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#### Abstract

Methane emissions from agroecosystems in Canada were estimated for 1986 and 1991. The principle source of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ was from livestock production. According to these estimates, farm animals produced about 639 kt of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ during 1986 and 681 kt of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ during 1991. An additional 203 and $209 \mathrm{kt} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ were also emitted from animal manures in 1986 and 1991, respectively. The regional magnitude of these sources is presented in $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$ for both eastern and western Canada. Agricultural soils, which can either be a source or a sink of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ depending on the soil moisture conditions, were estimated to emit about $12 \mathrm{kt} \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr}$ and absorb $7 \mathrm{kt} \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr}$. Burning of fossil fuels on farms produced about $1 \mathrm{kt} \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr}$. Overall, the net $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from agroecosystems during 1986 and 1991 were estimated to be 848 kt and 896 kt , respectively. For a 100 year time horizon, the total $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions during 1986 and 1991 were equivalent to 17.8 and 18.8 million tonnes of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, respectively.


### 1.0 Introduction

Carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$, methane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$ and nitrous oxide $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ gases are all natural components of the atmosphere that trap heat radiated from Earth. This process is referred to as the greenhouse effect. Under natural emissions and removal processes, the concentration of these gases should be relatively constant. However, in the last century, human-induced activities have significantly altered the atmospheric concentration of these gases.

Since 1750, the atmospheric concentration of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ has increased by $30 \%, \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ by $15 \%$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ by $145 \%$ (IPCC, 1996). The sharp rise of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is of particular concern because on a kg for kg basis, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is considerably more potent as a greenhouse gas than $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. This is due to the type of radiation trapped by $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, and its important role in atmospheric chemistry. Methane is estimated to be responsible for $15-18 \%$ of present global warming, second only to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ whose contribution is estimated at $55-60 \%$. However, the concentration of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is increasing at a higher annual rate ( $0.6 \%$ ) than that of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(0.4 \%)$. With this rate of increase and its many indirect effects, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is predicted to become even more important in its potential for global warming.

Human activities are responsible for $64 \%$ of the total annual emissions of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ (Duxbury et al., 1993), while only $3 \%$ of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions are anthropogenic. On a global basis, agriculture contributes $26 \%$ to the anthropogenic emissions of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, which are produced mainly from fossil fuels, while it is responsible for $65 \%$ of the anthropogenic $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions. Of these, approximately one-quarter have been estimated to originate from livestock operations.

It is predicted that a reduction of anthropogenic $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions by $10 \%$ would stop the rise in the atmospheric level of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. Since $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ has a relatively short atmospheric lifetime (about 11 years), a reduction in $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions would help mitigate global warming relatively quickly (Williams, 1994). By contrast, reductions of 50 to $100 \%$ would be necessary to prevent increases in other greenhouse gases with longer atmospheric lifetimes.

Presently, there are an estimated $4850 \mathrm{Tg}\left(1 \mathrm{Tg}=10^{12} \mathrm{~g}\right)$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ in the atmosphere, corresponding to a concentration of 1721 ppbv . Sources contribute an estimated 535 Tg per year, whereas sinks account for the removal of an estimated 560 Tg per year (Prather et al., 1996). This implies that either there are unknown or underestimated sources, or overestimated sinks, which must be better defined if the estimated yearly increase of 37 Tg in the atmosphere is to be explained.

This report presents an estimate of the 1986 and 1991 net $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from agroecosystems in Canada. Its focus is on the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from farm animals and their manure, but the exchange of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ with respect to agricultural soils is also discussed.

### 2.0 Methane from Animals

On a global scale, enteric fermentation by ruminants accounts for the input of an estimated 80 Tg of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ into the atmosphere per year (Watson et al., 1992), of which domestic cattle contribute about $85 \%$. The primary products of fermentation in the rumen are volatile fatty acids, propionic and butyric, as well as $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. Volatile fatty acids (VFAs) are the major sources of energy for ruminant animals, but they have the inescapable consequence of generating $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ (McAllister et al., 1997). Methane production can be decreased by promoting a shift in the rumen toward propionic acid generation. However, the production of VFAs cannot be completely eliminated without compromising the animal's capacity to convert forages and grains to meat and milk.

Factors that influence ruminal $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production include the type of feed, level of feed intake and the variation of feed. For example, the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ yield from ruminal fermentation of legume forage tends to be lower than the yield from grass forage. Generally, it was found that when the feed intake was increased, the percentage of dietary energy lost as $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ decreased (Johnson and Johnson, 1995). The addition of ionophores may also decrease $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ loss. lonophores are compounds that facilitate the transport of ions across a lipid membrane by making the membrane more permeable. lonophores, such as monensin, reduce feed intake by $5-6 \%$, and thus decrease $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ losses. However, these effects are short-lived and are likely to be related to the reduction in feed intake rather than to any effects on biomethanogenesis (Johnson et al., 1994). All properties of the forage that decrease the rate of digestion or prolong the residence time of feed within the rumen, generally increase the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ produced per unit of forage digested.

Other factors that affect the rate of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production include ambient temperature and the digesta passage rate. McAllister et al. (1997) observed a decrease of $20 \%$ in the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production of adult sheep when the ambient temperature was lowered from 33 C to 8 C . Unlike temperature, an inverse relationship exists between $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production and digesta passage rates. Methane emissions decreased $29 \%$ when the fractional passage rate of particulate matter was increased 63\% in steers (McAllister et al., 1997).

Methane emitted by exhalations and eructations from animals is difficult to measure because it varies between individual cows, and according to the type of feed. Enzymes, inhibitors and antibiotics also affect $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ generation. The $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emission per head per year depends on the breeds and lines of cattle, the live weight, the rate of weight gain, the level of exercise and age of the animal. These factors must all be taken into account in order to obtain an accurate estimation of the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ produced from farm animals.

### 2.1 The Empirical UK - OECD Approach

A working group within the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) developed broad guidelines to estimate greenhouse gas emissions for large groups of animals (IPCC/OECD, 1994). Based on these guidelines, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from cattle in the U.K. were estimated to be $64.3 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{hd} / \mathrm{yr}$. As can be observed from Table 1, the emission rate for cattle is very dependent on the proportion of the various types of cattle.

### 2.2 The Detailed Level U.S. - OECD Approach

The U.S. EPA (1994) employed a version of the draft Tier 2 methodology recommended by the IPCC to arrive at a rate of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emission for cattle of 53.3 kg $\mathrm{CH}_{4} /$ hd/yr (IPCC/OECD, 1994). This value was obtained using a mechanistic model of ruminal digestion and animal production that was applied to thirty-two different diets and nine different cattle types. The cattle types represented different weights, ages, feeding systems and management systems that occur typically in the U.S.

### 2.3 The Canadian Approach

Methane emissions from animals in Canada, for 1986 and 1991, were calculated using the livestock inventory data from Statistics Canada (1993), and are shown on a provincial basis in Tables 2 and 3. The estimated $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emission rates from livestock used to calculate the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions are shown in Table 1. Based on recent studies in Canada, these numbers might be slightly underestimated, but the actual emissions are most likely within the range of errors that the measurements have reported.

Table 1. Estimated $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emission rates from farm animals in Canada for 1986 and 1991

| Animals | Emission rates <br> $(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hd} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dairy Cattle | 91.2 |
| Beef Cattle | 57.3 |
| Slaughter Cattle | 43.0 |
| Calves | 29.1 |
| Total Cattle | $52.4(1986)$ |
| Hogs | 1.6 |
| Poultry | 0.005 |
| Sheep and Lambs | 7.0 |

Table 2. Methane emissions from various farm animal types by province in 1986

| Provinces | Dairy Cattle |  | Non-Dairy Cattle |  | Hogs |  | Poultry |  | Sheep/Lambs |  | Total Livestock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{kt} / \mathrm{yr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{k} t / \mathrm{yr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{yr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{kt} / \mathrm{yr})}{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{kt} / \mathrm{yr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pop. 1 $\left(10^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{kt}(\mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| Att. Prov. | 125.8 | 11.5 | 220.9 | 9.4 | 373.0 | 0.6 | 7280.9 | 0.04 | 61.2 | 0.4 | 7840.9 | 21.9 |
| Quebec | 830.0 | 75.7 | 676.0 | 27.2 | 2927.0 | 4.7 | 20503.4 | 0.10 | 112.5 | 0.8 | 24372.9 | 108.5 |
| Ontario | 723.0 | 65.9 | 1661.0 | 69.8 | 3172.0 | 5.1 | 32008.2 | 0.16 | 205.5 | 1.4 | 36108.7 | 142.4 |
| Manitoba | 94.5 | 8.6 | 996.5 | 44.2 | 1089.0 | 1.7 | 6298.1 | 0.03 | 22.6 | 0.2 | 7504.2 | 54.7 |
| Sask. | 73.5 | 6.7 | 1962.5 | 87.9 | 608.0 | 1.0 | 3769.7 | 0.02 | 53.0 | 0.4 | 4504.1 | 96.0 |
| Alberta | 175.0 | 16.0 | 3571.0 | 159.5 | 1508.0 | 2.4 | 8852.4 | 0.04 | 183.6 | 1.3 | 10719.0 | 179.2 |
| B.C. | 113.0 | 10.3 | 564.5 | 25.0 | 214.3 | 0.3 | 9229.5 | 0.05 | 56.5 | 0.4 | 9613.3 | 36.1 |
| Canada | 2134.8 | 194.7 | 9652.4 | 423.0 | 9891.3 | 15.8 | 87942.2 | 0.44 | 694.9 | 4.9 | 100663.2 | 638.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Statistics Canada, 1993;

Table 3. Methane emissions from various farm animal types by province in 1991

| Provinces | Dairy Cattle |  | Non-Dairy Cattle |  | Hogs |  | Poultry |  | Sheep/Lambs |  | Total Livestock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{kt} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{kt} / \mathrm{yr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{kt} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{kt} / \mathrm{yr})}{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{kt} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop. } 1 \\ & \left(10^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{kt} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| Att. Prov. | 110.1 | 10.0 | 226.8 | 9.7 | 335.5 | 0.5 | 7884.3 | 0.04 | 61.2 | 0.4 | 8610.5 | 20.7 |
| Quebec | 744.0 | 67.9 | 694.0 | 28.2 | 2997.5 | 4.8 | 23386.3 | 0.12 | 112.5 | 0.8 | 27936.8 | 101.8 |
| Ontario | 671.0 | 61.2 | 1604.0 | 68.1 | 2960.0 | 4.7 | 37632.2 | 0.19 | 205.5 | 1.8 | 43117.2 | 136.0 |
| Manitoba | 80.0 | 7.3 | 1015.0 | 45.3 | 1310.0 | 2.1 | 7172.7 | 0.04 | 22.6 | 0.2 | 9612.7 | 54.9 |
| Sask. | 62.0 | 5.7 | 2217.0 | 99.7 | 843.0 | 1.3 | 3969.4 | 0.02 | 53.0 | 0.6 | 7183.4 | 107.3 |
| Alberta | 152.0 | 13.9 | 4519.0 | 201.9 | 1760.0 | 2.8 | 9664.2 | 0.05 | 183.6 | 2.1 | 16394.2 | 220.7 |
| B.C. | 111.0 | 10.1 | 637.0 | 28.3 | 238.5 | 0.4 | 12253.2 | 0.06 | 56.5 | 0.5 | 13312.7 | 39.4 |
| Canada | 1930.1 | 176.1 | 10912.8 | 481.2 | 10444.5 | 16.6 | 101962.2 | 0.52 | 694.9 | 6.4 | 126167.4 | 680.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Statistics Canada, 1993:

Figure 1a shows the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emitted in $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$ by cattle, hogs and poultry in western Canada during 1991. The highest emissions were in the province of Alberta. The prairie provinces accounted for more than half the cattle in Canada that year (Dyer, 1995). Figure 1b shows the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emitted by cattle, hogs and poultry in eastern Canada during 1991, with the highest emissions in southern Ontario and Quebec.
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Several studies have been carried out in Canada in recent years to quantify $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from animals. A 120-head dairy barn, located near Ottawa, Canada, was modified and effectively transformed into a large laboratory in which $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production from cows was monitored on a continuous basis for about three years. This approach kept the animals in their accustomed environment, eliminating the stress associated with placement in special respiratory chambers. Over a three year period the mean 24$\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emission per cow was $561 \pm 72 \mathrm{LCH}_{4} \mathrm{~d}^{-1} \mathrm{cow}^{-1}$. This is equivalent to $137 \pm 18 \mathrm{~kg}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{hd} / \mathrm{yr}$ (Kinsman et al., 1995). This value is considerably larger than the value of 91.2 used by other groups (Table 1). Similar numbers to those obtained by Kinsman et al. (1995) have been obtained by Schuepp et al. (1997) during July and August 1996, by measuring concentration profiles of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ downwind of a dairy barn housing lactating cows and a feedlot/paddock housing dry holstein heifers.

Other techniques have been developed to measure $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production for free ranging animals, notably the simultaneous release of $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ in the rumen of the animal (Johnson et al., 1994; McCaughey et al., 1997). The eructed gases are collected at the mouth of the steer into an evacuated flask which is hung around the neck of the animal during an 8 - to 24 -hour period, and subsequently analysed for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$. Methane emissions were estimated at an average of $270 \mathrm{LCH}_{4} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ animal ${ }^{-1}$ ( $66 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hd} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) under Canadian conditions for an average 400 kg steer (McCaughey et al., 1997). It is estimated that an additional $10 \%$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is released by the animal through flatulence.

### 3.0 Methane from Animal Wastes

The IPCC (1990) Working Group III report has underlined the potential importance of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from animal wastes, suggesting that under anaerobic waste management systems "uncontrolled" $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from cattle wastes might be of similar magnitude as $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from livestock digestive processes. The potential for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ generation from manure depends on its moisture and the bioavailable carbon content, which in turn is dependent on the type of animal and the nature of its feed.

The $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production factors for Canada, calculated in units of $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{kg}$ volatile solids (VS), are shown in Table 4. These numbers are based on: (a) standard types of storage and management; (b) the maximum theoretical convertibility of organic carbon in the wastes to $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, and; (c) the actual amount of organic matter in the wastes voided by each animal type. Methane production factors were combined with the population of the various animal types to obtain the emission estimates given in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 4. Factors used in the calculation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from farm animal manures for 1986 and 1991

| Animals | Typical Live <br> Animal Mass $^{1}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~kg})$ | kg Manure per day / <br> 1000 kg Live Animal <br> Mass $^{2}$ | \% Volatile <br> Solids $^{2}$ <br> $($ VS $)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ Production <br> Factor $^{3}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{VS}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bulls | 720 | 58 | 7.2 | 0.011 |
| Dairy Cattle | 610 | 86 | 10.0 | 0.019 |
| Beef Cattle | 500 | 58 | 7.2 | 0.011 |
| Dairy Heifers | 360 | 86 | 10.0 | 0.019 |
| Beef Heifers | 415 | 58 | 7.2 | 0.011 |
| Heifers for Slaughter | 360 | 58 | 7.2 | 0.011 |
| Steers | 415 | 58 | 7.2 | 0.011 |
| Calves | 180 | 58 | 7.2 | 0.011 |
| Boars and Sows | 181 | 84 | 8.5 | 0.043 |
| All Other Hogs | 46 | 84 | 8.5 | 0.044 |
| Sheep and Lambs | 27 | 40 | 9.2 | 0.019 |
| Chickens | 1.15 | 68 | 14 | 0.024 |

Taiganides and Stroshine, 1971.
${ }^{2}$ U.S. E.P.A, 1994 (Table C-14).
${ }^{3}$ Jaques, 1992 (Table 25).

Table 5. Methane emissions from various farm animal manures in 1986

|  | Cattle |  | Hogs |  | Sheep/ Lambs |  | Poultry |  | Total Livestock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manure } \\ & \left(10^{3} \mathrm{kt}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr} \\ & \text { (kt) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { manure } \\ & \left(10^{3} \mathrm{kt}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr} \\ & (\mathrm{kt}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manure } \\ & \left(10^{3} \mathrm{kt}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr} \\ & \text { (kt) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { manure } \\ \left(10^{3} \mathrm{kt}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr} \\ & (\mathrm{kt}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manure } \\ & \left(10^{3} \mathrm{kt}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr} \\ & (\mathrm{kt}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Atl. Prov | 3.72 | 5.27 | 0.69 | 2.57 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.70 | 4.64 | 8.58 |
| Quebec | 18.40 | 29.92 | 5.33 | 19.81 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.59 | 1.97 | 24.36 | 51.78 |
| Ontanio | 24.10 | 32.23 | 5.96 | 22.10 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.91 | 3.07 | 31.05 | 57.54 |
| Manitoba | 9.23 | 9.06 | 2.02 | 7.52 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.60 | 11.44 | 17.20 |
| Sask. | 16.43 | 14.39 | 1.16 | 4.29 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.36 | 17.72 | 19.08 |
| Alberta | 30.75 | 27.63 | 2.83 | 10.51 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.85 | 33.90 | 39.12 |
| B.C. | 6.18 | 6.97 | 0.40 | 1.47 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.89 | 6.86 | 9.37 |
| Canada | 108.81 | 125.47 | 18.39 | 68.26 | 0.26 | 0.48 | 2.51 | 8.43 | 129.97 | 202.67 |

Table 6. Methane emissions from various farm animal manures in 1991

|  | Cattle |  | Hogs |  | Sheep/Lambs |  | Poultry |  | Total Livestock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manure } \\ & \left(10^{3} \mathrm{kt}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr} \\ & \text { (kt) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { manure } \\ \left(10^{3} \mathrm{kt}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr} \\ & (\mathrm{kt}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manure } \\ & \left(10^{3} \mathrm{kt}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr} \\ & \text { (kt) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { manure } \\ & \left(10^{3} \mathrm{kt}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr}$ <br> (kt) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manure } \\ & \left(10^{3} \mathrm{kt}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{yr} \\ \text { (kt) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Att. Prov | 3.56 | 4.81 | 0.62 | 2.31 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.76 | 4.43 | 7.92 |
| Quebec | 17.14 | 27.36 | 5.48 | 20.35 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.67 | 2.24 | 23.34 | 50.03 |
| Ontario | 22.93 | 30.42 | 5.52 | 20.49 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 1.07 | 3.61 | 29.62 | 54.69 |
| Manitoba | 9.12 | 8.71 | 2.45 | 9.09 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.69 | 11.86 | 18.51 |
| Sask. | 18.21 | 15.59 | 1.56 | 5.80 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.38 | 19.92 | 21.83 |
| Alberta | 37.65 | 32.64 | 3.31 | 12.29 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.93 | 41.36 | 46.07 |
| B.C. | 6.70 | 7.35 | 0.45 | 1.66 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 1.18 | 7.53 | 10.24 |
| Canada | 115.31 | 126.88 | 19.39 | 71.99 | 0.37 | 0.63 | 2.99 | 9.79 | 138.06 | 209.29 |

Many factors enter into the interpretation of these data. For example, Casada and Safely (1990) assumed that cold temperatures reduce $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ generation from manure by about $20 \%$. However, it must be realized that in cold climates, such as Canada, the storage time is longer than the storage periods in warmer climates. This is because of reduced opportunity for spreading the waste on non-frozen land without a standing crop more than a few inches high. Since it is possible that the stockpiles of manure may warm up to mesophillic temperature (even in the winter), methanogenesis may occur even at these lower temperatures.

Figures $2 a$ and $2 b$ show the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emitted from cattle, hog and poultry manure for western and eastern Canada in terms of annual averages of $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$. In 1991, the Prairie provinces were responsible for the production of half of all Canadian manure. However, most of eastern Canada experienced higher manure production per hectare of farmland than western Canada (Dyer, 1995)(Tables 5 and 6). More than 95\% of all $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from manure were produced from hogs and cattle.

Manure management is known to affect $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and other greenhouse gas emissions. In a recent study, Pattey et al. (1997) showed that manure stored as slurry from dairy and beef cattle produced the highest $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions. When dairy cattle manure was composted, the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from stockpiled manure were reduced at least six-fold. Composting beef manure resulted in even lower $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions. Of the total direct contribution of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ from dairy cattle manure, approximately $65 \%$ was from slurry, $31 \%$ from stockpiled manure and less than $4 \%$ from compost. The direct contribution of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ produced from beef manure was slightly different. Over a three month period, the direct $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ contribution from slurry was $76 \%$, and after five months it increased to $83 \%$. The direct contribution of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ from stockpiled manure was $16 \%$ and less then $1 \%$ from compost (Table 7). Before making recommendations based on these emissions, the emissions of other greenhouse gases such as $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ must also be considered (Monteverde et al., 1997).

Table 7. Methane emissions from manure stored under different conditions

| Storage type | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ from Beef Cattle Manure ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ from Dairy Cattle Manure ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Compost | 0.005 | 0.038 |
| Stockpile | 0.097 | 0.247 |
| Slurry (3 months) | 0.332 | 0.524 |
| Slurry (5 months) | 0.519 |  |

* data expressed in kg of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ equivalent per mass of initial dry manure for a 20 year time horizon.
${ }^{1}$ Pattey et al., 1997.
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### 4.0 Methane from Agricultural Soils

The oxidation of atmospheric $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ by well-drained soils accounts for approximately $10 \%$ of the global $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ sink. Whether a soil acts as a source or a sink for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ depends on the relative rates of methanogenic and methanotropic activity. Methane emission occurs from soils when the activity of methanogens dominates, while $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ consumption is prominent when methanotrophic activity dominates. The ability of soil to consume or produce $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ depends on the land use and the type of soil. Soils can consume $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ at rates ranging from a few to a fraction of $1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$. Although there is little information available on waterlogged areas in agroecosystems, a well-drained soil that normally acts as a sink could become a source of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ when it becomes saturated (Topp and Pattey, 1997). The $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from soil were estimated to be $12 \mathrm{kt} \mathrm{CH} 4 / \mathrm{yr}$ using an emission rate of $1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ for wet areas (Liu, 1995).

Numerous studies have shown that the application of nitrogenous fertilizers to soils frequently inhibits $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation (Mosier, 1993). Ammonia acts as a competitive inhibitor of the enzyme which oxidizes $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Bedard and Knowles, 1989). It is also predicted that the nitrite produced by methanotrophic nitrification is toxic to microorganisms, possibly because it inhibits the last enzyme in the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation pathway (King and Schnell, 1994).

Cultivated soils are not an important source or sink of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. However, dried, uncultivated soils and pastures may absorb $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ (Williams, 1994). The area of nonimproved cropland in 1986 was about 22.5 million ha and in 1991 it was 22.3 million ha (Dumanski et al., 1994). Assuming an estimated uptake rate of $2.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day and a dry season of four months, the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ uptake of the soils was then calculated to be about 7 kt for both 1986 and 1991 (Liu, 1995).

### 5.0 Summary and Conclusion

Table 8. Summary of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from agroecosystems in Canada

| Source | 1986 <br> $(\mathrm{kt})$ | 1991 <br> $(\mathrm{kt})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Livestock | 639 | 681 |
| Animal Manures | 203 | 209 |
| Soils | 5 | 5 |
| Fossil Fuel Combustion | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 848 | 896 |

This report presents an estimate of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emissions from agroecosystems in Canada for 1986 and 1991 (Table 8). The $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production from livestock was estimated
to be 639 kt and 681 kt in 1986 and 1991, respectively. The contributions from cattle, hogs, sheep and poultry to these values were, respectively, about 617.7, 15.8, 4.9 and 0.4 kt in 1986 and 657.3, 16.6, 6.4 and 0.5 kt in 1991. The $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ estimated to have been produced from livestock manure in 1986 and 1991 was 203 kt and 209 kt , respectively. The approximate contributions from cattle, hogs, sheep/lambs and poultry were 125.5 , $68.3,0.5,8.4 \mathrm{kt}$ in 1986 and 126.9, 72.0, 0.6, 9.8 kt in 1991. Soil may act as both source and sink of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emitted from soils in Canada was estimated to be 12 kt , and the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ uptake of soils was 7 kt in both years. Fossil fuel combustion on farms has been estimated to produce about 1 kt of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ per year. The net annual contributions per year, resulting from these estimates, are $848 \mathrm{kt} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ for 1986 and $896 \mathrm{kt} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ for 1991. When interpreting these figures on greenhouse gas contributions from livestock operations, the compensating effects of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ through carbon storage in grasslands should also be considered. This factor is not considered in this report, but increased carbon storage in soils has recently been documented by Smith et al. (1995). Future work on agricultural greenhouse gases will integrate all factors into a net greenhouse gas budget.
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