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#### Abstract

The applicability of higher order neural networks to the classification of low resolution imagery is investigated. A novel boundary detection and encoding methodology is developed in order to significantly reduce the large number of third order interconnection weights which must be found during the training stage of the neural network. The higher order neural classifier can be trained by presenting only one sample image per class and so enables rapid network learning with a minimal requirement for training data. An extensive, MatLab compatible toolbox developed specifically to implement this approach is described and documented along with the algorithms employed in the image boundary detection and encoding process.


#### Abstract

Resumé

L'applicabilité des réseaux neurals d'ordre élévé à la classification des images à faible résolution est étudié. Une nouvelle méthodologie de détection des conditions limites et d'encodage est proposée pour réduire substanciellement le grand nombre de poids d'interconnection de troisième ordre que l'on détermine pendant le stage d'apprentissage d'un réseau nouveau. Le classificateur neural d'ordre élevé peut apprendre en le présentant un seul échantillon d'image et permet ainsi un apprentissage accéléré du réseau avec un minimum de données. Un large utilitaire, compatible à MatLab, a été développé pour l'éxecution de cette approche et est decrite et documentée avec les algorithmes employés pour la détection des conditions limites et les procédures d'encodage.


## Executive Summary

This report summarizes research conducted at the Communications Research Center from 1993 to 1995 concerning the applicability of higher order neural networks to the classification of low resolution imagery. In order to significantly reduce the large number of third order interconnection weights which must be found during the training stage of the neural network, a novel boundary detection and encoding methodology is developed. The higher order neural classifier can be trained by presenting only one sample image per class and so enables rapid network learning with a minimal requirement for training data. An extensive, MatLab compatible toolbox developed specifically to implement this approach is described and documented along with the algorithms employed in the image boundary detection and encoding process.
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## 1. Introduction

In the preponderance of environments, both military and civilian, in which some form of imaging technology is used to provide intelligence through automated target and scene classification, the observer has little if any control over the degree of image variability or degradation arising from various types of geometric transformations (scaling, object position, viewing angle) or from the presence of noise and occultation in the imaging process. The ability, therefore, to recognize and classify an object independently of such variations in the image presents one of the most critical and challenging aspects in the design of an automated target recognition system $[2,7,20,21,22,32,35,36]$.

The application of various types of neural networks to image classification has become one of the principal thrusts by which researchers are attempting to develop automated target recognition systems, particularly within military contexts involving, for example, synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imagery, visible and infrared photography, and sonar signal classification (several excellent examples [ $5,6,7,13,21,32,33,35,37,49$ ] of this type of research may be found in the 1995 Special Issue on Automatic Target Recognition, Neural Networks, vol. 8, no. 7/8; see also Roth [36], Bachmann et al [1], Dudani et al [10], Smith and Wright [42]). There are several advantages to the use of neural networks for pattern recognition and image classification with the principal ones being: (a), artificial neural networks possess the ability to "learn" classification criteria not necessarily known a priori by adaptively training the network on known examples; (b), neural networks are parallel processing systems and so offer the potential for real-time, inline automated target classification in the case, e.g., of high resolution, land SAR imagery; (c), neural networks are distributed processing systems and thus exhibit, in general, excellent fault tolerance (see the reviews by Rogers et al [35] and Roth [36]).

The most common approach in the applications of neural networks to image classification consists of two stages; (1) a feature vector representing a specific image is extracted and, (2), using the feature vector as its input, a trained neural network classifies the image (i.e., the feature vector) as belonging to some particular class of objects. Although it is possible for neural networks to learn various types of invariance strictly through the training process, it has been found by many researchers that such an approach is impractical in terms of training times and amount of training data required and, more importantly, is ineffective in arriving at trained networks which are accurate and robust in their tolerance to image variations [2,4,22,35,36,38]. The almost universally preferred approach has been to derive image features which are explicitly invariant to various types of image variability such as the scale, position, or in-plane angle of the object in the image plane $[2,3,4,7,14,15,17-20,26,27,39,46,47,50]$. One such approach, in particular, is based upon the calculation of 'moment invariants' [14,15] using different types of basis functions for the feature extraction and work on this technique will be presented in a separate report.

An alternative and fundamentally different approach to incorporating invariance into neural network image classification involves the use of higher order neural networks (HONN's) [2,17,28,30,34,40,41,43-45]. Succinctly, such networks employ an input distribution layer which
combines more than one image pixel (two in a second order network, three in a third order network, etc.) in such a way that, through the imposition of symmetries in the network weights, the subsequent processing by additional layers of artificial neurons remains invariant to certain types of geometric variations in the input image. In this manner, a HONN builds the desired invariances directly into the network architecture and so avoids entirely the need for any form of feature extraction, an operation which is frequently computationally very intensive.

This report describes research performed at the Communications Research Center (CRC) between October, 1993, and December, 1995, concerning the applicability of higher order neural networks (HONN's) to the identification and classification of synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imagery. A novel approach involving image boundary detection and encoding in combination with a third order neural network was developed and tested. The implementation of this technique led to the development of an extensive HONN, MatLab-compatible toolbox which, along with several image array processing algorithms, are described and documented in this report.

The second part of this report gives a general overview of the theory and limitations of HONN's and their applicability to image classification. The third part, which is the most extensive, describes in some detail the research performed, the various algorithms developed, and the implementation of the MatLab functions. Also described is the validation of the proposed network topology and the boundary encoding methodology using a classical recognition problem. The final part of the report summarizes the conclusions and offers a brief discussion of the problems and the drawbacks encountered with the present approach along with some suggestions concerning future directions for this research. An appendix lists the annotated MatLab functions written to implement the present work and includes additional software documentation for the pre- and post-processing algorithms.

## 2. Image Classification using Higher Order Neural Networks

A schematic representation of a conventional, three-layer, feedforward neural network (a multilayer perceptron) is shown in figure 2.1. The initial layer to the network serves only as a distribution layer which, in the example shown of a fully connected (first order) network, simply distributes each input value $x_{i}$ to each and every neuron in the first (processing) layer of the network. By convention, the weights $w_{i j}$ are considered 'attached' to this first processing (input) layer, the weights $v_{i j}$ to the hidden layer, and the weights $u_{i j}$ to the network's output layer. Although this type of neural network can be trained using any of several learning algorithms, the predominant technique in use at present is the backpropagation algorithm along with several variations and refinements to the original algorithm [38].

A higher-order neural network (HONN) [8,30,34,43-45] differs from a conventional, first order network chiefly in the role played by the input distribution layer. Specifically, the distribution layer in a HONN combines input values into pairs, triplets, etc. of values,


Figure 2.1: A three layer, perceptron neural network with a first order distribution layer.


Figure 2.2: A strictly third order neural network with one processing element and $\mathrm{N}^{2}=4$.
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \ldots \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}}$, before distributing them to the network's first processing layer. The ith neuron in the first processing layer will produce an output given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\Theta\left(\sum_{j} w_{i j} x_{j}+\sum_{j} \sum_{k} w_{i j k} x_{j} x_{k}+\sum_{j} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} w_{i j k} x_{j} x_{k} x_{l}+\ldots \ldots .\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta$ is some non-linear squashing or threshold function (typically a sigmoid, tanh, or threshold function) and where the $w_{i j k . . .}$ define the interconnection weights. A general nth order HONN will contain all terms as indicated in eq. (2.1) up to and including the nth order term. It is important to note that a HONN is able to provide nonlinear pattern discrimination using only one processing layer and this is a configuration often employed in practice. First order neural networks must have at least one hidden layer in order to provide the same degree of generality in their ability to discriminate between arbitrary pattern classifications. Single layer, first order networks are able only to classify linearly separable problems. This restriction was recognized very early in neural network research at a time when no algorithm was known for training multilayer, first order neural networks and higher order networks were considered impractical owing to the combinatoric increase in the number of weights with input dimension as outlined below (Minsky and Papert [29]).

In applications involving HONN's, it is more common to consider only strictly nth order HONN's, i.e., a HONN which contains only the nth order term in equation (2.1). A strictly third order HONN, for example, combines only triplets of input values according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\Theta\left(\sum_{j} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} w_{i j k} x_{j} x_{k} x_{l}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as illustrated in figure 2.2. With a third order HONN, it is possible to achieve invariance to scale, position, and rotation of the image [30,34]. This is accomplished by imposing the following condition: the interconnection weights $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ corresponding to triplets of pixels ijk which define similar triangles in the image plane are assigned equal values. The property of similarity between triangles defined by (non-collinear) points is invariant to any change in scale, translation, or rotation of the triangles. An example of such similar triangles common to a pair of images, one of which is a scaled, translated, and rotated version of the other, is shown in figure 2.3. The convention described by Reid and Spirkovska [34,43-45] is adopted for the ordering of the angles, i.e., the angles are ordered with the smallest angle first and the next two angles listed in the order in which they would be encountered if visited in a clockwise direction. Thus the three triangles represented by the angles ( 1545120 ), ( 4512015 ), and (120 1545 ) are all connected to the processing node with the same weights (associated with the (15 45 120) triplet) whereas the three triangles with angles ( 1512045 ), ( 4515120 ), and ( 1204515 ) are all connected to the processing node with the same weights (associated with the (15 120 45) triplet). These two sets of triangles are mirror images of each other and thus, by treating them as distinct, such a HONN does not implement mirror invariance. In practice, since the image plane is represented by a finite number of pixels, the invariance achieved in this manner is approximate; perfect invariance is approached only as the pixel size, for a fixed image, is decreased. A major advantage of a third order HONN is that, since the network's output is unchanged by scale, translation, and rotation of the image, it is necessary to use only a single view of each object


Figure 2.3: The similarity of triangles is preserved when an image is scaled, translated, and/or rotated.

N

8
16
32
64
128
256
512
1024
2048
$N^{2}$ choose 3
$4.17 \times 10^{4}$
$2.75 \times 10^{6}$
$1.78 \times 10^{8}$
$1.14 \times 10^{10}$
$7.33 \times 10^{11}$
$4.69 \times 10^{13}$
$3.00 \times 10^{15}$
$1.92 \times 10^{17}$
$1.23 \times 10^{19}$

Table 2.1: The variation of " $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ choose 3 " with N .
defining a class in order to train the network. This minimizes the data requirement for training and also greatly shortens the training times of the network itself [34].

As attractive as the above capabilities of a HONN are, there exists one major disadvantage to HONN's, namely the greatly increased number of weights required in comparison to the conventional case of a first order neural network. For a third order HONN and an image plane of NxN pixels, the maximum number of possible combinations of three pixels is " $N^{2}$ choose 3 " which is given by the binomial coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{N^{2}}{3}=\left(\frac{N^{2}!}{3!\left(N^{2}-3\right)!}\right)=\frac{N^{2}\left(N^{2}-1\right)\left(N^{2}-2\right)}{6} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the leading term in this expression is $\mathrm{N}^{6}$ (it would be $\mathrm{N}^{4}$ for a second order network and $N^{2}$ for a first order network). Table 2.1 shows the value of " $N^{2}$ choose 3 " for several different values of N . Clearly, storage requirements alone would prohibit the use of HONN's for values of $\mathrm{N} \sim 128$ or greater. It should be noted, however, that these numbers represent a maximum amount, corresponding to the use of every pixel in the NxN image plane. In practice, only a subset of this plane is used (i.e., pixels with non-zero values). It is then possible to train the weights $w_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ by first setting all of them to zero and then training only on those weights which correlate with three non-zero pixels in the image plane. Weights corresponding to pixel triplets having at least one zero value will remain zero and can be ignored a priori, thus somewhat reducing the number of weights which need to be determined.

Much of the research to date involving HONN's has concentrated on finding practical methods to reduce significantly the number of weights that must be calculated and trained. Some of the methods proposed include coarse gridding [45], grouping of similar triangles by limiting the resolution of the angle measurements [12,34,43], pruning of triplet sets [34,40,43], and the use of image boundaries $[16,24,25,51]$. In the present work, we pursue the latter approach using boundary representation of the images but go even further in that the number of boundary points is reduced by using algorithmic encoding for regular boundary lines. The next section provides more details of this approach.

## 3. Experimental Design and Results

The overall design and methodology adopted in the present approach to combining image boundary detection and encoding with a third order neural network classifier is outlined in the flow chart representation shown in figure 3.1. There are two distinct parts to the overall process of classifying a given test image. The left-hand part of the flowchart in figure 3.1 refers to a processing sequence which is a one-time only calculation, i.e., for a given resolution NxN , it is


Figure 3.1: Process flowchart for the sequence of array calculations.
necessary to calculate and sort the angles once and only once and then to store these results in a lookup table. Thereafter, the required calculations are as outlined in the right-hand part of the flowchart which refers to a specific test image. Several specific algorithms have been developed for each of the flowchart blocks since each block represents a complex operation and these are now described in sequence.

### 3.1 One Time Processing of Image Plane Arrays

The one time array calculations for a given resolution NxN of the frame under classification consist of determining a set of 5 arrays calculated in an ordered sequence. These arrays (for any given resolution in the array segm) have been given the following names: trian, dist, ang, sort, and index. All of the arrays corresponding to a given resolution NxN are grouped into one file under the name higherNx.mat. For example, the file higher6x.mat groups together the arrays calculated for a $6 \times 6$ resolution. The array segm refers to the resolution itself for which the HONN will be created. In this case, for demonstration purposes, a $6 \times 6$ array has been chosen. In the course of this work, HONN's have been constructed for resolutions up to 11x11. The only limitations are the pragmatic ones of memory requirements and processing time; in theory, all of the algorithms developed in this project can operate for an unlimited range of resolutions. An example ( $\mathrm{N}=6$ ) of the initial array segm is given in figure 3.2. The next step consists of finding all the possible triangles that can be formed using pixels from this array.

## Creation of the possible triangles trian=triangl3(segm).m

The number of all possible triangles is given by the function $\operatorname{combin}(n, 3)$, where $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NxN}=36$ for the example case. The number of rows of the trian array will be the number of different combinations of 36 taken 3 at a time with no repetitions as given by eq. (2.4), i.e., 7140 . The function triangl3(f). $m$ creates a lookup table with indices which correspond to the triangle coordinates formed from all possible combinations of 3 points. It is similar to triangl2.m but with the implementation of speed optimization. The format of the result is shown in figure 3.3. The convention used for the scanning pattern is to proceed clock-wise starting from the leftupper pixel of the input array, continuing with the first row, followed by the 2nd row, etc.

## Calculation of the Distances dist=distan3(trian). $m$

The next step consists of the calculation of the lengths of the triangle sides. The function distan3(trian). $m$ is used and the format of the output is a three-column array where the first column gives the length between the 1st and 2nd vertex of the triangle, the 2nd column gives the length between the 2nd and 3rd vertex of the triangle, and the third column gives the length between the 1 st and the 3rd vertex. This routine is essentially the same as distan(f) with the only difference lying with the input format which now follows the above convention and is faster than distan2 due to the speed optimization implemented. The output of this function (the array dist) for the $6 \times 6$ example is shown in figure 3.4.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Figure 3.2: Example of initial array segm for $\mathrm{N}=6$.

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 |

Figure 3.3: Lookup table format for $\mathrm{N}=6$.

| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 2.0000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 3.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 3.0000 | 4.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 4.0000 | 5.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.4142 | 1.0000 |

Figure 3.4: Example of the output array dist for $\mathrm{N}=6$.

| 0 | 0 | 3.1416 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 3.1416 |
| 0 | 0 | 3.1416 |
| 0 | 0 | 3.1416 |
| 0.7854 | 1.5708 | 0.7854 |
| $0.7854^{-}$ | 0.7854 | 1.5708 |

Figure 3.5: Example output array ang for $\mathrm{N}=6$.

## Calculation of the included Angles ang=angles3(dist). $m$

In this step, the function angles3(dist) creates an array with the angles computed from the array dist, the three lengths that form the triangles. The result is an array with the same dimension as dist. The formula used is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos C=\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}}{2 a b} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The format for the sequence of the angles is the same as described above for the function dist 3 . This function incorporates a provision for the case where a side may be of zero length in order to prevent the generation of Matlab NaN or "not-a-number" results. This is the reason for the provision of the employment routine of zero_fil. The output of the function angles3(dist) for the example $\mathrm{N}=6$ is the array ang with the format shown in figure 3.5.

## Sorting of the Angles sor=sorting3(ang).m

\%
The term 'granularity' is introduced in this function and refers to the accuracy with which angles will be considered different or equal. The underlying reason is that we deal with ed (i.e., sampled) images, consisting of discrete elements, and a subset of those pixels represents the vertex of he angles formed by the above functions. If the same image is scaled down, even without rot ion, the scaled image will be represented by a smaller set of pixels and thus, owing to the discrete nature of image, the angles which are formed by the corresponding pixels may differ. Similar results can also follow from a slighted rotated (e.g., by 0.001 rad ) representation of the initial image. Figures 3.6 and 3.7 illustrate the nature of the problems that may be encountered. Both sides of these figures represent an analysis of a $16 \times 16$ image. The left side shows a $2 \times 14$ linear bar aligned in parallel with the sensor (e.g., a camera) while the right side shows the kind of distortion which can be introduced by rotating the image.

For the purposes of this research, the granularity has been adjusted through experimentation to 0.001 rad . The higher the image resolution, the lower the granularity can be set. However, at the same time, the lower the granularity the more the calculated features will be different and the more discriminating the classification procedure can be, albeit at the cost of a noticeably increased processing load.

The function sorting3(ang) has as its input the ang array with all the possible angles that can be created at the given resolution as calculated in the above steps. In the first stage, sorting3(ang) operates on each row (row level only) and rearranges the angles that are given in every row in ang in such a way that the first is the smallest angle of the triplet but, at the same time, the order of the angles is preserved, i.e., $(a, b, c)=(b, c, a)=(c, a, b)$. Numerically, a triplet consisting of the angles $(60,30,90)$ will become $(30,90,60)$ and not $(30,60,90)$. The reason for the differentiation between the two is that they represent different features and, in the case where only one is encountered with the convention as described, the other will not be encountered as arising from any rotation, translation, or scaling transformation. The only way in which it can be encountered is through a mirroring. Mirroring invariance, though, is here considered as undesirable since it is not encountered under normal circumstances. In the second stage, the function sorting3(ang) rearranges the array created in the first stage in such a way that it groups



Figure 3.6: Example of rotation induced distortion on a $16 \times 16$ image grid.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 3.7 : Binary valued arrays corresponding to figure 3.6.
all the triplets that have the same smallest angle into subgroups. In the next phase, it operates on each subgroup in order to rearrange the elements of the subgroup so that the triplets which have the same second angle are grouped together in ascending order. Figure 3.8 shows the format of the output array sor. The last element of the last row of the result sor (in the example, the number 224) gives also the number of different features that may be encountered since it represents the number of groups that all the triplets can form with the imposed granularity.

## Lookup Table Calculation index=indexing(sor)

The function employed in order to calculate the lookup table, for the given resolution, is indexing.m. This function creates a 2 -column table as shown in figure 3.9. The first column is sorted and contains the triplet angle numbers while the 2nd column gives the corresponding feature number. For the example given, the total number of triplets is 7140 . In the second column, the feature number represents simply the number of the group to which this particular set belongs.

## Batch Processing Operation segm_bat(segm)

All of the above matrices can be generated through a batch processing mode implemented with the function segm_bat(segm). Given in segm the segment array (i.e., a $10 \times 10$ array), segm_bat(segm) calculates each of the five necessary arrays in order to proceed with the HONN training.

### 3.2 Image Processing

The size of the feature vector for a given resolution is known after the creation of the lookup table as described in the one time array processing section. The size of this vector is also affected by the defined granularity, i.e. a larger feature vector will result when the granularity is set equal to 0 as compared to the case of a nonzero value of granularity since, in the latter case, comparable sets of triangles will be grouped under the same feature. For example, in the case of a $4 \times 4$ array, with 560 possible combinations of the pixels taken 3 at a time, only 38 triplets of ordered angles (groups) can be created and consequently the feature vector will be a $1 \times 38$ column array. For test purposes, a $6 \times 6$ array will be used for which the number of features have been found to be 224. The test images will be the letters $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ which constitute a standard reference test pattern as commonly employed in the relevant literature[38]. The binary patterns representing these images are given in figure 3.10.

## Smoothing Algorithm

The first step in the processing of the target image is the employment of a "salt and pepper" algorithm. The underlying idea is to specify a Boolean function on a neighborhood centered at a pixel p and to assign to this pixel a value of either 1 or 0 depending on the spatial arrangement and binary values of its neighbors. The $3 \times 3$ neighborhood mask is shown in figure 3.11. This algorithm is called "salt and pepper" because (a), it eliminates isolated 1 's, and, (b), it

| 1.0000 | 0 | 0 | 3.1416 | 1.0000 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.0000 | 0 | 0 | 3.1416 | 1.0000 |
| 3.0000 | 0 | 0 | 3.1416 | 1.0000 |
| 4.0000 | 0 | 0 | 3.1416 | 1.0000 |
| 35.0000 | 0 | 0 | 3.1416 | 1.0000 |


| 03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.3250 | 0.0001 | 0.0029 | 0.0001 | 0.0090 | The first element (5248) is the serial index that this |
| 4.6460 | 0.0001 | 0.0029 | 0.0001 | 0.0090 | triplet had in the input array |
| 4.9420 | 0.0001 | 0.0029 | 0.0001 | 0.0090 | (ang), i.e., this triplet is the |
| 5.2480 | 0.0001 | 0.0029 | 0.0001 | $0.009{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 5248th row of ang. The last |
| 5.4950 | 0.0001 | 0.0029 | 0.0001 | 0.0090 | element (9) represents the |
| 0.2520 | 0.0001 | 0.0030 | 0.0001 | 0.0100 | unique group to which this |
| 1.5590 | 0.0001 | 0.0030 | 0.0001 | 0.0100 | triplet belongs. We have |
|  |  |  |  |  | more than one triplet with |
| 3.8380 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0011 | 0.2240 | the same angles, but all of |
| 4.1960 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0011 | 0.2240 | them are characterized by |
| 4.4840 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0011 | 0.2240 | this number (9). |
| 4.5850 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0011 | 0.2240 |  |
| 4.7950 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0011 | 0.2240 |  |
| 4.8840 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0011 | 0.2240 |  |

Figure 3.8: Example of the output array sor for $\mathrm{N}=6$.


Figure 3.9 : Example of the lookup table created by indexing.

| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 3.10 : Binary values arrays representing the letters ' $C$ ' and ' $T$ '.


Figure 3.11 : Neighborhood mask for the 'salt and pepper' smoothing algorithm.
eliminates small 'bumps' along straight-edge segments. For each pixel the following expression is evaluated

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=p \cdot[(a+b+d) \cdot(e+g+h)+(b+c+e) \cdot(d+f+g)] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to avoid boundary condition problems at the edges, the test image is framed in an $(\mathrm{N}+2) \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{N}+2)$ array where the perimeter extra columns and rows are filled with 0 's. The MatLab function written for this function is salt_pep $(C, t)$, where $C$ is the array and $t$ represents a threshold value used to binarize the array $C$. Further parameter information is given in the following sections dealing with the perimeter detection algorithms.

## Perimeter Detection

It has been proven that, for feature-based identification using HONN's, it is sufficient to deal with the features of the perimeter points only $[3,9,16,23-25,30,43-45,51]$. For the detection of boundary points, there are several techniques in use, mainly based on local array operators (usually $3 \times 3$ ). Figures 3.12 and 3.13 illustrate a 'test' image consisting of a $4 \times 4$ square of value ' 1 ' placed upon a $16 \times 16$ image grid which will be used in the following approaches to boundary detection for illustration puposes

A representative, local array operator is the Sobel operator given by the following equation:

$$
S(x)=\left|(\mathbf{x}) *\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 1  \tag{3.4}\\
-2 & 0 & 2 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right|+\left|(\mathbf{x}) *\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & -2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right|
$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ is the $3 \times 3$ array representing the image and where the operator is applied locally on every pixel. Figures 3.14 and 3.15 show the edge detection result using the Sobel operator on the test image of figure 3.12. An alternate set of operators are those proposed by Plessey Semiconductors and implemented in hardware by the same company (PDSP 16401 2-D Edge Detector). This set consists of four operators and the result is the largest of the four convolutions of the input image with each of the four operators:

$$
1.5 *\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { Horizontal } \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Vertical
$1.5 *\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$
(Diagonal) $45^{0}$
$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -2\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Diagonal) } \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & -2 \\
1 & 0 & -1 \\
2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3.12 : Test image for boundary detection algorithms.


Figure 3.13 : Binary array representation for the test image of figure 3.12.


Figure 3.14 : Sobel operator boundary detection for the test image of figure 3.12.


Figure 3.15 : Binary array representation of the boundary detected image of figure 3.14.

The above operators detect vertical, horizontal, and diagonal edges while the factor 1.5 is used to offset the differences between points lying on horizontal or vertical axis and points on a diagonal edge ( 1.5 is the approximation used in hardware for $\sqrt{2}$ ). Results for the application of the Plessey operators on the test image are shown in figures 3.16 and 3.17.

Due to the nature of the relatively low resolution images used in this study, such algorithms are considered to be inappropriate in the present case since such edge detection operators are essentially differential operators and tend to produce a "double edge" phenomenon. In a high resolution environment, this may be acceptable but is highly undesirable when the image resolution is low.

An algorithm much more suited to low resolution images has been developed based upon the examination of a $3 \times 3$ image area and is given in figure 3.18. The truth-table given in figure 3.19 shows under which conditions the pixel ( $x, y$ ) (with intensity $F(x, y)$ ) is considered to be a point on the perimeter. One further condition for this point to be a point of the perimeter is to have an intensity value larger than a defined threshold which, in the case of a binary image, would be $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=1$. The following function can be derived from this table:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{F}}_{p e r}=\overline{\mathrm{a} b} \bar{d} d \cdot(\mathrm{e} \bar{g} h+\mathrm{egh})+\overline{\mathrm{a} b c d} \cdot(\mathrm{e} \bar{g} h+\mathrm{egh})+\mathrm{ab} \bar{c} d \cdot(\mathrm{e} \bar{g} h+\mathrm{egh})+\mathrm{abcd}(\mathrm{e} \bar{g} h+\mathrm{egh}) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplifying leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{F_{p e r}}=e \cdot h \cdot b \cdot d \Leftrightarrow F_{p e r}=\overline{e h b d}=\bar{e}+\bar{b}+\bar{h}+\bar{d} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, with the threshold condition that $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=1$, the final equation can be derived as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {per }}=F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \text { AND }\{\operatorname{NOT}(\mathrm{e}) \text { OR NOT }(\mathrm{b}) \text { OR NOT (h) OR NOT(d) }\} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding $M$-function that implements the above is perim $(f)$. The result of using this edge detection algorithm on the test image of figure 3.12 is shown in figures 3.20 and 3.21 .

## Perimeter Encoding

A further algorithm has been developed in order to "encode" the points of the perimeter. This "perimeter encoding" reduces the number of the points from which features of the images need to be extracted in such a manner that, as proved in this work, the remaining points after the encoding can produce all the features necessary for pattern classification. The function for the encoding has been derived using the same neighborhood $3 \times 3$ area as shown in figure 3.22.

We encode the points of the perimeter that constitute corners and we reject the perimeter points that lay on contiguous, straight line segments of the perimeter. Under the above assumptions and using a table similar to that shown in figure 3.22 , the corresponding function that performs this operation has been found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\text {enc__per }}=\mathrm{F}(x, y) \cdot \overline{((\mathrm{a} \cdot i)+(c \cdot g)+(d e)+(b h))} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted here that the function as described applies to the array that represents the perimeter points only and not to the initial image. The corresponding $M$-function that


Figure 3.16 : Plessey operators boundary detection for the test image of figure 3.12.


Figure 3.17 : Binary array representation of the boundary detected image of figure 3.16.

| $a$ <br> $(x-1, y-1)$ | $b$ <br> $(x-1, y)$ | $c$ <br> $(x-1, y+1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d$ <br> $(x, y-1)$ | $f(x, y)$ | e <br> $(x, y+1)$ |
| $g$ <br> $(x+1, y-1)$ | h <br> $(x+1, y)$ | i <br> $(x+1, y+1)$ |

Figure 3.18 : The $3 \times 3$ boundary detection mask developed for low resolution images.

|  | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd | abcd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eghi | 0000 | 0001 | 0010 | 0011 | 0100 | 0101 | 0110 | 0111 | 1000 | 1001 | 1010 | 1011 | 1100 | 1101 | 1110 | 1111 |
| 0000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0001 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0010 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0011 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0100 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0101 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0110 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0111 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1001 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1010 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1011 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1100 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1101 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1110 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1111 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Figure 3.19 : The 'truth table' giving the 256 possible combinations corresponding to the detection mask given in figure 3.18.


Figure 3.20 : The boundary as detected by the array mask of figure 3.18 for the testimage of figure 3.12.
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Figure 3.21 : Binary array representation of the boundary detected image of figure 3.20.

| $a$ <br> $(x-1, y-1)$ | $b$ <br> $(x-1, y)$ | $c$ <br> $(x-1, y+1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d$ <br> $(x, y-1)$ | $f(x, y)$ | e <br> $(x, y+1)$ <br> . |
| $g$ <br> $(x+1, y-1)$ | h <br> $(x+1, y)$ | i <br> $(x+1, y+1)$ |

Figure 3.22 : The $3 \times 3$ array for encoding of the boundary detected by the array mask of figure 3.18.


Figure 3.23: The test image used to demonstrate the combination of boundary detection and encoding.


Figure 3.24 : The boundary detected (left) image and the boundary encoded image (right) corresponding to the test image of figure 3.23 and the detection/encoding array masks of figures 3.18 and 3.22.
implements the above is peri_con(f). An example of this operation of perimeter encoding is given using a $16 \times 16$ unity array shown in figure 3.23 is given by figures 3.24 .

Applying the perimeter detection function only, we get the left pattern of figure 3.24 (with 16 pixels) while, applying the additional perimeter encoding scheme on this pattern, we get the right pattern with 4 pixels that can characterize the initial image. It should also be noted here that, as a guideline, the encoding technique is practical only when it is applied to images with a size of at least $10 \times 10$. Otherwise, for lower resolutions, it would cause significant reduction to the spatial information contained.

## Calculation of the feature vector feat_vecX=feat(X,sor,index)

For the calculation of the feature vector for a binary image, the input arguments for the function feat_vec consist of three arrays. The first one, $\mathbf{X}$, is the binary image resulting from the application of function perim (or peri_con if the resolution allows the employment of this function). The other two arrays, sor and index, are calculated for the particular resolution in this processing stage. The function feat_vec creates the links between the particular sets of triplets that can be formed from the pixels (with value 1) in the image and the corresponding feature number that has been assigned in this combination. For the letters $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{t}$, the corresponding feature vectors are the variables feat_c1 and feat_t1 (included in the higher6x.mat) and are illustrated in figure 3.25.

### 3.3 Employment of Neural Networks

The proposed network consists of X binary inputs and Y outputs. X is the number of features that have been found in the array processing stage while Y is the number of classes to be classified. For the T-C problem in a $6 \times 6$ analysis, the number of inputs is 224 and the number of outputs 2 (since the result might be either C or T). A general, three layer (i.e., one hidden layer) feedforward neural network was given earlier in figure 2.1. Note, however, that the number of layers actually used is dependent upon the specific problem as will be explicitly indicated below. In all cases, due to the nature of the desired outputs, the output transfer function (i.e., for the output layer) used was exclusively the log-sigmoid function illustrated in figure 3.26.

Networks trained by the backpropagation algorithm were used throughout the course of this work and, as will be shown, give acceptable solutions. However, the backpropagation training algorithm can lead to a local rather than a global error minimum. If the local error minimum is not satisfactory, a network with more neurons and/or layers may perform better. For comparison purposes and solution optimization, the training of the networks has been performed employing the three different techniques offered by the Matlab neural network toolbox, namely: trainbp, trainbpx, and trainlm. Trainbp employs the "classical" backpropagation algorithm with the assorted problems of local ninnima and slow training; the two other algorithms are improved variations of the classical one. Trainbpx employs backpropagation with the use of momentum and an adaptive learning rate. Trainlm trains the network with the LevenbergMarquardt algorithm and is much faster than the othe two methods. However, the trainlm approach incurs memory limitation problems since it uses much more memory, typically as much memory as trainbp multiplied by $\mathbf{S *} \mathbf{Q}$ where $\mathbf{S}$ is the number of neurons in the output layer and

| $\text { feat }_{-1}^{c 1}=$ |  | feat_t1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 | - Both arrays have | 0 |
| 0 | - 224 rows. | 0 |
| 0 |  | i |
| i |  | 1 |
| 1 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |

Figure 3.25 : The feature vectors corresponding to the images ' C ' (left) and ' T ' (right).


Figure 3.26 : The sigmoid transfer function used for the processing neurons.


Figure 3.27 : Array of target vectors for the 'T-C problem'.

Q is the number of training vectors in the training set. The function initff is used for initialization of the network weights and biases while the function simuff is used to simulate the trained network. For further documentation and details for any of these functions, the reader is referred to the MatLab neural network toolbox user's guide.

## T-C Problem Solution with HONN

The T-C problem has been presented previously and refers to the invariant discrimination of the letters C and T in a $6 \times 6$ resolution. The number of inputs is 224 and the number of outputs is 2 . For this problem, a single-layer network will be used. $\mathbf{T}$ is the array with the target vectors shown in figure 3.27. The variables weight1 and bias1 are the initial weight and bias used and were calculated with the function initff as indicated in figure 3.28. The function trainlm with parameters given by figure 3.29 was found to be the fastest for this particular problem. It should be noted that the matrix P used as input is different than the P used in the initialization stage (i.e. the calculation of the initial weights and biases). The format of the P (as has been also retained in the higher6x.mat files) is shown in figure 3.30. The set training goals were attained with trainlm in only 5 epochs as evidenced by figure 3.31. In contrast, training with the trainbp algorithm was unsuccessful due to the trapping of the function in a local error surface as indicated by figure 3.32. In both cases, the training was conducted with only one training input, the matrix $P$ (i.e. the actual feature vectors of the letters $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ ). This is the unique characteristic of the HONNs. The invariance is encoded in the architecture so it is not necessary to present to the network various views of the same object.

After the training of the NN, the validity of the methodology can be verified using the patterns shown in figure 3.33. The $t 1$ is the initial representation for letter $t$, the $t$ enl is a scaled and translated $\mathbf{t}$, while the t 45 is a 45 degrees rotated and translated $\mathbf{t}$. Corresponding names have been given to the representations of $\mathbf{c}$. The function simmuf is used to simulate the network performance with the results given in figure 3.34.

## Alphabet Recognition using HONN

The next experiment was to verify the validity of the methodology using a more accurate representation of the letters and, ideally, to be able to recognize all the 26 letters of the alphabet. While for the T-C problem there are references in the literature, for the full alphabet problem there is no reported success with HONN's. The way in which the problem has been approached is to represent all of the letters of the alphabet with a 7 x 5 resolution as can be seen in figure 3.35 . The resolution in which those characters will be examined has been set to $8 \times 8$, so the characters will be presented to the network "framed" in a $8 \times 8$ window. For this resolution, a new set of array processing steps has to be taken, as has been described, in order to calculate the initial arrays that correspond to the $8 \times 8$ analysis. All the results, following the convention made for the filenames, have been stored under the name higher8x.mat. An $8 \times 8$ frame, with the given granularity, results in 441 discrete features so that the feature vector representing the features for a letter is a column vector with 441 rows. Consequently, the number of inputs for the network will be 441 and the outputs will be 26 (i.e., the number of letters in the alphabet). One layer networks have been found to be inadequate for this application as can be seen in figure 3.36. The training for this one layer network has been performed with the trainbp function since the

```
    [weight1,bias1] = INITFF(P,S1,'logsig')
    P - 224x2 matrix of input vectors, where for the initialization
only each ith row of P must contain expected min and max values for the
ith input, i.e. 0 and 1.
    Si - Size of ith layer, here S1=2 (2 neurons output-layer)
P=
    0}
    0}
    0
    0 1
```

Figure 3.28 : Initialization values for the input vectors, training weights, and bias values.

```
[weight4,bias4,TE,TR] = TRAINLM(weight1,bias1, 'logsig', P, T, TP)
    P - RxQ ( \(224 \times 2\) ) matrix of input vectors.
    \(T\) - SxQ ( \(2 \times 2\) ) matrix of target vectors.
    TP - Training parameters (optional).
Returns new weight and bias and
    TE - the actual number of epochs trained.
    TR - training record: [row of errors]
Training parameters are:
    \(T P(1)\) - Epochs between updating display, here \(=5\).
    TP (2) - Maximum number of epochs to train, here \(=15000\).
    \(T P(3)\) - Sum-squared error goal, here \(=0.001\).
    \(T P(4)\) - Minimum gradient, here \(=0.0001\).
    \(\mathrm{TP}(5)\) - Initial value for MU, default \(=0.001\).
    \(T P(6)\) - Multiplier for increasing MU, default \(=10\).
    \(T P(7)\) - Multiplier for decreasing MU, default \(=0.1\).
    TP(8) - Maximum value for MU, default = 1 e 10 .
Missing parameters and \(\mathrm{NaN}^{\prime}\) 's are replaced with defaults.
```

Figure 3.29 : Training parameters employed for the MatLab neural network algorithm trainlm.


Figure 3.30 : The feature vectors for the images of ' $C$ ' and ' $T$ '.


Figure 3.31 : Successful training of the network using trainlm.

## Sum-SquaredNetwork Error for 100000 Epochs



Figure 3.32 : Example of an unsuccessful training run using the algorithm trainbp.

| $\mathrm{t} 1=$ |  |  |  |  |  | c1 = |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| t_enl $=$ |  |  |  |  |  | c_enl = |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $t \_45=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C-45 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 3.33 : Binary array representation of various test images used to validate the trained network.

```
simuff (feat vec(cl,sor,index),weight4,bias4,'logsig')
ans =
    0.0060
    0.9940
```

```
simuff (feat_vec(c_enl,sor,index) ,weight4,bias4,'logsig')
```

simuff (feat_vec(c_enl,sor,index) ,weight4,bias4,'logsig')
ans =
ans =
0.0004
0.0004
0.9966

```
    0.9966
```

```
simuff (feat_vec(c_45,sor,index),weight4,bias4,'logsig')
ans =
    0.0060
    0.9940
```

```
simuff (feat_vec(tl,sor,index),weight4,bias4,'logsig')
ans =
    0.9942
    0.0058
```

```
simuff (feat_vec(t_enl,sor,index),weight4,bias4,'logsig')
ans =
    0.8940
    0.0368
simuff (feat_vec(t_45,sor,index),weight4,bias4,'logsig')
ans =
    0.9852
    0.0127
```

Figure 3.34 : Network results for the testimages of figure 3.33.


Figure 3.35 : Examples of letters defined on a $7 \times 5$ matrix.


Figure 3.36 : Unsuccessful training of a single layer network.
memory requirements for trainlm are more than what was available. The variables weight1, and bias1 are the initial weight and bias used and were calculated with the function initff as given in figure 3.37. In both cases (trainbp, trainbpx), the training was performed with [weight_1,bias_11,TE,TR]= $\operatorname{trainbp}(\mathbf{x})($ weight_1,bias_1,'logsig',feat_alp,targets,TP) where targets is a $26 \times 26$ array which contains the targets, i.e., one column for each letter as shown in figure 3.38. Alp_feat is a $441 \times 26$ array that contains the feature vectors for each letter as extracted with the function feat_vec.m. For example, the first column of the matrix which represents the higher order feature vector for the letter a has been calculated using the function feat_vec(char_dis(alphabet(:,1)), sor,index). Training with trainbx performed a bit better but was still inadequate as can been seen in figure 3.39 for which trainbpx(weight_1,bias_11,'logsig',alp_feat,targets,TP).

The employment of a three layer network solved the problem and the optimum number of neurons in the hidden layer was found to be 18 , figure 3.40 . Figure 3.41 shows the response of the network during the first training epochs. The performance of the network is exceptional (recognition rate $100 \%$ ) as long as it operates in a noiseless environment and the letters are presented in any translational variation within the $8 \times 8$ frame. There is some degradation in the performance when the network operates with rotated and scaled representations of the letters, which is expected, due to the low resolution and the accompanying problems mentioned in the section describing the sorting of the angles. The performance falls to $87 \%$ which at the same time shows the performance of the net with this particular type of noise. Figure 3.42 shows a typical case where the network failed to recognize the letter C. The network was trained with the features of the letter as in the leftmost block but, for input, used the scaled representation pictured in the middle block. The result is as shown in figure 3.43, i.e., the network in this particular case didn't find any close proximity with any of the letters although the best candidate (with a very low score though $=0.0164$ ) was the letter O . The similarity, and the reason why this type of confusion was expected stems from the way the letter C was scaled. The conclusion is that, in low resolutions, experimentation with granularity must be carried out in order to force the network to perform accurately.

## Feature Extraction of SAR Data.

There is the general assumption that HONNs, by definition, can perform only with binary representations of the images to be classified. This results in a loss of dynamic information since the representation now is limited to black and white while a typical SAR image can have more than thousands of gray levels. Hence it is essential to find a way to encode the grayness variation in the HONN architecture. Figure 3.44 shows a target as acquired by SAR radar and segmented in a $25 \times 32$ frame. Every pixel is encoded with 4 bytes, and the values in this particular segment vary between 17 and 7863 as can be seen in figure 3.45 The same target after a binarization process is shown in figure 3.46 while the corresponding representation is given in figure 3.47. The loss of information is obvious and future efforts have to be directed towards this problem. The approach proposed in this work, in order to take advantage of the dynamic range encoded in SAR images is implemented in the function feat_gry(f,sorted,index) which generates the corresponding feature column vector for the gray scale array given in (f). The algorithm works by firstly creating a lookup table with indices corresponding to the triangle coordinates that form all the combinations of the non-zero points of $(f)$ taken 3 at a time. The result is expected to have

```
            [weight_1,bias_1] = INITFF(P,S1,'logsig')
                        P - 441x2 matrix of min-max input vectors.
                        S1=26
P=
                0
                0
```

Figure 3.37 : Initialization values for the training run.


Figure 3.38 : The format of the $26 \times 26$ targets array.

Training for 400 Epochs


Figure 3.39 : Unsuccessful network training using trainbx and a single layer network.

| Sum Squared Error for a 2-layer Back-Propagation Network |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| \# of neurons (hidden layer) | SSE | EPOCHS |  |
| 10 | $>2$ | 10,000 |  |
| 12 | 1.4 | 10,000 |  |
| 16 | 0.9 | 10,000 |  |
| 18 | 0.000998228 | 10,000 |  |

Figure 3.40 : Optimization of the number of hidden neurons.

Training for 1325 Epochs


Figure 3.41 : Training of the three layer network.


Figure 3.42 : An example of misclassification by the network for a scaled ' C ' as the letter ' O '.

```
ans =
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(0.0000 \quad 0.0000\) & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0035 & 0.0000 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Columns 8 through 14} \\
\hline \(0.0000 \quad 0.0000\) & 0.0105 & 0.0000 & 0.0007 & 0.0000 & 0.0046 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Columns 15 through 21} \\
\hline \(0.0164 \quad 0.0001\) & 0.0000 & 0.0001 & 0.0005 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline Columns 22 through 26 & & & & & \\
\hline 0.00690 .0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0042 & 0.0000 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Figure 3.43 : Results for the classification of the scaled ' $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ (center image) of figure 3.42.


Figure 3.44 : Segmented SAR image consisting of $25 \times 32$ pixels.


Figure 3.45 : Intensity variation for the SAR image of figure 3.44.


Figure 3.46 : Conversion of the original SAR image of figure 3.44 to a binary valued image.


Figure 3.47 : Intensity variation for the image of figure 3.46.


Figure 3.48 : Resizing of the $25 \times 32$ SAR image of figure 3.44 to a $6 \times 8$ image.
$\operatorname{combin}(\mathbf{k}, 3)$ rows, where $\mathbf{k}$ is the number of non-zero elements. Then, it gives the feature vector number, provided the array index, that represents the final result of the indexing 3 function on an array MxN . The final column vector is formed by multiplying the normalized values of the three pixels. Since two or more triplets could correspond to the same feature number (forming similar triangles but having different intensities), the maximum result of the internal multiplication is kept in order to represent the magnitude of the particular feature. The 'sorted' parameter in the input argument stands for the array given after applying the sorting3 function (on the initial array). The result is the feature vector of the target, but the values are not binary, as has been done so far, but range between 0 and 1 thus giving information not only for the existence or not of the particular triplet but for its intensity as well.

In order to demonstrate the operation of the above proposed algorithm, the same target shown in figure 3.44 has been chosen. In order to deal with the $25 \times 32$ resolution, which with the existing means is considered to be 'high', the target has been resized (using ErgoVista software) to a $6 x 8$ representation as is shown in figure 3.48. The ratio of compression is approximately 4. The target is examined in the context of the $8 \times 8$ processing that has been performed and the results have been stored in the higher8x.mat file. The feature vector is expected to have 441 elements but this time their values are not limited to 0's or 1's since on top of that they can take any value in between. The function is used with the format feature_target_sar = feat_gry(target_sar,sor,index) where target_sar is the target framed in a $8 \times 8$ frame as given in figure 3.49. The result of the above operation has the format shown in figure 3.50.

Attention has to be paid to the way the normalization is implemented. The way the function has been encoded is that it takes into consideration as a maximum value the maximum element of the given segment which varies from segment to segment. The other way is to provide the maximum value of all the targets set, if it is known. Due to the limited number of available targets, the first approach has been adopted. If, in the near future, more data is available, the necessary changes can be made easily since they have been included in the function by means of comments. The training of the network has no practical value at the time being since there is no other data with which to compare or train.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

The most important advantage of the HONN architecture is that invariance to geometric transformation such as scaling, translation, and rotation of the image can be incorporated directly into the network and does not need to be learned. Consequently, when compared with other neural network architectures such as backpropagation trained first order networks, HONNs have demonstrated clear advantages in terms of training time, training set size, and recognition accuracy. Furthermore, the algorithms and methodology developed here specifically address the issue of anomalously large numbers of network weights by significantly reducing the number of necessary weights through a novel boundary detection and encoding scheme for the image. A further novel algorithm has been proposed to extend this technique to explicitly include the dynamic information (gray scale) present in SAR data. The extent of experimentation using SAR

## target_sar

| Columns 1 through 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 655 | 735 | 93 | 51 | 193 | 261 |
| 341 | 930 | 835 | 138 | 742 | 555 |
| 125 | 434 | 1805 | 1041 | 1118 | 2193 |
| 846 | 294 | 137 | 1420 | 6193 | 993 |
| 427 | 245 | 231 | 554 | 2457 | 3688 |
| 179 | 848 | 574 | 623 | 554 | 589 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Columns 7 through 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 153 | 281 |  |  |  |  |
| 231 | 104 |  |  |  |  |
| 694 | 711 |  |  |  |  |
| 2814 | 1235 |  |  |  |  |
| 1144 | 600 |  |  |  |  |
| 171 | 895 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.49 : Array representation of the scaled down SAR image of figure 3.48 with added rows of zeros to yield an $8 \times 8$ image.

```
feature_target_sar
```

0.1042

0
0.0153
0.0079
0.0047
0.0421

0
0.0145
0.0016
0.0017
0.0057
0.0139

0
0.0034
0.0051

0
0
0.0009
0.0110

Figure 3.50 : Feature vector for the gray scale SAR image of figure 3.48.
imagery in this work was limited owing to a lack of sufficient numbers of suitable images. However, the proposed framework is very promising and has been validated with the employment of classical pattern classification problems. The application of a HONN combined with the proposed boundary detection and encoding technique for alphabet recognition has clearly demonstrated the efficiency with which this topology can be utilized.

The principle limitation which remains for the application of HONN's, even with the perimeter detection technique developed her, is that the size of the input field is limited (pragmatically to images containing, at most, a few thousand pixels). This is a technical limitation rather than an algorithmic one since it is governed by available memory size and computing throughput. The HONN architecture by itself can perform with unlimited input size. For SAR, post-segmented images which represent typical targets, an acceptable maximum size would be $\sim 40 \times 40$, a number which, in the present environment, appears well suited to the types of images encountered in practice. Future efforts in this field should be directed towards the further reduction of the input size by means of compression and encoding either with conventional methods or with fractal geometry. Two specific directions worthy of serious consideration and additional research would be, (a), the development of HONN's for which the input variables are derived directly from the parameters which characterize fractal compression transformations of the images and, (b), the application of first order neural networks for which the feature vector is extracted from an explicit determination of the number, type, and intensity contents of similar triangles formed by the image pixels.

## Appendix: Listing of the MatLab M-Functions

## function $\mathbf{y}=\operatorname{angles}(\mathbf{f})$;

\% Angles(f)
\% Creates an array, given in (f), containing the angles corresponding to
\% the 3 lengths that form the triangles.
\% The result is an array with the same dimension as (f), i.e., (Nx3).
\% Care is taken to ensure that none of the 3 lengths are equal
$\%$ to 0 , i.e., $a^{*}{ }^{*}$ c. ne. 0 , otherwise the result would be
\% NaN type (Not-a-Number). The routine zero_fil is used to disallow
\% this possibility.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - June 1994
echo off
aux1=zero_fil(f); \% Create a new array aux1 with NO null elements.
$[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}]=\operatorname{size}($ aux 1$) ; \%$ The dim of the new array are $<=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{f})$.
aux $2=$ aux $1 . \wedge^{\wedge}$;
$\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{aux} 1(1: \mathrm{m}, 1) ; \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{aux} 1(1: \mathrm{m}, 2) ; \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{aux} 1(1: \mathrm{m}, 3)$;
a2=aux2(1:m,1); b2=aux2(1:m,2); c2=aux2(1:m,3);
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{acos}((\mathrm{a} 2+\mathrm{b} 2-\mathrm{c} 2) . /(2 * \mathrm{a} . * \mathrm{~b}))$;
$\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{acos}\left((\mathrm{a} 2+\mathrm{c} 2-\mathrm{b} 2) . /\left(2 * \mathrm{a} .{ }^{*} \mathrm{c}\right)\right)$;
$\% \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{acos}((\mathrm{c} 2+\mathrm{b} 2-\mathrm{a} 2) . /(2 * \mathrm{~b} . * \mathrm{c}))$;
$\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{abs}([\mathrm{pi}-(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{B} \mathrm{C]})$
\% The abs function is used to ensure that no imaginery part will be in the result.

## function $y=\operatorname{angles} 3(f) ;$

\% Angles3(f)
\% Creates an array, given in (f), containing the angles corresponding to \% the 3 lengths that form the triangles.
\% The result is an array with the same dimension as (f), i.e., (Nx3).
$\%$ Care is taken to ensure that none of the 3 lengths are equal
$\%$ to 0 , i.e., $\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{c}$. ne. 0 , otherwise the result would be
$\%$ NaN type (Not-a-Number). The routine zero_fil is used to disallow $\%$ this possibility.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Sept 1995
echo off
auxl=f; \%auxl=zero_fil(f); \% Create a pew array aux1 with NO null elements.
$[m, n]=\operatorname{size}(a u x 1) ; \%$ The dim of the new array are $<=\operatorname{dim}(f)$.
aux2=aux1.^2;
a=aux1(:,1); b=aux1(:,2); c=aux1(:,3);
a2=aux2(:,1); b2=aux2(:,2); c2=aux2(:,3);
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{acos}\left((\mathrm{a} 2+\mathrm{b} 2-\mathrm{c} 2) . /\left(2^{*} \mathrm{a} .{ }^{*} \mathrm{~b}\right)\right)$;
$\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{acos}\left((\mathrm{a} 2+\mathrm{c} 2-\mathrm{b} 2) . /\left(2 * \mathrm{a} .{ }^{*} \mathrm{c}\right)\right)$;

```
\% \(\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{acos}\left((\mathrm{c} 2+\mathrm{b} 2-\mathrm{a} 2) . /\left(2^{*} \mathrm{~b} . * \mathrm{c}\right)\right)\);
\(\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{abs}([\mathrm{pi}-(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{B} \mathrm{C}])\)
\% The abs function is used to ensure that no imaginery part will be in the result.
```

```
function \(\mathbf{y}=\) aray_ser(f);
\% Aray_ser(f)
\% Converts the ( MxN ) array ( f ) to a vector ( \(\mathrm{MxN}, 1\) ) suitable for application as
\(\%\) as direct input to a third order HONN. The result is a vector as described above.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - May 1994
echo off
[ \(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}\) ]=size(f);
\(G\left(m^{*} n\right)=0 ; \%\) Auxilliary vector from 2D to 1D.
for \(x=1\) :m
    for \(y=1: n\)
        \(\mathrm{G}((\mathrm{x}-1) * \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) ;\)
    end
end
\(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{m} * \mathrm{n}\);
\(\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{G}\);
```

function $\mathbf{y}=$ char_dis( $\mathbf{f}$;
\% Char_dis(f)
\% Creates a $7 \times 5$ array (lines x columns) with (f) the column-vector containing
$\%$ the 35 elements which represents an alphabet letter, i.e., the alphabet(:,1) represents
$\%$ the letter $a$, the alphabet $(:, 2)$ the letter $b$, and so on. The character is also displayed
$\%$ using the function plotchar.
\%
\% See also plotchar, prprob.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Sept 1995
echo off
[m,n]=size(f); \% The initial assumption is that $\mathrm{n}=1$ (column vector)
$\mathrm{i}=1$;
for $x=1: 7$
for $y=1: 5$
$G(x, y)=f(i) ;$
$i=i+1$;
end
end
plotchar(f);
$\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{G}$;
function $\mathbf{y}=$ chr_feat(f,sorted,index);
\% Chr_feat(f,sorted,index)
\% Creates an array with 26 columns where each column represents the feature vector $\%$ of the corresponding alphabet letter, i.e., the 1 st column represents the feature vector $\%$ for the letter $a$, the 2 nd column for the letter $b$, and so on. The character is also $\%$ displayed using the function plotchar.
\% In (f) is given the alphabet array ( $35 \times 26$ ), for a $7 \times 5$ analysis.
\% The 'sorted' parameter in the input argument stands for the array given after applying the \% sortingX.m function (on the INITIAL array). This array has been given a name
\% such as sor, in the higherXX.mat file (e.g., an analysis 8 x 8 is higher8x.mat).
\% See also feat_vec, char_dis.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Sept 1995
echo off
[ $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ ]=size( f ); \% The initial assumption is that $\mathrm{n}=1$ (column vector)
no_of_feat=sorted(combin(64,3),5); \% See notes.Find the size (mX1) of the feature vector
G (no_of_feat,26)=0;
$\mathrm{i}=1$;
for $\mathrm{x}=1: 26$
$A(8,8)=0 ; \%$ Here its only for $8 \times 8$ analysis.
A(1:7,1:5)=char_dis(f(:,x));
pause(1);
G(:,x)=feat_vec(A,sorted,index);
end
$\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{G}$;
function $\mathbf{y}=\operatorname{combin}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k})$
$\%$ Combin(n,k)
\% Gives the number of different combinations of $n$ different
$\%$ elements taken k at a time without repetitions (' n choose 3 ').
\% Uses the function $n!/ k!(n-k)!=n(n-1)(n-2) \ldots(n-k+1) / 1^{*} 2^{*} \ldots * k$.
\% (See also Factoria.m)
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Feb. 94
$\mathrm{y}=$ permut( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}$ )/factoria(k);
\% Ref: Advanced Engineering Mathematics, Kreyszig, p. 1191
function $y=\operatorname{distan}(f) ;$
\% Distan(f)
\% Gives the lengths for all possible triangles formed from the binary image given in $f$.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Feb. 1994
echo off
$\mathrm{a}=$ neur_3v3(f);
[ $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ ]=size(a);
$\mathrm{b}(1: \mathrm{m}, 1: 6)=\mathrm{a}(1: \mathrm{m}, 5: 10)$; \% See function Neur_3v3 for the format
clear $\mathrm{a} ; \quad \%$ Here in the b there are only the coordinates $\% \mathrm{a} 1, \mathrm{~b} 1 \mathrm{a} 2, \mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{a} 3, \mathrm{~b} 3$ for the triangles.
$c(1: m, 1: 6)=[b(1: m, 1)-b(1: m, 3) b(1: m, 2)-b(1: m, 4) b(1: m, 3)-b(1: m, 5) b(1: m, 4)-b(1: m, 6) b(1: m, 5)-$
$\mathrm{b}(1: m, 1) \mathrm{b}(1: \mathrm{m}, 6)-\mathrm{b}(1: \mathrm{m}, 2)]$;
clear b ;
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}$. ${ }^{\wedge}$;
$\mathrm{d}(1: \mathrm{m}, 1: 3)=[\mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 1)+\mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 2) \mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 3)+\mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 4) \mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 5)+\mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 6)]$;
clear c;
result=sqrt(d);
$\mathrm{y}=$ result;

## function $\mathbf{y}=\operatorname{distan} 2(f)$;

\% Distan2(f)
\% Gives the lengths for all possible triangles formed from the data given in (f)
$\%$ in the following form [x1 y1 x2 y2 x3y3].
\% This routine is the same as distan(f) differing only in the input format.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - June 1994
echo off
[m,n]=size(f);
$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{f}$; $\quad$ \% See function triangle2 2 for the format
\% Here in the $b$ there are only the coordinates
$\% \mathrm{a} 1, \mathrm{~b} 1 \mathrm{a} 2, \mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{a} 3, \mathrm{~b} 3$ for the triangles.
$c(1: m, 1: 6)=[b(1: m, 1)-b(1: m, 3) b(1: m, 2)-b(1: m, 4) b(1: m, 3)-b(1: m, 5) b(1: m, 4)-b(1: m, 6) b(1: m, 5)-$
$\mathrm{b}(1: \mathrm{m}, 1) \mathrm{b}(1: \mathrm{m}, 6)-\mathrm{b}(1: \mathrm{m}, 2)]$;
clear b ;
clear f;
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}$. ${ }^{2}$;
$\mathrm{d}(1: \mathrm{m}, 1: 3)=[\mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 1)+\mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 2) \mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 3)+\mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 4) \mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 5)+\mathrm{c}(1: \mathrm{m}, 6)] ;$
clear c ;
result=sqrt(d);
$\mathrm{y}=$ result;

## function $\mathbf{y}=\operatorname{distan} 3(f)$;

$\%$ Distan3(f)
\% Gives the lengths for all possible triangles formed from the data given in (f)
$\%$ in the following form [x1 y1 x2 y $2 \times 3 \mathrm{y} 3$ ].
\% This routine is the same as distan(f) differing only in the input format.
\% This function is an optimized version of distan2(f).
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - June 1994
echo off
[m,n]=size(f);
$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{f}$; $\quad$ \% See function triangle2 for the format
clear f ; $\quad \%$ Here in the b there are only the coordinates
$\% \mathrm{a} 1, \mathrm{~b} 1 \mathrm{a} 2, \mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{a} 3, \mathrm{~b} 3$ for the triangles.
$c(m, 6)=0$;
$\mathrm{c}(:,:)=[\mathrm{b}(:, 1)-\mathrm{b}(:, 3) \mathrm{b}(:, 2)-\mathrm{b}(;, 4) \mathrm{b}(:, 3)-\mathrm{b}(:, 5) \mathrm{b}(:, 4)-\mathrm{b}(:, 6) \mathrm{b}(:, 5)-\mathrm{b}(:, 1) \mathrm{b}(:, 6)-\mathrm{b}(:, 2)]$;
clear b ;
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}$.^2;
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{m}, 3)=0$;
$d(:,:)=[c(:, 1)+c(:, 2) c(:, 3)+c(:, 4) c(:, 5)+c(:, 6)] ;$
clear c;
result=sqrt(d);
$\mathrm{y}=$ result;

## function $\mathbf{y}=$ drawing $(\mathbf{G})$

\% Drawing(G)
\% Splits the graph-window into 4 sub-windows and plots the array (G)
$\%$ in the top left quarter of the screen, the perim(G) in the top right,
$\%$ the peri_con( G ) in the bottom left and, in the bottom right,
\% a comparative plot.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - March 94
clg;
subplot(221),mesh(G);
title('Original Image');
$\mathrm{p}=$ perim(G); \% Perimeter.
subplot(222), mesh(p);
title('Perimeter');
c=peri_con(p); \% Encoded (reduced) perimeter.
subplot(223),mesh(c);
title('Encoded Perimeter');
$\mathrm{x}=[\operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{G})) \operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{p}))$ sum(sum(c))];
for $\mathrm{i}=1: 3$
$\mathrm{yy}(\mathrm{i})=\operatorname{combin}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{i}), 3)$;
end
subplot(224),semilogy(yy);
ylabel('Number of Triangles');
$y=x$;

```
function y = factoria(x)
```

\% Factoria(x)
\% Gives the factorial function of $x$.
\% If $x$ is $<150$ then it uses the function $x!=x(x-1)(x-2) . .1$.
\% If $x>150$, then it uses the Stirling Formula approximation
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Feb. 94
if $x<=150$,
$\mathrm{m}=1$;
for $\mathrm{i}=1$ : x
$\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{m}^{*} \mathrm{i}$;
end
else
$\mathrm{m}=(\mathrm{x} / \exp (1))^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}^{*} \operatorname{sqrt}\left(2 * \mathrm{x}^{*} \mathrm{pi}\right) ; \%$ Stirling Formula
end
$\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{m}$
\% Ref: Advanced Engineering Mathematics, Kreyszig, p. 1192

## function $y=$ feat_gry(f, sorted, index);

\% Feat_gry(f,sorted,index)
\% Generates the corresponding feature column vector for
\% the gray scale array given in (f).
\% Feat_gry.m first creates a lookup table with indices the triangle coordinates
\% that form all the combinations of the non-zero points of (f) taken 3 at a time.
\% The result will have combin $(\mathrm{k}, 3)$ rows, where k is the \# of non-zero elements.
\% This routine then gives the feature vector \#, provided the array index,
\% representing the final result of the indexing.m function on an array mXn .
\% Normally this lookup table has been given a name like ind (in the mat file).
\% The final column vector is formed by multiplying the normalized values of the three pixels.
$\%$ Since two or more triplets could correspond to the same feature (forming similar triangles but \% having different intensities), the max result of the internal multiplication is kept in order to \% represent the magnitude of the particular feature.
\% The 'sorted' parameter in the input argument stands for the array given after applying the \% sorting2.m function (on the INITIAL array). In the same way, this array is given
$\%$ a name such as ss, in the higherXX.mat file (e.g., for an analysis $4 \times 4$ ).
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Sept 1995
echo off
threshold $=0.1 ; \%$ The threshold is defined as the minimum 'intensity' value, that would \%represent the existense of useful information (i.e. targets)
aux $3=\mathrm{f}$ '; $\quad \%$ Conversion from array to vector. The invertion (') is being used in order the result aux4=aux3(:); \% to comply with the way we've defined the scanning pattern. (all the columns in 1st row, 2nd row...)
[ $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ ]=size(f); \% The initial assumption is that $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}$
maxim=max(aux4); \% Find the max "intensity" OR maxim= maximum value given as \% parameter.
size_of=m*n;
no_of_feat=sorted(combin(size_of,3),5); \% See notes.Find the size (mX1) of the feature vector non_zero=find(f); \% Creates a vector with the non-zero elements of $f$, $\%$ e.g. if f is $4 \times 4$ could give, $3,13,15,16$.
[mm,nn]=size(non_zero);
if $\mathrm{mm}>2$ \& maxim $>$ threshold $\%$ to avoid situations with just 2 active-pixels in the array. $\%$ (i.e. No possible triangle), or no information at all.
$\mathrm{k}=1$; $\mathrm{i}=1$;
aux4=aux4 ./ maxim; \% Normalisation.
feat_vec(no_of_feat) $=0 ; \%$ Creation of the feature vector, lenght $=$ the $\#$ of features.
for $x=1$ :size_of
for $y=x+1$ :size_of $\%$
for $z=y+1$ :size_of $\%$
if aux4(x)\&aux4(y)\&aux4(z)
$\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{i}, 1: 4)=[\mathrm{k} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{y} \mathrm{z}$;
$\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{k}$; \% Since we are interested only in the \# of the combination.
\%aux5(i)=index(k,2);
aux5=index(k,2);
feat_vec(aux5)=max([feat_vec(index(k,2)) aux4(x)*aux4(y)*aux4(z)]);
$\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1$; \% The above command accomodates the function that selects the most end $\quad \%$ prominent (maximum) value for the feature in the feature vector. $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}+1$; \% So for all the similar triangles, only one value will be taken end $\quad \%$ into account, the maximum.
end
end
end \% For the IF loop1
$\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}-1$;
$y=$ feat_vec'
\% To create a column vector (one column, \# of features rows).

## function $y=$ feat_vec(f, sorted,index);

\% Feat_vec(f,sorted,index)
\% Generates the corresponding feature column vector for the binary array given in (f).
$\%$ Feat_vec.m first creates a lookup table with indices the triangle coordinates
$\%$ that form all the combinations of the non-zero points of (f) taken 3 at a time.
$\%$ The result will be combin $(\mathrm{k}, 3)$ rows, where k is the \# of non-zero elements.
\% This routine then gives the feature vector \#, provided the array index,
$\%$ representing the final result of the indexing.m function on an array MxN .
\% Normally this lookup table is given a name such as ind (in the Matlab file).
\% The 'sorted' parameter in the input argument stands for the array given after
\% applying the sorting2.m function (on the INITIAL array). In the same way, a name such $\%$ as ss is given in the higherXX.mat file (e.g., for an analysis $4 \times 4$ ).

```
%
% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - June }199
echo off
aux3=f'; % Conversion from array to vector. The invertion (') is being used in order the result
aux4=aux3(:);% to comply with the way we've defined the scanning pattern. (all the columns in
1st row, 2nd row...)
[m,n]=size(f); % The initial assumption is that m=n
size_of=m*n;
no_of_feat=sorted(combin(size_of,3),5); % See notes.Find the size (mX1) of the feature vector
non_zero=find(f'); % Creates a vector with the non-zero elements of f,
                                    % e.g. if f is 4x4 could give, 3, 13, 15,16 .
[mm,nn]=size(non_zero);
if mm>2 % to avoid situations with just 2 active-pixels in the array. (No possible triangle)
k=1; i=1;
feat_vec(no_of_feat)=0; % Creation of the feature vector, lenght = the # of features.
for x=1:size_of
    for y=x+1:size_of %
        for z=y+1:size_of %
            if aux4(x)&aux4(y)&aux4(z)
            G(i,1:4)=[k x y z];
                G(i)=k; % Since we are interested only in the # of the combination.
                    aux5(i)=index(k,2);
                feat_vec(index(k,2))=1;
            i = i+1;
                end
                k=k+1;
            end
    end
end
end % For the IF loop1
i=i-1;
y=feat_vec'
% To create a column vector (one column, # of features rows).
function y=file_inp(name)
% File_inp('name')
% Opens and reads the file named 'name'.
%
% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou
fid=fopen(name);
a=input('How many rows ?');
b=input('How many columns?');
matrix=fread(fid,[a,b],'float');
fclose(fid);
y=matrix;
```

```
function y = grouping(f);
% Grouping(f)
% Given in (f) the 3 angles that form the triangles containing no
% zero elements since the function zero_fil was applied, this routine
% creates a new array with the same or smaller dimension than f, (Nx3),
% eliminating all the triplets having the same elements taking into account
% the relative order (e.g., a b c is different from a c b).
%
% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - July 1994
echo off
[m,n]=size(f); % The dim of the new array will be <= dim(f).
n=1;k=1;
aux(m)=0; % Auxilliary vector m.
for i=k:m-1
    if abs(f(i,1)-f(i+1,1)) <= 0.0001,
    % C(1:n+1,1:3)=[C(1:n,1:3)' f(i,1:3)']'; % The ' operation is very time consuming
        ind=ind+1;
    else,
        aux(n)=i;
        n=n+1;
    end
end
    if abs(f(m-1,1)-f(m,1))>0.0001,% Boundary Condition, since in the
        aux(n)=m; % above if-loop there is no provision
    end % for the m-th element.
y=aux;
```


## function $\mathbf{y}=$ indexing( $\mathbf{f}$;

```
\% Indexing(f)
\% Creates a 2-column indexing table with the following structure:
\% the first column is sorted and contains the triplet \#, while the 2nd
\% column gives the corresponding feature vector position.
\(\%(\mathrm{f})\) is the result of the sorting2 routine and has in the first column the initial \(\%\) index of the triplet, (e.g., 11 , for a \(6 \times 6\), means the 11 th combination; see also
\(\%\) triangl2.m routine), while in the fifth column the no. of the feature vector,
\(\%\) or set of angles, to which it corresponds.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - June 1995
echo off
[m,n]=size(f);
\([\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{I}]=\operatorname{sort}(\mathrm{f}(1: \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{l}))\);
\(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{m}, 2)=0\);
\(\mathrm{G}(:, 2)=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{I}(1: \mathrm{m}), 5) ; \%\) Sorting regarding the 1 st element
\(\mathrm{G}(:, 1)=[1: \mathrm{m}]\) ';
\(\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{G}\);
```

```
function \(y=\) peri_con(f)
\% Peri_con(f)
\% Perimeter edge detection and encoding using our developed algorithm where (f)
\(\%\) is the binary input array ( \(\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{MxN}\) ). When the perimeter is found, another
\(\%\) algorithm is applied in order to find the vertex points of the perimeter.
\% In order to avoid boundary condition problems, an auxilliary array \(\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{m}+2, \mathrm{n}+2\) is used.
\% The result is another array ( MxN ) with 1's showing the perimeter vertex points.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - March 1994
echo off
\% thres_points=
\% The max number of perim. points that won't be converted to perimeter vertex points
[m n] \(=\operatorname{size}(\mathrm{f})\).
\(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{m}+2, \mathrm{n}+2)=0\);
\(\mathrm{G}(2: \mathrm{m}+1,2: \mathrm{n}+1)=\mathrm{f}\);
\(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{m}+2, \mathrm{n}+2)=0\);
\(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{T}\); \% Declaration of auxiliary arrays.
for \(\mathrm{x}=2: \mathrm{m}+1\)
for \(y=2: m+1\)
    \(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \&(\sim \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y})|\sim \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}+1)| \sim \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}-1) \mid \sim \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}-1, \mathrm{y})))\);
end
end
\%points=sum(sum(T)); \% Gives the number of the perimeter points (Binary Image).
\(\%\) if points \(<=\) thres_points, \(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{T}\);
\% else
    for \(x=2: m+1\)
        for \(y=2: n+1\)
                        if \(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})==1\),
                        \(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\sim((\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}-1, \mathrm{y}-1) \& \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}+1))|(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}-1, \mathrm{y}+1) \& \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}-1))|(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}-1) \& \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}+1))\)
\(\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}-1, \mathrm{y}) \& \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}))\) );
                end
        end
    end
\%end
\(\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{C}(2: \mathrm{m}+1,2: \mathrm{n}+1)\);
```

function $y=\operatorname{perim}(f)$
\% Perim(f)
\% Perimeter edge detection using our developed algorithm where (f)
\% is the binary input array ( $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{MxN}$ ). There is also a provision for the points
\% lying diagonally to be multiplied with the factor 1.414 (sqrt 2 ).
\% In order to avoid boundary condition problems an auxilliary array dim $\mathrm{m}+2, \mathrm{n}+2$ is used.
\% The result is another array ( MxN ) with 1's showing the perimeter points.

```
%
% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Feb. }199
echo off
[m n]=size(f);
G(m+2,n+2)=0;
G(2:m+1,2:n+1)=f;
T(m+2,n+2)=0;
for }\textrm{x}=2:m+
    for }y=2:m+
        T(x,y)=(G(x,y)&(~G(x+1,y)|~G(x,y+1)|~G(x,y-1)|~G(x-1,y)));
    end
end
%for x=2:m+1
% for y=2:n+1
% if (T(x-1,y-1)&~T(x-1,y)&~T(x,y-1))|(T(x-1,y+1)&~T(x,y+1)&~T(x-1,y))
% T(x,y)=T(x,y)*1.414;
% end
% end
%end
y=T(2:m+1,2:n+1);
function y = permut(n,k)
% Permut(n,k)
% Gives the number of different permutations of n different
% things taken k at a time without repetitions (' n choose 3').
% Uses the function n!/(n-k)!=n(n-1)(n-2)...(n-k+1).
% (See also Factoria.m)
%
% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Feb. }9
m=1;
for i=n-k+1:n
    m=m*i;
end
y=m;
% Ref: Advanced Engineering Mathematics, Kreyszig, p. }119
function y = salt_pep(C,t)
% Salt_pep(C,t)
% Removes from the array C (dim MxN), the isolated 1's and smooths the 'bumps'.
% Initially binarizes the C with threshold t (i.e., if C(i,j)<=t then =0 else =1)
% Ref. Robotics pp.341.
% The result is a filtered binary array with the same dimensions.
%
```

```
% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Feb. }199
echo off
[m n]=size(C);
v(m+2,n+2)=0;
L(m+2,n+2)=0;
megisto=max(max(C)); %
v(2:m+1,2:n+1)=C; %
    for i=2:m+1 %
    for j=2:n+1 %
            if v(i,j)<=t
                v(i,j)=0;
            else
                    v(i,j)=1;
            end
        end
    end
    for i=2:m+1
        for j=2:n+1
            if }v(i,j)==
            a=v(i-1,j-1); %
            b=v(i-1,j); %
            c=v(i-1,j+1);
            d=v(i,j-1);
            e=v(i,j+1);
            f=v(i+1,j-1);
            g=v(i+1,j);
            h=v(i+1,j+1);
            L(i,j)=(( (albld) & (elglh))|((blcle) & (dlflg)) )| (C(i-1,j-1) > .2*megisto);
            else % The array L is used only to preserve the elements
            L(i,j)=0;% of v during the loop
            end
        end
    end
y=L(2:m+1,2:n+1); % The result has the same dim.mxn.
```

function [trian,dist,ang,sor,index] = segm_bat(f);
\% Segm_bat(f)
\% Given in (f) the segment array (i.e. a $10 \times 10$ array), we generate the five arrays
\% required to proceed with HONN training.
\% Attention must be paid to the way granularity is defined in the employed m.files.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou Sept. 1995
echo off
trian=triangl3(f);
dist=distan3(trian);
ang=angles3(dist);
sor=sorting2(ang);
index=indexing(sor);

## function $\mathbf{y}=$ sorting3(f);

\% Sorting3(f)
\% Rearranges the angles that are given in (f), in such a way that, in every row,
\% the first is the smallest angle of the triplet but, at the same time,
\% the order of the angles is preserved (e.g., $(a, b, c)=(b, c, a)=(c, a, b))$.
\% Finally, it sorts the rows, deleting at the same time all the rows having
\% the form 0.0013 .140 .000 etc., i.e., any 'line' triangles (180 00 ).
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - June 1995
echo off
granularity $=0.001$;
$\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{abs}(\mathrm{f}) ; \%$ To eliminate the elements -0.00000 ....
[m,n]=size(f);
aux $1=\mathrm{f}$;
for $\mathrm{i}=1$ :m

$f(i, 1)=a u x 1(i, 1) ; \%$ Then, we replace the values of i.e. $i, 1$ and $i, 3$ with one (i.e. $i, 1$ ),
because
$f(i, 2)=a u x 1(i, 1) ; \%$ we want the further procedure to deal with exact equal values. Some inequalities
$f(i, 3)=a u x 1(i, 2) ; \%$ result from the routine angles, since employement of the cos function is made.
elseif abs( $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 2)-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 3))$ <granularity,
$f(i, 1)=\operatorname{aux} 1(i, 2)$;
$f(i, 2)=\operatorname{aux}(1,2)$;
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 3)=\mathrm{aux} 1(\mathrm{i}, 1)$;
elseif abs(f(i,1)-f(i,2))<granularity,
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 1)=\operatorname{aux} 1(\mathrm{i}, 1)$;
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 2)=$ aux $1(\mathrm{i}, 1)$;
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 3)=\operatorname{aux} 1(\mathrm{i}, 3)$;
end
aux2=f(i,1:3); \% Local aux. vector.
$[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{ind} \mathrm{d}=\min (\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 1: 3))$; \% Sorting procedure starts here.
if ind $==2$,
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 1)=\operatorname{aux} 2(2)$;
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 2)=\operatorname{aux} 2(3)$;
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 3)=\mathrm{aux} 2(1)$;
elseif ind $==3$,
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 1)=\operatorname{aux} 2(3)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 2)=\operatorname{aux} 2(1) ; \\
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, 3)=\operatorname{aux} 2(2) ; \\
& \text { else } \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

end
aux $1=f$; \% Section that sorts the rows.
$[\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{I}]=\operatorname{sort}(\mathrm{f}(1: \mathrm{m}, 1))$;
$\mathrm{l}=1$; $\mathrm{mm}=1$;
clear f ;
$\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{m}+1)=\mathrm{m}+1$; \%Trick
aux $1(m+1,1)=4 ; \%$ Trick (Because $4>3.14$, the max. number can be found in the array, the sort procedure
\% will take place also for the last set of elements.
aux $2(\mathrm{~m}+1,2)=4$;
aux $3(\mathrm{~m}, 5)=0 ; \%$ Attempt to optimize the procedure, pre-specifying the array size.
for $\mathrm{i}=1$ :m
aux2(i,1:3)=aux1(I(i),1:3); \% Sorting regarding the 1st element
if aux $1(\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{i}+1), 1)-\operatorname{aux} 2(\mathrm{i}, 1)>$ granularity $\%$ define granularity
[Y_SUB,I_SUB]=sort(aux2(1:i,2));
for ind=l:i
aux3(ind, 1 ) $=\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I}$ _SUB(ind- $1+1$ ) $+\mathrm{l}-1$ ); aux3(ind,2:4)=aux2(I_SUB(ind-1+1)+l-1,1:3); \% Because refers to A subset if ind $>2$
if aux3(ind,3) - aux3(ind-1,3) > granularity \% Define granularity $\mathrm{mm}=\mathrm{mm}+1$; \% The index (mm) here changes for the rows in WITHIN the same subset
end $\%$ i.e. for the rows with the end aux 3 (ind, 5 ) $=\mathrm{mm}$; end
$\mathrm{mm}=\mathrm{mm}+1$; \% The index here changes for the rows WITHIN different subsets. $1=1+1$;
end
end
$y=a u x 3$;

## function $\mathbf{y}=\operatorname{tempor}(\mathbf{f})$;

\% Tempor(f)
\% Creates a lookup table with indices the triplet \#'s which form all possible combinations $\%$ of 3 points plus the serial index \#. The result will have combin $\left(m^{*} n, 4\right)$ rows.
\%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - June 1995

```
echo off
[m,n]=size(f); % The initial assumption is that m=n
size_of=m*n;
No_of_triangles=combin(size_of,3);
i=1;
for x=1:size_of
    for y=x+1:size_of %
                for z=y+1:size_of %
            G(i, 1:4)=[i x y z];
            i=i+1;
            end
        end
end
i=i-1;
%tim=fix(clock)-tim; % This timer gives the elapsed calculation time
y=G;
function y = triangl2(f);
% Triangl2(f)
%Creates a lookup table with indices the triangle coordinates which form all possible
% combinations of 3 points. The result will have combin(m*n,3) rows.
%
% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - June }199
echo off
[m,n]=size(f); % The initial assumption is that m=n
size_of=m*n;
No_of_triangles=combin(size_of,3);
i=1;
for x=1:size_of
    for }\textrm{y}=\textrm{x}+1\mathrm{ :size_of %
        for z=y+1:size_of %
                G(i,1:3)=[x y z];
                i=i+1;
        end
    end
end
i=i-1
%tim=fix(clock)-tim; % This timer gives the elapsed calculation time
% pause
auxl=ceil(G/n);% Calculation of the row index of each element
aux2=G-(aux 1-1)*n; %Calculation of the column index
G(1:i,1)=aux1(1:i,1);
G(1:i,2)=aux2(1:i,1);
G(1:i,3)=aux1(1:i,2);
G(1:i,4)=aux2(1:i,2);
```

```
G(1:i,5)=aux1(1:i,3);
G(1:i,6)=aux2(1:i,3);
% from this part on we try to arrange the triangles in a clockwise direction.
for ind=1:i
    x(1:6)=G(ind,1:6);
    if }x(1)==x(3
    elseif (x(3)==x(5)) I ((x(2)==x(4)) & (x(6)>x(4)))
        G(ind,3:6)=[x(5) x(6) x(3) x(4)];
    elseif (((x(2)-x(4))/(x(1)-x(3)))*(x(5)-x(1))+x(2))<x(6)
        G(ind,3:6)=[x(5) x(6) x(3) x(4)];
    end
end
y=G;
```


## function $\mathbf{y}=$ triangl3(f);

```
\% Triangl3(f)
\% Creates a look-up table with indices the triangle coordinates which form all possible \(\%\) combinations of 3 points. The result will have combin \((m * n, 3)\) rows.
\% This routine is an optimized version of the routine triangl2.m above \%
\% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - Sept. 1995
echo off
[ \(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}]=\operatorname{size}(\mathrm{f}) ;\) \% The initial assumption is that \(\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}\)
size_of=m*n;
No_of_triangles=combin(size_of,3);
\(\mathrm{G}(\) No_of_triangles, 3 ) \(=0\);
\(\mathrm{i}=1\);
for \(x=1\) :size_of
for \(y=x+1\) :size_of \(\%\)
for \(\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{y}+1\) :size_of \(\%\)
\(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{i}, 1: 3)=[\mathrm{x}\) y z]; \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1\);
end
end
end
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}-1\)
\%tim=fix(clock)-tim; \(\quad \%\) This timer gives the elapsed calculation time
\% pause
aux \(1=\operatorname{ceil}(\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{n}) ; \%\) Calculation of the row index of each element
aux2=G-(aux 1-1)*n; \%Calculation of the column index
\(\mathrm{G}(:, 1)=\mathrm{aux} 1(:, 1)\);
\(\mathrm{G}(:, 2)=\operatorname{aux} 2(:, 1)\);
\(\mathrm{G}(:, 3)=\mathrm{aux} 1(:, 2)\);
\(\mathrm{G}(:, 4)=\mathrm{aux} 2(:, 2)\);
```

```
G(:,5)=aux1(:,3);
G(:,6)=aux2(:,3);
% from this part on we try to arrange the triangles in a clockwise direction.
for ind=1:i
    x(1:6)=G(ind,1:6);
    if }x(1)==x(3
    elseif (x(3)==x(5))|((x(2)==x(4)) & (x(6)>x(4)))
        G(ind,3:6)=[x(5)x(6) x(3) x(4)];
    elseif (((x(2)-x(4))/(x(1)-x(3)))*(x(5)-x(1))+x(2))<x(6)
        G(ind,3:6)=[x(5) x(6)x(3)x(4)];
    end
end
y=G;
function y = zero_fil(f);
% Zero_fil(f)
% Given in (f) the 3 lengths that form the triangles, this routine creates a new array
% with the same or smaller dimension than f, (Nx3), by eliminating all triangles for
% which there is one (or more) zero lengths.
% This routine is used to ensure that the 3 lengths must be different than 0,
% i.e., a*b*c .ne. 0, otherwise there is no triangle.
%
% Dr. Fivos Hatzivasiliou - June }199
echo off
[m,n]=size(f); % The dim of the new array are <= dim(f).
pro=(prod(f'))';
ind=1;
for i=1:m
        if pro(i) ~= 0,
                C(ind,1:3)=f(i,1:3);
                ind=ind+1;
    end
end
y=C;
```
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