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# OPERATING RESULTS OF DRY GOODS, PIECE GOODS AND FOOTWEAR WHOLESALERS 

## 1955 <br> INTRODUCTION

The following explanatory notes describe certain features of the operating results series contained in this report.

## Scope

This study is confined to wholesale merchants, i.e. those wholesalers performing the full selling, warehousing and delivery functions, Agents, brokers, drop shippers and other specialized types of wholesale distributors are not included.

## Content

Most emphasis has been placed on the presentation of profit and loss statistics. Average gross and net proffts and detailed operating expenses are shown for the most suitable sales-size classes. Operating expenses appear separately for selling, warehouse and delivery, and administrative and general departments. The customary profit and loss details are supplemented by information on other related operating features included inventories, rate of stock tumover, credit sales and receivables, and floor space used. Wherever possible, a table showing regional figures has been prepared although reports from Newfoundland wholesalers are not included, Proprietors' salaries were included with administrative salaries. A table showing income tax was made for incorporated companies only.

## Purpose

The primary purpose of the operating results surveys is to provide averages on the different phases of business operations against which firms in the same trade may make direct comparisons with their own operating results. They also provide useful information to others interested in the cost of distribution of consumer goods.

The average - i.e., arithmetic mean - operating results of all wholesalers classified under "Wholesalers Proper" would be, however, misleading as, by definition, "Wholesalers Proper" includes subsidiary companies performing the wholesaling function of parent companies whose marketing policies with regard to profit and expense ratios may be atypical of the trade. In addition, "Wholesalers Proper" includes those firms whose revenues are derived in part from a type of activity - e.g., manufacturing, retailing - which may be uncommon to the trade. Consequently, it is thought that average operating results of the more typical establishments within a trade would be of superior value in view of the purposes for which the series on operating results is designed. Firms with atypical policies and/or activities have, therefore, been excluded from the computations of the average operating results published herein.

## Period Covered

This report deals largely with 1955 operations and is the fifth biennial publication in a series begun in 1947. Certain summary tables show identical firm results for 1953 and 1955. The charts show the gross profit components for 1949, 1951, 1953 and 1955 by suitable sales-size classification for each trade.

## SUMMARY OF 1955 RESULTS BY TRADES

The following summary table shows the principal operating results figures for three components of the clothing wholesale business. In analyzing the performance of an individual clothing wholesale company, reference should be made to the detailed
tables in the appropriate sections of this bulletin. In these sections (dry goods, piece goods, and footwear) operating results figures for typical salessize classifications and geographic locations are revealed.

Operating Results of Dry Goods, Piece Goods and Footwear Wholesalers Compared, 1955


Extreme caution should be observed to avoid comparing absolute dollar values (average sales, cost of goods etc.) published in this report, with corresponding items of individual establishments or firms. These values are averages of items reported by both single and multiple establishment firms and are "firm" averages, not "establishment" averages. Consequently, a concentration of multiple establishment firms in a specific trade will result in an abnomal average for that trade. Ratios, however, may be used with a high degree of reliability when applied to either an establishment or a firm. The survey was designed primarily to obtain operating ratios to which single and multiple establishment firms might compare their operating results. Absolute values are shown only for the purpose of assisting the wholesaler to place his firm in relation to the average in the appropriate sales-size category.

Due to the peculiarities of the individual trades, 1955 average sales ranged from $\$ 457,240$ for piece goods whol esalers to $\$ 799,967$ and \$1,438.684 for footwear and dry goods wholesalers respectively. Piece goods wholesale merchants with the smallest average sales, reported the highest gross proft ratio of 16.44 per cent and also the highest total operating expense ratio of 15.73 per cent of net sales. Footwear wholesalers averaged the lowest gross profit ratio of 16.40 per cent as
well as the lowest total operaxing expense ratio of 14.52 per cent. Dry goods wholesalers ranked in the middle position with a gross proflt of 16.43 per cent and operating expenses of 15.09 per cent, but netted the second highest operating profit of 1.89 per cent of net sales. Piece goods wholesalers obtained the lowest net operating profit of 1.19 per cent and footwear wholesalers reported the highest net operating profit of 2.39 per cent of their respective net sales. The interrel ationship of stock tumover rates did not change from the 1953 order and showed footwear wholesalers to have the greatest 1955 rate of 5.09 times per year, when compared to dry goods wholesalers and piece goods wholesalers with rates of 4.41 and 3.64 times per year respectively.

Comparison of the credit sales relationship to total sales indicated that each of the three trades sold extensively on a credit basis. Footwear wholesalers reported 89.74 per cent of total sales to be credit sales, and dry goods and piece goods wholesalers reported rates of 95.70 per cent and 96.09 per cent respectively. Sal es to retailers represented 93.10 per cent of total sales of footwear wholesalers and the proportion for dry goods wholesalers was 90.66 per cent for 1955 operations, Piece goods wholesal ers continued to sell a comparatively small ( 35.53 per cent) proportion of total sal es directly to retailers.

## DRY GOODS WHOLESALERS

Returns of 60 firms were used in this 1955 study, of which 50 were incorporated and 10 unircorporated companies. Four firms with multiple establishments reported as operating 18 establish-
ments. Sales to retail stores made up 90.66 per cent of total sales, 9.18 per cent to other wholesalers and large users and the remaining 0.16 per cent to others.

Description of Reporting Firms

| Item | Firms with 1955 sales of |  |  |  | Total all sizes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 250,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 250,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 2,999,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 3,000,000$ and over |  |
| Number of firms. | 17 | 20 | 15 | 8 | 60 |
| Form of organization: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual proprletorship $\qquad$ <br> Partnership $\qquad$ | 3 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 6 |
| Incorporated company .................................... | 10 | 18 | 14 | 8 | 50 |
| Number of firms operated as: <br> Single establishment | 17 | 20 | 15 | 4 | 56 |
| Multiple establishment ..................................... | - | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| Distribution of sales: |  |  |  |  |  |
| To retailers ................................................... \% | 82.23 | 93.71 | 91.14 | 90.19 | 90.66 |
| To other wholesalers ....................................... \% | 2.83 | 1.82 | 1.96 6.89 | 4.86 | 3.56 |
| To large users ${ }^{1}$................................................ \% | 12.40 | 4.39 | 6.89 | 4.79 | 5.62 |
| To others .......................................................... \% | 2.54 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.16 |

1. Industries, hospitals, institutlons, etc.

## REVIEW OF 1955 OPERATING RESULTS

## Profit and Expense Ratios

Dry goods wholesalers realized a gross profit on their 1955 operations of 16.43 per cent of net sales. The smaller businesses showed slightly higher gross profit ratios than the two largest salessize groups, ranging from 17.78 per cent to 16.35 per cent. Total operating expenses of 15.09 per cent left a net operating profit of 1.34 per cent. Other income and expense increased this to a net profit of 1.89 per cent before income tax deduction.

Generally operating expenses decreased in ratio as sales size increased. The smallest size class operated at a loss in 1955 while the other three groups were almost identical in ratio of final net profit.

## Inventories and Stock Turnover

Average inventories, expressed as ratios of average net sales of responding firms increased from 18.85 per cent at the beginning of the year to
19.06 per cent at the end of the year. This was due to the increases registered in the two largest salessize categories, which counteracted the decreases of the two smallest sales-size groups. The rate of stock turnover-average of beginning and ending inventories divided into cost of goods sold - was 4.41 times per year for all wholesale dry goods merchants, slightly below the 1953 rate of 4.64 times per year.

## Credit Sales and Receivables

The proportion of credit sales to net sales decreased from 96.70 per cent in 1953 to 95.70 per cent in 1955. This was consistent for each sales-size group which also showed ascending rates with sales sizes, from 92.06 for the small est group to 96.65 for the largest category. Accounts recei vable at the year end when related to their respective credit sales for each sales-size class averaged a higher proportion in 1955, (17.30 per cent compared to 15.26 per cent in 1953).

T MBLE 1. Operating Results of Dry Goods Wholesalers, 1955, by Size of Firm


1. Excludes amount attributed to real estate winich is in occupancy expense:
2. Operating loss.

## Regional Results

The following table presents operating results for the four selected areas. The average gross trading profits of dry goods wholesalers in relation to net sales ranged from 14.70 per cent in Ontario to 17.53 per cent in the Prairie Provinces. The highest and lowest ratios for total operating expenses were
respectively 16.19 per cent for Prairie Pruvinces and 14.02 рer cent for Ontario. The remaining and resulting major item, net operating profit, showed British Columbia with the highest ratio of 2.13 per cent and Ontario the lowest with 0.68 per cent.

TABLE 2. Operating Results of Dry Goods Wholesalers, by Regions, 1955

| Item | Maritimes and quebec | Ontario | Prairie Provinces | British Columbia | Canada |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of firms | 18 | 22 | 16 | 4 | 60 |
| Average net sales per firm................................... \$ | 2,001, 256 | 1,100,843 | 1,322,200 | 1.231, 170 | 1,438,684 |
|  | (Per cent of net sales) |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit | 16.82 | 14.70 | 17.53 | 17.29 | 16. 43 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selling | 5. 69 | 4. 39 | 5.41 | 4. 28 | 5. 18 |
| Warehouse and delivery | 2.88 | 3.49 | 2. 64 | 2. 34 | 2. 96 |
| Administrative and general | 6. 59 | 6.14 | 8.14 | 8. 54 | 6. 95 |
| Total operating expenses | 15.16 | 14.02 | 16.19 | 15.16 | 15. 09 |
| Net operating prof it | 1. 66 | 0. 68 | 1.34 | 2.13 | 1. 34 |

## Incorporated Companies 1955

Comparison of the profit and expense ratios of the incorporated firms only, with all firms, indicated that the differences in the ratios were negligible. Net profit before income tax, expressed as a proportion of net sales, was 1.92 per cent and 1.89 per
cent for "incorporated firms" and "all firms" respectively. Income tax reduced the incorporated firms' ratio to 1.29 per cent, a decrease of 32.81 . per cent.

TABLE 3. Operating Results of Incorporated Dry Goods Wholesalers, 1955, by Size of Firm

| Itern | Firms with 1955 sales of |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { sizes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 250.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 250,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,000,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 2,999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000,000 \\ & \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | (Per cent of net sales) |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit. | 16.98 | 16.85 | 16.16 | 16. 35 | 16.35 |
| Operating expenses | 22.50 | 15. 52 | 14.64 | 14.88 | 14.98 |
| Net operating profit | $5.52{ }^{1}$ | 1.33 | 1.52 | 1.47 | 1.37 |
| Non-trading income .............................................. | 2.98 | 1.35 | 0. 84 | 0. 91 | 0.96 |
| Non-trading expense ............................................ | 0.18 | 0.65 | 0. 33 | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| Net profit before income tax deduction.................... | 2. $72{ }^{1}$ | 2. 03 | 2. 03 | 1.97 | 1.92 |
| Income tax............................................................ | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0. 63 |
| Final net profit. | $2.84^{1}$ | 1.63 | 1. 36 | 1.30 | 1. 29 |

[^0]
## Comparison of 1953 and 1955 Results

Hesults of the 60 firms reporting in 1955 are the best available for that year, and are shown in table 1. Firms reporting and used in 1955 were not identical with the firms used in 1953, so consistency was not attained; this partly accounts for changes in ratios between the two surveys. For best comparison, however, results of identical firms are used, numbering 47 in all and are presented in table 4.

Profit and expense ratios represent portions of the average net sales dollar. Because average profit and expense figures per firm are expressed as percentages of average net sales per firm, it is necessary to take into account the rate of change of these average net sales when comparing ratios applicable to different surveys. That is, if net sales increased $10 \%$ from $\$ 1,000,000$ in 1953 to $\$ 1,100,000$ in 1955 and rent showed a proportionate increase from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 5,500$, the rent expense ratios for the two years would be identical. However, if rent remained unchanged, or increased less than $10 \%$,
the rent expense ratio would show a decrease. To interpret a change in ratios between two years correctly, it is therefore necessary to note changes in net sales. Ratios reduce the effect of multiple establishment firms and may be used with a high degree of accuracy as typical of the trade. The effects of normal sales variations and changes in price levels also tend to be eliminated when results are expressed as ratios. Therefore, ratios of two surveys may be compared, but the rate of change of average net sales per firm should be noted.

Average net sales decreased to $\$ 1,739,866$ in 1955 from $\$ 1,925,741$ in 1953. Average gross profit ratio for dry goods wholesalers increased from 15.87 per cent in 1953 to 16.45 per cent in 1955. The expense ratio also increased, but at a slightly faster pace, from 14.36 per cent in 1953 to 15.03 per cent in 1955. The result was a decrease in net operating profit in 1955 to 1.42 per cent of net sales from 1.51 per cent in 1953.

TABLE 4. Operating Results of Dry Goods Wholesalers, 1953 and 1955 Compared

| Item | 1953 | 1955 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of firms ................................................................................................. | 47 | 47 |
| Average net sales per firm ........................................................................................ | 1,925,741 | 1,739,866 |
|  | (Per cent of net sales) |  |
| Gross prolit ............................................................................................................. | 15.87 | 16.45 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |
| Selling | 4.75 | 5. 15 |
| Warehouse and delivery .......................................................................... | 2.65 | 2.97 |
| Administrative and general .....................................as............................... | 6.96 | 6.91 |
| Total operating expenses ....................................................................................... | 14.36 | 15.03 |
| Net operating profit .............................................................................................. | 1. 51 | 1.42 |



## PIECE GOODS WHOLESALERS

Of the 61 fims reporting in 1955, 45 were incorporated and the remaining 16 were comprised of 10 partnerships and 6 single proprietorships.

Most firms operated only one establishment. The sales distribution ratios indicate that the greater proportion of sales was made to industrial users.

Description of Reporting Firms

| Item | Firms with 1955 sales of |  |  |  | Total all <br> sizes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 200,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ and over |  |
| Number of firms ..... | 20 | 22 | 14 | 5 | 61 |
| Form of organization: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual proprietorship | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 6 |
| Partnership......... | 3 | 4 | 3 | - | 10 |
| Incorporated company | 13 | 17 | 11 | 4 | 45 |
| Distribution of sales: |  |  |  |  |  |
| To retallers | 49.62 | 40.46 | 37.23 | 24.40 | 35.53 |
| To wholesalers | 8.58 | 11.26 | 28.92 | 4.91 | 14.90 |
| To large users ${ }^{2}$ | 41.45 | 48.23 | 32.83 | 70.69 | 49.20 |
| To others ... | 0.35 | 0.05 | 1.02 | - | 0.37 |

1. Industries, hospitals, institutions, etc.

## REVIEW OF 1955 OPERATING RESULTS

## Profit and Expense Ratios

Wholesalers of piece goods reported gross profit ratios ranging from 21.34 per cent for the smallest sales-size category to 14.48 per cent for the $\mathbf{f r m s}$ with annual net sales greater than $\$ 1,000,000$. The average gross profit of 16.44 per cent for all ifms was reduced to a net profit of 0.71 per cent by operating expenses of 15.73 per cent. The smallest sales-size group had expenses in excess of gross profit with a resulting loss on operations of 0.11 per cent. Other income exceeded non-trading expense to give a net proft before income tax deduction of 1.19 per cent for total all sizes.

## Inventories and Stock Turnover

Average inventories, expressed as a percentage of average net sales, increased slightly in 1955. from 22.68 per cent at the beginning of the year to
23.22 per cent at the end of the year. The rate of stock turnover for all firms - average of beginning and end of year inventories divided into cost of goods sold - was 3.64 times per year. This was a drop from 3.92 times per year in 1953. As normally expected, rates of tumover increased with size of firms, ranging from 3.00 to 5.01 times per year.

## Credit Sales and Receivables

Average creditsales, expressed as a percentage of average net sales was 96.09 per cent for all piece goods wholesalers in 1955. The range was 93.58 per cent for the " $\$ 200,000$ to $\$ 499,999$ " sales-size class and 97.67 per cent for the next largest group. Accounts receivable ratio (per cent to credit sales) at the end of the year was 16.63 with a range of 14.71 per cent to 22.00 per cent for the different categories. Both credit sales' and receivables' ratios increased in 1955 over the 1953.

TABLE 5. Operating Results of Piece Goods Wholesalers, 1955, by Size of Firm

| Item | Firms with 1955 sales of |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { sizes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 200,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ t 0 \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.000,000 \\ & \text { a nd over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Number of firms reporting............................................ | 20 | 22 | 14 | 5 | 61 |
| A verage net sales per firm........................................... \$ | 130,512 | 361,724 | 649,914 | 1.644,933 | 457. 240 |
| Average cost of goods sold .......................................... \$ | 102.659 | 303,476 | 538,691 | 1,406,723 | 382, 048 |
| Stock turnover (times per year) | 3.00 | 3.06 | 3.54 | 5.01 | 3.64 |
| Average beginning inventory $\qquad$ \$ <br> Per cent of average net sales $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,850 \\ 25.17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99,188 \\ 27.42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 155,285 \\ 23.89 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 262,849 \\ 15.98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103,727 \\ 22.68 \end{array}$ |
| Average ending inventory $\qquad$ \$ <br> Per cent of average net sales $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,530 \\ 27.22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99,240 \\ 27.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149,098 \\ 22.94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 298,892 \\ 18.17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 106,159 \\ 23.22 \end{array}$ |
| Profit and loss Data (Per cent of net sales) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit...................................................................... | 21.34 | 16.10 | 17.11 | 14.48 | 16.44 |
| Operating expenses: <br> Selling expense: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries Travelling | 4.90 1.07 | 2.84 0.83 | 3.28 0.74 | 3.83 0.80 | 3.47 0.81 |
| Advertising................................................................................. | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.19 |
| Other selling expense............................................ | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.38 |
| Total selling expense....................................... | 6.45 | 4.38 | 4.28 | 5.43 | 4.85 |
| Warehouse and delivery expense: Salaries and wages | 2.26 | 1.22 | 1.55 | 1.28 |  |
| Maintenance of delivery equipment......................... | 0.53 | 0.21 | 0.44 | 0.17 | 0.30 |
| Outward freight.................................................... | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.28 |
| Warehouse supplies.............................................. | 0.14 0.10 | 0.15 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.21 0.17 | 0.17 0.12 |
| Other warehouse and delivery expense................... | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |
| Total warehouse and delivery expense................ | 3.28 | 1.85 | 2.59 | 2.20 | 2.33 |
| Administrative and general expense: |  |  |  | 3.37 | 4.09 |
| Salaries <br> Employees' benefits $\qquad$ | 0.12 | 4.49 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.18 |
| Occupancy................ | 2.09 | 1.34 | 1.21 | 0.74 | 1.19 |
|  | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.17 |
| Insurance ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.21 |
| Office supplies.................................................................................................. | 0.35 0.52 | 0.27 0.28 | 0.18 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.30 |
| Bad debts - amount written off.................................. | 0.52 | -0.86 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.64 |
| All other expense.................................................. | 1.88 | 1.42 | 1.93 | 1.19 | 1.56 |
| Total administrative and general expense........... | 11.72 | 9.35 | 8.60 | 6.71 | 8.55 |
| Total operating expenses | 21.45 | 15.58 | 15.47 | 14.34 | 15.73 |
| Net operating profit | $0.11^{2}$ | 0.52 | 1.64 | 0.14 | 0.71 |
| Non-trading income | 1.31 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 0.68 | 0.59 |
| Non-trading expense | - | 0.19 | 0.19 | - | 0.11 |
| Net profit before income tax deduction.......................... | 1.20 | 0.79 | 1.85 | 0.82 | 1.19 |
| Miscellaneous Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credit sales: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average per firm. <br> Per cent of average net sales | $\begin{array}{r} 124,222 \\ 95.18 \end{array}$ | 338,491 93.58 | 634,764 97.67 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,596,927 \\ 97,08 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 439,386 \\ 96.09 \end{array}$ |
| Accounts outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average per firm $\qquad$ $\qquad$ \$ Per cent of a verage credit sales. | $\begin{array}{r} 27,334 \\ 22.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56,094 \\ 16.57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93.388 \\ 14.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 273,983 \\ 17.16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.084 \\ 16.63 \end{array}$ |
| Sales per 1,000 stif. of floor space.............................. \$ | 66,588 | 77,756 | 105, 371 | 180, 762 | 102,020 |

[^1]
## Results of Firms Selling to Retailers and to Combined Wholesalers and Large Users, Compared

The differences in operating results of firms selling primarily to retailers and firms selling chilefly to wholesalers and large users combined, are revealed in the following table of comparison. Total all firms selling principally to retailers experienced larger operating expenses and consequently sold at a higher gross profl or margin.

Administrative and general expense generally accounted for more than half of total expenses, selling expense was approximately a third, and warehouse and delivery expense comprised the remaining porHon. On the average, wholesalers selling to wholesalers and large users obtained the higher net profit ratio.

TABLE 6. Operating Results of Piece Goods Wholesalers, 1955
Firms Selling to Retailers and to Wholesalers and Large Users, Compared

| Item | Firms with 1955 sales of |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total$\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { stzes } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 200,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\$ 1,000,000$ <br> and over$\|$Over $50 \%$ <br> to whole- <br> salers <br> and large <br> users ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  | $50 \%$ and over to retallers | Over $50 \%$ <br> to wholesalers and large users? | $50 \%$ and over to retallers | Over 50\% to wholesalers and large users | $50 \%$ and over to retailers | Over 50\% to wholesalers and large users |  | $50 \%$ and over to retailers | Over $50 \%$ to wholesalers and large users |
|  | (Per cent of net sales) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit ........................ | 19.88 | 22.83 | 18.59 | 14.48 | 16.52 | 17.47 | 14.61 | 17.17 | 16.05 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.71 \\ & 2.70 \\ & 9.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.93 \\ & 2.13 \\ & 8.18 \end{aligned}$ |
| Selling <br> Warehouse and delivery | $\begin{aligned} & 5.58 \\ & 3.60 \\ & 9.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.33 \\ 2.96 \\ 13.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.75 \\ 2.09 \\ 11.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.14 \\ & 1.68 \\ & 8.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.01 \\ & 2.44 \\ & 8.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.45 \\ & 2.69 \\ & 8.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.45 \\ & 1.81 \\ & 6.71 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Achinistrative and general |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total operating expenses... | 18.73 | 24.21 | 18.22 | 13.86 | 14.94 | 15.80 | 13.97 | 16.64 | $\begin{array}{r} 15.24 \\ 0.81 \end{array}$ |
| Net operating profit............ | 1.15 | $1.38{ }^{2}$ | 0.37 | 0.62 | 1.58 | 1.67 | 0.64 | 0.53 |  |

1. Large users: Industries, hospitals, institutions, etc.
2. Operating loss.

## Incorporated Companies 1955

Comparison of the profit and expense ratios of incorporated firms with all firms, indicated that the differences in the operating expense ratios were negligible. However, incorporated firms operated with a slightly lower gross profit ratio of 16.21 per cent as compared to 16.44 per cent for all firms. Total operating expense ratio showed a slightly larger decrease, increasing the net operating profit from 0.71 per cent for
all firms to 0.88 per cent for incorporated companies.

Although both forms of business netted a gain from non-trading activities, the incorporated firms realized a slightly smaller increase than the all firms category. Income tax reduced the incorporated firms' profit ratio before taxation of 1.09 per cent to 0.77 per cent of net sales, a decline of 29.36 per cent.

TABLE 7. Operating Results of Incorporated Piece Goods wholesalers, 1955, by Size of Firm

| Item | Firms with 1955 sales of |  |  |  | Total all sizes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 200,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 499.999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000,000 \\ & \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | (Per cent of net sales) |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit | 19.99 | 16.31 | 16.62 | 14.61 | 16.21 |
| Operating expenses.. | 19.82 | 15.54 | 15.26 | 13.97 | 15.33 |
| Net operating profit... | 0.17 | 0.77 | 1.36 | 0.64 | 0.88 |
| Non-trading income........................................ | 0.97 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.34 |
| Non-trading expense.... | - | 0.21 | 0.23 | - | 0.13 |
| Net profit before income tax deduction. | 1.14 | 0.93 | 1.52 | 0.72 | 1.09 |
| Income tax | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| Final net profit | 0.77 | 0.67 | 1.06 | 0.52 | 0.77 |

## Comparison of 1953 and 1955 Results

The best available ratios for 1955 appear in table 5, data being supplied by 61 firms. While the information obtained gives reliable current ratios it loses significance for comparative purposes with the 1953 survey through inconsistency of reporting. For best comparison, therefore, only the results of firms reporting for the two survey years are used. Table 8 shows the results of 44 identical fims.

Profit and expense ratios represent portions of the average net sales' dollar. Because average profit and expense figures per firm are expressed as percentages of average net sales per firm, it is necessary to take into account the rate of change of these average net sales when comparing ratios applicable to different surveys. That is, if net sales increased $10 \%$ from $\$ 1,000,000$ in 1953 to $\$ 1,100,000$ in 1955, and rent showed a proportionate increase from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 5,500$, the rent expense ratios for the two years would be identical. However, if rent remained unchanged, or increased less than $10 \%$, the rent expense ratio would show a decrease. To interpret a change in ratios between two years
correctly, it is therefore necessary to note changes in net sales. Averages are shown only to indicate central tendency in sales-size ranges as a guide to individual wholesalers wishing to compare their results. Ratios reduce the effect of multiple establishment firms and may be used with a high degree of accuracy as typical of the trade. The effects of normal sales variations and changes in price levels also tend to be eliminated when results are expressed as ratios. Therefore, ratios of two surveys may be compared, but the rate of change of average net sales per firm should be noted.

Annual net sales decreased from $\$ 23,212,047$ in 1953 to $\$ 21,228,348$ in 1955 . Gross profit ratio decreased from 16.30 per cent in 1953 to 16.17 per cent in 1955. This initial decrease in marginal profit coupled with an increase in total operating expenses from 14.99 per cent in 1953 to 15.66 per cent resulted in a sizeable decrease in net operating profit. The net operating profit ratios were 1.31 per cent in 1953 and 0.51 per cent in 1955.

TABLE 8. Operating Results of Piece Goods Wholesalers 1953 and 1955 Compared


OPERATING RESULTS OF PIECE GOODS WHOLESALERS BY SIZE OF FIRM
GROSS PROF IT = NET PROFIT + SELLING + WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY + AOMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES


## FOOTWEAR WHOLESALERS

Finms reporting in this trade group numbered 25 , all of which were single establishments. Unincorporated firms, 5 in all, appeared only in the two smaller sales sizes. Sal es to retail stores accounted
for 93.10 per cent of total sales; wholesalers and large users accounted for the remaining sales, 6.90 per cent.

## Description of Reporting Firms

| Item | Firms with 1955 sales of |  |  | Total all sizes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 200,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500,002$ rend over |  |
| Number of firms ...................................................... | 7 | 14 | 4 | 25 |
| From of organization: |  |  |  |  |
| Individuel proprietorship ................................... | 2 | $\eta$ | - | 4 |
| Partnership ........................................................ | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Incorporated company ....................................... | 5 | 11 | 4 | 20 |
| Distribution of sales: |  |  |  |  |
| To retailers............................................................. | 98. 99 | 90.99 | 93. 39 | 93. 10 |
| To wholesa lers ................................................... \% | 1.01 | 5. 51 | 6.11 | 5. 76 |
| To large users ${ }^{1}$...................................................... | - | 3. 50 | 0.50 | 1.14 |

1. Industries, hospitals, institutions etc.

## REVIEW OF 1955 OPERATING RESUITS

## Prof it and Expense Ratios

The average gross profit for all sizes of footwear wholesalers was 16.40 per cent of average net sales. The total operating expense ratio of 14.52 per cent reduced the gross profit to a net profit of 1.88 per cent. The sales-size category " $\$ 500,000$ and over" had the highest net operating profit (2.25 per cent); this was due mainly to the lowest expense ratio of the three groups ( 14.20 per cent) deducted from 16.45 per cent gross profit. The $\$ 200,000$ to $\$ 499,999$ sales category obtained the lowest gross profit, 15.75 per cent, only 0.85 per cent larger than the total expense ratio of 14.90 per cent. The spread between the gross profit and total expense ratios for the "Under $\$ 200,000$ " sales-size group was even smaller, leaving a net operating profit of only 0.75 per cent, between the ratios 19.00 and 18.25 per cent respectively. Non-trading activities showed a profit for the larger sales-size group, increasing the 2.25 per cent net operating profit to a net pront before income tax of 2.99. The same activity for the other two sales sizes decreased the net operating profit slightly.

## Inventories and Stock Turnover

Average inventories, expressed as proportions of average net sales of responding firms, increased in 1955 from 15.68 per cent at the beginning of the year to 17.15 per cent at the end of the year. The only group showing a decrease in inventories for the period was "Under $\$ 200,000$ " with a 5.45 per cent decline. The rate of stock tumover - average of beginning and year-end inventories divided into cost of goods sold - was 5.09 times per year. The stock tumover rates increased with size classifications, ranging from 3.88 to 5.56 times per y ear.

## Credit Sales and Receivables

Credit sales, expressed as a percentage of net sales, were 89.74 per cent for all footwear wholesalers, a decrease from the 1953 survey ratio of 98.03 per cent. The range by size classes was from 87.48 to 96.38 per cent. Accounts receivable as at the end of the year were 16.37 per cent of credit sales, an increase from the 1953 ratio of 15.51 per cent. The smallest sales-size category had the highest ratio ( 23.48 per cent) while the largest group had the lowest ratio ( 14,48 per cent).

TABLE 9. Operating Results of Footwear Wholesalers, 1955, by Size of Firm

| Item | Firms with 1955 sales of |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { sizes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under $\$ 200,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500,000 \\ & \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Number of firms reporting | 7 | 14 | 4 | 25 |
| Average net sales per firm ........................................ | 122,390 | 314.500 | 3,684,862 | 799.967 |
| Average cost of goods sold ................................... \$ | 99, 140 | 264,980 | 3,078,680 | 668, 736 |
| Stock turnover (times per year) | 3. 88 | 4. 18 | 5. 56 | 5. 09 |
| Average beginning inventory $\qquad$ \$ <br> Per cent of average net sales $\qquad$ | 28,901 23,61 | 59,934 19,06 | $\begin{array}{r} 523,817 \\ 14.21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125,466 \\ 15.68 \end{array}$ |
| Average ending inventory $\qquad$ \$ Per cent of average net sales $\qquad$ | 22,223 18.16 | 66,819 21.25 | $\begin{array}{r} 584,548 \\ 15.86 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137,169 \\ 17.15 \end{array}$ |
| Gross profit | 19.00 | 15. 75 | 16.45 | 1640 |
| Operating expenses: <br> Selling expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries ... | 3. 75 | 2. 66 | 3. 51 | 3. 33 |
| Travelling | 1. 13 | 1. 45 | 0. 50 | 0. 74 |
| Advertising | 0. 18 | 0. 17 | 0. 25 | 0. 23 |
| Other selling expense | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0. 15 | 0. 15 |
| Total selling expense | 5. 37 | 4. 39 | 4.41 | 4. 45 |
| Warehouse and delivery expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages | 1. 69 | 1. 71 | 1. 39 | 1.47 |
| Alantenance of delivery equipment ................ | 0.53 | 0. 30 | 0.10 | 0. 16 |
| Outward freight | 0.86 | 0. 25 | 1. 15 | 0.94 |
| Warehouse supplies | 0.11 | 0. 13 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| Other warehouse and delivery expense ......... | - | 0.09 | 0. 23 | 0. 19 |
| Total warehouse and delivery expense ... | 3.19 | 2. 48 | 2. 95 | 288 |
| Administrative and general expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries ......................... | 5.19 0.24 | 4.26 0.07 | 4. 36 0.09 | 4. 37 0.09 |
| Occupancy .............. | 1. 71 | 1. 46 | 0. 91 | 1. 06 |
|  | 0.36 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.09 |
| Insurance ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0. 21 |
| Office supplies | 0. 25 | 0.15 | 0. 36 | 0. 31 |
|  | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0. 16 |
| Bad debts-amount written off | 0.68 | 0.38 | 0. 22 | 0. 27 |
| All other expense ............................................ | 0.62 | 1.01 | 0.55 | 0. 65 |
| Total administrative and general expense | 9.69 | 803 | 6. 84 | \%. 22 |
| Total operating expenses ....................................... | 18. 25 | 14. 90 | 14. 20 | 14.52 |
| Net operating profit | 0.75 | 0.85 | 2. 25 | 1. 88 |
| Non-trading income | 0. 59 | 0. 19 | 0.95 | 0.77 |
| Non-trading expense ............................................. | 0.64 | 0. 32 | 0. 21 | 0. 26 |
| Net mrofit before income tax deduction................. | 0. 70 | 0. 72 | 2. 99 | 239 |
| Miscellaneous Data |  |  |  |  |
| Credit seles: |  |  |  |  |
| Average per firm | 115.570 | 303,128 | 3,223,548 | $717,879$ |
| Per cent of average net sales .......................... | 94.43 | 96. 38 | $87.48$ | $89.74$ |
| Accounts outstanding: |  |  |  |  |
| Average per firm ................................................ ${ }^{\text {\$ }}$ | 27. 140 | 62.987 | $466,643$ | 117,535 |
| Per cent of average credit sales ...................... | 23. 48 | 20. 78 | 14. 48 | $16.37$ |
| Sales per 1,000 sq. ft. of floor space .................... \$ | 60, 333 | 58, 241 | 60.907 | 60, 275 |

1. Excludes amount attributed to real estate which is in occupancy expense.

## Incorporated Companies 1955

Incorporated firms showed a higher gross profit ratio and also a higher total operating expense ratio compared to "all firms" results. Total all sizes for "incorporated" and "all firms" ratios were very similar as a result of the influence of " $\$ 500,000$
and over" sal es-size group which was comprised of incorporated firms only. The "under $\$ 200,000$ " category after the exclusion of unincorporated firms showed an operating loss.

TABLE 10. Operating Results of Incorporated Footwear Wholesalers, 1955, by Size of Firm


1. Operating loss.

## Comparison of 1953 and 1985 Results

Combined results of 25 firms are presented in table 9, being the best ratios available for 1955. Consistency in reporting between years is not achieved, and as a result data published for the two years are not for identical firms. For best comparison of changes between 1953 and 1955 the results of 19 firms reporting for both years were tabulated. These comparisons appear in the following table.

Profft and expense ratios represent portions of the average net sales dollar. Because average profit and expense figures per firm are expressed as percentages of average net sales per firm, it is necessary to take into account the rate of change of these average net sales when comparing ratios applicable to different surveys. That is, if net sales increased $10 \%$ from $\$ 1,000,000$ in 1953 to $\$ 1,100,000$ in 1955, and rent showed a proportionate increase from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 5,500$, the rent expense ratios for the two years would be identical. However, if rent remained unchanged, or increased less than
$10 \%$, the rent expense ratio would show a decrease. To interpret a change in ratios between two years correctly, it is therefore necessary to note changes in net sales. Ratios reduce the effect of multiple establishment firms and may be used with a high degree of accuracy as typical of the trade. The effects of normal sales variations and changes in price levels also tend to be eliminated when results are expressed as ratios. Therefore, ratios of two surveys may be compared, but the rate of change of average net sales per firm should be noted,

Average netsales decreased in 1955 to $\$ 524,944$ from $\$ 557,646$ in 1953. The gross profit ratio was slightly lower at 13.32 per cent in 1955 compared to 13.36 per cent in 1953. This decrease coupled with an increase in total operating expenses (12.06 per cent in 1955 from 12.00 per cent in 1953), resulted in a smaller net profit. In 1955, the net operating profit stood at 1.26 from 1.36 per cent in 1953.

TABLE 11. Operating Results of Footwear Wholesalers 1953 and 1955 Compared

| Item | 1953 | 1955 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of firms.. | 19 | 19 |
| Average net sales per firm .......................................................................... \$ | 557.646 | 524.944 |
|  | (Per cent of net sales) |  |
| Gross profit.................................................................................................... | 13. 36 | 13. 32 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |
| Selling .............. | 3. 68 | 3.60 |
| Warehouse and delivery.. | 2. 08 | 2. 42 |
| Administrative and general | 6.24 | 6. 04 |
| Total operating expenses ............................................................................ | 1200 | 1206 |
| Net operating proftt .................................................................................... | 136 | 1. 26 |

## OPERATING RESULTS OF FOOTWEAR WHOLESALERS BY SIZE OF FIRM

GROSS PROFIT = NET PROFIT + SELLING + WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY + ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES



[^0]:    1. Operating loss.
[^1]:    1. Excludes amount attributed to real estate which is in occupancy expense.
    2. Operating loss.
