




Environment Canada
Conservation and Protection

Wastewater Technology Centre

THE MOE/CAEAL INTERLABORATORY STUDY

REPORT ON THE PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION OF SAMPLES
FOR BOD, SUSPENDED SOLIDS, PH AND PHOSPHORUS



Prepared By: P. CHILD, J. FRASER AND K. ASPILA

For: Dr. P. Sly, Canadian Association of Environmental Analytical Laboratories
Ottawa, Ontario

Date: March 15, 1991

Technology Development Branch

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- i. Acknowledgements
- ii. List of Tables and Figures
- iii. Abbreviations

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2.0 INTRODUCTION

3.0 TECHNICAL APPROACH

3.1 STUDY DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

3.2 PREPARATION OF REFERENCE MATERIALS

- 3.2.1 Preparation of BOD and Suspended Solids Standards
- 3.2.2 Preparation of pH Samples
- 3.2.3 Preparation of Total Phosphorus Standards

3.3 DATA EVALUATION

- 3.3.1 Ranking to Discern Bias
- 3.3.2 Flagging Results
- 3.3.3 Performance within a Study
- 3.3.4 Appraisals
- 3.3.5 Evaluation of Lab Performance on Duplicate Pairs

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 SUMMARY OF LAB PARTICIPATION

4.2 VERIFICATION OF REFERENCE MATERIAL CONTENT

- 4.2.1 Suspended Solids
- 4.2.2 BOD
- 4.2.3 PH
- 4.2.4 Phosphorus

4.3 SUMMARY OF RESULTS FROM PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

4.4 ON THE ASSIGNMENT OF FLAGS, BIAS AND PERFORMANCE

4.5 COMPARISON OF COMMERCIAL AND GOVERNMENT LAB PERFORMANCE

4.6 COMPARISON OF METHODS USED FOR TOTAL PHOSPHORUS

4.7 LAB PERFORMANCE ON DUPLICATE PAIRS

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.0 REFERENCES

7.0 APPENDICES

Appendix 1: List of Participants

Appendix 2: Summary of data for all parameters

Appendix 3: Youden assessments (Bias and Flag assignments)

Appendix 4: Comparison of laboratory performance

Appendix 5: Laboratory specific appraisals

Appendix 6: Graphics output

Appendix 7: Comparison of government and commercial labs

Appendix 8: Data summaries for the various phosphorus methods

Appendix 9: Correspondence with laboratories

i. Acknowledgements

This report was prepared by P. Child and J. Fraser of the Wastewater Technology Centre and K. Aspila of the National Water Research Institute. The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance of the following members of the project team:

P. Fowlie, Ayman Youssef, Anada Bose, and Marrianne Austen of the WTC and Karen Ranstoller and Chris Vogel of the NWRI.

The authors also wish to acknowledge the contribution of Bert Francoeur for performing pH and phosphorus analyses.

ii. List of Tables and Figures:

- Table 1: Reagents used to prepare synthetic pH standards
- Table 2: Reagents used for the preparation of phosphorus standards
- Table 3: Summary of laboratory participation
- Table 4: Verification of pH standards
- Table 5: Verification of total phosphorus standards
- Table 6: Summary of results
- Table 7: Comparison of interlaboratory median values
- Table 8: Comparison of frequency of flags and bias between government and commercial labs
- Table 9: Comparison of interlaboratory median values for phosphorus
- Table 10: Comparison of interlaboratory precision for phosphorus
- Table 11: Summary of between duplicate standard deviations
- Figure 1: Typical examples of laboratory performance
- Figure 2: Comparison of measured suspended solids for sample SS1 with bottle number
- Figure 3: Comparison of measured BOD for sample BOD1 with bottle number
- Figure 4: Measured results for sample PH6 as a function of time between shipping date and analysis date
- Figure 5: Ratio of values obtained for samples PH6 and PH5 versus time elapsed before analysis
- Figure 6: The precision function for suspended solids
- Figure 7: The precision function for BOD
- Figure 8: The precision function for pH
- Figure 9: The precision function for phosphorus
- Figure 10: Plot of the duplicate pairs for suspended solids
- Figure 11: Plot of the duplicate pairs for BOD
- Figure 12: Plot of the duplicate pairs for phosphorus

iii. Abbreviations

WTC:	Wastewater Technology Centre
NWRI:	National Water Research Institute
NWQL:	National Water Quality Laboratory
CAEAL:	Canadian Association of Environmental Analytical Laboratories
MOE:	Ministry of the Environment, Ontario
USEPA:	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
BOD:	biochemical oxygen demand
STP:	sewage treatment plant
WPCP:	water pollution control plant (STP)
mg/L	milligram/litre (ppm)
g:	grams
Kg:	kilograms
Milli-Q:	water purification system marketed by Millipore, produces 18 megaohm water
BAE:	basic acceptable error
LLBAE:	lower limit for use of basic acceptable error
CEI:	concentration error increment
Phosphorus:	Refers in this report to total phosphorus

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

This interlaboratory study was commissioned by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE) to evaluate the capability of labs to measure the common sewer-use parameters; biochemical oxygen demand, suspended solids, pH, phosphorus and metals.

The overall administration of the study was carried out by the Canadian Association of Environmental Analytical Laboratories (CAEAL). The technical aspects of the study including sample preparation, sample distribution, and data evaluation for the first four parameters were carried out by the Wastewater Technology Centre (WTC) and the National Water Research Institute (NWRI). The metals portion of the study was carried out by the National Research Council (NRC). This report describes the interlaboratory study carried out by the WTC and NWRI under CAEAL contract number 90-504, to assess biochemical oxygen demand, suspended solids, pH and total phosphorus.

We approached this study with two objectives in mind. The first was to assess the relative performance of the labs with respect to the accuracy and precision of their suspended solids, BOD, pH and phosphorus results. The second was to identify deficiencies in specific analytical methods, where possible within the limits of the experimental design.

Ninety two laboratories participated in the study. Of these, 52 were provincial, municipal or regional government facilities. The provincial labs were, in most cases, sewage treatment plant laboratories. The remaining 40 participants were commercial, industrial or university facilities.

Technical Approach

The basic design of this study was determined at a meeting of representatives of the MOE, CAEAL, WTC, NWRI and NRC held at the MOE Rexdale offices on October 11, 1990. It was decided that for each parameter a series of 8 or 9 synthetic samples would be prepared. Each series was to contain a blank, where applicable, and two sets of duplicates, one at the low end and one at the high end of the concentration range. The remainder of the samples were to span the range of concentrations normally encountered in sewage samples. From this range of concentrations, an assessment of the precision and bias could be made.

The samples were sent to the participants in two phases. Phase I was comprised of samples for analysis of BOD and suspended solids. These were shipped on ice by courier on November 14, 1990. Results were requested by December 31. Phase 2 was comprised of samples for pH and phosphorus. They were shipped on January 14, 1991. Results were requested by January 31.

Several techniques were used to assess data in this study. These included a non-parametric technique to discern bias and the assignment of flags to results that deviate significantly from target values. The combination of the two; flagging data and discerning bias, provided a powerful technique for reviewing performance. Laboratory-specific appraisals were produced by a computer reading of Youden outputs. The appraisals provided snapshot statements of the success or failure of each laboratory in the measurement process.

As the sample sets for suspended solids, BOD and phosphorus each contained two pairs of duplicates, we also assessed laboratory performance with respect to the agreement of the duplicates. A pooled estimate of the variance of the difference between duplicate results was used in the assessment. Results falling more than two standard deviations from the mean difference were flagged as questionable.

Findings

The overall response from the participating laboratories was about 90%. In both phases of the study there was a slightly higher response for the commercial labs than from the government labs, but the differences were marginal.

Suspended Solids

The results show that the median suspended solids result fell within 10% of the expected value in each case. As expected, the mean value was skewed by a few outlying results but generally agrees well with the design value.

The suspended solids results generally showed a high degree of variability, with a coefficient of variation ($100 \times$ standard deviation/mean) ranging from 14% at 55 mg/L to 47% at 12 mg/L.

It is of concern that 14 of 71 laboratories reported a non zero suspended solids value for a sample which contained only pure water. In some cases, results of several ppm were reported. While we do not anticipate labs having difficulty in accurately determining suspended solids at levels of 100-200 mg/L that are generally encountered in compliance with sewer use bylaws, some problems may be encountered with compliance limits of 10-15 mg/L where the results are expected to be less reliable.

Biochemical Oxygen Demand

The BOD results showed a higher degree of variability than the solids. The coefficient of variation ranged from 31% at 35 mg/L to 84% for the 5 mg/L sample.

The results of this study indicate that labs should generally not have difficulty measuring BOD at typical sewer use compliance concentrations (150-300 mg/L), however, values in the

10-15 mg/L range may be suspect.

Phosphorus

As the results of skewing by outlying results, the mean phosphorus results fell up to 35% from the target. In all but one case, the medians fell within 5% of the expected value. The exception was the 2.2 mg/L standard, where the median lay 10% from the target. Analysis of this sample by two Environment Canada laboratories confirmed the calculated value, suggesting that the fault does not lie with the sample itself but may indicate a general failure of the phosphorus methods at concentrations of 1.5 to 2 ppm.

The coefficient of variation for these samples ranged from 26% at 2.2 mg/L to over 100% at 0.15 mg/L.

About one half of the participating labs reported non-zero values for the phosphorus blank, which was prepared from pure water preserved with 0.3% sulphuric acid. Of these, 32% (13/41) reported values greater than 0.1 ppm. The mean value for the blank over all labs was 0.17 ± 0.70 mg/L. Thus, a large percentage of labs would report values greater than 0.5ppm on a sample containing no phosphorus. Many labs would have difficulty accurately measuring phosphorus down to a compliance limit of 0.5ppm such as has been proposed for STP effluent.

pH

The mean and median results of the pH analyses were in all cases extremely close to the target values. The coefficient of variation for these samples ranged from 1.6-3.0% for synthetic standards to 3.2-3.6 for sewage plant effluent and creek water samples. The higher variability in measurements of the natural samples may reflect some sample instability or a problem measuring real samples.

Within-Lab Precision

Twenty four % of the labs produced flagged results for one pair of BOD duplicates. Nine % were flagged for both pairs. Thirty two % of labs were flagged for one suspended solids pair, while nine % were flagged for both pairs. In the phosphorus series, thirty four % of labs were flagged in at least one of the duplicate pairs. Only one lab (1.4%) was flagged for both phosphorus pairs. Three % of labs were flagged for all four pairs in the BOD and solids series but no labs were flagged for all six pairs.

Conclusions and Recommendations

The Youden bias assessment showed that less than 20% of the labs were biased with respect to their suspended solids, BOD, pH and phosphorus results. Program and laboratory

managers as well as analysts are encouraged to review their lab-specific appraisals, performance summaries and graphics to assess whether their labs produce data of sufficient quality to meet their requirements

The results displayed a high degree of variability for BOD and suspended solids, rendering low level measurements from a large fraction of labs unreliable. Interpretation of these results would be difficult without a great deal of work to improve the precision or independently confirm the results. Our study indicates that a significant portion of labs would have difficulty providing reliable results for BOD and SS in the proposed compliance range of 10 to 15 mg/L.

The results indicate that most labs would have little difficulty in obtaining reliable pH results in the compliance range of 5 to 9 pH units. The results of the real effluent samples were, however, much more erratic than the synthetic standards. Further work would be required to establish whether this was a problem with the sample itself or the laboratory measurements.

The phosphorus results indicate that most labs are able to produce results approximating the target values however, the results over all labs are characterized by a high degree of variability and a possible difficulty in accurately measuring phosphorus concentrations greater than 1.5 mg/L. A high percentage of labs reported a value greater than their detection limit for the phosphorus blank. This could complicate the interpretation of results at the proposed compliance limit of 0.5 mg/L.

Commercial and government laboratories appear to perform equally well based on interlab median values for all four parameters. Further work using real effluent samples would be required to firmly establish any differences in performance.

Laboratories using the HACH method for phosphorus often underestimate the concentration of phosphorus in distilled water standards (0 to 2 mg/L range). In the hands of some labs, the method was often adequate but many others underestimated phosphorus in an apparently random manner.

We recommend that a copy of this report be distributed to the participating labs to allow lab staff involved in making measurements the opportunity to react to the findings and correct any problems that may have been identified.

We also recommend that followup studies be implemented for phosphorus and pH in particular, using real effluent matrices in order to clarify the erratic behaviour of the pH and phosphorus results, clarify the deviations of labs employing the HACH method, and establish whether any differences exist between the commercial and government lab sectors when analyzing real effluents.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

This interlaboratory study was commissioned by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE) to evaluate the capability of labs to measure the common sewer-use parameters; biochemical oxygen demand, suspended solids, pH, phosphorus and metals.

The overall administration of the study was carried out by the Canadian Association of Environmental Analytical Laboratories (CAEAL). The technical aspects of the study including sample preparation, sample distribution, and data evaluation for the first four parameters were carried out by the Wastewater Technology Centre (WTC) and the National Water Research Institute (NWRI). The metals portion of the study was carried out by the National Research Council (NRC). This report describes the interlaboratory study carried out by the WTC and NWRI under CAEAL contract number 90-504, to assess biochemical oxygen demand, suspended solids, pH and total phosphorus.

We approached this study with two objectives in mind. The first was to assess the relative performance of the labs with respect to the accuracy and precision of their suspended solids, BOD, pH and phosphorus results. The second was to identify deficiencies in specific analytical methods, where possible within the limits of the experimental design.

Ninety two laboratories participated in the study. Of these, 52 were provincial, municipal or regional government facilities. The provincial labs were, in most cases, sewage treatment plant laboratories. The remaining 40 participants were commercial, industrial or university facilities. A list of the participants is included in Appendix 1.

The majority of the analyses were carried out in-house by each laboratory, however several provincial laboratories reported that their normal procedure was to send samples to a central lab for analysis. Edwardsburg, Merrickville, Petawawa and Deloro WPCPs reported routinely sending phosphorus samples to the MOE lab in Kingston. Trenton, Frankfurt and Battawa WPCPs send their BOD samples to the MOE lab in Belleville. The Frankfurt and Battawa plants send their suspended solids samples to Trenton for analysis. The Corunna WPCP routinely sends samples to the MOE London lab for BOD and solids tests.

3.0 TECHNICAL APPROACH

3.1 STUDY DESIGN

The basic design of this study was determined at a meeting of representatives of the MOE, CAEAL, WTC, NWRI and NRC held at the MOE Rexdale offices on October 11, 1990. It was decided that for each parameter a series of 8 or 9 samples would be prepared. Each series was to contain a blank, where applicable, and two sets of duplicates, one at the low end and one at the high end of the concentration range. The remainder of the samples were to span the range of concentrations normally encountered in sewage samples. From this range of concentrations, an assessment of the precision and bias could be made.

Though natural samples were considered, it was recognized that synthetic materials would offer greater overall precision at the required target concentrations. The goal therefore became the design of a matrix that would possess the required stability and homogeneity, as well as having the appearance of a natural effluent. Such a solution should be relatively inert to biological, chemical or physical degradation at natural pH ranges, thereby remaining stable over the study period.

The specifics for each parameter are as follows:

Suspended Solids:

A total of 9 samples were to cover the range of 5-40 mg/L suspended solids, and be prepared from synthetic material. The series was to include 1 blank and 2 sets of duplicates, one at each end of the analytical range.

BOD: A total of 8 samples covering the range of 5-40 mg/L BOD were to be prepared from synthetic material. The series was to include 2 sets of duplicates, one at each end of the analytical range. A blank was not necessary.

pH: Samples covering the range of 5-9 pH units were to be prepared from standard material. It was recommended that preparations of high and low ionic strength be provided. Carbonate buffers were to be avoided.

Total Phosphorus:

A total of 8 samples covering the range of 0-2.5 mg/L total phosphorus, were to be prepared from standard material. The series will include 1 blank and 2 sets of duplicates, as above.

The list of participating laboratories was provided by the MOE and each was issued a unique code number by CAEAL. These code numbers were used in all subsequent correspondence to ensure the confidentiality of the results.

The samples were sent to the participants in two phases. Phase I was comprised of samples for analysis of BOD and suspended solids. These were shipped in ice by courier on November 14, 1990. Results were requested by December 31. Phase 2 was comprised of samples for pH and phosphorus. They were shipped on January 14, 1991. Results were requested by January 31.

After each deadline, a summary of the raw results were returned to the participants with a request that they check for data entry errors. The labs were advised at the outset that changes to results, other than data entry errors occurring in the computer entry process, could not be made at this time.

3.2 PREPARATION OF REFERENCE MATERIALS

3.2.1 Preparation of BOD and Suspended Solids Standards

The study solutions were formulated from a naturally occurring organic resin combined with a naturally occurring inorganic material with particle size greater than 0.2 um.

The suspended solids and BOD reference solutions were combined in one solution by incorporating appropriate masses of glutamic acid and glucose.

The calculated masses of the four components were weighed individually on a four place analytical balance. The organic/inorganic medium was then added to an appropriate volume of cold, nitrogen purged tap water being mixed by a vortex mixer at 1700 RPM. in a 300 litre polyethylene tank.

All weights and volumes were audited by at least one other person.

Following a 30 minute mixing period the glucose/glutamic acid component was added and was followed by a further 10 minute mixing. A spigot at the bottom of the tank was opened to a constant flow position and sampling was commenced.

Samples were taken in pre-rinsed 500mL polyethylene containers. The bottles were numbered sequentially and were filled in order. This was done to allow us to track which bottles were sent to which laboratory. It was the intent to monitor the measured results against bottle number to determine whether there was any systematic variation in solids concentration from the top of the tank to the bottom.

The bottles were placed in a cold room at 4.0°C at the completion of the sampling run. The runs were completed over a two day period. Following one week of cold storage the solutions were packed in coolers with freezer packs and shipped to the participants. In every case delivery was made within 24 hours of shipping.

3.2.2 Preparation of pH Standards

A set of eight pH standards were prepared for the interlaboratory study. Of these, five were synthetic and three were prepared from sewage plant effluent or natural creek water.

The synthetic standards were prepared in new 50 litre polyethylene carboys according to methods described in reference 1. All reagents were supplied by Fisher Chemical and water treated by reverse osmosis was used for dilution. The standards were prepared according to the following procedure:

- 1) One weighed portion of water (22.53 Kg) was added to a carboy.

2) Reagents were added followed by a second weighed portion of water (22.53 Kg). The dry reagents were further rinsed into the carboy using a measured amount of water to bring the total volume to 50 litres.

3) The mixtures were stirred until all reagents were dissolved.

4) The solutions were stored without preservation at 4°C until bottling.

5) The solutions were transferred into new 250 mL polyethylene containers and were kept at 4°C until shipping (about one week).

The quantities of reagents used to prepare the synthetic standards are summarized in Table 1.

TABLE 1: Reagents Used to Prepare Synthetic PH Standards

Sample Number	Target pH	Volume of Water (litres)	Volume of 1 N NaOH (litres)	Potassium Hydrogen Phthalate (g)	Potassium Dihydrogen Phosphate (g)	Boric Acid (g)
PH2	5.0	48.80	1.19	510.58	-	-
PH8	6.4	49.37	0.63	-	340.23	-
PH1	7.4	48.02	1.98	-	340.23	-
PH3	8.4	48.57	0.43	-	-	154.58
PH5	9.0	48.93	1.07	-	-	154.58

The natural samples used as pH standards in this study were based on STP effluent collected in October 1990 from the Skyway Waste Water Control Plant in Burlington, Ontario, and water collected in the summer of 1990 from Spencer Creek in Burlington.

The sewage plant effluent was centrifuged shortly after collection and autoclaved at 90°C for at least 15 minutes. The material was then stored at 4°C. A portion of this effluent was later diluted by 33% with reverse osmosis water. For use in this study, these materials were

directly bottled in 250 mL polyethylene containers. Neither of these preparations contained any preservative.

The Spencer Creek water was collected by NWRI and was stored unpreserved at 4°C until use.

The pH of the natural samples was determined at the time of collection and immediately prior to use in this study. These results are presented in Section 4.2.3 of this report.

3.2.3 The Preparation of Total Phosphorus Standards

A set of eight phosphorus standards were prepared for the interlaboratory study. All were synthetic and, as discussed in section 3.1, the series incorporated two pairs of duplicates and one blank.

The standards were prepared in 50 litre polypropylene carboys, pretreated with three Milli-Q water rinses, a soak in 0.3% sulphuric acid and three more Milli-Q water rinses. The solutions were prepared according to the following general protocol:

- 1) A weighed amount of water (about 20 Kg) was added to a carboy.
- 2) Concentrated sulphuric acid was added to bring the final concentration to 0.3%.
- 3) The required amount of a 1000 mg-P/L stock solution (SPEX Industries Inc) was pipetted into 250 mL water in a 500 mL beaker. This solution was then transferred to the carboy and the weight taken.
- 4) After each addition, the weight or volume was checked by a second analyst and the record initialled.
- 5) The contents were stirred for one minute with an electric mixer.
- 6) The solutions were stored at room temperature until bottling.
- 7) The solutions were bottled in 125 mL glass containers which had been prewashed in chromic/sulphuric acid and rinsed with Milli-Q water.

The quantities of reagents used to prepare the standards are summarized in Table 2.

TABLE 2: Reagents Used for the Preparation of Phosphorus Standards

Sample Number	Target Conc. (mg/L)	Phosphorus Stock* (mL)	Water (Kg)	Sulphuric Acid (mL)
TP1	2.199	40.0	18.071	75
TP2	1.027	20.0	19.353	75
TP3	1.563	30.0	19.062	75
TP4	1.538	30.0	19.372	75
TP5	blank	0	19.040	75
TP6	0.539	10.0	18.437	75
TP7	0.154	3.00	19.315	75
TP8	0.156	3.00	19.089	75

*: 1000 mg/L Total Phosphorus concentrate

3.3 DATA EVALUATION

The assessment of data in this project was approached by several techniques. The first and perhaps most important was the non-parametric technique to discern bias. Bias is considered critical since it is a systematic error normally attributed to an incorrect calibration. It is a correctable error.

The second approach was the assignment of flags to results that deviated significantly from target values. The combination of the two; flagging data and discerning bias, provide a technique for reviewing performance. These procedures are described below. Additional information can be found in the literature (references 2,3,4,5,6,7).

The discussion below relates to the data files found in Appendix 3. The reader is advised to review these Youden output files in the context of the narrative below. Additional details are available in a manual dealing with external QA assessment (reference 3).

3.3.1 Ranking to Discern Bias

The Youden bias assessment technique is a non-parametric process in which a matrix of results (for example; 10 samples and 50 laboratories) is converted into a matrix of ranks. Each sample (with say 50 results) is ranked such that the lowest result is assigned a rank of 1, the second lowest has a rank 2 and so on. The highest results has a rank of 50 if there are 50 laboratories. When laboratories report "equal values" then an average rank is assigned. Examples are provided by Youden in references 4 and 5.

The next step in the ranking process is to review the total laboratory rank (sum of ranks) or the average rank. The immediate impact is the recognition that some laboratories have an overall rank which is very high or very low. The question to resolve is whether these anomalously high or low ranks are rare events (less than 5% chance of occurring) or could occur naturally by chance.

To evaluate if bias exists, one needs to use a traditional hypothesis test. First, it is assumed that no bias exists. The next steps is to calculate the probability of total ranks from the matrix that is composed of ranks (eg. 10 by 50). This calculation (found in gambling handbooks) is synonymous with calculating the probability of scores when 10 dice (samples) are thrown and each dice has 50 sides (50 labs). The probabilities of interest are the very high and very low scores. When extreme scores are found in the matrix of ranks with occurrence probabilities of less than 5%, then the null hypothesis is rejected and the lab data set is declared biased. The risk of declaring a lab biased, when it is not, is one chance in 20.

Non-parametric tests are powerful procedures for discerning small systematic errors in calibrations. In some cases, the decision is valid but is so slight that some laboratories are unable to adjust their calibration to remove the slight differences between their standards and the error implied from the interlaboratory study evaluation. The bias of some labs is

sometimes so severe (10 to 30% error) that bias assessment by Youden's ranking method need not be applied since a graphical format or simple visual review of the matrix results is adequate (refer to Youden output in Appendix 3).

The Youden bias assessment in many large studies can successfully address and discern the presence of innaccuracy in the laboratory measurement process. The rigour with which this method identifies inaccuracies is clouded when serious blank issues occur or if the entire group of labs is in error. Rarely is the entire group of labs in error, but vigilance must be maintained when difficult substrates and constituents are under review (eg. toxic organics in fish or sediments).

In other situations, where results are very erratic, the statistical inference is "no bias" simply because some results are extremely high and others extremely low. A severe lab problem nevertheless exists. To address this, a flagging formula was adopted to address poor intralaboratory precision.

3.3.2 Flagging Results

To complement bias assessment, large or small studies can use a flagging procedure that identifies a lab result as very high or very low. The flagging process and the bias assessment are two different and separate evaluation procedures. Flagging is critical since some laboratories are imprecise. In these cases, the degree of bias cannot easily be determined since there may be both very high and very low results which average out. Some examples of laboratory performance are given in Figure 1.

Formulas for flagging individual results of samples within a study have been developed for many traditional constituents. Experience has shown that within any study covering a concentration range of 1 or 2 orders of magnitude, the interlaboratory standard deviation varies and increases almost linearly from low concentration to high concentration. For a visual presentation of this, refer to the precision functions illustrated in Figures 6,7,8 and 9.

The relationship between interlaboratory precision and concentration allows for the construction of a simple formula for flagging. Three variables are required to decide if a results reported deviates sufficiently from an interlab median to warrant a flag (high or low).

The first is the basic acceptable error (BAE). This is the allowable deviation fixed over all concentrations. The second is the lower limit for use of the basic acceptable error (LLBAE). This lower limit is the concentration at which the acceptable deviation (result reported minus the median) begins to increase. The rate of increase, similar to the slope of the precision function, is referred to as the concentration error increment (CEI). These three variables (CEI,LLBAE and BAE) are given in the Youden files found in Appendix 3.




Fig. 1 Some typical types of Laboratory Performance revealed by External QA Studies

The relationship between the observed precision function and the flagging formula is quite clear. The principle issues to be resolved are the values assigned to the BAE, LLBAE and CEI. Some trial and error may be required if the information on the correct precision function is unknown. The median is chosen as a target since medians are more robust than the average values. The average, or mean, values are often influenced by extreme results. The chosen flagging criteria can be adjusted so that about 10 to 30% of all results are flagged either high (H) or low (L). When results are very different, they can be flagged very high (VH) or very low (VL). These results are those that deviate by more than 1-1/2 times the acceptable deviation. Extremely high (EH) or extremely low (EL) flags are assigned if the deviation is more than two times the acceptable deviation.

3.3.3 Performance within a Study

The performance of labs within a study can be assessed by comparing labs on frequency of flags (expressed as a percentage) and on the frequency of biased parameters. For this project with two two-parameter studies, it was decided to merge all data and summarize performance as if it were a single study with four parameters.

3.3.4 Appraisals

Laboratory-specific appraisals are produced by a computer reading of the Youden outputs (Appendix 3). The appraisals are snapshot statements of the success or failure of each laboratory in the measurement process.

3.3.5 Evaluation of Lab Performance on Duplicate pairs

The sample sets for suspended solids, BOD and phosphorus each contained two pairs of duplicates. A pooled estimate of the variance of the differences between duplicates was calculated using the formula; $S^2 = \sum d^2/2g$, where d = difference between duplicates and g = number of duplicate pairs (reference 8).

Once the pooled estimate was calculated, it was refined after rejecting those values falling outside 3 standard deviations. The difference between each pair of results was then compared against the refined pooled estimate. Differences falling outside 2 standard deviations of the mean difference were flagged as outliers.

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 SUMMARY OF LAB PARTICIPATION

Table 3 summarizes the number of respondents to the MOE/CAEAL Interlaboratory study. The respondents have been separated into groups according to whether they fall into the commercial sector (private, industry or university labs) or the government sector (municipal, regional or treatment plant labs). The deadline for submission of results was December 21, 1990 for Phase 1 and January 31, 1991 for Phase 2.

TABLE 3: Summary of Laboratory Participation

Lab Sector	Parameters	No. Participating	No. Responding	% Response
Commercial	BOD/Solids	37	35	95
	pH/Phosphorus	40	37	93
Government	BOD/Solids	39	36	92
	pH/Phosphorus	47	40	85
All groups	BOD/Solids	76	71	93
	pH/Phosphorus	87	77	89

In both phases of the study, there was a slightly higher response from the commercial labs (95 and 93% for the first and second phases of the study) than from the government labs (92% and 85 % for the first and second phases respectively). Response in this case was taken to mean that the results for at least one parameter of the two shipped in each phase of the study were reported.

4.2 VERIFICATION OF REFERENCE MATERIAL CONTENT

4.2.1 Suspended Solids

The major concerns in the formulation of the test solutions were homogeneity and stability.

To establish homogeneity, a pilot bulk preparation of 250 litres having a design value of 28 mg/L was sampled over the entire tank volume. This was carried out in an effort to reveal discrepancies in analyte concentration related to mixing. Two 1 litre samples were also taken after 1/3 and 2/3 of the bulk mixture had been dispensed. Each of these samples were then analysed six times in order to establish the within bottle variation.

For the samples collected as the tank was drained, the following results were found:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= 27.5 \text{ mg/L} \\ SD &= 1.4 \text{ mg/L} \\ CV &= 5.1 \% \end{aligned}$$

The within bottle results (6 repeat analyses from the same bottle) provided the following:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= 28.5 \text{ mg/L} \\ SD &= 1.3 \text{ mg/L} \\ CV &= 4.6 \% \end{aligned}$$

These results show that the mean and standard deviation of the results from the top to the bottom of the tank were not significantly different than those taken from one bottle. This indicated that the samples were homogenous.

During the actual bottling run, the preparations were also sampled a minimum of eight times to verify the design values and sample homogeneity. The coefficients of variation ranged from 2.5 % to 6.5 % within the individual batches.

The homogeneity of the suspended solids samples was also confirmed by the returning participant lab results as shown in Figure 2. In this plot of suspended solids concentration vs. bottling sequence (bottle number), there is a random distribution about the overall mean value. This is a direct indication of good homogeneity within the bulk preparations.




FIGURE 2: Comparison of Measured Suspended Solids for Sample SS1 Against Bottle Number

The values obtained by the participating laboratories for solids sample SS1 are plotted against bottling sequence in this figure. Bottle number 1 was filled first while bottle 80 was filled last. The central horizontal line represents the overall mean value. The upper and lower lines represent the mean ± 2 standard deviations.

To establish stability over time, 24 samples were taken at regular intervals over period of three weeks from a stock having a design value of 14 mg/L. The results are as follows:

DAY 1: X = 14.0 mg/L	SD = 1.2 mg/L	CV = 8.7 %	DAY 12: X = 14.7 mg/L	SD = 1.3 mg/L	CV = 8.8 %	DAY 20: X = 14.3 mg/L	SD = 1.0 mg/L	CV = 7.0 %
----------------------	---------------	------------	-----------------------	---------------	------------	-----------------------	---------------	------------

These results show that there was no detectable decrease in the target value or change in the variability of the measurements over the anticipated duration of the study. Monitoring of individual samples taken from the actual preparations have shown good stability over a period of three months.

4.2.2 BOD

Similar steps taken to confirm the quality of the BOD test solutions provided confirmation of both homogeneity and stability.

A homogeneity check of BOD was run at the same time and in parallel to the initial pilot suspended solids study mentioned above. As with the suspended solids, 17 samples were taken from the top of the tank to the bottom for BOD. Large samples were also taken at the 1/3 and 2/3 levels of the bulk mixture and were analysed six times each to provide the within sample variation. The target value was 20 mg/L BOD.

The between bottle samples were analysed in duplicate and the following results obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= 19.5 \text{ mg/L} \\ SD &= 0.41 \text{ mg/L} \\ CV &= 2.1 \% \end{aligned}$$

The within sample data showed :

$$\begin{aligned} X &= 19.3 \text{ mg/L} \\ SD &= 0.52 \text{ mg/L} \\ CV &= 2.7 \% \end{aligned}$$

As with the suspended solids results, there was no significant difference between the between bottle and within bottle results.

A plot of the BOD results from the participating labs against bottle number is shown in Figure 3. Once again random distribution about the overall mean clearly denotes good homogeneity of the bulk mixture.

Samples taken during the actual runs and analysed over a period of time in all cases showed coefficients of variation of less than 3.5 %. Samples taken in parallel to those sent out in the study and archived over a period of three months have shown remarkable stability with deviations from the target values of less than 10 %.




FIGURE 3: Comparison of Measured BOD for Sample BOD1 with Bottle Number

The values obtained by the participating laboratories for sample BOD1 are plotted against the order of bottling in this figure. The low bottle numbers were filled first. The horizontal line represents the mean adjusted to remove extreme outlying values.

4.2.3 PH

The pH of the standards and samples employed in this study were verified by measurements carried out in at least two laboratories. The values obtained are tabulated in Table 4. The results show that for the synthetic standards, the measured values agreed with the target values within 1% or better in each case.

TABLE 4: Verification of pH Standards

Sample Number	Target pH (pH units)	NWRI* Result (pH units)	WTC* Result (pH units)	NWQL* Result (pH units)
PH2	5.0	5.011	5.05	
PH8	6.4	6.381	6.39	
PH1	7.4	7.365	7.42	
PH3	8.4	8.372	8.30	
PH5	9.0	8.915	8.83	
PH6	STP Effluent	9.547	9.46	9.47
PH4	STP Effluent (diluted by 1/3)		8.16	8.16
PH7	Creek water		8.09	

*: NWRI; National Water Research Institute
 WTC; Wastewater Technology Centre, Inorganic Lab Group
 NWQL; National Water Quality Laboratory

4.2.4 Phosphorus

The phosphorus content of the synthetic standards used in this study were verified by measurements carried out in two laboratories prior to shipment. These results, summarized in Table 5, show that the measured values agreed with the target values within at least 3% in each case. The repeat measurements (mean and standard deviation shown) performed by the NWQL indicate that the preparations were homogenous at the time of shipping.

TABLE 5: Verification of Total Phosphorus Standards

Sample Number	Target Conc. (mg-P/L)	NWQL* Result (mg-P/L) (n=5)	WTC* Result (mg-P/L) (n=2)
TP1	2.199	2.264±0.008	2.1
TP2	1.027	1.021±0.019	1.1
TP3	1.563	1.530±0.019	1.6
TP4	1.538	1.516±0.012	1.6
TP5	blank	<0.004	<0.1
TP6	0.539	0.5310±0.0015	0.6
TP7	0.154	0.1504±0.0013	0.2
TP8	0.156	0.1513±0.0009	0.17

*: WTC; Wastewater Technology Centre, Inorganic Lab Group
NWQL; National Water Quality Laboratory

4.3 SUMMARY OF RESULTS FROM PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

The analytical results of this study are summarized in Table 6. In this table, the target or design values are given in addition to the mean, median and standard deviation of the pooled analytical results for all labs. The individual lab data are included in Appendix 2. Where possible, we have also calculated the anticipated standard deviation for each target value using the precision functions determined by the USEPA in their interlaboratory studies (reference 9).

Suspended Solids:

The results in Table 6 show that the median suspended solids result falls within 10% of the target value in each case. As expected, the mean value is skewed by a few outlying results but generally agrees well with the design value.

The close similarity of the median values to the design indicates that the samples were stable during the course of the study. This period was about one month. Our results show that aqueous preparations of this type should be stable for up to three months.

The results generally showed a high degree of variability, with a coefficient of variation (100 x standard deviation/mean) ranging from 14% at 55 mg/L to 47% at 12 mg/L. In a study conducted for the Petroleum Association for Conservation of the Canadian Environment (Reference 10), a coefficient of variation of 18% was found for a 30 mg/L sample sent to 13 labs. In a study cited in reference 11, a variation of 35% was reported for a sample containing 15 mg/L suspended solids. These results are generally comparable to those of the present study.

It is of concern that 14 of 71 laboratories reported a non zero value for sample SS5, which contained only Milli-Q water. In some cases, results of several ppm were reported. While we do not anticipate labs having difficulty in accurately determining suspended solids at levels of 100-200 mg/L that are generally encountered in compliance with sewer use bylaws, some problems may be encountered with compliance limits of 10-15 mg/L where the results are generally less reliable.

TABLE 8: Overall Summary of Results

Sample Number	Parameter	Target Value*	Units	Overall Mean	Overall Median	Standard Deviation	USEPA Std. Dev.**	False Positives***
SS1	S.Solids	22	mg/L	19.70	20.00	5.01		
SS2	S.Solids	12	mg/L	11.82	11.00	5.54		
SS3	S.Solids	29	mg/L	29.69	30.00	5.14		
SS4	S.Solids	22	mg/L	20.44	20.00	5.29		
SS5	S.Solids	0	mg/L	0.98	0.00	2.66		14/71
SB1	S.Solids	45	mg/L	45.33	46.00	5.41		
SB2	S.Solids	38	mg/L	39.87	39.00	7.56		
SB3	S.Solids	55	mg/L	58.99	59.00	8.50		
SB4	S.Solids	45	mg/L	45.98	46.00	5.82		
BOD1	Total BOD	9	mg/L	13.70	10.00	32.04	1.45	
BOD2	Total BOD	5	mg/L	5.99	5.32	5.05	1.05	
BOD3	Total BOD	17	mg/L	20.95	18.00	25.93	2.25	
BOD4	Total BOD	9	mg/L	12.81	10.00	26.77	1.45	
SB1	Total BOD	32	mg/L	31.58	31.00	7.91	3.75	
SB2	Total BOD	24	mg/L	26.97	25.00	9.25	2.95	
SB3	Total BOD	35	mg/L	35.21	34.00	10.77	4.05	
SB4	Total BOD	32	mg/L	24.26	31.40	11.85	3.75	
TP1	Phosphorus	2.20	mg/L	1.81	2.00	0.57	0.12	
TP2	Phosphorus	1.03	mg/L	0.95	1.00	0.30	0.061	
TP3	Phosphorus	1.56	mg/L	1.37	1.50	0.39	0.089	
TP4	Phosphorus	1.54	mg/L	1.35	1.48	0.40	0.088	
TP5	Phosphorus	0	mg/L	0.17	0.03	0.70	0.055	41/73
TP6	Phosphorus	0.539	mg/L	0.49	0.52	0.21	0.034	
TP7	Phosphorus	0.154	mg/L	0.18	0.16	0.13	0.014	
TP8	Phosphorus	0.156	mg/L	0.23	0.16	0.57	0.014	

Sample Number	Parameter	Target Value*	Units	Overall Mean	Overall Median	Standard Deviation	USEPA Std. Dev.**	False Positives***
PH1	pH	7.4	pH units	7.43	7.45	0.12	0.069	
PH2	pH	5.0	pH units	5.08	5.05	0.12	0.034	
PH3	pH	8.4	pH units	8.27	8.30	0.24	0.084	
PH4	pH	8.16 #	pH units	7.72	7.70	0.28		
PH5	pH	9.0	pH units	8.87	8.91	0.22	0.093	
PH6	pH	9.46 ##	pH units	9.19	9.20	0.36		
PH7	pH	8.1 ###	pH units	8.01	8.06	0.26		
PH8	pH	6.4	pH units	6.43	6.43	0.11	0.055	

*: Based on WTC lab results for BOD and Solids,
Calculated from reagents added for pH and Phosphorus

**: Calculated from USEPA precision function as described in Ref 9

***: Value above MDL reported for blank

#: Skyway STP effluent, diluted by 1/3

##: Skyway STP effluent, undiluted

###: Spencer Creek water

BOD:

As with the solids results, the median BOD value fell within 10% of the target while the means were skewed by outlying results. The closeness of the medians to the expected result again indicates that the samples were stable through the course of the study. Our results indicate that the samples are stable for about three months when refrigerated.

The BOD results showed a higher degree of variability than the solids. The coefficient of variation ranged from 31% at 35 mg/L to 84% for the 5 mg/L sample. As evident from Table 6, this variability is much greater than that expected from the USEPA between-lab precision data (reference 9). There are several possible reasons for this. Firstly, no data were rejected during the mean calculations in our study. The rejection criteria used in the two studies are probably different. Secondly, the results of this study were obtained by a wide variety of methods while those of the USEPA were determined by uniform legislated methods. Thirdly, many of the labs in the current study are not professional analytical labs, but focus primarily on treatment plant optimization. The labs incorporated in the USEPA studies are usually commercial and government labs specializing in analysis. All of these factors would increase the between-lab variability in the present study. The results described in section 4.2 of this report indicate that the samples distributed were homogenous and did not contribute unduly to the interlab variability.

The results of this study indicate that labs should generally not have difficulty measuring BOD at typical sewer use compliance concentrations (150-300 mg/L), however, values in the 10-15 mg/L range may be suspect.

pH:

The mean and median results of the pH analyses were in all cases extremely close to the target values, shown in Table 6. We note, however, that the values obtained for the pH 8.4 and 9.0 samples were lower than the design value by 1-2%. This may be due to a reduction in pH arising from carbon dioxide absorption by these alkaline samples. Alternatively, it may reflect a calibration problem.

The coefficient of variation for these samples ranged from 1.6-3.0% for the synthetic standards to 3.2-3.6 for the sewage and creek water samples. The higher variability in measurements of the natural samples may reflect some sample instability or a problem measuring real samples. Some participants reported that the pH of sample number PH6 drifted to a lower value with time.

To ascertain whether the sample pH did change with time, the measured sample results were plotted against the elapsed time between the shipping date and the reported date of analysis. Figure 4 shows such a plot for the sewage sample PH6. The following figure (Figure 5) shows the ratio of values obtained for the STP effluent (sample number PH6) and that of the standard having a pH of 9.0 (sample number PH5) plotted against elapsed time. Both plots show a very slight reduction in pH as the samples aged. One of our own laboratories did notice a drift in the pH on exposure to air during the measurement but two others did not. It is also odd that the diluted sewage has a much reduced pH compared to the undiluted material. This may indicate that some complex chemical change occurred in the sample as the result of the dilution. Because of these uncertainties, we cannot conclude that the greater variability noted with the natural samples is solely the result of lab problems.




FIGURE 4: Measured Results for Sample PH6 as a Function of Time Between Shipping Date and Analysis Date

The results obtained for the STP effluent sample PH6 are plotted against the time elapsed between the shipping date and the reported analysis date in this figure. The horizontal line represents the overall mean result.




FIGURE 5: Ratio of Values Obtained for Sample PH6 and PH5 versus Time Elapsed Before Analysis

The ratio of results obtained for the STP sample (number pH6) and the synthetic standard having a pH of 9.0 (sample number PH5) is plotted against the time elapsed between the shipping date and the reported analysis date.

Total Phosphorus:

As the results of skewing by outlying results, the mean results lie up to 35% from the target. In all but one case, the medians fall within 5% of the expected value. The exception is the 2.2 mg/L standard, where the median lies 10% from the target. Analysis of this sample by the NWQ Laboratory confirmed the calculated value of 2.2 while the WTC labs found 2.1. This suggests that the fault does not lie with the sample itself but may indicate a general failure of the phosphorus methods at concentrations of 2 ppm.

We note that one lab reported that they had analysed the samples for another lab and found that the two supposedly identical samples gave different results. We were not, however, able to establish where the source of the variation lay. During preparation of the samples, every 40th sample in each batch was measured. As shown in Table 5, the measurements showed a coefficient of variation of less than 1 %, indicating that the samples were, to the best of our knowledge, homogenous at the time of shipping.

A second lab reported that they noted low recoveries with their method when acid preserved samples, such as in this study, were analysed.

The coefficient of variation for these samples ranged from 26% at 2.2 mg/L to over 100% at 0.15 mg/L. These deviations exceed those predicted by the USEPA 5 to 10 fold. Similar factors to those described previously in this section for BOD may contribute to this excessive variability.

About one half of the participating labs reported non-zero values for the blank, which was prepared from Milli-Q water preserved with 0.3% sulphuric acid and verified by the NWQL. Of these, 32% (13/41) reported values greater than 0.1 ppm. The mean value for the blank over all labs was 0.17 ± 0.70 mg/L. Thus, a large percentage of labs would report values greater than 0.5ppm on a sample containing no phosphorus. Many labs would have difficulty accurately measuring phosphorus down to a compliance limit of 0.5ppm such as has been proposed for STP effluent. A great deal of effort would be required to confirm results at this level.

4.4 ON THE ASSIGNMENT OF FLAGS, BIAS AND PERFORMANCE

The results from the NWRI database assessment process are compiled in Appendices 2 to 6 of this report. This compilation includes a complete data summary with minimal statistics (Appendix 2), the Youden assessment of bias and flags (Appendix 3), a comparison of performance (Appendix 4), laboratory specific appraisals (Appendix 5), and a graphical illustration of how the reported results compare to the interlab medians.

On Discerning Bias

Bias in the context of this report is viewed as a systematic error normally attributed to incorrect calibration. It is discerned from the whole data set provided using the techniques described in section 3.3.1 of this report and the enclosed references. It is therefore accepted as a relative bias, but may be viewed as an inaccuracy if the group of labs with central tendency are intercalibrated to an absolute standard, such as NBS material.

The occurrence of bias is documented in Appendix 3 and summarized in Appendix 4. Laboratories are encouraged to review the bias issue with reference to the graphics for their lab (see Appendix 6). If the bias is serious, laboratories are encouraged to review this matter with the "users of the data".

An examination of the bias assessment for each parameter, summarized below, shows that between 10 and 20% of the labs would be assessed as biased using the Youden technique.

SUMMARY OF BIAS ASSESSMENT

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Biased High</u>	<u>Biased Low</u>	<u>% of Labs Biased</u>
Susp. Solids	3/71	3/71	8%
BOD	2/57	8/57	18%
pH	4/75	3/75	9%
Phosphorus	6/73	7/73	18%

Assigning Flags

The formulae used to assign flags were described in section 3.3.1 of this report and the included references. To create the criteria for the flagging of results that deviate from target values requires knowledge of how the precision varies as a function of concentration. The precision data in Appendix 2 may be plotted as a function of the mean values, but these data are badly tainted by outliers. To improve the relationship, the authors have arbitrarily chosen to remove 10% of the lowest and 10% of the highest results and recalculated the precision for each sample. These are shown graphically in Figures 6,7,8 and 9.




Figure 6
Precision Function for Suspended Solids




Figure 7
Precision Function for BOD




Figure 8
Precision Function for pH




Figure 9
Precision Function for Phosphorus

These graphs are referred to as precision functions. The slopes estimate the concentration error increment (CEI) while the intercept provides an estimate for one half the basic acceptable error (BAE).

Three of the parameters (Suspended solids, BOD and phosphorus) are well behaved. The relationship for pH is not well behaved and it was decided to accept a basic error of 0.25 and employ no increment. More data is required for pH in order to establish a clean relationship between precision and concentration.

The flagging criteria for each parameter are found in the header lines of the tables in Appendix 3. The criteria as set were able to isolate about 1/5 to 1/3 of the data as deviating from interlab medians. Individual flagged results are given in Appendix 3 and are summarized in Appendix 4. The reader should note that the flagging process is an advisory that can quickly isolate erratic or very imprecise laboratories.

Performance

A summary of laboratory performance is given in Appendix 4. There are four tables; one for each parameter, and a fifth that merges all parameters. This last table summarizes the relative performance of laboratories. It should be mentioned that this last table excludes the blank sample (sample number SS5) in the suspended solids study.

In comparing performance it is understood that excellence is achieved when no flags or no bias have occurred. Poor performance is indicated if many flags and many biased parameters occur. Laboratory managers are encouraged to react constructively if they incur many flags and biases. For some laboratories, an internal review is in order. It should be noted that the concept of poor is academic and is an issue requiring consultation between analyst and the user of the data.

Laboratory specific Appraisals

An appraisal has been prepared that is specific to each laboratory. These are compiled in Appendix 5. They are capsule summaries created by a computer reading of the Youden outputs (Appendix 3) and incorporating the criteria listed on the first page of Appendix 5. Please note that sample SSS in the suspended solids series was removed from the data set for the purposes of these appraisals. In the suspended solids appraisals, sample numbers 1 to 4 refer to SS1 to SS4 while 5,6,7, and 8 refer to SB1, SB2, SB3 and SB4. In the BOD appraisals, numbers 1 to 4 refer to BOD1 to BOD4 while 5,6,7, and 8 refer to SB1, SB2, SB3, and SB4. For pH and phosphorus the numbers referred to in the appraisals are the same as the sample numbers.

Graphics

Appendix 6 is a graphics package illustrating the results reported versus the interlaboratory median. The graphs are given to visualize the performance appraisals.

4.5 COMPARISON OF COMMERCIAL AND GOVERNMENT LABORATORIES

To address the question of whether the government and commercial labs were similar or different with respect to performance required splitting the database into two groups and processing parallel outputs. These outputs are located in Appendix 7. The first eight tables are the data summaries and the associated statistics for the government and commercial lab groups. The second set of tables in Appendix 7 contain the flag and bias summaries.

Table 7 gives the interlaboratory medians for the two groups of labs. The medians are so similar that one can quickly conclude equivalency.

TABLE 7: Comparison of Interlaboratory Median Values

Parameter	Lab Sector	Sample Number									No. of labs
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Suspended Solids	Government	20.0	11.0	30.0	20.0	0.0	45.0	38.3	58.5	45	34
	Commercial	20.0	11.7	30.0	20.0	0.06	47.0	40.0	60.0	47	38
BOD	Government	9.0	6.0	17.0	9.0	30.0	25.0	32.8	32.0		21
	Commercial	10.0	5.1	19.0	10.0	31.1	25.5	35.0	31.0		36
pH	Government	7.45	5.05	8.36	7.67	8.93	9.20	8.02	6.42		40
	Commercial	7.44	5.05	8.30	7.85	8.91	9.26	8.13	6.44		37
Phosphorus	Government	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.47	0.02	0.52	0.150	0.155		39
	Commercial	2.10	1.03	1.54	1.51	0.063	0.54	0.159	0.160		37

Comparison of the precision of each of the groups did not allow firm conclusions to be drawn since each set of data is badly tainted with outlying results.

A second approach to comparing the two lab sectors was to assess the frequency of flags assigned (by the Youden output) and the frequency of biases. These results are given in

Table 8. The table shows that the flagging frequency is not the same for the two groups. This difference is not, however, clear cut since the flagging formula includes both slight deviations as well as gross deviations.

Both groups display a similar number of biased situations but caution must be exercised in the drawing of conclusions since the existence of bias does not indicate the magnitude of the bias.

Based on these findings, therefore, it is the opinion of the authors that there is no major difference in the overall performance of commercial and government labs in this study.

TABLE 8: Comparison of Frequency of Flags and Bias Between Government and Commercial Labs

	Parameter	Laboratory Sector	
		Government (%)	Commercial (%)
Median Percentage of Results Flagged	Susp Solids	22	25
	BOD	12.5	12.5
	pH	25	12.5
	Phosphorus		
Percentage of Labs Biased	Susp Solids	9 (3/34)	8 (3/38)
	BOD	24 (5/21)	14 (5/36)
	pH	12.5 (5/40)	5 (2/37)
	Phosphorus	12.8 (5/39)	22 (8/37)
Note: Values in brackets are the ratios of biased labs to the total number of labs in the study			

4.6 COMPARISON OF METHODS USED FOR TOTAL PHOSPHORUS

To address the question of whether the methods used for total phosphorus influence the estimation of phosphorus, the original data file was separated into several method subgroups. The groupings considered were:

- HACH kit (a simple manual process)
- Automated methods (eg. Technicon)
- ICP (inductively coupled plasma emission methods)
- Molybdate methods employing stannous chloride
- Molybdate methods employing ascorbic acid and antimony tartrate

The original data set is included as Appendix 2, while the separation of the data into the above subgroups is given in Appendix 7. The reader should note that these summaries and the associated statistics include all data, including biased labs and those results that are probable outliers. These extreme results clearly influence the mean values and certainly impact on estimates of the interlab precision.

A comparison of median values for the five method groups is given in Table 9. Medians are used here rather than means since the median is robust and very insensitive to outliers.

TABLE 9: Comparison of Interlaboratory Median Values for Phosphorus

Method	Sample Number								Number of Labs
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
HACH	1.9	0.83	1.42	1.30	0.020	0.460	0.145	0.125	18
Automated	2.14	1.025	1.605	1.66	0.080	0.483	0.148	0.155	6
ICP	2.14	1.035	1.57	1.52	0.135	0.565	0.190	0.210	8
Stannous chloride	2.01	1.070	1.480	1.48	0.020	0.520	0.160	0.160	15
Ascorbic Acid	2.08	1.025	1.52	1.515	0.030	0.540	0.158	0.160	20
TOTAL	2.01	1.00	1.50	1.48	0.030	0.520	0.158	0.160	75
Target Values	2.20	1.03	1.56	1.54	0.000	0.540	0.154	0.156	

The medians for the samples analysed by the different methods generally agree with each other with one exception. The medians obtained by labs using the HACH method are significantly lower than those obtained by other methods, and are lower than the target values for each sample. For each sample analysed by labs using the HACH method, there are perhaps 6 of 18 results that are distinctly low. This may be a methods failure or reflect a problem in some labs that use that particular method. At this time, we cannot distinguish between these two possibilities but if the problem is not determined and corrected, those labs using this method will continue to frequently underestimate phosphorus in plant discharges to receiving water.

A comparison of the interlaboratory precision estimates for the 5 phosphorus methods is shown in Table 10. The reader should note that these are standard deviations for all data and are tainted by outliers. As such, it is difficult to draw conclusions without further refinement of the data. With that caution in mind, however, the data appear to suggest that the automatic methods and ICP determinations are more precise at the higher concentrations (samples 1 to 4, 1 to 2 mg/L) than other methods. At concentrations less than 1 mg/L, these methods show similar precision to the other methods.

TABLE 10: Comparison of Interlaboratory Precision for Phosphorus

Method	Sample Number								Number of Labs
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
HACH	0.61	0.25	0.45	0.43	0.19	0.18	0.08	0.08	18
Automated	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.30	0.07	0.15	0.05	0.05	6
ICP	0.23	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.09	8
Stannous chloride	0.61	0.32	0.49	0.40	0.08	0.16	0.07	0.07	15
Ascorbic Acid	0.52	0.33	0.31	0.39	1.53	0.28	0.21	1.10	20
TOTAL	0.56	0.29	0.38	0.40	0.69	0.21	0.13	0.56	75
Target Values	2.20	1.03	1.56	1.54	0.00	0.54	0.154	0.156	75

4.7 LAB PERFORMANCE WITH DUPLICATE PAIRS

The overall mean result and the pooled standard deviation of the differences between duplicates for each of the six duplicate pairs are summarized in Table 11.

The between duplicate standard deviations were calculated as described in Section 3.3.5. The overall standard deviations were derived from the data shown in Appendix 2, refined to remove outliers as described in Section 3.3.5.

TABLE 11: Summary of between-duplicate standard deviations

Sample	Mean \pm Between Duplicate Standard Deviation	Mean \pm Overall Standard Deviation	% of Total Variability Occuring Within Lab
BOD Pair #1	9.19 \pm 1.75	9.19 \pm 3.03	58
BOD Pair #2	32.30 \pm 2.10	32.30 \pm 8.08	26
Solids Pair #1	19.45 \pm 1.93	19.45 \pm 2.92	66
Solids Pair #2	45.77 \pm 1.79	45.77 \pm 5.49	33
Phosphorus Pair #1	0.169 \pm .023	0.169 \pm 0.101	23
Phosphorus Pair #2	1.36 \pm 0.09	1.36 \pm 0.39	23

The results provide an estimate of the within lab variability for these parameters. Comparison of the coefficient of variation for the duplicates with those calculated for the overall data (described in Section 4.3) demonstrates that, as expected, the within lab precision is less than the between lab precision. The within lab variability is not trivial, however. For example, the within lab variability for BOD accounts for about 26% of the total variability from all sources at the high end of the concentration range used in this study and 58% at the low end. For Suspended solids, the within lab variability accounts for 33% at the high end and 66% at the low end. For phosphorus, the within lab precision accounts for about 23% at both the high and low ends. It is clear from these results that the within lab precision cannot be dismissed as a factor contributing to the variability between laboratories in this study.

As described in Methods, the differences between the results obtained with the duplicate pairs were compared against the pooled variances shown above. Differences greater than two standard deviations apart were flagged as suspect. Twenty four % of the labs produced flagged results for one pair of BOD duplicates. Nine % were flagged for both pairs. Thirty

two % of labs were flagged for one suspended solids pair, while nine % were flagged for both pairs. In the phosphorus series, thirty four % of labs were flagged in at least one of the duplicate pairs. Only one lab (1.4%) was flagged for both phosphorus pairs. Three % of labs were flagged for all four pairs in the BOD and solids series but no labs were flagged for all six pairs.

Plots of the results obtained with the duplicate pairs are given as Figures 10,11 and 12. Figures 11 and 12, showing the results for BOD and phosphorus, display a cigar shaped distribution, indicative of systematic error in the measurements of some labs. The suspended solids results, shown in Figure 10, show a much more random distribution, suggesting minimal systematic error.





FIGURE 10: Comparison of Results of Duplicate Pairs for Suspended Solids

Upper Panel: Target concentration was 20 mg/L

Lower Panel: Target concentration was 46 mg/L





FIGURE 11: Comparison of Results of Duplicate Pairs of BOD Samples

Upper Panel: 9 mg/L target
Lower Panel: 32 mg/L target





FIGURE 12: Comparison of Results of Duplicate Pairs of Phosphorus Samples

Upper Panel: 0.15 mg/L target

Lower Panel: 1.55 mg/L target

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Youden bias assessment showed that less than 20% of the labs were biased with respect to their suspended solids, BOD, pH and phosphorus results. Program and laboratory managers as well as analysts are encouraged to review their lab-specific appraisals, performance summaries and graphics to assess whether their labs produce data of sufficient quality to meet their requirements.

The results displayed a high degree of variability for BOD and suspended solids, rendering low level measurements from a large fraction of labs unreliable. Interpretation of these results would be difficult without a great deal of work to improve the precision or independently confirm the results. Our study indicates that a significant portion of labs would have difficulty providing reliable results for BOD and SS in the proposed compliance range of 10 to 15 mg/L.

The results indicate that most labs would have little difficulty in obtaining reliable pH results in the compliance range of 5 to 9 pH units. The results of the real effluent samples were, however, much more erratic than the synthetic standards. Further work would be required to establish whether this was a problem with the sample itself or the laboratory measurements.

The phosphorus results indicate that most labs are able to produce results approximating the target values however, the results over all labs are characterized by a high degree of variability and a possible difficulty in accurately measuring phosphorus concentrations greater than 1.5 mg/L. A high percentage of labs reported a value greater than their detection limit for the phosphorus blank. This could complicate the interpretation of results at the proposed compliance limit of 0.5 mg/L.

Commercial and government laboratories appear to perform equally well based on interlab median values for all four parameters. Further work using real effluent samples would be required to firmly establish any differences in performance.

Laboratories using the HACH method for phosphorus often underestimate the concentration of phosphorus in distilled water standards (0 to 2 mg/L range). In the hands of some labs, the method was often adequate but many others underestimated phosphorus in an apparently random manner.

We recommend that a copy of this report be distributed to the participating labs to allow lab staff involved in making measurements the opportunity to react to the findings and correct any problems that may have been identified.

We also recommend that followup studies be implemented for phosphorus and pH in particular, using real effluent matrices in order to clarify the erratic behaviour of the pH and

phosphorus results, clarify the deviations of labs employing the HACH method, and establish whether any differences exist between the commercial and government lab sectors when analyzing real effluents.

6.0 REFERENCES

- 1) CC Westcott, pH Measurements, Academic Press, New York, 1978
- 2) KI Aspila and ASY Chau, "Assessing the performance of laboratories in large external quality assurance programs". NWRI contribution 90-135, National Water Research Institute, Burlington, Ont., L7R 4A6
- 3) KI Aspila, A manual for effective interlaboratory quality assurance. NWRI contribution 89-99, National Water Research Institute, Burlington, Ont., L7R 4A6
- 4) WJ Youden, Ranking laboratories by round robin tests. In Precision Measurement and Calibration, HH Ku, Editor, NBS Special Publication 300, Vol 1, pp165-9 to 169-13, US Gov't Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1969
- 5) WJ Youden and EH Steiner, Statistical Manual of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. AOAC, PO Box 540, B Franklin Station, Washington, DC, 20044, 1975
- 6) JL Clark. Evaluation of performance of laboratories determining water quality constituents through natural water samples whose true values are unknown. In Summary of Conference Presentations. Envirometrics 81, pp 54-55, Alexandria, Virginia, April, 1981
- 7) KI Aspila ,RE White and JL Clark, Quality assurance aspects of the International Joint Commision Great Lakes Monitoring Porgram. In ASTM Special Technical Publication 867, A symposium on quality assurance of environmental measurements, August, 1983, Boulder, Colorado, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 1985
- 8) GEP Box, WG Hunter and JS Hunter, Statistics for Experimenters. J Wiley and Sons, New York
- 9) Estimation of Generic Quality Control Limits for use in a Water Pollution Laboratory, USEPA, Cincinnati, 1989
- 10) Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Analytical Variability of Conventional Parameters and Metals, PACE Report 89-1, 1989
- 11) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 17th Edition, APHA, 1990

7.0 APPENDICES

Appendix 1: PARTICIPATING COMMERCIAL LABS

A & L Canada Laboratories East, Inc.
211 Advance Blvd., Unit 8
Brampton, Ontario
L6T 4S8

Accurassay Laboratories Ltd.
3 Industrial Drive
Kirkland Lake, Ontario
PSN 3J1

Accutest Laboratories Ltd.
146 Colonade Road, Unit 8
Nepean, Ontario
K2E 7Y3

Alpha Laboratories Inc.
285 Lesmill Road
Don Mills, Ontario
M3B 2V1

Anamol Laboratories
83 Citation Dr., Unit 9
Concord, Ontario
L4K 2S4

ARECO Canada Inc.
28 Concourse Gate
Nepean, Ontario
K2E 7T7

Barringer Laboratories Ltd.
5735 McAdam Road
Mississauga, Ontario
L4Z 1N9

Beak Analytical Services
14 Abacus Road
Brampton, Ontario
L6T 5B7

Bondar-Clegg & Co Ltd
5420 Canotek Rd.
Gloucester, Ontario
K1J 9G2

Pulp & Paper Research Institute
570 St. John's Blvd.
Pointe Claire, Quebec
H9R 3J9

Canviro Analytical Laboratories Ltd.
50 Bathurst Drive, Unit 12
Waterloo, Ontario
N2V 2C5

**Laboratory Services
Canadian Operations**
Clayton Environmental Consultants
949 McDougall Avenue
Windsor, Ontario
N9A 1L9

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates Ltd.
86 Rankin Street
Waterloo, Ontario
N2V 1V9

Environmental Services Lab
2800 Montreal Road
Cornwall, Ontario
K6H 6N8

Dillon Environmental Laboratories
215 Traders Boulevard East
Mississauga, Ontario
L4Z 2E5

Dillon Mills Laboratories Inc.
348 Centre St.
Petrolia, Ontario
N0N 1R0
P78 5E1

EAG Analytical Services
475 Cochrane Drive, Unit 13
Markham, Ontario
L3R 9RS

Enviroclean
921 Leathorne Street
London, Ontario
N5Z 3M7

Fine Analysis Laboratories
83 Bigwin Rd., Unit 8, Hannon
Hamilton, Ontario
L0R 1P0

Analytical Laboratory
Gore & Storrie Research Centre
55 Research Road
Toronto, Ontario
M4G 2G8

Integrated Explorations
189 McCurdy Road
Guelph, Ontario
N1H 6N8

Lakefield Research
185 Concession St.
Lakefield, Ontario
K0L 2H0

Lambton Scientific
391 Vidal Street South
Sarnia, Ontario
N7T 7L1

Microbe Incorporated
85 Midpark Road
London, Ontario
N6N 1B2

Norlab Environmental Services Inc.
Lakehead University
Oliver Road
Thunder Bay, Ontario

Ortech International
2395 Speakman Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L5K 1B3

Paracel Laboratories Ltd.
2319 St. Laurent Blvd., Unit 100
Ottawa, Ontario
K1G 4K6

Pollutech Environmental Ltd.
768 Westgate Rd.
Oakville, Ontario
L6L 5N2

Polytechnic Laboratories Ltd
1947 Mattawa Avenue
Mississauga, Ontario
L4X 1K8

Proctor & Redfern Ltd.
45 Green Belt Dr.
Toronto, Ontario
M3C 3K3

Room FG11, Frost Building
Department of Chemistry
Queen's University
Kingston, Ontario
K7L 3N6

R and R Laboratories
c/o Chemistry Department, Trent University
Peterborough, Ontario
K9J 7B8

R.D. Pickard Environmental Centre
655 Shefford Rd.
Gloucester, Ontario
K1J 8G8

Retek Resource Recovery Inc.
66 Mohawk Street, Unit 18
Brantford, Ontario
N3S 2W3

Technical Services Laboratories
1301 Fewster Dr.
Mississauga, Ontario
L4W 1A2

Technitrol Expertise Inc.
637 Petrolia Road
Downsview, Ontario
M3J 2X8

Thunder Bay Analytical Laboratories Inc.
1081 Barton Street
Thunder Bay, Ontario
P7B 5N3

Walker Laboratories
2800 Townline Road
Thorold, Ontario
L2V 3Y8

XRAL Environmental
Division of SGS Supervision Services Ltd.
1903 Leslie Street
Don Mills, Ontario
M3B 2M3

Analytical Services Division
Zenon Environmental Inc.
5555 North Service Road
Burlington, Ontario
L7L 5H7

PARTICIPATING GOVERNMENT LABS

Alliston WPCP
R.R. 2, Concession 3
Alliston, Ontario
L0M 1A0

Aylmer Lagoon
Elgin Area Water System
Lakeshore Road
(5 km east of Port Stanley)
St. Thomas, Ontario
NSP 3V6

Batawa WPCP
Bay Street
Trenton, Ontario
K8V 5R5

Brantford WPCP
Ontario Ministry of Environment
Mohawk Street
Brantford, Ontario
N3T 5S1

Sewer Use Control
City of Brantford
c/o Sewage Treatment Plant, Mohawk Road
Brantford, Ontario
N3T 5L5

Guelph Water Pollution Control Plant
544 Wellington Street W.
Guelph, Ontario
N1H 3K5

Greenway Pollution Control Centre
109 Greenside Avenue
London, Ontario

City of Thunder Bay, WPCP
500 East Donald Street
Thunder Bay, Ontario
P7E 5V3

Collingwood Water Pollution Control Plant
3 Birch Street
Collingwood, Ontario
L9Y 2T8

Corbett Creek WPCP
2595 Thickson Road, RR#2
Whitby, Ontario
L1N 5R5

West Windsor Pollution Control Plant
4155 Ojibway Parkway
Windsor, Ontario
N9C 4A5

Corunna P.V. WPCP
Beresford Street North
Corunna, Ontario
N0N 1G0

Dresden WPCP
Camden Street
Dresden, Ontario
N0P 1M0

Ear Falls Water Treatment Plant
Gold Pines Road
Ear Falls, Ontario
P0V 1T0

Edwardsburgh WPCP
HIGHWAY 2 EAST
Prescott, Ontario
K0E 1T0

Elliot Lake Water Treatment Plant
200 Spane Road
Elliot Lake, Ontario
P5A 1X2

Frankford Water Pollution Control Plant (MOE)
56 Trent Street North
Frankford, Ontario
K0K 2C0

Goderich Pollution Control Plant
211 Sunset Drive
Goderich, Ontario
N7A 4C7

Highland Creek Treatment Plant
51 Beech Grove Drive
Scarborough, Ontario
M1E 3Z3

Humber Water Treatment Plant
130 The Queensway
Toronto, Ontario
M8Y 1H9

Innisfil WPCP
Moyer Ave. and 6th Conces.,
Innisfil Township
Lefroy, Ontario
L0L 1W0

Marathon Water Pollution Control Plant
41 Howe Street
Marathon, Ontario
P0T 2E0

Merrickville WPCP
Hwy #2 East
Prescott, Ontario
KOE ITO

Dee Avenue Laboratories
Metro Toronto Department of Works
30 Dee Avenue
Weston, Ontario
M9N 1S9

Millbrook WPCP
25 Centennial Lane
(c/o Ministry of Environment, Box #340)
Millbrook, Ontario
L0A 1G0

Ministry of Environment
3 Deloro Road
Deloro, Ontario
K0K 2M0

Haldimand Norfolk Water Supply
Ministry of Environment
Regional Road #55, Concess.#1, Lot#6
Nanticoke, Ontario
N0A 1L0

MOE Lakeview Water Pollution Control Plant
1300 Lakeshore Rd. East
Mississauga, Ontario
L5E 1E9

Moosonee Water Pollution Control Plant
Ministry of Environment
Resources Road
Moosonee, Ontario
P0L 1Y0

Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto
Laboratory, Main Treatment Plant
9 Leslie Street
Toronto, Ontario

Nakina WPCP
P.O. BOX 63, River Road
Nakina, Ontario
P0T 2H0

Napanee Water Pollution Control Plant
75 East Street
Napanee, Ontario
K7R 1S3

Petawawa WPCP
1 East Street South
Petawawa, Ontario
K8H 2X4

Peterborough Wastewater Treatment Plant
Sharon Avenue
Peterborough, Ontario
K9H 3R9

Port Hope Water Pollution Control Plant
Lake Street
Port Hope, Ontario
L1A 3V9

Preston Water Pollution Control Plant
395 Montrose Street South
Cambridge, Ontario
N3H 4S1

Pringle Creek WPCP No 1
2595 Thickson Road South, RR#2
Whitby, Ontario
L1N 5R5

Pringle Creek WPCP NO 2
2595 Thickson Road South, RR#2
Whitby, Ontario
L1N 5R5

Regional Lab of Hamilton-Wentworth
700 Woodward Avenue
Hamilton, Ontario
L8H 6P4

Skyway WWTP, Laboratory
1125 Lakeshore Blvd.
Burlington, Ontario

Seaway Pollution Control Plant
30 Prosperity Avenue
Port Colborne, Ontario
L3K 5X9

Stratford WPCP
Ministry of the Environment
701 West Gore Street
Stratford, Ontario
MSA 6S8

Town of Strathroy
475 Metcalfe Street West
Strathroy, Ontario
N7G 1N8

Arnprior Sewage Treatment Plant
233 Albert Street
Arnprior, Ontario
K7S 2M4

Town of New Liskeard
200 Lakeshore Road
New Liskeard, Ontario
POJ 1P0

Trenton WPCP
Bay Street
Trenton, Ontario
K8V 5R5

Main Street Water Pollution Control Plant
Main Street
Penetanguishene, Ontario
L0K 1P0

Tottenham Lagoon
Village of Tottenham
18 Queen Street N.
Tottenham, Ontario
L0G 1W0

Waterloo WPCP
190 University Ave. E.
Waterloo, Ontario
N2J 3Z9

West End Sewage Plant
55 Allen's Side Road
Sault Ste Marie, Ontario
P6A 5L2

Wheatley WPCP
1st Concession Road, Wheatley
(1.5 miles south of Wheatley, off Kent Road #1)
Wheatley, Ontario

Whitney & Tisdale WPCP
220 Algonquin East
Timmins, Ontario
P4N 1B3

MOE/CAEAL Study - DRAFT

Appendix 2: SUMMARY OF DATA

DATA SUMMARY

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 01 SS

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/06.

PARAMETER: SUSPENDED SOLIDS

MG/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

LAB

W0009	16.	32.	29.	19.	.0	45.	40.	58.	48.
W0023	14.	4.	26.	16.	2.	40.	36.	54.	42.
W0028	18.6	10.9	28.	18.6	.0	45.	38.6	44.4	58.
W0042	20.	10.	28.	20.	.0	48.	42.	62.	50.
W0072	24.	13.	34.	21.	1.	48.	42.	61.	50.
W0127	17.	9.	26.	18.	.0	43.	36.	55.	43.
W0147	20.	11.	31.	22.	.0	47.	36.	65.	47.
W0148	21.	11.	31.	21.	.0	45.	40.	60.	44.
W0149	22.	12.	33.	23.	2.	46.	41.	60.	47.
W0179	16.5	9.	14.	36.5	.5	44.5	34.	56.5	44.
W0198	18.	6.	29.	14.	.0	43.	35.	57.	44.
W0211	20.	11.	30.	20.	.0	43.	36.	57.	42.
W0238	24.	12.	32.	22.	.0	49.	42.	62.	50.
W0239	19.	11.	26.	24.	.0	45.	42.	59.	39.
W0243	21.	11.7	31.5	20.	.0	43.	39.	56.	40.
W0247	20.	4.	22.	14.	.0	30.	30.	50.	30.
W0248	23.	13.	32.	22.	.0	51.	41.	64.	51.
W0255	27.	10.	31.	24.	-1.	35.	40.	56.	46.
W0266	21.	14.	31.	38.	4.	46.	39.	61.	45.
W0305	21.	12.	31.	21.	.0	44.	38.	59.	46.
W0335	16.	10.	31.	11.	.0	34.	28.	58.	44.
W0337	29.2	20.	38.8	30.	2.8	54.8	50.8	64.8	54.
W0364	18.	10.	28.	19.	.0	43.	36.	55.	43.
W0370	20.	11.	28.	18.	1.	43.	36.	60.	46.
W0377	50.	42.	59.	46.	12.	34.	38.	82.	59.
W0380	19.	8.75	28.8	18.4	.0	46.8	33.6	38.4	50.4
W0390	21.0	11.4	32.4	22.0	.0	48.4	41.4	61.2	48.0
W0404	14.	18.	22.	17.	.0	38.	32.	50.	37.
W0417	23.	9.	32.	24.	< 2.	46.	40.	60.	42.
W0418	18.	12.	27.	20.	< 5.	48.	41.	65.	48.
W0427	21.	12.	33.	23.	.0	55.	48.	73.	54.
W0428	19.	10.	28.	19.	< 1.	44.	34.	52.	41.
W0429	17.	9.	31.	20.	< 1.	52.	48.	58.	43.
W0430	15.	6.	23.	14.	1.	45.	36.	55.	40.
W0431	20.	11.	32.	22.	< 5.	47.	40.	54.	57.
W0433	19.	12.	28.	19.	< 1.	39.	36.	54.	41.
W0439	21.	7.	31.	22.	< 1.	36.	43.	41.	38.
W0441	21.	13.	29.	21.	.0	46.	38.	50.	45.
W0447	24.	16.	33.	27.	4.	60.	41.	65.	35.
W0448	18.	12.	28.	21.	< 5.	45.	39.	58.	44.
W0456	15.	1.	31.	16.	< 1.	37.	17.	44.	37.
W0460	19.	10.	32.	21.	.0	50.	42.	60.	46.
W0462	20.	26.	40.	21.	2.	56.	42.	98.	56.
W0463	20.	12.	30.	20.	.0	45.	37.	54.	44.
W0464	14.	11.	27.	15.	< 3.	38.	36.	55.	44.
W0468	20.	10.	26.5	16.	< .5	43.	39.	53.	49.
W0471	21.79	13.16	31.26	21.45	.11	47.28	42.25	62.23	49.64
W0476	19.	9.	26.	16.	< 1.	40.	36.	58.	39.
W0477	20.	11.	30.	20.	.0	48.	39.	60.	47.
W0480	3.	12.	30.	21.	< 3.	47.	41.	61.	47.
W0482	20.	11.	29.	20.	< 1.	52.	40.	57.	47.
W0485	20.	11.	34.	18.	< 1.	50.	41.	63.	51.
W0489	19.6	11.2	28.6	19.8	.0	46.	37.2	56.8	45.4
W0493	16.	9.	20.	18.	< 2.	44.	44.	63.	48.

DATA SUMMARY

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 01 SS

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/06.

PARAMETER: SUSPENDED SOLIDS MG/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
W0497	19.	11.	27.	22.	< 1.	47.	39.	61.	48.
W0498	16.	6.	25.	15.	.0	44.	37.	61.	41.
W0506	20.	11.	30.	19.	< 1.	47.	39.	60.	46.
W0511	21.	12.	32.	20.	.0	48.	40.	62.	49.
W0514	21.	13.	32.	22.	.0	48.	82.	61.	46.
W0516	17.	9.	27.	18.	2.	46.	38.	59.	47.
W0524	20.	14.3	30.5	13.1	2.	45.	55.	75.	60.
W0526	16.4	12.9	28.4	23.2	.0	54.7	51.8	60.8	48.
W0528	20.	12.	28.	18.	< 2.	45.	37.	58.	45.
W0529	23.	13.	30.	21.	.0	50.	46.	66.	48.
W0533	20.	12.	29.	16.	.0	49.	38.	61.	50.
W0535	20.6	12.	31.6	20.4	13.7	45.2	49.	60.6	35.8
W0538	20.	14.3	30.5	17.	.0	48.	53.	75.	61.
W0542	20.	12.	27.	20.	< 1.	40.	36.	57.	42.
W0551	13.	12.	29.	20.	< 1.	51.	32.	51.	42.
W0552	19.	10.	30.	20.	.0	46.	39.	46.	46.
W0999	19.2	8.4	27.4	16.	.0	36.	38.5	58.	44.5
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	71	71	71	71	71	71	71	71	71
TOTAL LABS USED	71	71	71	71	50	71	71	71	71
MEAN	19.70268	11.81704	29.69380	20.44296	.98220	45.33352	39.87535	58.99620	45.98225
STD DEV	5.01269	5.53712	5.14144	5.29284	2.66327	5.41099	7.56100	8.49565	5.82389
MEDIAN	20.00000	11.00000	30.00000	20.00000	.00000	46.00000	39.00000	59.00000	46.00000

DATA SUMMARY

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/26.

PARAMETER: BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND

MG/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0042	10.	5.	16.	8.	50.	37.	56.	50.
W0072	6.	3.	14.	6.	25.	19.	27.	24.
W0147	5.	2.	14.	6.	23.	20.	27.	24.
W0148	6.	4.	13.	7.	19.	17.	24.	22.
W0149	6.	3.	11.	5.	25.	16.	27.	25.
W0211	10.	7.	20.	11.	39.	29.	41.	37.
W0238	10.	7.	18.	10.	30.	23.	31.	31.
W0239	12.	8.	24.	11.	42.	31.	53.	45.
W0243	11.	12.	20.	11.	40.	30.	36.	40.
W0248	9.	6.	17.	11.	27.	19.	28.	30.
W0255	250.	40.	210.	210.	10.	60.		
W0335	8.	3.	8.	4.	35.	25.	.0	40.
W0337	9.	5.	19.	9.	26.	22.	31.	25.
W0364	10.	5.	18.	9.	32.	23.	28.	32.
W0404	9.	6.	12.	9.	23.	40.	48.	52.
W0417	9.	6.	20.	8.	33.	27.	41.	30.
W0418	7.	< 5.	11.	7.	27.	15.	24.	25.
W0427	7.2	3.4	12.0	7.2	24.6	18.9	31.5	22.8
W0428	4.	< 2.	14.	5.	32.	23.	35.	31.
W0429	12.	11.	25.	18.	36.	34.	57.	42.
W0430	8.7	5.4	17.5	10.	31.2	26.	37.	31.8
W0431	10.	6.	20.	8.	35.	26.	40.	34.
W0433	7.	5.	16.	8.	35.	24.	37.	37.
W0439	25.	3.8	31.	6.4	27.	23.	28.	31.
W0441	9.	5.	18.	9.	30.	20.	34.	29.
W0447	9.	5.	19.	8.	36.	25.	24.	30.
W0456	5.	1.	6.	1.	30.	16.	30.	30.
W0460	8.	5.	16.	8.	26.	19.	29.	27.
W0462	10.	6.	20.	10.	30.	25.	40.	32.
W0463	10.	5.	22.	10.	37.	29.	44.	37.
W0464	7.	3.	13.	6.	25.	21.	32.	27.
W0468	8.32	5.32	21.64	12.21	21.4	55.22	23.3	28.82
W0471	6.12	3.38	8.67	6.95	17.49	7.57	20.28	17.67
W0476	10.	6.	23.	11.	38.	28.	44.	36.
W0477	9.	6.	17.	9.	37.	33.	37.	32.
W0480	11.	6.	20.	11.	29.	24.	36.	30.
W0482	8.	5.	17.	10.	29.	26.	34.	30.
W0485	9.	6.	17.	12.	29.	39.	32.	47.
W0489	16.	5.8	15.7	10.7	57.6	48.5	74.	100.
W0493	10.	5.	17.	10.	31.	26.	35.	32.
W0497	10.	5.	19.	11.	38.	32.	42.	37.
W0498	10.	7.	19.	10.	28.	27.	34.	30.
W0506	10.	5.	19.	11.	30.	25.	35.	31.
W0511	10.	4.	14.	8.	33.	23.	34.	30.
W0514	5.	2.	16.	7.	27.	21.	33.	62.
W0516	10.	5.	19.	10.	36.	25.	22.	30.
W0524	12.	7.	20.	11.	36.	28.	42.	35.
W0526	9.5	6.9	15.6	10.2	34.5	30.5	33.	32.5

DATA SUMMARY

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/26.

PARAMETER: BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND

MG/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0528	2.	2.	8.	9.	26.	21.	33.	26.
W0529	18.	6.8	24.8	22.5	49.5	46.5	25.5	39.
W0533	8.	5.	14.	9.	25.	25.	36.	28.
W0535	16.	7.	26.	6.	26.	24.	31.	30.
W0538	11.	6.	22.	11.	38.	27.	42.	34.
W0542	11.	6.	21.	11.	35.	28.	42.	36.
W0551	11.	7.	25.	12.	37.	29.	48.	32.
W0552	10.	6.	20.	11.	35.	27.	41.	39.
W0999	11.3	6.8	20.3	10.9	36.	28.	42.	40.
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57
TOTAL LABS USED	57	55	57	57	57	57	56	56
MEAN	13.70421	5.99273	20.95105	12.80807	31.58404	26.96825	35.20679	34.26054
STD DEV	32.04329	5.05418	25.93492	26.76622	7.90829	9.24971	10.77090	11.85153
MEDIAN	10.00000	5.32000	18.00000	10.00000	31.00000	25.00000	34.00000	31.40000

DATA SUMMARY

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/26.

PARAMETER: PH

PH UNITS

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0009	7.46	5.15	8.46	7.80	8.95	9.00	8.09	6.45
W0010	7.25	5.0	8.15	7.55	8.65	9.15	7.9	6.3
W0017	7.51	5.00	7.80	7.50	8.48	8.94	7.62	6.28
W0021	7.4	5.1	8.4	8.0	9.0	9.5	8.1	6.4
W0023	7.30	5.05	8.35	7.45	8.95	9.07	7.80	6.28
W0028	7.45	5.9	8.5	7.61	8.95	9.45	8.25	6.6
W0042	7.30	5.00	8.10	7.70	8.72	9.50	8.00	6.40
W0072	7.45	5.00	8.30	7.90	8.90	9.40	8.25	6.45
W0127	7.37	5.00	7.68	7.48	8.84	9.02	8.04	6.38
W0147	7.46	4.95	8.58	8.09	9.15	9.75	8.17	6.41
W0148	7.36	4.98	8.44	7.61	9.07	9.42	7.87	6.39
W0149	7.47	5.04	8.51	7.50	8.99	9.37	8.40	6.48
W0179	7.5	5.1	8.44	7.4	8.9	9.3	8.0	6.5
W0190	7.43	5.10	8.45	7.68	8.97	9.20	8.07	6.45
W0198	7.64	5.25	8.57	7.89	9.11	9.70	8.25	6.57
W0211	7.47	5.08	7.92	7.57	8.64	9.02	7.80	6.44
W0233	7.4	5.0	7.9	7.3	8.4	8.9	7.2	6.2
W0238	7.45	5.10	8.50	7.75	9.0	9.50	8.05	6.45
W0239	6.73	4.87	8.5	7.69	8.71	9.18	7.91	5.84
W0247	7.50	5.15	8.40	8.00	9.00	9.00	8.20	6.60
W0248	7.75	5.25	8.20	7.10	9.00	9.20	7.90	6.60
W0255	7.45	5.00	8.07	7.45	8.73	8.80	7.77	6.39
W0266	7.36	5.15	8.02	7.49	8.46	8.86	8.06	6.44
W0305	7.36	5.15	8.02	7.49	8.46	8.86	8.06	6.44
W0335	7.5	5.1	7.8	7.4	8.6	8.9	7.6	6.5
W0336	7.67	5.17	8.52	7.65	8.98	9.54	7.97	6.46
W0337	7.42	5.06	8.13	7.68	8.79	9.30	8.01	6.40
W0364	7.50	5.1	8.1	7.7	8.8	7.9	7.9	6.4
W0370	7.4	5.0	8.6	7.5	8.8	9.0	7.8	6.4
W0377	7.4	5.2	8.4	8.0	8.9	9.2	7.7	6.4
W0380	7.52	5.28	8.31	8.18	9.08	9.42	8.35	6.55
W0382	7.4	5.0	8.2	7.7	8.7	8.8	8.2	6.6
W0389	7.45	5.08	8.37	7.80	9.00	9.58	8.12	6.45
W0404	7.4	5.0	8.2	7.1	9.0	9.0	7.6	6.3
W0417	7.40	4.90	8.14	7.52	8.80	9.16	7.57	6.33
W0418	7.47	5.05	8.54	8.00	9.09	9.60	8.29	6.39
W0427	7.29	4.99	8.03	7.57	8.70	9.24	7.72	6.38
W0428	7.5	5.1	8.5	8.1	9.1	9.6	8.2	6.5
W0429	7.44	4.99	8.24	7.45	8.91	8.26	8.06	6.39
W0431	7.24	5.04	8.09	7.47	8.68	8.73	7.86	6.33
W0433	7.44	5.01	8.06	7.70	8.76	8.89	7.89	6.39
W0439	7.17	5.12	8.21	7.90	8.74	9.29	8.21	6.59
W0441	7.56	5.03	8.82	8.32	9.50	10.17	8.36	6.41
W0447	7.5	5.0	8.2	7.8	8.8	9.3	7.7	6.4
W0448	7.44	5.04	8.38	7.60	8.96	9.19	8.24	6.45
W0456	7.63	5.12	8.28	7.85	9.07	9.46	8.00	6.62
W0460	7.46	5.01	8.36	8.02	9.00	9.52	8.05	6.41
W0462	7.48	5.02	8.50	8.01	9.07	9.62	8.15	6.44

DATA SUMMARY

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/26.

PARAMETER: PH

PH UNITS

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0463	7.43	5.05	8.48	8.06	9.06	9.52	8.27	6.47
W0464	7.4	5.0	8.3	8.0	9.0	9.4	8.1	6.5
W0468	7.54	5.06	8.57	8.28	9.12	9.00	8.35	6.44
W0469	7.474	5.067	8.505	8.088	9.048	9.401	8.246	6.420
W0471	7.26	5.60	7.77	7.35	8.25	8.60	7.44	6.55
W0476	7.45	5.06	8.26	7.92	8.93	9.07	8.10	6.45
W0477	7.45	5.01	8.21	8.00	8.9	9.3	8.13	6.42
W0480	7.42	5.04	8.36	7.95	8.89	9.41	8.13	6.42
W0482	7.42	5.08	8.09	7.56	8.69	8.78	7.99	6.43
W0489	7.43	5.03	8.28	7.69	8.80	9.41	8.16	6.48
W0493	7.47	5.03	8.48	8.02	9.04	9.56	8.15	6.43
W0497	7.43	5.05	8.43	7.63	8.96	9.26	8.16	6.44
W0498	7.37	4.97	7.80	7.30	8.61	8.95	7.54	6.35
W0506	7.60	5.40	8.25	8.00	8.90	9.00	8.40	6.80
W0511	7.50	5.15	8.25	7.75	8.85	9.20	7.75	6.45
W0514	7.42	5.06	8.35	7.74	9.01	9.20	8.28	6.40
W0515	7.54	4.94	8.16	7.24	8.81	9.13	7.48	6.34
W0516	7.48	5.10	8.42	8.05	8.93	9.01	8.38	6.44
W0524	7.46	5.01	7.95	7.40	8.61	8.87	7.90	6.38
W0526	7.42	5.04	8.37	7.91	8.91	9.08	8.23	6.42
W0528	7.47	5.07	8.45	7.98	9.05	9.60	8.05	6.50
W0529	7.46	5.07	8.47	8.02	9.01	9.57	8.21	6.46
W0533	7.31	5.49	7.65	7.11	8.25	8.46	7.40	6.45
W0535	7.39	5.00	8.30	7.93	8.88	9.38	8.09	6.37
W0538	7.38	5.01	7.86	7.27	8.55	8.80	7.84	6.27
W0542	7.458	5.051	8.431	8.154	9.004	9.420	8.286	6.417
W0551	7.47	5.05	8.47	7.62	9.03	9.28	8.17	6.44
W0552	7.50	4.98	8.40	7.86	9.06	9.63	8.21	6.42
W0999	7.37	4.97	8.15	7.25	8.79	8.99	7.93	6.43
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	77	77	77	77	77	77	77	77
TOTAL LABS USED	77	77	77	77	77	77	77	77
MEAN	7.43210	5.08075	8.26891	7.71626	8.86756	9.19534	8.00886	6.42879
STD DEV	.12314	.14832	.24074	.29015	.21416	.35331	.25908	.11285
MEDIAN	7.45000	5.05000	8.30000	7.70000	8.91000	9.20000	8.06000	6.43000

DATA SUMMARY

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/26.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0009	2.05	1.01	1.54	1.49	.00	.50	.16	.15
W0017	1.0	.83	1.0	1.0	.0	.60	.14	.15
W0023	2.30	1.083	1.66	1.517	.830	.54	.15	.125
W0028	2.15	1.05	1.55	1.55	.025	.55	.15	.12
W0042	2.00	1.05	1.49	1.51	.07	.64	.20	.25
W0072	2.16	1.02	1.55	1.54	<	.02	.54	.17
W0127	.92	.42	.68	.55	.10	.25	.05	.01
W0147	1.80	.86	1.30	1.30	.08	.44	.14	.14
W0148	1.99	.97	1.49	1.43	.00	.51	.12	.14
W0149	2.21	1.06	1.85	1.52	.02	.57	.18	.20
W0179	.46	.56	.10	.11	.09	.02	.03	.00
W0198	.9	.8	.9	.9	.01	.5	.15	.16
W0211	2.07	1.00	1.50	1.47	.03	.50	.15	.13
W0233	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.42	.06	.56	.20	.16
W0238	2.12	1.19	1.77	1.74	.00	.61	.20	.21
W0239	2.25	1.0	1.5	1.58	.0	.53	.17	.12
W0243	2.01	1.15	1.57	1.64	.03	.65	.20	.16
W0247	1.80	.78	1.60	.70	.00	.00	.13	.10
W0248	2.25	.25	.67	.74	.00	.36	.03	.04
W0255	2.1	1.1	1.63	1.60	.06	.43	.16	.17
W0266	1.90	.99	1.44	1.44	.02	.46	.12	.15
W0305	1.90	.99	1.44	1.44	.02	.46	.12	.15
W0335	1.20	.83	.97	1.3	.0	.55	.32	.33
W0336	2.15	1.66	1.8	1.72	.50	1.07	.25	.20
W0337	2.23	1.10	1.62	1.59	.0	.58	.24	.21
W0364	1.936	1.016	1.408	1.536	.104	.327	.269	.267
W0370	2.0	.77	1.71	1.13	.02	.52	.07	.01
W0377	.9	.5	1.3	1.3	.07	.3	.2	.03
W0380	.9	.666	.766	.966	.16	.172	.08	.108
W0382	1.02	.83	1.16	.79	.02	.30	.07	.10
W0389	.16	.39	.99	.52	.00	.29	.09	.09
W0404	.76	.34	.50	1.06	.28	.42	.34	.32
W0417	1.42	.92	1.20	1.19	<	.06	.51	.15
W0418	1.99	1.02	1.54	1.48	<	.05	.54	.17
W0427	1.94	1.18	1.60	1.53	.03	.62	.14	.17
W0428	2.12	1.18	1.68	1.76	<	.005	.752	.213
W0429	1.96	1.00	1.46	1.45	.10	.56	.21	.24
W0431	2.18	1.04	1.50	1.53	.04	.54	.13	.12
W0433	2.01	1.11	1.64	1.63	.07	.61	.21	.26
W0439	1.7	.38	1.07	.66	5.1	.04	.83	4.95
W0441	2.03	.97	1.47	1.48	.01	.49	.18	.17
W0447	1.87	1.11	1.30	1.63	.03	.52	.17	.16
W0448	2.19	1.04	1.58	1.58	<	.1	.56	.26
W0456	2.48	1.07	1.79	1.82	<	.01	.56	.19
W0460	2.17	1.00	1.54	1.54	<	.01	.52	.15
W0462	2.23	1.03	1.59	1.55	<	.001	.576	.158
W0463	2.16	1.02	1.62	1.67	<	.05	.526	.156
W0464	.78	.33	.56	.62	<	.01	.23	.03

DATA SUMMARY

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/26.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
LAB								
W0468	1.03	.68	.86	.85	<	.001	.54	.14
W0469	2.283	1.095	1.688	1.661	<	.075	.603	.377
W0471	.45	.27	.23	.42	<	.01	.16	.05
W0476	1.71	.812	1.26	1.23	<	.01	.452	.127
W0477	2.20	1.163	1.683	1.663	<	.010	.590	.150
W0480	1.98	.87	1.34	1.32	<	.10	.36	<
W0482	2.09	1.42	1.53	2.20	<	.16	.20	.05
W0489	.45	.43	.54	.30	<	.05	.05	.05
W0493	2.19	.98	1.62	1.65	<	.08	.43	.13
W0497	2.36	1.19	1.79	1.75	<	.12	.65	.29
W0498	2.52	2.00	1.66	1.70	<	.00	1.46	.72
W0506	2.10	1.10	1.60	1.45	<	.05	.50	.15
W0511	2.43	1.23	1.78	1.79	<	.15	.71	.33
W0514	1.50	.90	.90	1.23	<	.02	.30	.14
W0515	2.16	.96	1.55	1.53	<	.1	.49	.14
W0516	1.82	.94	1.37	1.43	<	.01	.44	.12
W0524	2.13	1.22	1.62	1.53	<	.23	.60	.30
W0526	2.16	1.09	1.40	1.40	<	.02	.58	.17
W0528	1.10	.70	.94	.825	<	.004	.345	.1045
W0529	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.45	<	.01	.52	.16
W0533	1.90	1.08	1.48	1.48	<	.05	.58	.16
W0535	2.20	1.11	1.58	1.70	<	.01	.67	.158
W0538	2.00	1.20	1.59	1.50	<	.11	.48	.19
W0542	2.22	1.05	1.60	1.51	<	.03	.57	.16
W0551	2.48	1.03	1.61	1.56	<	.01	.56	.19
W0552	2.20	1.20	1.68	1.78	<	.10	.80	.42
W0999	2.08	.97	1.43	1.38	<	.00	.51	.14
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
TOTAL LABS USED	75	75	75	75	54	75	74	73
MEAN	1.82092	.95140	1.37233	1.35344	.16700	.49404	.18260	.22568
STD DEV	.56100	.29389	.38359	.39974	.69723	.21154	.12619	.56520
MEDIAN	2.01000	1.00000	1.50000	1.48000	.03000	.52000	.15800	.16000

MOE/CAEAL Study - DRAFT

Appendix 3: YOUDEN ASSESSMENTS (BIAS AND FLAG ASSIGNMENTS)

PARAMETER: 00100 SUSPENDED SOLIDS

MG/L

RESEARCH AND APPLICATIONS BRANCH
NATIONAL WATER RESEARCH INSTITUTE
BURLINGTON ONTARIO

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 01 SS

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR= 2.00
 LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W0336,W0382,W0011,W0233
 LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR= 2.00

CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT= .0500

SAMPLE LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	1	REPORTED VALUE	2	REPORTED VALUE	3	REPORTED VALUE	4	REPORTED VALUE	5	REPORTED VALUE	6
	LAB NO	RANK										
W0009	16. L	9.50	32. EH	70.00	29.	31.50	19.	26.00	.0	18.50	45.	30.00
W0023	14. EL	4.00	4. EL	2.50	26.	8.50	16. L	10.50	2.	43.00	40. L	12.00
W0028	18.6	21.00	10.9	25.00	28.	21.50	18.6	23.00	.0	18.50	45.	30.00
W0042	20.	40.50	10.	20.50	28.	21.50	20.	35.50	.0	18.50	48.	53.00
W0072	24. H	67.00	13.	59.00	34. H	67.50	21.	47.00	1.	39.00	48.	53.00
W0127	17. L	15.00	9.	13.00	26. L	8.50	18.	18.50	.0	18.50	43.	17.00
W0147	20.	40.50	11.	31.50	31.	48.00	22.	56.50	.0	18.50	47.	46.00
W0148	21.	55.50	11.	31.50	31.	48.00	21.	47.00	.0	18.50	45.	30.00
W0149	22.	62.00	12.	48.00	33.	65.00	23. H	61.50	2.	43.00	46.	39.00
W0179	16.5 L	13.00	9.	13.00	14. EL	1.00	36.5 EH	69.00	.5	37.00	44.5	25.00
W0198	18.	18.50	6. EL	5.00	29.	31.50	14. EL	4.00	.0	18.50	43.	17.00
W0211	20.	40.50	11.	31.50	30.	38.00	20.	35.50	.0	18.50	43.	17.00
W0238	24. H	67.00	12.	48.00	32.	59.00	22.	56.50	.0	18.50	49.	58.50
W0239	19.	25.50	11.	31.50	26. L	8.50	24. H	65.00	.0	18.50	45.	30.00
W0243	21.	55.50	11.7	40.00	31.5	54.00	20.	35.50	.0	18.50	43.	17.00
W0247	20.	40.50	4. EL	2.50	22. EL	3.50	14. EL	4.00	.0	18.50	30. EL	1.00
W0248	23. H	64.00	13.	59.00	32.	59.00	22.	56.50	.0	18.50	51. H	63.50
W0255	27. EH	69.00	10.	20.50	31.	48.00	24. H	65.00	-1.	1.00	35. EL	4.00
W0266	21.	55.50	14. H	63.00	31.	48.00	38. EH	70.00	4.	47.50	46.	39.00
W0305	21.	55.50	12.	48.00	31.	48.00	21.	47.00	.0	18.50	44.	22.50
W0335	16. L	9.50	10.	20.50	31.	48.00	11. EL	1.00	.0	18.50	34. EL	2.50
W0337	29.2 EH	70.00	20. EH	68.00	38.8 EH	69.00	30. EH	68.00	2.8	46.00	54.8 EH	68.00
W0364	18.	18.50	10.	20.50	28.	21.50	19.	26.00	.0	18.50	43.	17.00
W0370	20.	40.50	11.	31.50	28.	21.50	18.	18.50	1.	39.00	43.	17.00
W0377	50. EH	71.00	42. EH	71.00	59. EH	71.00	46. EH	71.00	12.	49.00	34. EL	2.50
W0380	19.	25.50	8.75	9.00	28.8	28.00	18.4	22.00	.0	18.50	46.8	43.00
W0390	21.0	55.50	11.4	39.00	32.4	63.00	22.0	56.50	.0	18.50	48.4	57.00
W0404	14. EL	4.00	18. EH	67.00	22. EL	3.50	17. L	14.50	.0	18.50	38. VL	8.50
W0417	23. H	64.00	9.	13.00	32.	59.00	24. H	65.00	<2.	.00	46.	39.00
W0418	18.	18.50	12.	48.00	27.	14.00	20.	35.50	<5.	.00	48.	53.00
W0427	21.	55.50	12.	48.00	33.	65.00	23. H	61.50	.0	18.50	55. EH	69.00
W0428	19.	25.50	10.	20.50	28.	21.50	19.	26.00	<1.	.00	44.	22.50
W0429	17. L	15.00	9.	13.00	31.	48.00	20.	35.50	<1.	.00	52. H	65.50
W0430	15. VL	6.50	6. EL	5.00	23. EL	5.00	14. EL	4.00	1.	39.00	45.	30.00
W0431	20.	40.50	11.	31.50	32.	59.00	22.	56.50	<5.	.00	47.	46.00
W0433	19.	25.50	12.	48.00	28.	21.50	19.	26.00	<1.	.00	39. VL	10.00
W0439	21.	55.50	7. VL	7.00	31.	48.00	22.	56.50	<1.	.00	36. EL	5.50
W0441	21.	55.50	13.	59.00	29.	31.50	21.	47.00	.0	18.50	46.	39.00
W0447	24. H	67.00	16. EH	66.00	33.	65.00	27. EH	67.00	4.	47.50	60. EH	71.00
W0448	18.	18.50	12.	48.00	28.	21.50	21.	47.00	<5.	.00	45.	30.00
W0456	15. VL	6.50	1. EL	1.00	31.	48.00	16. L	10.50	<1.	.00	37. EL	7.00
W0460	19.	25.50	10.	20.50	32.	59.00	21.	47.00	.0	18.50	50.	61.00
W0462	20.	40.50	26. EH	69.00	40. EH	70.00	21.	47.00	2.	43.00	56. EH	70.00
W0463	20.	40.50	12.	48.00	30.	38.00	20.	35.50	.0	18.50	45.	30.00
W0464	14. EL	4.00	11.	31.50	27.	14.00	15. VL	6.50	<3.	.00	38. VL	8.50
W0468	20.	40.50	10.	20.50	26.5 L	11.00	16. L	10.50	<.5	.00	43.	17.00
W0471	21.79	61.00	13.16	62.00	31.26	53.00	21.45	52.00	.11	36.00	47.28	49.00
W0476	19.	25.50	9.	13.00	26. L	8.50	16. L	10.50	<1.	.00	40. L	12.00
W0477	20.	40.50	11.	31.50	30.	38.00	20.	35.50	.0	18.50	48.	53.00
W0480	3. EL	1.00	12.	48.00	30.	38.00	21.	47.00	<3.	.00	47.	46.00

PARAMETER: 00100 SUSPENDED SOLIDS

MG/L

SAMPLE LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	1 RANK	REPORTED VALUE	2 RANK	REPORTED VALUE	3 RANK	REPORTED VALUE	4 RANK	REPORTED VALUE	5 RANK	REPORTED VALUE	6 RANK
W0482	20.	40.50	11.	31.50	29.	31.50	20.	35.50	<1.	.00	52. H	65.50
W0485	20.	40.50	11.	31.50	34. H	67.50	18.	18.50	<1.	.00	50.	61.00
W0489	19.6	31.00	11.2	38.00	28.6	27.00	19.8	29.00	.0	18.50	46.	39.00
W0493	16. L	9.50	9.	13.00	20. EL	2.00	18.	18.50	<2.	.00	44.	22.50
W0497	19.	25.50	11.	31.50	27.	14.00	22.	56.50	<1.	.00	47.	46.00
W0498	16. L	9.50	6. EL	5.00	25. L	6.00	15. VL	6.50	.0	18.50	44.	22.50
W0506	20.	40.50	11.	31.50	30.	38.00	19.	26.00	<1.	.00	47.	46.00
W0511	21.	55.50	12.	48.00	32.	59.00	20.	35.50	.0	18.50	48.	53.00
W0514	21.	55.50	13.	59.00	32.	59.00	22.	56.50	.0	18.50	48.	53.00
W0516	17. L	15.00	9.	13.00	27.	14.00	18.	18.50	2.	43.00	46.	39.00
W0524	20.	40.50	14.3 H	64.50	30.5	42.50	13.1 EL	2.00	2.	43.00	45.	30.00
W0526	16.4 L	12.00	12.9	56.00	28.4	26.00	23.2 H	63.00	.0	18.50	54.7 EH	67.00
W0528	20.	40.50	12.	48.00	28.	21.50	18.	18.50	<2.	.00	45.	30.00
W0529	23. H	64.00	13.	59.00	30.	38.00	21.	47.00	.0	18.50	50.	61.00
W0533	20.	40.50	12.	48.00	29.	31.50	16. L	10.50	.0	18.50	49.	58.50
W0535	20.6	50.00	12.	48.00	31.6	55.00	20.4	42.00	13.7	50.00	45.2	35.00
W0538	20.	40.50	14.3 H	64.50	30.5	42.50	17. L	14.50	.0	18.50	48.	53.00
W0542	20.	40.50	12.	48.00	27.	14.00	20.	35.50	<1.	.00	40. L	12.00
W0551	13. EL	2.00	12.	48.00	29.	31.50	20.	35.50	<1.	.00	51. H	63.50
W0552	19.	25.50	10.	20.50	30.	38.00	20.	35.50	.0	18.50	46.	39.00
W0999	19.2	30.00	8.4 L	8.00	27.4	17.00	16. L	10.50	.0	18.50	36. EL	5.50
MEDIAN CONC.	20.000		11.000		30.000		20.000		.000		46.000	

SUSPENDED SOLIDS

PARAMETER: 00100 SUSPENDED SOLIDS

MG/L

SAMPLE LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	7 RANK	REPORTED VALUE	8 RANK	REPORTED VALUE	9 RANK
W0009	40.	43.00	58.	31.00	48.	50.00
W0023	36.	15.00	54. L	13.50	42.	16.00
W0028	38.6	31.00	44.4 EL	4.00	58. EH	68.00
W0042	42.	56.50	62.	56.00	50.	58.50
W0072	42.	56.50	61.	50.00	50.	58.50
W0127	36.	15.00	55.	17.50	43.	20.00
W0147	36.	15.00	65. H	64.00	47.	43.50
W0148	40.	43.00	60.	41.00	44.	25.00
W0149	41.	49.50	60.	41.00	47.	43.50
W0179	34. L	7.50	56.5	22.00	44.	25.00
W0198	35. L	9.00	57.	25.50	44.	25.00
W0211	36.	15.00	57.	25.50	42.	16.00
W0238	42.	56.50	62.	56.00	50.	58.50
W0239	42.	56.50	59.	36.00	39. VL	7.50
W0243	39.	35.50	56.	20.50	40. L	9.50
W0247	30. EL	3.00	50. VL	7.00	30. EL	1.00
W0248	41.	49.50	64. H	61.00	51. H	62.50
W0255	40.	43.00	56.	20.50	46.	37.00
W0266	39.	35.50	61.	50.00	45.	31.00
W0305	38.	27.00	59.	36.00	46.	37.00
W0335	28. EL	2.00	58.	31.00	44.	25.00
W0337	50.8 EH	67.00	64.8 H	62.00	54. VH	64.50
W0364	36.	15.00	55.	17.50	43.	20.00
W0370	36.	15.00	60.	41.00	46.	37.00
W0377	38.	27.00	82. EH	70.00	59. EH	69.00
W0380	33.6 L	6.00	38.4 EL	1.00	50.4 H	61.00
W0390	41.4	53.00	61.2	54.00	48.0	50.00
W0404	32. VL	4.50	50. VL	7.00	37. EL	4.50
W0417	40.	43.00	60.	41.00	42.	16.00
W0418	41.	49.50	65. H	64.00	48.	50.00
W0427	48. EH	64.50	73. EH	67.00	54. VH	64.50
W0428	34. L	7.50	52. L	10.00	41. L	12.00
W0429	48. EH	64.50	58.	31.00	43.	20.00
W0430	36.	15.00	55.	17.50	40. L	9.50
W0431	40.	43.00	54. L	13.50	57. EH	67.00
W0433	36.	15.00	54. L	13.50	41. L	12.00
W0439	43. H	61.00	41. EL	2.00	38. VL	6.00
W0441	38.	27.00	50. VL	7.00	45.	31.00
W0447	41.	49.50	65. H	64.00	35. EL	2.00
W0448	39.	35.50	58.	31.00	44.	25.00
W0456	17. EL	1.00	44. EL	3.00	37. EL	4.50
W0460	42.	56.50	60.	41.00	46.	37.00
W0462	42.	56.50	98. EH	71.00	56. EH	66.00
W0463	37.	22.00	54. L	13.50	44.	25.00
W0464	36.	15.00	55.	17.50	44.	25.00
W0468	39.	35.50	53. L	11.00	49.	54.50
W0471	42.25	60.00	62.23	58.00	49.64	56.00
W0476	36.	15.00	58.	31.00	39. VL	7.50
W0477	39.	35.50	60.	41.00	47.	43.50
W0480	41.	49.50	61.	50.00	47.	43.50
W0482	40.	43.00	57.	25.50	47.	43.50
W0485	41.	49.50	63.	59.50	51. H	62.50
W0489	37.2	24.00	56.8	23.00	45.4	33.00
W0493	44. H	62.00	63.	59.50	48.	50.00
W0497	39.	35.50	61.	50.00	48.	50.00
W0498	37.	22.00	61.	50.00	41. L	12.00
W0506	39.	35.50	60.	41.00	46.	37.00
W0511	40.	43.00	62.	56.00	49.	54.50
W0514	82. EH	71.00	61.	50.00	46.	37.00
W0516	38.	27.00	59.	36.00	47.	43.50

PARAMETER: 00100

SUSPENDED SOLIDS

MG/L

SAMPLE LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	7 RANK	REPORTED VALUE	8 RANK	REPORTED VALUE	9 RANK
W0524	55. EH	70.00	75. EH	68.50	60. EH	70.00
W0526	51.8 EH	68.00	60.8	46.00	48.	50.00
W0528	37.	22.00	58.	31.00	45.	31.00
W0529	46. VH	63.00	66. H	66.00	48.	50.00
W0533	38.	27.00	61.	50.00	50.	58.50
W0535	49. EH	66.00	60.6	45.00	35.8 EL	3.00
W0538	53. EH	69.00	75. EH	68.50	61. EH	71.00
W0542	36.	15.00	57.	25.50	42.	16.00
W0551	32. VL	4.50	51. VL	9.00	42.	16.00
W0552	39.	35.50	46. EL	5.00	46.	37.00
W0999	38.5	30.00	58.	31.00	44.5	29.00
MEDIAN CONC.	39.000		59.000		46.000	

SUSPENDED SOLIDS

LAB NO.	TOTAL	AVERAGE	NO. OF SAMPLES RANKED	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING		METHOD CODING
					BIASED LOW	
W0009	309.50	34.389	9	L EH		200-400934AH 17
W0023	125.00	13.889	9	ELELL L L L ELEH		500 \$25GF 7
W0028	242.00	26.889	9			500 OX-934AH 3
W0042	360.50	40.056	9			250 WH-934AH 16
W0072	497.50	55.278	9	H H		92-250 WH-934AH 14
W0127	143.00	15.889	9	L L		200 WH-934AH 53
W0147	363.50	40.389	9			200-250GF 52
W0148	339.50	37.722	9			250-500WH-934AH 77
W0149	452.50	50.278	9	H		400-526WH-934AH 64
W0179	212.50	23.611	9	L ELEH L		200 OX-934AH 6
W0198	154.00	17.111	9	EL EL L		3-50 HACH 56
W0211	237.50	26.389	9			250-500OX-934AH 31
W0238	478.50	53.167	9	H		100-200GF/C 35
W0239	279.00	31.000	9	L H VL		250 WH-934AH 74
W0243	286.00	31.778	9			100-300WH-GMF 20
W0247	81.00	9.000	9	ELELEL ELELVLEL		50 OX-934AH 70
W0248	493.50	54.833	9	H H H H		100-200WH-GF/C 21
W0255	308.00	34.222	9	EH H EL		100 SW-GMF 59
W0266	439.50	48.833	9	H EH		250-500WH-GMF 12
W0305	339.50	37.722	9			500 WH-GMF 62
W0335	158.00	17.556	9	L EL ELEL		50-100 OX-934AH 5
W0337	582.50	64.722	9	EHEHEHEH EHEHH VH		250 WH-934AH 69
W0364	174.50	19.389	9			300-500934AH 25
W0370	261.00	29.000	9			200 GMF 41
W0377	501.50	55.722	9	EHEHEHEH EL EHEH		100 WH-934AH 76
W0380	214.00	23.778	9	L ELH		500 OXGF/FD 18
W0390	446.50	49.611	9			500 OX-934AH
W0404	132.00	14.667	9	ELEHELL VLVLVLEL		100 WH-GF/A 73
W0417	340.00	42.500	8	H H		200-250WH-GF/C 48
W0418	332.50	41.563	8			400-500WH-934AH 19
W0427	513.50	57.056	9	H EHEHEHVH		200 WH-934AH 61
W0428	145.50	18.188	8	L L L		200 WH-934AH 78
W0429	292.50	36.563	8	L H EH		100 GF/C 8
W0430	131.50	14.611	9	VLELEL EL		100 GF 4
W0431	357.00	44.625	8			300-500WH-934AH 51
W0433	171.50	21.438	8	VL L L		100-250WH-934 26
W0439	241.50	30.188	8	VL ELH ELVL		
W0441	315.50	35.056	9			100-200WH-934AH 58
W0447	499.00	55.444	9	H EH EH EH H EL		
W0448	256.50	32.063	8			250 WH-934AH 32
W0456	81.50	10.188	8	VLEL L ELELEL		100 GEL-A/E 37
W0460	366.00	40.667	9			250 WH-934AH 28
W0462	533.00	59.222	9	EHEH EH EHEH		
W0463	271.00	30.111	9	L		150-250WH-934AH 2
W0464	122.00	15.250	8	EL VL VL		250 WH-934AH 10
W0468	200.50	25.063	8	L L L		100 WH-934AM 39
W0471	487.00	54.111	9			413-500GF/C 50
W0476	123.00	15.375	8	L L L VL		100 GFC 57
W0477	337.00	37.444	9			100-300OX-934AH 81
W0480	323.00	40.375	8	EL		250-460GELMAN 23
W0482	316.50	39.563	8			100-200WH-934AH 80
W0485	390.50	48.813	8	H H		400-500MF/GD 38
W0489	262.50	29.167	9			250-500WH-GFIC 55
W0493	237.00	29.625	8	L EL H		100 WH-934AH 11
W0497	309.00	38.625	8			400-500WH-934AH 36
W0498	152.00	16.889	9	L ELL VL L		100 WH-GMF 27
W0506	295.50	36.938	8			
W0511	423.00	47.000	9			ALL WH-934AH 43
W0514	459.50	51.056	9			250 WH-934AH 44
W0516	249.00	27.667	9	L H EL EHEHEH		200 MP-AP40 13
W0524	431.00	47.889	9			200 C-NITRATE72
W0526	406.50	45.167	9	L H EHEH		WH-934AH 68
W0528	242.50	30.313	8			

LAB NO.	TOTAL RANK	AVERAGE RANK	NO.OF SAMPLES RANKED	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING	METHOD CODING
W0529	466.50	51.833	9	H VHH	50-100 WH-GMF 79
W0533	343.00	38.111	9	L	455-555WH-934AH 29
W0535	394.00	43.778	9		100 WH-934AH 49
W0538	442.00	49.111	9	H L EH EL	200 WH-934AM 82
W0542	206.50	25.813	8		100-400WH-934AH 46
W0551	210.00	26.250	8	EL H VLVL	250 GE-A/E 40
W0552	254.50	28.278	9		50-300 NU-P100 67
W0999	179.50	19.944	9	L L EL	100-250WH-934AH 24
OVERALL AVERAGE RANK IS 35.150				SUSPENDED SOLIDS	

LAB NO.	TOTAL	AVERAGE	NO. OF SAMPLES	SUMMARY OF	METHOD CODING	
	RANK	RANK	RANKED	FLAGGING		
W0247	81.00	9.000	9	ELELELELELVLVELL	BIASED LOW	50 OX-934AH 70
W0456	81.50	10.188	8	VLELLELELEL	BIASED LOW	100 GEL-A/E 37
W0023	125.00	13.889	9	ELELLL	BIASED LOW	500 #25GF 7
W0430	131.50	14.611	9	VLELEELL		100 GF 4
W0404	132.00	14.667	9	ELEHELLVLVVLVLEL		100 WH-GF/A 73
W0464	122.00	15.250	8	ELVLVL		250 WH-934AH 10
W0476	123.00	15.375	8	LLLVL		100 GFC 57
W0127	143.00	15.889	9	LL		200 WH-934AH 53
W0498	152.00	16.889	9	LELLVLL		100 WH-GMF 27
W0198	154.00	17.111	9	ELELL		3-50 HACH 56
W0335	158.00	17.556	9	LELELEL		50-100 OX-934AH 5
W0428	145.50	18.188	8	LLL		200 WH-934AH 78
W0364	174.50	19.389	9			300-500934AH 25
W0999	179.50	19.944	9	LLEL		100-250WH-934AH 24
W0433	171.50	21.438	8	VLLL		100-250WH-934 26
W0179	212.50	23.611	9	LELEHL		200 OX-934AH 6
W0380	214.00	23.778	9	LELH		500 OXGF/FD 18
W0468	200.50	25.063	8	LLL		100 WH-934AM 39
W0542	206.50	25.813	8	L		100-400WH-934AH 46
W0551	210.00	26.250	8	ELHVVL		250 GE-A/E 40
W0211	237.50	26.389	9			250-500OX-934AH 31
W0028	242.00	26.889	9	ELEH		500 OX-934AH 3
W0516	249.00	27.667	9	L		200 MP-AP40 13
W0552	254.50	28.278	9	EL		50-300 NU-P100 67
W0370	261.00	29.000	9			200 GMF 41
W0489	262.50	29.167	9			250-500WH-GFIC 55
W0493	237.00	29.625	8	LELH		100 WH-934AH 11
W0463	271.00	30.111	9	L		150-250WH-934AH 2
W0439	241.50	30.188	8	VLELHELVL		
W0528	242.50	30.313	8			
W0239	279.00	31.000	9	LHVL		250 WH-934AH 74
W0243	286.00	31.778	9	L		100-300WH-GMF 20
W0448	256.50	32.063	8			250 WH-934AH 32
W0255	308.00	34.222	9	EHHEL		100 SW-GMF 59
W0009	309.50	34.389	9	LEH		200-400934AH 17
W0441	315.50	35.056	9	VL		100-200WH-934AH 58
W0429	292.50	36.563	8	LHEH		100 GF/C 8
W0506	295.50	36.938	8			
W0477	337.00	37.444	9			100-300OX-934AH 81
W0148	339.50	37.722	9			250-500WH-934AH 77
W0305	339.50	37.722	9			500 WH-GMF 62
W0533	343.00	38.111	9	L		455-555WH-934AH 29
W0497	309.00	38.625	8			400-500WH-934AH 36
W0482	316.50	39.563	8	H		100-200WH-934AH 80
W0042	360.50	40.056	9			250 WH-934AH 16
W0480	323.00	40.375	8	EL		250-460GELMAN 23
W0147	363.50	40.389	9	H		200-250GF 52
W0460	366.00	40.667	9			250 WH-934AH 28
W0418	332.50	41.563	8	H		400-500WH-934AH 19
W0417	340.00	42.500	8	HH		200-250WH-GF/C 48
W0535	394.00	43.778	9	EHEL		100 WH-934AH 49
W0431	357.00	44.625	8	LEH		300-500WH-934AH 51
W0526	406.50	45.167	9	LHEHEH		WH-934AH 68
W0511	423.00	47.000	9			ALL WH-934AH 43
W0524	431.00	47.889	9	HELEHEHEH		200 C-NITRATE72
W0485	390.50	48.813	8	HH		400-500MF/GD 38
W0266	439.50	48.833	9	HEH		250-500WH-GMF 12
W0538	442.00	49.111	9	HLEHEHEH		200 WH-934AM 82
W0390	446.50	49.611	9			500 OX-934AH
W0149	452.50	50.278	9	H		400-526WH-934AH 64
W0514	459.50	51.056	9	EH		250 WH-934AH 44
W0529	466.50	51.833	9	HVHH		50-100 WH-GMF 79
W0238	478.50	53.167	9	H		100-200GF/C 35
W0471	487.00	54.111	9			413-500GF/C 50

LAB NO.	TOTAL RANK	AVERAGE RANK	NO. OF SAMPLES RANKED	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING	METHOD CODING
W0248	493.50	54.833	9	HHHH	100-200WH-GF/C 21
W0072	497.50	55.278	9	HH	92-250 WH-934AH 14
W0447	499.00	55.444	9	HEHEHEHHEL	
W0377	501.50	55.722	9	EHEHEHEHELEHEH	100 WH-934AH 76
W0427	513.50	57.056	9	HEHEHEHVH	200 WH-934AH 61
W0462	533.00	59.222	9	EHEHEHEHEH	
W0337	582.50	64.722	9	EHEHEHEHEHEHHVH	BIASED HIGH 250 WH-934AH 69
OVERALL AVERAGE RANK IS	35.150				SUSPENDED SOLIDS

PARAMETER: 00200 BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND

MG/L

RESEARCH AND APPLICATIONS BRANCH
 NATIONAL WATER RESEARCH INSTITUTE
 BURLINGTON ONTARIO

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- 5.00
 LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W0336, W0382, W0233
 LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- 5.00

CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT- .1000

SAMPLE	BOD1		BOD2		BOD3		BOD4		SB1		SB2	
LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	RANK										
W0042	10.	36.00	5.	20.50	16.	19.50	8.	18.00	50. EH	56.00	37. VH	51.00
W0072	6.	7.00	3.	6.50	14.	13.00	6.	6.50	25.	9.50	19.	8.00
W0147	5.	4.00	2.	3.00	14.	13.00	6.	6.50	23. L	5.50	20.	10.50
W0148	6.	7.00	4.	12.50	13.	9.50	7.	12.00	19. VL	3.00	17. L	5.00
W0149	6.	7.00	3.	6.50	11. L	5.50	5.	3.50	25.	9.50	16. L	3.50
W0211	10.	36.00	7.	48.50	20.	40.50	11.	45.50	39. H	52.00	29.	43.00
W0238	10.	36.00	7.	48.50	18.	29.00	10.	32.50	30.	26.00	23.	18.00
W0239	12.	51.00	8.	52.00	24.	51.00	11.	45.50	42. H	54.00	31.	47.00
W0243	11.	46.00	12. H	54.00	20.	40.50	11.	45.50	40. H	53.00	30.	45.00
W0248	9.	23.50	6.	36.50	17.	24.00	11.	45.50	27.	17.50	19.	8.00
W0255	250. EH	57.00	40. EH	55.00	210. EH	57.00	210. EH	57.00	10. EL	1.00	60. EH	57.00
W0335	8.	15.50	3.	6.50	8. VL	2.50	4. L	2.00	35.	38.00	25.	26.50
W0337	9.	23.50	5.	20.50	19.	33.50	9.	25.00	26.	13.50	22.	15.00
W0364	10.	36.00	5.	20.50	18.	29.00	9.	25.00	32.	31.50	23.	18.00
W0404	9.	23.50	6.	36.50	12.	7.50	9.	25.00	23. L	5.50	40. EH	53.00
W0417	9.	23.50	6.	36.50	20.	40.50	8.	18.00	33.	33.50	27.	35.50
W0418	7.	11.00	<5.	.00	11. L	5.50	7.	12.00	27.	17.50	15. L	2.00
W0427	7.2	13.00	3.4	10.00	12.0	7.50	7.2	14.00	24.6	7.00	18.9	6.00
W0428	4. L	2.00	<2.	.00	14.	13.00	5.	3.50	32.	31.50	23.	18.00
W0429	12.	51.00	11. H	53.00	25. H	53.50	18. H	55.00	36.	43.00	34. H	50.00
W0430	8.7	19.00	5.4	29.00	17.5	27.00	10.	32.50	31.2	30.00	26.	31.50
W0431	10.	36.00	6.	36.50	20.	40.50	8.	18.00	35.	38.00	26.	31.50
W0433	7.	11.00	5.	20.50	16.	19.50	8.	18.00	35.	38.00	24.	22.00
W0439	25. EH	56.00	3.8	11.00	31. EH	56.00	6.4	9.00	9.00	27.	17.50	23.
W0441	9.	23.50	5.	20.50	18.	29.00	9.	25.00	30.	26.00	20.	18.00
W0447	9.	23.50	5.	20.50	19.	33.50	8.	18.00	36.	43.00	25.	10.50
W0456	5.	4.00	1.	1.00	6. VL	1.00	1. VL	1.00	30.	26.00	16. L	3.50
W0460	8.	15.50	5.	20.50	16.	19.50	8.	18.00	26.	13.50	19.	8.00
W0462	10.	36.00	6.	36.50	20.	40.50	10.	32.50	30.	26.00	25.	26.50
W0463	10.	36.00	5.	20.50	22.	48.50	10.	32.50	37.	47.00	29.	43.00
W0464	7.	11.00	3.	6.50	13.	9.50	6.	6.50	25.	9.50	21.	13.00
W0468	8.32	18.00	5.32	28.00	21.64	47.00	12.21	54.00	21.4 L	4.00	55.22 EH	56.00
W0471	6.12	9.00	3.38	9.00	8.67 L	4.00	6.95	10.00	17.49 VL	2.00	7.57 EL	1.00
W0476	10.	36.00	6.	36.50	23.	50.00	11.	45.50	38.	50.00	28.	39.50
W0477	9.	23.50	6.	36.50	17.	24.00	9.	25.00	37.	47.00	33. H	49.00
W0480	11.	46.00	6.	36.50	20.	40.50	11.	45.50	29.	22.00	24.	22.00
W0482	8.	15.50	5.	20.50	17.	24.00	10.	32.50	29.	22.00	26.	31.50
W0485	9.	23.50	6.	36.50	17.	24.00	12.	52.50	29.	22.00	39. VH	52.00
W0489	16. H	53.50	5.8	30.00	15.7	17.00	10.7	38.00	57.6 EH	57.00	48.5 EH	55.00
W0493	10.	36.00	5.	20.50	17.	24.00	10.	32.50	31.	29.00	26.	31.50
W0497	10.	36.00	5.	20.50	19.	33.50	11.	45.50	38.	50.00	32.	48.00
W0498	10.	36.00	7.	48.50	19.	33.50	10.	32.50	28.	20.00	27.	35.50
W0506	10.	36.00	5.	20.50	19.	33.50	11.	45.50	30.	26.00	25.	26.50
W0511	10.	36.00	4.	12.50	14.	13.00	8.	18.00	33.	33.50	23.	18.00
W0514	5.	4.00	2.	3.00	16.	19.50	7.	12.00	27.	17.50	21.	13.00
W0516	10.	36.00	5.	20.50	19.	33.50	10.	32.50	36.	43.00	25.	26.50
W0524	12.	51.00	7.	48.50	20.	40.50	11.	45.50	36.	43.00	28.	39.50
W0526	9.5	28.00	6.9	45.00	15.6	16.00	10.2	37.00	34.5	35.00	30.5	46.00
W0528	2. L	1.00	2.	3.00	8. VL	2.50	9.	25.00	26.	13.50	21.	13.00
W0529	18. H	55.00	6.8	43.50	24.8 H	52.00	22.5 EH	56.00	49.5 EH	55.00	46.5 EH	54.00
W0533	8.	15.50	5.	20.50	14.	13.00	9.	25.00	25.	9.50	25.	26.50
W0535	16. H	53.50	7.	48.50	26. H	55.00	6.	6.50	26.	13.50	24.	22.00

PARAMETER: 00200 BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND

MG/L

RESEARCH AND APPLICATIONS BRANCH
NATIONAL WATER RESEARCH INSTITUTE
BURLINGTON ONTARIO

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- 5.00
LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W0336,W0382,W0233
LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- 5.00

CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT- .1000

SAMPLE	BOD1		BOD2		BOD3		BOD4		SB1		SB2	
LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	RANK										
W0538	11.	46.00	6.	36.50	22.	48.50	11.	45.50	38.	50.00	27.	35.50
W0542	11.	46.00	6.	36.50	21.	46.00	11.	45.50	35.	38.00	28.	39.50
W0551	11.	46.00	7.	48.50	25. H	53.50	12.	52.50	37.	47.00	29.	43.00
W0552	10.	36.00	6.	36.50	20.	40.50	11.	45.50	35.	38.00	27.	35.50
W0999	11.3	49.00	6.8	43.50	20.3	45.00	10.9	39.00	36.	43.00	28.	39.50
MEDIAN CONC.	10.000		5.320		18.000		10.000		31.000		25.000	

PARAMETER: 00200 BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND

MG/L

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- 5.00
 BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- 5.00 CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT- .1000
 LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W0336, W0382, W0233
 LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

SAMPLE	SB3		SB4	
LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	RANK	REPORTED VALUE	RANK
W0042	56. EH	54.00	50. EH	53.00
W0072	27.	10.00	24.	4.50
W0147	27.	10.00	24.	4.50
W0148	24. L	6.00	22. L	2.00
W0149	27.	10.00	25.	7.00
W0211	41.	42.00	37.	42.50
W0238	31.	18.00	31.	26.50
W0239	53. EH	53.00	45. VH	51.00
W0243	36.	34.00	40. H	48.00
W0248	28.	13.00	30.	19.50
W0255	.	.00	.	.00
W0335	.0 EL	1.00	40. H	48.00
W0337	31.	18.00	25.	7.00
W0364	28.	13.00	32.	32.00
W0404	48. VH	51.50	52. EH	54.00
W0417	41.	42.00	30.	19.50
W0418	24. L	6.00	25.	7.00
W0427	31.5	20.00	22.8 L	3.00
W0428	35.	31.00	31.	26.50
W0429	57. EH	55.00	42. H	50.00
W0430	37.	37.00	31.8	29.00
W0431	40.	39.50	34.	36.50
W0433	37.	37.00	37.	42.50
W0439	28.	13.00	31.	26.50
W0441	34.	27.50	29.	14.00
W0447	24. L	6.00	30.	19.50
W0456	30.	16.00	30.	19.50
W0460	29.	15.00	27.	10.50
W0462	40.	39.50	32.	32.00
W0463	44. H	49.50	37.	42.50
W0464	32.	21.50	27.	10.50
W0468	23.3 L	4.00	28.82	13.00
W0471	20.28 VL	2.00	17.67 VL	1.00
W0476	44. H	49.50	36.	39.50
W0477	37.	37.00	32.	32.00
W0480	36.	34.00	30.	19.50
W0482	34.	27.50	30.	19.50
W0485	32.	21.50	47. EH	52.00
W0489	74. EH	56.00	100. EH	56.00
W0493	35.	31.00	32.	32.00
W0497	42. H	46.00	37.	42.50
W0498	34.	27.50	30.	19.50
W0506	35.	31.00	31.	26.50
W0511	34.	27.50	30.	19.50
W0514	33.	24.00	62. EH	55.00
W0516	22. VL	3.00	30.	19.50
W0524	42. H	46.00	35.	38.00
W0526	33.	24.00	32.5	35.00
W0528	33.	24.00	26.	9.00
W0529	25.5 L	8.00	39.	45.50
W0533	36.	34.00	28.	12.00
W0535	31.	18.00	30.	19.50
W0538	42. H	46.00	34.	36.50
W0542	42. H	46.00	36.	39.50
W0551	48. VH	51.50	32.	32.00
W0552	41.	42.00	39.	45.50
W0999	42. H	46.00	40. H	48.00
MEDIAN CONC.	34.000		31.400	

LAB NO.	TOTAL	AVERAGE	NO. OF SAMPLES RANKED	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING	BOTTLE NO.
W0042	308.00	38.500	8	EHVREHEH	16
W0072	65.00	8.125	8		14
W0147	57.00	7.125	8	L	52
W0148	57.00	7.125	8	VLL L L	77
W0149	52.50	6.563	8	L L	64
W0211	350.00	43.750	8	H	31
W0238	234.50	29.313	8		35
W0239	404.50	50.563	8	H EH VHV	74
W0243	366.00	45.750	8	H H H	20
W0248	187.50	23.438	8		21
W0255	284.00	47.333	6	EHEHEHEHELEN	59
W0335	140.00	17.500	8	VLL ELH	5
W0337	156.00	19.500	8		69
W0364	205.00	25.625	8		25
W0404	256.50	32.063	8	L EHVREH	73
W0417	249.00	31.125	8		48
W0418	61.00	8.714	7	L L L	19
W0427	80.50	10.063	8	L	61
W0428	125.50	17.929	7		78
W0429	410.50	51.313	8	H H H H EHH	8
W0430	235.00	29.375	8		4
W0431	276.50	34.563	8		51
W0433	208.50	26.063	8		26
W0439	207.00	25.875	8	EH EH	54
W0441	176.00	22.000	8		58
W0447	190.50	23.813	8		34
W0456	72.00	9.000	8	VLVL L	37
W0460	120.50	15.063	8		28
W0462	269.50	33.688	8		42
W0463	319.50	39.938	8	H	2
W0464	88.00	11.000	8		10
W0468	224.00	28.000	8	L ERL	39
W0471	38.00	4.750	8	VLEVVLVL	50
W0476	346.50	43.313	8	H	57
W0477	274.00	34.250	8	H	81
W0480	266.00	33.250	8		23
W0482	193.00	24.125	8		80
W0485	284.00	35.500	8	VH EH	38
W0489	362.50	45.313	8	EREREHEH	55
W0493	236.50	29.563	8		11
W0497	322.00	40.250	8	H	36
W0498	253.00	31.625	8		27
W0506	245.50	30.688	8		47
W0511	178.00	22.250	8		43
W0514	148.00	18.500	8	EH	44
W0516	214.50	26.813	8	VL	13
W0524	352.00	44.000	8	H	72
W0526	266.00	33.250	8		68
W0528	91.00	11.375	8		63
W0529	369.00	46.125	8	H H EHEHEHL	79
W0533	156.00	19.500	8		29
W0535	236.50	29.563	8	H H	49
W0538	344.50	43.063	8	H	82
W0542	337.00	42.125	8	H	46
W0551	374.00	46.750	8	H VH	40
W0552	319.50	39.938	8		67
W0999	353.00	44.125	8	H H	24

OVERALL AVERAGE
RANK IS 28.754

LAB NO.	TOTAL	AVERAGE	NO. OF SAMPLES	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING	BOTTLE NO.
	RANK	RANK	RANKED		
W0471	38.00	4.750	8	LVLELVLVL	BIASED LOW 50
W0149	52.50	6.563	8	LL	BIASED LOW 64
W0147	57.00	7.125	8	L	BIASED LOW 52
W0148	57.00	7.125	8	VLLLL	BIASED LOW 77
W0072	65.00	8.125	8		BIASED LOW 14
W0418	61.00	8.714	7	LLL	BIASED LOW 19
W0456	72.00	9.000	8	VLVLL	BIASED LOW 37
W0427	80.50	10.063	8	L	BIASED LOW 61
W0464	88.00	11.000	8		
W0528	91.00	11.375	8	LVL	10
W0460	120.50	15.063	8		63
W0335	140.00	17.500	8	VLLELH	28
W0428	125.50	17.929	7	L	5
W0514	148.00	18.500	8	EH	78
W0337	156.00	19.500	8		44
W0533	156.00	19.500	8		69
W0441	176.00	22.000	8		29
W0511	178.00	22.250	8		58
W0248	187.50	23.438	8		43
W0447	190.50	23.813	8	L	21
W0482	193.00	24.125	8		34
W0364	205.00	25.625	8		80
W0439	207.00	25.875	8	EHEH	25
W0433	208.50	26.063	8		54
W0516	214.50	26.813	8	VL	26
W0468	224.00	28.000	8	LEHL	13
W0238	234.50	29.313	8		39
W0430	235.00	29.375	8		35
W0493	236.50	29.563	8		4
W0535	236.50	29.563	8	HH	11
W0506	245.50	30.688	8		49
W0417	249.00	31.125	8		47
W0498	253.00	31.625	8		48
W0404	256.50	32.063	8	LEHVHEH	27
W0526	266.00	33.250	8		73
W0480	266.00	33.250	8		68
W0462	269.50	33.688	8		23
W0477	274.00	34.250	8	H	42
W0431	276.50	34.563	8		81
W0485	284.00	35.500	8	VHEH	51
W0042	308.00	38.500	8	EHVHEHEH	38
W0463	319.50	39.938	8	H	16
W0552	319.50	39.938	8		2
W0497	322.00	40.250	8	H	67
W0542	337.00	42.125	8	H	36
W0538	344.50	43.063	8	H	46
W0476	346.50	43.313	8	H	82
W0211	350.00	43.750	8	H	57
W0524	352.00	44.000	8	H	31
W0999	353.00	44.125	8	HH	72
W0489	362.50	45.313	8	HEREHEHEH	24
W0243	366.00	45.750	8	HHH	55
W0529	369.00	46.125	8	HREHEHEHL	20
W0551	374.00	46.750	8	HVH	79
W0255	384.00	47.333	6	EHEHEHEHELEH	40
W0239	404.50	50.563	8	HEHVH	59
W0429	410.50	51.313	8	HHHHEHH	74
				BIASED HIGH	8
				BIASED HIGH	

OVERALL AVERAGE
RANK IS 28.754

PARAMETER: 01092 PH

PH UNITS

RESEARCH AND APPLICATIONS BRANCH
NATIONAL WATER RESEARCH INSTITUTE
BURLINGTON ONTARIO

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR= .00 BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR= .25 CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT= .0000
 LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W000
 LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

SAMPLE	PH1		PH2		PH3		PH4		PH5		PH6	
LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	RANK										
W0009	7.46	48.00	5.15	66.00	8.46	59.00	7.80	46.00	8.95	44.00	9.00	21.50
W0010	7.25	4.00	5.0	17.00	8.15	22.50	7.55	24.00	8.65 L	12.00	9.15	32.00
W0017	7.51	68.00	5.00	17.00	7.80 VL	5.00	7.50	21.00	8.48 VL	6.00	8.94 L	16.00
W0021	7.4	21.50	5.1	57.50	8.4	49.50	8.0 H	61.00	9.0	54.50	9.5 H	62.00
W0023	7.30	7.50	5.05	40.00	8.35	41.50	7.45	14.00	8.95	44.00	9.07	28.50
W0028	7.45	41.00	5.9 EH	77.00	8.5	66.00	7.61	29.50	8.95	44.00	9.45	59.00
W0042	7.30	7.50	5.00	17.00	8.10	18.50	7.70	39.50	8.72	18.00	9.50 H	62.00
W0072	7.45	41.00	5.00	17.00	8.30	38.00	7.90	51.50	8.90	36.00	9.40	51.50
W0127	7.37	14.00	5.00	17.00	7.68 EL	2.00	7.48	17.00	8.84	30.00	9.02	26.50
W0147	7.46	48.00	4.95	4.00	8.58 H	75.00	8.09 VH	72.00	9.15	76.00	9.75 EH	76.00
W0148	7.36	11.00	4.98	7.50	8.44	55.50	7.61	29.50	9.07	69.00	9.42	57.00
W0149	7.47	53.50	5.04	35.00	8.51	70.00	7.50	21.00	8.99	50.00	9.37	49.00
W0179	7.5	63.50	5.1	57.50	8.44	55.50	7.4 L	11.00	8.9	36.00	9.3	46.50
W0190	7.43	32.50	5.10	57.50	8.45	57.50	7.68	34.50	8.97	48.00	9.20	38.00
W0198	7.64	75.00	5.25	71.50	8.57 H	73.50	7.89	50.00	9.11	74.00	9.70 VH	75.00
W0211	7.47	53.50	5.08	52.00	7.92 VL	9.00	7.57	26.50	8.64 L	11.00	9.02	26.50
W0233	7.4	21.50	5.0	17.00	7.9 VL	8.00	7.3 VL	7.50	8.4 EL	3.00	8.9 L	14.50
W0238	7.45	41.00	5.10	57.50	8.50	66.00	7.75	43.50	9.0	54.50	9.50 H	62.00
W0239	6.73 EL	1.00	4.87	1.00	8.5	66.00	7.69	36.50	8.71	17.00	9.18	34.00
W0247	7.50	63.50	5.15	66.00	8.40	49.50	8.00 H	61.00	9.00	54.50	9.00	21.50
W0248	7.75 H	77.00	5.25	71.50	8.20	26.50	7.10 EL	1.50	9.00	54.50	9.20	38.00
W0255	7.45	41.00	5.00	17.00	8.07	15.00	7.45	14.00	8.73	19.00	8.80 VL	8.00
W0266	7.36	11.00	5.15	66.00	8.02 L	11.50	7.49	18.50	8.46 VL	4.50	8.86 L	10.50
W0305	7.36	11.00	5.15	66.00	8.02 L	11.50	7.49	18.50	8.46 VL	4.50	8.86 L	10.50
W0335	7.5	63.50	5.1	57.50	7.8 VL	5.00	7.4 L	11.00	8.6 L	8.00	8.9 L	14.50
W0336	7.67	76.00	5.17	69.00	8.52	71.00	7.65	33.00	8.98	49.00	9.54 H	66.00
W0337	7.42	28.00	5.06	45.50	8.13	20.00	7.68	34.50	8.79	22.50	9.30	46.50
W0364	7.50	63.50	5.1	57.50	8.1	18.50	7.7	39.50	8.8	26.00	7.9 EL	1.00
W0370	7.4	21.50	5.0	17.00	8.6 H	76.00	7.5	21.00	8.8	26.00	9.0	21.50
W0377	7.4	21.50	5.2	70.00	8.4	49.50	8.0 H	61.00	8.9	36.00	9.2	38.00
W0380	7.52	69.00	5.28	73.00	8.31	40.00	8.18 VH	75.00	9.08	71.00	9.42	57.00
W0382	7.4	21.50	5.0	17.00	8.2	26.50	7.7	39.50	8.7	15.50	8.8 VL	8.00
W0389	7.45	41.00	5.08	52.00	8.37	45.50	7.80	46.00	9.00	54.50	9.58 VH	69.00
W0404	7.4	21.50	5.0	17.00	8.2	26.50	7.1 EL	1.50	9.0	54.50	9.0	21.50
W0417	7.40	21.50	4.90	2.00	8.14	21.00	7.52	23.00	8.80	26.00	9.16	33.00
W0418	7.47	53.50	5.05	40.00	8.54	72.00	8.00 H	61.00	9.09	72.00	9.60 VH	71.00
W0427	7.29	6.00	4.99	9.50	8.03 L	13.00	7.57	26.50	8.70	15.50	9.24	41.00
W0428	7.5	63.50	5.1	57.50	8.5	66.00	8.1 VH	73.00	9.1	73.00	9.6 VH	71.00
W0429	7.44	36.00	4.99	9.50	8.24	31.00	7.45	14.00	8.91	39.50	8.26 EL	2.00
W0431	7.24	3.00	5.04	35.00	8.09	16.50	7.47	16.00	8.68	13.00	8.73 VL	5.00
W0433	7.44	36.00	5.01	26.00	8.06	14.00	7.70	39.50	8.76	21.00	8.89 L	13.00
W0439	7.17 L	2.00	5.12	62.50	8.21	29.50	7.90	51.50	8.74	20.00	9.29	44.00
W0441	7.56	72.00	5.03	31.00	8.82 EH	77.00	8.32 EH	77.00	9.50 EH	77.00	10.17 EH	77.00
W0447	7.5	63.50	5.0	17.00	8.2	26.50	7.8	46.00	8.8	26.00	9.3	46.50
W0448	7.44	36.00	5.04	35.00	8.38	47.00	7.60	28.00	8.96	46.50	9.19	35.00
W0456	7.63	74.00	5.12	62.50	8.28	35.50	7.85	48.00	9.07	69.00	9.46 H	60.00
W0460	7.46	48.00	5.01	26.00	8.36	43.50	8.02 H	67.00	9.00	54.50	9.52 H	64.50
W0462	7.48	58.50	5.02	29.00	8.50	66.00	8.01 H	65.00	9.07	69.00	9.62 VH	73.00
W0463	7.43	32.50	5.05	40.00	8.48	62.50	8.06 H	70.00	9.06	66.50	9.52 H	64.50

PARAMETER: 01092 PH

PH UNITS

RESEARCH AND APPLICATIONS BRANCH
 NATIONAL WATER RESEARCH INSTITUTE
 BURLINGTON ONTARIO

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR= .00 BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR= .25 CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT= .0000
 LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W000
 LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

SAMPLE	PH1		PH2		PH3		PH4		PH5		PH6	
LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	RANK										
W0464	7.4	21.50	5.0	17.00	8.3	38.00	8.0 H	61.00	9.0	54.50	9.4	51.50
W0468	7.54	70.50	5.06	45.50	8.57 H	73.50	8.28 EH	76.00	9.12	75.00	9.00	21.50
W0469	7.474	57.00	5.067	48.00	8.505	69.00	8.088 VH	71.00	9.048	64.00	9.401	53.00
W0471	7.26	5.00	5.60 EH	76.00	7.77 EL	3.00	7.35 L	9.00	8.25 EL	1.50	8.60 EL	4.00
W0476	7.45	41.00	5.06	45.50	8.26	34.00	7.92	54.00	8.93	41.50	9.07	28.50
W0477	7.45	41.00	5.01	26.00	8.21	29.50	8.00 H	61.00	8.9	36.00	9.3	46.50
W0480	7.42	28.00	5.04	35.00	8.36	43.50	7.95	56.00	8.89	33.00	9.41	54.50
W0482	7.42	28.00	5.08	52.00	8.09	16.50	7.56	25.00	8.69	14.00	8.78 VL	6.00
W0489	7.43	32.50	5.03	31.00	8.28	35.50	7.69	36.50	8.80	26.00	9.41	54.50
W0493	7.47	53.50	5.03	31.00	8.48	62.50	8.02 H	67.00	9.04	63.00	9.56 H	67.00
W0497	7.43	32.50	5.05	40.00	8.43	53.00	7.63	32.00	8.96	46.50	9.26	42.00
W0498	7.37	14.00	4.97	5.50	7.80 VL	5.00	7.30 VL	7.50	8.61 L	9.50	8.95	17.00
W0506	7.60	73.00	5.40 H	74.00	8.25	32.50	8.00 H	61.00	8.90	36.00	9.00	21.50
W0511	7.50	63.50	5.15	66.00	8.25	32.50	7.75	43.50	8.85	31.00	9.20	38.00
W0514	7.42	28.00	5.06	45.50	8.35	41.50	7.74	42.00	9.01	60.50	9.20	38.00
W0515	7.54	70.50	4.94	3.00	8.16	24.00	7.24 VL	4.00	8.81	29.00	9.13	31.00
W0516	7.48	58.50	5.10	57.50	8.42	52.00	8.05 H	69.00	8.93	41.50	9.01	25.00
W0524	7.46	48.00	5.01	26.00	7.95 L	10.00	7.40 L	11.00	8.61 L	9.50	8.87 L	12.00
W0526	7.42	28.00	5.04	35.00	8.37	45.50	7.91	53.00	8.91	39.50	9.08	30.00
W0528	7.47	53.50	5.07	49.50	8.45	57.50	7.98 H	57.00	9.05	65.00	9.60 VH	71.00
W0529	7.46	48.00	5.07	49.50	8.47	60.50	8.02 H	67.00	9.01	60.50	9.57 H	68.00
W0533	7.31	9.00	5.49 VH	75.00	7.65 EL	1.00	7.11 EL	3.00	8.25 EL	1.50	8.46 EL	3.00
W0535	7.39	17.00	5.00	17.00	8.30	38.00	7.93	55.00	8.88	32.00	9.38	50.00
W0538	7.38	16.00	5.01	26.00	7.86 VL	7.00	7.27 VL	6.00	8.55 L	7.00	8.80 VL	8.00
W0542	7.458	45.00	5.051	43.00	8.431	54.00	8.154 VH	74.00	9.004	59.00	9.420	57.00
W0551	7.47	53.50	5.05	40.00	8.47	60.50	7.62	31.00	9.03	62.00	9.28	43.00
W0552	7.50	63.50	4.98	7.50	8.40	49.50	7.86	49.00	9.06	66.50	9.63 VH	74.00
W0999	7.37	14.00	4.97	5.50	8.15	22.50	7.25 VL	5.00	8.79	22.50	8.99	18.00
MEDIAN												
CONC.	7.450		5.050		8.300		7.700		8.910		9.200	

PARAMETER: 01092 PH

PH UNITS

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR= .00
 BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR= .25 CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT= .0000
 LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W000
 LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

SAMPLE	PH7		PH8	
LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	RANK	REPORTED VALUE	RANK
W0009	8.09	42.50	6.45	53.00
W0010	7.9	23.50	6.3	6.50
W0017	7.62 VL	9.00	6.28	4.50
W0021	8.1	45.00	6.4	24.50
W0023	7.80 L	16.00	6.28	4.50
W0028	8.25	66.00	6.6	73.50
W0042	8.00	31.00	6.40	24.50
W0072	8.25	66.00	6.45	53.00
W0127	8.04	34.00	6.38	14.00
W0147	8.17	54.50	6.41	30.00
W0148	7.87	20.00	6.39	18.00
W0149	8.40 H	76.50	6.48	61.50
W0179	8.0	31.00	6.5	65.00
W0190	8.07	41.00	6.45	53.00
W0198	8.25	66.00	6.57	70.00
W0211	7.80 L	16.00	6.44	44.50
W0233	7.2 EL	1.00	6.2	2.00
W0238	8.05	36.00	6.45	53.00
W0239	7.91	26.00	5.84 EL	1.00
W0247	8.20	57.00	6.60	73.50
W0248	7.90	23.50	6.60	73.50
W0255	7.77 L	14.00	6.39	18.00
W0266	8.06	39.00	6.44	44.50
W0305	8.06	39.00	6.44	44.50
W0335	7.6 VL	7.50	6.5	65.00
W0336	7.97	28.00	6.46	58.50
W0337	8.01	33.00	6.40	24.50
W0364	7.9	23.50	6.4	24.50
W0370	7.8 L	16.00	6.4	24.50
W0377	7.7 L	10.50	6.4	24.50
W0380	8.35 H	72.50	6.55	68.50
W0382	8.2	57.00	6.6	73.50
W0389	8.12	47.00	6.45	53.00
W0404	7.6 VL	7.50	6.3	6.50
W0417	7.57 VL	6.00	6.33	8.50
W0418	8.29	71.00	6.39	18.00
W0427	7.72 L	12.00	6.38	14.00
W0428	8.2	57.00	6.5	65.00
W0429	8.06	39.00	6.39	18.00
W0431	7.86	19.00	6.33	8.50
W0433	7.89	21.00	6.39	18.00
W0439	8.21	60.00	6.59	71.00
W0441	8.36 H	74.00	6.41	30.00
W0447	7.7 L	10.50	6.4	24.50
W0448	8.24	63.00	6.45	53.00
W0456	8.00	31.00	6.62	76.00
W0460	8.05	36.00	6.41	30.00
W0462	8.15	50.50	6.44	44.50
W0463	8.27	68.00	6.47	60.00
W0464	8.1	45.00	6.5	65.00
W0468	8.35 H	72.50	6.44	44.50
W0469	8.246	64.00	6.420	35.00
W0471	7.44 EL	3.00	6.55	68.50
W0476	8.10	45.00	6.45	53.00
W0477	8.13	48.50	6.42	35.00
W0480	8.13	48.50	6.42	35.00
W0482	7.99	29.00	6.43	39.00
W0489	8.16	52.50	6.48	61.50
W0493	8.15	50.50	6.43	39.00
W0497	8.16	52.50	6.44	44.50
W0498	7.54 EL	5.00	6.35	11.00
W0506	8.40 H	76.50	6.80 H	77.00
W0511	7.75 L	13.00	6.45	53.00
W0514	8.28	69.00	6.40	24.50
W0515	7.48 EL	4.00	6.34	10.00
W0516	8.38 H	75.00	6.44	44.50
W0524	7.90	23.50	6.38	14.00
W0526	8.23	62.00	6.42	35.00
W0528	8.05	36.00	6.50	65.00
W0529	8.21	60.00	6.46	58.50
W0533	7.40 EL	2.00	6.45	53.00

PARAMETER: 01092 PH

PH UNITS

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR=.00
BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR=.25 CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT=.0000
LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W000
LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

SAMPLE LAB NO	PH7		PH8	
	REPORTED VALUE	RANK	REPORTED VALUE	RANK
W0535	8.09	42.50	6.37	12.00
W0538	7.84	18.00	6.27	3.00
W0542	8.286	70.00	6.417	32.00
W0551	8.17	54.50	6.44	44.50
W0552	8.21	60.00	6.42	35.00
W0999	7.93	27.00	6.43	39.00
MEDIAN CONC.	8.060		6.430	

LAB NO.	TOTAL	AVERAGE	NO. OF SAMPLES RANKED	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING	METHOD CODING
W0009	380.00	47.500	8		K
W0010	141.50	17.688	8	L	J
W0017	146.50	18.313	8	VL VLL VL H H	L
W0021	375.50	46.938	8	L	LL
W0023	196.00	24.500	8	EH	K
W0028	456.00	57.000	8	H	K
W0042	218.00	27.250	8		J
W0072	354.00	44.250	8		L
W0127	154.50	19.313	8	EL	L
W0147	435.50	54.438	8	H VH EH	K
W0148	267.50	33.438	8	H	L
W0149	416.50	52.063	8		L
W0179	366.00	45.750	8	L	L
W0190	362.00	45.250	8	H VH	J
W0198	555.00	69.375	8	VL L L	J
W0211	239.00	29.875	8	VLVLELL EL	J
W0233	74.50	9.313	8	H	L
W0238	413.50	51.688	8	EL EL	L
W0239	182.50	22.813	8	H VLL	L
W0247	446.50	55.813	8	L VLL	L
W0248	366.00	45.750	8	L VLL	L
W0255	146.00	18.250	8	VLL L L VL	J
W0266	205.50	25.688	8	H	J
W0305	205.50	25.688	8	EL VL	J
W0335	232.00	29.000	8	L VL	J
W0336	450.50	56.313	8	H	KL
W0337	254.50	31.813	8	EL	K
W0364	254.00	31.750	8	H L	L
W0370	223.50	27.938	8	H L	J
W0377	311.00	38.875	8	VH H	J
W0380	526.00	65.750	8	VL VH	L
W0382	258.50	32.313	8	EL VL	L
W0389	408.00	51.000	8	L VH	L
W0404	156.50	19.563	8	EL VL	J
W0417	141.00	17.625	8	H VH	L
W0418	458.50	57.313	8	L L	K
W0427	137.50	17.188	8	VH VH	J
W0428	526.00	65.750	8	EL VL	J
W0429	189.00	23.625	8	L EL	K
W0431	116.00	14.500	8	VH VL	J
W0433	188.50	23.563	8	L	K
W0439	340.50	42.563	8	L	KL
W0441	515.00	64.375	8	EHEHEHEHH	K
W0447	260.50	32.563	8	L	L
W0448	343.50	42.938	8	H	J
W0456	456.00	57.000	8	H H	K
W0460	369.50	46.188	8	H VH	L
W0462	455.50	56.938	8	H H	L
W0463	464.00	58.000	8	H H	K
W0464	353.50	44.188	8	H	L
W0468	479.00	59.875	8	H EH H	J
W0469	461.00	57.625	8	VH	J
W0471	170.00	21.250	8	EHELL ELEL	L
W0476	342.50	42.813	8	H	K
W0477	323.50	40.438	8		J
W0480	333.50	41.688	8	VL	J
W0482	209.50	26.188	8	H H	L
W0489	330.00	41.250	8		J
W0493	433.50	54.188	8	VL EL	K
W0497	343.00	42.875	8	H H	J
W0498	74.50	9.313	8	VLVLL EL	J
W0506	451.50	56.438	8	H H H H	J
W0511	340.50	42.563	8	L	L
W0514	349.00	43.625	8		J
W0515	175.50	21.938	8		L
W0516	423.00	52.875	8	H H	J

LAB NO.	TOTAL RANK	AVERAGE RANK	NO. OF SAMPLES RANKED	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING	METHOD CODING
W0524	154.00	19.250	8	L L L L	K
W0526	328.00	41.000	8		K
W0528	454.50	56.813	8	H VH	J
W0529	472.00	59.000	8	H H	L
W0533	147.50	18.438	8	VHELELELEL	J
W0535	263.50	32.938	8		J
W0538	91.00	11.375	8	VLVLL VL	BIASED LOW
W0542	434.00	54.250	8	VH	K
W0551	389.00	48.625	8		K
W0552	405.00	50.625	8	VH	L
W0999	153.50	19.188	8	VL	L

OVERALL AVERAGE
RANK IS 39.000

LAB NO.	TOTAL	AVERAGE	NO. OF SAMPLES	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING	BIASED	LOW	METHOD CODING
	RANK	RANK	RANKED				
W0233	74.50	9.313	8	VVLVLEL	BIASED	LOW	J
W0498	74.50	9.313	8	VLVLL	BIASED	LOW	J
W0538	91.00	11.375	8	VLVLLVL	BIASED	LOW	K
W0431	116.00	14.500	8	VL			J
W0427	137.50	17.188	8	LL			K
W0417	141.00	17.625	8	VL			L
W0010	141.50	17.688	8	L			L
W0255	146.00	18.250	8	VLL			L
W0017	146.50	18.313	8	VLVLLVL			L
W0533	147.50	18.438	8	VHELELELEL			L
W0999	153.50	19.188	8	VL			K
W0524	154.00	19.250	8	LLL			L
W0127	154.50	19.313	8	EL			J
W0404	156.50	19.563	8	ELVL			K
W0471	170.00	21.250	8	EHILLELEL			L
W0515	175.50	21.938	8	VLEL			J
W0239	182.50	22.813	8	ELEL			J
W0433	188.50	23.563	8	L			K
W0429	189.00	23.625	8	EL			K
W0023	196.00	24.500	8	L			L
W0305	205.50	25.688	8	LVLL			J
W0266	205.50	25.688	8	LVLL			J
W0482	209.50	26.188	8	VL			J
W0042	218.00	27.250	8	H			K
W0370	223.50	27.938	8	HL			J
W0335	232.00	29.000	8	VLLLLVL			J
W0211	239.00	29.875	8	VLLL			J
W0364	254.00	31.750	8	EL			L
W0337	254.50	31.813	8				K
W0382	258.50	32.313	8	VL			L
W0447	260.50	32.563	8	L			L
W0535	263.50	32.938	8				J
W0148	267.50	33.438	8				J
W0377	311.00	38.875	8	HL			J
W0477	323.50	40.438	8	H			J
W0526	328.00	41.000	8				K
W0489	330.00	41.250	8				L
W0480	333.50	41.688	8				L
W0511	340.50	42.563	8	L			L
W0439	340.50	42.563	8	L			K
W0476	342.50	42.813	8				K
W0497	343.00	42.875	8				J
W0448	343.50	42.938	8				J
W0514	349.00	43.625	8				J
W0464	353.50	44.188	8	H			J
W0072	354.00	44.250	8				K
W0190	362.00	45.250	8				J
W0179	366.00	45.750	8	L			J
W0248	366.00	45.750	8	HEL			J
W0460	369.50	46.188	8	HH			K
W0021	375.50	46.938	8	HH			K
W0009	380.00	47.500	8				L
W0551	389.00	48.625	8				K
W0552	405.00	50.625	8	VH			L
W0389	408.00	51.000	8	VH			L
W0238	413.50	51.688	8	H			L
W0149	416.50	52.063	8	H			L
W0516	423.00	52.875	8	HH			K
W0493	433.50	54.188	8	HH			K
W0542	434.00	54.250	8	VH			K
W0147	435.50	54.438	8	HVHEH			K
W0247	446.50	55.813	8	H			L
W0336	450.50	56.313	8	H			J
W0506	451.50	56.438	8	HHHH			J
W0528	454.50	56.813	8	HVH			J
W0462	455.50	56.938	8	HVH			L

LAB NO.	TOTAL RANK	AVERAGE RANK	NO. OF SAMPLES RANKED	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING	METHOD CODING
W0456	456.00	57.000	8	H	K
W0028	456.00	57.000	8	EH	K
W0418	458.50	57.313	8	HVH	L
W0469	461.00	57.625	8	VH	J
W0463	464.00	58.000	8	HH	L
W0529	472.00	59.000	8	HH	L
W0468	479.00	59.875	8	HEHH	L
W0441	515.00	64.375	8	EHEHEHEHH	K
W0428	526.00	65.750	8	VHVH	J
W0380	526.00	65.750	8	VHH	J
W0198	555.00	69.375	8	HVH	J

OVERALL AVERAGE
RANK IS 39.000

PARAMETER: 15092 TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

RESEARCH AND APPLICATIONS BRANCH
 NATIONAL WATER RESEARCH INSTITUTE
 BURLINGTON ONTARIO

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR= .50 BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR= .20 CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT= .1000
 LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W0000
 LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

SAMPLE	TP1		TP2		TP3		TP4		TP5		TP6	
LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	RANK										
W0009	2.05	41.00	1.01	39.00	1.54	42.00	1.49	39.00	.00	6.50	.50	30.50
W0017	1.0 EL	11.00	.83	19.00	1.0 VL	15.00	1.0 VL	15.00	.0	6.50	.60	61.50
W0023	2.30	70.00	1.083	54.00	1.66	63.50	1.517	43.00	.830 EH	53.00	.54	44.00
W0028	2.15	50.50	1.05	49.00	1.55	45.00	1.55	52.50	.025	26.00	.55	47.50
W0042	2.00	35.00	1.05	49.00	1.49	33.50	1.51	41.50	.07	36.00	.64	67.00
W0072	2.16	53.50	1.02	42.00	1.55	45.00	1.54	50.50	<.02	.00	.54	44.00
W0127	.92 EL	10.00	.42 EL	7.00	.68 EL	7.00	.55 EL	5.00	.10	42.50	.25 L	9.00
W0147	1.80	20.50	.86	21.00	1.30	21.00	1.30	22.00	.08	39.50	.44	21.50
W0148	1.99	31.50	.97	28.00	1.49	33.50	1.43	28.50	.00	6.50	.51	34.00
W0149	2.21	63.00	1.06	51.00	1.85 H	75.00	1.52	44.00	.02	22.50	.57	54.50
W0179	.46 EL	4.00	.56 VL	10.00	.10 EL	1.00	.11 EL	1.00	.09	41.00	.02 EL	2.00
W0198	.9 EL	8.00	.8	16.00	.9 VL	10.50	.9 VL	13.00	.01	16.50	.5	30.50
W0211	2.07	42.00	1.00	35.50	1.50	37.00	1.47	35.00	.03	28.50	.50	30.50
W0233	2.00	35.00	1.00	35.50	1.50	37.00	1.42	27.00	.06	33.50	.56	51.00
W0238	2.12	47.50	1.19	67.50	1.77	70.00	1.74	69.00	.00	6.50	.61	64.50
W0239	2.25	67.50	1.0	35.50	1.5	37.00	1.58	55.50	.0	6.50	.53	41.00
W0243	2.01	38.50	1.15	63.00	1.57	47.00	1.64	61.00	.03	28.50	.65	68.50
W0247	1.80	20.50	.78	15.00	1.60	53.50	.70 EL	8.00	.00	6.50	.00 EL	1.00
W0248	2.25	67.50	.25 EL	1.00	.67 EL	6.00	.74 EL	9.00	.00	6.50	.36	16.50
W0255	2.1	45.50	1.1	58.00	1.63	61.00	1.60	58.00	.06	33.50	.43	19.50
W0266	1.90	25.00	.99	31.50	1.44	28.50	1.44	30.50	.02	22.50	.46	24.50
W0305	1.90	25.00	.99	31.50	1.44	28.50	1.44	30.50	.02	22.50	.46	24.50
W0335	1.20 EL	15.00	.83	19.00	.97 VL	13.00	1.3	22.00	.0	6.50	.55	47.50
W0336	2.15	50.50	1.66 EH	74.00	1.8	74.00	1.72	68.00	.50 EH	52.00	1.07 EH	74.00
W0337	2.23	65.50	1.10	58.00	1.62	58.50	1.59	57.00	.0	6.50	.58	58.00
W0364	1.936	27.00	1.016	40.00	1.408	26.00	1.536	49.00	.104	44.00	.327	14.00
W0370	2.0	35.00	.77	14.00	1.71	69.00	1.13 L	17.00	.02	22.50	.52	37.50
W0377	.9 EL	8.00	.5 VL	9.00	1.3	21.00	1.3	22.00	.07	36.00	.3 L	12.00
W0380	.9 EL	8.00	.666 L	11.00	.766 EL	8.00	.966 VL	14.00	.16	48.50	.172 VL	6.00
W0382	1.02 EL	12.00	.83	19.00	1.16 L	17.00	.79 EL	10.00	.02	22.50	.30 L	12.00
W0389	.16 EL	1.00	.39 EL	6.00	.99 VL	14.00	.52 EL	4.00	.00	6.50	.29 L	10.00
W0404	.76 EL	5.00	.34 EL	4.00	.50 EL	3.00	1.06 L	16.00	.28 H	51.00	.42	18.00
W0417	1.42 VL	16.00	.92	24.00	1.20	18.00	1.19	18.00	<.06	.00	.51	34.00
W0418	1.99	31.50	1.02	42.00	1.54	42.00	1.48	37.00	<.05	.00	.54	44.00
W0427	1.94	28.00	1.18	65.50	1.60	53.50	1.53	46.50	.03	28.50	.62	66.00
W0428	2.12	47.50	1.18	65.50	1.68	65.50	1.76	71.00	<.005	.00	.752 H	72.00
W0429	1.96	29.00	1.00	35.50	1.46	30.00	1.45	33.00	.10	42.50	.56	51.00
W0431	2.18	57.00	1.04	46.50	1.50	37.00	1.53	46.50	.04	31.00	.54	44.00
W0433	2.01	38.50	1.11	61.00	1.64	62.00	1.63	59.50	.07	36.00	.61	64.50
W0439	1.7	18.00	.38 EL	5.00	1.07 L	16.00	.66 EL	7.00	5.1 EH	54.00	.04 EL	3.00
W0441	2.03	40.00	.97	28.00	1.47	31.00	1.48	37.00	.01	16.50	.49	27.50
W0447	1.87	23.00	1.11	61.00	1.30	21.00	1.63	59.50	.03	28.50	.52	37.50
W0448	2.19	58.50	1.04	46.50	1.58	48.50	1.58	55.50	<.1	.00	.56	51.00
W0456	2.48 H	73.50	1.07	52.00	1.79	72.50	1.82 H	74.00	<.01	.00	.56	51.00
W0460	2.17	56.00	1.00	35.50	1.54	42.00	1.54	50.50	<.01	.00	.52	37.50
W0462	2.23	65.50	1.03	44.50	1.59	50.50	1.55	52.50	<.001	.00	.576	56.00
W0463	2.16	53.50	1.02	42.00	1.62	58.50	1.67	65.00	<.05	.00	.526	40.00
W0464	.78 EL	6.00	.33 EL	3.00	.56 EL	5.00	.62 EL	6.00	<.01	.00	.23 L	8.00
W0468	1.03 EL	13.00	.68 L	12.00	.86 EL	9.00	.85 EL	12.00	<.001	.00	.54	44.00
W0469	2.283	69.00	1.095	56.00	1.688	68.00	1.661	63.00	.075	38.00	.603	63.00
W0471	.45 EL	2.50	.27 EL	2.00	.23 EL	2.00	.42 EL	3.00	<.01	.00	.16 VL	5.00
W0476	1.71	19.00	.812	17.00	1.26	19.00	1.23	19.50	<.01	.00	.452	23.00
W0477	2.20	61.00	1.163	64.00	1.683	67.00	1.663	64.00	.010	16.50	.590	60.00

PARAMETER: 15092 TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

RESEARCH AND APPLICATIONS BRANCH
NATIONAL WATER RESEARCH INSTITUTE
BURLINGTON ONTARIO

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- .50 BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- .20 CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT- .1000

LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W000

LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

SAMPLE LAB NO	TP1		TP2		TP3		TP4		TP5		TP6	
	REPORTED VALUE	RANK										
W0480	1.98	30.00	.87	22.00	1.34	23.00	1.32	24.00	<.10	.00	.36	16.50
W0482	2.09	44.00	1.42 VH	73.00	1.53	40.00	2.20 EH	75.00	.16	48.50	.20 VL	7.00
W0489	.45 EL	2.50	.43 EL	8.00	.54 EL	4.00	.30 EL	2.00	.05	32.00	.05 EL	4.00
W0493	2.19	58.50	.98	30.00	1.62	58.50	1.65	62.00	.08	39.50	.43	19.50
W0497	2.36	71.00	1.19	67.50	1.79	72.50	1.75	70.00	.12	46.00	.65	68.50
W0498	2.52 H	75.00	2.00 EH	75.00	1.66	63.50	1.70	66.50	.00	6.50	1.46 EH	75.00
W0506	2.10	45.50	1.10	58.00	1.60	53.50	1.45	33.00	<.05	.00	.50	30.50
W0511	2.43 H	72.00	1.23	72.00	1.78	71.00	1.79 H	73.00	.15	47.00	.71	71.00
W0514	1.50 L	17.00	.90	23.00	.90 VL	10.50	1.23	19.50	.02	22.50	.30 L	12.00
W0515	2.16	53.50	.96	26.00	1.55	45.00	1.53	46.50	<.1	.00	.49	27.50
W0516	1.82	22.00	.94	25.00	1.37	24.00	1.43	28.50	<.01	.00	.44	21.50
W0524	2.13	49.00	1.22	71.00	1.62	58.50	1.53	46.50	.23	50.00	.60	61.50
W0526	2.16	53.50	1.09	55.00	1.40	25.00	1.40	26.00	<.02	.00	.58	58.00
W0528	1.10 EL	14.00	.70 L	13.00	.94 VL	12.00	.825 EL	11.00	.004	13.00	.345	15.00
W0529	2.00	35.00	1.00	35.50	1.50	37.00	1.45	33.00	.01	16.50	.52	37.50
W0533	1.90	25.00	1.08	53.00	1.48	32.00	1.48	37.00	<.05	.00	.58	58.00
W0535	2.20	61.00	1.11	61.00	1.58	48.50	1.70	66.50	.01	16.50	.67	70.00
W0538	2.00	35.00	1.20	69.50	1.59	50.50	1.50	40.00	.11	45.00	.48	26.00
W0542	2.22	64.00	1.05	49.00	1.60	53.50	1.51	41.50	<.03	.00	.57	54.50
W0551	2.48 H	73.50	1.03	44.50	1.61	56.00	1.56	54.00	.01	16.50	.56	51.00
W0552	2.20	61.00	1.20	69.50	1.68	65.50	1.78 H	72.00	<.10	.00	.80 H	73.00
W0999	2.08	43.00	.97	28.00	1.43	27.00	1.38	25.00	.00	6.50	.51	34.00
MEDIAN CONC.	2.010		1.000		1.500		1.480		.030		.520	

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- .50
 BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- .20
 CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT- .1000
 LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: W0000
 LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

SAMPLE	TP7		TP8	
LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	RANK	REPORTED VALUE	RANK
W0009	.16	41.00	.15	30.00
W0017	.14	24.00	.15	30.00
W0023	.15	31.50	.125	20.00
W0028	.15	31.50	.12	17.00
W0042	.20	56.00	.25	64.00
W0072	.17	46.00	.16	38.50
W0127	.05	5.50	<.01	.00
W0147	.14	24.00	.14	24.50
W0148	.12	14.50	.14	24.50
W0149	.18	49.50	.20	58.00
W0179	.03	2.00	.00	1.00
W0198	.15	31.50	.16	38.50
W0211	.15	31.50	.13	21.00
W0233	.20	56.00	.16	38.50
W0238	.20	56.00	.21	61.00
W0239	.17	46.00	.12	17.00
W0243	.20	56.00	.16	38.50
W0247	.13	19.00	.10	11.50
W0248	.03	2.00	.04	4.00
W0255	.16	41.00	.17	47.00
W0266	.12	14.50	.15	30.00
W0305	.12	14.50	.15	30.00
W0335	.32	68.00	.33	71.00
W0336	.25	63.00	.20	58.00
W0337	.24	62.00	.21	61.00
W0364	.269	65.00	.267	66.00
W0370	.07	8.50	.01	2.00
W0377	.2	56.00	.03	3.00
W0380	.08	10.00	.108	13.00
W0382	.07	8.50	.10	11.50
W0389	.09	11.00	.09	10.00
W0404	.34	70.00	.32	69.50
W0417	.15	31.50	.15	30.00
W0418	.17	46.00	.18	53.50
W0427	.14	24.00	.17	47.00
W0428	.213	61.00	.199	56.00
W0429	.21	59.50	.24	63.00
W0431	.13	19.00	.12	17.00
W0433	.21	59.50	.26	65.00
W0439	.83 EH	74.00	4.95 EH	73.00
W0441	.18	49.50	.17	47.00
W0447	.17	46.00	.16	38.50
W0448	.26	64.00	.17	47.00
W0456	.19	52.00	.16	38.50
W0460	.15	31.50	.17	47.00
W0462	.158	37.50	.172	52.00
W0463	.156	36.00	.136	22.00
W0464	.03	2.00	.05	6.50
W0468	.14	24.00	.15	30.00
W0469	.377 H	71.00	.301	67.00
W0471	.05	5.50	.05	6.50
W0476	.127	17.00	.124	19.00
W0477	.150	31.50	.210	61.00
W0480	<.10	.00	<.10	.00
W0482	.05	5.50	.05	6.50
W0489	.05	5.50	.05	6.50
W0493	.13	19.00	.17	47.00
W0497	.29	66.00	.31	68.00
W0498	.72 EH	73.00	.11	14.50
W0506	.15	31.50	.17	47.00
W0511	.33	69.00	.34	72.00
W0514	.14	24.00	.14	24.50
W0515	.14	24.00	.11	14.50

PARAMETER: 15092 TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

MISA INTERLAB STUDY 099

LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- .50
BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR- .20
CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENT- .1000
LABORATORIES YET TO REPORT: 1000
LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NONE

SAMPLE	TP7		TP8	
LAB NO	REPORTED VALUE	RANK	REPORTED VALUE	RANK
W0516	.12	14.50	.19	55.00
W0524	.30	67.00	.32	69.50
W0526	.17	46.00	.17	47.00
W0528	.1045	12.00	.0885	9.00
W0529	.16	41.00	.16	38.50
W0533	.16	41.00	.17	47.00
W0535	.158	37.50	.154	34.00
W0538	.19	52.00	.18	53.50
W0542	.16	41.00	.15	30.00
W0551	.19	52.00	.16	38.50
W0552	.42 H	72.00	.20	58.00
W0999	.14	24.00	.14	24.50
MEDIAN CONC.	.158		.160	

LAB NO.	TOTAL	AVERAGE	NO. OF SAMPLES RANKED	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING	METHOD CODING
	W0009	269.00	33.625	8	A2
	W0017	182.00	22.750	8	A1
	W0023	379.00	47.375	8	A1
	W0028	319.00	39.875	8	A2
	W0042	382.00	47.750	8	H
	W0072	319.50	45.643	7	E1
	W0127	86.00	12.286	7	E1
	W0147	194.00	24.250	8	E2
	W0148	201.00	25.125	8	E1
	W0149	417.50	52.188	8	F
	W0179	62.00	7.750	8	F
	W0198	164.50	20.563	8	F
	W0211	261.00	32.625	8	B2
	W0233	313.50	39.188	8	A1
	W0238	442.00	55.250	8	B1
	W0239	306.00	38.250	8	B1
	W0243	401.00	50.125	8	A1
	W0247	135.00	16.875	8	A1
	W0248	112.50	14.063	8	A1
	W0255	363.50	45.438	8	A1
	W0266	207.00	25.875	8	A2
	W0305	207.00	25.875	8	A2
	W0335	262.00	32.750	8	A1
	W0336	513.50	64.188	8	F
	W0337	426.50	53.313	8	H
	W0364	331.00	41.375	8	A2
	W0370	205.50	25.688	8	A2
	W0377	167.00	20.875	8	A1
	W0380	118.50	14.813	8	A1
	W0382	112.50	14.063	8	H
	W0389	62.50	7.813	8	H
	W0404	236.50	29.563	8	B1
	W0417	171.50	24.500	7	E1
	W0418	296.00	42.286	7	G
	W0427	359.00	44.875	8	E1
	W0428	438.50	62.643	7	B1
	W0429	343.50	42.938	8	G
	W0431	298.00	37.250	8	E1
	W0433	446.00	55.750	8	B1
	W0439	250.00	31.250	8	D
	W0441	276.50	34.563	8	B1
	W0447	315.00	39.375	8	B1
	W0448	371.00	53.000	7	D
	W0456	413.50	59.071	7	B1
	W0460	300.00	42.857	7	C1
	W0462	358.50	51.214	7	E2
	W0463	317.00	45.286	7	B2
	W0464	36.50	5.214	7	B1
	W0468	144.00	20.571	7	E1
	W0469	495.00	61.875	8	D
	W0471	26.50	3.786	7	B1
	W0476	133.50	19.071	7	G
	W0477	425.00	53.125	8	B1
	W0480	115.50	23.100	5	C1
	W0482	299.50	37.438	8	B2
	W0489	64.50	8.063	8	E1
	W0493	334.00	41.750	8	E2
	W0497	529.50	66.188	8	G
	W0498	449.00	56.125	8	D
	W0506	299.00	42.714	7	B1
	W0511	547.00	68.375	8	G
	W0514	153.00	19.125	8	B1
	W0515	237.00	33.857	7	G
	W0516	190.50	27.214	7	D
	W0524	473.00	59.125	8	G
	W0526	310.50	44.357	7	C1

LAB NO.	TOTAL	AVERAGE	NO. OF SAMPLES	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING		METHOD CODING
	RANK	RANK	RANKED	ELL VLEL	BIASED LOW	
W0528	99.00	12.375	8			C1
W0529	274.00	34.250	8			B1
W0533	293.00	41.857	7			B1
W0535	395.00	49.375	8			C1
W0538	371.50	46.438	8			C1
W0542	333.50	47.643	7	H		G
W0551	386.00	48.250	8	H	H H	E1
W0552	471.00	67.286	7			D
W0999	212.00	26.500	8			B1

OVERALL AVERAGE
RANK IS 36.825

LAB NO.	TOTAL	AVERAGE	NO. OF SAMPLES RANKED	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING	BIASED	LOW	METHOD CODING
W0471	26.50	3.786	7	ELELELVL	BIASED	LOW	B1
W0464	36.50	5.214	7	ELELELELL	BIASED	LOW	B1
W0179	62.00	7.750	8	ELVLELEL	BIASED	LOW	A1
W0389	62.50	7.813	8	ELEVLELL	BIASED	LOW	H
W0489	64.50	8.063	8	ELELELEL	BIASED	LOW	E1
W0127	86.00	12.286	7	ELELELELL	BIASED	LOW	A1
W0528	99.00	12.375	8	ELLVLE	BIASED	LOW	C1
W0382	112.50	14.063	8	ELLELL	BIASED	LOW	H
W0248	112.50	14.063	8	ELEL	BIASED	LOW	A1
W0380	118.50	14.813	8	ELLELVLV	BIASED	LOW	A1
W0247	135.00	16.875	8	ELEL	BIASED	LOW	A1
W0476	133.50	19.071	7				G
W0514	153.00	19.125	8	LVLL	BIASED	LOW	B1
W0198	164.50	20.563	8	ELVLVL	BIASED	LOW	A1
W0468	144.00	20.571	7	ELLEL	BIASED	LOW	E1
W0377	167.00	20.875	8	ELVLL	BIASED	LOW	A1
W0017	182.00	22.750	8	ELVLVL	BIASED	LOW	A1
W0480	115.50	23.100	5				C1
W0147	194.00	24.250	8				E2
W0417	171.50	24.500	7	VL			E1
W0148	201.00	25.125	8				E1
W0370	205.50	25.688	8	L			A2
W0266	207.00	25.875	8				A2
W0305	207.00	25.875	8				A2
W0999	212.00	26.500	8				B1
W0516	190.50	27.214	7				D
W0404	236.50	29.563	8	ELELELLH			B1
W0439	250.00	31.250	8	ELLELEHELEEH			D
W0211	261.00	32.625	8				F
W0335	262.00	32.750	8	ELVL			A1
W0009	269.00	33.625	8				A2
W0515	237.00	33.857	7				G
W0529	274.00	34.250	8				B1
W0441	276.50	34.563	8				B1
W0431	298.00	37.250	8				E1
W0482	299.50	37.438	8	VHEHVL			B2
W0239	306.00	38.250	8				A1
W0233	313.50	39.188	8				F
W0447	315.00	39.375	8				B1
W0028	319.00	39.875	8				A2
W0364	331.00	41.375	8				A2
W0493	334.00	41.750	8				E2
W0533	293.00	41.857	7				B1
W0418	296.00	42.286	7				G
W0506	299.00	42.714	7				B1
W0460	300.00	42.857	7				C1
W0429	343.50	42.938	8				G
W0526	310.50	44.357	7				C1
W0427	359.00	44.875	8				E1
W0463	317.00	45.286	7				B2
W0255	363.50	45.438	8				A1
W0072	319.50	45.643	7				E1
W0538	371.50	46.438	8				C1
W0023	379.00	47.375	8	EH			A1
W0542	333.50	47.643	7				G
W0042	382.00	47.750	8				H
W0551	386.00	48.250	8				E1
W0535	395.00	49.375	8	H			C1
W0243	401.00	50.125	8				B1
W0462	358.50	51.214	7				E2
W0149	417.50	52.188	8				F
W0448	371.00	53.000	7				D
W0477	425.00	53.125	8				B1
W0337	426.50	53.313	8				H
W0238	442.00	55.250	8				B2
W0433	446.00	55.750	8				B1
W0498	449.00	56.125	8	HEHEHER			D

LAB NO.	TOTAL RANK	AVERAGE RANK	NO. OF SAMPLES RANKED	SUMMARY OF FLAGGING		
W0456	413.50	59.071	7	HH		B1
W0524	473.00	59.125	8	H		G
W0469	495.00	61.875	8	H	BIASED HIGH	D
W0428	438.50	62.643	7	H	BIASED HIGH	B1
W0336	513.50	64.188	8	EHEHEH	BIASED HIGH	F
W0497	529.50	66.188	8		BIASED HIGH	G
W0552	471.00	67.286	7	HHH	BIASED HIGH	D
W0511	547.00	68.375	8	HH	BIASED HIGH	G

OVERALL AVERAGE
RANK IS 36.825

MOE/CAEAL Study - DRAFT

Appendix 4: COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0001)

LAB CODE	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF BIASED (%)	NO. OF PARAMETERS RANKED	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	PERCENTAGE OF FLAGGED RESULTS (%)	SUM OF # BIAS AND # FLAGS SCORE	
							BIAS	FLAGS
W0009	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0023	1	1	100.00	9	6	66.67	166.67	
W0028	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0042	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0072	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0127	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0147	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0148	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0149	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0179	1	0	.00	9	4	44.44	44.44	
W0198	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0211	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0238	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0239	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0243	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0247	1	1	100.00	9	7	77.78	177.78	
W0248	1	0	.00	9	4	44.44	44.44	
W0255	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0266	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0305	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0335	1	0	.00	9	4	44.44	44.44	
W0337	1	1	100.00	9	8	88.89	188.89	
W0364	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0370	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0377	1	0	.00	9	7	77.78	77.78	
W0380	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0390	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0404	1	0	.00	9	8	88.89	88.89	
W0417	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0418	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0427	1	1	100.00	9	5	55.56	155.56	
W0428	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0429	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0430	1	0	.00	9	5	55.56	55.56	
W0431	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0433	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0439	1	0	.00	8	5	62.50	62.50	
W0441	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0447	1	0	.00	9	6	66.67	66.67	
W0448	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0456	1	1	100.00	8	7	87.50	187.50	
W0460	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0462	1	1	100.00	9	5	55.56	155.56	
W0463	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0464	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0468	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0471	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0476	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0477	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0480	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0482	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0485	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0489	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0001)

LAB CODE	BIAS			FLAGS				
	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF BIASED (%)	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	SUM OF \$ BIAS AND FLAGS SCORE	
W0493	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0497	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0498	1	0	.00	9	5	55.56	55.56	
W0506	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0511	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00	
W0514	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0516	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0524	1	0	.00	9	5	55.56	55.56	
W0526	1	0	.00	9	4	44.44	44.44	
W0528	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0529	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0533	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0535	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0538	1	0	.00	9	5	55.56	55.56	
W0542	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0551	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0552	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0999	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE USED IN THE ANALYSIS
00100

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE EXCLUDED
NONE

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0001)

LAB CODE	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	BIAS		FLAGS			
			PERCENTAGE OF PARAMETERS BIASED	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED BIASED	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED	SUM OF % BIAS AND % FLAGS	SCORE
			(%)		(%)			
W0337	1	1	100.00	9	8	88.89	188.89	
W0456	1	1	100.00	8	7	87.50	187.50	
W0247	1	1	100.00	9	7	77.78	177.78	
W0023	1	1	100.00	9	6	66.67	166.67	
W0427	1	1	100.00	9	5	55.56	155.56	
W0462	1	1	100.00	9	5	55.56	155.56	
W0404	1	0	.00	9	8	88.89	88.89	
W0377	1	0	.00	9	7	77.78	77.78	
W0447	1	0	.00	9	6	66.67	66.67	
W0439	1	0	.00	8	5	62.50	62.50	
W0524	1	0	.00	9	5	55.56	55.56	
W0498	1	0	.00	9	5	55.56	55.56	
W0538	1	0	.00	9	5	55.56	55.56	
W0430	1	0	.00	9	5	55.56	55.56	
W0551	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0476	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0248	1	0	.00	9	4	44.44	44.44	
W0526	1	0	.00	9	4	44.44	44.44	
W0179	1	0	.00	9	4	44.44	44.44	
W0335	1	0	.00	9	4	44.44	44.44	
W0428	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0493	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0433	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0464	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0429	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0468	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0239	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0999	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0255	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0380	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0198	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0529	1	0	.00	9	3	33.33	33.33	
W0485	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0431	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0417	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0072	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0535	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0266	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0028	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0127	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0009	1	0	.00	9	2	22.22	22.22	
W0480	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0542	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0418	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0482	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0243	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0514	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0147	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0441	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0149	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	
W0552	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11	

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0001)

LAB CODE	BIAS			FLAGS			
	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF PARAMETERS BIASED	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED	SUM OF \$ BIAS AND \$ FLAGS (\$)
W0238	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11
W0463	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11
W0516	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11
W0533	1	0	.00	9	1	11.11	11.11
W0042	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0390	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0364	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0528	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0148	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0497	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0211	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0506	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0305	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0448	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0370	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0511	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0471	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0477	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0460	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00
W0489	1	0	.00	9	0	.00	.00

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE USED IN THE ANALYSIS
00100

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE EXCLUDED
NONE

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0002)

LAB CODE	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	BIAS		FLAGS		
			PERCENTAGE OF PARAMETERS BIASED	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED (#)	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	SUM OF BIAS FLAGS
W0042	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00
W0072	1	1	100.00	8	0	.00	100.00
W0147	1	1	100.00	8	1	12.50	112.50
W0148	1	1	100.00	8	4	50.00	150.00
W0149	1	1	100.00	8	2	25.00	125.00
W0211	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0238	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0239	1	1	100.00	8	3	37.50	137.50
W0243	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50
W0248	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0255	1	0	.00	6	6	100.00	100.00
W0335	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00
W0337	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0364	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0404	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00
W0417	1	1	100.00	7	3	42.86	142.86
W0418	1	1	100.00	8	1	12.50	112.50
W0427	1	1	100.00	8	1	14.29	14.29
W0428	1	0	.00	7	6	75.00	175.00
W0429	1	1	100.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0430	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0431	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0433	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0439	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00
W0441	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0447	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0456	1	1	100.00	8	3	37.50	137.50
W0460	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0462	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0463	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0464	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0468	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50
W0471	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50
W0476	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0477	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0480	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0482	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0485	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00
W0489	1	0	.00	8	5	62.50	62.50
W0493	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0497	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0498	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0506	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0511	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0514	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0516	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0524	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0526	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0528	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00
W0529	1	0	.00	8	6	75.00	75.00
W0533	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0535	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00
W0538	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0542	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0551	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00
W0552	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0999	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE USED IN THE ANALYSIS
00200

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE EXCLUDED
NONE

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0002)

LAB CODE	BIAS		FLAGS					
	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF BIASED PARAMETERS	PERCENTAGE OF BIASED PARAMETERS (%)	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	NO. OF FLAGGED RESULTS	PERCENTAGE OF FLAGGED RESULTS (%)	NO. OF ASSIGNED RESULTS	SUM OF FLAGGED RESULTS AND % BIAS SCORE
W0429	1	1	100.00	8	6	75.00	175.00	
W0471	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0148	1	1	100.00	8	4	50.00	150.00	
W0418	1	1	100.00	7	3	42.86	142.86	
W0456	1	1	100.00	8	3	37.50	137.50	
W0239	1	1	100.00	8	3	37.50	137.50	
W0149	1	1	100.00	8	2	25.00	125.00	
W0427	1	1	100.00	8	1	12.50	112.50	
W0147	1	1	100.00	8	1	12.50	112.50	
W0255	1	0	.00	6	6	100.00	100.00	
W0072	1	1	100.00	8	0	.00	100.00	
W0529	1	0	.00	8	6	75.00	75.00	
W0489	1	0	.00	8	5	62.50	62.50	
W0404	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0042	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0335	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0468	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0243	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0999	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0439	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0551	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0485	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0535	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0528	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0428	1	0	.00	7	1	14.29	14.29	
W0447	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0211	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0497	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0477	1	0	.00	9	1	12.50	12.50	
W0538	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0463	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0514	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0476	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0542	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0524	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0516	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0337	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0460	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0238	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0533	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0430	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0493	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0248	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0506	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0433	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0464	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0417	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0552	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0431	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0480	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0364	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0526	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0441	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0498	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0482	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0511	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0462	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE USED IN THE ANALYSIS
00200

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE EXCLUDED
NONE

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0003)

LAB CODE	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	BIAS		FLAGS			
			PERCENTAGE OF BIASED (%)	NO. OF PARAMETERS RANKED BIASED	NO. OF RESULTS ASSIGNED BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	SUM OF % BIAS AND % FLAGGED FLAGS SCORE	
W0009	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0010	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0017	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0021	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0023	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0028	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0042	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0072	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0127	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0147	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0148	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0149	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0179	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0190	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0198	1	1	100.00	8	2	25.00	125.00	
W0211	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0233	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0238	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0239	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0247	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0248	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0255	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0266	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0305	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0335	1	0	.00	8	5	62.50	62.50	
W0336	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0337	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0364	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0370	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0377	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0380	1	1	100.00	8	2	25.00	125.00	
W0382	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0389	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0404	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0417	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0418	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0427	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0428	1	1	100.00	8	2	25.00	125.00	
W0429	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0431	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0433	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0439	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0441	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0447	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0448	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0456	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0460	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0462	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0463	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0464	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0468	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0469	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0471	1	0	.00	8	6	75.00	75.00	
W0476	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0477	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0480	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0482	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0489	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0493	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0497	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0003)

LAB CODE	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF PARAMETERS BIASED	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	FLAGS		
					NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED	SUM OF # BIAS AND # FLAGS SCORE
W0498	1	1	100.00	8	4	50.00	150.00
W0506	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00
W0511	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0514	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0515	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00
W0516	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00
W0524	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00
W0526	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0528	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00
W0529	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00
W0533	1	0	.00	8	6	75.00	75.00
W0535	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0538	1	1	100.00	8	4	50.00	150.00
W0542	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0551	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0552	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0999	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE USED IN THE ANALYSIS
01092

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE EXCLUDED
None

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0003)

LAB CODE	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF PARAMETERS BIASED (%)	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	SUM OF % BIAS AND % FLAGS SCORE	
							FLAGS	FLAGS
W0441	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0233	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0498	1	1	100.00	8	4	50.00	150.00	
W0538	1	1	100.00	8	4	50.00	150.00	
W0198	1	1	100.00	8	2	25.00	125.00	
W0380	1	1	100.00	8	2	25.00	125.00	
W0428	1	1	100.00	8	2	25.00	125.00	
W0533	1	0	.00	8	6	75.00	75.00	
W0471	1	0	.00	8	6	75.00	75.00	
W0335	1	0	.00	8	5	62.50	62.50	
W0017	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0506	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0524	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0266	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0468	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0147	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0305	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0211	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0493	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0021	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0404	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0239	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0528	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0248	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0460	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0255	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0515	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0370	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0529	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0463	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0516	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0427	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0462	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0377	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0418	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0023	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0999	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0149	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0477	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0010	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0511	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0238	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0364	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0042	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0552	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0179	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0433	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0028	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0482	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0247	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0429	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0127	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0542	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0469	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0382	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0456	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0389	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0464	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0336	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0447	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0003)

LAB CODE	BIAS		FLAGS				
	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF BIASED (%)	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	SUM OF \$ BIAS AND % FLAGS SCORE
W0431	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0439	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0417	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0009	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0535	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0190	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0476	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0072	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0551	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0148	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0337	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0514	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0448	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0526	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0480	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0497	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0489	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE USED IN THE ANALYSIS
01092

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE EXCLUDED
None

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0004)

LAB CODE	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF PARAMETERS BIASED (%)	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	FLAGS		SUM OF % BIAS AND % FLAGS SCORE
						FLAGS (%)	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	
W0009	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	.00
W0017	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0023	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0028	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0042	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0072	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0127	1	1	100.00	7	5	71.43	171.43	
W0147	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0148	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0149	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0179	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0198	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0211	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0233	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0238	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0239	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0243	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0247	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0248	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0255	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0266	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0305	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0335	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0336	1	1	100.00	8	3	37.50	137.50	
W0337	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0364	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0370	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0377	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0380	1	0	.00	8	5	62.50	62.50	
W0382	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0389	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0404	1	0	.00	8	5	62.50	62.50	
W0417	1	0	.00	7	1	14.29	14.29	
W0418	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0427	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0428	1	1	100.00	7	1	14.29	114.29	
W0429	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0431	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0433	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0439	1	0	.00	8	7	87.50	87.50	
W0441	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0447	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0448	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0456	1	0	.00	7	2	28.57	28.57	
W0460	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0462	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0463	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0464	1	1	100.00	7	5	71.43	171.43	
W0468	1	0	.00	7	4	57.14	57.14	
W0469	1	1	100.00	6	1	12.50	112.50	
W0471	1	1	100.00	7	5	71.43	171.43	
W0476	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0477	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0480	1	0	.00	5	0	.00	.00	
W0482	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0489	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0493	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0497	1	1	100.00	8	0	.00	100.00	
W0498	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0506	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0004)

LAB CODE	BIAS		FLAGS				
	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF BIASED PARAMETERS	PERCENTAGE OF BIASED (%)	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	SUM OF % BIAS AND % FLAGS SCORE
W0511	1	1	100.00	8	2	25.00	125.00
W0514	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50
W0515	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0516	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0524	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0526	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0528	1	1	100.00	8	4	50.00	150.00
W0529	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0533	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0535	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0538	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0542	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0551	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0552	1	1	100.00	7	3	42.86	142.86
W0999	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE USED IN THE ANALYSIS
15092

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE EXCLUDED
None

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0004)

LAB CODE	BIAS			FLAGS				
	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF BIASED (%)	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	PERCENTAGE OF FLAGGED (%)	% BIAS AND % FLAGS	SUM OF SCORE
W0464	1	1	100.00	7	5	71.43	171.43	
W0127	1	1	100.00	7	5	71.43	171.43	
W0471	1	1	100.00	7	5	71.43	171.43	
W0179	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0389	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0489	1	1	100.00	8	5	62.50	162.50	
W0528	1	1	100.00	8	4	50.00	150.00	
W0552	1	1	100.00	7	3	42.86	142.86	
W0336	1	1	100.00	8	3	37.50	137.50	
W0511	1	1	100.00	8	2	25.00	125.00	
W0428	1	1	100.00	7	1	14.29	114.29	
W0469	1	1	100.00	8	1	12.50	112.50	
W0497	1	1	100.00	8	0	.00	100.00	
W0439	1	0	.00	8	7	87.50	87.50	
W0380	1	0	.00	8	5	62.50	62.50	
W0404	1	0	.00	8	5	62.50	62.50	
W0468	1	0	.00	7	4	57.14	57.14	
W0498	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0382	1	0	.00	8	4	50.00	50.00	
W0017	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0514	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0198	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0482	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0248	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0377	1	0	.00	8	3	37.50	37.50	
W0456	1	0	.00	7	2	28.57	28.57	
W0335	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0247	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0417	1	0	.00	7	1	14.29	14.29	
W0023	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0551	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0149	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0370	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50	
W0042	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0533	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0266	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0476	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0009	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0999	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0211	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0429	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0147	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0493	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0255	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0460	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0028	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0524	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0148	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0337	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0072	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0515	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0305	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0477	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0238	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0538	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0243	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0427	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0233	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	
W0506	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00	
W0239	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00	

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0004)

LAB CODE	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF PARAMETERS BIASED	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	FLAGS		SUM OF # BIAS AND # FLAGS SCORE
					NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	
W0441	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0529	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0364	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0516	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0480	1	0	.00	5	0	.00	.00
W0535	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0433	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0526	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0463	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0542	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0418	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0448	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0431	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0462	1	0	.00	7	0	.00	.00
W0447	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE USED IN THE ANALYSIS
15092

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE EXCLUDED
None

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0099)

LAB CODE	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF BIASED PARAMETERS	PERCENTAGE OF BIASED PARAMETERS (%)	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED BIASED (#)	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED RESULTS FLAGGED (#)	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	SUM OF % BIAS AND % FLAGS SCORE	
							FLAGS	FLAGS
W0471	4	2	50.00	31	16	51.61	101.61	
W0456	4	2	50.00	31	13	41.94	91.94	
W0389	2	1	50.00	16	6	37.50	87.50	
W0233	2	1	50.00	16	5	31.25	81.25	
W0430	2	1	50.00	16	5	31.25	81.25	
W0179	3	1	33.33	24	10	41.67	75.00	
W0336	2	1	50.00	16	4	25.00	75.00	
W0247	3	1	33.33	24	10	41.67	75.00	
W0427	4	2	50.00	32	9	25.00	75.00	
W0380	3	1	33.33	24	10	41.67	75.00	
W0428	4	2	50.00	30	7	23.33	73.33	
W0127	3	1	33.33	23	8	34.78	68.12	
W0023	3	1	33.33	24	8	33.33	66.67	
W0198	3	1	33.33	24	8	33.33	66.67	
W0498	4	1	25.00	32	13	40.63	65.63	
W0469	2	1	50.00	16	2	12.50	62.50	
W0404	4	0	.00	32	19	59.38	59.38	
W0538	4	1	25.00	32	10	31.25	56.25	
W0429	4	1	25.00	32	10	31.25	56.25	
W0489	4	1	25.00	32	10	31.25	56.25	
W0464	4	1	25.00	31	9	29.03	54.03	
W0248	4	1	25.00	32	9	28.13	53.13	
W0528	4	1	25.00	32	8	25.00	50.00	
W0239	4	1	25.00	32	8	25.00	50.00	
W0337	4	1	25.00	32	8	25.00	50.00	
W0377	3	0	.00	24	12	50.00	50.00	
W0462	4	1	25.00	31	7	22.58	47.58	
W0335	4	0	.00	32	15	46.88	46.88	
W0439	4	0	.00	32	15	46.88	46.88	
W0418	4	1	25.00	30	6	20.00	45.00	
W0017	2	0	.00	16	7	43.75	43.75	
W0441	4	1	25.00	32	6	18.75	43.75	
W0468	4	0	.00	31	13	41.94	41.94	
W0552	4	1	25.00	31	5	16.13	41.13	
W0149	4	1	25.00	32	5	15.63	40.63	
W0147	4	1	25.00	32	5	15.63	40.63	
W0148	4	1	25.00	32	4	12.50	37.50	
W0255	4	0	.00	30	11	36.67	36.67	
W0511	4	1	25.00	32	3	9.38	34.38	
W0529	4	0	.00	32	11	34.38	34.38	
W0072	4	1	25.00	31	2	6.45	31.45	
W0382	2	0	.00	16	5	31.25	31.25	
W0524	4	0	.00	32	10	31.25	31.25	
W0497	4	1	25.00	32	1	3.13	28.13	
W0021	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00	
W0447	4	0	.00	32	8	25.00	25.00	
W0485	2	0	.00	16	4	25.00	25.00	
W0533	4	0	.00	31	7	22.58	22.58	
W0551	4	0	.00	32	7	21.88	21.88	
W0266	3	0	.00	24	5	20.83	20.83	
W0999	4	0	.00	32	6	18.75	18.75	
W0243	3	0	.00	24	4	16.67	16.67	
W0476	4	0	.00	31	5	16.13	16.13	
W0042	4	0	.00	32	5	15.63	15.63	
W0514	4	0	.00	32	5	15.63	15.63	
W0493	4	0	.00	32	5	15.63	15.63	
W0482	4	0	.00	32	5	15.63	15.63	
W0515	2	0	.00	15	2	13.33	13.33	
W0417	4	0	.00	31	4	12.90	12.90	
W0506	4	0	.00	31	4	12.90	12.90	

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0099)

LAB CODE	BIAS			FLAGS			
	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF BIASED (%)	NO. OF PARAMETERS RANKED BIASED	NO. OF FLAGS ASSIGNED RESULTS (%)	PERCENTAGE OF FLAGS FLAGGED (%)	SUM OF # BIAS AND # FLAGS SCORE
W0463	4	0	.00	31	4	12.90	12.90
W0526	4	0	.00	31	4	12.90	12.90
W0516	4	0	.00	31	4	12.90	12.90
W0211	4	0	.00	32	4	12.50	12.50
W0370	3	0	.00	24	3	12.50	12.50
W0010	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0535	4	0	.00	32	4	12.50	12.50
W0028	3	0	.00	24	3	12.50	12.50
W0433	4	0	.00	32	4	12.50	12.50
W0305	3	0	.00	24	3	12.50	12.50
W0542	4	0	.00	31	3	9.68	9.68
W0431	4	0	.00	32	3	9.38	9.38
W0009	3	0	.00	24	2	8.33	8.33
W0460	4	0	.00	31	2	6.45	6.45
W0238	4	0	.00	32	2	6.25	6.25
W0477	4	0	.00	32	2	6.25	6.25
W0480	4	0	.00	29	1	3.45	3.45
W0364	4	0	.00	32	1	3.13	3.13
W0190	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0448	3	0	.00	23	0	.00	.00
W0390	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE USED IN THE ANALYSIS
 00100 01092 15092 00200

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE EXCLUDED
 None

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0099)

LAB	NO. OF CODE ANALYZED	BIAS		FLAGS			
		PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF BIASED (%)	NO. OF PARAMETERS RANKED	NO. OF RESULTS ASSIGNED BIASED	FLAGS OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	PERCENTAGE AND # BIAS FLAGS SCORE
W0009	3	0	.00	24	2	8.33	8.33
W0010	1	0	.00	8	1	12.50	12.50
W0017	2	0	.00	16	7	43.75	43.75
W0021	1	0	.00	8	2	25.00	25.00
W0023	3	1	33.33	24	8	33.33	66.67
W0028	3	0	.00	24	3	12.50	12.50
W0042	4	0	.00	32	5	15.63	15.63
W0072	4	1	25.00	31	2	6.45	31.45
W0127	3	1	33.33	23	8	34.78	68.12
W0147	4	1	25.00	32	5	15.63	40.63
W0148	4	1	25.00	32	4	12.50	37.50
W0149	4	1	25.00	32	5	15.63	40.63
W0179	3	1	33.33	24	10	41.67	75.00
W0190	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0198	3	1	33.33	24	8	33.33	66.67
W0211	4	0	.00	32	4	12.50	12.50
W0233	2	1	50.00	16	5	31.25	81.25
W0238	4	0	.00	32	2	6.25	6.25
W0239	4	1	25.00	32	8	25.00	50.00
W0243	3	0	.00	24	4	16.67	16.67
W0247	3	1	33.33	24	10	41.67	75.00
W0248	4	1	25.00	32	9	28.13	53.13
W0255	4	0	.00	30	11	36.67	36.67
W0266	3	0	.00	24	5	20.83	20.83
W0305	3	0	.00	24	3	12.50	12.50
W0335	4	0	.00	32	15	46.88	46.88
W0336	2	1	50.00	16	4	25.00	75.00
W0337	4	1	25.00	32	8	25.00	50.00
W0364	4	0	.00	32	1	3.13	3.13
W0370	3	0	.00	24	3	12.50	12.50
W0377	3	0	.00	24	12	50.00	50.00
W0380	3	1	33.33	24	10	41.67	75.00
W0382	2	0	.00	16	5	31.25	31.25
W0389	2	1	50.00	16	6	37.50	87.50
W0390	1	0	.00	8	0	.00	.00
W0404	4	0	.00	32	19	59.38	59.38
W0417	4	0	.00	31	4	12.90	12.90
W0418	4	1	25.00	30	6	20.00	45.00
W0427	4	2	50.00	32	8	25.00	75.00
W0428	4	2	50.00	30	7	23.33	73.33
W0429	4	1	25.00	32	10	31.25	56.25
W0430	2	1	50.00	16	5	31.25	81.25
W0431	4	0	.00	32	3	9.38	9.38
W0433	4	0	.00	32	4	12.50	12.50
W0439	4	0	.00	32	15	46.88	46.88
W0441	4	1	25.00	32	6	18.75	43.75
W0447	4	0	.00	32	8	25.00	25.00
W0448	3	0	.00	23	0	.00	.00
W0456	4	2	50.00	31	13	41.94	91.94
W0460	4	0	.00	31	2	6.45	6.45
W0462	4	1	25.00	31	7	22.58	47.58
W0463	4	0	.00	31	4	12.90	12.90
W0464	4	1	25.00	31	9	29.03	54.03
W0468	4	0	.00	31	13	41.94	41.94
W0469	2	1	50.00	16	2	12.50	62.50
W0471	4	2	50.00	31	16	51.61	101.61
W0476	4	0	.00	31	5	16.13	16.13
W0477	4	0	.00	32	2	6.25	6.25
W0480	4	0	.00	29	1	3.45	3.45
W0482	4	0	.00	32	5	15.63	15.63

COMPARISON OF LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (STUDY 0099)

LAB CODE	NO. OF PARAMETERS ANALYZED	NO. OF PARAMETERS BIASED	PERCENTAGE OF PARAMETERS BIASED	NO. OF RESULTS RANKED	FLAGS		SUM OF BIAS SCORE
					NO. OF FLAGS (#)	PERCENTAGE OF RESULTS FLAGGED (%)	
W0485	2	0	.00	16	4	25.00	25.00
W0489	4	1	25.00	32	10	31.25	56.25
W0493	4	0	.00	32	5	15.63	15.63
W0497	4	1	25.00	32	1	3.13	28.13
W0498	4	1	25.00	32	13	40.63	65.63
W0506	4	0	.00	31	4	12.90	12.90
W0511	4	1	25.00	32	3	9.38	34.38
W0514	4	0	.00	32	5	15.63	15.63
W0515	2	0	.00	15	2	13.33	13.33
W0516	4	0	.00	31	4	12.90	12.90
W0524	4	0	.00	32	10	31.25	31.25
W0526	4	0	.00	31	4	12.90	12.90
W0528	4	1	25.00	32	8	25.00	50.00
W0529	4	0	.00	32	11	34.38	34.38
W0533	4	0	.00	31	7	22.58	22.58
W0535	4	0	.00	32	4	12.50	12.50
W0538	4	1	25.00	32	10	31.25	56.25
W0542	4	0	.00	31	3	9.68	9.68
W0551	4	0	.00	32	7	21.88	21.88
W0552	4	1	25.00	31	5	16.13	41.13
W0999	4	0	.00	32	6	18.75	18.75

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE USED IN THE ANALYSIS
00100 01092 15092 00200

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE EXCLUDED
None

MOE/CAEAL Study - DRAFT

Appendix 5: LABORATORY SPECIFIC APPRAISALS

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0009

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 2
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0010

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 5
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	NO RESULTS REPORTED.

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0017

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 5 7 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0021

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	NO RESULTS REPORTED.

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0023

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 2 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4 5 7 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 7
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 5 THIS EXTREMELY HIGH RESULT SUGGESTS THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS OUT OF CONTROL

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0028

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 8 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 THIS EXTREMELY HIGH RESULT SUGGESTS THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS OUT OF CONTROL
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI

STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0042

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 5 7 8
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0072

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 3
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	ALTHOUGH NO RESULTS ARE FLAGGED RANKING INDICATES A SLIGHT BIAS LOW
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0127

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 1 3
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 THIS EXTREMELY LOW RESULT SUGGESTS THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS OUT OF CONTROL
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 2 3 4 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0147

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 7
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 5 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0148

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6 7 8 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0149

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 4
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 7
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 3

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0179

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 1 6 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 4
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 3 4 6 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 2 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0190

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	NO RESULTS REPORTED.

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0198

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 2 4 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISA1

STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0211

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 5
pH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 5 7
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0233

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
pH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 7 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0238

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 1
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
pH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI

STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0239

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 8 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 5 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 8 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 7 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH
PH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 8
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0243

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 8
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 5 8
PH	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0247

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 2 3 4 5 6 8 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 7 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 4
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 4 6

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISA1

STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0248

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 5 7 8 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 2 3 4

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0255

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 2 3 4 6 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
PH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 6 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 7
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0266

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 4
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0305

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0335

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 4 5 6 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 1
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 8
PH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 7 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 4 5 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0336

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 5 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0337

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 8 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 2 3 4 5 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0364

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 6 THIS EXTREMELY LOW RESULT SUGGESTS THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS OUT OF CONTROL
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0370

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 3
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 4

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0377

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 2 3 4 7 8 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 2 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0380

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 8
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 3 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 4 6 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 2

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0382

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 4 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 6

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0389

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 2 4 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0390

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	NO RESULTS REPORTED.

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0404

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 3 8 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 6 7 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 5 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6 8
PH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 7
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 2 3 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 5

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0417

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 4
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 7
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 1

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0418

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 7
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 6 7 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0427

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 8 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 5 6 7 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 8 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
PH	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 7
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISA1

STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0428

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6 7 8
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 1
PH	FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0429

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 5 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 3 4 6 8 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 7 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH
PH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 6 THIS EXTREMELY LOW RESULT SUGGESTS THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS OUT OF CONTROL
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0430

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 2 3 4 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 8 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	NO RESULTS REPORTED.

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI

STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0431

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 8
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0433

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 7 8
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0439

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 7 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 2 8 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 3
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 1
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 2 4 6 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 5 7 8 THESE RESULTS ARE ERRATIC

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0441

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 7
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 3 4 5 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0447

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 8 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 7 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 4 5 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 7
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 7
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0448

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0456

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 2 5 6 7 8 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 4 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 4

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0460

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0462

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 3 5 7 8 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0463

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 7
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 7
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP *	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0464

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 4 5
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 4
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 2 3 4 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0468

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4 7
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 5 7 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 3 7 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 4
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 3 4 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 2

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI

STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0469

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 4
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 7 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0471

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 6 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 5 7 8 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
PH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 5 6 7 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 2 3 4 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0476

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 8 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4 5
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 7
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI

STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0477

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 4
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0480

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 THIS EXTREMELY LOW RESULT SUGGESTS THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS OUT OF CONTROL
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0482

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 5
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 6 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0485

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 3 8
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 8
PH	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	NO RESULTS REPORTED.

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0489

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 5 6 7 8
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 2 3 4 6 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0493

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISA1

STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0497

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 7
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	ALTHOUGH NO RESULTS ARE FLAGGED RANKING INDICATES A SLIGHT BIAS HIGH

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0498

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 2 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 1 3 8
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 5 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 6 7

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0506

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 4 7 8
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI ----- STUDY NO. 0099

----- YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0511 -----

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 7
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 4 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH

----- YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0514 -----

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6 THIS EXTREMELY HIGH RESULT SUGGESTS THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS OUT OF CONTROL
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 8 THIS EXTREMELY HIGH RESULT SUGGESTS THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS OUT OF CONTROL
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 1 6

----- YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0515 -----

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	NO RESULTS REPORTED.
PH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 4
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0516

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 1
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 7
PH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 7
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0524

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6 7 8 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 7
PH	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4 5 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0526

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 1 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 5 6
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0528

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 1
pH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1 4 FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 2 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0529

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 7 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 7 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 3 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 5 6
pH	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 6
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0533

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 4
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY
pH	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4 5 6 7 FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI

STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0535

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 8 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6 THESE RESULTS ARE SLIGHTLY ERRATIC
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 1 3
PH	SATISFACTORY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0538

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 4 FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 2 FLAGGED EXTREMELY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6 7 8
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 7
PH	FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 3 4 6 FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 5 RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED LOW
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0542

SUSPENDED SOLIDS	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR LOW ON SAMPLE 5
BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND	SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 7
PH	FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 4
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP	SATISFACTORY

LABORATORY APPRAISAL FOR MISAI STUDY NO. 0099

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0551

SUSPENDED SOLIDS FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 1
FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 6 7
FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 5

BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 3
FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 7

PH SATISFACTORY

TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP SATISFACTORY EXCEPT FOR HIGH ON SAMPLE 1

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0552

SUSPENDED SOLIDS FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 7
THIS EXTREMELY LOW RESULT SUGGESTS
THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS OUT OF CONTROL

BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND SATISFACTORY

PH FLAGGED VERY HIGH ON SAMPLE 6

TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 4 6 7
RANKING INDICATES RESULTS ARE BIASED HIGH

YOUR LABORATORY CODE IS W0999

SUSPENDED SOLIDS FLAGGED EXTREMELY LOW ON SAMPLE 5
FLAGGED LOW ON SAMPLE 2 4

BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND FLAGGED HIGH ON SAMPLE 7 8

PH FLAGGED VERY LOW ON SAMPLE 4




TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP SATISFACTORY

MOE/CAEAL Study - DRAFT

Appendix 6: GRAPHICS OUTPUT

Laboratory: W0009


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0009

Laboratory: W0010


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0010

Laboratory: W0017


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0017

Laboratory: W0021




Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0021

Laboratory: W0023




Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0023

Laboratory: W0028


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0028

Laboratory: W0042


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0042

Laboratory: W0072




Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0072

Laboratory: W0127


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0127

Laboratory: W0147


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0147

Laboratory: W0148


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0148

Laboratory: W0149




Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0149

Laboratory: W0179


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0179

Laboratory: W0190




Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0190

Laboratory: W0198





Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0198

Laboratory: W0211


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0211

Laboratory: W0233





Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0233

Laboratory: W0238


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0238

Laboratory: W0239


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0239

Laboratory: W0243




Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0243

Laboratory: W0247


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0247

Laboratory: W0248





Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0248

Laboratory: W0255




Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0255

Laboratory: W0266




Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0266

Laboratory: W0305


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0305

Laboratory: W0335


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0335

Laboratory: W0336


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0336

Laboratory: W0337


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0337

Laboratory: W0364




Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0364

Laboratory: W0370




Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0370

Laboratory: W0380




Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0380

Laboratory: W0377


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0377

Laboratory: W0382


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0382

Laboratory: W0389


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0389

Laboratory: W0390


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0390

Laboratory: W0404


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0404

Laboratory: W0417


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0417

Laboratory: W0418


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0418

Laboratory: W0427


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0427

Laboratory: W0428


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0428

Laboratory: W0429


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0429

Laboratory: W0430


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0430

Laboratory: W0431





Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0431

Laboratory: W0433





Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0433

Laboratory: W0439


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0439

Laboratory: W0441


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0441

Laboratory: W0447




Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0447

Laboratory: W0448


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0448

Laboratory: W0456


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0456

Laboratory: W0460





Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0460

Laboratory: W0462


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0462

Laboratory: W0463





Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0463

Laboratory: W0464


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0464

Laboratory: W0468


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0468

Laboratory: W0469


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0469

Laboratory: W0471


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0471

Laboratory: W0476





Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0476

Laboratory: W0477


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0477

Laboratory: W0480


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0480

Laboratory: W0482


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0482

Laboratory: W0485


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0485

Laboratory: W0489





Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0489

Laboratory: W0493





Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0493

Laboratory: W0497





Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0497

Laboratory: W0498





Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0498

Laboratory: W0506


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0506

Laboratory: W0511


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0511

Laboratory: W0514


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0514

Laboratory: W0515


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0515

Laboratory: W0516


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0516

Laboratory: W0524





Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0524

Laboratory: W0526





Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0526

Laboratory: W0528


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0528

Laboratory: W0529





Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0529

Laboratory: W0533





Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0533

Laboratory: W0535


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0535

Laboratory: W0538


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0538

Laboratory: W0542


Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0542

Laboratory: W0551





Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0551

Laboratory: W0552


Comparison of Results Reported versus
the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0552

Laboratory: W0999

Comparison of Results Reported versus the Interlaboratory Median values



Lab Code: W0999

MOE/CAEAL Study - DRAFT

Appendix 7: COMPARISON OF GOVERNMENT AND COMMERCIAL LABS

Government
Labs

MISA INTER LAB STUDY 01 SUSPENDED SOLIDS

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/14.

PARAMETER: SUSPENDED SOLIDS

MG/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
W0009	16.	32.	29.	19.	0	40.	50.	43.	
W0023	14.	4.	26.	16.	45.	30.	44.	42.	
W0028	18.6	10.9	23.	18.6	2.	45.	30.	44.	
W0042	20.	10.	28.	20.	0	45.	42.	50.	
W0127	17.	9.	26.	18.	45.	45.	55.	43.	
W0147	20.	11.	31.	22.	47.	35.	55.	47.	
W0148	21.	11.	31.	21.	45.	40.	60.	44.	
W0149	22.	12.	33.	23.	45.	41.	60.	47.	
W0179	16.5	12.	33.	36.5	2.	44.5	50.	44.	
W0198	18.	6.	24.	14.	43.	35.	57.	44.	
W0211	20.	11.	30.	20.	43.	30.	57.	42.	
W0238	24.	12.	32.	22.	45.	42.	52.	50.	
W0239	19.	11.	26.	24.	45.	42.	59.	41.	
W0243	21.	11.7	31.5	20.	43.	34.	50.	43.	
W0247	20.	4.	32.	14.	51.	30.	50.	50.	
W0248	23.	13.	31.	24.	45.	41.	54.	51.	
W0255	27.	10.	31.	21.	44.	40.	55.	40.	
W0266	21.	14.	31.	38.	44.	38.	51.	43.	
W0305	21.	12.	31.	21.	44.	38.	54.	43.	
W0335	16.	10.	31.	11.	43.	23.	58.	42.	
W0364	19.	10.	28.	19.	43.	35.	55.	43.	
W0370	20.	11.	21.	14.	43.	30.	60.	55.	
W0377	50.	42.	57.	48.	34.	38.	52.	54.	
W0380	19.	6.75	28.8	18.4	12.	46.8	53.6	50.4	
W0390	21.0	11.4	32.4	22.0	0	48.4	41.4	51.2	
W0404	14.	18.	22.	17.	48.	32.	50.	37.	
W0427	21.	12.	33.	23.	48.	48.	73.	54.	
W0433	19.	12.	22.	19.	49.	30.	54.	41.	
W0441	21.	13.	22.	21.	46.	38.	50.	43.	
W0460	19.	10.	32.	21.	50.	42.	60.	45.	
W0477	20.	11.	30.	20.	48.	34.	60.	47.	
W0498	16.	6.	25.	15.	44.	37.	61.	41.	
W0529	23.	13.	30.	21.	50.	40.	58.	43.	
W0532	19.	10.	30.	20.	0	46.	46.	40.	

TOTAL LABS REPORTING	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34
TOTAL LABS USED	34	34	34	34	33	34	34	34	34
MEAN	20.44412	12.11029	29.63824	21.30882	.62121	44.05000	30.10581	57.92256	49.02491
STD DEV	5.88656	6.96922	6.42439	6.74992	2.21864	5.26672	4.07090	7.77410	3.02447
MEDIAN	20.00000	11.00000	30.00000	20.00000	.00003	45.00000	30.30000	56.00000	49.00000

target
value

20 12 29 22 0 45 38 55 45

influence
of lab
W0377

commercial labs seem to be more precise at lower concentrations
 while government labs are more precise at higher concentrations
 both were very close to target values

SS - Gov't

Commercial Labs

MISA INTER LAB STUDY 01 SUSPENDED SOLIDS

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/14.

PARAMETER: SUSPENDED SOLIDS

MG/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
#0072	24.	13.	34.	21.	1.	48.	42.	61.	51.
#0390	20.	20.	39.8	30.	2.	54.8	50.8	64.8	51.0
#0417	21.	11.4	32.4	22.	<	48.4	41.4	51.2	43.0
#0418	23.	12.	32.	20.	<	48.	40.	60.	42.
#0428	19.	10.	24.	18.	<	44.	39.	52.	41.
#0429	17.	9.	31.	20.	<	52.	48.	58.	43.
#0430	15.	6.	23.	14.	1.	42.	32.	55.	40.
#0439	20.	11.	32.	22.	<	47.	40.	54.	37.
#0447	21.	16.	33.	27.	<	60.	41.	65.	39.
#0448	18.	12.	28.	21.	<	45.	39.	58.	44.
#0456	15.	1.	31.	16.	<	37.	17.	44.	37.
#0462	20.	26.	40.	21.	2.	26.	42.	48.	22.
#0463	20.	12.	30.	20.	0.	42.	37.	54.	44.
#0464	14.	11.	27.	15.	<	38.	30.	55.	44.
#0468	20.	10.	26.5	16.	<	43.	39.	53.	49.
#0471	21.73	13.16	31.26	21.45	11	47.23	42.25	62.23	49.64
#0474	19.	y.	26.	16.	<	40.	36.	58.	39.
#0480	3.	12.	30.	21.	<	47.	41.	61.	47.
#0482	20.	11.	29.	20.	<	52.	40.	57.	47.
#0485	20.	11.	34.	18.	<	50.	41.	63.	51.
#0489	19.6	11.2	28.6	19.8	0.	48.	37.2	55.8	45.4
#0493	16.	9.	20.	18.	<	44.	47.	63.	43.
#0497	19.	11.	27.	22.	<	47.	37.	61.	41.
#0506	20.	11.	30.	19.	<	47.	37.	60.	45.
#0511	21.	12.	32.	20.	0.	48.	40.	62.	47.
#0514	21.	13.	32.	22.	0.	48.	42.	61.	40.
#0524	17.	y.	27.	18.	2.	45.	51.	59.	47.
#0526	20.	14.3	30.5	13.1	2.	55.	75.	75.	60.
#0528	16.4	12.9	29.4	23.2	0.	34.7	51.0	60.3	43.
#0532	20.	12.	23.	18.	<	45.	37.	58.	43.
#0535	20.6	12.	29.	16.	<	45.	35.	61.	50.
#0538	20.	14.3	31.6	20.4	13.6	45.2	49.	60.6	52.0
#0542	20.	12.	27.	17.	0.	43.	53.	75.	61.
#0551	14.	12.	29.	20.	<	40.	38.	57.	42.
#0599	19.2	6.4	27.4	16.	0.	36.	33.5	50.	44.0

TOTAL LABS REPORTING 38 38 33 38 33 38 33 33 33

TOTAL LABS USED 38 36 38 30 16 30 35 33 33

MEAN 19.07342 11.54368 29.81474 19.70921 1.60944 46.56253 41.49865 54.48500 43.35100

STD DEV 3.47063 3.81244 3.62792 3.34476 3.25457 5.27651 4.37311 3.97498 3.67425

MEDIAN 20.00000 11.70000 30.00000 20.00000 0.65500 47.00000 40.00000 60.00000 45.00000

target value 22 12 29 22 blank 45 38 55 45

SS - Commercial

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.02 BOD

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/14.

PARAMETER: BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND MG/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
#0042	10.	5.	16.	8.	50.	37.	26.	50.
#0147	5.	2.	14.	6.	23.	20.	27.	24.
#0148	6.	4.	13.	7.	19.	17.	24.	22.
#0149	6.	3.	11.	5.	25.	24.	25.	25.
#0211	10.	7.	20.	11.	38.	24.	41.	37.
#0238	10.	7.	19.	10.	30.	23.	31.	31.
#0239	12.	8.	24.	11.	42.	31.	53.	42.
#0243	11.	12.	20.	11.	40.	30.	38.	40.
#0248	5.	6.	17.	11.	27.	19.	20.	30.
#0255	250	10	21	11	10	60	0	40
#0335	3.	5.	8.	7.	35.	25.	23.	32.
#0364	10.	5.	15.	9.	32.	23.	40.	32.
#0404	9.	6.	12.	9.	23.	40.	40.	52.
#0427	7.2	3.4	12.0	7.2	24.6	14.9	31.5	22.
#0433	7.	5.	15.	3.	35.	24.	37.	37.
#0441	9.	5.	18.	9.	30.	20.	34.	29.
#0460	8.	5.	16.	8.	26.	19.	27.	27.
#0477	9.	6.	17.	9.	37.	33.	37.	32.
#0498	10.	7.	19.	10.	28.	27.	34.	30.
#0529	18.	6.8	24.8	22.5	49.5	46.5	25.5	39.
#0552	10.	6.	20.	11.	35.	27.	41.	34.

TOTAL LABS REPORTING 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21

TOTAL LABS USED 21 21 21 21 21 21 20 20

MEAN 20.67619 7.24762 25.89524 18.89048 31.43333 27.07019 33.40000 34.19000

STD DEV 52.61237 7.79972 42.33290 43.93816 9.72674 10.62760 11.40373 9.62540

MEDIAN 9.00000 6.00000 17.00000 9.00000 30.00000 25.00000 32.75000 32.00000

target value 9 5 17 9 32 24 35 32

BOD - govt

Commercial Labs

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.02 BOD

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/14.

PARAMETER: BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND MG/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0072	6.	3.	14.	6.	25.	19.	27.	24.
W0417	9.	3.	19.	9.	26.	32.	21.	25.
W0418	9.	6.	20.	8.	33.	27.	24.	30.
W0428	7.	2.	11.	7.	27.	15.	24.	25.
W0429	4.	2.	14.	5.	32.	23.	39.	31.
W0430	12.	11.	25.	18.	31.	34.	37.	31.
W0431	8.7	5.4	17.5	10.	31.2	26.	37.	31.
W0439	10.	6.	20.	8.	32.	26.	40.	34.
W0447	25.	3.8	31.	6.4	27.	23.	28.	31.
W0456	4.	5.	19.	8.	36.	25.	27.	30.
W0462	10.	6.	20.	10.	30.	25.	40.	32.
W0463	10.	5.	22.	10.	37.	29.	44.	37.
W0464	7.	13.	6.	25.	21.	32.	32.	27.
W0468	8.32	5.32	21.64	12.21	21.4	22.22	23.3	20.32
W0471	6.12	3.38	9.67	6.95	17.49	7.57	20.24	17.67
W0476	10.	6.	23.	11.	37.	28.	44.	30.
W0480	11.	6.	20.	11.	29.	24.	36.	30.
W0482	d.	5.	17.	10.	29.	26.	34.	30.
W0483	9.	6.	17.	12.	29.	39.	32.	30.
W0489	16.	5.8	15.7	10.7	27.0	48.0	74.	100.
W0493	10.	5.8	17.	10.	31.	26.	33.	32.
W0497	10.	5.	19.	11.	38.	32.	42.	37.
W0506	10.	5.	19.	11.	30.	29.	32.	31.
W0511	10.	4.	14.	d.	33.	23.	34.	30.
W0514	5.	2.	16.	7.	27.	21.	33.	62.
W0516	10.	5.	19.	10.	30.	29.	42.	30.
W0524	12.	5.	20.	11.	33.	26.	42.	35.
W0526	9.5	6.9	15.5	10.2	34.5	30.0	33.	32.5
W0528	2.	2.	8.	9.	20.	21.	33.	25.
W0533	8.	2.	14.	9.	29.	29.	36.	28.
W0535	16.	7.	26.	6.	26.	24.	31.	30.
W0538	11.	6.	22.	11.	38.	27.	42.	34.
W0542	11.	6.	21.	11.	37.	28.	42.	36.
W0551	11.	7.	22.	12.	37.	29.	40.	32.
W0990	11.3	6.8	20.3	10.9	35.	28.	42.	40.

TOTAL LABS REPORTING	36	36	36	36	30	30	35	30
TOTAL LABS USED	36	34	36	36	35	30	36	30
MEAN	9.63722	5.21765	13.00694	9.26000	31.67194	26.43651	36.21055	34.6772
STD DEV	3.86105	1.61914	5.14645	2.82222	5.76050	8.31358	10.12326	13.42050
MEDIAN	10.00000	5.16000	19.00000	10.00000	31.10000	25.50000	35.00000	31.00000

target value 9 5 17 9 32 24 35 32

Commercial labs have better precision especially at lower concentrations \Rightarrow due to W0255 lab (outlier)
median values are close to target

BOD - Commercial

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.03 PH

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/13.

PARAMETER: PH

PH UNITS

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0009	7.46	5.15	8.46	7.80	8.95	9.00	8.09	8.45
W0010	7.25	5.00	8.15	7.55	8.65	9.15	7.9	8.3
W0017	7.51	5.00	7.80	7.50	8.48	8.44	7.62	8.28
W0021	7.4	5.1	8.4	8.0	8.95	9.5	7.1	8.4
W0023	7.30	5.05	8.35	7.65	8.95	9.07	7.80	8.28
W0028	7.45	5.9	8.5	7.61	8.95	9.45	8.25	8.6
W0042	7.30	5.00	8.10	7.70	8.72	9.50	8.00	8.40
W0127	7.37	5.00	7.68	7.48	8.84	9.02	8.04	8.33
W0147	7.46	4.95	8.58	8.09	8.15	9.75	8.17	8.41
W0148	7.36	4.98	8.44	7.61	8.07	9.42	7.67	8.39
W0149	7.47	5.04	8.51	7.50	8.99	9.37	8.60	8.40
W0179	7.5	5.1	8.44	7.68	8.97	9.20	8.07	8.5
W0190	7.43	5.10	8.42	7.89	8.11	9.70	8.25	8.45
W0198	7.64	5.25	8.57	7.89	8.04	9.02	7.60	8.57
W0211	7.47	5.08	7.92	7.57	8.4	8.9	7.2	8.44
W0233	7.4	5.0	7.9	7.3	8.4	9.50	8.07	8.45
W0238	7.45	5.10	8.50	7.75	8.00	9.18	7.91	8.84
W0239	6.73	4.87	8.5	7.69	8.71	9.00	8.20	8.60
W0247	7.50	5.15	8.40	8.00	8.00	9.20	7.90	8.60
W0248	7.75	5.25	8.20	7.10	8.00	8.80	7.71	8.39
W0253	7.45	5.00	8.07	7.45	8.46	8.38	8.06	8.44
W0266	7.36	5.15	8.02	7.49	8.46	8.86	8.06	8.44
W0305	7.30	5.19	8.02	7.99	8.46	8.86	8.06	8.44
W0335	7.5	5.1	7.8	7.4	8.6	8.9	7.6	8.5
W0336	7.67	5.17	8.32	7.65	8.98	9.54	7.97	8.46
W0364	7.50	5.1	8.1	7.7	8.8	7.9	7.9	8.4
W0370	7.4	5.0	8.6	7.5	8.8	9.0	7.8	8.4
W0377	7.4	5.2	8.4	8.0	8.8	9.2	7.7	8.4
W0380	7.52	5.28	8.31	8.18	8.08	9.42	8.35	8.55
W0382	7.4	5.0	8.28	7.7	8.00	8.8	8.2	8.6
W0384	7.45	5.08	8.37	7.50	8.00	8.58	8.14	8.49
W0404	7.4	5.0	8.2	7.1	8.00	9.0	7.6	8.3
W0427	7.29	4.99	8.03	7.57	8.70	9.24	7.72	8.35
W0433	7.44	5.01	8.06	7.70	8.76	10.17	7.79	8.34
W0441	7.55	5.03	8.82	8.32	8.50	9.89	8.36	8.41
W0460	7.46	5.01	8.35	8.02	8.00	9.52	8.05	8.41
W0477	7.45	5.01	8.21	8.00	8.4	9.3	8.13	8.45
W0498	7.37	4.97	7.80	7.30	8.61	8.95	7.54	8.35
W0525	7.46	5.07	8.47	8.02	8.01	9.57	8.21	8.45
W0552	7.50	4.98	8.40	7.86	8.06	9.63	8.21	8.42

TOTAL LABS REPORTING 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40

TOTAL LABS USED 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40

MEAN 7.42450 5.08425 8.26525 7.67300 8.86325 9.20750 7.97150 8.41475

STD DEV .14952 .15953 .26616 .28024 .22343 .37714 .25294 .12491

MEDIAN 7.45000 5.04500 8.35500 7.66500 8.92500 9.20000 8.02000 8.41500

target value 7.4 5.0 8.4 8.16 9.0 9.47 8.09 6.4

S_{TP}

3

to real difference between govern. & commercial labs with pH data

in most cases

std. dev. slightly smaller for commercial labs

pH - govt

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.03 PH

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/13.

PARAMETER: PH

PH UNITS

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0072	7.45	5.00	3.30	7.90	5.90	9.40	3.25	6.45
W0337	7.42	5.06	5.13	7.68	5.74	8.30	8.01	5.40
W0417	7.40	5.90	5.14	7.52	5.80	8.16	7.57	5.33
W0418	7.47	5.05	5.54	8.00	7.04	9.60	5.24	5.34
W0428	7.5	5.1	5.54	8.24	8.1	9.60	5.06	5.39
W0429	7.44	5.99	5.24	7.47	5.91	8.26	5.06	5.33
W0431	7.24	5.04	5.09	7.47	5.08	8.73	7.80	5.33
W0430	7.17	5.12	5.21	7.90	5.74	9.29	5.21	5.59
W0447	7.5	5.0	5.2	7.8	5.8	9.3	7.7	5.4
W0448	7.44	5.04	5.34	7.60	5.96	9.19	8.24	5.42
W0456	7.63	5.12	5.20	7.85	7.07	9.46	5.50	5.02
W0462	7.44	5.02	5.50	8.01	5.07	9.62	5.15	5.44
W0463	7.43	5.05	5.43	8.06	5.06	9.22	5.27	5.47
W0464	7.4	5.0	5.3	8.06	5.00	9.4	5.1	5.47
W0468	7.54	5.06	5.97	8.28	5.12	9.00	5.32	5.44
W0469	7.474	5.067	5.505	9.080	5.048	9.401	5.246	5.45
W0471	7.26	5.60	7.77	7.35	5.25	8.60	7.44	5.5
W0476	7.45	5.06	5.20	7.92	5.93	9.07	5.10	5.45
W0480	7.42	5.04	5.35	7.95	5.34	9.41	5.13	5.42
W0482	7.42	5.08	5.09	7.56	5.69	8.78	7.97	5.43
W0489	7.43	5.03	5.28	7.69	5.00	9.41	5.10	5.40
W0493	7.47	5.03	5.48	8.02	5.04	9.26	5.15	5.43
W0497	7.43	5.05	5.43	7.63	5.46	9.26	5.16	5.44
W0506	7.60	5.10	5.29	8.00	5.90	9.00	5.45	5.50
W0511	7.50	5.15	5.29	7.75	5.65	9.20	7.75	5.40
W0514	7.42	5.08	5.35	7.74	5.01	9.20	5.28	5.40
W0515	7.54	4.94	5.16	7.24	5.81	9.13	7.48	5.34
W0516	7.48	5.10	5.42	8.05	5.33	9.01	5.38	5.44
W0524	7.46	5.01	5.95	7.40	5.61	9.37	7.90	5.33
W0526	7.42	5.04	5.37	7.91	5.91	9.08	5.23	5.42
W0528	7.47	5.07	5.42	7.48	5.05	9.60	5.15	5.5
W0533	7.31	5.64	7.65	7.11	5.20	9.40	7.44	5.45
W0535	7.39	5.00	5.30	7.93	5.88	9.38	5.04	5.37
W0538	7.38	5.01	7.86	7.27	5.55	8.80	7.84	5.27
W0542	7.45 ^b	5.051	5.431	8.154	5.04	9.420	5.206	5.417
W0551	7.47	5.02	5.47	7.65	5.03	9.28	5.17	5.44
W0999	7.37	4.97	5.15	7.25	5.79	8.99	7.73	5.43

TOTAL LABS REPORTING	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37
TOTAL LABS USED	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37
MEAN	7.43600	5.07697	8.27266	7.76303	8.07222	9.16214	8.04424	6.44397
STD DEV	.00411	.13727	.21349	.29713	.20665	.33029	.26299	.04025
MEDIAN	7.44000	5.05000	8.30000	7.85000	8.91000	9.26000	8.13000	6.44000

7.4	5.0	5.4	8.16	9.0	9.47	8.09	6.4
		STP	STP		STP		

pH - commercial

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 TUT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/13.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
#0009	2.05	1.01	1.54	1.49	.00	.50	.16	.15
#0017	1.0	.83	1.0	1.0	.0	.60	.14	.15
#0023	2.30	1.083	1.65	1.517	.020	.24	.15	.12
#0028	2.25	1.053	1.57	1.55	.025	.35	.15	.12
#0042	2.00	1.05	1.44	1.51	.07	.64	.20	.25
#0127	.92	.42	1.30	1.35	.10	.25	.05	.01
#0147	1.05	.86	1.49	1.43	.08	.44	.14	.14
#0148	1.79	.97	1.49	1.43	.08	.51	.12	.20
#0149	2.21	1.06	1.85	1.92	.02	.27	.03	.00
#0179	.46	.56	.10	.11	.09	.02	.15	.16
#0198	.6	.8	.9	.0	.01	.02	.15	.13
#0211	2.07	1.00	1.50	1.47	.03	.50	.20	.16
#0233	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.42	.06	.25	.20	.16
#0238	2.12	.19	1.77	1.74	.00	.61	.20	.21
#0239	2.25	.0	1.5	1.38	.00	.23	.17	.12
#0243	2.01	1.15	1.97	1.84	.03	.45	.26	.16
#0247	1.40	.78	1.60	.70	.00	.00	.13	.10
#0248	2.25	.25	.67	.74	.00	.36	.03	.04
#0255	2.1	1.1	1.63	1.60	.06	.43	.10	.17
#0256	1.90	.99	1.46	1.44	.02	.46	.14	.15
#0305	1.90	.99	1.46	1.44	.02	.46	.13	.15
#0335	1.20	.83	.97	.3	.00	.55	.32	.33
#0336	2.15	1.66	1.89	1.72	.00	.07	.25	.20
#0337	2.63	1.10	1.62	1.59	.00	.58	.24	.21
#0364	1.636	1.016	1.408	1.36	.04	.327	.269	.267
#0370	2.0	.77	1.71	1.33	.02	.52	.07	.04
#0377	.9	.5	1.3	1.3	.07	.3	.2	.03
#0380	.9	.665	.756	.966	.16	.172	.03	.105
#0392	1.02	.83	1.16	.79	.02	.30	.07	.10
#0399	1.16	.39	.99	.92	.00	.29	.04	.09
#0404	.70	.34	.53	1.06	.24	.42	.34	.42
#0427	1.94	1.18	1.60	1.53	.03	.62	.14	.17
#0433	2.01	1.11	1.64	1.53	.07	.61	.21	.26
#0441	2.03	.97	1.47	1.48	.01	.49	.13	.17
#0460	2.17	1.00	1.54	1.54	.01	.52	.12	.17
#0477	2.25	1.163	1.633	1.633	.010	.530	.150	.210
#0496	2.52	2.00	1.66	1.70	.00	.46	.72	.11
#0529	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.45	.01	.36	.16	.16
#0552	2.20	1.20	1.66	1.78	< .10	.80	.42	.20

TOTAL LABS REPORTING	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
TOTAL LABS USdD	39	39	39	39	37	34	34	38
MEAN	1.75656	.94533	1.36351	1.31621	.07370	.50018	.17472	.15632
STD DEV	.59060	.32590	.37013	.34350	.15821	.24575	.11921	.07342
MEDIAN	2.00000	1.00000	1.50000	1.47000	.02600	.52000	.15000	.15500

target values

2.0 1.03 1.56 1.54 blank 0.54 0.154 0.156

median values
for both government
& commercial labs
agree

try plot of std dev (g)
w/ std dev (c)
or plot of mean

slight differences in
precision caused by outliers

TP - gov't

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 TOT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/13.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0072	2.16	1.02	1.52	1.54	<	.02	.54	.17
W0337	2.23	1.10	1.62	1.59	<	.06	.59	.24
W0417	1.42	.92	1.23	1.19	<	.06	.51	.15
W0418	1.93	1.02	1.54	1.46	<	.05	.54	.18
W0428	2.12	1.18	1.68	1.76	<	.05	.56	.21
W0429	1.95	1.00	1.46	1.45	<	.10	.52	.19
W0431	2.14	1.04	1.50	1.53	<	.04	.24	.12
W0439	1.7	.38	1.07	1.66	<	.03	.04	.03
W0447	1.67	.11	1.30	1.63	<	.03	.92	.16
W0448	2.19	1.04	1.58	1.58	<	.1	.56	.17
W0456	2.43	1.07	1.79	1.82	<	.01	.56	.16
W0462	2.23	1.03	1.59	1.55	<	.01	.570	.17
W0463	2.15	1.02	1.62	1.67	<	.05	.526	.156
W0464	.79	.33	.56	.62	<	.01	.23	.03
W0468	1.63	.68	.66	.65	<	.01	.54	.14
W0459	2.23	1.095	1.61t	1.661	<	.01	.603	.377
W0471	.45	.27	.23	.42	<	.01	.16	.09
W0476	1.71	.812	1.26	1.23	<	.01	.452	.127
W0486	1.98	.87	1.34	1.32	<	.10	.36	.10
W0492	2.03	1.42	1.73	2.20	<	.16	.20	.05
W0493	.45	.43	.54	.30	<	.05	.05	.05
W0497	2.19	.98	1.62	1.65	<	.08	.433	.13
W0506	2.35	1.19	1.79	1.75	<	.12	.65	.29
W0511	2.10	1.10	1.60	1.45	<	.05	.50	.19
W0514	2.43	1.23	1.73	1.75	<	.15	.71	.33
W0515	1.50	.90	.90	1.23	<	.02	.30	.14
W0516	2.15	.96	1.52	1.53	<	.1	.49	.16
W0524	1.82	.94	1.37	1.43	<	.01	.44	.12
W0526	2.13	1.22	1.62	1.53	<	.23	.60	.30
W0528	2.15	1.09	1.40	1.40	<	.02	.54	.17
W0530	1.10	.70	.94	.825	<	.04	.340	.1040
W0538	1.40	1.08	1.41	1.48	<	.05	.53	.17
W0540	2.20	1.11	1.53	1.70	<	.01	.67	.123
W0542	2.00	1.20	1.59	1.50	<	.11	.4d	.18
W0551	2.22	1.05	1.60	1.51	<	.03	.57	.16
W0556	2.48	1.03	1.61	1.56	<	.01	.56	.16
W0599	2.04	.97	1.43	1.39	<	.00	.51	.14

TOTAL LABS REPORTING 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37

TOTAL LABS USED 37 37 37 37 19 37 30 36

MEAN 1.69981 .96181 1.33632 1.34903 .34939 .43146 .18732 .29640

STD DEV .52194 .25670 .30940 .40356 1.18733 .16720 .13330 .50044

MEDIAN 2.10000 1.03000 1.54000 1.51000 .06250 .54000 .15900 .16000

target 20 1.03 1.56 1.54 blank 0.54 0.154 0.156

value

TP - commercial

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	% FLAGS ON STUDIES				MEDIAN SCORE	COMMENTS
	0001	0002	0003	0004		
W0370	.0	-	25.0	12.5	12.5	MODERATE
W0353	-	-	37.5	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0305	.0	-	12.5	37.5	25.0	POOR
W0336	-	-	12.5	42.9	11.8	MODERATE
W0592	11.1	37.5	25.0	.0	29.2	POOR
W0239	33.3	37.5	25.0	.0	18.8	MODERATE
W0427	55.6	42.9	25.0	.0	29.2	POOR
W0529	33.3	15.0	25.0	.0	26.3	POOR
W0404	88.9	50.0	25.0	62.5	31.3	POOR
W0382	-	-	12.5	50.0	-	
W0441	11.1	.0	62.5	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY
WC268	-	-	-	-	-	
W0255	33.3	100.0	25.0	.0	29.2	POOR
W0190	-	-	25.0	-	-	
W0045	-	-	-	-	-	
W0127	22.2	-	12.5	71.4	22.2	MODERATE
W0247	77.8	-	12.5	25.0	25.0	POOR
W0021	-	-	25.0	-	-	
W0149	11.1	25.0	12.5	12.5	12.5	MODERATE
W0148	.0	50.0	25.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0198	33.3	-	25.0	37.5	33.3	POOR
W0311	-	-	-	-	-	
W0460	.0	.0	25.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0377	77.8	-	25.0	37.5	37.5	POOR
W0009	22.2	-	25.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0477	.0	12.5	12.5	.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY
W0185	-	-	-	-	-	
W0147	11.1	12.5	37.5	.0	11.8	MODERATE
W0010	-	-	12.5	-	-	
W0028	22.2	-	12.5	.0	12.5	MODERATE
W0042	.0	50.0	12.5	.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY
W0239	11.1	.0	12.5	.0	5.6	SATISFACTORY
W0248	44.4	.0	25.0	37.5	31.3	POOR
W0233	-	-	62.5	.0	31.3	POOR
W0389	-	-	62.5	62.5	37.5	POOR
W0498	55.6	.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	POOR
W0433	37.5	.0	12.5	.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY
WC364	.0	.0	12.5	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0380	33.3	-	25.0	62.5	33.3	POOR
W0023	66.7	-	12.5	12.5	12.5	MODERATE
W0142	-	-	-	-	-	
W0266	22.2	-	37.5	.0	22.2	MODERATE
W0017	-	-	50.0	37.5	43.8	POOR
W0199	44.4	-	12.5	62.5	44.4	POOR
W0211	11.1	12.5	37.5	.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY
W0343	11.1	37.5	37.5	.0	11.1	MODERATE
W0335	44.4	50.0	62.5	25.0	47.2	POOR
INTERLAB	22.2	12.5	25.0	.0		
MEDIAN	22.2	12.5	25.0	.0		appears government labs

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE INCLUDED more imprecise for pH
 00100 00200 01092 15092

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0001

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0002

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0003

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0004

(% Flags) - Gov't
 + ~~Gov't~~

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	% FLAGS ON STUDIES				MEDIAN SCORE	COMMENTS
	0001	0002	0003	0004		
W0524	55.6	12.5	50.0	.0	31.3	POOR
W0417	25.0	.0	12.5	14.3	13.4	MODERATE
W0551	50.0	25.0	.0	12.5	18.8	MODERATE
W0526	44.4	.0	.0	.0	18.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0515	-	25.0	25.0	.0	12.5	MODERATE
W0489	.0	62.5	.0	62.5	31.3	POOR
W0535	22.2	25.0	.0	.0	11.1	MODERATE
W0462	55.6	.0	25.0	.0	12.5	MODERATE
W0506	.0	.0	50.0	.0	18.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0482	12.5	.0	12.5	37.5	12.5	MODERATE
W0439	62.5	25.0	12.5	87.5	43.8	POOR
W0428	37.5	14.3	25.0	14.3	19.6	MODERATE
W0337	88.9	.0	.0	.0	18.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0512	12.5	12.5	12.5	.0	12.5	MODERATE
W0534	.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	POOR
W0476	50.0	12.5	.0	.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY
W0538	55.6	12.5	50.0	.0	31.3	POOR
W0480	12.5	.0	.0	.0	18.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0471	.0	62.5	75.0	71.4	67.0	POOR
W0497	.0	12.5	.0	.0	11.1	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0514	11.1	12.5	.0	37.5	11.8	MODERATE
W0533	11.1	.0	75.0	.0	5.6	SATISFACTORY
W0511	.0	.0	12.5	25.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY
W0463	11.1	12.5	25.0	.0	11.8	MODERATE
W0431	24.0	.0	12.5	.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY
W0464	37.5	.0	12.5	71.4	25.0	POOR
W0430	55.6	.0	.0	.0	27.8	POOR
W0447	66.7	12.5	12.5	.0	12.5	MODERATE
W0072	22.2	.0	.0	.0	18.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0448	.0	-.0	.0	.0	18.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0418	12.5	42.9	25.0	.0	18.8	MODERATE
W0516	11.1	12.5	.0	.0	11.8	MODERATE
W0469	-	-.0	12.5	12.5	12.5	MODERATE
W0493	37.5	.0	25.0	28.0	32.8	MODERATE
W0456	87.5	37.5	16.5	28.6	33.0	POOR
W0429	37.5	75.0	12.5	.0	25.0	POOR
W0999	33.3	25.0	12.5	.0	18.8	MODERATE
W0485	25.0	25.0	-.0	.0	25.0	POOR
W0468	37.5	37.5	37.5	57.1	37.5	POOR
INTERLAB						
MEDIAN	25.0	12.5	12.5	.0		

appears slightly more imprecise than government labs

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE INCLUDED for GS

00100 00200 01092 15092

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0001

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0002

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0003

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0004

STUDY DATES: 0001(90/11/19.),0002(90/11/19.),0003(89/11/19.),0004(89/11/19.),

(% Flags) Commercial

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (%)	NUMBER OF STUDIES	LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (%)	NUMBER OF STUDIES
W0353	-	0	W0149	12.5	
W0268	-	0	W0028	12.5	
W0190	-	1	W0370	12.5	3
W0045	-	1	W0427	16.0	
W0021	-	1	W0127	22.2	3
W0311	-	0	W0266	22.2	3
W0185	-	0	W0336	25.0	2
W0010	-	1	W0247	25.0	3
W0142	11.1	0	W0239	29.2	4
W0009	0.0	3	W0329	29.2	4
W0148	0.0	4	W0255	29.2	4
W0305	0.0	3	W0248	31.3	4
W0364	0.0	4	W0233	31.3	2
W0460	0.0	4	W0382	31.3	2
W0441	5.6	4	W0380	33.3	3
W0238	5.6	4	W0198	33.3	
W0433	6.3	4	W0377	37.5	
W0042	6.3	4	W0389	37.5	
W0477	6.3	4	W0017	43.8	
W0211	6.3	4	W0179	46.4	
W0243	11.1	3	W0335	47.2	4
W0532	11.1	4	W0498	50.0	4
W0147	11.1	4	W0404	50.3	4
W0023	12.5	3			

Commercial IWR

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	BIAS AND ZFLAGS ON STUDIES				MEDIAN SCORE	COMMENTS
	0001	0002	0003	0004		
SS	B00	pH	TP			
W0524	55.6	12.5	50.0	.0	31.3	MODERATE
W0417	25.0	25.0	12.5	14.3	13.4	SATISFACTORY
W0531	50.0	25.0	.0	12.5	18.8	SATISFACTORY
W0526	44.4	.0	.0	.0	12.5	SATISFACTORY
W0513	-	-	25.0	.0	12.5	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0489	.0	62.5	.0	162.0	31.3	MODERATE
W0535	22.2	25.0	.0	.0	11.1	SATISFACTORY
W0462	155.6	.0	25.0	.0	12.5	SATISFACTORY
W0506	.0	.0	50.0	.0	12.5	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0482	12.5	.0	12.5	37.5	12.5	SATISFACTORY
W0439	62.5	25.0	12.5	67.5	12.5	SATISFACTORY
W0426	37.5	14.3	125.0	114.3	43.8	MODERATE
W0337	188.9	.0	.0	.0	75.9	POOR
W0542	12.5	12.5	12.5	.0	12.5	SATISFACTORY
W0534	-	-	-	-	-	-
W0524	.0	25.0	25.0	150.0	25.0	MODERATE
WC476	50.0	12.5	150.0	.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0538	55.6	12.5	150.0	.0	34.0	MODERATE
W0480	12.5	.0	.0	.0	12.5	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0471	.0	162.5	75.0	171.4	118.8	POOR
W0497	.0	12.5	.0	100.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0514	11.1	12.5	.0	37.5	11.8	SATISFACTORY
W0533	11.1	.0	75.0	.0	5.6	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0511	.0	.0	12.5	125.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0463	11.1	12.5	25.0	.0	11.8	SATISFACTORY
W0431	25.0	.0	12.5	.0	6.3	SATISFACTORY
W0464	37.5	.0	12.5	171.4	25.0	MODERATE
W0430	55.6	.0	.0	.0	27.8	MODERATE
W0447	66.7	12.5	12.5	.0	12.5	SATISFACTORY
W0072	22.2	100.0	.0	.0	11.1	SATISFACTORY
W0448	.0	-	.0	.0	12.5	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0418	12.5	142.9	25.0	.0	18.8	SATISFACTORY
W0516	11.1	12.5	25.0	.0	11.8	SATISFACTORY
W0469	-	-	12.5	112.5	62.5	POOR
W0493	37.5	.0	35.0	.0	12.5	SATISFACTORY
W0456	187.5	137.5	12.5	28.6	83.0	POOR
W0429	37.5	175.0	12.5	.0	25.0	MODERATE
W0999	33.3	25.0	12.5	.0	18.8	SATISFACTORY
W0483	25.0	22.0	.0	.0	25.0	MODERATE
W0448	37.5	37.5	37.5	57.1	37.5	MODERATE
INTERLAB	25.0	12.5	12.5	.0		
MEDIAN	25.0	12.5	12.5	.0		

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE INCLUDED
00100 00200 01092 15092

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0001

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0002

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0003

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0004

STUDY DATES: 0001(90/11/19.), 0002(90/11/19.), 0003(89/11/19.), 0004(89/11/19.).

(% Bias + % Flags) Commercial

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (%)	NUMBER OF STUDIES	LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (%)	NUMBER OF STUDIES
W0534	-	0	W0542	12.5	
W0506	0.0	4	W0493	12.5	4
W0337	0.0	4	W0497	13.4	4
W0526	0.0	4	W0551	18.8	4
W0480	0.0	4	W0418	18.8	4
W0448	0.0	3	W0999	18.8	4
W0533	5.6	4	W0464	25.0	4
W0476	6.3	4	W0429	25.0	4
W0511	6.3	4	W0523	25.0	4
W0431	6.3	4	W0489	25.0	2
W0497	6.3	4	W0430	27.8	4
W0072	11.1	4	W0489	31.3	4
W0535	11.1	4	W0524	31.3	4
W0463	11.8	4	W0538	34.0	4
W0514	11.8	4	W0468	37.5	4
W0516	11.8	4	W0439	43.8	4
W0515	12.5	2	W0469	62.5	2
W0447	12.5	4	W0428	75.9	4
W0492	12.5	4	W0456	83.0	4
W0462	12.5	4	W0471	114.8	4

government labs

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (%)	NUMBER OF STUDIES	LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (%)	NUMBER OF STUDIES
W0353	-	0	W0028	12.5	
W0268	-	0	W0149	12.5	3
W0190	-	1	W0127	22.2	3
W0045	-	0	W0266	22.5	3
W0021	-	1	W0147	22.5	3
W0311	-	0	W0247	25.0	3
W0185	-	0	W0239	24.2	3
W0010	-	1	W0529	24.2	4
W0142	-	1	W0255	24.2	4
W0009	.0	3	W0248	31.3	4
W0148	.00	4	W0322	31.3	2
W0305	.00	3	W0198	37.5	3
W0364	.00	4	W0377	37.5	3
W0460	.00	4	W0017	43.0	3
W0441	.6	4	W0179	44.4	3
W0238	.6	4	W0335	47.2	4
W0433	.63	4	W0498	52.0	4
W0042	6.3	4	W0304	55.3	3
W0477	6.3	4	W0380	58.5	3
W0211	6.3	4	W0427	68.4	2
W0243	11.1	3	W0336	75.0	2
W0552	11.8	4	W0233	81.3	2
W0C23	12.5	3	W03d9	87.5	2
W0370	12.5	3			

government labs

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	ZBIAS AND ZFLAGS ON STUDIES				MEDIAN SCORE	COMMENTS
	0001	0002	0003	0004		
	SS	BOD	pH	TP		
M0370	.0	-	25.0	12.5	12.5	SATISFACTORY
M0353	-	-	37.5	-	-	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0305	-	-	12.5	137.5	75.0	POOR
M0532	11.1	.0	12.5	137.5	11.8	SATISFACTORY
M0236	33.3	137.5	25.0	-	29.2	Moderate
M0427	155.6	112.5	25.0	-	68.8	POOR
M0529	33.3	75.0	25.0	-	29.2	Moderate
M0404	86.9	50.0	25.0	62.5	56.3	Moderate
M0382	-	-	12.5	50.0	31.3	Moderate
M0441	11.1	.0	162.5	-	35.6	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0268	-	-	-	-	-	-
M0255	33.3	100.0	25.0	-	29.2	Moderate
M0190	-	-	-	-	-	-
M0045	-	-	-	-	-	-
M0127	22.2	-	12.5	171.4	22.2	SATISFACTORY
M0247	177.8	-	12.5	25.0	25.0	Moderate
M0021	-	-	25.0	-	-	-
M0149	11.1	125.0	12.5	12.5	12.5	SATISFACTORY
M0148	11.1	150.0	0.0	-	-	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0198	33.3	-	125.0	37.5	37.5	Moderate
M0311	-	-	-	-	-	-
M0460	.0	.0	25.0	-	-	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0377	77.8	-	25.0	37.5	37.5	Moderate
M0009	22.2	-	12.5	-	-	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0477	.0	12.5	12.5	-	6.3	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0185	-	-	-	-	-	-
M0147	11.1	112.5	37.5	-	24.3	SATISFACTORY
M0010	-	-	12.5	-	-	-
M0028	22.2	-	12.5	-	12.5	SATISFACTORY
M0042	11.1	50.0	12.5	-	6.3	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0238	11.1	.0	12.5	-	5.6	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0248	44.4	-	25.0	37.5	31.3	Moderate
M0233	-	-	162.5	0.0	61.3	POOR
M0386	5.6	-	12.5	162.5	87.5	POOR
M0498	5.6	.0	150.0	50.0	52.8	Moderate
M0433	37.5	.0	125.0	-	6.3	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0364	37.5	.0	125.0	-	6.3	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0380	33.3	-	125.0	62.5	62.5	POOR
M0023	166.7	-	12.5	12.5	12.5	SATISFACTORY
M0142	-	-	-	-	-	-
M0266	22.2	-	37.5	-	22.2	SATISFACTORY
M0017	-	-	50.0	37.5	43.8	Moderate
M0179	44.4	-	12.5	162.5	44.4	Moderate
M0211	11.1	12.5	37.5	-	6.3	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
M0243	11.1	37.5	-	-	11.1	SATISFACTORY
M0339	44.4	50.0	62.5	25.0	47.2	Moderate
MEDIAN	22.2	37.5	25.0	.0		

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE INCLUDED
0C100 00200 01092 15092

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0001

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0002

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0003

(% Bias + % Flags) gov't.

Commercial labs

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (Z)	NUMBER OF STUDIES	LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (Z)	NUMBER OF STUDIES
W0534	-	0	W0542	12.5	4
W0506	.0	4	W0469	12.5	2
W0337	.0	4	W0473	12.5	4
W0526	.0	4	W0417	13.4	4
W0480	.0	4	W0418	18.8	4
W0497	.0	4	W0551	18.8	4
W0072	.0	4	W0994	18.8	4
W0448	.0	3	W0428	19.6	4
W0533	.6	4	W0528	25.0	4
W0431	.3	4	W0429	25.0	4
W0476	.3	4	W0464	25.0	4
W0511	6.3	4	W0485	29.0	4
W0532	11.1	4	W0430	27.6	4
W0463	11.8	4	W0489	31.3	4
W0514	11.9	4	W0530	31.3	4
W0516	11.8	4	W0524	31.3	4
W0515	12.5	2	W0456	33.0	4
W0447	12.5	4	W0468	37.5	4
W0482	12.5	4	W0439	43.0	4
W0462	12.5	4	W0471	67.0	4

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	% BIAS ON STUDIES				MEDIAN SCORE	COMMENTS
	0001	0002	0003	0004		
W0370	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0353	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0305	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	Poor
W0336	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0552	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0239	.0	100.0	.0	.0	.0	Poor
W0427	100.0	100.0	.0	.0	50.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0529	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0404	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0382	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0441	.0	-	100.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0268	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0255	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0190	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0045	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	-
W0127	.0	-	.0	100.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0247	100.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0021	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	-
W0149	.0	100.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0148	.0	100.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0198	.0	-	100.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0311	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0377	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0009	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0477	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0185	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0147	.0	100.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0010	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0028	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0042	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0238	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0246	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0233	.0	-	100.0	.0	50.0	Poor
W0389	.0	-	.0	100.0	.0	Poor
W0498	.0	-	100.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0433	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0364	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0380	.0	-	100.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0023	100.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0142	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0266	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0017	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0179	.0	-	.0	100.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0211	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0243	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0335	.0	-	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
INTERLAB MEDIAN	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	-

Biased 3 5 5 5
 THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE INCLUDED
 00100 00200 C1092 15092

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0001

$$\frac{3}{34} \quad \frac{5}{21} \quad \frac{5}{40} \quad \frac{5}{39}$$

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0002

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0003

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0004

Note:

100% = Biased

0% = no bias.

— % of Parameters Biased in each study

96% Bias - govt

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (%)	NUMBER OF STUDIES	LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (%)	NUMBER OF STUDIES
W0353	-	0	W0404	-	1
W0268	-	1	W0147	-	2
W0190	-	1	W0382	-	3
W0045	-	1	W0028	-	4
W0021	-	1	W0042	-	4
W0311	-	1	W0238	-	4
W0185	-	1	W0248	-	4
W0010	-	1	W0498	-	4
W0142	-	1	W0433	-	4
W0370	0	3	W0364	-	4
W0255	0	3	W0380	-	4
W0305	0	3	W0023	-	4
W0552	0	3	W0461	-	4
W0127	0	3	W0266	-	4
W0247	0	3	W0017	-	3
W0239	0	4	W0179	-	3
W0149	0	4	W0211	-	3
W0148	0	4	W0243	-	3
W0198	0	4	W0335	-	3
W0529	0	4	W0336	-	2
W0460	0	4	W0427	-	2
W0377	0	4	W0253	-	2
W0009	0	4	W0389	50.0	2
W0477	0	4			

Commercial

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	% BIAS ON STUDIES				MEDIAN SCORE	COMMENTS
	0001	0002	0003	0004		
W0524	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0617	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0551	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W026	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0315	-	-	-	-	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0489	.0	.0	100.	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0535	100.	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0662	100.	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0506	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0482	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0439	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W028	.0	.0	100.	100.	50.	POOR
W0337	100.	.0	100.	100.	50.	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0342	.0	.0	-.0	-.0	-.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0534	-	-	-	-	-	-
W028	.0	.0	-.0	100.	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0476	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0538	.0	.0	100.	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0480	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0471	.0	100.	.0	.0	50.	POOR
W0497	.0	.0	.0	100.	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0514	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0523	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0511	.0	.0	.0	100.	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0463	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0431	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0464	.0	.0	-.0	100.	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0430	.0	.0	-.0	100.	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0447	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0072	.0	100.	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0448	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0418	.0	100.	.0	.0	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0516	.0	.0	-.0	100.	.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0469	-.0	-.0	-.0	100.	50.	POOR
W0493	100.	.0	.0	.0	50.	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0456	100.	100.	.0	.0	50.	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0429	.0	100.	.0	.0	50.	POOR
W0999	.0	.0	-.0	-.0	-.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0485	.0	.0	-.0	-.0	-.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
W0468	.0	.0	-.0	-.0	-.0	SATISFACTORY, WELL DONE
INTERLAB						
MEDIAN	.0	.0	.0	.0		
# Biased	3	5	2	8	(% of labs Biased)	$\frac{3}{38}, \frac{5}{36}, \frac{2}{37}, \frac{8}{37}$

THE FOLLOWING CODES WERE INCLUDED
00100 00200 01092 15092

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0001

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0002

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0003

CODES EXCLUDED FOR STUDY 0004

STUDY DATES: 0001(90/11/19.), 0002(90/11/19.), 0003(89/11/19.), 0004(89/11/19.).

% Bias - Commercial

commercial labs

SUMMARY OF STUDY TO STUDY PERFORMANCE

LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (%)	NUMBER OF STUDIES	LAB CODE	MEDIAN SCORE (%)	NUMBER OF STUDIES
X0534	-	0	X0533	-	-
X0417	0.0	4	X0511	0.0	4
X0551	0.0	4	X0463	0.0	4
X0526	0.0	4	X0431	0.0	4
X0515	0.0	2	X0464	0.0	4
X0489	0.0	6	X0430	0.0	2
X0535	0.0	4	X0447	0.0	4
X0462	0.0	4	X0072	0.0	4
X0506	0.0	4	X044d	0.0	3
X0482	0.0	4	X0418	0.0	4
X0439	0.0	4	X0516	0.0	4
X0337	0.0	4	X0493	0.0	4
X0542	0.0	4	X0429	0.0	4
X0524	0.0	4	X0999	0.0	4
X0-28	0.0	4	X0485	0.0	2
X0476	0.0	4	X0468	0.0	4
X0538	0.0	4	X0428	50.0	4
X0480	0.0	4	X0469	50.0	2
X0497	0.0	4	X0471	50.0	4
X0514	0.0	4	X0456	50.0	4

MOE/CAEAL Study - DRAFT

Appendix 8: DATA SUMMARIES FOR THE VARIOUS PHOSPHORUS METHODS

HACH METHOD

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 TOT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/07.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0009	2.05	1.01	1.54	1.69	.00	.20	.16	.15
W0017	1.0	1.83	1.0	1.0	.030	.60	.14	.15
W0023	2.30	1.083	1.60	1.517	.025	.34	.15	.12
W0028	2.13	1.02	1.52	1.55	.10	.25	.12	.12
W0127	.92	.42	.68	.55	.09	.05	.11	.08
W0179	.46	.56	.10	.11	.01	.02	.03	.03
W0198	.9	.8	.9	.9	.0	.5	.15	.15
WG239	2.25	1.0	1.5	1.58	.00	.53	.17	.12
W0247	1.80	.78	1.60	.70	.00	.00	.13	.13
W0248	2.25	.25	.67	.74	.00	.36	.05	.06
W0255	2.1	.1	1.63	1.60	.06	.43	.10	.11
W0266	1.90	.99	1.44	1.44	.02	.46	.12	.15
W0305	1.90	.99	1.44	1.44	.02	.46	.12	.15
W0335	1.20	.83	.97	.13	.0	.55	.32	.33
W0364	1.936	1.016	1.908	1.536	.104	.327	.264	.267
W0370	2.0	.77	1.71	1.13	.02	.52	.07	.07
W0377	.9	.5	1.3	1.3	.07	.3	.2	.3
W0380	.9	.666	.756	.966	.10	.172	.03	.108

TOTAL LABS REPORTING 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18

TOTAL LABS USED 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 17

MEAN 1.60644 .91361 1.21467 1.15828 .06363 .39272 .13863 .12524


STD DEV .61041 .24826 .44996 .42635 .19210 .19131 .07527 .08434

MEDIAN 1.90000 .83000 1.42400 1.30000 .02000 .45000 .14500 .12500

(1.29 - 2.51) (0.58-1.08) (.91-1.87) (.87-1.73) (.28- .64) (.07- .22) (0.04- 0.21)

target value 2.0 1.03 1.56 1.54 blank 0.54 0.154 0.156

values with HACH METHOD lower than target values



HACH Method

TOTAL P

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 TOT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/07.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0042	2.00	1.05	1.49	1.51	<	.07	.04	.25
W0C72	2.16	1.02	1.55	1.54	<	.02	.17	.16
W0147	1.80	.86	1.30	1.30	.08	.44	.14	
W0148	1.99	.97	1.49	1.43	.02	.51	.12	.14
W0149	2.07	1.06	1.85	1.92	.03	.57	.18	.20
W0211	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.47	.06	.50	.15	.13
W0233	2.12	1.19	1.77	1.74	.03	.61	.20	.19
W0238	2.01	1.15	1.57	1.64	.03	.55	.20	.16
W0336	2.15	1.06	1.88	1.72	.03	1.07	.22	.20
W0337	2.23	1.10	1.62	1.59	.02	.53	.24	.21
W0382	1.02	.83	1.16	.79	.02	.30	.07	.10
W0389	.16	.39	.99	.92	.06	.29	.09	.09
W0404	.76	.34	.92	1.20	.06	.42	.34	.32
W0417	1.49	1.02	1.54	1.48	<	.05	.15	.15
W0427	1.94	1.18	1.60	1.53	<	.05	.17	.17
W0428	2.12	1.18	1.68	1.76	<	.05	.21	.24
W0429	1.96	1.00	1.45	1.45	.04	.56	.21	.12
W0431	2.18	1.04	1.50	1.53	.04	.74	.21	.26
W0433	2.01	1.11	1.66	1.63	.07	.61	.83	.95
W0439	1.7	.38	1.07	.66	.01	.04	.17	
W0441	2.03	.97	1.47	1.48	.01	.49	.16	
W0447	1.87	1.11	1.30	1.63	.03	.52	.17	
W0448	2.19	1.04	1.58	1.58	<	.01	.26	.17
W0456	2.49	1.07	1.79	1.82	.01	.56	.14	.16
W0460	2.17	1.00	1.54	1.54	.01	.52	.19	.17
W0462	2.23	1.03	1.59	1.55	.01	.56	.15	.17
W0463	2.16	1.02	1.62	1.67	.05	.26	.15	.16
W0464	.78	.33	.96	.62	.01	.23	.03	.02
W0468	1.03	.68	.86	.85	<	.01	.14	
W0469	2.283	1.095	1.688	1.661	.075	.03	.377	.301
W0471	.45	.27	.23	.42	<	.01	.05	.05
W0476	1.71	.812	1.26	1.23	<	.01	.652	.127
W0477	2.20	1.163	1.643	1.663	<	.01	.540	.190
W0480	1.98	.87	1.34	1.32	<	.10	.36	.10
W0482	2.03	1.42	1.53	2.20	<	.09	.05	.05
W0493	2.45	.43	.54	.30	<	.09	.05	.05
W0497	2.36	.98	1.62	1.65	<	.08	.43	.13
W0498	2.00	1.19	1.79	1.75	<	.12	.72	.31
W0506	2.10	1.00	1.66	1.70	<	.03	.15	.11
W0511	2.43	1.23	1.73	1.45	<	.05	.34	.34
W0514	1.50	.90	.90	1.79	<	.02	.14	.14
W0515	2.15	.96	1.55	1.53	<	.01	.49	.11
W0516	1.82	.94	1.37	1.43	<	.01	.12	.19
W0524	2.13	1.22	1.62	1.53	<	.02	.30	.32
W0526	2.16	1.09	1.40	1.46	<	.02	.17	
W0528	1.10	.70	.94	.825	<	.004	.345	.085
W0533	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.45	<	.01	.52	.16
W0538	2.00	1.11	1.53	1.70	<	.01	.58	.17
W0542	2.22	1.20	1.59	1.50	<	.11	.48	.19
W0551	2.43	1.03	1.60	1.51	<	.03	.57	.19
W0552	2.20	1.20	1.63	1.78	<	.01	.76	.42
W0999	2.08	.97	1.43	1.38	<	.00	.51	.14

All data but the HACI
Total P

cont'd

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57
TOTAL LABS USED	57	57	57	57	36	57	56	50
MEAN	1.88865	.99491	1.42212	1.41507	.20558	.52604	.19667	.25526
STD DEV	.53227	.29562	.34994	.37398	.04432	.21168	.19622	.04410
MEDIAN	2.07000	1.03000	1.54000	1.51000	.03000	.54000	.16003	.16500
	(1.54 - 2.60)	(.73 - 1.33)	(1.19 - 1.89)	(1.14 - 1.88)		(.33 - .75)	(.02 - .30)	
target values	2.0	1.03	1.56	1.54	blank	0.54	0.154	0.156

values are much closer to target values

Automated
methods (B2+E2)

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 TOT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/12.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
#0147	1.80	.66	1.30	1.30	.08	.44	.14	.14
#0238	2.12	1.19	1.77	1.74	.00	.61	.20	.21
#0472	2.23	1.03	1.59	1.25	.001	.576	.176	.172
#0463	2.16	1.02	1.62	1.23	.45	.266	.126	.130
#0482	2.09	1.42	1.53	2.20	.16	.43	.05	.05
#0493	2.19	.98	1.62	1.65	.08	.43	.13	.17
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	6
TOTAL LABS USED	6	6	6	6	4	6	5	6
MEAN	2.09833	1.08333	1.57167	1.68500	.03000	.46357	.13903	.14033
STD DEV	.15433	.19603	.15484	.29535	.06532	.14774	.04975	.05422
MEDIAN	2.14000	1.02500	1.60500	1.66000	.06000	.43300	.14000	.15500
Target value	2.0	1.03	1.56	1.54	blank	0.54	0.154	0.156

Standard deviation much smaller than non-automated methods → more precise

Automated
TP methods

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 TOT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/12.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L


SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
M0009	2.05	1.01	1.54	1.49	.00	.50	.16	.15
M0017	2.06	.83	1.06	1.017	.00	.60	.14	.15
M0023	2.15	1.063	1.66	1.517	.029	.54	.15	.12
M0026	2.00	1.05	1.49	1.51	.07	.55	.20	.25
M0042	2.00	1.02	1.55	1.54	.02	.54	.17	.16
M0072	2.15	1.42	1.68	1.55	.00	.51	.05	.01
M0127	2.02	1.97	1.47	1.43	.00	.57	.12	.14
M0148	1.99	.42	1.08	1.52	.02	.57	.18	.20
M0149	2.21	1.06	1.85	1.52	.01	.50	.03	.00
M0179	.46	.56	1.10	.70	.04	.50	.15	.16
M0198	.9	.8	.9	.9	.01	.55	.20	.13
M211	2.07	1.00	1.50	1.47	.03	.50	.20	.15
M0233	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.42	.06	.55	.17	.12
M0239	2.25	1.01	1.37	1.58	.00	.65	.20	.13
M0243	2.01	1.15	1.37	1.64	.03	.56	.13	.10
M0247	1.80	.78	1.60	.74	.00	.56	.03	.05
M0255	2.29	.25	1.67	1.60	.00	.43	.16	.17
M0266	2.1	1.1	1.63	1.60	.06	.46	.12	.15
M0305	1.90	.99	1.44	1.44	.02	.45	.12	.13
M0335	1.90	.99	1.44	1.44	.02	.55	.32	.33
M0336	2.19	.83	1.47	1.33	.00	.57	.23	.20
M0364	2.23	1.10	1.66	1.72	.00	1.07	.24	.21
M0370	1.936	1.016	1.62	1.59	.00	.58	.07	.07
M0377	.9	.77	1.71	1.13	.02	.52	.07	.03
M0380	.9	.5	1.3	1.33	.07	.52	.07	.03
M0382	1.02	.666	1.76	1.66	.06	.52	.03	.00
M0389	1.02	.83	1.16	.79	.02	.30	.07	.10
M0404	.76	.39	.94	.92	.00	.29	.09	.07
M0417	1.42	.92	1.23	1.19	.06	.42	.34	.32
M0418	1.90	1.02	1.53	1.48	<	.51	.15	.15
M0427	1.94	1.18	1.60	1.53	<	.62	.14	.17
M0428	2.12	1.18	1.68	1.76	<	.752	.213	.19
M0431	1.96	1.00	1.46	1.45	.05	.56	.21	.24
M0433	2.19	1.06	1.50	1.53	.10	.54	.13	.12
M0434	2.01	1.11	1.64	1.63	.07	.61	.21	.26
M0439	1.7	.38	1.07	.66	.1	.04	.03	.02
M0441	2.03	.97	1.47	1.48	.01	.44	.10	.17
M0447	1.87	1.11	1.30	1.63	.03	.52	.17	.16
M0449	2.19	1.04	1.58	1.58	<	.55	.26	.17
M0456	2.41	1.07	1.59	1.82	.01	.56	.19	.16
M0460	2.17	1.00	1.54	1.54	<	.52	.15	.17
M0464	.78	.33	.56	.62	.01	.23	.03	.05
M0468	1.03	.88	.86	.85	<	.54	.14	.15
M0469	2.283	1.095	1.688	1.661	.075	.603	.377	.301
M0471	.45	.27	.23	.421	<	.01	.05	.05
M0476	1.71	.612	1.25	1.23	<	.01	.127	.124
M0477	2.20	1.163	1.683	1.663	<	.590	.150	.210
M0480	1.98	.87	1.34	1.32	<	.36	.10	.10
M0489	.45	.43	.54	.30	.05	.65	.05	.05
M0498	2.39	1.19	1.73	1.75	.12	.65	.29	.31
M0506	2.10	1.10	1.66	1.45	.05	.50	.15	.17
M0511	2.43	1.23	1.78	1.79	.15	.71	.33	.34
M0514	1.50	.90	.90	1.23	.02	.30	.14	.16
M0515	2.16	.96	1.55	1.53	<	.49	.12	.11
M0516	1.82	.94	1.37	1.43	.01	.44	.12	.19
M0526	2.16	1.06	1.62	1.53	.02	.60	.30	.32
M0528	2.13	1.22	1.60	1.40	<	.58	.17	.17
M0529	1.13	.70	.94	.825	.004	.345	.1045	.0005
M0533	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.45	.01	.52	.10	.16
M0535	1.90	1.08	1.48	1.48	<	.58	.16	.17
M0536	2.20	1.11	1.58	1.70	.01	.67	.15d	.154
	2.00	1.20	1.59	1.50	.11	.48	.19	.18

non Automated
TP Method

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0542	2.22	1.05	1.60	1.51	< .03	.37	.18	.15
W0551	2.44	1.03	1.61	1.56	< .01	.56	.19	.18
W0552	2.20	1.20	1.68	1.78	< .10	.80	.44	.20
W0999	2.08	.97	1.43	1.38	.00	.51	.14	.14
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	69	69	69	69	69	69	67	69
TOTAL LABS USED	69	69	69	69	50	59	58	67
MEAN	1.79690	.93993	1.35500	1.32461	.17390	.49560	.18645	.23275
STD DEV	.57737	.29916	.39313	.39615	.72447	.21630	.13031	.56901
MEDIAN	2.00000	1.00000	1.50000	1.48000	.02750	.52000	.16000	.16000
	2.0	1.03	1.66	1.64	Blank	.54	0.154	0.156



HTSA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 TOT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/12.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

all methods except ICP

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0009	2.05	1.01	1.54	1.49	.00	.50	.16	.15
W0017	1.0	.83	1.0	1.0	.00	.54	.14	.15
W0028	2.30	1.083	1.60	1.517	.029	.55	.15	.125
W0042	2.00	1.05	1.49	1.51	.07	.04	.20	.12
W0072	2.16	1.02	1.95	1.54	.02	.23	.17	.23
W0127	2.16	1.02	1.68	1.55	.10	.23	.09	.16
W0147	.92	.42	1.30	1.30	.08	.44	.14	.01
W0148	1.83	.86	1.49	1.43	.08	.51	.12	.14
W0149	1.99	.97	1.49	1.43	.09	.57	.13	.20
W0179	.46	1.06	1.45	1.52	.02	.52	.15	.00
W0196	.4	.56	1.10	1.11	.09	.50	.20	.15
W0211	2.07	1.00	1.50	1.47	.03	.56	.13	.13
W0233	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.42	.06	.56	.03	.16
W0238	2.1	1.19	1.77	1.74	.00	.43	.16	.21
W0243	2.25	1.0	1.57	1.58	.03	.51	.17	.11
W0247	2.01	1.15	1.60	1.64	.03	.55	.20	.16
W0248	2.25	.25	1.67	1.74	.00	.50	.13	.10
W0266	2.1	1.1	1.63	1.60	.00	.46	.12	.04
W03C5	1.90	.99	1.44	1.44	.02	.46	.12	.17
W0336	1.20	.83	1.44	1.44	.02	.55	.32	.33
W0337	2.19	1.06	1.8	1.72	.00	1.07	.25	.20
W0354	2.23	1.10	1.62	1.59	.00	.51	.24	.21
W0370	2.0	1.016	1.408	1.536	.104	.52	.267	.267
W0377	.9	.77	1.71	1.13	.02	.52	.27	.01
W0380	.9	.5	1.3	1.3	.07	.3	.03	.03
W0382	1.02	.666	1.766	1.66	.16	.172	.03	.10
W0395	.16	.39	1.15	.79	.02	.30	.07	.10
W0404	.76	.34	.99	.52	.00	.29	.04	.04
W0417	1.42	.92	1.20	1.19	<	.28	.34	.32
W0427	1.94	1.18	1.60	1.53	<	.03	.14	.12
W0428	2.12	1.18	1.63	1.76	.005	.62	.14	.17
W0431	2.18	1.04	1.50	1.53	<	.752	.13	.194
W0433	2.01	1.11	1.64	1.63	.04	.54	.13	.12
W0439	1.7	.38	1.07	1.06	.07	.01	.21	.26
W0441	2.03	.97	1.47	1.48	.01	.04	.33	.05
W0447	1.67	1.11	1.30	1.63	.03	.49	.18	.17
W0448	2.19	1.04	1.58	1.58	<	.52	.17	.16
W0456	2.49	1.07	1.74	1.82	.1	.56	.26	.17
W0460	2.17	1.00	1.54	1.54	.04	.56	.19	.15
W0462	2.23	1.03	1.59	1.55	.001	.52	.15	.17
W0463	2.16	1.02	1.62	1.67	<	.520	.158	.172
W0464	.78	.33	.55	.62	<	.23	.03	.136
W0468	1.03	.68	.55	.62	<	.54	.14	.05
W0469	2.283	1.035	1.088	1.661	<	.603	.377	.15
W0471	.42	.27	1.23	1.42	<	.15	.03	.301
W0477	2.20	1.163	1.693	1.663	<	.590	.150	.210
W0480	1.98	.87	1.34	1.32	<	.36	.16	.10
W0482	2.09	1.02	1.53	2.20	.16	.20	.05	.05
W0489	.49	.43	1.54	.30	.05	.43	.13	.05
W0493	2.19	.98	1.62	1.65	.08	.50	.72	.17
W0498	2.52	2.00	1.66	1.70	.00	.50	.15	.11
W0506	2.10	1.10	1.60	1.45	<	.02	.30	.17
W0514	1.50	.90	1.90	1.23	.01	.44	.14	.14
W0516	1.82	.94	1.37	1.43	<	.53	.17	.19
W0526	2.15	1.09	1.40	1.40	<	.345	.1045	.17
W0528	1.10	.70	1.44	1.025	.004	.52	.10	.05
W0529	2.03	1.00	1.50	1.45	<	.58	.16	.17
W0533	1.90	1.08	1.43	1.48	<	.67	.153	.154
W0534	2.02	1.11	1.53	1.70	.01	.48	.19	.18
W0538	2.00	1.20	1.59	1.50	.01	.56	.17	.16
W0551	2.43	1.03	1.61	1.50	.01	.56	.17	

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0552	2.20	1.20	1.68	1.78	<	.10	.80	.42
W0999	2.08	1.97	1.43	1.38	<	.00	.51	.14
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67
TOTAL LABS USED	67	67	67	67	50	57	55	65
MEAN	1.73521	.93840	1.34813	1.33191	.16835	.40474	.17837	.22610
STD DEV	.57882	.30494	.39534	.41411	.72499	.42052	.19050	.59864
MEDIAN	2.00000	1.00000	1.50000	1.48600	.02200	.02000	.12300	.16000
target values	2.0	1.03	1.56	1.54	blank	0.54	0.154	0.156

All others

TP

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 TOT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/12.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0418	1.99							
W0429	1.96							
W0476	1.71	1.02	1.54	1.48	<	.05	.17	.10
W0497	1.96	1.81	1.45	1.23	<	.10	.21	.24
W0511	2.43	1.19	1.73	1.75	<	.12	.127	.124
W0512	2.15	1.23	1.70	1.79	<	.15	.14	.31
W0524	2.13	1.22	1.55	1.53	<	.24	.14	.36
W0542	2.22	1.05	1.60	1.51	<	.03	.16	.15
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	8	8	8	8	8	8	3	0
TOTAL LABS USED	8	8	8	8	4	8	3	6
MEAN	2.12000	1.06025	1.57500	1.53375	.15000	.57100	.21543	.22175
STD DEV	.23176	.14551	.17121	.17533	.05715	.09279	.07774	.09311
MEDIAN	2.14500	1.03500	1.57500	1.52000	.13500	.56500	.19000	.21000
target value	2.0	1.08	1.56	1.54	blank	0.54	0.154	0.156

problems appear with ICP at the lower concentrations

in blank, sample 7 & 8

values given by ICP methods for these samples were much higher than anticipated

ICP

- Tot P

MISA INTEK LAB STUDY NO.04 TOT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/11.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS->TP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0243	2.01	1.15	1.57	1.66	.03	.65	.20	.13
W0404	.76	.34	.50	1.06	.28	.42	.34	.32
W0428	2.12	1.18	1.68	1.76	<	.752	.213	.199
W0433	2.01	1.11	1.64	1.63	.005	.61	.21	.26
W0441	2.03	.97	1.47	1.48	.01	.49	.16	.17
W0447	1.87	1.11	1.30	1.03	.03	.52	.17	.16
W0456	2.48	1.07	1.79	1.83	.01	.56	.14	.16
W0464	.78	.34	.26	.62	.01	.23	.03	.05
W0471	.47	.27	.23	.42	<	.16	.45	.05
W0477	2.20	1.03	1.63	1.63	.110	.590	.150	.210
W0506	2.10	1.10	1.60	1.45	<	.50	.12	.17
W0514	1.50	.90	.90	1.23	.02	.30	.14	.14
W0529	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.45	.01	.52	.10	.15
W0533	1.90	1.06	1.48	1.48	<	.53	.16	.17
W0549	2.03	.97	1.43	1.38	.00	.51	.14	.14
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
TOTAL LABS USED	15	15	15	15	9	15	15	15
MEAN	1.75267	.91620	1.28887	1.38087	.05111	.49280	.10553	.16793
STD DEV	.60297	.32192	.49415	.40219	.06824	.15841	.07004	.06750
MEDIAN	2.01000	1.07000	1.48000	1.48000	.02000	.52000	.16000	.16000
target value	2.0	1.03	1.56	1.54	blank	0.54	0.154	0.156

essentially no difference between stannew chloride method + Cl,D,EI methods

SnCl2

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 FOR P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/03/12.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
W0072	2.16	1.02	1.55	1.54	<	.02	.54	.17
W0148	1.59	.97	1.49	1.43	<	.00	.51	.12
W0417	1.42	.92	1.20	1.19	<	.00	.51	.15
W0427	1.94	1.18	1.60	1.53	<	.03	.62	.17
W0431	2.18	1.04	1.50	1.53	<	.04	.54	.17
W0439	1.7	.38	1.07	.66	>1.04	.04	.33	.22
W0448	2.19	.04	1.51	1.26	<	.01	.26	.11
W0460	2.17	1.00	1.54	1.54	<	.01	.52	.15
W0466	1.03	.68	.85	.85	<	.001	.54	.17
W0469	2.283	1.095	1.638	1.661	<	.075	.003	.377
W0480	1.94	.47	1.34	1.32	<	.16	.55	.10
W0489	.45	.43	.54	.30	<	.05	.65	.05
W0498	2.12	2.00	1.66	1.70	<	.00	1.40	.11
W0516	1.82	.37	1.43	1.43	<	.01	.12	.19
W0526	2.16	1.09	1.40	1.40	<	.02	.28	.17
W0528	1.10	1.70	.94	.825	<	.004	.345	.1043
W0535	2.20	1.11	1.53	1.70	<	.01	.67	.153
W0538	2.00	1.20	1.59	1.50	<	.11	.48	.19
W0551	2.43	1.03	1.61	1.56	<	.01	.50	.15
W0552	2.20	1.20	1.63	1.70	<	.10	.80	.20
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
TOTAL LABS USED	20	20	20	20	11	20	14	19
MEAN	1.69865	.99475	1.38940	1.35130	.49355	.53640	.24133	.40456
STD DEV	.52417	.33048	.31355	.39264	1.52813	.28232	.20853	1.10063
MEDIAN	2.04000	1.02500	1.52000	1.51500	.03000	.54000	.15e03	.15000
target value	2.0	1.03	1.56	1.54	blank	0.54	0.154	0.156

Ascorbic
Methods

MOE/CAEAL Study - DRAFT

Appendix 9: CORRESPONDENCE WITH LABORATORIES

Wastewater Technology Centre
Canada Centre for Inland Waters
P.O. Box 5050, 867 Lakeshore Rd.
Burlington, Ontario L7R 4A6

November 19, 1990

LAB CODE: 1*

2*

3*
4*
5*

Dear 6*:

Enclosed please find samples for determination of pH and Total Phosphorus as part of an interlaboratory comparison study carried out on behalf of the Ontario Ministry of the Environment. The study is administered through the Canadian Association for Environmental Analytical Laboratories (CAEAL) with technical assistance from the Wastewater Technology Centre (WTC) and the National Water Research Institute (NWRI).

Each bottle is labelled with a sample number which includes the parameter code PH or TP followed by a number ranging from 1 to 8. Please refer to this sample number when recording your results.

On each bottle label you will also find a bottle number which may range from 1 to 200. This indicates the order in which the bottles were filled. You do not need to refer to this number when recording your results.

The bottles contain the parameters indicated on the label in the following range of concentrations:

TP: 0-2 mg/L Total Phosphorus
PH: 5-9 pH units

Your results should be recorded on the attached sheets. Please include a brief description of the methods used including the date of analysis.

continued .../2



Environment
Canada

Environnement
Canada

Made from recovered materials



Fait de papiers récupérés

Canada

November 19, 1990

For the purposes of this study, results should be reported to the following number of decimal places:

Phosphorus:

two, for example; 1.37 mg/L

pH:

two, for example; 7.35 units (If your instruments record three decimal places, please feel free to report them)

Your laboratory has been assigned a unique code number to ensure the confidentiality of your results. This code will be used in all future correspondence with your laboratory and in the subsequent reports.

Your results should be faxed or mailed to the address indicated on the results sheets by Thursday, January 31, 1991. A summary of the results from all participating labs, identified only by their code numbers, will be mailed to you shortly afterwards. At this time you will have an opportunity to check any data entry errors that may have occurred at our end. We regret that we will not be able to change the results submitted to us from your laboratory at this time. A final report of the entire interlaboratory comparison will be mailed to you in late April, 1991.

Should you require any assistance please contact Dr. Peter Child at (416)336-6428 or Mr. Jim Fraser at (416)336-4719.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Child

Telephone: (416)336-6428

Fax: (416)336-4765



Environment
Canada

Environnement
Canada

Made from recovered materials



Think Recycling!

Printed on recycled paper

Fait de papiers récupérés

Canada

RESULTS REPORT FORM**TOTAL PHOSPHORUS:****Results:**

Units	Sample Number							
	TP1	TP2	TP3	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP7	TP8
mg/L								

Date Analysis Completed:**Methods:****Please provide a short description of the methods used.****pH:****Results:**

Units	Sample Number							
	PH1	PH2	PH3	PH4	PH5	PH6	PH7	PH8
mg/L								

Date Analysis Completed:**Methods:****Please provide a short description of the methods used.**

Please return this form before Thursday, January 31, 1991 to Dr. Peter Child by Fax at (416) 336-4765 or by mail to: Wastewater Technology Centre, 867 Lakeshore Road, Burlington, Ontario, L7R 4A6

Environment
CanadaEnvironnement
Canada

Made from recovered materials



Think Recycling!

Fail de papiers récupérés

Canada



Environment
Canada

Environnement
Canada

Conservation and
Protection

Conservation et
Protection

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

Wastewater Technology Centre
867 Lakeshore Road,
Burlington, Ontario
L7R 4A6


December 18, 1990

Dear Round Robin Participant:

This note is to remind you that the deadline for submission of results for the Suspended Solids and BOD portion of the MOE/CAEAL interlaboratory study is Friday, December 21. We cannot guarantee that results received after this point will be included in the final report.

We look forward to your continued participation and wish you a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year.

Yours sincerely,



Peter Child, Ph.D.

Think recycling



Pensez à recycler

Canada

Made from recovered materials

Fait de papiers récupérés



Environment
Canada

Environnement
Canada

Conservation and
Protection

Conservation et
Protection

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

Wastewater Technology Centre
867 Lakeshore Road,
Burlington, Ontario
L7R 4A6


December 29, 1990

Dear Round Robin Participant:


Attached please find a summary of results for the BOD and Suspended Solids phase of the MOE/CAEAL Interlaboratory Study. Please check that your results have been correctly entered into the table. If you find any transcription errors, please contact the undersigned by phone (416-336-6482) or FAX (416-336-4765) as soon as possible. We expect that the final report will be available in April.

Thank you for your cooperation and we look forward to your participation in the next phase of the study.

Yours sincerely,


Peter Child, Ph.D.

Think recycling



Pensez à recycler

Canada

Made from recovered materials

Fait de papiers récupérés

DATA SUMMARY
 MISA INTER LAB STUDY 01
 PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/01/03.
 PARAMETER: SUSPENDED SOLIDS

SOLIDS

MG/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	SS1	SS2	SS3	SS4	SS5	SB1	SB2	SB3	SB4
W0009	16.	32.	29.	19.	2.0	45.	40.	58.	48.
W0012	12.6	10.9	28.	18.6	2.0	45.	36.	44.4	58.
W0013	20.	13.0	34.	20.	1.0	48.	42.	62.	50.
W0014	23.	11.1	18.	21.	0.0	43.	36.	55.	43.
W0147	20.	11.1	31.	22.	0.0	47.	36.	60.	47.
W0148	23.	12.2	33.	21.	0.0	45.	40.	44.	47.
W0149	16.5	9.9	14.	36.5	2.5	46.	41.	60.	44.
W0198	16.	20.	29.	14.	0.0	43.	35.	57.	44.
W0211	20.	11.1	30.	20.	0.0	42.	36.	57.	44.
W0238	24.	12.	32.	24.	0.0	40.	42.	50.	40.
W0239	16.	11.7	31.5	24.	0.0	40.	30.	50.	40.
W0243	20.	14.	25.	24.	0.0	40.	41.	54.	40.
W0247	23.	10.	31.	24.	0.0	40.	40.	54.	40.
W0255	21.	14.	34.	24.	0.0	42.	40.	54.	40.
W0305	21.	12.	32.	24.	0.0	42.	38.	54.	40.
W0335	16.2	200.	38.8	50.	2.00	44.	50.8	64.8	54.
W0337	20.	100.	28.	19.	1.0	43.	36.	60.	56.
W0366	20.	42.	56.	46.	1.0	43.	38.	62.	56.
W0370	20.	42.	56.	46.	1.0	43.	38.	62.	56.
W0380	18.75	18.8	28.8	18.4	-1.4	46.	33.6	38.4	50.4
W0419	23.	18.	22.	24.	0.0	46.	40.	50.	42.
W0419	21.	12.	33.	23.	0.0	46.	41.	73.	54.
W0428	17.	9.	10.	28.	0.0	44.	34.	52.	41.
W0429	15.	6.	23.	20.	0.0	45.	36.	55.	43.
W0430	20.	11.	32.	22.	0.0	47.	40.	54.	57.
W0431	18.	12.	28.	19.	0.0	46.	36.	50.	44.
W0433	21.	13.	28.	24.	0.0	46.	38.	50.	44.
W0441	18.	12.	28.	24.	0.0	46.	39.	50.	44.
W0448	15.	10.	34.	26.	0.0	46.	39.	50.	44.
W0456	15.	10.	34.	26.	0.0	46.	39.	50.	44.
W0460	20.	10.	34.	26.	0.0	46.	39.	50.	44.
W0462	20.	46.	40.	20.	0.0	46.	39.	50.	44.
W0463	20.	42.	50.	20.	0.0	46.	39.	50.	44.
W0464	14.	10.	28.	16.	0.0	47.	39.	50.	44.
W0471	21.79	13.16	35.56	45	< 1.1	47.	28	25	64
W0476	20.	10.	28.	26.	0.0	40.	36.	58.	47.
W0477	29.	12.	30.	20.	0.0	48.	41.	61.	47.
W0480	20.	12.	29.	20.	0.0	47.	40.	57.	47.
W0486	20.	11.	34.	20.	0.0	46.	41.	63.	45.
W0489	18.6	11.2	28.6	19.8	< 1.0	46.	37.2	56.8	45.4
W0493	18.	9.	27.	22.	0.0	44.	39.	61.	48.
W0506	20.	11.	30.	19.	0.0	47.	39.	60.	46.
W0511	21.	12.	32.	20.	0.0	48.	40.	62.	46.
W0514	21.	13.	32.	22.	0.0	48.	62.	61.	46.
W0516	21.	9.	27.	18.	0.0	46.	38.	59.	47.
W0524	20.	14.3	30.5	13.1	2.0	45.	35.	75.	60.
W0526	16.4	12.9	28.4	23.2	0.0	45.	34.8	60.8	48.
W0528	20.	12.	28.	18.	< 2.0	45.	37.	58.	45.
W0529	23.	13.	30.	21.	0.0	50.	36.	66.	58.
W0533	20.	12.	29.	16.	0.0	49.	38.	66.	58.
W0535	20.6	12.	33.6	20.4	13.7	45.	39.	66.6	58.8
W0538	20.	14.3	30.5	17.	< 1.0	48.	39.	55.	61.
W0552	20.	12.	29.	20.	< 1.0	51.	36.	51.	42.
W0553	18.	10.	39.	20.	0.0	46.	36.	51.	42.
W0999	19.2	8.4	27.4	16.	0.0	36.	38.5	50.6	44.5

TOTAL LABS REPORTING	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67
TOTAL LABS USED	67	67	67	67	47	67	67	67	67
MEAN	19.65507	11.91955	29.65463	20.37985	.95979	45.22806	39.83209	59.11239	46.30955
STD DEV	5.10971	5.60136	5.23438	5.34174	2.70465	5.12772	7.76556	8.42313	5.71261
MEDIAN	20.00000	11.00000	30.00000	20.00000	.00000	46.00000	39.00000	59.00000	46.00000

DATA SUMMARY

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.02 BOD
PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/01/03.

PARAMETER: BIOCHEMICAL DEMAND

MG/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	BOD1	BOD2	BOD3	BOD4	SB1	SB2	SB3	SB4
W0XXX	6.	4.	13.	7.	19.	17.	24.	22.
W0042	10.	5.	16.	8.	50.	37.	56.	50.
W0072	6.	3.	14.	6.	25.	19.	27.	24.
W0147	5.	2.	14.	6.	23.	20.	27.	24.
W0148	6.	4.	13.	7.	19.	17.	24.	22.
W0149	6.	3.	11.	5.	25.	16.	27.	25.
W0211	10.	7.	20.	10.	39.	29.	41.	37.
W0238	10.	7.	18.	11.	30.	23.	31.	31.
W0239	12.	8.	24.	11.	42.	31.	53.	45.
W0243	11.	12.	20.	11.	40.	30.	36.	40.
W0248	9.	6.	17.	11.	27.	19.	28.	30.
W0255	250.	40.	210.	210.	10.	60.		
W0335	8.	3.	8.	4.	35.	25.		40.
W0337	9.	5.	19.	9.	26.	22.	31.	25.
W0364	10.	5.	18.	9.	32.	23.	26.	32.
W0404	8.	6.	12.	9.	23.	40.	48.	52.
W0417	9.	6.	20.	8.	33.	27.	41.	30.
W0418	7.	<	11.	7.	27.	15.	24.	25.
W0427	7.2	< 3.4	12.0	7.2	24.6	18.9	31.5	22.8
W0428	4.	< 2.	14.	5.	32.	23.	35.	31.
W0429	12.	11.	25.	18.	36.	34.	57.	42.
W0430	6.7	5.4	17.5	10.	31.2	26.	37.	31.8
W0431	10.	6.	20.	8.	35.	26.	40.	34.
W0433	7.	5.	16.	8.	35.	24.	37.	37.
W0441	9.	5.	18.	9.	30.	20.	34.	29.
W0456	5.	5.	16.	1.	30.	16.	30.	30.
W0460	8.	5.	16.	8.	26.	19.	29.	27.
W0462	10.	6.	20.	10.	30.	25.	40.	32.
W0463	10.	5.	22.	10.	37.	29.	44.	37.
W0464	7.	3.	13.	6.	25.	21.	32.	27.
W0468	8.32	5.32	21.64	12.21	21.4	55.22	23.3	28.82
W0471	6.12	3.38	8.67	6.95	17.49	7.57	20.28	17.67
W0476	10.	6.	23.	11.	38.	28.	44.	36.
W0477	9.	6.	17.	9.	37.	33.	37.	32.
W0480	11.	6.	20.	11.	29.	24.	36.	30.
W0482	8.	5.	17.	10.	29.	26.	34.	30.
W0485	9.	6.	17.	12.	29.	39.	32.	47.
W0489	16.	5.8	15.7	10.7	57.6	48.5	74.	100.
W0493	10.	5.	17.	10.	31.	26.	35.	32.
W0497	10.	5.	19.	11.	38.	32.	42.	37.
W0506	10.	5.	19.	11.	30.	25.	35.	31.
W0511	10.	4.	14.	8.	33.	23.	34.	30.
W0514	5.	2.	16.	7.	27.	21.	33.	62.
W0516	10.	5.	19.	10.	36.	25.	22.	30.
W0524	12.	7.	20.	11.	36.	28.	42.	35.
W0526	9.5	6.9	15.6	10.2	34.5	30.5	33.	32.5
W0528	2.	2.	8.	9.	26.	21.	33.	26.
W0529	18.	6.8	24.8	22.5	49.5	46.5	25.5	39.
W0533	8.	5.	14.	9.	25.	25.	36.	28.
W0535	16.	7.	26.	6.	26.	24.	31.	30.
W0538	11.	6.	22.	11.	38.	27.	42.	34.
W0542	11.	6.	21.	11.	35.	28.	42.	36.
W0551	11.	7.	25.	12.	37.	29.	48.	32.
W0552	10.	6.	20.	11.	35.	27.	41.	39.
W0999	11.3	6.8	20.3	10.9	36.	28.	42.	40.
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55
TOTAL LABS USED	55	53	55	55	55	55	54	54
MEAN	13.51164	5.99623	20.69473	12.95745	31.42345	26.89436	35.36259	34.25167
STD DEV	32.60116	5.14515	26.39365	27.24394	8.17163	9.49721	10.92510	12.15359
MEDIAN	9.50000	5.32000	17.50000	10.00000	31.00000	25.00000	34.50000	31.90000

Wastewater Technology Centre
Canada Centre for Inland Waters
P.O. Box 5050, 867 Lakeshore Rd.
Burlington, Ontario L7R 4A6

January 14, 1991

LAB CODE:

2075-3200-68800

Dear ..

Enclosed please find samples for determination of pH and Total Phosphorus as part of an interlaboratory comparison study carried out on behalf of the Ontario Ministry of the Environment. The study is administered through the Canadian Association for Environmental Analytical Laboratories (CAEAL) with technical assistance from the Wastewater Technology Centre (WTC) and the National Water Research Institute (NWRI).

Each bottle is labelled with a sample number which includes the parameter code PH or TP followed by a number ranging from 1 to 8. Please refer to this sample number when recording your results.

On each bottle label you will also find a bottle number which may range from 1 to 200. This indicates the order in which the bottles were filled. You do not need to refer to this number when recording your results.

The bottles contain the parameters indicated on the label in the following range of concentrations:

TP: 0-2 mg/L Total Phosphorus
PH: 5-9 pH units

Your results should be recorded on the attached sheets. Please include a brief description of the methods used including the date of analysis.

continued .../2



Environment
Canada

Environnement
Canada

Made from recovered materials



Fait de papiers récupérés
Papier à recycler

Canada

For the purposes of this study, results should be reported to the following number of decimal places:

Phosphorus:

two, for example; 1.37 mg/L

pH:

two, for example; 7.35 units (If your instruments record three decimal places, please feel free to report them)

Your laboratory has been assigned a unique code number to ensure the confidentiality of your results. This code will be used in all future correspondence with your laboratory and in the subsequent reports.

Your results should be faxed or mailed to the address indicated on the results sheets by Thursday, January 31, 1991. A summary of the results from all participating labs, identified only by their code numbers, will be mailed to you shortly afterwards. At this time you will have an opportunity to check any data entry errors that may have occurred at our end. We regret that we will not be able to change the results submitted to us from your laboratory at this time. A final report of the entire interlaboratory comparison will be mailed to you in late April, 1991.

Should you require any assistance please contact Dr. Peter Child at (416)336-6428 or Mr. Jim Fraser at (416)336-4719.

Yours sincerely,



Peter Child

Telephone: (416)336-6428

Fax: (416)336-4765



Environment
Canada

Environnement
Canada

Made from recovered materials



Fait de papiers récupérés

Canada

RESULTS REPORT FORM**TOTAL PHOSPHORUS:****Results:**

Units	Sample Number							
	TP1	TP2	TP3	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP7	TP8
mg/L								

Date Analysis Completed:**Methods:**

Please provide a short description of the methods used.

pH:**Results:**

Units	Sample Number							
	PH1	PH2	PH3	PH4	PH5	PH6	PH7	PH8
mg/L								

Date Analysis Completed:**Methods:**

Please provide a short description of the methods used.

Please return this form before Thursday, January 31, 1991 to Dr. Peter Child by Fax at (416) 336-4765 or by mail to: Wastewater Technology Centre, 867 Lakeshore Road, Burlington, Ontario, L7R 4A6

Environment
CanadaEnvironnement
Canada

Made from recovered materials



Fait de papiers récupérés

Canada



Environment
Canada

Environnement
Canada

Conservation and
Protection

Conservation et
Protection

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

Wastewater Technology Centre
867 Lakeshore Road,
Burlington, Ontario
L7R 4A6


February 8, 1991

Dear Round Robin Participant:

Attached please find a summary of results for the pH and Total Phosphorus phase of the MOE/CAEAL Interlaboratory Study. Please check that your results have been correctly entered into the table. If you find any transcription errors, please contact the undersigned by phone (416-336-6428) or FAX (416-336-4765). If you have not yet sent in your results, please do so as soon as possible. Our final cutoff date for inclusion of data into the final report will be February 28, 1991. We expect that the report will be available in April.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Yours sincerely,



Peter Child, Ph.D.

Think recycling



Pensez à recycler

Canada

Made from recovered materials

Fait de papiers récupérés

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 TOT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/12.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP

MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	TP1	TP2	TP3	TP 4	TP 5	TP 6	TP 7	TP 8
W0009	2.05	1.01	1.54	1.49	.00	.50	.16	.15
W0017	1.0	.83	1.0	1.0	.0	.60	.14	.15
W0023	2.30	1.083	1.66	1.517	.830	.54	.15	.125
W0028	2.15	1.05	1.55	1.55	.025	.55	.15	.12
W0042	2.00	1.05	1.49	1.51	.07	.64	.20	.25
W0072	2.16	1.02	1.55	1.54	< .02	.54	.17	.16
W0127	.92	.42	.68	.55	.10	.25	.05	< .01
W0147	1.80	.86	1.30	1.30	.08	.44	.14	.14
W0148	1.99	.97	1.49	1.43	.00	.51	.12	.14
W0149	2.21	1.06	1.85	1.52	.02	.57	.18	.20
W0179	.46	.56	.10	.11	.09	.02	.03	.00
W0198	.9	.8	.9	.9	.01	.5	.15	.16
W0211	2.07	1.00	1.50	1.47	.03	.50	.15	.13
W0233	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.42	.06	.56	.20	.16
W0239	2.25	1.0	1.5	1.58	.0	.53	.17	.12
W0243	2.01	1.15	1.57	1.64	.03	.65	.20	.16
W0247	1.80	.78	1.60	.70	.00	.00	.13	.10
W0248	2.25	.25	.67	.74	.00	.36	.03	.04
W0255	2.1	1.1	1.63	1.60	.06	.43	.16	.17
W0266	1.90	.99	1.44	1.44	.02	.46	.12	.15
W0305	1.90	.99	1.44	1.44	.02	.46	.12	.15
W0335	1.20	.83	.97	1.3	.0	.55	.32	.33
W0336	2.15	1.66	1.8	1.72	.50	1.07	.25	.20
W0337	2.23	1.10	1.62	1.59	.0	.58	.24	.21
W0364	1.936	1.016	1.408	1.536	.104	.327	.269	.267
W0370	2.0	.77	1.71	1.13	.02	.52	.07	.01
W0377	.9	.5	1.3	1.3	.07	.3	.2	.03
W0380	.9	.666	.766	.966	.16	.172	.08	.108
W0382	1.02	.83	1.16	.79	.02	.30	.07	.10
W0389	.16	.39	.99	.52	.00	.29	.09	.09
W0404	.76	.34	.50	1.06	.28	.42	.34	.32
W0417	1.42	.92	1.20	1.19	< .06	.51	.15	.15
W0418	1.99	1.02	1.54	1.48	< .05	.54	.17	.18
W0427	1.94	1.18	1.60	1.53	.03	.62	.14	.17
W0428	2.12	1.18	1.68	1.76	< .005	.752	.213	.199
W0429	1.96	1.00	1.46	1.45	.10	.56	.21	.24
W0431	2.18	1.04	1.50	1.53	.04	.54	.13	.12
W0433	2.01	1.11	1.64	1.63	.07	.61	.21	.26
W0439	1.7	.38	1.07	.66	5.1	.04	.83	4.95
W0441	2.03	.97	1.47	1.48	.01	.49	.18	.17
W0447	1.87	1.11	1.30	1.63	.03	.52	.17	.16
W0448	2.19	1.04	1.58	1.58	< .1	.56	.26	.17
W0456	2.48	1.07	1.79	1.82	< .01	.56	.19	.16
W0460	2.17	1.00	1.54	1.54	< .01	.52	.15	.17
W0462	2.23	1.03	1.59	1.55	< .001	.576	.158	.172
W0463	2.16	1.02	1.62	1.67	< .05	.526	.156	.136
W0464	.78	.33	.56	.62	< .01	.23	.03	.05
W0468	1.03	.68	.86	.85	< .001	.54	.14	.15
W0469	2.283	1.095	1.688	1.661	.075	.603	.377	.301
W0471	.45	.27	.23	.42	< .01	.16	.05	.05

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.04 TOT P

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/12.

PARAMETER: TOTAL PHOSPHORUS-STP MG P/L

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	TP1	TP 2	TP3	TP 4	TP 5	TP 6	TP 7	TP 8
W0476	1.71	.812	1.26	1.23	< .01	.452	.127	.124
W0477	2.20	1.163	1.683	1.663	< .010	.590	.150	.210
W0480	1.98	.87	1.34	1.32	< .10	.36	< .10	.10
W0482	2.09	1.42	1.53	2.20	.16	.20	.05	.05
W0489	.45	.43	.54	.30	.05	.05	.05	.05
W0493	2.19	.98	1.62	1.65	.08	.43	.13	.17
W0497	2.36	1.19	1.79	1.75	.12	.65	.29	.31
W0498	2.52	2.00	1.66	1.70	< .00	1.46	.72	.11
W0506	2.10	1.10	1.60	1.45	< .05	.50	.15	.17
W0511	2.43	1.23	1.78	1.79	.15	.71	.33	.34
W0514	1.50	.90	.90	1.23	.02	.30	.14	.14
W0515	2.16	.96	1.55	1.53	< .1	.49	.14	.11
W0516	1.82	.94	1.37	1.43	< .01	.44	.12	.19
W0524	2.13	1.22	1.62	1.53	.23	.60	.30	.32
W0526	2.16	1.09	1.40	1.40	< .02	.58	.17	.17
W0528	1.10	.70	.94	.825	.004	.345	.1045	.0885
W0529	2.00	1.00	1.50	1.45	.01	.52	.16	.16
W0533	1.90	1.08	1.48	1.48	< .05	.58	.16	.17
W0535	2.20	1.11	1.58	1.70	.01	.67	.158	.154
W0538	2.00	1.20	1.59	1.50	.11	.48	.19	.18
W0542	2.22	1.05	1.60	1.51	< .03	.57	.16	.15
W0551	2.48	1.03	1.61	1.56	.01	.56	.19	.16
W0552	2.20	1.20	1.68	1.78	< .10	.80	.42	.20
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73
TOTAL LABS USED	73	73	73	73	52	73	72	71
MEAN	1.81327	.94788	1.36610	1.34778	.17342	.49223	.18295	.22711
STD DEV	.56677	.29658	.38594	.40263	.70997	.21400	.12784	.57312
MEDIAN	2.00000	1.00000	1.50000	1.48000	.03000	.52000	.15800	.16000

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.03 PH

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/12.

PARAMETER: PH

PH UNITS

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	PH1	PH2	PH3	PH 4	PH 5	PH 6	PH 7	PH 8
W0009	7.46	5.15	8.46	7.80	8.95	9.00	8.09	6.45
W0010	7.25	5.0	8.15	7.55	8.65	9.15	7.9	6.3
W0017	7.51	5.00	7.80	7.50	8.48	8.94	7.62	6.28
W0021	7.4	5.1	8.4	8.0	9.0	9.5	8.1	6.4
W0023	7.30	5.05	8.35	7.45	8.95	9.07	7.80	6.28
W0028	7.45	5.9	8.5	7.61	8.95	9.45	8.25	6.6
W0042	7.30	5.00	8.10	7.70	8.72	9.50	8.00	6.40
W0072	7.45	5.00	8.30	7.90	8.90	9.40	8.25	6.45
W0127	7.37	5.00	7.68	7.48	8.84	9.02	8.04	6.38
W0147	7.46	4.95	8.58	8.09	9.15	9.75	8.17	6.41
W0148	7.36	4.98	8.44	7.61	9.07	9.42	7.87	6.39
W0149	7.47	5.04	8.51	7.50	8.99	9.37	8.40	6.48
W0179	7.5	5.1	8.44	7.4	8.9	9.3	8.0	6.5
W0190	7.43	5.10	8.45	7.68	8.97	9.20	8.07	6.45
W0198	7.64	5.25	8.57	7.89	9.11	9.70	8.25	6.57
W0211	7.47	5.08	7.92	7.57	8.64	9.02	7.80	6.44
W0233	7.4	5.0	7.9	7.3	8.4	8.9	7.2	6.2
W0239	6.73	4.87	8.5	7.69	8.71	9.18	7.91	5.84
W0247	7.50	5.15	8.40	8.00	9.00	9.00	8.20	6.60
W0248	7.75	5.25	8.20	7.10	9.00	9.20	7.90	6.60
W0255	7.45	5.00	8.07	7.45	8.73	8.80	7.77	6.39
W0266	7.36	5.15	8.02	7.49	8.46	8.86	8.06	6.44
W0305	7.36	5.15	8.02	7.49	8.46	8.86	8.06	6.44
W0335	7.5	5.1	7.8	7.4	8.6	8.9	7.6	6.5
W0336	7.67	5.17	8.52	7.65	8.98	9.54	7.97	6.46
W0337	7.42	5.06	8.13	7.68	8.79	9.30	8.01	6.40
W0364	7.50	5.1	8.1	7.7	8.8	7.9	7.9	6.4
W0370	7.4	5.0	8.6	7.5	8.8	9.0	7.8	6.4
W0377	7.4	5.2	8.4	8.0	8.9	9.2	7.7	6.4
W0380	7.52	5.28	8.31	8.18	9.08	9.42	8.35	6.55
W0382	7.4	5.0	8.2	7.7	8.7	8.8	8.2	6.6
W0389	7.45	5.08	8.37	7.80	9.00	9.58	8.12	6.45
W0404	7.4	5.0	8.2	7.1	9.0	9.0	7.6	6.3
W0417	7.40	4.90	8.14	7.52	8.80	9.16	7.57	6.33
W0418	7.47	5.05	8.54	8.00	9.09	9.60	8.29	6.39
W0427	7.29	4.99	8.03	7.57	8.70	9.24	7.72	6.38
W0428	7.5	5.1	8.5	8.1	9.1	9.6	8.2	6.5
W0429	7.44	4.99	8.24	7.45	8.91	8.26	8.06	6.39
W0431	7.24	5.04	8.09	7.47	8.68	8.73	7.86	6.33
W0433	7.44	5.01	8.06	7.70	8.76	8.89	7.89	6.39
W0439	7.17	5.12	8.21	7.90	8.74	9.29	8.21	6.59
W0441	7.56	5.03	8.82	8.32	9.50	10.17	8.36	6.41
W0447	7.5	5.0	8.2	7.8	8.8	9.3	7.7	6.4
W0448	7.44	5.04	8.38	7.60	8.96	9.19	8.24	6.45
W0456	7.63	5.12	8.28	7.85	9.07	9.46	8.00	6.62
W0460	7.46	5.01	8.36	8.02	9.00	9.52	8.05	6.41
W0462	7.48	5.02	8.50	8.01	9.07	9.62	8.15	6.44
W0463	7.43	5.05	8.48	8.06	9.06	9.52	8.27	6.47
W0464	7.4	5.0	8.3	8.0	9.0	9.4	8.1	6.5

MISA INTER LAB STUDY NO.03 PH

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 91/02/12.

PARAMETER: PH

PH UNITS

SAMPLE RESULTS

	PH 1	PH 2	PH 3	PH 4	PH 5	PH 6	PH 7	PH 8
LAB								
W0468	7.54	5.06	8.57	8.28	9.12	9.00	8.35	6.44
W0469	7.474	5.067	8.505	8.088	9.048	9.401	8.246	6.420
W0471	7.26	5.60	7.77	7.35	8.25	8.60	7.44	6.55
W0476	7.45	5.06	8.26	7.92	8.93	9.07	8.10	6.45
W0477	7.45	5.01	8.21	8.00	8.9	9.3	8.13	6.42
W0480	7.42	5.04	8.36	7.95	8.89	9.41	8.13	6.42
W0482	7.42	5.08	8.09	7.56	8.69	8.78	7.99	6.43
W0489	7.43	5.03	8.28	7.69	8.80	9.41	8.16	6.48
W0493	7.47	5.03	8.48	8.02	9.04	9.56	8.15	6.43
W0497	7.43	5.05	8.43	7.63	8.96	9.26	8.16	6.44
W0498	7.37	4.97	7.80	7.30	8.61	8.95	7.54	6.35
W0506	7.60	5.40	8.25	8.00	8.90	9.00	8.40	6.80
W0511	7.50	5.15	8.25	7.75	8.85	9.20	7.75	6.45
W0514	7.42	5.06	8.35	7.74	9.01	9.20	8.28	6.40
W0515	7.54	4.94	8.16	7.24	8.81	9.13	7.48	6.34
W0516	7.48	5.10	8.42	8.05	8.93	9.01	8.38	6.44
W0524	7.46	5.01	7.95	7.40	8.61	8.87	7.90	6.38
W0526	7.42	5.04	8.37	7.91	8.91	9.08	8.23	6.42
W0528	7.47	5.07	8.45	7.98	9.05	9.60	8.05	6.50
W0529	7.46	5.07	8.47	8.02	9.01	9.57	8.21	6.46
W0533	7.31	5.49	7.65	7.11	8.25	8.46	7.40	6.45
W0535	7.39	5.00	8.30	7.93	8.88	9.38	8.09	6.37
W0538	7.38	5.01	7.86	7.27	8.55	8.80	7.84	6.27
W0542	7.458	5.051	8.431	8.154	9.004	9.420	8.286	6.417
W0551	7.47	5.05	8.47	7.62	9.03	9.28	8.17	6.44
W0552	7.50	4.98	8.40	7.86	9.06	9.63	8.21	6.42
TOTAL LABS REPORTING	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
TOTAL LABS USED	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
MEAN	7.43269	5.08197	8.26741	7.72203	8.86683	9.19401	8.00936	6.42849
STD DEV	.12457	.14974	.24209	.28892	.21630	.35549	.26235	.11434
MEDIAN	7.45000	5.05000	8.30000	7.70000	8.91000	9.20000	8.06000	6.43000