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A length-fecundity relationship is defined for Atlantic salmon in the Restigouche River, where $\log _{e}$ fecundity $=-1.1862+2.3423$ $\log _{e}$ fork length ( $n=91 ; R^{2}=0.89$ ). Average relative fecundity was calculated to be 1475 eggs. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$, which is significantly less than the fecundity used previously in Restigouche assessments (1764 eggs. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ). About 12,200 salmon are required for spawning in the Restigouche to achieve adequate recruitment. In addition, about 2,600 grilse are needed to ensure a $1: 1$ sex ratio at spawning. Preliminary estimates of spawning escapement between 1972 and 1982 suggest the Restigouche River has been significantly underseeded in recent years.

## Resumé

Nous définissons dans le présent document une relation longueur-fecondite pour le saumon atlantique de la rivięre Restigouche comme suit: $\log _{e}$ fecondite $=-1,1862+2,3423 \log _{\mathrm{e}}$ longueur a la fourche ( $n=91 ; R^{2}=0,89$ ). D'après nos calculs, la fécondite relative moyenne est de 1475 oeufs. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$, chiffre significativement inferieur à celui utilise auparavant dans les évaluations de cette rivière ( 1764 oeufs. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ). Il faut environ 12200 saumons reproducteurs pour un recrutement adequat dans la Restigouche. De plus, environ 2600 madeleineaux (ou castillons) sont nẻcessaires pour qu'au moment de la ponte le rapport des sexes soit de l:l. Des estimations preliminaires de l'echappement en vue de la reproduction entre 1972 et 1982 donnent à penser qu'en ces dernières annees, cette rivière a eté nettement sous-ensemencée.

## INTRODUCTION

The Restigouche River is the second largest Atlantic salmon river in Maritime Canada. Maximum smolt yield from the Restigouche will only be attained if adequate spawning levels are achieved. An important prerequisite for assessing the status of Atlantic salmon in the Restigouche River is, therefore, knowing how many salmon are required for spawning. Egg deposition requirements were recently estimated by Chadwick and Randall (1983). This paper presents a modification and improvement of this estimate in view of recent information on the fecundity of Restigouche salmon. Estimates of spawning requirements and spawning escapement for the past 11 years (1972 to 1982) are presented to see if adequate recruitment has been achieved in the Restigouche River.

## METHODS

Egg deposition and spawner requirements for the Restigouche River were calculated using the following information:

## 1. Rearing Area

Total salmon rearing area in the Restigouche River was estimated to be $29,768,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Anon 1978). Since at the time this report was prepared, the Restigouche had not been surveyed, this estimate was based on a drainage area method ( $J$. Peppar, personal communication), where:

Rearing area( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) = Drainage area( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) X Rearing area of surveyed river $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ (of unsurveyed river)

More recently, the N.B. Department of Natural Resources (in collaboration with the Ministère du Loisir, de la Chasse et de la Pêche, Gouvernement du Québec) have estimated total rearing area, based on actual field surveys, to be $25,074,400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. However, this estimate is tentative, and it will probably be increased when more habitat is surveyed (Alan Madden, DNR, Campbellton; personal communication). In view of this, the larger of the two estimates $\left(29,768,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ is used in this report. Using this value may slightly overestimate spawning requirements, but the bias is in favour of conservation. Plans are in progress to have Restigouche rearing area estimated from a detailed analysis of aerial photographs, using a technique that has already been applied to the Miramichi River (Amiro 1983); however, this estimate will not be available for another year.

## 2. Egg Deposition Rate

The required potential egg deposition rate for the Restigouche River is assumed to be 2.4 eggs.m ${ }^{-2}$. The use of this value is discussed by Randall (in preparation).

## 3. Fecundity

Ninety-one female salmon were collected from the Restigouche commercial, Native and recreational fisheries in 1983 for a fecundity estimate. Ovaries were collected from the following areas:

| Area | Number | Mean fork length, cm | Mean weight, kg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main Restigouche | 61 | 87.4 | 7.9 |
| Upsalquitch | 18 | 72.6 | 4.5 |
| Kedgwick | 6 | 96.5 | 10.0 |
| Chaleur Bay | 6 | 83.3 | 7.0 |
| Total | 91 | 84.8 | 7.3 |

All ovaries were placed in Gilson's fluid until ovarian tissue broke down, and then transferred to $10 \%$ formalin to harden the eggs. Egg samples were counted in their entirety using a paddle and trough similar to that illustrated in Mills (1971). When egg counts were initially plotted against fork length, it was evident that variability increased as the egg count increased. Therefore, both egg counts and fork lengths were $\log _{e}$ transformed before analysis (Pope et al 1961). Samples from all areas were combined to produce a length-fecundity relationship for Restigouche salmon.

## 4. Mean Lengths, Sex Ratios, and q-at-age

Salmon and grilse entering the Restigouche River during the period 1972 to 1980 were systematically sampled at a Dalhousie trap site (Peppar 1983). These data were reworked (by R. Pickard, DFO, Millbank, N. B.) so that mean lengths, sex ratios and percents-at-age were available for three age-groups of salmon: l-sea-winter (1SN), 2-sea-winter (2SW), and 3-sea-winter and older (3SW) (Tables 1 and 2).

Total egg deposition requirements for the Restigouche River were calculated from the above information as:
(1) Egg deposition requirements $=$ Rearing area X Egg deposition rate.

Average egg deposition per fish was calculated as:
(2) Egg deposition per fish $=$ Fecundity $X$ proportion female $X$ proportion-at-age.
where fecundity is calculated from the length-fecundity relationship. Egg deposition per fish is calculated for lSW, 2 SW and 3 SW salmon separately, and then summed to get a total egg deposition per fish.

Number of required spawners could then be calculated as:
(3) Number of spawners $=$ Egg deposition requirements/Egg deposition per fish.

## RESULTS

During the period 1972 to 1980 , grilse (1SW), small salmon (2SW) and large salmon ( 3 SW ) in the Restigouche River averaged 53,76 and 93 cm in fork length, respectively (Table 1). Grilse are predominantly males (98\%) while 2 SW and 3 SW salmon are predominantly females ( 54 and $76 \%$, respectively). Grilse, small salmon and large salmon comprised 39,45 and 16 percent of the salmon run, respectively (Table 2).

Female salmon collected for the fecundity study included all sea agegroups (2SW, 3SW and multiple spawners(MS)), except grilse (ISW):

| Sea-age | Number | Percent of sample | Relative fecundity $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 SW | 0 | 0 | - |
| 2 SW | 43 | 48 | 1600 |
| 35w | 38 | 42 | 1371 |
| MS | 9 | 10 | 1306 |
| all | 90 | 100 | 1475 |

Mean relative fecundities (eggs. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ) of 3 SW and MS salmon were significantly less than 2 SW salmon ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ). Relative fecundity of multiple spawners was not significantly less than 3 SW salmon. Mean relative fecundity for all Restigouche salmon was 1475 eggs. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$.

The length-fecundity relationship for Restigouche salmon (Fig.l) can be described by the equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\log _{e} F & =-1.1862+2.3423 \log _{e} \mathrm{FL}  \tag{4}\\
\text { where } F & =\text { fecundity } \\
F L & =\text { fork length in } \mathrm{cm} \\
\mathrm{R}^{2} & =0.89
\end{align*}
$$

Solutions to this equation indicate average fecundities for each sea age-group as follows:

| Sea-age <br> group | Average <br> fork length (cm) | Average <br> fecundity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 53.2 | 3369 |
| 1SW | 76.4 | 7863 |
| 3SW | 92.6 | 12338 |

Grilse fecundities were determined by extrapolation, since no grilse were included in the regression.

Average eggs per fish for Restigouche salmon were calculated (equation 2) for all three sea age-groups separately (Table 3). Grilse contribution to total egg deposition was insignificant during the 1972
to 1980 period (average $1 \%$, range $0-2 \%$ ). Therefore, eggs/salmon were recalculated for $25 W$ and $35 W$ salmon only (Table 4). Average eggs/salmon was 5785 (range 4700 to 6565). Of this total, 2 SW salmon contributed an average 51\% (17-80\%), while 35 s salmon contributed 49\% (20-83\%).

Total egg deposition requirements for the Restigouche River were calculated (equation 1) to be $71,443,200$ eggs. From 1972 to 1980, the number of salmon required to achieve this egg deposition (equation 3) averaged 12,157 fish (Table 5). Annual variation in the numbers of required spawners was not high (range 10,882 to 15,201).

Although grilse are not important in the Restigouche for egg deposition, some grilse are required to ensure a l:l sex ratio at spawning. Numbers of male grilse required can be calculated as:

```
Proportion of female spawners = (proportion of female 2SW salmon X
    proportion-at-age) +
    (proportion of female 3SW salmon X
    proportion-at-age)
=(0.54 x 0.70) +(0.76 x 0.30)
    (Table 4)
    =0.6060
```

Therefore, given 12,157 salmon, 7,367 are female, and 4,790 are male. To ensure a $1: 1$ sex ratio, another 2,577 males are required. Number of grilse spawners $\quad=2577 / 0.98$ (proportion of male grilse)
$=2630$ grilse.
Spawning requirements, in terms of both egg deposition and number of spawners are compared to estimated salmon escapement for the period 1972 to 1982 in Table 6 . Spawning escapement was estimated by back-calculating from parr densities (see Chadwick and Randall 1983, Method II). This comparison indicates spawning levels were on average only $25 \%$ of required levels during this 11 year period.

## DISCUSSION

Prior to this study, no estimates of salmon fecundity for the Restigouche River had been made. Ovaries collected in 1983 indicate an average relative fecundity of 1,475 eggs. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$, and this is significantly less ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) than the 1,764 eggs. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ previously used in Restigouche assessments (Chadwick and Randall 1983). Primarily for this reason, my estimate of the number of salmon required for spawning (ca. 12,200 ) is $21 \%$ higher than the previous estimate (ca. 10,100; Chadwick and Randall 1983).

Grilse are not important for egg deposition in the Restigouche River, because of the low proportion of females and their low relative abundance. Proportions of eggs coming from grilse during the 1972 to

1980 period averaged only $1 \%$. This is in sharp contrast to the Miramichi River, where grilse contributed approximately $26 \%$ of the total egg deposition during the same period (Randall, unpublished data). This difference can also be expressed another way, using the ratio of the number of grilse (whether male or female) required to produce the same number of eggs as one salmon. In the Miramichi River, the ratio of grilse to salmon is $10: 1$, while in the Restigouche River, the ratio is 86:1. Clearly, grilse are much less important in terms of egg deposition in the Restigouche River than in the Miramichi River. Numbers of salmon required for spawning in the Restigouche were calculated in this report assuming all eggs came from salmon. However, some grilse (ca. 2,600 ) are also required to ensure a $1: 1$ sex ratio at spawning.

During the period the Dalhousie trap was in operation (1972 to 1980), $2 S W$ and $3 S W$ salmon contributed about equally to total egg depositions on average ( 51 and $49 \%$ for $2 S W$ and $3 S W$ salmon, respectively). Despite annual fluctuations in sex ratios and percents-at-age for 2 SW and 3 SW fish, total eggs per fish and numbers of salmon required for spawning remained remarkably constant during this 9 year period. This suggests that the required number of salmon spawners, calculated from the 1972 to 1980 mean (ca.12,200), can be used as a reasonable target spawning level when a forecast is needed in Restigouche assessments. Spawning requirements for the current assessment year, however, can be estimated more accurately using specific sex ratio and percent-at-age information as determined by adult sampling in the current year.

Comparison of estimated spawning escapement and requirements from 1972 to 1982 indicate that egg deposition levels in past years have been substantially less than what is considered adequate. However, escapement was estimated by back-calculating from parr densities (Chadwick and Randall 1983), assuming parr densities determined by electrofishing reflect average densities in all habitat types. Until this assumption has been thoroughly tested, the spawning escapement levels presented in Table 6 will remain tentative.

## SUMMARY

1. A length-fecundity relationship was defined for 91 Restigouche female salmon sampled in 1983. Fork length (FL) can be related to fecundity (F) by the equation:

$$
\log _{e} F=-1.1862+2.3423 \log _{e} F L
$$

Relative fecundity calculated using these 1983 data ( 1475 eggs. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ) was significantly less than what has been used in previous Restigouche assessments ( 1764 eggs. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ).
2. Total egg deposition requirements for the Restigouche River were estimated to be 71,443,200 eggs.
3. Average number of salmon required for spawning in the Restigouche River, during the period 1972 to 1980 , was 12,157 ( $95 \%$ C.L. + 1,031). This estimate appears relatively insensitive to annüal changes in sex ratios and percents-at-age of $2 S W$ and $3 S W$ salmon, and thus it is a good target spawning level for the Restigouche River.
4. To ensure a $1: 1$ sex ratio at spawning, about 2,600 grilse are required in addition to the 12,200 salmon indicated in 3 .
5. If small parr densities accurately reflect escapement levels, salmon spawning in the Restigouche River has only been about $25 \%$ of the required levels during the last 11 years (1972-1982).
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Table l. Mean fork lengths (FL, cm ) and sex ratios of grilse and salmon sampled at the Dalhousie trap 1972 to 1980.

$\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{n}$ indicates sample size
2 mean percent female calculated after arcsine transformation.

Table 2. Sea-age composition of salmon captured at the Dalhousie trap, 1972 to 1980.

| Year | Grilse (1SW) |  | Salmon (2SW) |  |  | Salmon (35W and older) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | (\% of salmon) | Number | - | (\% of salmon) |
| 1972 | -- | [39] ${ }^{2}$ | 716 | 28 | (46) | 840 | 33 | (54) |
| 1973 | 326 | 22 | 854 | 57 | (73) | 316 | 21 | (27) |
| 1974 | 700 | 42 | 713 | 43 | (75) | 237 | 14 | (25) |
| 1975 | 1275 | 47 | 1144 | 42 | (80) | 286 | 11 | (20) |
| 1976 | 1087 | 47 | 949 | 41 | (76) | 300 | 13 | (24) |
| 1977 | 477 | 36 | 682 | 52 | (81) | 160 | 12 | (19) |
| 1978 | 510 | 25 | 1060 | 53 | (71) | 433 | 22 | (29) |
| 1979 | 961 | 56 | 351 | 20 | (46) | 411 | 24 | (54) |
| 1980 | 496 | 32 | 826 | 53 | (77) | 247 | 16 | (23) |
| Mean 1 |  | 39 |  | 45 | (70) |  | 16 | (30) |

1 mean percents calculated after arcsine transformations.
2 percent grilse in 1972 was assumed to be average (1973 to 1980).

Table 3. Estimated numbers of eggs per fish for three sea age-groups of Restigouche salmon, and the variables used to estimate these values, 1972 to 1980. Mean fork length (FL) from Table l; eggs per female calculated from the regression: $\log _{e} F=-1.1862+2.3423 \log _{e}$ FL (where $F=$ fecundity). Proportion female and proportion-at-age from Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Average proportion females and proportion-at-age (See All years) calculated after arcsine transformations.

| Variable | Age group | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | All years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL | 1SN | [53.2] ${ }^{1}$ | 52.8 | 54.2 | 52.8 | 53.4 | 52.6 | 53.5 | 52.3 | 53.0 | 53.2 |
|  | 2SN | 75.1 | 76.7 | 76.6 | 78.0 | 77.3 | 73.6 | 77.3 | 76.4 | 76.7 | 76.4 |
|  | 3 SN | 89.6 | 89.6 | 93.9 | 94.4 | 94.4 | 94.9 | 93.1 | 91.8 | 98.3 | 92.6 |
| Eggs/female | 19N | 3369 | 3310 | 3519 | 3310 | 3398 | 3280 | 3413 | 3237 | 3339 | 3369 |
|  | 2SN | 7554 | 7936 | 7912 | 8255 | 8082 | 7205 | 8082 | 7863 | 7936 | 7863 |
|  | 3 SN | 11422 | 11422 | 12747 | 12907 | 12907 | 13067 | 12494 | 12089 | 14191 | 12338 |
| Proportion female | 1SN | [0.02] ${ }^{1}$ | 10.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
|  | 2SN | 0.28 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.54 |
|  | 3SN | 0.76 | 0.91 | 0.69 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.43 | 0.89 | 0.76 | 0.56 | 0.76 |
| Proportion-at-age | 1SN | [0.39] ${ }^{1}$ | 10.22 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.56 | 0.32 | 0.39 |
|  | 2SN | 0.28 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.20 | 0.53 | 0.45 |
|  | 3SN | 0.33 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Eggs/fish | 1SN | 26 | 36 | 59 | 62 | 16 | 0 | 9 | 54 | 32 | 26 |
|  | 2SN | 592 | 2714 | 2211 | 2254 | 2054 | 2810 | 2142 | 519 | 1977 | 1911 |
|  | 3SN | 2865 | 2183 | 1231 | 1249 | 1426 | 674 | 2446 | 2205 | 1272 | 1500 |
|  | TOTAL | 3483 | 4933 | 3501 | 3565 | $\overline{3496}$ | 3484 | 4597 | 2778 | 3281 | 3437 |
| \%-at-age of total egg deposition | 1SN | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | < 1 | 0 | $<1$ | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 SN | 17 | 55 | 63 | 63 | 59 | 81 | 47 | 19 | 60 | 56 |
|  | 3 SN | 82 | 44 | 35 | 35 | 41 | 19 | 53 | 79 | 39 | 44 |

$1_{1 S W}$ salmon in 1972 were assumed average of the years 1973 to 1980.

Table 4. Estimated numbers of eggs per fish for two sea age-groups of Restigouche salmon. Data sources given in Table 3.

| Variable | Age group | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | All years |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL | 2 SN | 75.1 | 76.7 | 76.6 | 78.0 | 77.3 | 73.6 | 77.3 | 76.4 | 76.7 | 76.4 |  |
|  | 3SN | 89.6 | 89.6 | 93.9 | 94.4 | 94.4 | 94.9 | 93.1 | 91.8 | 98.3 | 92.6 |  |
| Eggs/female | 2 SN | 7554 | 7936 | 7912 | 8255 | 8082 | 7205 | 8082 | 7863 | 7936 | 7863 |  |
|  | 39N | 11422 | 11422 | 12747 | 12907 | 12907 | 13067 | 12494 | 12089 | 14191 | 12338 |  |
| Proportion female | 2 SN | 0.28 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.54 |  |
|  | 3SN | 0.76 | 0.91 | 0.69 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.43 | 0.89 | 0.76 | 0.56 | 0.76 |  |
| Proportion-at-age | 2SN | 0.46 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.81 | 0.71 | 0.46 | 0.77 | 0.70 |  |
|  | 3SN | 0.54 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.54 | 0.23 | 0.30 |  |
| Eggs/fish | 2 SN | 973 | 3476 | 3857 | 4293 | 3808 | 4377 | 2869 | 1194 | 2872 | 2972 |  |
|  | 3SN | 4688 | 2806 | 2199 | 2272 | 2633 | 1068 | 3225 | 4961 | 1828 | 2813 | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ |
|  | Total | 5661 | 6282 | $\overline{6056}$ | 6565 | 6441 | 5445 | 6094 | 6155 | 4700 | 5785 |  |
| \%-at-age of total egg deposition | 2SN | 17 | 55 | 64 | 65 | 59 | 80 | 47 | 19 | 61 | 51 |  |
|  | 3SN | 83 | 45 | 36 | 35 | 41 | 20 | 53 | 81 | 39 | 49 |  |

Table 5. Estimated numbers of salmon required for spawning, assuming an egg deposition requirement of $71,443,200$ eggs, and average eggs per salmon as calculated in Table 4.

| Year | Eggs/salmon | Total salmon <br> required | 2 SW | 3 SW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 5661 | 12,620 | 5,805 | 6,815 |
| 1973 | 6282 | 11,373 | 8,302 | 3,071 |
| 1974 | 6056 | 11,797 | 8,848 | 2,949 |
| 1975 | 6565 | 10,882 | 8,706 | 2,176 |
| 1976 | 6441 | 11,092 | 8,430 | 2,662 |
| 1977 | 5445 | 13,121 | 11,724 | 8,628 |
| 1978 | 6094 | 6155 | 11,607 | 15,201 |

Table 6. Estimated spawning escapement and spawning requirements in the Restigouche River, 1972 to 1982. Spawning
escapement was estimated from parr densities, assuming $10 \%$ survival from eggs to $1+$ parr, and a rearing area of $29,768,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

| Year | $\begin{gathered} 1+\text { parr } \\ \text { (year } i+2 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Eggs per salmon | Spawning escapement |  | Spawning requirements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Eggs | Salmon | Eggs | Salmon |
| 1972 | 7.1 | 5,661 | 21,135,280 | 3,767 | 71,443,200 | 12,620 |
| 1973 | 9.7 | 6,282 | 28,874,960 | 4,596 | 71,443,200 | 11,373 |
| 1974 | 8.4 | 6,056 | 25,005,120 | 4,129 | 71,443,200 | 11,797 |
| 1975 | 4.4 | 6,565 | 13,097,920 | 1,995 | 71,443,200 | 10,882 |
| 1976 | 8.3 | 6,441 | 24,707,440 | 3,836 | 71,443,200 | 11,092 |
| 1977 | 7.1 | 5,445 | 21,135,280 | 3,882 | 71,443,200 | 13,121 |
| 1978 | 4.1 | 6,094 | 12,204,880 | 2,003 | 71,443,200 | 11,724 |
| 1979 | 3.6 | 6,155 | 10,716,480 | 1,741 | 71,443,200 | 11,607 |
| 1980 | 4.4 | 4,700 | 13,097,920 | 2,787 | 71,443,200 | 15,201 |
| 1981 | 6.9 | $(5,933) 2$ | 20,539,920 | 3,462 | 71,443,200 | $(12,157)^{3}$ |
| 1982 | $(3.5)^{1}$ | $(5,933)$ | 10,418,800 | 1,756 | 71,443,200 | $(12,157)$ |

1 parr density estimated from significant correlation between angled salmon in year $i$ and parr density in year $i+2$ (Chadwick and Randall 1983).

2 eggs per salmon in 1981 and 1982 were assumed to be average (1972 to 1980).

3 required spawners in 1981 and 1982 were assumed to be average (1972 to 1980).


Figure l: Length-fecundity relationsḥ̣ fop 91 Restigouche salmon collected in 1983 .

