drugs)
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## 1951

## INTRODUCTION

The following explanatory notes deseribe certain features of the operating results series contained in this report.

## Scope

This study is confined to wholesalers proper, i.e. those wholesalers performing the full selling, warehousing and delivery functions. Agents, brokers, drop shippers and other specialized types of wholesale distributor are not included. Three separate trades are covered-dry goods, piece goods, and footwear wholesalers.

## Content

Nost amphasis has beern flaced on the presentation of profit and loss statistics. Average gross and net profits and detailed operating expenses are shown for the most suitable sales-size ranges, Operating expenses appear separately for selling, warehouse and delivery, and administrative and general departments. The sustomary profit andloss details are supplemented by information on other related operating features including inventories, rate of stock tumover, credit sales and receivables, and floor space used. Wherever possible, a table showing regional figures has been prepared. Reports from Newfoundland wholesalers are not includad in this survey. Proprietors'salaries were included with administrative salaries. an income tax tabulation was made for incorporated companies only. Middle range figures for gross and net profits, and for three main functional divisions of expense, introduced in 1949, are contained in this report. Results for one-half of all firms fell within the range shown for each item, while one-quarter of the firms fell on vither side of the range limits indicated. Because each Item was treated singly, the surn of net profit and the expense itens does not necessarily equal gross profit in those tiables showing middle range data.

## Purpose

The primary purpose of the operating costs surveys is to provide averages on Rite different phases of business operation against which fimis in the same trade nay make direct comparisons with their own operating results. They also provide useful information to others internsted in the cost of distribution of consumer goods.

## Period Covered

This roport touls largely with: 1951 operations and is the third biennial publication in a series begun in 1947. Certain summary tables show indentical firm results for 1949 and 1951. The charts show the gross profit components for 1947, 1949 and 1951 by suitable sales size classifications for each trade.

## SUMMARY OF 1951 RESULTS BY TRADES

The following summary table shows the principal operating resultsfigures for the three kinds of wholesale business covered in this report. In analyzing the performance of an individual wholesale company.
reference should be made to the more detailed tables appearing in appropriate sections of this report. In these tables, variations arising from differences in sales size and geographic locations are revealed.

Operating Results of Dry Goods, Piece Goods and Footwear Wholesalers Compared, 1951

| Item | Dry goods | Piece goods | Footwear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of firms | 57 | 66 | 25 |
| Average sales per firm ................................................................. | 1,685,435 | 477,331 | 369,552 |
| Stock turnover (times per year) | 4.33 | 3.36 | 4. 25 |
|  | Per cent of net sales |  |  |
| Gross proflt | 16.96 | 15. 19 | 14.07 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |
| Selling expense. | 4.94 | 4.54 | 4. 55 |
| Warehouse and delivery expense. | 2.22 | 1.84 | 2. 26 |
| Administrative and general expense.. | 6.70 | 7.43 | 7.01 |
| Total operating expenses | 13.86 | 13.81 | 13.82 |
| Net operating profit | 3. 10 | 1.38 | 0.25 |
| Other trading income | 0.80 | 0.57 | 0.38 |
| Miscellaneous Expense | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.51 |
| Net profit before income tax deduction | 3.53 | 1. 76 | 0. 12 |
| Credit sales ............................................................................... | 96.65 | 96. 10 | 95.34 |
| Sales to retail stores ...................................................................... | 92. 34 | 36.62 | 95.00 |

Extreme caution should be observed to avoid somparing absolute dollar values (average sales, cost of goods etc.) published in this report, with corresponding items of individual establishments or firms. These values are averages of items reported by both single and multiple establishment firms and are "firm" averages, not "establishment" averages. Consequently, a concentration of multiple establishment firms in a specific trade will result in an
abnormal average for that trade, Ratios, however, may be used with a high degree of reliability when applied to either an establishment or a firm. The survey was designed primarily to obtain operating ratios to which both single and multiple establishment firms might compare their operating results. Absolute values are shown only for the purpose of assisting the wholesaler to place his firm in relation to the average inthe appropriate sales size category.

Average sales ranged from $\$ 369,552$ for footwear wholesalers to $\$ 477,331$ and $\$ 1,685,435$ for piece goods and dry goods wholesalers respectively; the same relative positions as occurred in 1949. Differences in average sales are primarily due to peculiarities of each specific trade, with respect to both kind of goods sold and number of establishments per firm. The interrelationship of stock turnover ratios was the same, with dry goods wholesalers obtaining the highest, 4.33, and piece goods wholesalers showing the lowest, 3.36. Dry goods wholesalers averaged the highest gross profit or margin ratio ( $16.96 \%$ ) of net sales; piece goods wholesalers showed $15.19 \%$, but footwear wholesalers only obtained $14.07 \%$. Because of the magnitude of the ratios the differences appear slight, but the total operating expense ratio for each trade was almost identical in each instance. Therefore, the net operating profit ratios took the same relative order as the
gross profit ratios, with dry goods highest with $3.10 \%$, piece goods second with $1.38 \%$ and footwear lowest with $0.25 \%$. Each trade showed one of the ratios of the three functional divisions of expense as highest. Dry goods showed the highest selling expense ratio of $4.94 \%$. piece goods the highest administrative and general expense ratio, $7.43 \%$ and footwear the highest warehouse and delivery expense ratio, 2.26\%.

Average credit sales, expressed as a percentage of average net sales, indicate that dry goods wholesalers' ratio of $96.65 \%$ was the highest and footwear wholesalers' ratio of $95.34 \%$ was the lowest. Piece goods wholesalers sold about two thirds of their merchandise to large industrial users, whereas dry goods and footwear wholesalers sold mainly to retail stores.

## DRY GOODS WHOLESALERS

Of the 57 firms reporting, 43 were incorporated, and the remaining 14 were comprised of four individual proprietorships and 10 partnerships. Only eight firms operated more than one establishment. Sales to
retail stores made up $92.3 \%$ of total sales; the remaining $7.7 \%$ was almost entirely to other wholesalers and large users.

Description of Reporting Firms

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { sizes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 250,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 250,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 2,999,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,000,000 \\ \text { and over } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Number of firms | 15 | 19 | 15 | 8 | 57 |
| Form of organization: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual proprietorship | 2 | 2 | - | - | 4 |
| Partnership | 4 | 5 | 1 | - | 10 |
| Incorporated company | 9 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 43 |
| Number of firms operated as: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single establishroent | 15 | 17 | 12 | 5 | 49 |
| Muitiple establishment | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| Distribution of sales: |  |  |  |  |  |
| To retailers ................................................... \% | 93.83 | 94.77 | 90.50 | 92.87 | 92. 34 |
| To other wholesalers and large users ............... \% | 6.17 | 3.57 | 9. 50 | 5. 49 | 6.58 |
| To others ......................................................... \% | - | 1.66 | - | 1.64 | 1.08 |

## REVIEW OF 1951 OPERATING RESULTS

## Profit and Expense Ratios

Profit and expense ratios represent portions of the average net sales dollar. Because average profit and expense figures per firm are expressed as percentages of average net sales per firm, it is necessary to take into account the rate of change of these average net sales when comparing ratios applicable to different surveys. When comparing 1951 results with 1949 , a period of increasing prices, the increase of average net sales per firm has a decreasing effect on profit and expense ratios. If a decrease occurs in the profit or expense ratios, it does not necessarily mean the amount of profit or expense is less; but does mean the average profit or expense did not increase at as great a rate as average net sales. That is, if net sales increased $10 \%$ from $\$ 1,000,000$ in 1949 to $\$ 1,100,000$ in 1951, and rent showed a proportionate increase from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 5,500$, the rent expense ratios for the two years would be identical. However, if rent remained unchanged, or increased less than $10 \%$, the rent expense ratio would show a decrease. To interpret a change in ratios between two years correctly, it is therefore necessary to note changes in net sales. During this phase of analysis the utmost caution should be taken to avoid interpreting the average net sales per firm as typical of an establishment. Averages are shown only to indicate central tendency in sales size ranges as a guide to individual wholesalers wishing to compare their results. Ratios reduce the effect of multiple establishment firms and may be used with a high
degree of accuracy as typical of the trade. The effects of normal sales variations and changes in price levels also tend to be eliminated when results are expressed as ratios. Therefore, ratios of two surveys may be compared, but the rate of change of average net sales per firm should be noted.

Dry goods wholesalers obtained an average gross profit of 16.96 per cent of average net sales. slightly larger than the 1949 ratio. This indicates that the average gross profit increased at a greater rate than average net sales. This condition was experienced in each of the sales size categories except the second, which showed a slight decrease from $16.08 \%$ to $15.89 \%$. A slight increase from $13.39 \%$ in 1949 to $13.86 \%$ in 1950 , occurred in the total operating expense ratio. Of the three components; administrative and general expense, which accounts for almost hall of total expense, decreased slightly to $6.70 \%$. Selling expense and warehouse and delivery expense each increased slightly to $4.94 \%$ and $2.22 \%$ respectively. The only significant exception from the average occurred in the third sales size category where the warehouse and delivery expense ratio decreased from $2.59 \%$ to $1.79 \%$, a decrease sufficient to cause a slight decline in the total expense ratio. Miscellaneous expense and income netted an increase to the net operating profit of $3.10 \%$ resulting in a ratio of net profit before fncome tax of $3.53 \%$.

## Inventories and Stock Turnover

Average inventories, expressed as ratios of average net sales of responding firms, increased from $17.86 \%$ at the beginning of the year, to $20.46 \%$ at the end of the year. This trend was common to all sales
size categories. The rate of stock turnover-average of beginning and ending inventories divided into cost of goods sold - was 4.33 for all dry goods wholesalers, a slight decline from the 1949 rate.

## Credit Sales and Receivables

Although the first (the smallest) and third sales size categories showed a slight decrease in the proportion of credit sales to net sales, the average of all dry goods wholesalers increased from $96.40 \%$ in 1949 to $96.65 \%$ in 1951. Accounts outstanding, as at

December 31, 1951, were 12.55 per cent of credit sales. The largest and smallest proportions, $16.06 \%$ and $11.19 \%$, appeared in the first and fourth sales size categories respectively.

TABLE 1, Operating Results of Dry Goods Wholesalers, 1951, by Size of Firm

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { sizes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 250,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 250,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 999,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 2,999,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000,000 \\ & \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Number of firms reporting | 15 | 19 | 15 | -8 | 57 |
| Average net sales per firm........................................... \$ | 143,629 | 54 7, 600 | 2, 040, 709 | 6,612,544 | 1,685,435 |
| A verage cost of goods sold ............................................ \$ | 118,902 | 460, 569 | 1,696, 456 | 5,474,209 | 1,399,558 |
| Stock turnover (times per year) .................................... | 3.39 | 3.80 | 4.05 | 4.71 | 4.33 |
| Average beginning inventory $\qquad$ \$ <br> Per cent of average net sales. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33,375 \\ 23.24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116,231 \\ 21.22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 402,498 \\ 19.72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,051,141 \\ 15.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 300,976 \\ 17.86 \end{array}$ |
| Average ending inventory $\qquad$ \$ <br> Per cent of average net sales $\qquad$ | 36,689 $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 4}$ | 126,206 23.05 | 435,933 21.36 | $1,271,343$ 19.23 | 344,877 20.46 |
| Profit and Loss Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit | 17. 22 | 15.89 | 16.87 | 17. 21 | 16.96 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selling expense: Salaries | 2.92 | 3.86 |  | 3.93 |  |
| Travelling | 1.03 | 0.97 | 0.71 | 0.60 | 0. 69 |
| Advertising | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.41 | 0. 33 |
| Other selling expense ........................................ | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.13 |
| Total selling expense | 4.12 | 5.06 | 4. 74 | 5.05 | 4.94 |
| Warehouse and delivery expense: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages .................. | 1.73 | 1.42 | 1. 30 | 1.85 | 1.63 |
| Maintenance of delivery equipment Outward freight | 10.67 0.11 | 0. 121 | 0.12 0.23 | 1.85 0.22 |  |
| Warehouse supplies | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.15 |
| Other warehouse and delivery expense | 0.05 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.09 |
| Total warehouse and delivery expense | 2. 72 | 2.39 | 1. 79 | 2.42 | 2. 22 |
| Administrative and general expense: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries ............... | 4.52 | 3. 28 | 3. 76 | 3. 28 |  |
| Employees' benefits Occupancy | 0.03 1.55 | 0.26 1.09 | 0.23 0.81 | 0.24 0.71 | 0.24 0.80 |
| Light, heat, and power | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Taxes ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.09 |
| Insurance ${ }^{1}$ | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.16 |
| Office supplies | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.19 |
| Communication | 0.21 | 0. 20 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0. 23 |
| Bad debts - amount written off | 0.53 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.09 | 0. 23 |
| All other expense | 1.05 | 1.03 | 0.92 | 1.36 | 1.18 |
| Total administrative and general expense | 8.88 | 6. 92 | 6. 91 | 6.46 | 6. 70 |
| Total operating expenses | 15. 72 | 14.37 | 13.44 | 13.93 | 13. 86 |
| Net operating profit |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other trading income Miscellaneous expense | 1.80 0.29 | 0. 0.32 | 0.57 0.49 | 0.96 0.31 | 0.80 0.37 |
| Net profit before income tax deduction................ | 2.01 | 1. 92 | 3.51 | 3.98 | 3.53 |
| Misceilaneous Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credit sales: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average per firm <br> Per cent of average net sales | $\begin{array}{r} 129,453 \\ 90.13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 530,734 \\ 96.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,952,142 \\ 95,66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,451,198 \\ 97.56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,628,804 \\ 96.65 \end{array}$ |
| Accounts outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average per firm ....................................................... \$ | 20, 790 | 65, 174 | 281, 304 | 721, 889 | 204,415 |
| Per cent of average credit sales | 16. 06 | 12. 28 | 14.41 | 11.19 | 12.55 |
| Sales per 1.000 sq . ft. of floor space............................ \$ | 49,794 | 47,401 | 58,172 | 90,706 | 71,268 |

1. Excludes amount attributed to real estate which is in occupancy expense.

## Regional Results

Gross trading profit ratios of dry goods wholesalers in the four major regions of Canada, ranged from $15.33 \%$ in Ontario to $18.18 \%$ in the Prairie Provinces. Ontario and the Prairie Provinces also
showed the lowest and highest total operating expense ratios, $12.88 \%$ and $15.22 \%$ respectively. The British Columbia wholesalers obtained the highest net trading profit ratio of $3.60 \%$.

TABLE 2. Operating Results of Dry Goods Wholesalers, by Regions, 1951


## Range Figures

The range figures, introduced in 1949, indicate to what extent the average is typical for each sales size category. This allows an individual wholesaler to assess the operations of his business with the average more accurately. That is, if the ratios of a specific item for a group of wholesalers vary con-
siderably, the range figures indicate the wide spread necessary to contain the middle $50 \%$ of the firms; conversely, if the ratios tend to be clustered, the range figures would indicate the middle $50 \%$ of the firms grouped closely about the average.

TABLE 3. Average and Middle Range Ratios of Dry Goods Wholesalers, 1951

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  |  |  |  | Total all sizes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 250,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 250,000 \\ t 0 \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 2,999,999 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Average | Middle range | Average | Middle range | Average | Middle range | Average | Middle range |
|  | Per cent of net sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Frofit | 17.2 | 14.0-20.3 | 15.9 | 2.3-20.2 | 16.8 | 15.5-19.0 | 16.9 | $13.2-20.0$ |
| Selling expense ............................... | 4.1 | $2.6-6.3$ | 5.1 | $3.2-6.3$ | 4.7 | $3.2-5.7$ | 4.9 | 3.2-6.3 |
| Ware house and delivery expense .... | 2.7 | $1.7-3.7$ | 2.4 | $1.4-2.9$ | 1.8 | 0.9-2.6 | 2.2 | $1.2-3.1$ |
| Admintstrative andgeneral expense | 8.9 | $6.7-12.3$ |  | 5.6-8.9 | 6.9 | $5.8-8.3$ | 6.7 | 5.8-10.4 |
| Net operating profit ......................... | 1.5 | $0.6{ }^{\underline{1}} 2.7$ |  | 0.6-2.8 | 3.4 | 1.5-5.5 | 3.1 | 0.6-3.3 |

[^0]
## Incorporated Companies 1951

Comparison of the profit and expense ratios of the incorporated firms only, with all firms, indicated that the differences in the ratios were negligible. Net profit before income tax, expressed as a pro-
portion of net sales, was $3.64 \%$ and $3.53 \%$ for "incorporated firms" and "all firms" respectively. Income tax reduced the incorporated firms' ratio of $3.64 \%$ to $1.91 \%$, a decrease of $48 \%$.

TABLE 4. Operating Results of Incorporated Dry Goods Wholesalers, 1951, By Size of Firm

| Item | Firms with 1951 Sales of |  |  |  | Total stzes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 250,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 250,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 2,999,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000,000 \\ & \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| (Per cent of net sales) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gmoss profil .......................................................... | 17.80 | 16. 19 | 16. 88 | 17.21 | 17.03 |
| Uperating expenses ............................................... | 15.82 | 14.63 | 13.28 | 13.93 | 13.81 |
| ivet operating profit .............................................. | 1.98 | 1.56 | 3.60 | 3.28 | 3.22 |
| Uther trading income | 1.03 | 0.66 | 0.55 | 0.96 | 0.81 |
| Aliscellaneous expense .......................................... | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.53 | 0.31 | 0.39 |
| Net profit before income tax deduction .................. | 2.71 | 1. 85 | 3. 62 | 3.93 | 3. 64 |
| Income tax ........................................................... | 0.72 | 0.72 | 1.64 | 1.95 | 1.73 |
| Minal net profit .................................................... | 1.99 | 1.13 | 1.98 | 1.98 | 1.91 |

## Comparison of 1949 and 1951 Identical Firm Results

The following table serves as confirmation that the trends and conclusions deduced from comparing
average data of all responding firms apply as had identical firm data been used.

TABLE 5. Operating Results of Dry Goods Wholesalers, 1949 and 1951 Compared

| Item | All respondents |  | Identical firms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1949 | 1951 | 1949 | 1951 |
| Number of firms $\qquad$ <br> Average net sales per firm $\qquad$ \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 1,473,476 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \\ 1,685,435 \end{array}$ | 1,737,100 | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 1,811,608 \end{array}$ |
| Gross profit | 15.73 Per cent of net sales |  |  | 16. 74 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Selling expense.... | 4.40 | 4.94 | 4.31 | 4.74 |
| Warehouse and delivery expense <br> Administrative and general expense | 2.18 6.81 | 2.22 6.70 | 2.17 6.75 | 2.18 6.58 |
| Total operating expenses ...... ...............................................s..... | 13.39 | 13.86 | 13.23 | 13.50 |
| Net operating profit.................................................................................. | 2.34 | 3.10 | 2. 50 | 3.24 |

## OPERATING RESULTS OF DRY GOODS WHOLESALERS

 GROSS PROFIT=NET PROFIT + SELLING + WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY + ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES 1947-1949-1951

## PIECE GOODS WHOLESALERS

Of the 66 firms reporting, 40 were incorporated and the remaining 26 were comprised of 16 partnerships and 10 single proprietorships. Only 5 operated
more than one establishment. The sales distribution ratios indicate that the greater proportion of sales was made to industrial users.

Description of Reporting Firms

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { sizes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 200,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000,000 \\ & \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Number of Firms ................................................ | 20 | 27 | 11 | 8 | 66 |
| Form of organization: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual proprietorshlp | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| Partnership | 4 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 16 |
| Incorporated company .. .................................. | 13 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 40 |
| Number of firms operated as: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single establishment | 20 | 23 | 11 | 7 | 61 |
| Multiple establishment................................... | - | 4 | - | 1 | 5 |
| Distribution of sales: |  |  |  |  |  |
| To retailers ..................................................... \% | 35.06 | 43.94 | 47.68 | 25.49 | 36.62 |
| To other wholesalers and large users ............... \% | 64.67 | 55.55 | 52.32 | 74.51 | 63.21 |
| To others ...................................................... \% | 0.27 | 0.51 | - | - | 0.17 |

## REVIEW OF 1931 OPERATING RESULTS

## Profit and Expense Ratios

Profit and expense ratios represent portions of the average net sales dollar. Because average profit and expense figures per firm are expressed as percentages of average net sales per firm, it is necessary to take into account the rate of change of these average net sales when comparing ratios applicable to different surveys. When comparing 1951 results with 1949 , a period of increasing prices, the increase of average net sales per firm has a decreasing effect on profit and expense ratios. If a decrease occurs in the proflt or expense ratios, it does not necessarily mean the amount of profit or expense is less; but does mean the average profit or expense did not increase at as great a rate as average net sales. That is, if net sales increased $10 \%$ from $\$ 1,000,000$ in 1949 to $\$ 1,100,000$ in 1951, and rent showed a proportionate increase from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 5,500$, the rent expense ratios for the two years would be identical. However, If rent remained unchanged, or increased less than $10 \%$, the rent expense ratio would show a decrease. To interpret a change in ratios between two years correctly, it is therefore necessary to note changes in net sales. During this phase of analysis the utmost caution should be taken to avoid inter-
preting the average net sales per firm as typical of an establishment. Averages are shown only to indicate central tendency in sales size ranges, as a guide to individual wholesalers wishing to compare their results. Ratios reduce the effect of multiple establishment firms and may be used with a high degree of accuracy as typical of the trade. The effects of normal sales variations and changes in price levels also tend to be eliminated when results are expressed as ratios. Therefore, ratios of two surveys may be compared, but the rate of change of average net sales per firm should be noted.

Piece goods wholesalers obtained an average gross profit or margin of 15.19 per cent of average net sales on 1951 operations, slightly less than the 1949 ratio of $15.93 \%$. Gross profit ratios of the typical sales size categories ranged from $12.74 \%$ to $18.56 \%$ in the fourth (the largest) and second categories respectively. Total operating expense ratios also showed a large range, from $11.65 \%$ to $17.88 \%$ for the third and second categories respectively. The average operating expense ratio for all piece goods wholesalers was 13.81 per cent of average net sales.

Administrative and general expense accounted for more than half of total operating expense, and selling expense approximately a third, whereas warehouse and delivery was a comparatively very small proportion. The third sales slze category showed the
largest net operating profit ratio, $3.31 \%$, and the first category showed the lowest, $0.04 \%$. Miscellaneous expense and income netted an increase to the net operating profit of $1.38 \%$, resulting in a ratio of net profit before income tax of $1.76 \%$.

## Inventories and Stock Turnover

Average inventories, expressed as a percentage of average net sales, increased from $24.02 \%$ at the beginning of the year, to $26.45 \%$ at the end of the year. This is significant as a reverse in trend of the 1949 ratios which decreased from $19.84 \%$ to $19.27 \%$. The rate of stock turnover-average of beginning and ending inventories divided into cost of goods sold-
was 3.36 for all firms combined. It would be expected that the stock turnover rate would increase directly with the sales size categories. The exception in this instance was the second category which showed a slight decrease from the first, or smallest, category.

## Credit Sales and Receivables

Average credit sales, expressed as a percentage of average net sales, was $96.10 \%$ for all piece goods wholesalers in 1951 . This ratio ranged from $88.63 \%$ in the third sales size category to $99.88 \%$ in the fourth,
or largest, category. Average accounts outstanding averaged $14.07 \%$ of credit sales for all firms. The highest proportion, $19.18 \%$, occurred In the first category, and the lowest, $12.40 \%$ in the third category.

TABLE 6. Operating Results of Plece Goods Wholesalers 195 1, By Size of Firm


TABLE 6. Operating Results of Piece Goods Wholesalers 1951, By Size of Firm - Concluded

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { sizes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 200,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,989 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000,000 \\ & \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Profit and Loss Data (Per cent of net sales) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative and general expense: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.91 | 5. 61 | 3. 14 | 2. 95 | 3. 90 |
| Employees' be nefits ...................................... | 0.02 | a. 10 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0. 17 |
| Occupancy .................................................... | 1.11 | L. 11 | L, 00 | 0.50 | 0. 84 |
| Light, heat, and power ................................... | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0. 08 |
| Taxes ${ }^{1}$.......................................................... | 0. 18 | 0.22 | 0. 10 | 0.08 | 0.13 |
| Insurance ${ }^{1}$................................................... | 0. 35 | 0.25 | 0, 27 | 0, 15 | 0. 22 |
| Office supples .............................................. | 0.25 | 0. 19 | Q. 11 | 0. 13 | 0. 15 |
| Communication ............................................... | 0.31 | 0. 27 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0. 25 |
| Bad debts - a mount written off ...................... | 0.35 | 0.53 | 0. 42 | 0.70 | a. 56 |
| All other expense ......................................... | 1. 62 | 1. 61 | 0. 84 | 0. 85 | L. 13 |
| Total administrative and general expense.. | 9. 20 | 10. 00 | 6.37 | 5. 87 | 7. 43 |
| Total operating expenses ............................ | 16. 12 | 17. 88 | 11. 65 | 11. 69 | 13. 81 |
| Net operating profit ................................................. | 0.04 | 0. 68 | 3.31 | 1.05 | 1. 38 |
| Other trading income ............................................. | 0.83 | 0. 59 | 0. 34 | 0.63 | 0.57 |
| Miscella neous expense ......................................... | 0.09 | 0. 10 | 0. 15 | 0.31 | 0. 19 |
| Net profit before income tax deduction........ | 0. 78 | 1.17 | 3. 50 | 1. 37 | 1. 76 |
| Miscellaneous Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credit sales: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average per firm .n.ar.ono..................................... \$ | 120,660 | 323, 245 | 582,499 | 1,586,946 | 458, 715 |
| Per cent of a verage net sales .......................... | 9258 | 97.44 | 88, 63 | 99. 88 | 96. 10 |
| Accounts outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average per firm ............................................... \$ | 23,143 | 44,963 | 72, 230 | 220,427 | 64,541 |
| Per cent of average credtt sales ....................... | 19. 18 | 13. 91 | 12.40 | 13. 89 | 14. 07 |
| Sales per $1,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{tt}$. of floor space ..................... \$ | 100, 368 | 86, 102 | 122, 104 | 168, 455 | 115,814 |

1. Excludes amount attributed to real estate which is in occupancy expense.

## Results of Firms Selling to Retailers and Large Users Compared

The differences in operating results of firms selling primarily to retailers and firms selling chiefly to industry, or other large users, are revealed in the following table of comparison. In general, those firms selling to retailers experienced larger operating expenses and consequently sold at a higher gross profit or margin. The functional divisions of expense for each group were of similar
proportions of their respective total expense items. Administrative expense accounted for slightly more than half, selling expense was approximately a third, and warehouse and delivery expense comprised the remaining portion of about $15 \%$. In all sales size categories but the smallest, those wholesalers selling to industry obtained the higher net profit ratio.

TABLE 7. Operating Results of Plece Goods Wholesalers, 1951 Firms Seling to Retallers and to Large Users, Compared

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { sizes } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 200,000 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\$ 1,000,000$ <br> and over <br> Over 50\% to large users |  |  |
|  | $50 \%$ and over to retailers | Over $50 \%$ to large users | $50 \%$ and over to retallers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } 50 \% \\ & \text { to large } \\ & \text { users } \end{aligned}$ | 50\% and over to retailers | Over 50\% to large users |  | 50\% and over to retailers | Over $50 \%$ to large users |
|  |  |  |  | Per | ent of net | sales |  |  |  |
| Gross profit ...................... | 18.76 | 14. 54 | 20. 23 | 17.45 | 14.12 | 16. 01 | 12. 74 | 17.19 | 14.44 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selling $\qquad$ Warehouse and delivery.. | 6.54 <br> 2.98 <br> 8 | 3.99 1.31 | 5.96 3.68 | 5.06 1.66 | 4.24 1.33 | 3.08 1.85 | 4.29 1.53 | 5.22 2.50 | 4.28 1.59 |
| Administrative and general | 8.89 | 9.40 | 10.80 | 9.47 | 6.86 | 5.75 | 5.87 | 8.73 | 6.95 |
| Total operating expenses | 18.41 | 14.70 | 20.44 | 16.19 | 12.43 | 10.68 | 11.69 | 16.45 | 12.82 |
| Net operating profit ........... | 0.35 | ${ }^{1} 0.16$ | ${ }^{1} 0.21$ | 1.26 | 1. 69 | 5.33 | 1.05 | . 74 | 1.62 |

1. Operating loss

## Range Figures

The range figures, introduced in 1949, indicate to what extent the average is typical for each sales size category. This allows an individual wholesaler to assess the operations of his business with the average more accurately. That is, if the ratios of a specific item for a group of wholesalers vary consi-
derably, the range figures indicate the wide spread necessary to contain the middle $50 \%$ of the firms; conversely, if the ratios tend to be clustered, the range figures would indicate the middle $50 \%$ of the firms grouped closely about the average.

TABLE 8. Average and Middle Range Ratios of Plece Goods Wholesalers, 1951

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> all <br> slzes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 200,000 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\$ 1,000,000$ and over |  |  |  |
|  | Average | Middle range | Average | Middle range | Average | Middle range | Average | Middle range | Average | Middle range |
|  | Per cent of net sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit $\qquad$ Selling expense $\qquad$ Warehouse and deliv. ery expense $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.2 \\ 5.0 \end{array}$ | 11.5-20.1 | $18.6112 .3-25.2$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15.0 \\ 3.7 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r}10.3-19.9 \\ 1.9-5.9\end{array}\right\|$ | 12.7/11.2-13.3 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15.2 \\ 4.6 \end{array}$ | $11.9-20.3$$2.3-7.4$ |
|  |  | $2.0-7.6$ | 5.4 | 2.4-8.3 |  |  | 4.3 | 2.2-6.4 |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 0.6-3.3 | 25 | 0.9-3.3 | 1.6 | 0.9-2.3 | 1.5 | 0.7-2.3 | 1.8 | 0.9-2.8 |
| Administrative and general expense .... <br> Net operating profit .. | $\begin{aligned} & 9.2 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $6.1-11.1$$13.8-2.4$ | 10.00.7 | $8.2-12.5$$1_{1} .7-2.9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.4 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.9-8.8 \\ 10.2-6.9 \end{array}$ | 5.81.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.4-8.4 \\ 14.8-3.0 \end{array}$ | 7.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 6.1-11.0 \\ 11.9-2.9 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1} 4.8-3.0$ |  |  |

1. Operating loss

## Incorporated Companies 1951

Comparison of the profit and expense ratios of incorporated firms, with all firms, indicated that the differences in the ratios were negligible. Net profit before income tax, expressed as a proportion of net
sales, was $1.72 \%$ and $1.76 \%$ for "incorporated firms" and "all firms" respectively. Income tax reduced the incorporated firms' ratio to $1.06 \%$ a decrease of $33 \%$.

TABLE 9. Operating Results of Incorporated Piece Goods Wholesalers, 1951, by Size of Firm

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  |  | Total all sizes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under $\$ 200,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000,000 \\ & \text { a nd over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Per cent of net sales |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15. 75 | 19.05 | 14.88 | 12.72 | 14.95 |
| Operating expenses ..................................................* | 16.01 | 18. 50 | 11.65 | 11. 29 | 13. 52 |
| Net operating profit ...........................a...................... | ${ }^{1} .26$ | 0.55 | 3. 23 | 1.43 | 1. 43 |
| Other trading income .o. | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.18 | 0.41 | 0.55 |
|  | - | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0. 39 | 0. 26 |
| Total net proft before income tax deduction ...comeno.. | 0.74 | 1. 35 | 3. 24 | 1.45 | 1. 72 |
|  | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.39 | 0.89 | 0.66 |
|  | 0.38 | 0.83 | 285 | 0.56 | 1.06 |

1. Operating loss.

## Comparison of 1949 and 1951 Identical Firm Results

The following table serves as confirmation that the trends and conclusions deduced from comparing
average data of all responding firms apply as had identical firm data been used.

TABLE 10. Operating Results of Piece Goods Wholesalers 1949 and 1951 Compared

| Item | All respondents |  | Identical firms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1949 | 1951 | 1949 | 1951 |
| Number of firms ..........................e................e........ | 76 | 66 | 53 | 53 |
|  | 494, 384 | 477, 331 | 526,864 | 494,405 |
|  |  | Per cent | sales |  |
|  | 15. 93 | 15.18 | 16. 01 | 14.46 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.11 | 4. 62 | 4. 33 | 4.44 |
| Warehouse and dellvery expense............. | 1.88 | 1. 82 | 1. 87 | 1.85 |
| Administrative and general expense.................. | 6.67 | 7. 37 | 6. 96 | 7. 22 |
|  | 12.64 | 13. 81 | 13. 16 | 13.51 |
| Net operating profit............................................. | 3.29 | 1.38 | 2.85 | 0.95 |

OPERATING RESULTS OF PIECE GOODS WHOLESALERS
GROSS PROFIT=NET PROFIT+SELUNG+WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY+ ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES 1947-1949-1951


## FOOTWEAR WHOLESALERS

Of the 25 reporting firms, 15 were incorporated and the remaining 10 were comprised of three partnerships and seven individual proprietorships. Each of the reporting firms operated only one establishment.

Sales to retail stores made up 95\% of total sales. Of the remaining sales, other wholesalers and large users, such as institutions, accounted for four per cent.

## Description of Reporting Firms

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { sizes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 200,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500,000$ and over |  |
| Number of firms | 9 | 12 | 4 | 25 |
| Form of organization: |  |  |  |  |
| Individual proprietorship. | 5 | 2 | - | 7 |
| Partnership ....................................................................... | - | 3 | 4 | 3 15 |
| Incorporated company ........................................................... | 4 | 7 | 4 | 15 |
| Number of firms operated as: Single establishment | 9 | 12 | 4 | 25 |
| Multiple establishment ......... ............................................ | - | - | - | - |
| Distribution of sales: |  |  |  |  |
| To retailers ....................................................................... \% | 98. 42 | 94.79 | 94. 18 | 95.00 |
| To other wholesalers and large users .................................. \% | 1.58 | 2. 82 | 5.82 | 3.99 |
| To others ........................................................................ \% | - | 2. 39 | - | 1.01 |

## REVIEW OF 1951 OPERATING RESULTS

## Profit and Expense Ratios

Profit and expense ratios represent portions of the average net sales dollar. Because average prof and expense figures per firm are expressed as percentages of average net sales per firm, it is necessary to take into account the rate of change of these average net sales when comparing ratios applicable to different surveys. When comparing 1951 results with 1949 , a period of increasing prices, the increase of average net sales per firm has a decreasing effect on profit and expense ratios. If a decrease occurs in the profit or expense ratios, it does not necessarily mean the amount of profit or expense is less; but does mean the average profit or expense did not increase at as great a rate as average net sales. That is, if net sales increased $10 \%$ from $\$ 1,000,000$ in 1949 to $\$ 1,100,000$ in 1951, and rent showed a proportionate increase from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 5,500$, the rent expense ratios for the two years would be identical. However, if rent remained unchanged, or increased less than $10 \%$, the rent expense ratio would show a decrease. To interpret a change in ratios between two years correctly, it is therefore necessary to note changes in net sales. During this phase of analysis the utmost caution should be taken to avoid interpreting the average net sales per firm as typical of an establishment. Averages are shown only to indicate central tendency in sales size ranges as a guide to individual wholesalers wishing to compare their results. Ratios reduce the
effect of multiple establishment firms and may be used with a high degree of accuracy as typical of the trade. The effects of normal sales variations and changes in price levels also tend to be ellminated when results are expressed as ratios. Therefore, ratios of two surveys may be compared, but the rate of change of average net sales per firm snould be noted.

The average gross profit obtained by all footwear wholesalers was 14.07 per cent of average net sales. Gross profit ratios for the three typical sales size categories ranged from $13.39 \%$ to $15.53 \%$ in the largest and smallest categories respectively. Total operating expense averaged $13.82 \%$ and ranged from $12.38 \%$ to $16.53 \%$, again in the largest and smallest categories respectively. Selling expense ratios remained at much the same level for each sales size and averaged $4.55 \%$. Warehouse and delivery expense ratios also showed only a small range from $2.21 \%$ to $2.32 \%$ and averaged $2.26 \%$ for all wholesalers. Administrative and general expense, however, ranged from $5.18 \%$ to $9.82 \%$ in the third and first categories respectively, and attributed largely to the total expense ratio of $13.82 \%$, and the resultant small net operating profit of $0.25 \%$. Miscellaneous expense and income netted a further decrease which reduced the ratio of net operating profit before income tax to $0.12 \%$.

TABLE 11. Operating Results of Footwear Wholesalers 1951 , By Size of Firm

| Item | Firms with 1951 sales of |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { sizes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 200,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500,000$ <br> and over |  |
| Number of firms reporting <br> Average net sales per firm <br> Average cast of goods sold <br> Stock turnover (times per year) $\qquad$ | 9 | 12 | 4 | 25 |
|  | 137,091 | 324, 654 | 1, 027, 279 | 369,552 |
|  | 115, 802 | 278, 132 | 889, 711 | 317,546 |
|  | 3.68 | 3. 78 | 5. 08 |  |
| Average beginning inventory $\$$ <br> Per cent of average net sales $\qquad$ <br> Average ending inventory $\qquad$ <br> Per cent of average net sales. $\qquad$ | 35,986 26,25 | 76,433 23.54 | $\begin{array}{r} 164,280 \\ 15.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75,928 \\ 20,55 \end{array}$ |
|  | 26,951 | 70, 590 | 185,927 | 73, 334 |
|  | 19.66 | 21. 74 | 18, 10 | 19.84 |
| Profit and loss Data <br> (Per cent of net sales) |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit $\qquad$ Operating expenses: | 15.53 | 14.33 | 13. 39 | 14.07 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating expenses: Selling expense: | 215 | 286 | 3. 76 | 3.17 |
| Salaries ........ | 1. 34 | 1.06 | 0. 51 | 0. 85 |
| Advert is ing ................................................................. | 0. 38 | 0.17 | 0.37 | 0.29 |
| Other selling expense ......................................... | 0.52 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0. 24 |
| Total selling expense ...................................... | 4.39 | 4. 22 | 4. 92 | 4.55 |
| Warehouse a nd delivery expense: | 1. 16 | 1.68 | 1.58 | 1. 56 |
| Salaries and wages ........................................... Maintenance of delivery equipment .................. | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0. 10 | 0. 18 |
| Mainte nance of delivery equipment ......................... | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.41 | 0. 28 |
| Ware house supplies $\qquad$ Other ware house and delivery expense $\qquad$ | 0.60 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.16 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 0. 14 | 0.08 |
| Total warehouse and delivery expense ............. | 2.32 | 2. 21 | 2. 28 | 2. 26 |
| Administrative and general expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Employees' benefits | 5.07 | 4.12 0.04 | 2. 95 0.02 | 3.72 0.03 |
|  | 1. 90 | 1. 59 | 0.37 | 1.09 |
|  | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.11 |
|  | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0. 11 |
|  | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 0.35 |
| Insurance 1......................................................... | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
|  | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0. 20 |
| Communication ................................................. | 0.25 | Q. 22 | 0.51 | $\bigcirc{ }^{0} 36$ |
| All other expense ................................................. | 1. 25 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.88 |
| Total administrative and general expense .......... | 9, 82 | 8.05 | 5. 18 | 7.01 |
| Total operating expenses .................................. | 16.53 | 14.48 | 12. 38 | 13. 82 |
| Net operating profit Other trading inc ome Miscellaneous expense $\qquad$ | ${ }^{2} 1,00$ | ${ }^{2} 0.15$ | 1.01 | 0.25 |
|  | 0. 20 | 0.14 | 0.66 | 0.38 |
|  | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.96 | 0.51 |
| Net profit before income tax deduction | ${ }^{2} 1.04$ | ${ }^{2} 0.12$ | 0. 71 | 0. 12 |
| Miscellaneous Data |  |  |  |  |
| Credtt sales: |  |  |  |  |
| Average per firm ............................................... ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Per cent of average net sales ...................... | 129,085 94.16 | 302,707 93.24 | $1,002,522$ 97.59 | 352,331 95.34 |
| Accounts outstanding: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20,576 15.94 | 57,212 18.90 | 160,003 15.96 | 60,636 17.21 |
| Per cent of average credit sales ............................... |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per $1,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of noor space ............................ \$ | 51,628 | 50,836 | 62, 734 | 56,740 |

[^1]
## Inventories and Stock Turnover

Average inventories, expressed as ratios of average net sales of responding firms, declined from $20.55 \%$ at the beginning of the year, to $19.84 \%$ at the end of the year. This trend was common to the firsi two sales size categories, but the third showed an
increase from $15.99 \%$ to $18.10 \%$. The rate of stock turnover-average of beginning and ending inventories divided into cost of goods sold-was 4.25 for all footwear wholesalers, a slight decline from the 1949 rate.

## Credit Sales and Receivables

Credit sales, expressed as a percentage of net sales, was $95.34 \%$ for all footwear wholesalers, a decrease in proportion from the 1949 rate of $98.49 \%$. This trend was common to each of the three sales
size categories. Accounts outstanding, as at December 31. 1951, were $17.21 \%$ of credit sales. The largest and smallest proportions occurred in the second and first categories, respectively.

## Comparison of 1949 and 1951 Identical Firm Results

The 1949 results of all respondents included returns from firms operating more than one establishment. whereas the 1951 results are based on returns of single establishment firms. Consequently the average net sales per firm for 1949 are larger than the 1951 average. This decrease of average net sales tends to overemphasize operating ratio increases and diminish operating ratio declines from 1949 to 1951. This circumstance is revealed in the following table of comparison of identical firm results, Comparison of the ratios for all respondents
shows an increase in the gross profit ratio, whereas nere was actually a slight decrease, as shown by the identical firm comparison. Similarly, total operating expenses of all respondents shows an increase from $11.30 \%$ to $13.82 \%$, but the actual increase of the identical firm comparison was only from $13.52 \%$ to $13.82 \%$. The result was an overemphasized decline in net operating profits. The identical firm comparison portion of the table therefore permits a more accurate assessment of ratio changes from the previous survey.

TABLE 12. Operating Results of Footwear Wholesalers 1949 and 1951 Compared

| Iten: | All respondents |  | Identical firms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1949 | 1951 | 1949 | 1951 |
| Number of firms | 29 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Average net sales per firm................................................. | 437,464 | 369.552 | 365,570 | 369,552 |
|  | Per cent of net sales |  |  |  |
| Gross profit | 12. 78 | 14.07 | 14.21 | 14. 07 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Selling expense...................................................................... | 3.83 | 4.55 | 4.66 | 4.55 |
| Warehouse and delivery expense. | 1.86 | 2.26 | 2.22 | 2.26 |
| Administrative and general expense......................... | 5.61 | 7.01 | 6.64 | 7.01 |
| Total operating expenses .................................... | 11.30 | 13.82 | 13.52 | 13.82 |
| Net operating profit ........................................................... | 1. 48 | 0.25 | 0.69 | 0.25 |




[^0]:    1. Operating loss.
[^1]:    1. Excludes amount attributed to real estate which is in occupancy expense.
    2. Operating loss.
