# CANADIAN SEDIMENT QUALITY GUIDELINES FOR CHROMIUM

Supporting Document

Prepared by

Guidelines and Standards Division Environment Canada



- 55

DRAFT SEPTEMBER, 1997

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	. iii
GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	. iv
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION Physical and Chemical Properties of Chromium Production and Uses Sources to the Aquatic Environment Analytical Methods for Sediments	1 2 3 5 7
CHAPTER 2. CONCENTRATIONS IN CANADIAN SEDIMENTS Freshwater Sediments Background Concentrations Ambient and Contaminated Concentrations Marine Sediments Background Concentrations Ambient and Contaminated Concentrations Conclusions	. 11 . 15 . 15 . 19 . 24 . 24 . 25 . 27
CHAPTER 3. CHROMIUM IN AQUATIC SYSTEMS Speciation in the Water Column Chromium(VI) Chromium(III) Chromium(VI)/Chromium(III) Distribution in the Water Column Speciation in the Sediment Physical Aspects Chemical Aspects Bioavailability Bioaccumulation Biomagnification Toxicity Spiked Sediment Toxicity Test (SSTT) Approach Bioassays Field Surveys	29 29 31 35 35 36 37 43 52 53 54 55 57
CHAPTER 4. DEVELOPMENT OF SEDIMENT QUALITY GUIDELINES The Modified National Status and Trends Program (NSTP) Approach Guideline Derivation Tables for Chromium Derivation of TELs and PELs Evaluation of TELs and PELs The Spiked-Sediment Toxicity Test (SSTT) Approach	. 59 . 60 . 63 . 64 . 66 . 72
CHAPTER 5. RECOMMENDED CANADIAN SEDIMENT QUALITY GUIDELINES Freshwater Sediments Marine and Estuarine Sediments PROPERTY OF ENVIRONMENT CANADA cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997	. 74 . 74 . 76 i

# RN- 10306

1	CHAPTER 6	6. IMPLE	IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE 80				
2 3 4 5	REFERENC	REFERENCES					
6 7	APPENDIX	la.	Freshwater sediment quality guidelines for chromium				
8 9	APPENDIX Ib.		Marine sediment quality guidelines for chromium from other jurisdictions				
10 11 12 13	APPENDIX IIa.		Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for sediment-associated chromium (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> dw)				
13 14 15 16	APPENDIX IIb.		Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for sediment-associated chromium (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> dw) in marine and estuarine ecosystems				
17							
19 20	LIST OF TA	BLES					
21 22	Table 1	Physic	al and chemical properties of chromium and some chromium compounds4				
23 24	Table 2	Composition of chromite ores					
· 25 26 27	Table 3	Backg sedime	round chromium concentrations in Canadian freshwater ents as summarized from the NGR program				
28 29 30	Table 4	Backgi lake se	Background chromium concentrations in Canadian freshwater lake sediments exclusive of the NGR data				
31 32 33	Table 5	Bioacc	Bioaccumulation factors measured in freshwater and marine environments 44				
33 34 35	LIST OF FIG	URES					
36 37	Figure 1.	Chrom	Chromium cycling in the aquatic environment				
38 39 40	Figure 2.	Distribu with bio	Distribution of chromium concentrations in freshwater sediments that are associated with biological effects ( $\bullet$ ) and no adverse biological effects ( $\circ$ )				
41 42 43	Figure 3.	Distribi associa	Distribution of chromium concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments that are associated with biological effects ( $\bullet$ ) and no adverse biological effects ( $\circ$ ) 70				

Ì

1

ABSTRACT

The following document provides a comprehensive review of the available scientific literature on chromium in aquatic sediments. The physical and chemical properties of chromium, its production and uses, and the sources, fate, behaviour and toxicity of chromium in both freshwater and marine (including estuarine) are discussed. A thorough review of the concentrations of chromium found in Canadian sediments (including natural background concentrations) is provided.

Using the weight of evidence of available toxicological information, the modified National Status and Trends Program Approach was used to derive interim sediment quality guidelines for total chromium of 37.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (dry weight) and 52.3 mg·kg<sup>1</sup> (dry weight) for the protection of freshwater and marine (including estuarine) life, respectively. In addition, the Probable Effect Levels (PELs), above which adverse biological effects are expected to occur frequently, were calculated to be 90.0 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (dry weight) and 160 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (dry weight) for freshwater and marine (including estuarine) sediments, respectively. The interim sediment quality guidelines and PELs are compared to those that have been developed for other jurisdictions in the northern hemisphere. In addition their relevance with respect to the available literature on the bioavailability, bioaccumulation, biomagnification and toxicity of chromium in sediments is addressed.

1	GLOSSARY OF	ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS
2		
3	AAS	Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry
4	AET	Apparent Effects Threshold
5	AETA	Apparent Effects Threshold Approach
6	AOSERP	Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program
7	ARCS	Assessment and Remediation of Contaminated Sediments
8	ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
9	ASV	Anodic Stripping Voltammetry
10	AVS	Acid Volatile Sulphide
11	BAF	Bioaccumulation Factor
12	BEDS	Biological Effects Database for Sediments
13	CCME	Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment
14	COA	Co-occurrence Approach
15	Cr	Chromium
16	Cr(III)	Trivalent Chromium
17	Cr(VI)	Hexavalent Chromium
18	dw	dry weight
19	E, *	Effect
20	EC	Effective Concentration
21	EC <sub>50</sub>	Median Effective Concentration
22	EC/MENVIQ	Environnement Canada et Ministère de l'Environnement du Québec
23	Eh	Electron Potential
24	EMAP	Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program
25	EMB	Embryo
26	EqP	Equilibrium Partitioning
27	EqPA	Equilibrium Partitioning Approach
28	GSC	Geological Survey of Canada
29	ICP	Inductively Coupled Plasma
30	ICP-AES	Inductively Coupled Plasma - Atomic Emission Spectrometry
31	IEPA	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
32	IJC	International Joint Commission
33	ISQG	Interim Sediment Quality Guideline

I

ĺ

₿

<b>7</b> 1	Kď	Partition Coefficient
2	LC	Lethal Concentration
3	LC <sub>50</sub>	Median Lethal Concentration
4	LOEL	Lowest Observed Effect Level
5	MBI	Macroinvertebrate Biotic Index
6	MISA	Municipal/Industrial Strategy for Abatement
7	NAA	Neutron Activation Analysis
8	NC	No Concordance
9	NE	No Effect
10	NG	No Gradient
11	NGR	National Geochemical Reconnaissance
12	NIVA	Norwegian Institute for Water Research
13	NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
14	NR	Not Reported
15	NSTP	National Status and Trends Program
16	NSTPA	National Status and Trends Program Approach
<b>1</b> 7	OES	Optical Emission Spectroscopy
18	OME	Ontario Ministry of the Environment
19	OSPAR	Oslo and Paris Commission
20	PEL	Probable Effects Level
21	PSDDA	Puget Sound Dredged Disposal Analysis
22	RGS	Regional Geochemical Survey
_23	SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
24	SEM	Simultaneously Extracted Metals
25	SG	Small Gradient
26	SSTT	Spiked Sediment Toxicity Test
27	TEL	Threshold Effect Level
28	TFS	Total Free Sulphide
<b>2</b> 9	TOC	Total Organic Carbon
<b>3</b> 0	TS	Total Sulphide
31	USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
32	WEA	Weight of Evidence Approach
33	WDE	Washington State Department of Ecology
_34	WHO	World Health Organization

### 1 CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

2

3 The conservation and protection of aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, and the maintenance. 4 protection and restoration of a high level of environmental quality are priority environmental 5 management goals (CCME 1990). Achievement of these goals requires the availability and use of 6 practical scientific tools to assess the quality of the environment. Canadian environmental quality 7 guidelines are one such scientific tool. The Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment 8 (CCME) began developing nationally consistent guidelines for environmental guality in Canada 9 (CCREM 1987) in response to a growing public concern that chemical substances entering the 10 environment are placing ecosystem and human health at risk (Gaudet et al. 1995). The 11 development of guidelines for water, tissue, soil, and sediment guality is a key component of 12 Canada's commitment to interjurisdictional cooperation (i.e., federal, provincial and territorial) and 13 is also one of the functions and duties described in the Canadian Environmental Protection Act 14 (CEPA 1988).

15

23

16 Canadian sediment quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life are developed for individual 17 chemicals for both freshwater and marine (including estuarine) sediments by the Water Quality 18 Guidelines Task Group of the CCME. These guidelines are numerical limits or narrative statements 19 recommended to support and maintain aquatic life associated with bed sediments and are 20 developed from the available scientific information on the biological effects of sediment-associated 21 chemicals. The procedures used in deriving Canadian sediment quality guidelines for the 22 protection of aquatic life are described in an earlier document (CCME 1995).

24 Sediment quality guidelines are scientific benchmarks, or reference points, that can be used 25 nationally in making decisions regarding the protection, evaluation, and/or enhancement of 26 sediment quality. They can be used to help set targets for sediment quality that will sustain aquatic 27 ecosystem health, they assist in the interpretation of sediment chemistry data (particularly the 28 assessment of sediment quality with respect to the protection of aquatic life), and they play an 29 important role in the development of site-specific sediment quality objectives. Sediment quality 30 objectives are defined as numerical limits or narrative statements that are established to protect 31 and maintain designated uses of the aquatic environment at a particular site. Sediment quality 32 guidelines are intended to be used with other relevant information. For example, complementary

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

information on background concentrations of natural substances and results of site-specific biological assessments should be considered during the implementation of sediment quality guidelines. CCME (1995) and Chapter 6 of this document briefly discuss the use of sediment quality guidelines along with other relevant information in order to support practical and informed decision-making regarding the management of sediment quality.

This document describes the development of Canadian freshwater and marine (including estuarine) sediment quality guidelines for chromium. In addition to describing the development of sediment quality guidelines for chromium, this document provides a discussion of sources of chromium to Canadian aquatic systems, chromium concentrations in Canadian sediments, the fate and behaviour of chromium in aquatic systems, and the toxicity of sediment-associated chromium to aquatic life associated with bed sediments. Information relevant to both freshwater and marine systems is presented. The information presented in this review is not used directly in the calculation of sediment quality guidelines (i.e., the guidelines are developed using toxicological data only); however it is critical in ensuring that the sediment quality guidelines for chromium are recommended concentrations that are consistent with our current understanding of this substance. In addition, this review provides users of sediment quality guidelines with information (e.g., analytical methods, naturally-occurring concentrations, bioavailability) that may need to be considered during their implementation.

### **Physical and Chemical Properties**

Elemental chromium (CAS Reg. Number 7440-47-3) is a steel-grey, lustrous, brittle metal (Gauglhofer and Bianchi 1991) that is a member of group VIb of the periodic table of elements. It has an atomic number of 24, a specific gravity of 7.18 or 7.19 at 20°C (Hartford 1979; WHO 1988), a melting point of 1 850 to 1 900°C (Hartford 1979; WHO 1988; Gauglhofer and Bianchi 1991; Environment Canada 1993) and is sparingly soluble in water (Murray et al. 1983). Chromium has four naturally occurring isotopes, <sup>50</sup>Cr, <sup>52</sup>Cr, <sup>53</sup>Cr and <sup>54</sup>Cr, with relative abundances of 4.31%, 83.76%, 9.55% and 2.38%, respectively (Hartford 1979; Katz and Salem 1994). Thus, the atomic weight of chromium is 51.996 atomic mass units. The most stable of the man-made chromium

```
cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997
```

isotopes is <sup>51</sup>Cr with a half-life of 27.8 days (Environment Canada 1993; Katz and Salem 1994).
 A summary of the physical and chemical properties of chromium and selected chromium
 compounds is given in Table 1.

- 4
- 5

## 6 Production and Uses

7 8 Chromium is the twenty-first most abundant element in the earth's crust (Hartford 1979; Gauglhofer and Bianchi 1991; Miller-Ihli 1992) with an average concentration in rocks varying from less than 9 7 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in granitic igneous and quartz rocks to 2 000 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in ultramarine/basic and serpentine 10 11 rocks (WHO 1988; Miller-Ihli 1992; Katz and Salem 1994). Average soil concentrations are ca. 100 12 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Miller-Ihli 1992). Although approximately 40 chromium-containing minerals are known, 13 the only one of economic importance is chromite, which has the ideal composition of FeCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (i.e., 14 68% Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 32% FeO or ca. 46% chromium by weight) (Hartford 1979; WHO 1988; Miller-Ihli 15 1992; Perron 1992). The chromium to iron ratio varies greatly in nature, leading to chromium ores 16 (e.g., (Fe, Mg)O(Cr,Fe,AI)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) being classified according to their elemental composition and 17 industrial use (Table 2). 18

19 In Canada, there are more than 250 documented occurrences of chromite deposits, with ore 20 resources estimated to be about 20 million tonnes (Environment Canada 1993). The principal 21 deposits occur in Québec, Ontario, British Columbia, and Newfoundland. Although domestic 22 chromium ores have been mined in the past, Canada has not exploited its chromium deposits 23 since 1945 (Environment Canada 1993). However, in view of recent developments in plasma 24 smelting, uncertainties over foreign supplies, the potential for low cost production due to low energy 25 costs, and increases in price, there has been some effort directed toward developing chromite 26 deposits in parts of Canada (Perron 1992).

27

Imports of chromium from all foreign sources (e.g., the United States, Philippines, South Africa,
 Finland) amounted to 54 372 tonnes, 78 247 tonnes and 86 205 tonnes in 1992, 1993, and 1994,
 respectively (Statistics Canada 1992, 1993, 1994). Chromium-containing materials fall into three
 categories according to their industrial uses (see Table 2): 1) metallurgical, 2) chemical, and

Table 1.Physical and chemical properties of chromium and some selected chromium<br/>compounds (NA = not available) (from WHO 1988).

Name	Chemical Symbol	Relative molecular mass	Specific gravity* g∙cm⁻³	Melting point °C	Boiling point °C	Solubility in water weight %	CAS registry number
Chromium	Cr.	51.996	7.19	1857	2672	insoluble	7440-47-3
Chromium(III)- chloride	CrCl₃	158.36	NA	NA	NA	NA	10025-73-7
Chromium(III)- oxide	Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	151.99	5.21	2266	4000	insoluble	1308-38-9
Chromium(VI)- oxide	CrO <sub>3</sub>	99.99	2.70	196	NA	62.41	1333-82-0
Potassium- chromate(VI)	K₂CrO₄	194.20	2.732	968.3	NA	39.96	7789-00-6
Potassium- dichromate(VI)	K <sub>2</sub> Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	294.19	2.676	398	NA	11.7	7778-50-9
Calcium- chromate(VI) dihydrate	CaCrO₄ 2H₂O	192.09	NA	1025	NA	3.5	13765-19-0
Calcium- chromium(III) oxide	CaCr₂O₄	208.07	4.8	2090	NA	insoluble	NA

for vapour pressure @ 20°C

.

 Table 2. Composition of chromite ores (NA = not available) (from Hartford 1979 and Miller-Ihli 1992).

Grade	Composition	Ratio Cr:Fe
metallurgical, high Cr.	46% Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> minimum	> 2.1
chemical, high Fe	40 - 46% Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5 - 2.1
refractory, high Al	22 -34% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 33 -38% Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> > 60% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> + Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	NA

### Chapter 1: Introduction

3) refractory. Metallurgical-grade chromium is used in the production of ferroalloys. The principal 1 chromium-containing chemicals used in Canada include chromium oxide, chromium chloride, and 2 chromium sulphate, which are manufactured from pure sodium dichromate obtained from chemical 3 grade chromite. In addition, small quantities of chromium are used in a diverse array of products 4 including drilling muds, toners for copying machines, cosmetics, magnetic tapes, fertilizers, rubber 5 6 products, plastics, soaps, and cleaning products (Gauglhofer and Bianchi 1991; Environment Canada 1993; Katz and Salem 1994). Refractory products are made from chromite ores and 7 concentrates to make products such as bricks, mortars, ramming-gun mixtures and high 8 9 temperature furnace repair material (Moore and Ramamoorthy 1984).

The industrial uses of chromium that are of particular interest and concern with respect to the aquatic environment are: 1) chromite ore processing, 2) cooling towers, 3) metal plating, 4) chromium pigments, 5) metal finishing, 6) leather tanning, 7) wood preservatives, and 8) ferrochromium production (Environment Canada 1993).

15 16

18

10

# 17 Sources to the Aquatic Environment

Chromium is emitted into the atmosphere in approximately equal amounts by natural and 19 20 anthropogenic sources. For example, Nriagu (1989) estimated that in 1983, about 60% of global atmospheric emissions of chromium were from natural sources whereas about 40% were from 21 anthropogenic sources. The major natural source of chromium to the atmosphere is geologic 22 emissions, such as volcanic dusts and gases (Salem 1989). Other natural sources of chromium, 23 such as airborne sea salt, smoke from forest fires, and biogenic emissions from vegetation, are not 24 important sources of chromium (Miller-Ihli 1992). Anthropogenic sources of chromium to the 25 atmosphere include, but are not limited to, fossil fuel (i.e., coal and oil) combustion, chemical 26 manufacturing, steel production, cooling towers, municipal and sewage sludge incineration, and 27 catalytic control emission devices (Nriagu and Pacyna 1988; Katz and Salem 1994). In Canada, 28 29 Cormier (1991) has estimated that total discharges of chromium into the atmosphere are 34 30 tonnes•yr<sup>-1</sup>.

31

Most of the airborne chromium is associated with particulate matter, indicating that dry deposition is an important source (Bergbäck et al. 1989). Rates of dry deposition of chromium from the atmosphere have been estimated to be 0.001 to 0.03 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> for remote areas to 0.2 to 3.0 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> for rural areas (Bergbäck et al. 1989). Although, chromium is not normally a major contaminant of urban precipitation, the wet deposition rate in some urban areas can be very high, for example, 48 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> in New York City during the period 1972-74 (Nriagu et al. 1988). Nriagu and Pacyna (1988) calculated that atmospheric fallout of chromium could contribute up to  $16 \times 10^6$  kg·yr<sup>-1</sup> to aquatic systems worldwide (assuming that 30% of the chromium emitted into the atmosphere was deposited directly onto the water surface). However, this estimate represents less than 7% of the total chromium inputs of  $45 - 239 \times 10^6$  kg·yr<sup>-1</sup>. Scudlark et al. (1994) estimated that the total atmospheric inputs (i.e., wet + dry deposition) of chromium to the Maryland portion of Chesapeake Bay, from June 1990 to July 1991, were 1 500 kg·yr<sup>-1</sup> (i.e., 0.09 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup>), which is about an order of magnitude lower than those estimated from fluvial loading into the bay. Similarly, Johnson and Nicholls (1988) found that atmospheric deposition was a negligible source of chromium (i.e., 1% of the total chromium) to Lake Simcoe, Ontario, when compared to dispersion of point source (anthropogenic) inputs in Kempenfelt Bay. Likewise, Johnson (1991) reported that the loading of chromium in runoff from the Ontario rivers in his study was 68.6 times that in precipitation.

By far, the major sources of chromium to aquatic ecosystems are from domestic and industrial wastewater effluents. Coastal marine systems are dominated by inputs of chromium from rivers and, to a lesser extent, by dredging sludges and industrial waste discharges (Nriagu and Pacyna 1988; Moore 1991). In Canada, chromium-containing effluents are generated from a multitude of sources, such as tanneries, cooling towers, steel and non-ferrous foundries, metal finishing and plating operations, flat glass and asbestos producing plants, wood treatment facilities, paint and chemical works, oil drilling, recovery rigs, pulp and paper mills, cement and fertilizer plants, textile mills, power plants, chlor-alkali and asbestos plants, and petrochemical industries (Environment Canada 1993). Total discharges to water and land are estimated at 274 tonnes·yr<sup>-1</sup> and 4 469 tonnes·yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Cormier 1991).

The ratio of anthropogenic to total input of chromium to aquatic systems, as well as the total flux of chromium to the sediments, are variable. Kemp et al. (1978) estimated that anthropogenic inputs of chromium in the early 1970's averaged less than 13% of the total input of chromium in sediment cores collected from lakes Superior and Huron, including Georgian Bay. Substantially higher anthropogenic contributions of chromium have been measured in Canadian aquatic ecosystems influenced by industrialization. For example, the percentage of chromium from anthropogenic sources was 73% of the total input in Lake Simcoe, Ontario (Johnson and Nicholls 1988), 58% and 63% in Lakes Erie and Ontario, respectively (Kemp and Thomas 1976), 59% in Hamilton Harbour, Lake Ontario (Nriagu et al. 1983), and 36% in Lake St. Clair (Rossmann 1988).

\_31

Estimated total fluxes of chromium to sediments worldwide include values of 230 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> and 1 2 320 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> in Osaka and Tokyo Bays, Japan, respectively (Goldberg et al. 1976), 161 to 303 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> in a Danish firth, the Netherlands (Jorgensen 1990), 1 to 100 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> in Swedish 3 lakes (Bergbäck et al. 1989), and 81 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> in basins in southern California, U.S.A., (Bruland 4 5 et al. 1974). In the Great Lakes area, Canada, total fluxes of chromium include values of 80 6 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> (Kemp and Thomas 1976) to 212 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> (Nriagu et al. 1983) in Lake Ontario. 194 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> in Lake Erie (Kemp and Thomas 1976), and 10 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> and 17.3 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> 7 8 in Lakes Huron and Superior, respectively (Kemp et al. 1978).

9 10

12

# 11 Analytical Methods for Sediments

13 The determination of total chromium in solid samples (including sediments, soils, sludges, and 14 biota) generally requires an acid digestion of the sample prior to. Methods for dissolving solid samples fall into three main categories: 1) open vessel, 2) bomb digestion, and 3) fusion 15 16 techniques (Achilli et al. 1989). The heating of sediments in open vessel containers is probably the 17 most common approach for digesting sediments. For analyses requiring the measurement of total 18 chromium, there are several possible acid combinations for dissolving sediments. They include 19 nitric-perchloric (HNO<sub>3</sub>-HClO₄) (e.g., Ajayi and Mombeshora 1989; Weis et al. 1993), hydrofluoricnitric-perchloric (HF-HNO<sub>3</sub>-HClO<sub>4</sub>) (e.g., Brumbaugh et al. 1994), hydrofluoric-nitric-hydrochloric 20 21 (HF-HNO<sub>3</sub>-HCl) (Moore 1980), hydrofluoric (HF) (Cantillo and O'Connor 1992), nitric (HNO), (Mayer and Fink 1980; Elsokkary and Müller 1989; 1990; Cheung and Wong 1992; Balci and Türkoglu 22 23 1993; Coakley and Poulton 1993; Gagnon et al. 1993), nitric-hydrofluoric (HNO<sub>3</sub>-HF) (Kurita and Pfeiffer 1991) and also aqua regia (i.e., a 3:1 mixture of HCI and HNO<sub>3</sub>) (e.g., Mudroch and 24 25 Capobianco 1979; Nair et al. 1990; Samant et al. 1990; Biney and Beeko 1991; Blais and Kalff 1993; Rowan and Kalff 1993). Generally it is agreed that digestions involving only HCI and/or 26 27 HNO<sub>3</sub> remove only surface adsorbed or precipitated metals, sulphides, oxides, and carbonates (Oliver 1973; Berrow 1991; Ramelow et al. 1992; Gagnon et al. 1993; Rowan and Kalff 1993) and 28 29 thus have little effect on chromium bound within the sediment lattice. However, Balci and Türkoglu 30 (1993) reported that a HNO<sub>3</sub> digestion using Teflon flasks resulted in 97% recovery of reference sediment material obtained from the International Atomic Energy Agency laboratory in Monaco. 31 Achilli et al. (1989) compared 5 open vessel digestion methods: 1) HNO<sub>3</sub>-HF-HCIO<sub>4</sub>-HCI-H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 32 33 2) HCI-HNO3-HF-HCIO4, 3) HNQ -HCI, 4) HNO -HF-H SO -H BO and 5) HNO -H SO for 34 dissolving marine, estuarine and river reference sediments. They found that for chromium, method 35 5) (i.e., HNO<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) gave the best recovery in marine and estuarine sediments. However, both

methods 2) and 3) were suitable for river sediments provided chromium was present in very high concentrations (i.e., about 30 mg·g<sup>-1</sup>), otherwise only about 40% recovery was obtained. The efficiency of various methods used to recover chromium from the sediments is expected to be a function of the relative distribution of chromium within the sediments.

Common problems with open vessel digestions are sample contamination and loss of volatile components (Bello et al. 1994). These problems can be avoided by conducting all digestions and analyses in ultra-clean laboratories. Alternatively, closed digestion systems such as Teflon bombs (Lacerda et al. 1990; Glahder 1992; Bello et al. 1994; Chovanec et al. 1994) or high pressure microwave decomposition vessels (e.g., Müller et al. 1989; Ramelow et al. 1992; Ankley et al. 1994) can be employed. These systems use acid mixtures similar to those used for open vessel digestions.

Fusion techniques are the third category of sample preparation techniques. Total sediment decomposition can be achieved by fusing the sample with a fluxing agent such as sodium carbonate or lithium metaborate (OME 1991). Fluxing agents are not only capable of dissolving almost all minerals, they also work rapidly and provide extracts that are stable for extended periods of time (Miller-Ihli 1992)

In addition to determining the total amount of chromium in sediments, methods have been suggested for fractionating sediments either physically and/or chemically. For example, sediments can be physically separated according to grain size. The individual analysis of various sediment size fractions for total chromium and other metals is a common practice. Typically, sediments are wet sieved through a 60 or 63 µm mesh with the smaller grain sizes subsequently analysed for trace metals (Damiani et al. 1987; Pestana et al. 1989; Lacerda et al. 1990; Leland and Scudder 1990; Gonçalves et al. 1992; Weis et al. 1993; Fernandes et al. 1994). For estuarine sediment, Förstner (1987) suggests that the analysis of the <20 um grain size is preferable. Alternatively, sediments can be sieved subsequent to drying (Chovanec et al. 1994). For example, the Ontario Ministry of Environment sieves all dry sediments through a 2 mm sieve (ASTM 10 mesh) with the larger size fraction being discarded (OME 1991). Others studies have analyzed a variety of grain sizes and have determined the metal distribution in each fraction (Sager et al. 1990; Deely et al. 1992; Mantei and Sappington 1994; Chovanec et al. 1994). While normalization of total metal concentrations to fine-grained sediments (such as < 63 µm) has been attempted (Benoliel et al. 1988; Cantillo and O'Connor 1992), this procedure may only reduce, not eliminate, the effect of chemically inert, coarse-grained sand (Förstner 1987).

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

### Chapter 1: Introduction

1 Numerous techniques are also available for the chemical fractionation of chromium and other 2 metals in sediments (see reviews by Förstner 1987 and Campbell and Tessier 1989). It is often 3 useful to know the chemical forms of chromium and other metals in the sediments in order to gain 4 insight into the source and potential fate of metals in the environment. For example, chromium that 5 is associated primarily with the lithogenic sediment fraction would have originated from natural 6 (geologic) sources and would be expected to be relatively unavailable to biota. Alternatively, in 7 theory, chromium recovered in the more easily extractable sediment phases (e.g., iron and 8 manganese oxides), might be more bioavailable. This type of information is lost if only analyses 9 for total chromium are performed.

10

11 The chemical fractionation of sediments is defined operationally according to the nature of the 12 reagents used. One of the most widely used sequential fractionation schemes, proposed by 13 Tessier et al. (1979), consists of the analysis of five operationally-defined fractions (Ajayi and 14 VanLoon 1989; Coetzee 1993). A second popular fractionation scheme, developed by Salomons 15 and Förstner (1980), consists of only three operationally defined fractions (Angelidis and Grimanis 1989, Steinberg and Högel 1990). A combination of these two procedures has been proposed by 16 17 the Commission of European Communities Bureau of Reference and was recently evaluated by Coetzee et al. (1995) and Davidson et al. (1994). This procedure uses three operationally defined 18 19 fractions: 1) the exchangeable, water and acid soluble phase, extracted with acetic acid (HOAc), 20 2) the reducible phase (i.e., iron and manganese oxides), extracted using ammoniumhydroxyl-21 hydrochloride (NH2OHHCI) at pH 2, and 3) the oxidizable phase (e.g., organic matter and 22 sulphides), obtained using peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) followed by ammonium acetate adjusted to pH 2 with 23 acetic acid. Total metal is determined independently using a nitric-perchloric-hydrofluoric acid 24 (HNO<sub>3</sub>+HClO<sub>4</sub>+HF) digestion, with the residual calculated as the difference between total metal -25 the sum of metal in fractions 1+2+3.

Despite the advantages of using chemical fractionation procedures, the various extraction steps are not always as selective as sometimes hoped (Förstner 1987). Campbell and Tessier (1989) state that some extraction procedures may be compromised by the tendency of metal-containing sulphide phases to be leached progressively, rather than selectively, from the sediment. In addition, fractionation procedures may result in readsorption or redistribution of metals during the different extraction steps (see Campbell and Tessier 1989; Ajayi and VanLoon 1989; Coetzee et al. 1995).

34 35

Although sediment solids can be analyzed directly using certain analytical techniques (e.g., X-ray fluorescence), once the sediment is in solution several analytical techniques are available for the determination of chromium. By far the most popular technique is flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS) as it is fairly fast, straight forward, and affordable (Arafat and Nriagu 1986; Andreev and Simeonov 1988; Achilli et al. 1989; Nair et al. 1990; Miller-Ihli 1992; Balci and Türkoglu 1993; Weis et al. 1993; Bello et al. 1994). Flame AAS is typically used to measure chromium concentrations of 0.1 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup> or greater (Miller-Ihli 1992 - note that a 1 g sediment sample having a chromium concentration of 25 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and diluted to 25 mL would have a chromium concentration of  $1 \mu g m L^{-1}$ ). However, in some cases, flame AAS may not be sensitive enough for low level chromium analyses in sediments. Under these circimstances, electrothermal AAS (Ure et al. 1993; Davidson et al. 1994) or graphite furnace AAS (Deely et al. 1992) are the prefered analytical instrument. Graphite furnace AAS offers detection limits of < 1 ng·mL<sup>-1</sup> (Miller-Ihli 1992) and is the single most widely used method for the determination of low levels of chromium in all sample types (Ruiz et al. 1991; Brumbaugh et al. 1994; Giani et al. 1994). Other techniques for sediment analyses include inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP AES) (Moore 1980; Verbrugge et al. 1991; Coakley and Poulton 1993; Coetzee 1993; Chovanec et al. 1994; Coetzee et al. 1995), X-ray fluorescence (Ajayi and Mombeshora 1989; Rukavina et al. 1990), neutron activation analysis (NAA) (Angelidis and Grimanis 1989) and cold vapour-hydride generation AAS (Benoleil et al. 1988; Lacerda et al. 1990). The Ontario Ministry of Environment (OME 1991) employs ICP-optical emission spectroscopy for their sediment analyses.

A thorough discussion of sampling methods for sediments and interstitial water is beyond the scope of this report and therefore will not be addressed here. However, it is worth mentioning that the terms 'surface' and 'top' sediments are arbitrary and are clearly dependent on the method used for collecting sediment. The surface of sediment cores usually refers to the top one or two cm (Ajayi and Mombeshora 1989; Dave 1992; Rowan and Kalff 1993), whereas the surface of sediment grabs (e.g., Ponar, Ekman, Van Veen, Shipek) can range from the top 2-3 cm (Angelidis and Grimanis 1989; Metcalfe-Smith et al. 1992; Poulton 1992; Deely et al. 1992), 2-5 cm (Coakley and Poulton 1993), 5 cm (Nair and Balchand 1993), or even to the top 10 cm (Kurita and Pfeiffer 1991). Sometimes even greater depths are sampled and homogenized (Mantei and Sappington 1994). Thus when comparing the results of studies conducted on sediments it is critical to ascertain how the sample was collected and to what depth. Environment Canada (1994) has produced a report concerning guidelines for the collection, handling, transport, storage, and manipulation of sediments for chemical characterization and toxicity testing.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

1 2

### **CHAPTER 2. CONCENTRATIONS IN CANADIAN SEDIMENTS**

3 Chromium enters the aquatic environment as a result of both natural processes and human 4 activities. Consequently, chromium concentrations in Canadian sediments are dependent on the 5 location of the sediment relative to the source (natural or anthropogenic) of chromium. 6 Concentrations may be higher when the sediment is located close to point source releases of 7 chromium, such as industrial effluents from iron and steel plants, petroleum refineries, pulp and 8 paper mills, and leather tanning industries, as well as discharges from urban stormwater runoff, 9 thermal generating stations, and sewage treatment plants. In addition, chromium concentrations 10 in sediments may be naturally elevated, for example, when sediment overlies chromium-rich rock, 11 such as serpentine. In contrast, sediments in remote areas or those overlying chromium-poor 12 geological formations, may contain relatively low chromium concentrations. At these locations. 13 atmospheric deposition of chromium (e.g., windblown dusts, volcanic emissions, seasalt aerosols, or fossil fuel consumption) directly onto the water surface is likely to be the major source of 14 15 chromium to the sediments.

17 The purpose of this chapter is to provide a summary of reported concentrations of chromium in 18 Canadian freshwater and marine (including estuarine) sediments. An effort is made to differentiate 19 between concentrations resulting from natural processes and anthropogenic activities. The 20 identification of natural versus anthropogenically-enriched concentrations of chromium is important 21 in examining the variation in chromium concentrations across Canada and also in evaluating the 22 potential impact of sediment-associated chromium on aquatic biota at specific sites. Organisms 23 living in or on sediments with naturally high chromium levels are likely to be adapted to these 24 levels. Therefore, the site-specific identification of natural versus anthropogenically-enriched 25 concentrations of chromium and other toxic trace elements in sediments is important in ensuring 26 that limited resources are used effectively in assessing and managing sediment quality. Sediment 27 management activities generally focus on areas that have trace element concentrations in 28 sediments exceeding Canadian sediment quality guidelines (or other biological-effects-based 29 assessment tools such as Probable Effect Levels) and that result primarily from human activities 30 as opposed to natural processes.

31

34

16

The sediment-associated chromium concentrations summarized in this chapter are categorized as 'background', 'ambient' or 'contaminated' and are defined as follows:

*background*: estimates of naturally-occurring concentrations (i.e., no discernable human
 influence on measured concentrations)

ambient: concentrations that are generally typical of (or represent "baseline" conditions for) a given area and may be the result of human activities, natural processes, or both (i.e., this evaluation could not conclusively identify these data as either "background" or "contaminated" but they likely have at least some anthropogenic component)

# *contaminated:* concentrations that are known to be higher than background concentrations because of human activities

Background concentrations of trace metals, such as chromium, usually are estimated from the analysis of deep sediment layers (i.e., that pre-date European settlement) of sediment cores (Kemp et al. 1978; Nriagu et al. 1983; Johnson et al. 1986; Mudroch et al. 1988; Johnson and Nicholls 1988; Rossmann 1988). Whereas trace metal concentrations in surficial sediment samples may be derived from both natural and/or anthropogenic sources, concentrations in the deep layers of sediment cores generally are thought to be the result of natural processes only. The use of sediment core data for estimating background concentrations is based on the assumption that annual deposits build up to form static vertical sediment profiles and that there is no postdepositional movement of metals. Note, however, that redistributional processes, such as physical and biological mixing, or changes in physicochemical conditions, may result in the migration of trace metals upward or downward through the sediment profile. For example, as discussed in Chapter 3, changes in pH and redox potential at the sediment-water interface can result potentially in the oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) and its subsequent remobilization and diffusion into the water column (Schroeder and Lee 1975; Nakayama et al. 1981a; Van der Weijden and Reith 1982; Balistrieri et al. 1992; Johnson and Sigg 1992). Although processes such as these can affect the interpretation of sediment core data, generally it is not possible to quantify them because supporting data, such as chromium mass balance budgets or chromium speciation measurements, are not available.

Ambient concentrations of chromium are estimated from surface sediment samples that have been collected with either a sediment corer (e.g., Benthos, Livingstone corer) or a grab sampler (e.g., Ponar grab, Ekman dredge) from remote locations where direct point-source inputs of chromium from anthropogenic activities have not been verified. Ambient concentrations therefore reflect atmospheric sources of chromium (e.g., combustion of fossil fuel), inputs from runoff and upstream discharge from non-point source anthropogenic activities, as well as inputs from natural sources.

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

1 Thus, concentrations referred to as ambient in this chapter may include a substantial but 2 unidentifiable anthropogenic component.

3

16

4 Chromium concentrations in surface sediments were identified as being contaminated when: 1) 5 measured chromium concentrations in the surficial sediments were clearly elevated relative to 6 background or ambient concentrations for that location, and 2) the sediment sampling location(s) 7 was in close proximity to a known point source input of chromium. In most cases, a link between 8 the concentration of chromium in the surficial sediments and known anthropogenic inputs at the 9 sampling sites was indicated by the author(s) of the particular study. It should be emphasized that while elevated chromium concentrations in surficial sediments do occur as a result of inputs from 10 11 point sources associated with human activities, upward movement of chromium through the 12 sediment profile (described above), the presence of chromium-rich geological formations and inputs 13 from atmospheric deposition or other non-point sources can also contribute to increased levels. 14 Howver, these contributions are considered to be small in comparison to those from human 15 activities.

17 Unfortunately, it is difficult to ascertain what proportion of the sediment metal concentration is 18 natural and what proportion is anthropogenic. This difficulty exists because anthropogenic inputs 19 and natural sedimentary loads can change through time and can vary greatly depending on the 20 nature, grain size distribution, and source of the metal. Because metals, including chromium, from 21 natural and anthropogenic sources accumulate together, their origin is difficult to separate post 22 deposition. As a result, a number of approaches have been used to compensate for grain size and 23 minerological effects on natural metal variability so that the anthropogenic metal can be quantified. 24 These approaches include: 1) granulometric procedures, where the metal analysis is restricted to 25 a specific grain size such as < 63 µm (Luoma 1990; Luoma et al. 1990) or the data are 26 mathematically normalized, post analysis, to the amount (weight %) of a specific size fraction such 27 as < 63 µm (Long et al. 1990), and 2) geochemical procedures where the data are normalized to 28 a conservative element such as aluminum (Johnson and Nicholls 1988; Coakley and Poulton 1993; 29 Gagnon et al. 1993), iron (Rule 1985; Gibbs 1994), scandium and cesium (Ackermann 1980) or 30 lithium (Loring 1990, 1991). Using the geochemical approach, it is assumed that the relationship 31 between the normalizing element and the metal (e.g., chromium) is linear, that is, the ratio between 32 the concentration of the normalizing element and chromium is constant through time. Geochemical 33 normalization has the advantage over granulometric procedures because it reduces metal 34 variability caused by both grain size differences and also mineralogy. In addition, anomalous metal 35 contributions can be identified.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

The data summarized in this chapter were collected for a variety of purposes using a variety of sampling methods. Although an attempt has been made to categorize these data consistently according to the above definitions, all of them may not be comparable. For example, many of the background concentration data for freshwater sediments were obtained from the National Geochemical Reconnaissance (NGR) Program Open Files (see Friske and Hornbrook 1991 for a description of the NGR Program). During this program, lake sediments were collected using a hollow-pipe, bottom-valved sampler and the top few centimetres of sediment were washed out of the core barrel. Background chromium concentrations were measured on the remaining organicrich material called gyttja. Traditionally, however, background concentrations of metals, including chromium, in freshwater sediments are derived from sectioned sediment cores and the background concentration is estimated from the analysis of sediment layers that pre-date European settlement (Kemp et al. 1978; Nriagu et al. 1983; Johnson et al. 1986; Mudroch et al. 1988; Johnson and Nicholls 1988; Rossmann 1988). This requires that the sediment cores be dated using either marker (e.g., <sup>137</sup>Cs, Ambrosia horizon) or radiogenic (e.g., <sup>21</sup>Pb) techniques. In contrast, estimates of ambient and contaminated chromium concentrations in both freshwater and marine sediments were assumed to be represented by the top 1 to 5 cm of both grab samples (e.g., Ekman, Shipek, Ponar) and core samples (e.g., K-B, triple benthos) unless otherwise noted.

For several studies, the chromium concentrations in the fine-grained sediment fractions (e.g., less than < 63  $\mu$ m) were reported, while in other studies, chromium concentrations in whole sediment samples (i.e., all size fractions) were given. Because chromium tends to be associated with fine-grained materials such as silts and clays (Oliver 1973; Willey 1976; Loring 1979a; Rossmann 1988; Duzzin et al. 1988; Sager et al. 1990; Anderson 1990; Coakley and Poulton 1993), its concentration will vary inversely with differences in sediment texture and grain size (Willey and Fitzgerald 1980; Loring 1982,1990,1991). Thus, data reported for different size fractions will not be directly comparable unless they refer to standard grain sizes. For the purpose of this summary chapter, chromium concentrations refer to whole sediments, unless otherwise noted.

Analytical methods also varied among the studies, particularly the acid digestion techniques used in the quantification of chromium in the sediments. Although concentration data reported in this chapter were included only if standardized total (e.g., hydrofluoric acid or the sum of the concentrations measured in sequentially-extracted sediment fractions) or near-total digestion methods (e.g., *aqua regia*, hydrochloric acid, nitric acid, boric acid and perchloric acid) were used, it should be emphasized that digestions that include the residual fraction (hydrofluoric acid

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

29 60

31

32

33

β4

### Chapter 2: Concentrations

digestion) may not be comparable to weak/other acid digestions. Considering that a large fraction
of chromium is often associated with the residual fraction (i.e., part of the mineral lattice) (Samant
et al. 1980), for this review, an attempt is made to compare only those data that have been
obtained using similar analytical or digestion techniques. In addition, all concentrations are
reported on a dry weight basis.

6

### 7

9

11

# 8 Freshwater Sediments

## 10 Background Concentrations

12 A summary of background concentrations for chromium in Canadian freshwater sediments, 13 obtained from the NGR program of the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC), is given in Table 3. 14 The data collected during this program provides background concentrations of chromium for lake 15 and stream sediments in Newfoundland, Labrador, Ontario (excluding the Laurentian Great Lakes 16 and St. Lawrence River), Saskatchewan, British Columbia, and the Yukon. Estimates of 17 background chromium concentrations in sediments from other sources (Kemp et al. 1978; Johnson 18 et al. 1986; Rieberger 1992) and also other parts of the country (North West Territories, Allan 1975; 19 Nova Scotia, Mudroch and Sandilands 1978) and the Laurentian Great Lakes are summarized in 20 Table 4. No background concentrations of chromium were available for New Brunswick, Prince 21 Edward Island, Alberta, or Manitoba.

23 The NGR program was initiated in 1973, although chromium was not included in the sediment 24 analyses until 1990 (Friske and Hornbrook 1991; Painter et al. 1994). Nonetheless, the data 25 collected during the NGR program (Table 3) provide a consistent and reliable database for 26 background chromium concentrations in freshwater sediments across Canada. During the NGR 27 program, and also in conjunction with provincially-operated surveys conducted using NGR protocol. 28 sediment samples were collected from almost 180 000 freshwater sites, covering approximately 29 2.1 million km<sup>2</sup>, or 25% of the total area of the country (Friske and Hornbrook, 1991). The 30 database includes chromium analyses for 30 528 lake sediment samples and 12 815 stream 31 sediment samples.

32

22

It is evident from Tables 3 and 4 that background concentrations of chromium in the sediments of
 Canadian freshwater lakes and streams vary considerably across the country. Background
 estimates obtained from the NGR program alone (Table 3) differ by several orders of magnitude,

Table 3. Background chromium concentrations (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) in Canadian freshwater sediments summarized from data from geochemical reconnaissance programs. National Geochemical Reconnaissance (NGR) data summaries provided by P.W.B. Friske, GSC, Ottawa (1994).

Location	Mean (mg∙kg⁻¹ ± S.D.)	Range' (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	95th Percentile	Sample Size	Geographic Coverage <sup>2</sup>	Reference	
Lakes							
Newfoundland	42.33 ± 159.6	10.0 - 6 120	100	16 569	100%	Nfld Geological Survey	
Labrador	59.6 ± 40.8	10.0 - 320	140	2 917	~10%	NGR Open Files	
Ontario	48.6 ± 33.5	10.0 - 520	110	7 343	~15%	NGR Open Files	
Saskatchewan	30.7 ± 21.0	10.0 - 320	66	3 238	~20% of N. Saskatchewan	NGR Open Files	
British Columbia	22.3 ± 20.8	4.0 - 230	51	461	0.4% Nechako Plateau (central B.C.)	2 NGR Open Files <sup>3</sup>	
Streams		·····	. <u> </u>			······································	
Ontario	108.2 ± 70.0	10.0 - 620	240	200	< 1%	NGR Open Files	
British Columbia	42.7 ± 36.9	5.0 - 528	102	4 367	~10%	38 NGR/RGS ⁴ Open Files	
Yukon	86.1 ± 71.1	10.0 - 3 000	130	8 248	~30%	20 NGR Open Files	

<sup>1</sup> the 10.0 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> concentration represents a default value chosen as half the detection limit for chromium

<sup>2</sup> based on a sampling density of 1 sample/~13 km<sup>2</sup>

<sup>3</sup> mean and 95th percentile are from 1 Open File only

<sup>4</sup> NGR/RGS = joint federal/provincial (Regional Geochemical Survey) study

despite the standardized collection and analytical procedures used within the program. Background concentrations range from < 20 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (the detection limit for chromium) in all areas of Canada to 6 120 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for lake sediments in Newfoundland and 3 000 mg·kg<sup>1</sup> for stream sediments in the Yukon. Thus, the NGR program data provide information on naturally-occurring variation in lake and stream sediment chromium concentrations. The high background levels measured in Newfoundland lake sediments is not surprising given that parts of the province are underlain by intrusive gabbro and serpentine rocks of the Cenozoic, Mesozoic and Paleozoic eras (Matthews and Morrow 1995). Furthermore, the chromite ore deposits in this province are among the highest grade (up to 53%  $Cr_2O_3$ ) found in Canada (Phillips 1989). Lower grade deposits are found elsewhere, primarily in British Columbia, Québec, Manitoba and Ontario (Phillips 1989; Perron 1992), where outcroppings of serpentine, gabbros and other mafic igneous rocks (such as

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

basalts) also occur. For example, the Interior Plateau region of British Columbia has many ferromagnesian intrusive rocks that are associated generally with chromite and nickel (Rieberger 1992).

# Table 4.Background chromium concentrations (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) in Canadian freshwaterlake sediments exclusive of the NGR data reported above.

Location	Range of Means (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference
Ontario	31.8 ± 11.1 to 92	Kemp et al. (1978), Mudroch (1980), Johnson et al. (1986), Mudroch et al. (1988), IJC (1988), Johnson and Nicholls(1988), Snetsinger (1993)
Québec	> 100	Blais and Kalff (1993)
Nova Scotia	~4 to 22	Mudroch and Sandilands (1978)
NWT	> 60	Allan (1975)
Lake Athabasca, Alberta	~ 30	Bourbonniere et al. (1996)

27 Despite the wide variation in measured background concentrations of the NGR program, average 28 chromium concentrations in both lake and stream sediments are surprisingly similar across the 29 country, ranging between 22.3 ± 20.8 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in British Columbia lakes to 59.6 ± 40.8 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in 30 Labrador lakes, and 42.7 ± 36.9 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in British Columbia streams to 108.2 ± 70.0 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in 31 Ontario streams. The general trend of higher chromium concentrations in the stream sediments 32 relative to lake sediments is characteristic of many of the other trace metals analyzed in the NGR 33 program (e.g., Cd) (P.W.B. Friske 1994, Gelogical Survey of Canada, Ottawa, pers. com.). Part 34 of the reason for this trend may be the closer proximity of streams to source rocks. However, there 35 are two points that should be emphasized concerning the NGR program data. Firstly, the minimum 36 chromium concentrations reported in Table 3 were calculated using a default value which is half 37 the detection limit (i.e., 20 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) for chromium. Thus the mean concentrations reported in Table 38 3 may be inaccurate. Secondly, despite the similarity among the mean background chromium 39 concentrations, the standard deviation is very high, with the coefficient of variation being 40 consistently greater than 65% and, in one case (for Newfoundland lake sediments), almost 380% 41 (i.e., the standard deviation is almost four times the mean concentration). This wide variation in 42 background chromium levels indicates that there are significant inputs of chromium from natural

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1

2 3

4 5

6

7

1111111110010345

sources at localized sites in Canada. Such information should be considered when assessing sediments and implementing freshwater sediment quality guidelines in Canada.

In Ontario, data relating to background concentrations of chromium in freshwater sediments are available for several lakes (Johnson et al. 1986; Johnson and Nicholls 1988; Blais and Kalff 1993; Snetsinger 1993), including the Laurentian Great Lakes (Kemp et al. 1978; Nriagu et al. 1983; IJC 1988; Mudroch et al. 1988) and their connecting channels (Fallon and Horvath 1985; Hesselberg and Hamdy 1987; Rossmann 1988; Environment Canada 1988) and the Ottawa and Rideau Rivers (Oliver and Kinrade 1972; Oliver and Agemian 1974) (see Table 4). Background levels of 44 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and 38 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> were measured in the Ottawa and Rideau Rivers, respectively, by Oliver and Agemian (1974) and 22 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and 21 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, by Oliver and Kinrade (1972). The slight discrepancy between the two studies may be because the former authors analyzed whole sediment whereas Oliver and Agemian (1974) measured the 80 mesh size fraction.

In the sediments of the Laurentian Great Lakes, Mudroch et al. (1988) summarized information on background concentrations of chromium. However their data are difficult to compare because of different sampling localities and techniques, and the methods used for the sediment analyses. Background levels of  $51.4 \pm 15.1 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ,  $31.8 \pm 11.1 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ , and  $34.3 \pm 8.2 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  have been reported for Lake Superior, Lake Huron, and Georgian Bay, respectively (Kemp et al. 1978). Similarly, Nriagu et al. (1983) calculated an average chromium concentration of approximately  $55 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  in the pre-colonial sediments of Toronto and Hamilton Harbours, Lake Ontario. These values are comparable to chromium concentrations at the *Ambrosia* horizon in Lakes Superior and Huron (IJC 1988) and in Big Marsh Creek, Lake Erie (Mudroch 1980).

In the sediments of some smaller Ontario lakes, background concentrations of chromium similar to those observed in the Laurentian Great Lakes have been measured, for example, in the pre-1800 sediments of Lake Simcoe (i.e.,  $45.8 \pm 1.6 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ; Johnson and Nicholls 1988) and in the Turkey Lakes (i.e.,  $45.7 \pm 3.9 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ; Johnson et al. 1986). In the Turkey Lakes, background levels were calculated as the average concentration below the first core slice that exceeded the 'running' mean background concentration of deeper slices by two standard deviations. A larger range of background levels (i.e., 23 to 92 mg \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} for time periods ranging between 1800 and 1906) has been observed in the Sudbury area (Snetsinger 1993).

Less data relating to background concentrations for chromium other than those in the NGR database are readily available for other areas of the country. In Lake Athabasca, Alberta,

background chromium levels are approximately 30 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Bourbonniere et al. 1996), whereas 1 2 in Nova Scotia, Mudroch and Sandilands (1978) found that chromium concentrations below 5 cm depth were between approximately 4 and 22 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in six cores collected from four lakes in the 3 province. Relatively high natural chromium levels of > 60 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> were reported by Allan (1975) 4 5 who 23 summarized data from areas in the northwestern Canadian Precambrian Shield, North 6 West Territories, remote from point-source inputs of chromium. Similarly, background 7 concentrations of > 100 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> were reported for many cores collected from lakes located in the Eastern Townships of Québec (Blais and Kalff 1993), an area underlain by undifferentiated rock 8 9 of the Palaeozoic era. The province of Québec has also completed an extensive, internally consistent, geochemical dataset for the province, with over one million sediment samples collected 10 to date. However, these data are not yet available in published format (M. Beaumier, Ministere 11 12 d'Energies, Mines et Resources Naturelles, Québec, 1994, pers. com.).

# 13

### 14

16

# 15 Ambient and Contaminated Concentrations

17 Sediments contaminated with chromium can be attributed to a number of human activities. The majority of the anthropogenic emissions of total chromium to the atmosphere in Canada result from 18 19 fossil fuel combustion, with smaller amounts being released from various industrial processes (including iron and steel production, and refractory and chemical processing) and transportation-20 related activities, such as motor vehicle operation (Environment Canada 1993). Although a 21 complete inventory of chromium discharges to land and water is unavailable, it has been suggested 22 23 that the annual loadings exceed 5 000 tonnes yr<sup>-1</sup> and 27 tonnes yr<sup>-1</sup> to the two media, respectively 24 (Environment Canada 1993). Of the more than 5 000 tonnes of chromium wastes discharged annually onto land in Canada, the majority comes from metal-finishing sludges and from slags, 25 sludges, and solids wastes produced by non-ferrous base metal smelters and refineries 26 (Environment Canada 1993). With respect to loadings into water, available data indicate that liquid 27 effluents from Canadian base metal smelters and refineries, as well as iron and steel plants, 28 petroleum refineries, and metal refinishing plants in Ontario release significant quantities of 29 30 chromium each year (Jaimet 1990; MacLatchy 1992, and data from the Municipal/Industrial Strategy for Abatement program). Other potentially important sources of chromium to the 31 32 freshwater environment in Canada include discharges from pulp and paper mills, leather tanning 33 industries and thermal generating stations. Many small industries utilize chromium in their operations and as a result substantial amounts can be discharged from urban stormwater runoff 34 and sewage treatment plants. In addition to human activities, elevated chromium concentrations 35

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

in sediments may be attributed to long-range transport and deposition of atmospheric chromium (natural or anthropogenic), as well as natural geological sources. In this chapter, observed concentrations are considered to be contaminated if there is a known point source input of chromium to the water or sediment; otherwise, it is classified as ambient.

Most of the data relating to surface (ambient and contaminated) concentrations of chromium in Canadian freshwater sediments have been collected in Ontario. In the Sudbury area, where elevated concentrations of many metals (e.g., nickel, copper, cadmium, and zinc) have been reported (Dillon et al. 1988), concentrations of chromium in the recent sediments (i.e., 1966 to 1985) of 19 lakes ranged between 31 and 98 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Snetsinger 1993). These levels are relatively similar to the background concentrations of 23 to 92 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> measured in the same lakes suggesting that the surface concentrations are generally uncontaminated by human activities. Similar ambient concentrations of 35.1 to 90.6 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> were reported for the epilimnetic sediments of 15 lakes located in the Muskoka-Haliburton region of Ontario (Reimer and Duthie 1993), which is an area that is distant from anthropogenic sources of chromium and is dominated by Precambrian Shield bedrock. In the Moira River drainage basin, which discharges into the Bay of Quinte, Lake Ontario, slightly lower ambient concentrations of 12 to 31 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> were measured (Mudroch and Capobianco 1979). The Moira River basin also is underlain by Precambrian metamorphic and plutonic (igneous) rocks although these are overlain in the southern part of the basin by Palaeozoic sedimentary and metamorphic rocks. Thus, the reason for the difference in the two areas (Moira River basin versus Muskoka-Haliburton area) may be due to geology, or it may be attributed in part to the weaker digestion method used by Mudroch and Capobianco (1979) compared to Reimer and Duthie (1993).

Merriman (1987) used a 0.5 N hydrochloric acid 'cold' digestion to determine the available chromium concentrations in the top 3 cm of sediments of the Ottawa River (i.e., less than 13 mg·kg<sup>-</sup>). Stronger digestions (i.e., nitric + hydrochloric acids at 70-90°C) were applied by Oliver and Kinrade (1972) and Oliver and Agemian (1974). The latter authors reported elevated concentrations of chromium (114 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) in the river sediments at the mouth of Brewery Creek, which receives drainage from 6 sanitary sewers in Hull. In the Rideau River, Oliver and Kinrade (1972) reported a concentration of 128 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> at a station adjacent to the sewage treatment plant for Smith Falls. Similarly elevated chromium concentrations (138 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) were found at Merrickville (about 12 km downstream of Smith Falls) where in the past, untreated waste from two metal plating industries has been discharged directly into the river (Oliver and Agemian 1974).

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

### **Chapter 2: Concentrations**

Other data for Ontario lake sediments have been reported for Lake Simcoe. In Kempenfelt Bay, 1 2 point-source inputs of chromium from the town of Barrie have resulted in significant chromium contamination, with an average total concentration of 416.7 ± 155.9 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> being found in surface 3 4 (0 - 1 cm) sediments (Johnson and Nicholls 1988). It is evident that the point-source inputs from Barrie into Kempenfelt Bay have had an impact on ambient chromium concentrations in the other 5 basins of the lake because concentrations decrease as a function of distance from Kempenfelt Bay 6 (i.e.,  $80.7 \pm 6.6 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ,  $75.4 \pm 3.9 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  and  $43.3 \pm 6.9 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  in Main Bay, Cook Bay, and 7 8 the outlet of the lake, respectively) (Johnson and Nicholls 1988).

Surficial concentration data for the Laurentian Great Lakes (Thomas et al. 1975; Kemp et al. 1978; 10 Nriagu et al. 1983; Mudroch 1980, 1984, 1993; Hart et al. 1986; IJC 1988; OME 1989a; Verbrugge 11 et al. 1991; Jaagumagi et al. 1991b; Poulton 1992; Krantzberg 1994), their tributaries (Fitchko and 12 13 Hutchinson 1975) and their connecting channels (i.e., St. Clair River, Lake St. Clair, Detroit River, St. Mary's River; see Thornley and Hamdy 1984; Fallon and Horvath 1985; Hamdy and Post 1985; 14 Mudroch and Duncan 1986; Hesselberg and Hamdy 1987; Environment Canada 1988; Edsall et 15 al. 1991; Jaagumagi et al. 1991a; Mudroch 1991; Nichols et al. 1991; Pope and Kauss 1995) are 16 17 abundant. Because of the plethora of data available, only the contaminated areas will be discussed 18 here.

In Lake Ontario, as a result of discharges of effluents from the iron and steel works into Hamilton 20 Bay, total chromium concentrations measured in surface sediments have exceeded 200 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> 21 22 (OME 1989b; Krantzberg 1994), with concentrations in the 0 to 4 cm section of one core collected 23 from Hamilton Harbour averaging 537 ± 37 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Nriagu et al. 1983). In Toronto Harbour, surface (0 to 5 cm) values of 150 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and 210 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (in August and September 1987, 24 respectively) were recorded in Ashbridges Bay, which receives discharge from the main sewage 25 26 treatment plant for the city of Toronto (Jaagumagi et al. 1991b). Similar concentrations of 27  $150 \pm 14 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  and  $134.5 \pm 5 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  were measured by Nriagu et al. (1983) at two sites in the Harbour. In Port Hope Harbour, a surface concentration of 123 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> was reported at a station 28 29 in Turning Basin, located near the cooling water discharge for CAMECO (formerly Eldorado 30 Resources Ltd), a company which undertakes the conversion of uranium trioxide to uranium hexafluoride and ceramic-grade uranium dioxide (Hart et al. 1986; OME 1990). A chromium 31 concentration of 138 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> was measured at a depth of 20 cm in a core collected from another 32 station in Turning Basin, indicating either naturally high background levels and/or previous 33 chromium contamination in the basin. The latter would be a result of operations and waste 34 management practices associated with the refinery, most likely between 1933 and 1953 (OME 35

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

9

1990). In the Bay of Quinte, surface chromium concentrations of 67.8  $\pm$  15.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> were measured near the Belleville sewage treatment plant by Poulton (1992) who notes that these levels are higher than in the open waters of Lake Ontario, but lower than in highly industrialized areas such as Hamilton Harbour. In addition, they are higher than the ambient concentrations (12 to 31 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) measured by Mudroch and Capobianco (1979) for the Moira River, which discharges into the Bay of Quinte.

In the connecting channels of the Upper Great Lakes, elevated concentrations of chromium have been found in parts of the St. Mary's River (Hesselberg and Hamdy 1987; Environment Canada 1988; Edsall et al. 1991; Jaagumagi et al. 1991a; Nichols et al. 1991; Mudroch 1991; Pope and Kauss 1995). The St. Mary's River, which connects Lakes Superior and Huron, is one of the 42 areas designated by the IJC (1988) as an area of concern. Contamination of the river has resulted from power generation, shipping and contaminant discharge from both industrial and municipal point sources, and also from urban non-point sources. Chromium concentrations of 1 004 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 193 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and 194 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> were measured in the vicinity of Bellview Marine Park, Sault Ste. Marie by Mudroch (1991), who suggests that these high levels may be the result of past discharges from the Northwestern Leather Company tannery, which was located upstream at Ashum Bay on the U.S. side of the river. Levels as high as 140 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> were measured in Algoma Slip (Hesselberg and Hamdy 1987), which is adjacent to Algoma Steel on the north side of the river. The slip serves as a docking area for large commercial vessels and also receives contaminant inputs from three Algoma steel discharges and two tributary creeks (Pope and Kauss 1995). Similarly high concentrations (i.e., up to 170 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) were reported by Jaagumagi et al. (1991a) in the area downstream of Algoma Steel and the St. Mary's Paper Mills. However, Jaagumagi et al. (1991a) noted that more than 50% of the chromium that they measured was in the residual phase, suggesting that a good portion of the chromium may originate from a natural source.

Welland River, chromium concentrations in surface sediments averaged 3  $712 \pm 130 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  and reached a maximum concentration of 5 120 mg \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} downstream of Atlas Specialty Steels Co., a steel manufacturing plant. These levels are in contrast to concentrations of approximately 30 mg \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} upstream in the upper Welland River and Lyons Creek (Dickman et al. 1990). In the Detroit River, elevated chromium concentrations have been recorded at certain locations along the river; for example, 120 mg \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} at the mouth of the Little River, near the sewage treatment plant (Thornley and Hamdy 1984), 92.3 mg \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} around Fighting Island (Fallon and Horvath 1985) and 360 mg \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} in the lower part of the river (Nichols et al. 1991).

1 An abundance of data is available for surface sediments of the St. Lawrence River (Kuntz 1988; 2 Wilkins 1988; Kauss et al. 1988; Anderson 1990) including its tributaries (Goulet and LaLiberté 3 1982) and Lac St. Louis (Jarry et al. 1985; Mudroch and Joshi 1991). There appears to be no 4 evidence of a point source contamination of chromium (i.e., < 33 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) along the river near Maitland, which lies between Brockville and Prescott (Wilkins 1988). However, concentrations of 5 6 over 100 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> have been observed upstream at both Kingston and Gananoque, most likely as 7 a result of municipal and industrial sources (Kuntz 1988). Further downstream at Cornwall. 8 elevated chromium levels were recorded at the outfall of Courtaulds/British Cellophanes Ltd (BCL). 9 Courtaulds manufactures rayon fibre from wood pulp and provides viscose to its associated 10 company, BCL where cellophane film is produced. Concentrations of 82 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> have been 11 measured at that location by Anderson (1990), as well as  $53.5 \pm 1.91 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  by Richman (1991) 12 and 55 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> by Kauss et al. (1988). Concentrations of chromium also are elevated above 13 background in the sediments downstream of two other major sources of chromium in Cornwall. 14 These sources are Domtar Fine Papers Ltd., which owns a large pulp and paper plant and specialty 15 paper mill in Cornwall, and the Cornwall Water Pollution Control Plant (Kauss et al. 1988; Kuntz 16 1988). Further downstream, in Lac St. Louis, concentrations as high as 170 to 190 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> have 17 been reported (Jarry et al. 1985; Mudroch and Joshi 1991), most likely because of the lake's 18 proximity to the city of Montréal. Data pertaining to tributary inputs of chromium to the St. 19 Lawrence River can be found in Goulet and LaLiberté (1982).

21 Although ambient and contaminated levels of chromium in freshwater sediments in other regions 22 of the country are scarce (e.g., North West Territories; Moore 1980), much information is available 23 for the province of Alberta. In the Battle River drainage basin, ambient chromium concentrations 24 generally are less than 25 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Anderson et al. 1994). In Lake Athabasca, chromium levels 25 of 24.4 to 35.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> were measured recently in the surface sediments at eight locations in the 26 lake (Bourbonniere et al. 1996). Three cores taken from the lake exhibited a nearly constant 27 concentration with depth suggesting that the surface concentrations are uncontaminated by human 28 activities. Slightly higher levels (35 to 43 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) were found in the Athabasca River by Day and 29 Reynoldson (1995), whereas slightly lower concentrations (14 to 23 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) were reported 30 recently by Dobson et al. (in press) who studied the Peace, Smoky, and Athabasca Rivers. 31 Identical methods for analysis were employed in both studies. In contrast to these results, Allan 32 and Jackson (1978) reported elevated ambient chromium concentrations of 114.3 ± 12.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> 33 (Lake Athabasca),  $63.3 \pm 26.9 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  (Athabasca River), and  $87.3 \pm 31.4 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  (Athabasca 34 River delta) as part of the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Reserch Program (AOSERP). An 35 average chromium concentration of 77.8 ± 28.7 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (range of 34 to 116 mg·kg ) was

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

observed for the entire AOSERP study area (Lutz and Hendzel 1977). Allan and Jackson (1978) state that the concentrations they measured were normal or even low when compared to contaminated sediments elsewhere. Thus, the discrepancy among the various studies may be due to differences in digestion methods (Lutz and Hendzel 1977). The AOSERP results include the residual (lithogenic) chromium in the sediments and therefore, probably reflect background levels of chromium. Likewise, in British Columbia, high ambient concentrations of chromium (averaging 206 to 1 045 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for the 13 subregions sampled) were measured in the surface sediments of 369 uncontaminated lakes (Rieberger 1992). Because these lakes are remote from any known point source inputs of chromium, it is likely that, they represent background chromium concentrations for the region.

### **Marine Sediments**

### **Background Concentrations**

Whereas the NGR database provides a consistent database of background levels of trace elements, including chromium in Canadian freshwater sediments, a similar database does not exist for marine sediments. In fact, only limited information is available concerning background concentrations of chromium in marine (including estuarine) sediments in Canada. In this section, the few published data on background concentrations of Chromium in Canadian marine sediments are summarized. It should be noted that some manipulation of the data (e.g., calculation of means and standard deviations) was necessary in order to facilitate their presentation. Although an attempt has been made to summarize the marine Chromium concentration data consistently according to the definitions provided above, quantitative comparisons among the few datasets presented here should be interpreted with caution.

In the Beaufort Sea, background (below 8 cm) Chromium levels of  $22.1 \pm 36.1 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  (n = 546, range of 4.1 to 260) were reported by Thomas et al. (1982), whereas from the data of Mudroch (1987), we calculated an average Chromium concentration of  $76.7 \pm 14.2 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  for 13 samples collected from a depth of 15 to 20 cm. This latter value is very similar to the background (below 5 cm) concentrations of about 74 to 85 mg \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} that we calculated for cores collected from the Hudson/James Bay region, using data provided by Buckley et al. (1993).

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

On the Pacific Coast in Alice Arm, British Columbia, background (below 10 cm) Chromium
concentrations in 11 cores ranged between 20.1 and 79.4 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Goyette and Christie 1982).
Similar average Chromium levels (23 to 51 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) were found below 10 cm in cores collected
from Quatsino Sound (Harding and Thomas 1987), Loughborough Inlet, and Belize/Seymour Inlets,
British Columbia (Goyette 1990).

6

### 7

9

# 8 Ambient and Contaminated Concentrations

10 Compared to background chromium concentrations, relatively more studies are available that 11 provide data on ambient and contaminated chromium concentrations in marine sediments. 12 Regions of Atlantic Canada have been studied (Cranston et al. 1974; Willey 1976; Willey and 13 Fitzgerald 1980; Loring 1976; 1979a,b; 1982; Pelletier and Canuel 1988; Samant et al. 1990; 14 Buckley and Winters 1992; Coakley and Poulton 1993), as well as Arctic Canada (Campbell and 15 Loring 1980; Thomas et al. 1982; Mudroch 1987; Arctic Laboratories and LGL 1987; Wainwright and Humphrey 1988; Loring 1982, 1984) including Hudson/James Bay (Buckley et al. 1993), and 16 17 Pacific Canada (Goyette and Christie 1982; Harding and Thomas 1987; Francois 1988; Harding and Goyette 1989; Goyette and Boyd 1989; Goyette 1990; Dunn et al. 1992). For Atlantic Canada, 18 ambient concentrations of chromium in whole sediment samples collected from the Saguenay Fjord 19 were similar in three separate investigations and ranged between 33 and 70 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Loring 1976), 20 21 49 and 97 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Loring 1982), and 12 and 72 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Pelletier and Canuel 1988). Chromium concentrations were higher in the < 53  $\mu$ m fraction than in the whole sediment sample (Loring 22 23 1982; Pelletier and Canuel 1988). Despite the fact that the Saguenay Fjord receives contamination from urban outfalls and industrial waste discharges, Loring (1976) suggests that the observed 24 25 ambient chromium levels are near background concentrations because 91 to 98% of the chromium 26 is in the detrital, or acid insoluble, fraction.

27

28 Slightly lower chromium concentrations (i.e., 7 to 63 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>; mean of 25.5  $\pm$  10.8 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, n= 59) were measured in the upper St. Lawrence Estuary between Québec City and the Saguenay Fjord 29 30 (Coakley and Poulton 1993), although Loring (1982) reported that chromium concentrations were 31 similar to those found in the Saguenay Fjord (i.e., 39 to 95 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>). In the lower part of the St. 32 Lawrence Estuary, downstream of the Saguenay Fjord, Loring (1982) reported sediment levels that 33 were slightly elevated (i.e., 37 to 120 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>), possibly as a result of the influence of various point 34 and non-point source discharges along the river and the Fjord. Similarly, ambient chromium levels were elevated (i.e., 8 to 158 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in parts of the Gulf of St. Lawrence, particularly along the 35

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

west coast of Newfoundland and eastern Nova Scotia. However, with respect to source rock concentrations, these levels approximate the naturally occurring chromium concentrations for the area. Other data concerning surficial chromium concentrations in Atlantic Canada sediments have been reported for Placentia Bay, Newfoundland, (mean of  $45.5 \pm 10.4 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ) (Willey 1976), the Miramichi Estuary (mean of  $44.3 \pm 23.7 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  for sediments < 63 µm) (Willey and Fitzgerald 1980), for Dalhousie and Belledune Harbours, New Brunswick (mean of  $39 \pm 4 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  and  $57 \pm 1 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ , respectively (Samant et al. 1990), and Loring (1979b) for the Bay of Fundy (range of 15 to 352 mg \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}).

Ambient chromium concentrations in sediments along the coast of British Columbia often are similar to background concentrations. For example, mean chromium concentrations in surficial sediments (0 to 2 cm) from northern British Columbia ranged from 20.1 to 61.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in ten cores (note that the value of 421 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> reported for one of the cores appears to be a typographical error in the Appendix) and 9.5 to 43 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in five grabs, collected from Alice Arm (Goyette and Christie 1982). Similarly, chromium concentrations in surficial sediments averaged 28.8 ± 14.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for five grab samples collected from Hecate Strait (Harding and Goyette 1989). Further down the coast, ambient concentrations averaged 35.1 ± 0.42 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in two grabs collected from Laredo Sound and 26.6 ± 4.1 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in three grabs collected from Surf Inlet (Harding and Thomas 1987, Harding and Goyette 1989).

Harding and Thomas (1987), Harding and Goyette (1989), Goyette and Boyd (1989), Goyette (1990) and Dunn et al. (1992) reported chromium concentrations of 9 to 59.6 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in surficial sediment samples located along the southern coast of British Columbia. Average concentrations calculated from data provided by Goyette and Boyd (1989) and Goyette (1990) were similar to those calculated by the authors (Harding and Thomas 1987, Harding and Goyette 1989) and ranged between  $28.4 \pm 4.88$  mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and  $50.6 \pm 8.21$  mg·kg<sup>1</sup> in four of the studies. However, concentrations of over 110 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> were measured in Saanich Inlet by Francois (1988), with levels of up to 267 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (<149 µm fraction only) being measured in Port Moody Arm, in Vancouver Harbour. This location is near the loco oil refinery, which appears to be the major source of chromium to the harbour (Goyette and Boyd 1989). Otherwise, chromium levels measured in Vancouver Harbour sediments were generally < 50 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Goyette and Boyd 1989).

In the Canadian Arctic, where there is little or no industrial development, mineral deposits and geologic formations are expected to be the primary sources of trace metals, including chromium, to the sediments. Not surprisingly, concentrations of chromium in the surficial sediments

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1 · 

#### Chapter 2: Concentrations

approximate background concentrations. In the eastern Arctic, chromium levels in the surficial 1 2 sediment averages 57 to 84 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> along the Arctic Coast, the Sound, and in Baffin Bay (Campbell and Loring 1980; Loring 1982, 1984), whereas Hudson/James Bay, ambient chromium 3 4 levels averaged 71 to 86 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Buckley et al. 1993). In most parts of the Beaufort Sea, similar 5 mean concentrations of 64 to 84 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> have been reported (Thomas et al. 1982; Pelletier and 6 Buckley 1984; Mudroch 1987; Wainwright and Humphrey 1988). However, anthropogenic sources 7 of trace metals to the Mackenzie River and the Canadian Beaufort Sea, largely as a result of oil-8 exploration activities, can result in wastes associated with the disposal and accidental spillage of drilling fluids (Thomas et al. 1982; Wainwright and Humphrey 1988). Thus, chromium 9 10 concentrations in sediments averaging  $126.0 \pm 10.5 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  have been observed (Arctic 11 Laboratories and LGL Ltd. 1987). Notwithstanding, even these levels may be indicative of ambient 12 conditions as opposed to contaminated conditions, given that background concentrations as high as 260 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> have been measured in deeper sediments collected from the Beaufort Sea 13 14 (Thomas et al. 1982).

### 17 Conclusions

15 16

18

19 Both anthropogenic and natural sources of chromium may contribute to high sediment 20 concentrations in marine and freshwater systems. Anthropogenic inputs of chromium that may 21 result in elevated levels in sediments have the potential to cause adverse effects on the resident 22 biota. On the other hand, higher sediment concentrations that are a result of natural sources of 23 chromium are probably less of a concern as the indigenous biota may be adapted to these levels. 24 It is evident from the data presented in this chapter that estimates of background, ambient, and 25 contaminated chromium concentrations in Canadian freshwater and marine sediments vary 26 substantially within specific regions as well as across the country. Reported mean background 27 concentrations range between 4 and 160 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for freshwater sediments (Tables 3 and 4) and 28 between 20 and 85 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in marine sediments, although levels as high as 6 121 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> have 29 been reported in Newfoundland lakes (Table 3). Given this wide variation in background sediment concentrations, it is not surprising that mean ambient and contaminated levels may overlap 30 31 background concentrations in some areas of the country. In freshwater lakes and streams, 32 average ambient and contaminated concentrations range between 12 and 3 712 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 33 3). The upper value of that range was measured in the Welland River, Ontario, downstream of the 34 Atlas Specialty Steels Co.. In contrast, chromium concentrations in excess of 200 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> have 35 been measured in the surface sediments of Hamilton Bay and Toronto Harbour, Lake Ontario

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

(OME 1989b; Nriagu et al. 1983; Krantzberg 1994). In marine sediments, mean concentrations reported in surficial sediments show less variation than for freshwater sediments, ranging between 10 and 352 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. The latter value was measured in the Bay of Fundy by Loring (1979b), although elevated levels have been found also in Halifax Inlet (Buckley and Winters 1992), in Vancouver Harbour (Goyette and Boyd 1989), and in the Beaufort Sea (Thomas et al. 1982; Arctic Laboratories and LGL Ltd. 1987).

Because of the variation in background, ambient, and contaminated concentrations across the country, generalizations regarding the relative contribution of natural versus anthropogenic chromium to observed concentrations cannot be made. Therefore, the mean concentration ranges of chromium in sediments (as stated above) that are presented in this document should be viewed as qualitative estimates for a given region and not as "blanket" zones where background concentrations are expected to be uniform. This review provides an indication of the degree to which sediment chromium concentrations in certain areas may be influenced by natural processes and human activities, and can assist in focussing further sediment assessment and remediation efforts by environmental managers employing sediment quality guidelines. Ultimately, such information, in conjunction with an understanding of the fate, behaviour and toxicity of sediment-associated chromium, can be used to evaluate the potential impact of sediment-associated chromium on aquatic ecosystems.

## 1 2

3

4

## **CHAPTER 3. CHROMIUM IN AQUATIC SYSTEMS**

## Speciation in the Water Column

5 Although chromium can occur in any of the oxidation states ranging from Cr(-II) to Cr(VI), only 6 Cr(0), Cr(III), and Cr(VI) are common, with Cr(III) being the most stable (Mertz 1969). In the water 7 column, chromium occurs as Cr(III) or Cr(VI) (Murray et al. 1983; Richard and Bourg 1991). Cr(VI) 8 is a strong oxidizing agent and it has been shown to penetrate biological membranes readily (Mertz 9 1969; Fujii et al. 1990). These two characteristics have led Cr(VI) to be considered more toxic than 10 Cr(III) (Oshida et al. 1981; Van Weerelt et al. 1984; Peternac and Legovic 1986; Aboul Dahab et 11 al. 1990). Environmental conditions influencing the speciation and behaviour of chromium in the 12 water column is discussed below, with a focus on Cr(III) and Cr(VI).

13 14

16

# 15 Chromium(VI)

17 Depending on redox conditions, Cr(VI) can form a number of stable oxyacids and anions including 18 chromate ( $CrO_4^{2}$ ), hydrochromate ( $HCrO_4^{-}$ ), and dichromate ( $Cr_2O_7^{2}$ ), all three of which are highly 19 soluble in water (Saleh et al. 1989). In the pH range of natural waters (i.e., 5 to 9), the 20 concentration of dichromate is generally negligible with chromate prevailing at a pH > 6.5 (Moore 21 1991). Although Cr(VI) is highly mobile in the aquatic environment, it is relatively unreactive. Its 22 removal from the water column to the sediments is controlled primarily by two mechanisms: 1) 23 adsorption and desorption reactions (Eary and Rai 1987), and 2) reduction to Cr(III) (Schroeder 24 and Lee 1975), which is rapidly scavenged from the water column. An overview of the chromium 25 cycle in the aquatic environment is depicted in Figure 1.

27 Chromate [ i.e., Cr(VI)] ions can be adsorbed by manganese, aluminum and iron oxides (Jackson 28 et al. 1980; Stollenwerk and Grove 1985), clay minerals and natural soils (Mayer and Schick 1981), 29 and colloids. Adsorption of Cr(VI) appears to be a surface complexation reaction between aqueous 30 chromates and hydroxyl-specific surface sites (Richard and Bourg 1991). While the adsorption 31 reaction may be important in acidic media, it decreases rapidly above pH 7 (Richard and Bourg 32 1991; Johnson and Sigg 1992) and in the presence of competing dissolved anions (Stollenwerk 33 and Grove 1985; Richard and Bourg 1991) such as phosphate (Mayer and Schick 1981). 34 Adsorption of Cr(VI) is considered to be of minor importance in the water column of natural systems 35 (Moore 1991).

36

Figure 1. Chromium cycling in the aquatic environment (adapted from Richard and Bourg 1991 and Johnson and Sigg 1992). (s) = solid, SS = suspended solids, DOM = dissolved organic matter

1

2


Numerous reductants such as reduced sulphur species (Saleh et al. 1989; Johnson et al. 1992; 1 2 Balistrieri et al. 1992), ferrous iron (Schroeder and Lee 1975; Saleh et al. 1989; Leshchinskaya and 3 Linnik 1990), and organic compounds (Schroeder and Lee 1975; Nakayama et al. 1981b; Harzdorf 1987; Saleh et al. 1989) such as fulvic acid (Leshchinskaya and Linnik 1990; Wittbrodt and Palmer 4 5 1995), can reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III) in both freshwater and marine environments (Figure 1). 6 Although the reduction reactions are favoured under conditions of low pH and Eh (such as in 7 anaerobic fresh- and interstitial waters), in waters with abundant phytoplankton or dissolved organic 8 carbon, reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) also may be rapid (Santschi 1988; Beaubien et al. 1994) 9 because the degradation products act as potential reducing agents (Leshchinskaya and Linnik 10 1990). In freshwater field studies, the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) was found to be significant in 11 lacustrine waters with strong reducing conditions (Balistrieri et al. 1992; Johnson et al. 1992; 12 Beaubien et al. 1994). Similarly, in laboratory experiments, reduction of Cr(VI) in the presence of 13 reducing agents was reported to be very rapid. For example, using batch experiments, Saleh et 14 al. (1989) found that the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) in the water, by reduced sulfur and iron ions, 15 was instantaneous under anaerobic conditions. In interstitial waters, Harzdorf (1987) found that 16 87% or more of the initial added Cr(VI) was reduced within one hour in sediments from the River 17 Elbe, Büttel Channel, and Lake "Kremperheide".

19 On the other hand, Harzdorf (1987) also found that in the aerobic water column of the River Rhine, Büttel Channel, and Lake "Kremperheide" (pH 8.0, 8.1, 7.6, respectively), Cr(VI) reduction rates 20 21 were some orders of magnitude lower than in the sediments. Thus, in alkaline (oxic) fresh waters, 22 the reduction of Cr(VI) may be quite slow. Similarly, the reduction of Cr(VI) may be limited in 23 marine waters. Chipman (1966) observed that over 99% of Cr(VI) in standing seawater remained 24 in solution after 8 days, as did Schulz-Baldes et al. (1983) who reported that 96.6% of the Cr(VI) 25 added to their intertidal enclosures remained in the dissolved phase in the water column after 3 26 weeks.

27

18

28

## 29 Chromium(III)

30

The principal forms of aqueous Cr(III) species include  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $CrOH^{2+}$ ,  $Cr(OH)_3^{0}$ , and  $Cr(OH)_4^{+}$ , with CrOH<sup>2+</sup> and  $Cr(OH)_3^{0}$  being the main forms in all natural waters (Richard and Bourg 1991). Unlike Cr(VI), Cr(III) is not expected to migrate in natural systems because it is readily hydrolyzed and binds strongly to particles and organic matter in the water column. In the sediments, Cr(III) is rapidly, strongly and specifically adsorbed by iron and manganese oxides, clay minerals, and sand (Schroeder and Lee 1975; Richard and Bourg 1991). The adsorption of Cr(III) increases with increasing pH (Young et al. 1992) and increasing organic content of the soil/sediment (Payà Pérez et al. 1988), but decreases when competing cations are present (Richard and Bourg 1991). Studies in which the partition coefficient ( $K_d$ ) of Cr(III) to soils/sediments has been measured in both marine and freshwater sediments (*e.g.*, Young et al. 1987, 1992; Payà Pérez et al. 1988; Golimowski et al. 1990), have yielded values that differ by several orders of magnitude (i.e., from 10 to 30 000 L·kg<sup>-1</sup>). The orders of magnitude variation in K<sub>d</sub> values most likely is a function of many factors including pH, sediment or soil type, initial chromium and other ionic concentrations, and experimental design (see Förstner 1987). Young et al. (1992) suggest that *in vitro* batch experiments may inadequately replicate conditions that are relevant to the adsorption and desorption of metals (including chromium) during resuspension events.

As shown in Figure 1, in freshwater and marine sediments, suspended sediments and interstitial waters, diagenetic processes such as desorption of Cr(III) from the bed sediment and suspended sediment, and oxidation to Cr(VI) can lead to remobilization of chromium, either as chromate or as Cr(III)-dissolved organic matter complexes. Francis and Dodge (1990) found that an anaerobic nitrogen-fixing *Clostridium* sp. solubilized chromium that had co-precipitated with geothite (iron hydroxide) by enzymatic reduction of the ferric iron and subsequent release of the chromium associated with the iron. Generally, however, it is assumed that once Cr(III) is scavenged from the water column, it becomes part of the sediment matrix and is thus unavailable (or at least less available) for uptake by biota (Pfeiffer et al. 1980). This reasoning has lead to the development of techniques for eliminating and/or reducing Cr(VI) toxicity in wastewaters by utilizing Cr-reducing bacteria such as *Pseudomonas* spp. (Vincze et al. 1994; DeLeo and Ehrlich 1994; Gopalan and Veeramani 1994), *Enterobacter* spp. (Fujii et al. 1990; Ohtake et al. 1990), or a mixture of bacteria (Kvasnikov 1988; Fude et al. 1994) to reduce the toxic, highly mobile Cr(VI) to the less toxic, immobile Cr(III).

The remobilization of chromium by the oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) is a critical process that is dependent on redox and other conditions (e.g., nutrient and dissolved manganese concentrations) in the system (Johnson and Sigg 1992). In fresh waters, some evidence suggests that diagenetic remobilization of chromium from the sediments to the overlying water may not be an important process. For example, in Lake Greifen, Switzerland, Johnson and Sigg (1992) and Johnson et al. (1992) found no evidence for Cr(III) oxidation, once the reduced or adsorbed chromium was removed from the water column. Similarly, Beaubien et al. (1994) detected no increases in either Cr(VI) or Cr(III) concentrations near the sediment water interface in Lake Ontario, nor did

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1 Golimowski et al. (1985) observe evidence for Cr(III) remobilization in Lake Zürich. On the other 2 hand, Balistrieri et al. (1992) found that concentrations of total, dissolved, and particulate chromium all increased in the bottom waters of Lake Sammamish, Washington, during oxic phases in the 3 4 lake, although there was an overall loss of chromium from the water column during suboxic and 5 anoxic phases. Likewise, in a microcosm experiment conducted using simulated Lake Powell 6 (Utah/Arizona) sediments and water, Medine and Porcella (1980) suggest that a shift in sediment 7 Cr(III) to Cr(VI) during initial mixing of the sediment, may have caused the observed release of 8 chromium from the sediments to the water in the first 20 days of the experiment. In support of their 9 theory, sediment profiles demonstrated an upward migration of chromium and its loss from the 10 deeper sediment.

The conflicting results from these studies are a consequence of the various approaches (field versus laboratory) and the various conditions used in each of the lakes/experiments. Unfortunately, information regarding the ultimate fate of Cr(III) in lacustrine systems will require long-term mass balance studies on a variety of lakes and must include the measurement of both Cr(III) and Cr(VI) species. Even then, the results may not be applicable to other lakes where redox and trophic conditions and metal (manganese and iron) concentrations may be different.

19 In the marine environment, the release of chromium from bottom sediments through the catalytic 20 oxidation of Cr(III) by manganese oxides has been documented in laboratory experiments using 21 dissolved Cr(III) and particulate manganese oxides (e.g., Schroeder and Lee 1975; Nakayama et 22 al. 1981a; Van der Weijden and Reith 1982). In the field, increases in chromium concentration at 23 the sediment-seawater interface (Jeandel and Minster 1984), as well as increases in porewater 24 concentrations of chromium with depth in the core (Douglas et al. 1986), have been cited as 25 evidence for Cr(III) remobilization from some mineral phases such as chromic hydroxide or chromite. Cr(III) oxidation has been found to decrease in the presence of competing metal 26 27 sorbates, such as aluminum, at pH > 4 (Fendorf et al. 1993). In addition, Simon et al. (1994) found 28 that concentrations of chromium in the interstitial water of sediment collected from the Calcasieu 29 River and estuary, Louisiana, exceeded water column concentrations when the total concentration of ammonia in the sediment exceeded 1 µmol·g<sup>-1</sup> ww. Although this presented a potential for the 30 31 diffusive flux of chromium to the overlying water, Ciceri et al. (1992) calculated a negative benthic 32 flux (i.e., flux from the water to the sediments) of dissolved chromium using Fick's law, as well as 33 from benthic chambers located in the Tyrrhenian Sea. Similarly, Zwolsman et al. (1993) found little 34 evidence of post-depositional mobility of chromium in certain cores collected from salt marsh 35 sediments in the Scheldt estuary, the Netherlands. In the marine environment it is likely that

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

11

18

chromium cycling occurs in response to biogeochemistry (Richard and Bourg 1991). When chromium is scavenged by suspended sediment and is deposited in marine sediments, diagenetic processes can lead to remobilization of chromium either as  $CrO_4^{2^2}$  or as organic Cr(III) complexes (Figure 1).

## Chromium(VI) / Chromium(III) Distribution in the Water Column

Thermodynamic calculations predict that in oxidized marine, estuarine, and fresh waters, Cr(VI) should predominate, whereas in reduced (e.g., anoxic sediment) or highly acidic environments Cr(III) should prevail. However, in oxic seawaters, the results from several studies have demonstrated that dissolved Cr(III) can be present at levels greater than would be predicted by thermodynamic considerations (Elderfield 1970; Nakayama et al. 1981a; Van der Weijden and Reith 1982; Jeandel and Minster 1984; Kaczynski and Kieber 1993). Several explanations for the apparent discrepancy have been suggested. One hypothesis relates partially to the kinetic control of the redox processes. Whereas, as discussed above, Cr(VI) can be reduced to Cr(III) in a matter of minutes to hours by several reducing agents, the oxidation reaction of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) is relatively slow (Schroeder and Lee 1975; Balistrieri et al. 1992) or non-existent (Van der Weijden and Reith 1982; Eary and Rai 1987; Johnson et al. 1992), with the importance of oxygen as an oxidant being questionable. For example, Eary and Rai (1987) demonstrated that the oxidation of Cr(III) did not occur by surface-catalyzed reactions with dissolved oxygen, but rather by direct reaction with manganese oxides.

A second explanation for the apparent redox disequilibrium between Cr(III) and Cr(VI) may be a result of the formation of colloidal or dissolved organic matter-chromium complexes (Nakayama et al. 1981b; Johnson 1990; Beaubien et al. 1993) which are unreactive to oxygenation, and precipitation from the water column. A third explanation has been provided by Kaczynski and Kieber (1993) who observed from field studies that Cr(III) was photochemically produced (under oxic conditions) in two freshwater lakes in North Carolina. Furthermore, Cr(III) was present in measurable concentrations in all seven water bodies (representing freshwater, marine and estuarine systems) surveyed in the United States. Although the mechanism of Cr(III) photogeneration remains unclear, as does the photochemical precursor to Cr(III) in natural waters, Kaczynski and Kieber (1993) state that the photogeneration process presents another pathway for the existence of supposedly thermodynamically unstable Cr(III) in oxygenated surface waters.

a10

- 24 

/ 

In conclusion, further studies concerning Cr(III) and Cr(VI) speciation and cycling in both the aquatic and sediment environments are essential for a more complete understanding of the fate and behaviour of chromium in aquatic systems. These studies should focus on both the rates and extent of oxidation/reduction reactions and on the complexation/dissociation of chromium and natural organic material. Volatilization, photolysis, and biotransformation reactions do not appear to be important processes in the environmental fate of chromium (Moore 1991).

7 8

9

10

12

#### Speciation in the Sediment

## 11 Physical Aspects

13 Chromium appears to be associated with fine-grained sediment materials such as silts and clays 14 (Oliver 1973; Loring 1979a; Rossmann 1988; Duzzin et al. 1988; Sager et al. 1990; Anderson 1990; Coakley and Poulton 1993; Weis et al. 1993; Mantei and Sappington 1994; Literathy et al. 1994). 15 16 For this reason, the analysis of sediments for many metals, including chromium, often is restricted to a standard grain size (e.g., < 63 μm; Luoma 1990; Luoma et al. 1990) or is normalized, post 17 18 analysis, to a standard grain size (Long et al. 1990) or to a conservative element such as aluminum 19 (Johnson and Nicholls 1988; Coakley and Poulton 1993; Gagnon et al. 1993) or iron (Rule 1985; 20 Gibbs 1994). On the other hand, chromium that is primarily of geologic origin may be more 21 enriched in coarser sediments (Rowan and Kalff 1993). Chromium concentration also is positively 22 correlated to sediment surface area (Oliver 1973; Mayer and Fink 1980). However, its relationship 23 with organic content or percent loss on ignition is variable, with significantly positive trends (Loring 24 1979a; Mayer and Fink 1980; Duzzin et al. 1988; Fuller et al. 1990) and no trends (Fitchko 1974; 25 Kauss and Post 1987; Mouvet et al. 1987; Aboul Dahab et al. 1990; Mudroch 1991; Gagnon et al. 26 1993) being observed. A significant negative correlation between chromium concentration in the 27 sediments of Thompson Lake, North West Territories and organic matter was observed by Moore 28 (1980), although he states that this trend may have occurred because metal-contaminated waste 29 discharges from a gold mine into Thompson Lake consisted of materials having low organic content 30 (e.g., crushed rock). Consequently, the deposition of the mine tailings increased the metal loading 31 to the lake while simultaneously lowering the organic content.

- 32 33
- 34
- 35

#### **Chemical Aspects**

 As a general rule, chromium derived from anthropogenic sources is found more in the extractable (and thus, more bioavailable) sediment phases (Biney and Beeko 1991; Bryan and Langston 1992), whereas chromium derived from natural sources is associated with the residual fraction (Angelidis and Grimanis 1989; Ruiz et al. 1991). Thus, in uncontaminated marine and freshwater sediments, the lattice bound (residual) chromium usually dominates. For example, in the relatively uncontaminated rivers of the Madeira River watershed, Brazil, greater than 80% of the chromium was found in the residual phase(average chromium concentrations ranged from 33 to 52 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Lacerda et al. 1990). However, as sediments become more heavily contaminated, organic, sulphide, and iron and manganese oxide phases become increasingly important both in surficial (Lacerda et al. 1990; Elsokkary and Müller 1990; Deely et al. 1992; Giani et al. 1994) as well as in subsurficial (Arafat and Nriagu 1986; Steinberg and Högel 1990; Giani et al. 1994) sediments.

In Canadian sediments, Ajayi and VanLoon (1989) reported that the residual fraction (F5 in the Tessier et al. scheme) comprised 74.6%, 43.3% and 63.7% of the total chromium concentration of 75 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 86 mg·kg<sup>1</sup>, and 115 mg·kg<sup>1</sup>, respectively, in sediments collected from three locations in the Bay of Quinte, near Belleville, Ontario. In Ramsey Lake, Ontario where total chromium concentrations in the top two cm ranged between 82 and 132 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> at three stations, about 73 to 83% of the chromium was in the residual fraction, with about 10 to 20% being associated with iron and manganese oxides (Arafat and Nriagu 1986). In New Brunswick Harbour sediments, where the total chromium concentration in the sediment was 46 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>), Samant et al. (1990) found a much higher association of chromium with iron and manganese oxides. They reported that 59% and 43% of the total chromium in Dalhousie Harbour and Belledune Harbour sediments, respectively, was associated with iron and manganese oxides with iron and manganese oxides versus 37.3% and 54.8%, respectively, in the residual fraction.

Metals such as chromium, can be retained by iron and manganese oxides by sorption and coprecipitation. Sorption is a process by which metals are bound to the surface of an existing solid by adsorption and surface precipitation, whereas co-precipitation is the simultaneous precipitation of a chemical element with other elements and includes mixed-solid formation, adsorption and inclusion (Francis and Dodge 1990). The reported percentages of chromium associated with iron and manganese oxides (i.e., the reducible fraction) are quite variable and appear to be dependent on the extent of anthropogenic chromium inputs (Angelidis and Grimanis 1989; Bryan and Langston 1992) and/or the nature of the fractionation procedure (Coetzee et al. 1995). In the northern

Adriatic Sea, the percentage of chromium associated with iron and manganese oxides (F3 in the Tessier et al. scheme) increased as the concentration of chromium in surficial sediment (0 to 5 cm) increased. About 4% of the chromium was in the reducible phase when the surficial sediment concentration was 92 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, whereas 12.9% was associated with iron and manganese oxides when the chromium concentration was 161 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Giani et al. 1994).

7 The fraction of chromium associated with the organic or oxidizable phases of the sediments also 8 appears to be variable in both freshwater and marine sediments. Elsokkary and Müller (1989) 9 found that the highest proportion of non-lithogenous chromium in Nile River sediments was in the 10 organic fraction (i.e., 39.7% of total chromium), which is similar to the percentage of chromium 11 determined to be in the oxidizable phase of the Clyde River, UK sediments (Davidson et al. 1994). 12 Coetzee (1993) reported that 20% of the chromium in the sediments of Hartbeespoort Dam, South 13 Africa was bound to organic matter, whereas Kapkov and Trishina (1990) found that all of the 14 chromium in the White Sea sediments was in the oxidizable phase. Although the reported 15 concentrations/fractions of chromium in the organic phase of sediments may be variable, there is 16 a general consensus concerning chromium in the carbonate phase. Chromium is not usually 17 associated with the carbonate (F2 in the Tessier et al. scheme) sediment fraction (Pestana et al. 18 1989; Ruiz et al. 1991; Modak et al. 1992; Cheung and Wong 1992; Young et al. 1992) because 19 it is not known to form carbonate minerals even in sea water (Mayer 1988). Similarly, chromium 20 is rarely measured to any extent in the exchangeable (F1 in the Tessier et al. scheme) sediment 21 fraction in either freshwater (Jackson et al. 1980; Ruiz et al. 1991; Young et al. 1992) or marine 22 (Pestana et al. 1989; Nair and Balchand 1993; Giani et al. 1994; McCloskey et al. 1995) sediments. 23 This observation would tend to support the contention that Cr(III) sorbed and scavenged from the water column as insoluble chromium oxides or mixed hydrous oxides of Cr(III) and iron(III) 24 25 (Jackson et al. 1980; Tuin and Tels 1988) is fundamentally unavailable to biota. The bioavailability 26 of chromium to aquatic biota is discussed further in the proceeding chapter.

27 28

6

### 29 Bioavailability

30

Although there have been many studies that have attempted to define the 'bioavailable' fraction of metals in sediments, including chromium, there is still an incomplete understanding of the factors and processes that influence biological availability. According to Campbell and Tessier (1989), methods for assessing the relative bioavailability of sediment-bound metals and metals dissolved in the interstitial waters to sediment dwelling organisms can be divided into three categories: 1)

Chapter 3: Chromium in Aquatic Systems

laboratory experiments, 2) field experiments, and 3) field surveys. Laboratory experiments include feeding experiments carried out with natural or artificial sediments, as well as bioassay experiments in which benthic or pelagic organisms are exposed to whole sediment, sediment elutriate, or interstitial water extracted from the sediment. Field experiments may involve the transfer of benthic organisms between contaminated and uncontaminated sites (Mersch and Johansson 1993; Camusso et al. 1994; Nelson et al. 1995) or the use of field plots or enclosures (Schulz-Baldes et al. 1983; Jenner and Bowmer 1990) that are subjected to different experimental manipulations such as radiotracer additions (Jackson et al. 1980). Field surveys, on the other hand, involve examining relationships between sediment characteristics (such as chromium concentration, loss on ignition, grain size) and chromium concentrations in the indigenous benthic organisms (Nugent et al. 1980; Dave 1992; Schlekat et al. 1994). In field experiments and field surveys, the use of indicator organisms, such as the blue (bay) mussel, *Mytilus edulis*, in marine sediments (Part 1987; Chassard-Bouchard 1991; Nelson et al. 1995) and bivalve *Elliptio complanata* in freshwater sediments (Campbell and Evans 1991; Metcalfe-Smith et al. 1992) has been exploited frequently.

A relatively new method for assessing the potential bioavailability of certain metals in sediments relates to the ratio of simultaneously extracted metals (SEM; as defined by a cold acid extraction) to acid-volatile sulphide (AVS) concentrations in the sediments (e.g., Hansen et al. 1996). Considering that AVS was found to influence metal porewater concentrations in anoxic test sediments (DiToro et al. 1990) and because the toxicity to benthos of certain divalent metals such as cadmium, lead, nickel, zinc, and copper has been correlated with porewater concentrations, DiToro et al. (1992) suggested that when the total molar concentration of these SEMs is less than the molar concentration of AVS (i.e., [SEM]/ [AVS] < 1), bioavailability and thus toxicity are low. More recently, it has been recommended that the difference between the molar concentrations of SEM and AVS (i.e., [SEM] - [AVS]) should be used instead of [SEM]/[AVS] ratios (MacDonald and Salazar 1995; Hansen et al. 1996) to provide insight into the extent of additional available binding capacity ([SEM] - [AVS] < 0) or the amount of bioavailable metals ([SEM] - [AVS] > 0).

In only one study has the [SEM]/ [AVS] ratio been used to assess chromium bioavailability (Ankley et al. 1994) and the validity of the results of that study, with respect to chromium, is questionable for two reasons. Firstly, the sediments were stored for three years prior to the sediment analyses and bioassay experiments. Young et al. (1992) found that there was a significant change (i.e., 11 to 87%) in the percent distribution of chromium in the various sediment fractions (extracted using the Tessier et al. scheme) collected from the Trenton Channel, Detroit River after 100 days storage in the dark at 4°C. Thus, Environment Canada (1994) suggests a sediment storage time of two

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

" 

β2

#### **Chapter 3: Chromium in Aquatic Systems**

1 weeks or less with a maximum permissible storage time of 6 weeks. Swartz et al. (1985) 2 recommend that sediment be stored at 4°C for no more than 5 days prior to the initiation of 3 bioassays. The second reason the results of Ankley et al. (1994) should be viewed with caution 4 is because chromium was not included in the SEM measurement. Even if chromium had been 5 included in the SEM measurement, the existence of chromium sulphide species is most likely 6 unimportant given that virtually all Cr(II) in water will convert into other oxidation states such as 7 Cr(0) and Cr(III) (Pankow 1991). In addition, the AVS normalization procedure is invalid if the 8 sediment AVS content is very low. This could occur, for example, in fully oxidized sediments 9 (Adams et al. 1992). Thus, further evaluation of the [SEM]/[AVS] approach is required, although 10 at this time there is no reason to expect that [SEM]/[AVS] or [SEM] - [AVS] should relate to 11 chromium bioavailability or toxicity.

13 The application of phase-specific or sequential extractions of metals generally has yielded the best 14 practical means for deriving predictive relationships between metal burdens in benthos and 15 sediment concentrations. Thus, some people have attempted to predict bioavailability through the 16 use of these various extraction procedures (Kurita and Pfeiffer 1991; Metcalfe-Smith et al. 1992). 17 Intuitively, one would expect metal bioavailability, and thus uptake (body burden), to be higher for 18 those fractions more readily removed from the sediment (e.g., exchangeable and easily reducible 19 phases). Laboratory and empirical field evidence would suggest this to be true for some metals 20 (see reviews by Luoma 1983 and Campbell and Tessier 1989). Unfortunately, in the case of 21 chromium, studies comparing the uptake by freshwater or marine biota with total concentrations 22 of chromium or concentrations of chromium in specific chemical phases in sediments are scarce.

24 Metcalfe-Smith et al. (1992) reported significant positive relationships between 0.5 N HCI-25 extractable chromium and the chromium concentration in two species of freshwater mussels (i.e., 26 Elliptio complanata and Lampsilis radiata) collected from the Ottawa River, Canada where HCI-27 extractable chromium ranged between about 5 and 14 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. However, Biney and Beeko (1991) 28 were unable to relate 0.5 N HCI-extractable chromium (range of < detection to 4.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) to 29 concentrations of chromium in two freshwater fish species from the Wiwi River, Ghana. In addition, 30 Sanchez et al. (1994) found that chromium levels in moss, trout (Salmo trutta), and eel (Anguilla anguilla) at the two sites having the highest HCI-extractable chromium concentrations (i.e., 31 23.0 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and 20.0 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) were no higher than at the other sites in the Oiartzun River Valley, 32 33 Spain.

34

12

23

In the marine environment, Rule (1985) found no correlation between the metal extraction method and chromium uptake by the grass shrimp, Palaemonetes pugio, and the clam, Mercenaria mercenaria, collected from four sites located in Hampton Roads Harbour and the Elizabeth River system along the eastern coast of USA. Chromium concentrations in the three sediment extracts: 1) DTPA (diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid), 2) HNO<sub>3</sub> and 3) HNO<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, ranged between 0.4 to 0.9 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 0.8 to 5.7 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, and 3.0 to 49.4 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, depending on the site. Similarly, Bryan and Langston (1992) attempted to relate HCI-extractable chromium and total chromium concentrations in United Kingdcm estuary sediments to body levels of chromium in two deposit-feeding species. Although treatment of the recently collected sediment with HCI released 90% of the total chromium from the most contaminated sediment (compared to only 5% from the pristine sediment), total chromium (i.e., chromium in the HNO3 extract) was the best predictor of bioavailability with respect to tissue concentrations for both the clam, Scrobicularia plana (r = 0.91), and the polychaete, Nereis diversicolor (r = 0.91). Alternatively, Ayling (1974) observed that accumulation of chromium by oysters (Crassostrea gigas) was independent of total sediment chromium levels. Similarly, in a marine bioassay experiment, Simmers et al. (1984) found that accumulation of chromium in the sandworm, Nereis virens, was similar after exposure to sediment chromium concentrations of 0.9 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 92.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, and 230.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>.

In conclusion, with the exception of the study by Metcalfe-Smith et al. (1992) conducted in the Ottawa River, it would appear that bioavailability of chromium is essentially independent of the readily extractable chromium phases in both freshwater and marine sediments.

The relative importance of the sediments versus the water column or interstitial water as a source of chromium to benthic organisms is a subject of ongoing debate (Decho and Luoma 1991) that is dependent on many factors including the speciation of the metal and the ecology of the organism. For chromium, the situation is complicated by the presence of two chemical species (Guilizzoni 1991), Cr(III) and Cr(VI), that co-occur in the aquatic environment. Superimposed on the problem of chromium speciation is the fact that the ecology of the organism is critical in assessing the uptake of chromium and the relative importance of exposure route (i.e., sediment versus water). Some biota, such as rooted aquatic plants, sediment bacteria and epipelic algae obtain their nutrients and metals directly from uptake either from the water column or from interstitial water, whereas other biota are deposit- or filter-feeding organisms. In deposit-feeding organisms, chromium uptake can take place following the ingestion of sediment particles (Bryan and Uysal 1978; Bryan and Langston 1992), including sediment bacteria (Aislabie and Loutit 1986; Decho and Luoma 1991), or in some cases, by pinocytosis of particles at the body surface (Martoja

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

### **Chapter 3: Chromium in Aquatic Systems**

et al. 1988 as cited in Environment Canada 1993). In deposit-and filter-feeding organisms,
desorption of chromium from the sediment or suspended sediment followed by uptake can take
place during direct contact between particles and surface tissues. Uptake also can occur directly
from the water column or interstitial water, either by adsorption to the body wall or exoskeleton,
adsorption through the integument or respiratory surfaces, and ingestion of water (Reynoldson
1987).

7

18

8 All other factors being equal, uptake of chromium (or indeed any other metal) from solution is 9 expected to be faster than from sediments (i.e., dissolved metals are inherently more available; 10 Campbell and Tessier 1989). However, relatively higher concentrations of chromium are generally measured in sediments. Although total concentrations of chromium in dissolved and particulate 11 12 phases in surface waters (e.g., Laurentian Great Lakes, Beaubien et al. 1994; Lake Sammamish, 13 Washington, Balistrieri et al. 1992; Griefensee, Switzerland, Johnson et al. 1992) and marine waters (e.g., Pacific Ocean, Nakayama et al. 1981b; Murray et al. 1983; Japan Sea, Nakayama 14 et al. 1981b) are typically below 1 µg·L<sup>-1</sup>, ambient and contaminated concentrations in Canadian 15 sediments exceed 3 000 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and 300 mg·kg in freshwater and marine sediments, 16 17 respectively.

19 Interstitial waters concentrations of chromium are generally higher than open water concentrations. 20 Salomons (1989) found that the chromium concentration in pore water extracted from the 0 to 2 cm sediment layer in lisselmeer was 6.3 µg·L<sup>-1</sup> compared to chromium levels in the overlying water 21 22 of 0.57 µg·L<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, Aislable and Loutit (1986) reported that chromium levels in the interstitial 23 waters of Sawyers Bay, New Zealand were 10 µg·L<sup>-1</sup> compared to only 1 µg·L<sup>-1</sup> in the overlying 24 water. However, concentrations of chromium in fresh interstitial waters are generally lower than 25 bulk sediment concentrations. Ankley et al. (1994) found that porewater chromium concentrations from the lower Fox River, Wisconsin, were < 4  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup> compared to whole sediment concentrations 26 27 of 37.5 to 49.2 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. Likewise, Santiago et al. (1989) reported elutriate concentrations from 28 Lake Geneva watershed sediments of < 2 µg·L<sup>-1</sup> compared to whole sediment concentrations of 29 38 to 84 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. At one location, Santiago et al. (1989) measured sediment chromium concentrations of 228 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, with only 6.1 µg·L<sup>-1</sup> extracted in the elutriate. Similarly, Lee and 30 Mariani (1977) reported that chromium concentrations in bioassay elutriate waters from Duwamish 31 32 River sediments, Seattle, Washington were < 2% of the sediment concentrations. The low 33 concentrations of chromium in elutriate and pore waters, relative to concentrations in the bulk 34 sediments would support the results obtained from sediment fractionation studies where it has been 35 found that chromium is rarely measured to any extent in the exchangeable (i.e., F1 in the Tessier

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

et al. scheme) sediment fraction (Jackson et al. 1980; Pestana et al. 1989; Ruiz et al. 1991; Nair and Balchand 1993; Giani et al. 1994; McCloskey et al. 1995).

Alternately, in one contaminated marine system, Neff et al. (1980) found that the chromium levels in drilling mud filtrate (i.e., liquid phase of the whole mud obtained with a filter press) obtained from the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, measured 27 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> compared to 500 mg·kg. Lignosulphonates, which are by-products of the pulp and paper industry, are used in offshore drilling muds as dispersants and viscosity agents. One of the most frequently used drilling muds is a water-based chrome lignosulphonate, containing variable amounts of bentonite clay, barium sulphate, and other minor additives (Neff et al. 1980).

Thus, the net uptake of chromium by benthos is expected to be a result of uptake from the easily available but low concentrations of chromium in open waters and the less readily available but potentially higher concentrations of chromium in the sediments. Intermediate between these two extremes is the interstitial water where chromium availability is expected to be high, but concentrations may be high or low depending on the location.

In conclusion, the bioavailability of chromium will be affected not only by the chemical equilibrium between dissolved chromium and chromium adsorbed by particles, but also by the speciation of chromium with respect to Cr(III) and Cr(VI) in the water, as well as the ecology of the organism itself. The chemical (Cr(III) versus Cr(VI)) and physical (dissolved versus particulate) speciation of chromium is expected to be a function of the factors which affect chromium behaviour in the water (e.g., pH, nutrient concentration, redox potential, salinity, water hardness, light, microbial activity; Bergbäck et al. 1989, Guilizzoni 1991). It is likely that Cr(VI) may be taken up directly from the interstitial and overlying water. Cr(III), however, is rapidly scavenged by particles such as oxides of iron or by surfaces (see Figure 1), and thus is more likely to be taken up by the ingestion of particles. Although the bioavailability of Cr(III) that is adsorbed to, or co-precipitated with, is dependent on the ecology of the organism, it is also a function of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) (Bergbäck et al. 1989). Thus, for organisms that live in intimate contact with the sediments but are unable to ingest particulate matter, and for organisms that have various routes of uptake, chromium bioavailability is still dependent on its physical and chemical speciation.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

- 17 

# 1 Bioaccumulation

2

16

Bioaccumulation is the net uptake into an organism of a metal or other contaminant from all 3 exposure routes. It is important to understand the bioaccumulation of any metal in an organism 4 in order to link concentrations in the sediments to toxic effects on the organism and to determine 5 food chain transfer of the metal. Unfortunately, bioaccumulation of chromium from sediments to 6 biota is difficult to assess because of the various methods used for determining total chromium in 7 the two media (sediments and biota) and also because of the lack of standardization in the 8 reporting of results (e.g., wet weight versus dry weight values, sieved versus unsieved sediment 9 analyses, depurated versus non-depurated animals etc.). 10 Thus, comparisons among bioaccumulation factors (BAFs) reported in different studies is difficult. Notwithstanding, a 11 summary of some of the BAFs (i.e., concentrations of chromium in biotag<sup>-1</sup> wet weight versus 12 concentrations in sediment g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) reported in the literature is given in Table 5. These data 13 14 have not been normalized for organic carbon content of the sediment or for lipid content of the 15 organisms.

#### It is evident from Table 5, as well as from other studies (Phelps et al. 1974; Namminga and Wilhm 17 1977; Kostic and Draskovic 1982; Kulikova et al. 1985; Leland and Scudder 1990; Manny et al. 18 1991; Metcalfe-Smith et al. 1992), that BAFs (weight wet of biota/dry weight of sediment) in both 19 20 freshwater and marine systems are generally less than one. Therefore, concentrations of 21 chromium in biota living within, on, or feeding on the sediments generally appear to be less than 22 or similar to chromium concentrations in the sediments, even in contaminated areas. For example, Schreier et al. (1987) measured chromium levels in several fish species collected from the Sumas 23 River Basin (a tributary of the Fraser River, British Columbia). This basin was contaminated in 24 1975 with chromium and several other metals as a result of a landslide containing chrysotile 25 26 asbestos. Although total chromium concentrations in the sediments averaged 196 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in 1983-84 (range of 170 to 217 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>), chromium levels in the fish averaged only 0.17 to 27 0.30 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> ww, depending on the species (see Table 5). Similarly, in a marine bioassay 28 experiment, Simmers at al. (1984) reported that accumulation of chromium by the sandworm, 29 Nereis virens, was similar after 7 and 14 days exposure to sediment chromium concentrations of 30 0.9 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 92.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, and 230.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. Likewise, Comber et al. (1989) reported that the 31 chromium content of Nereis diversicolor after depuration was not related to the metal's 32 concentration in the surrounding sediment. In addition, Leland and Scudder (1990) reported that, 33 34 although chromium concentrations in the < 62 µm fraction of sediments from the San Joaquin River, California, and selected tributaries ranged between 72 and 180 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, chromium levels 35

Table 5	Reported bioaccumulation factors (BAF) (concentration of chromium in organism in mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ww / concentration of chromium in sediments in mg kg <sup>-1</sup> dw) measured in freshwater and marine environments.

· · ·

	[Organism] mg <sup>-</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> ww	[Sediment] mg kg <sup>-1</sup> dw	BAF ww/dw	Organism	Location	Comments	Reference
	FRESHWATER INVERTEBRATES	<u>·</u> <u>·</u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	······
	~0.68 ~0.85 ~1.04	118.4 70.8 71.4	~0.006 ~0.012 ~0.015	Lumbriculus variegatus Lumbriculus variegatus Lumbriculus variegatus	lower Fox R., Wisconsin lower Fox R., Wisconsin lower Fox R., Wisconsin	30-day bioassay 30-day bioassay 30-day bioassay	Ankley et al. (1994) Ankley et al. (1994) Ankley et al. (1994)
	1.03±0.08 <sup>1</sup>	50.4±8.0	0.02	40 taxa of aquatic insects	from N. Carolina rivers		Smock (1983a)
	0.70±0.01 <sup>1</sup>	134.3±0.01	0.005	Potamonautes warreni	S. Africa wetland		van Eeden & Schoonbee (1991)
	0.003±0.001 <sup>1</sup>	185.7±0.04	nd	Potamonautes warreni	S. Africa wetland		van Eeden & Schoonbee (1991)
	0.42± 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	151.9±0.06	nd	Potamonautes warreni	S. Africa wetland		van Eeden & Schoonbee (1991)
	0.98± 0.0011	106.5±0.04	nd	Potamonautes warreni	S. Africa wetland		van Eeden & Schoonbee (1991)
	0.42± 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	124.7±0.04	nd	Potamonautes warreni	S. Africa wetland		van Eeden & Schoonbee (1991)
	3.22±0.0031	110.8±0.05	nd	Potamonautes warreni	S. Africa wetland		van Eeden & Schoonbee (1991)
	7.7*	17*	0.453	Fusconaia flava	lilinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
	4.4*	17*	0.259	Amblema plicata	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
	4.7*	17*	0.276	Quadrula quadrula	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
	10.0*	17*	0.588	Tubificid worms	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
	0.18±0.13 <sup>1</sup>	1.6±1.2	0.12	Crassostrea virginica	Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana		Byme & Del eon (1986)
	0.29±0.13 <sup>1</sup>	8.8±3.8	0.03	Rangia cuneata	Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana		Byme & Del eon (1986)
	0.53±0.13 <sup>1</sup>	8.6±1.8	0.06	Rangia cuneata	Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana		Byrne & Del eon (1986)
	5.91±3.99 <sup>1</sup>	1098±495.2	0.005	Chironomous decorous	New Jersey impoundment		Kraus (1989)
·	FRESHWATER			·			
	0.28±0.32 <sup>2</sup>	5.8±7.5	0.05	Heterobranchus sp.	Wiwi R., Ghana		Biney and Beeko (1991)
	0.205±0.559	21.8±8.43 <sup>4</sup>	0.009	Lepomis microlophus	Florida stormwater		Campbell (1995)
	0.437±0.215	42.7±58.2 <sup>4</sup>	0.010	Lepomis microlophus	reference site		Campbell (1995)
	0.13*	17*	0.008	Esox lucius	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
	0.11*	17*	0.006	Micropterus salmoides	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
	0.06*	17*	0.004	Morone chrysops	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
	0.14*	17*	800.0	Lepisosteus platostomus	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
	0.16*	17*	0.009	Micropterus dolomieui	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)

[Organism] mg⁻kg⁻¹ ww	[Sediment] mg kg <sup>-1</sup> dw	BAF ww/dw	Organism	Location	Comments	Reference
0.16*	17*	0.009	Micropterus dolomieui	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
0.13*	17*	0.008	lctiobus cyprinellus	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
0.45*	17*	0.026	Dorosoma cepedianum	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
0.09*	17*	0.005	Moxostoma macrolepidotum	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
0.21*	17*	0.012	Carpiodes cyprinus	Illinois R.		Mathis & Cummings (1973)
0.16*	17*	0.009	Cyprinus carpio	Illinois R.		Mathie & Cummings (1973)
0.17	196	<0.001	Redside shiner	Sumas River, BC		Schreier et al. (1987)
0.16	196	<0.001	Northern squawfish	Sumas River, BC		Schreier et al. (1987)
0.22	196	0.001	Coho salmon	Sumas River, BC		Schreier et al. (1987)
0.23	196	0.001	Prickly sculpin	Sumas River, BC		Schreier et al. (1987)
0.27	196	0.001	3-spine stickleback	Sumas River, BC		Schreier et al. (1987)
0.30	196	0.002	Large-scale sucker	Sumas River, BC		Schreier et al. (1987)
FRESHWATER MACROPHYTES mg kg dw	 		<u> </u>			
-	11.3	1.1	filamentous algae	Georgian Bay		Jackson (1988)
-	24.5	0.4	filamentous algae	Georgian Bay		Jackson (1988)
•	25.0	0.7	filamentous algae	Georgian Bay		Jackson (1988)
•	13.7	0.6	filamentous algae	Niagara R.		Jackson (1988)
-	27.7	0.4	filamentous algae	Niagara R.		Jackson (1988)
1.2	13	0.09	Myriophyllum verticulatum	Wolf Lake		Mudroch & Capobianco (1979)
2.7	13	0.21	Nymphaea odoratae	Wolf Lake		Mudroch & Capobianco (1979)
7.2	19	0.379	Myriophyllum verticulatum	Hawkins Bay (Moira Lake)		Mudroch & Capobianco (1979)
2.5	19	0.132	Nymphaea odoratae	Hawkins Bay (Moira Lake)		Mudroch & Capobianco (1979)
6.9	31	0.223	Myriophyllum verticulatum	Bend Bay (Moira Lake)		Mudroch & Capobianco (1979)
3.2	31	0.103	Elodea canadensis	Bend Bay (Moira Lake)		Mudroch & Capobianco (1979)
3.5	31	0.113	Nymphaea odoratae	Bend Bay (Moira Lake)		Mudroch & Capobianco (1979)
13.0	69.5	0.187	Eriocaulon septangulare	Clearwater L., Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
3.9	35.8	0.109	Eriocaulon septangulare	Crosson L., Ontario		Reimer & Duthle (1993)

 Table 5
 Continued.
 Reported bioaccumulation factors (BAF) (concentration of chromium in organism in mg/kg<sup>-1</sup> ww / concentration of chromium in sediments in mg/kg<sup>-1</sup> dw) measured in freshwater and marine environments.

_	[Organism] mo ko <sup>-1</sup> ww	[Sediment]	BAF ww/dw	Organism	Location	Comments	Reference
_							
	8.5	36.6	0.232	Eriocaulon septangulare	Dickie Lake, Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	10.6	45.1	0.235	Eriocaulon septangulare	Fawn Lake, Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	3.6	35.1	0.103	Eriocaulon septangulare	Gullfeather L., Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	23.9	90.6	0.264	Eriocaulon septangulare	Hannah L., Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	6.8	57.4	0.118	Eriocaulon septangulare	Harp Lake, Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	6.6	69.2	0.095	Eriocaulon septangulare	Heney L., Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	5.5	46.7	0.118	Eriocaulon septangulare	Leech L., Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	8.5	45.5	0.187	Eriocaulon septangulare	Leonard L., Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	12.5	89.9	0.139	Eriocaulon septangulare	Lohi Lake, Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	9.3	58.5	0.159	Eriocaulon septangular <del>e</del>	McKay L., Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	9.0	38.6	0.233	Eríocaulon septangular <del>o</del>	Moot Lake, Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	4.2	44.5	0.094	Eriocaulon septangulare	Plastic L., Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	5.7	42.2	0.135	Eriocaulon septangulare	Ril Lake, Ontario		Reimer & Duthie (1993)
	10.3	37.0	0.278	mostly Vallisneria americana	Detroit R.		Manny et al. (1991)
	FRESHWATER MOSSES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
_	5	15	0.067	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, Portugal	Reference Site	GonHalves et al. (1992)
	16 23	71 132	0.225 0.174	Fontinalis antipyretica Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, Portugal Ave River, Portugal	Station A1 Station A2	GonHalves et al. (1992) GonHalves et al. (1992)
	42	135	0.311	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, Portugal	Station A3	GonHalves et al. (1992)
	30	179	0.168	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, Portugal	Station A5	GonHalves et al. (1992)
	<b>46</b>	201	0.229	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, Portugal	Station A6	GonHalves et al. (1992)
	2.1	27	0.078	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, Portugal	Station A9	GonHalves et al. (1992)
	1.4	16	0.088	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, Portugal	Station A10	GonHalves et al. (1992)
	1.4	14	0.100	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station A11	GonHalves et al. (1992)
	38	66	0.576	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station E1	GonHalves et al. (1992)
	65	246	0.264	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station E2	GonHalves et al. (1992)
	21	33	0.636	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station E4	GonHalves et al. (1992)
	4.9	16	0.306	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station E5	GonHalves et al. (1992)
				· •	· · , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Ser 1000 Ct u. (1002)

 Table 5
 Continued.
 Reported bioaccumulation factors (BAF) (concentration of chromium in organism in mg/kg<sup>-1</sup> ww / concentration of chromium in sediments in mg/kg<sup>-1</sup> dw) measured in freshwater and marine environments.

[Organism]	[Sediment]	BAF	Organism	Location	Comments	Reference
7.5	39	0.192	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station Ph1	GonHalves et al. (1992)
14	81	0.173	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station P11	GonHalves et al. (1992)
6.5	33	0.197	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station V1	GonHalves et al. (1992)
5.0	14	0.357	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station V2	GonHalves et al. (1992)
534	1187	0.500	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station S1	GonHalves et al. (1992)
7.5	16	0.469	Fontinalis antipyretica	Ave River, tributary	Station S2	GonHalves et al. (1992)
MARINE						
0.104	37	0.003	Mytilus Galloprovincialis	Black Sea	meat tissue	Andreev & Simeonov (1990)
0.14 <sup>1</sup>	8.5	0.016	Mytilus Galloprovincialis	Black Sea	meat tissue	Andreev & Simeonov (1990)
0.057 <sup>1</sup>	7.8	0.007	Mytilus Galloprovincialis	Black Sea	meat tissue	Andreev & Simeonov (1990)
0.134 <sup>1</sup>	7	0.019	Mytilus Galloprovincialis	Black Sea	meat tissue	Andreev & Simeonov (1990)
11.83±2.77	9.87±0.85	1.2	Mytilus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Berrow (1991)
5.50±1.30	11.60±0.70	0.5	Mytilus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Berrow (1991)
11.50±1.44	9.60±1.78	1.2	Mytilus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Berrow (1991)
8.50±1.15	15.57±1.49	0.6	Mytīlus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Berrow (1991)
7.00±0.58	15.10±0.58	0.5	Mytilus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Berrow (1991)
6.85±2.85	17.0 ±0.58	0.4	Mytilus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Berrow (1991)
13.33±1.36	11.30±2.69	1.2	Mytilus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Berrow (1991)
20.50±0.58	12.50±0.99	1.6	Mytilus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Berrow (1991)
19.83±6.83	17.17±1.23	1.15	Mytilus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Berrow (1991)
12.83±1.36	11.30±0.66	1.1	Mytilus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Berrow (1991)
14.67±1.20	11.0 ±0.06	1.3	Mytilus edulis	Cork Harbour, Ireland		Веггоw (1991)
0.32 <sup>1</sup>	1.88 <sup>3</sup>	0.17	Mytilus edulis	Lymfjord, Denmark	soft tissue	Brix and Lyngby (1985)
2.24 <sup>1</sup>	307	0.007	Mytilus edulis	New Zealand	soft tissue	Brooks & Rumsby (1965)
1.4 <sup>1</sup>	307	0.005	Ostrea sinuata	New Zealand	soft tissue	Brooks & Rumsby (1965)
0.42 <sup>1</sup>	307	0.001	Pecten novae-zelandiae	New Zealand	soft tissue	Brooks & Rumsby (1965)
0.296 <sup>1</sup>	64	0.005	Scrobicularia plana	Tamar Estuary, England	whole soft body	Bryan & Uysal (1978)
6.79±6.04 <sup>1</sup>	3.0±0.6	2.26	Palaemonetes pugio	Eastern coast of USA	whole animal, bioassay	Rule (1985)

 Table 5
 Continued. Reported bioaccumulation factors (BAF) (concentration of chromium in organism in mg kg<sup>-1</sup> ww./ concentration of chromium in sediments in mg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw) measured in freshwater and marine environments.

[Organism] mg kg <sup>1</sup> ww	[Sediment] mg kg <sup>-1</sup> dw	BAF ww/dw	Organism	Location	Comments	Reference
6.79±6.04 <sup>1</sup>	3.0±0.6	2.26	Palaemonetes pugio	Eastern coast of USA	whole animal, bioassay	Rule (1985)
5.10±6.431	43.4±3.1	0.12	<ul> <li>Palaemonetes pugio</li> </ul>	Eastern coast of USA	whole animal, bioassay	Rule (1985)
3.89±4.541	29.6±0.9	0.13	Palaemonetes pugio	Eastern coast of USA	whole animal, bioassay	Rule (1985)
0.78±1.30 <sup>1</sup>	49.4±4.4	0.02	Palaemonetes pugio	Eastern coast of USA	whole animal, bioassay	Rule (1985)
2.39±3.041	9.6±0.9	0.25	Palaemonetes pugio	Eastern coast of USA	whole animal, bioassay	Rule (1985)
0.64± 0.421	3.0±0.6	0.215	Mercenaria mercenaria	Eastern coast of USA	soft tissues, bioassav	Rule (1985)
0.36 ±0.42 <sup>1</sup>	43.4±3.1	0.008	Mercenaria mercenaria	Eastern coast of USA	soft tissues, bioassav	Rule (1985)
0.22±0.34 <sup>1</sup>	29.6±0.9	0.007	Mercenaria mercenaria	Eastern coast of USA	soft tissues, bioassay	Rule (1985)
0.17±0.27 <sup>1</sup>	49.4±4.4	0.003	Mercenaria mercenaria	Eastern coast of USA	soft tissues, bioassay	Rule (1985)
0.95±1.62 <sup>1</sup>	9.6±0.9	0.099	Mercenaria mercenaria	Eastern coast of USA	soft tissues, bioassay	Rule (1985)
MARINE	<u> </u>					
0.1062	24	0.004	Gobius niger	Black Sea	fillet	A-d
0.116 <sup>2</sup>	24	0.005	Gobius niger	Black Sea	liver	Andreev & Simeonov (1990)
0.066 <sup>2</sup> 0.064 <sup>2</sup>	7 7	0.009 0.009	Gobius niger Gobius niger	Black Sea Black Sea Black Sea	fillet liver	Andreev & Simeonov (1990) Andreev & Simeonov (1990)
0.06 <sup>2</sup>	8.5	0.007	Gobius niger	Black Sea	fillet	Andreev & Simeonev (1990)
0.042 <sup>2</sup>	8.5	0.005	Gobius niger	Black Sea	liver	Andreev & Simeonov (1990)
0.086 <sup>2</sup>	7.8	0.011	Gobius niger	Black Sea	fillet	Andreev & Simeonov (1990) Andreev & Simeonov (1990)

Table 5 Continued. Reported bioaccumulation factors (BAF) (concentration of chromium in organism in mg/kg<sup>-1</sup> ww / concentration of chromium in sediments in mg/kg<sup>-1</sup> dw) measured in freshwater and marine environments.

1 dw to ww conversion assuming 86% moisture (i.e., mg/kg dw / 7.14 = mg/kg ww) (Byrne and DeLeon 1986 assumed moisture content of 90% for Crassostrea virginica and 84% for Rangia cuneata, Lytle and Lytle 1990 assumed moisture content of 84% for Crassostrea virginica, Metcalfe-Smith et al. 1992 assumed moisture content of 90% for Elliptic complanata and Lampsilis radiata, Lindstrom et al. 1988 measure average moisture content of 86% in Mytilus edulis, Bradford and Luoma 1980 used moisture content of 83% for Mya arenaria, Hendriks 1995 used dry Tablata, Lindstrom et al. 1900 measure average moisture content of 90%) for plants and invertebrates)
 to wet weight fractions of 0.1 (i.e., moisture content of 90%) for plants and invertebrates)
 dw to ww conversion assuming 80% moisture (i.e., mg/kg dw / 5 = mg/kg ww) (Schreier et al. 1987, Winger et al. 1990)
 LOI to dw conversion assuming 1% organic content (i.e., mg/kg LOI/ 100 = mg/kg dw)
 ww to dw conversion assuming 90% moisture (by volume) in the sediments (i.e., mg/kg ww x 10 = mg/kg dw)

\* assuming that sediment concentrations were reported as dw and biota as ww

in the soft tissues of the freshwater bivalve *Corbicula fluminea* were only 0.5 to 0.8 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (i.e.,
near or below the detection limit of their analysis).

Jorgensen (1990) modelled the distribution of chromium in a contaminated Danish firth and found
 that:

[chromium]<sub>Mytilus</sub> µg/g dw = 0.015 [chromium]<sub>sed</sub> µg/g dw

whereas Metcalfe-Smith et al. (1992) reported that:

3 4

6 7

8 9

10

13

23

11 [chromium]<sub>*Elliptio*</sub>  $\mu$ g/g dw = 3.63 + 0.17 [Cr<sub>ext</sub>]<sub>sed</sub>  $\mu$ g/g dw 12 [chromium]<sub>*Lamosilis*</sub>  $\mu$ g/g dw = 1.36 + 0.17 [Cr<sub>ext</sub>]<sub>sed</sub>  $\mu$ g/g dw

14 where [chromiumex]sed represents the HCI extractable chromium in Ottawa River sediments. The 15 order of magnitude difference in the slopes of the lines (i.e., bioaccumulation) between the two 16 studies may be due to the fact that different bivalve species were examined and/or because 17 Jorgensen (1990) analyzed for total chromium, whereas Metcalfe-Smith et al. (1992) examined bioavailable metal. Kurita and Pfeiffer (1991) found that HCl extractable chromium in the sediment 18 19 of Sepetiba Bay, Brazil, was only about 1% of the total chromium concentration (i.e., 0.7 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 20 whereas total chromium averaged 61.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>). Thus, all other factors being equal, the slope 21 of equations relating chromium levels in biota to 'extractable' chromium concentrations would be 22 expected to be considerably higher than those utilizing total chromium concentrations.

24 As discussed in the previous section, studies that have attempted to distinguish among the various 25 modes of uptake of chromium by benthic organisms, either from sediment or from interstitial/open 26 water, are scarce. In one laboratory experiment, Capuzzo and Sasner (1977) found that the whole-27 body levels of chromium in bivalves exposed to Cr(III) in solution [1 mg Cr(III)·L<sup>-1</sup> sea water] were 28 10 to 30 (Mytilus edulis) and 35 to 100 (Mya arenaria) times higher than in those exposed to 29 artificial sediment suspensions. Lytle and Lytle (1990) also report that the enrichment of chromium 30 in oysters, Crassostrea virginica, collected from two of their study sites in Mississippi Sound was 31 a result of enhanced levels in the water column and not ingestion or inclusion of sediment particles. 32 On the other hand, Schulz-Baldes et al. (1983) reported that Mytilus edulis placed directly on top 33 of the sediment surface of their intertidal mesocosm had significantly higher sediment accumulation 34 factors for Cr(VI) than did those suspended in the water column. They observed that uptake was 35 related more to taxonomic position of the benthic invertebrate (i.e., calcareous shell versus 36 chitinous cuticle) than feeding behaviour, so that bivalves and gastropods with hard shells exhibited

**Chapter 3: Chromium in Aquatic Systems** 

lower chromium concentrations than did polychaetes and other worms with an unprotected body surface. In fresh waters, however, Smock (1983a) observed that feeding behaviour was very important in determining chromium concentrations in aquatic insects collected from river systems in central North Carolina. In his classification scheme, sediment-dependent insects (i.e., deposit feeders living within the sediment and indiscriminately ingesting it along with detritus) had significantly higher chromium levels than did filter feeders (animals that live on the sediment and remove algae, detritus and other suspended material from the water column), sediment-associated organisms (omnivores living on and within the sediment), carnivores or surface feeders (predators living on an organism, trapped in the surface film).

Ayling (1974) observed that accumulation of chromium by oysters (i.e., *Crassostrea gigas*) was independent of sediment chromium levels but dependent on oyster size. This suggested to him that there was some sort of homeostatic regulation of this metal. The possibility of homeostatic regulation of chromium has also been observed in other marine organisms. For example, in mesocosm experiments, chromium was not accumulated from pulverised fuel ash above control levels by the polychaete, *Nereis virens* (Jenner and Bowmer 1992), or by three intertidal invertebrates, the cockle, *Cerastoderma edule*, the clam, *Macoma balthica*, and the lugworm, *Arenicola marina* (Jenner and Bowmer 1990). More recently, Williams et al. (1994) suggested that chromium may be regulated within plant tissues because chromium concentrations were consistent among, and within, halophilic plant species at several salt marsh sites in Essex.

There also appears to be a trend towards decreasing chromium concentration with increasing weight, shell length, size, and age for benthic organisms. Chromium has been found to be inversely correlated with age, weight and/or size in marine organisms, including *Mytilus spp*. (Brix and Lyngby 1985; Lyngby 1991; Catsiki et al. 1991), *Scrobicularia plana* (Bryan and Uysal 1978), and *Saccrostrea sp.* (Paez-Osuna and Marmolejo-Rivas 1990) and in the freshwater species, *Lampsilis radiata* (Metcalfe-Smith et al. 1995) and *Lymnaea stagnalis*, (a pond snail) (V.-Balogh et al. 1988). No relation was found between chromium concentration and shell length in the marine mussel, *Perna viridis*, (Cheung and Wong 1992) or between chromium concentration and dry weight in the marine clam, *Scrobicularia plana* (Bryan and Hummerstone 1978). Chromium concentration also has been negatively correlated with fish length (Hornung and Ramelow 1977), fish weight (Elwood et al. 1980) and fish age (Tong 1974). However, Campbell (1995) found no significant correlation between chromium concentration and the length and weight of three species of freshwater centrarchid fish in Florida. It has been suggested that a negative relationship may arise if metal uptake by smaller or younger individuals is more rapid than by larger or older

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

. 

\_31

organisms (Brix and Lyngby 1985; Catsiki et al. 1991). On the other hand, the lower
 concentrations of chromium in larger, older animals may be a reflection of metal dilution (Brix and
 Lyngby 1985). Smock (1983b) propose that in freshwater invertebrates, the inverse trend is a
 result of surface adsorption of chromium.

5

6 The wide variation in the results discussed above emphasizes the confusion in assessing results 7 from laboratory and field investigations where different chromium species and also different 8 organisms have been examined. In laboratory experiments using marine organisms, Chassard-9 Bouchard et al. (1991), reported that most of chromium uptake in Mytilus edulis occurred through 10 the gills. Peternac and Legovic (1986) also found that by far the highest concentration of Cr(III) 11 and Cr(VI) was measured in the gills of the crab, Xantho hydrophilus. However, evidence would 12 suggest that for certain organisms, uptake of Cr(III) from food and sediment particles may be more 13 important than direct uptake of the soluble Cr(VI) metal from water (Phelps et al. 1974; Preston 14 1971; Bryan and Langston 1992). The high concentration of chromium in the digestive gland 15 relative to other tissues also would support ingestion as a primary route of uptake, for example in 16 marine gastropods (Nott and Nicolaidou 1989) and bivalve molluscs (Bryan and Uysal 1978; Young 17 et al. 1979), and in sediment-dependent freshwater insects (Smock 1983a,b). Ferreira et al. 18 (1990) measured relatively similar chromium levels of 1.0 to 3.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dw in the mantle, 0.3 to 19 2.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dw in the gills, 0.2 to 3.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dw in the muscle, and 0.2 to 3.7 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dw in the 20 remaining soft tissues of 68 composite samples of the oyster, Crassostrea angulata collected from 21 the Sado Estuary, Portugal. In addition, partitioning of chromium within the oysters seemed to be 22 related to environmental conditions such as salinity.

24 Laboratory studies in which the relative uptake of Cr(VI) versus that of Cr(III) from sediment or 25 water, or uptake/depuration kinetics of chromium are examined, are scarce. This is unfortunate 26 because the data would be of great use for understanding the mechanism of chromium uptake and 27 an organism's ability to eliminate this metal. Decho and Luoma (1991) compared the ingestion, 28 retention, and release via the faeces of <sup>51</sup>Cr(III)-labelled food in two intertidal bivalves 29 (Potamocorbula amurensis and Macoma balthica) having different feeding strategies. Loss of 30 chromium was tri-phasic in both clams, with each phase being much shorter in P. amurensis. Half-31 life of the <sup>51</sup>Cr for the three phases/pathways (i.e., intestinal, glandular, and physiological) was 32 8.6 h, 115 h, and 138 h, respectively in M. balthica and 5.9 h, 99 h, and 693 h, respectively, for P. 33 amurensis. Thus the overall gut passage time varied by an order of magnitude between the two 34 species (i.e., 9.6 ± 1.8 h in *M. balthica* versus 0.86 ± 0.8 h in *P. amurensis*).

35

In other depuration studies involving marine invertebrates, Van Weerelt et al. (1984) found that the half-life of Cr(VI), incorporated by barnacles in a laboratory experiment in which <sup>51</sup>Cr was added to unfiltered seawater, was 120 days. Trivalent chromium that was added to the sea water was precipitated and removed from the water by the filtering activity of the barnacles. Unlike Cr(VI), Cr(III) did not concentrate in the soft tissue of the barnacles. In the crab, *Xantho hydrophilus*, Peternac and Legovic (1986) observed that the loss rate of both Cr(VI) and Cr(III) was inversely proportional to exposure time. The half-life for Cr(VI) was 7 d and 101 d following uptake of 2 d and 35 d, respectively. For Cr(III), the half-life was 27 d and 64 d following uptake of 2 d and 28 d, respectively. Marmolejo-Rivas and Paez-Osuna (1990) determined that the half-life of chromium in the mussel, *Mytella striata*, was approximately one month. In summary, the half-life of chromium that has been measured in various experiments ranges between approximately 6 and 120 days, and is dependent on the organism, the exposure time, and the chromium species.

### Biomagnification

Although there is general consensus regarding the absence of biomagnification of chromium throughout the food chain (Bryan and Uysal 1978; Friant 1979; Biddinger and Gloss 1984; Mance 1987; Holdway 1988; Janus and Krajnc 1990; Hatcher et al. 1992), some evidence for food chain transfer of chromium does exist. For example, it has been reported that Cr-rich periphytic bacteria living on the carapace and gills of marine crabs (Johnson et al. 1981), or epiphytic bacteria living on freshwater aquatic plants (e.g., *Alisma plantago-aquatica*; Patrick and Loutit 1977), or marine sediment bacteria (Bremer and Loutit 1986; Aislabie and Loutit 1986) might be ingested by fish and other biota and thus act as a vehicle for entry into the food chain. In addition, the transfer of chromium from sediments to the terrestrial food chain has been suggested through birds feeding directly on benthic invertebrates (Kraus 1989) or insects that have emerged from benthic insect larvae (Krantzberg 1985).

It is also thought that contaminated sediments may be contributing to enhanced levels of chromium in the tissues of certain bottom-feeding fish (Mearns et al. 1976; Winger et al. 1990), aquatic macrophytes (Mudroch and Capobianco 1979), mosses (Mouvet et al. 1987), molluscs (Nicolaidou and Nott 1990; Luoma et al. 1990; Mimicos et al. 1991) and other benthic invertebrates (Aislabie and Loutit 1986; Duzzin et al. 1988; Krantzberg and Boyd 1991). However, as can be seen in Table 5, concentrations of chromium in both marine and freshwater sediments are generally as high or higher than the concentrations of chromium in the organisms dwelling on or in them.

Furthermore, these concentrations do not increase with increasing trophic level. Mathis and 1 Cummings (1973) found that chromium levels in the Illinois River were highest in the sediment, 2 followed by tubificid worms > clams > omnivorous fish > carnivorous fish > river water. A 3 4 comparable chromium concentration order (i.e., sediments > seston > plankton > benthic particle 5 feeder > bottom feeding fish) was found by Phelps et al. (1974) for Naragansett Bay/Rhode Island Sound and also by Ramelow et al. (1989) (i.e., periphyton > zooplankton > oyster > mussel > 6 7 shrimp > fish) for the Calcasieu River and Calcasieu Lake, Louisiana. In addition, Kurita and Pfeiffer (1991) reported that chromium concentrations in molluscs collected from Sepetiba Bay, 8 9 Brazil averaged 2.2 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dw, with fish concentrations reported as 10 times lower. Vukadin and Odzak (1991) reported that chromium levels in mussels collected from Kastela Bay (middle Adriatic 10 11 Sea) were about 100 times lower than that in the sediment. On the other hand, Campbell (1995) 12 recently discovered that largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides, a predator) had higher chromium concentrations than either red ear sunfish (Lepomis microlophus, a bottom feeder) or bluegill 13 sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus, an omnivore) that were living in stormwater treatment ponds in 14 Florida. This is in direct contrast to the results of Mathis and Cummings (1973) who found that 15 16 chromium concentrations in omnivorous fish species collected from the Illinois River were greater 17 than those in carnivorous fishes.

# 18 19

# 20 Toxicity

21 Similar to the approaches used for assessing bioavailability, toxicity can be determined from: 22 laboratory experiments, field experiments, and field surveys. Laboratory experiments include bulk 23 sediment-, interstitial water-, elutriate- and spiked-sediment toxicity tests carried out with natural 24 25 or artificial sediments. Field experiments involve, for example, the transfer of benthic organisms between contaminated and uncontaminated sites (Mersch and Johansson 1993; Nelson et al. 26 27 1995) or the use of field plots, called mesocosms (Schulz-Baldes et al. 1983; Jenner and Bowmer 28 1990), which are subjected to different experimental manipulations. Field surveys entail examining 29 relationships between sediment characteristics (such as chromium concentration, loss on ignition, grain size) and either the presence/absence of benthic species or the incidence of adverse effects 30 31 of the contaminant on indigenous biota (such as reduced number of offspring, delayed time to 32 hatching of eggs, etc.). 33

34

## Spiked Sediment Toxicity Tests (SSTT) Approach

Probably the most direct approach for determining the toxicity of chromium in sediments is to employ spiked- sediment toxicity tests. Unfortunately, laboratory experiments in which artificial or natural sediments are spiked with chromium and the subsequent toxicity to a benthic or planktonic organism is assessed are scarce, in part because of the difficulty in spiking artificial sediment with chromium (Trefor Reynoldson, NWRI, Canada, 1995, pers. com.) Dave (1992) spiked sediment from Lake Hyälmaren, Sweden by mixing 5.0 g of fresh sediment with a concentrated stock solution of KCr(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·12H<sub>2</sub>O [i.e., Cr(III)] or K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> [i.e., Cr(VI)]. After addition of dilution water to a final volume of 50 mL (10% wet sediment in water) and mixing, the suspension was allowed to settle and equilibrate in a Petri dish for 3 d at 20°C. Twenty juvenile *Daphnia magna* (4 to 5 d old) were then added and mobility was recorded after 24 h and 48 h. The reported 24 h and 48 h EC<sub>50</sub> values were 436 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and 195 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively for Cr(III) and 170 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and 167 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for Cr(VI). However, these figures reflect the amount of chromium added to the control sediment (from Lake Hyälmaren) and do not include the background concentration of chromium of 92 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. From this experiment, it is evident that Cr(VI) is more toxic (or more available to the *Daphnia*) than Cr(III).

In marine studies, Gardner et al. (1992) added K<sub>2</sub>(VI)CrO<sub>4</sub> to a reference sediment from Long Island Sound and measured chromium concentrations that were similar to (1 460 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) and about 10 times greater than (14 600 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) those measured in contaminated Black Rock Harbour sediments (1 480 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>). Although tumours developed in oysters (Crassostrea virginica) exposed for a 30-day period, to all three sediments in a flow-through test system with an overlying water concentration of 20 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>, the tumours could not be attributed specifically to the chromium because 10 other inorganic and organic contaminants had been added to the sediment concurrently with chromium. Capuzzo and Sasner (1977) measured the accumulation and toxicity of chromium in natural sediment (polluted by tannery wastes) and in artificial sediment (either bentonite or kaolinite treated with CrCl<sub>3</sub>) using Mytilus edulis and Mya arenaria. Using a flowthrough system, after 24 weeks exposure to natural sediment, the filtration rate of M. edulis was significantly reduced in the sediment containing chromium concentrations of 150 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> clay and 950 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> clay, although there was no reduction in the control sediment (10 mg·kg clay). Exposure to artificial sediment (bentonite containing 1 000 mg/kg<sup>-1</sup> or kaolinite containing 1 200 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) for 4 to 6 weeks also resulted in significantly reduced filtering rates, except for *M*. arenaria exposed to bentonite. In contrast, no effect of Cr(III) was observed on juvenile Neanthes arenaceodentata. Mearns et al. (1976) added CrCl<sub>3</sub> to seawater, but it immediately formed a

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

precipitate that settled to the bottom of the jar. *N. arenaceodentata* living in this precipitate showed
 no apparent adverse effects from exposure to Cr(III); they were able to build mucous tubes and
 spawn, and there was no significant difference in brood size.

In conclusion, the few results from spiked-sediment toxicity tests would indicate that Cr(III) is less
 toxic than Cr(VI). These toxic concentrations are lower than concentrations of chromium that have
 been measured in contaminated freshwater and marine sediments in Canada (see Chapter 2).

10 Bioassays

4

8 9

11

28

12 A common approach for determining sediment toxicity is by means of bioassay experiments during 13 which test organisms are exposed to whole sediment, interstitial water, or elutriate obtained from natural sediments. A thorough discussion of the sediment toxicity procedures that are available 14 15 for both animals (Swartz 1987; Giesy et al. 1988; Burton 1991) and plants (Munawar and Munawar 16 1987; Lewis 1995), including recommendations and methods for extracting interstitial water and 17 elutriates (Sasson-Brickson and Burton 1991; Schults et al. 1992; Bufflap and Allen 1995; Carr and 18 Chapman 1995) and the handling and storing of sediment (Swartz et al. 1985; Othoudt et al. 1991; 19 Reynoldson et al. 1991; Pagano et al. 1993; Environment Canada 1994), is beyond the scope of 20 this report. Sufficed to say, the major disadvantage with bioassay procedures utilizing natural 21 sediments, interstitial waters or elutriates, in addition to the sampling difficulties, is that any 22 observed deleterious effects cannot necessarily be attributed to a specific contaminant. In other 23 words, a direct cause-effect relationship for an individual contaminant cannot be established. For 24 this reason, scientists have tended towards using correlation (Laskowski-Hoke and Prater 1984) 25 and multivariate analyses, such as discriminant function and principal component analyses, in an effort to obtain evidence regarding individual metal toxicity (Santiago et al. 1993; Reynoldson 26 27 1994, Schlekat et al. 1994).

As a result of these efforts, as well as other circumstantial evidence, information concerning chromium toxicity can be derived from bioassay experiments. In marine sediments, Long et al. (1990) found that during their bioassay experiments, three samples collected from Tomales Bay, California, were among the most toxic despite the fact that most of the chemicals analyzed were the least concentrated in those samples. However, chromium and nickel levels were elevated in 2 of the 3 toxic samples, with chromium reaching concentrations of about 235 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (compared to 144 to 190 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in 12 of the other 13 samples). In support of this, Schlekat et al. (1994)

reported no significant correlation between survival and growth of *Hyalella azteca*, macroinvertebrate richness and abundance, and chromium concentrations for sediment levels of 69 to 156 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in tidal river sediments in the Washington, D.C. area. Similarly, Winger and Lasier (1995) did not find a significant correlation between survival of *Hyalella azteca* exposed to either whole sediment or interstitial water collected from the Savannah Harbour, Georgia, and sediment chromium concentrations between 10 and 60 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. However, for chromium levels of 110 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 357 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, and 500 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in southern California, Thompson et al. (1989) reported a significant correlation between mortality, reduced growth, and reduced female gonad development, respectively, in the sea urchin, *Lytechinus pictus*, for contaminated sites compared to control levels of 32 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>.

In fresh waters, Reynoldson (1994) conducted whole sediment bioassay experiments with the oligochaete, Tubifex tubifex, on sediment collected from 67 sites located in 11 areas of concern, as well as 5 sites located in a reference area, in the Laurentian Great Lakes. Using cluster analysis, he was able to identify 6 site groups with chromium concentrations being elevated in the sediments of two of the four groups of toxic sites. Chromium concentrations in these two toxic groups were 167.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and 483.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (within the contaminated chromium concentrations reported for Ontario lakes; Table 3) compared to concentrations of 41.5 to 97.6 mg kg-1 in the other site groups. Santiago et al. (1989) also demonstrated that the uptake of <sup>14</sup>C by freshwater phytoplankton assemblages exposed to various dilutions of sediment elutriates obtained from Lake Geneva and its tributaries was significantly reduced (30 to 40%) at one site, where sediment chromium concentrations were 228 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (elutriate concentration of 6.1 µg·L<sup>-1</sup>). However, there was little or no effect at chromium levels of 38 to 84 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (elutriate concentration < 2  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup>). Wentsel et al. (1978) found that emergence of chironomid larva was reduced over three times and delayed for two days when the insects were exposed for 14 days to sediment collected from Palestine Lake, Indiana, which contained 1 030 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> cadmium, 17 300 mg·kg<sup>1</sup> zinc, and 1 640 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> chromium. On the other hand, Westerman (1989) reported that survival of fathead minnows, Pimephales promelas, in whole sediment bioassay experiments was reduced by about 80% (at Site 4) for chromium concentrations ranging from 21 to 52 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, and by about 54% (at Site 5) for chromium concentrations of 22 to 37 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in stream sediment collected from drainage of the Yellow Creek in Kentucky. However, it is likely that these toxicological responses were a result of elevated concentrations of metals other than chromium, in particular cadmium.

One issue pertaining to the question of filtering interstitial water and elutriates after collection and/or prior to bioassay experiments, needs to be mentioned. For chromium, the issue regarding filtering

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

29 80

31

В2 33

84 85

**Chapter 3: Chromium in Aquatic Systems** 

1 is of particular importance because of the affinity for Cr(III) to sorb onto dissolved and suspended 2 matter. Neff et al. (1980) found that >75% of the chromium in the unfiltered mud aqueous fraction 3 (i.e., elutriate obtained from used chrome lignosulphonate drilling mud) was in the trivalent form. 4 Likewise, Qasim et al. (1980) found that filtering removed most of the chromium in water collected 5 from the Trinity River, Texas, and Schubauer-Berigan and Ankley (1991) reported that chromium 6 concentrations in whole interstitial water collected from an Illinois River tributary were 38  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup> 7 compared to values of only 5  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup> after filtration.

9 Schubauer-Berigan and Ankley (1991) point out that methods for recovering interstitial water that 10 include filtration may eliminate a major component of the sediment contaminants that are 11 responsible for toxicity because of the removal of particle associated chemicals. Evidence for 12 decreasing toxicity following filtration has been reported by Sasson-Brickson and Burton (1991) 13 who found that the survival of Ceriodaphnia dubia in Little Scioto River, Ohio, sediment phases 14 increased from 0% survival in unfiltered water to 56.7% in filtered interstitial water and from 10% 15 to 86.7% in unfiltered and filtered elutriate, respectively. Neff et al. (1980) also observed that the 16 filtered mud aqueous fraction, was slightly less toxic than the unfiltered mud aqueous fraction to 17 marine worms.

19 In conclusion, observed toxicity may or may not decrease following filtration, depending on the 20 ecology of the organism. However, even if filtration removes much of the Cr(III), there is some 21 evidence that Cr(III), bound to dissolved organic matter is also unavailable to certain biota. 22 Stackhouse and Benson (1989) reported that humic acid concentrations of 50 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> significantly 23 decreased the toxicity of both chromic chloride and chrome lignosulphonate [both Cr(III)] to 24 Daphnia pulex and that concentrations of only 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> decreased the percentage free Cr(III) (as 25 CrCl<sub>3</sub>). On the other hand, the acute toxicity and bioavailability of Cr(VI) to the Daphnia was 26 unaffected by humic acid levels of 0.5 to 50 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> (Stackhouse and Benson 1988).

27

18

8

- 2829 Field Surveys
- 30

A third approach to assessing the toxicity of chromium in sediments is to use the information from field surveys to examine relationships between sediment characteristics (such as chromium concentration, loss on ignition, grain size) and the presence/absence of benthic species or the incidence of adverse effects of the contaminant (such as reduced number of offspring, delayed time to hatching of eggs, etc.) on indigenous or transplanted species. Unfortunately, under field conditions, examples of deleterious effects on benthic organisms that can be attributed to specific metals are rare (Bryan and Langston 1992). Furthermore, measured chemical and physical parameters do not always account for all the observed differences in community structure or abundance, suggesting some unmeasured toxicity (Lenat and Crawford 1994).

Although several authors have presented data on the community structure or abundance of benthos being affected by sediment contamination (Winner et al. 1980; Cotta Ramusino et al. 1981, 1983: Duzzin et al. 1988; Edsall et al. 1991; Ferraro et al. 1991; Griffiths 1991; Hatcher et al. 1992; Lenat and Crawford 1994) few, if any, can be related specifically to chromium contamination. Dave (1992) reported that benthic abundance and biomass in the River Kolbäcksån, Sweden, sediments were correlated with 24 h EC<sub>50</sub> values derived from Daphnia magna whole sediment toxicity tests. Nugent et al. (1980) observed a 10 fold decrease in microbial numbers in Palestine Lake, Indiana, which was affected by inputs of chromium, cadmium and zinc from an electroplating plant about 3 km away. Although chromium levels in the sediment reached 4 380  $\pm$  1 910 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> they could not say conclusively that the observed effects were solely a result of high chromium because of the presence of the other two metals. Similarly, Dickman et al. (1990) conducted an extensive survey of benthic invertebrates and aquatic plants downstream of Atlas Specialty Steels Co, in the Niagara River near Welland, Ontario. They identified four zones in the distribution of plants and animals downstream of the sewer discharge; as distance downstream of the sewer discharge increased, abundance and diversity of the flora and fauna also increased. However, although an exceedingly high concentration of chromium (i.e., 5 120 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) was measured at station 67S (located in Zone 3), it is not known whether the observed community structure was a result of chromium, or more likely, a combination of the effects of high chromium, lead, iron, nickel, zinc, copper, and/or other metal concentrations.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1 2

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 2

17

28

# CHAPTER 4. DEVELOPMENT OF CANADIAN SEDIMENT QUALITY GUIDELINES

3 Chromium, a naturally-occurring metal, is imported into Canada in substantial quantities and used 4 in many production processes. The extraction and use of chromium has resulted in anthropogenic 5 inputs of chromium into Canadian aquatic environments, and subsequently elevated levels of 6 chromium in sediments have been reported (Chapter 2). Elevated chromium levels are in the same 7 range as those reported to be associated with toxicity in aquatic biota (Chapter 3). Exposure of 8 benthic organisms to chromium in sediment (whether of natural or anthropogenic origin) may result 9 in uptake of, and adverse biological effects associated with this metal. Effective assessment of the 10 potential ecological impact of chromium in sediments requires an understanding of relationships 11 between chromium concentrations in sediments and the occurrence of adverse biological effects. 12 National sediment quality guidelines are scientific tools that synthesize information regarding such 13 relationships in a form that is easily communicated and understood. In Canada, they are 14 developed using toxicological information and are intended to be national benchmarks (i.e., 15 reference points) to be used in making decisions regarding the protection and management of 16 sediment quality.

18 A number of jurisdictions in North America and elsewhere have developed sediment quality guidelines or other sediment quality assessment values (e.g., criteria, objectives, or standards) to 19 assist in the assessment and management of sediment quality (Appendix Ia and Ib). Individual 20 21 jurisdictions have adopted philosophically different approaches to the development of such values, 22 depending on the receptors that are to be protected (e.g., sediment-dwelling organisms, wildlife, 23 humans), the degree of protection afforded (e.g., protection of all species, protection of 24 commercially important species), the geographic extent to which the values apply, and their 25 intended uses (e.g., as scientific benchmarks, screening tools, remediation goals). As a result, sediment quality guidelines or other assessment values established for chromium in differ among 26 27 jurisdictions.

29 In Canada, the CCME has developed a formal protocol to derive numerical sediment quality 30 guidelines for both freshwater and marine (including estuarine) sediments to protect aquatic life 31 associated with sediments (CCME 1995). This protocol relies on the National Status and Trends Program (NSTP) approach (with modifications) and the Spiked-Sediment Toxicity Test (SSTT) 32 33 approach. Subsequent to an evaluation of the toxicological information, Canadian sediment quality 34 guidelines (also referred to as 'full' sediment quality guidelines) are recommended if information 35 exists to support both approaches. Generally, the lower of the two values derived using either the modified NSTP approach or SSTT approach is recommended. (However, it should be noted that, 36

while sufficient data currently exist to calculate SSTT values for several substances, concerns regarding spiked-sediment toxicity testing methodology limit the degree to which these values may be used as the scientific basis for recommending full sediment quality guidelines at this time). Interim Canadian sediment quality guidelines are recommended if information is available to support only one approach. The guidelines may also be derived to reflect predictive relationships that have been established between the concentration of the chemical in sediments, and any environmental factor or condition (e.g., characteristics of the sediment, such as the concentration of organic carbon; characteristics of the overlying water column, such as hardness) that clearly modifies the expression of the toxicity of the chemical. If insufficient information exists to derive interim guidelines using either the modified NSTP approach or the SSTT approach, guidelines from other jurisdictions are evaluated and may be adopted in the short-term as provisional sediment quality guidelines.

Details of the derivation and evaluation of Canadian interim sediment quality guidelines for chromium for both freshwater and marine sediments are outlined in the following sections. At present, insufficient information exists to derive a sediment quality guideline for chromium using the SSTT approach. Interim sediment quality guidelines for chromium, therefore, have been derived using the modified NSTP approach only. CCME (1995) should be consulted for a detailed description of the protocol and its supporting rationale.

## The Modified National Status and Trends Program (NSTP) Approach

The NSTP approach to deriving sediment quality assessment values was originally developed by Long and Morgan (1990). This approach was adopted, with some modifications, for use in developing sediment quality guidelines in Canada (CCME 1995), and is therefore referred to in this document as the *modified* NSTP approach. Modifications to this approach include the separate evaluation of information for freshwater and marine systems, an expansion of the information originally compiled, and the use of derivation procedures that consider all of the compiled information (see also Long and MacDonald 1992; MacDonald 1994; CCME 1995; and MacDonald et al. 1992 for more detailed explanations of these modifications).

The NSTP approach primarily relies on the use of field-collected data, in which chemical mixtures occur, to derive sediment quality assessment values (Long and Morgan 1990; Long 1992; Long and MacDonald 1992; MacDonald 1994; CCME 1995; and Long et al. 1995). This approach

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

#### Chapter 4: Guideline Development

1 involves the evaluation and compilation of sediment quality data from numerous studies conducted 2 throughout North America, in which sediment chemical and biological data have been collected 3 synoptically (also referred to as co-occurrence data). Data for individual studies are evaluated to 4 establish an association between the concentration of each of the chemicals measured in the 5 sediments and any adverse biological effect observed. Cause-and-effect relationships between 6 the concentration of individual chemicals and the observed adverse biological effect cannot be 7 inferred from this evaluation. This information is compiled in a database, referred to as the 8 Biological Effects Database for Sediments (BEDS).

10 Sediment chemical and biological data currently included in BEDS were obtained from various 11 studies, including models of equilibrium partitioning in sediments, sediment quality assessment 12 values from other jurisdictions (e.g., those derived using the apparent effects threshold approach 13 and the screening level concentrations approach), spiked-sediment toxicity tests, and field studies 14 (including acute and chronic toxicity results from sediment bioassays and analyses of benthic 15 community composition). Candidate studies were critically evaluated according to a number of 16 screening criteria to ensure that high quality data sets were incorporated into BEDS and that the 17 information compiled was internally consistent. These screening criteria (e.g., appropriate 18 sediment handling procedures, acceptable toxicity test procedures) have been described in detail 19 elsewhere (MacDonald 1994; CCME 1995; Long et al. 1995; Environment Canada 1996).

21 Information contained in BEDS was sorted for each chemical and sediment type (i.e., freshwater 22 versus marine) and arranged in ascending order of the chemicals' concentration to produce 23 separate ascending data tables, or guideline derivation tables, for individual chemicals and 24 sediment types (CCME 1995; MacDonald 1994; Long et al. 1995). For chromium, these tables 25 summarize the compiled information that associates chromium concentrations with either adverse 26 effects or no adverse effects on aquatic organisms (also referred to as the effect data set and the 27 no-effect data set, respectively; Appendix IIa,b). Concentrations are expressed as total 28 concentrations in sediments on a dry-weight basis.

The guideline derivation tables for individual chemicals (e.g., chromium; Appendix IIa,b) consist of a number of entries, each of which belongs to either the effect data set (concentrations associated with an adverse biological effect) or the no-effect data set (concentrations not associated with an adverse biological effect), that are sorted according to ascending chromium concentrations. Entries in the guideline derivation tables were designated as being associated with an effect (an asterisk in the 'Hit' column) if an adverse biological effect was reported. These effects included

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

9

20

#### **Chapter 4: Guideline Development**

acute or chronic toxicity observed during a controlled spiked-sediment test, apparent effect thresholds (concentrations above which specific biological effects would always be expected), and predicted toxicity based on equilibrium partitioning theory (which determines a sediment chemical concentration that ensures the concentration of the chemical in the interstitial water does not exceed the water quality guideline for that chemical at equilibrium). An entry was also assigned an asterisk (effect descriptor) if concordance was apparent between the observed biological response and the measured chemical concentration in a field (i.e., co-occurrence) study. As was described in Chapter 3, concentrations of individual chemicals reported in field studies were considered to be associated with the observed toxic response (i.e., concordance was apparent) if the mean concentration at sites at which significant adverse effects were observed was a factor of two or more greater than the mean concentration at sites at which effects were not observed (i.e., at toxic versus non toxic sites) (Long et al. 1995). A factor of two was chosen to ensure that the difference in the response (i.e., adverse effect) was associated with a significant difference in the chemical concentration. For each chemical, all of the entries designated by an asterisk, as described above, are collectively referred to as the effect data set.

All entries in the guideline derivation tables other than those designated by an asterisk are collectively referred to as the no-effect data set, and are represented by those entries for which chromium concentrations were not associated with adverse biological effects. These entries include those associated with non-toxic, reference, or control conditions (i.e., no effects; NE), as well as those for which toxicity may have been observed but the mean chromium concentration differed by less than a factor of two between the toxic and non-toxic groups (i.e., no gradient, NG; small gradient, SG; or no concordance, NC). In the latter case, it was assumed that other factors (whether measured or not) were more important in the etiology of the observed effect than the chemical concentration (Long et al. 1995).

Individual entries in the guideline derivation tables consist of the chromium concentration in the sediment, an indication of whether this concentration was part of the effect data set (i.e., as indicated with an asterisk \*) or the no-effect data set (i.e., NE, SG, NG, or NC), location of the study, analysis type (or approach used), test duration, toxicological end-point measured, species and life stage tested, information on the characteristics of the sediments (e.g., concentrations of TOC or AVS, physical classification of the sediments; when available), and the study reference. In most cases, information for individual entries (i.e., copper concentrations and sediment chemical and physical characteristics) represents the means of several samples. Standard deviations of these means are provided wherever possible. The following discussion summarizes the

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

₿4

information contained in the freshwater and marine guideline derivation tables for chromium
 (Appendix IIa,b).

3 4

5

6

#### Guideline Derivation Tables for Chromium

7 The freshwater guideline derivation table for chromium (Appendix IIa) contains 452 entries. A 8 major proportion of the freshwater entries were from field (COA) studies (96.5%), while the 9 remaining data are numerical sediment quality guidelines from other jurisdictions. The marine 10 guideline derivation table for chromium (Appendix IIb) contains 354 entries. Similar to the 11 freshwater guideline derivation table, the majority of data entries in the marine table are results 12 from field studies (96.9%). Chromium concentrations in sediment range from 5.5 to 1954 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> 13 and 0.6 to 1646 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in the freshwater (Appendix IIa) and marine (Appendix IIb) guideline 14 derivation tables, respectively. The lowest concentration of chromium in field collected sediment 15 that was associated with adverse effects was 29.7 mg/kg<sup>-1</sup> and 17.4 mg/kg<sup>-1</sup> for freshwater and 16 marine sediment, respectively. Both adverse effects and no adverse effects are seen throughout 17 the range of chromium concentrations in the guideline derivation tables. This observation is not 18 surprising, however, as toxicological responses in aquatic biota are affected also by the mixture 19 of chemicals in sediment, as well as other factors that affect organism health (e.g., availability of 20 food, suitable habitat).

22 A number of freshwater and marine taxa are represented in the guideline derivation tables for 23 chromium, including benthic (e.g., amphipods, clams, worms) and water column (e.g., amphipods, 24 rainbow trout) species. In addition, many different toxicological endpoints are used to link 25 chromium concentrations in sediment with effects on aquatic biota. In the freshwater guideline 26 derivation table, common endpoints include abundance, species diversity, mortality and, less 27 commonly, reproduction, deformities, sexual maturity, and avoidance to contaminated sediments. 28 Similarly in the marine guideline derivation table, common endpoints include mortality, abundance, 29 and species diversity, with the former being the most common of all toxicological endpoints 30 represented. The large variation in aquatic biota and toxicological endpoints represented in the 31 guideline derivation tables for chromium emphasizes an important strength of using the modified 32 NSTP approach; this approach is useful because it draws results from many varied studies, 33 species, endpoints, and sediment conditions and is appropriate for a weight of evidence approach 34 to sediment quality guideline development.

35

As previously mentioned, physical and chemical data reported in the guideline derivation tables are the means reported in the studies included in BEDS, and not individual data points. Therefore, the ranges of the sediment characteristics summarized below may be narrower than the ranges of these variables reported in the original studies from which the tables were derived and are provided for purposes of sediment characterization only. Nonetheless, these ranges provide an indication of the variety of sediment types represented in the ascending data tables. Sediment characteristics were not reported in all studies found in BEDS. For studies reporting sediment characteristics, TOC content of sediments ranges from 0.18 to 20.4% (n=418) and 0.009 to 15% (n=220) in the freshwater and marine tables, respectively. Acid volatile sulphide is reported in BEDS as µmol·g<sup>-1</sup> or µg·g<sup>-1</sup>. For freshwater sediments, AVS ranges from 0.041 to 44.4 µmol·g<sup>-1</sup> (n=64) and 7.4 to 547  $\mu$ g·g<sup>-1</sup> (n=50). In the marine studies, AVS ranges from 0.004 to 45.3  $\mu$ mol·g<sup>-1</sup> (n=136) and 9 to 14 009 µg·g<sup>-1</sup> (n=17). Total sulphide concentrations and total free sulphide concentrations were available for marine data and range from 10 to 2277 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (n=42) and 0.33 to 13.6 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (n=20). Concentrations of unionized ammonia range from 0.003 to 0.846 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> (n=52) and 0.006 to 0.08 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> (n=20) for the freshwater and marine guideline derivation tables respectively. The mean proportions of sand, silt, and clay in freshwater sediments represented in the data tables range from 2.8 to 98.2% (n=308), 1 to 60.8% (n=282) and 0.5 to 92% (n=280), respectively. For marine sediments, the mean proportions of sand, silt, and clay are 0.5 to 100% (n=214), 0.8 to 88.5% (n=158), and 0.4 to 53.4% (n=159) respectively. Thus, the data in the guideline derivation tables represent a wide variety of sediment types.

### Derivation of TELs and PELs

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

29 80

31

β2

33

As is described in CCME (1995), the derivation procedures used to calculate two assessment values in the original NSTP approach (Long and Morgan 1990) were modified to consider both the effect and no-effect data compiled in the guideline derivation tables. In the modified NSTP approach, a lower value, referred to as the threshold effect level (TEL), represents the concentration below which adverse biological effects are expected to occur rarely. An upper value, referred to as the probable effect level (PEL), defines the level above which adverse effects are expected to occur frequently. Concentrations that fall in the range between the TEL and the PEL are occasionally expected to be associated with adverse biological effects. The definition of these ranges (also referred to as the minimal, possible, and probable effect ranges) is based on the assumption that the potential for observing toxicity of sediment-associated chemicals increases with increasing chemical concentrations (Long et al. 1995).

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

**Chapter 4: Guideline Development** 

1 Minimum toxicological data requirements have been set to ensure that the TELs calculated from 2 the guideline derivation tables provide adequate protection of aguatic life and that these values are 3 supported by a weight of evidence for a broad range of sediment types and characteristics. For 4 a given guideline derivation table, both the effect data set and the no-effect data set must contain 5 at least 20 entries (CCME 1995). These minimum data requirements have been met for both the 6 freshwater (68 effect entries and 384 no-effect entries) and marine (53 effect entries and 301 no-7 effect entries) guideline derivation tables for chromium. Therefore, TELs and PELs for chromium 8 have been calculated as described below. These two assessment values refer to the total 9 concentration (i.e., as determined following digestion with a strong acid) of chromium in surficial 10 sediments (i.e., upper few centimetres) on a dry-weight basis.

12 The TEL is calculated as the geometric mean of the lower 15th percentile concentration of the 13 effect data set and the 50th percentile concentration of the no-effect data set as follows:

$$TEL = \sqrt{E_{15}} \times NE_{50}$$

where: E<sub>15</sub> = the 15th percentile concentration of the effect data set
NE<sub>50</sub> = the 50th percentile concentration of the no-effect data set *NE<sub>50</sub> Freshwater Sediments:* The TEL for chromium in sediment calculated from data in the freshwater
guideline derivation table (Appendix IIa) is:

 $TEL_{freshwater} = \sqrt{42.0 \times 33.1} = 37.3 \ mg kg^{-1}$ 

21

11

Marine Sediments: The TEL for chromium in sediment calculated from data in the marine guideline
 derivation table (Appendix IIb) is:

24

$$TEL_{marine} = \sqrt{59.0 \times 46.3} = 52.3 \ mg \cdot kg^{-1}$$

25

The PELs are calculated from the guideline derivation tables as the geometric mean of the 50th percentile concentration of the effect data set and the 85th percentile concentration of the no-effect data set as follows:

$$PEL = \sqrt{E_{50} \times NE_{85}}$$

where:  $E_{50}$  = the 50th percentile concentration of the effect data set NE<sub>85</sub> = the 85th percentile of the no-effect data set

*Freshwater sediments:* The PEL for chromium in sediment calculated from data in the freshwater guideline derivation table (Appendix IIa) is as follows:

$$PEL_{freshwater} = \sqrt{100 \times 81} = 90 \ mg \cdot kg^{-1}$$

*Marine Sediments:* The PEL for chromium in sediment calculated from data in the marine guideline derivation table (Appendix I!b) is as follows:

$$PEL_{marine} = \sqrt{201.0 \times 128.0} = 160.4 \ mg kg^{-1}$$

#### **Evaluation of TELs and PELs**

The objective of establishing the TELs and PELs according to a standard formula, as described above, is to consistently define the range of chemical concentrations within which adverse effects rarely occur (i.e., the minimal effect range; below the TEL) and within which adverse biological effects frequently occur (i.e., the probable effect range; above the PEL), respectively. The definition of the TEL is therefore consistent with the definition of a Canadian sediment qualtiy guideline. The PEL is an additional tool that can be used in conjunction with the sediment qualtiy guideline, and other relevant information, in assessing sediment quality.
#### Chapter 4: Guideline Development

The incidence of adverse biological effects below the TEL (i.e., within the minimal effect range) and 1 2 above the PEL (i.e., within the probable effect range), can be used to evaluate the degree to which 3 the TEL and PEL satisfy their objectives (MacDonald 1994; CCME 1995; Smith et al. 1996a,b). 4 The incidence of effects within each range is quantified by dividing the number of effect entries by 5 the total number of entries in that range, and expressing this ratio as a percentage. The TEL and 6 PEL calculated from a guideline derivation table are considered to meet their objectives when the 7 incidence of effects below the TEL is less than 25% and the incidence of effects above the PEL is 8 greater than or equal to 50% (MacDonald 1994; Smith et al. 1996a).

9

10 The incidence of adverse biological effects associated with chromium concentrations in freshwater 11 sediments below the TEL is 2.1% (Figure 2). The low incidence of adverse biological effects 12 observed below the TEL suggests that the TEL for freshwater sediments adequately represents 13 a level below which effects are expected to occur rarely. Therefore, sediment chromium 14 concentrations which fall below 37.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> would not be expected to be associated with adverse 15 biological effects. The incidence of adverse effects between the TEL and the PEL and above the 16 PEL increases to 18.7% and 49.4% respectively (Figure 2). Concentrations that fall in the range 17 between the TEL and the PEL are occasionally expected to be associated with adverse biological 18 effects (CCME 1995). The range of chromium concentrations between the TEL and PEL for 19 freshwater sediments appears to coincide with a range in which adverse biological effects will be 20 occasionally observed (i.e., 18.7% incidence of effects). Concentrations of chromium in freshwater 21 sediments greater than or equal to the PEL are expected to be frequently associated with adverse biological effects. The incidence of effects (49.4%) for chromium does not technically meet the 22 23 objectives of the PEL (i.e., ≥ 50%), using the available data for chromium in BEDS. However, the 24 incidence of effects above the PEL is substantially greater than either the incidence of effects below 25 the TEL or between the TEL and PEL, and would appear to be a good assessment of the 26 chromium concentration above which biological effects would be expected to occur relatively 27 frequently.

28

The incidence of adverse biological effects associated with chromium concentrations in sediment in the marine guideline derivation table below the TEL is 3.5% (Figure 3). As is the case for freshwater sediments, the low incidence of adverse biological effects observed below the marine TEL suggests that the TEL adequately represents a level below which effects are expected to occur rarely. Chromium concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments that fall below 52.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> would not be expected to be associated with adverse biological effects. The incidence of adverse effects between the TEL and the PEL, and above the PEL increases to 15.4%

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

and 52.9%, respectively (Figure 3). The high incidence of effects observed above the PEL suggests that it adequately represents a concentration above which adverse effects would be expected to occur frequently (i.e., an incidence of effects  $\geq$  50% is observed).

The TEL and PEL values for chromium in marine and freshwater sediments can also be evaluated by comparing them to the toxicity results reviewed in chapter 3. The results of spiked-sediment toxicity tests indicate that toxic chromium concentrations are considerably higher than our TEL. Therefore, the available toxicological information for chromium in freshwater and marine sediments suggest that the TEL and PEL sediment quality assessment values accurately describe the chromium concentrations in sediment which will, and will not, be associated with adverse effects of aquatic biota.

Information in the guideline derivation tables was evaluated further to determine whether the calculated TELs and PELs could, or should, be adjusted to account for variation in chromium toxicity associated with variation in sediment characteristics. In order to determine whether chromium concentrations are correlated with sediment characteristics, Spearman rank-order correlation coefficients were calculated between chromium concentrations in the freshwater and marine guideline derivation tables and sediment characteristics. In addition, analysis of variance (t-test and Mann-Whitney) tests were performed to determine whether chromium concentrations and sediment characteristics differed between effect and no-effect data sets.

For data included in the chromium derivation tables, statistical results indicate that chromium concentrations in both freshwater and marine sediments are significantly correlated with sediment characteristics. For freshwater sediments, chromium concentrations are positively correlated with TOC (r=0.659, p<0.001, n=418), %silt (r =0.187, p=0.002, n=282), %clay (r=0.583, p<0.001, n=280), AVS (r=0.425, P<0.005, n=62), ammonia (r=0.498, p<0.005, n=52), and negatively correlated with %sand (r=-0.576, p<0.001, n=308). Similarly for marine sediments, chromium concentrations are positively correlated with TOC (r=0.571, p<0.001, n=221), %silt (r=0.416, p<0.001, n=158), AVS (r=0.652, p<0.001, n=119, µmol·g<sup>-1</sup>; r=0.866, p<0.005, n=17, µg·g<sup>-1</sup>), total free sulphide (TFS) (r=0.849, p<0.005, n=20), total sulphide (TS) (r=0.818, p<0.005, n=42), and negatively correlated with %sand (r=-0.459, p<0.001, n=213) and ammonia (r=-0.680, p=0.001, n=20). These results suggest that chromium concentrations are positively associated with sediment that have higher binding capacities (i.e., fine-grained fraction, organic fraction, and sulphide-rich sediments). Conversely, lower chromium concentrations would be expected in sediments with a large sand component. The positive associations between chromium

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

.17

\_24



Chapter 4: Guideline Development



**Chapter 4: Guideline Development** 

#### Chapter 4: Guideline Development

concentrations in sediment and TOC (Loring 1979a; Mayer and Fink 1980; Duzzin et al. 1988;
Fuller et al. 1990) and fine-grained sediments (Oliver 1973; Loring 1979a; Rossman 1988; Duzzin
et al. 1988; Sager et al. 1990; Anderson 1990; Coakley and Poulton 1993, Weis et al. 1993; Mantei
and Sappington 1994; Literathy et al. 1994) have been previously reported.

6 The implication of the above associations, in the context of adverse effects on biota, is not entirely 7 clear. Higher chromium concentrations occur in sediments with higher binding capacities, however, 8 the binding capacities of such sediments can also limit the availability of chromium, reducing the 9 probability of a toxic response. That is, higher chromium concentrations in sediment will only be 10 important to the health of biota if the chromium is available for uptake.

12 Differences in chromium concentrations and sediment characteristics between the effect and no-13 effect data sets in the guideline derivation tables were examined using analysis of variance (t-test) 14 statistics. This analysis was performed to ascertain whether chromium concentrations and 15 sediment characteristics can influence the observations of effects. If chromium concentration, 16 organic content, sulphide, and small particle size in sediment were significantly greater in the effect 17 group, this would suggest that these characteristics increase the potential for toxic response. If the 18 characteristics are significantly lower in the effect group, this could mean that chromium is bound 19 by particles making chromium unavailable for uptake. For the freshwater derivation table, 20 chromium concentrations (p<0.001, n=450),TOC (p<0.001, n=418), %silt (p=0.003, n=282), and 21 AVS (p=0.004, n=62) were all significantly greater in the effect data set than in the no-effect data 22 set. Similarly, for the marine derivation table, chromium concentrations (p<0.001, n=354), TOC 23 (p=0.018, n=221), TFS (p<0.001, n=20), TS (p<0.001, n=42), and ammonia (p=0.036, n=20) were 24 significantly greater in the effect data set.

The finding that chromium concentration is significantly greater in the effect data set is not surprising, and reaffirms an important assumption; the frequency of adverse biological effects will increase with increasing chromium concentration. For sediment characteristics, it appears that adverse biological effects from chromium exposure are more frequently observed in fine-grain sediments with high TOC and sulphide content. These sediment types appear to be a source of chromium to biota, which contradicts the hypothesis that chromium bound to organic carbon, sulphide, and fine-grain sediments will be unavailable for biota uptake.

33

25

5

11

Information in the ascending data tables does not facilitate an adjustment of the calculated TELs
 and PELs for any potential role of organic carbon, sulphide, or particle size. Further, evaluations

on individual data sets are necessary to determine definitive relationships among chromium concentrations and sediment characteristics and the relationship to adverse effects on benthic organisms. These relationships should be evaluated further using results from individual field studies (i.e., as opposed to a compilation of information such as that in BEDS) and additional laboratory studies, in order to determine whether quantifiable and predictable relationships between sediment characteristics, chromium concentration, and toxicity can be established for a range of sites and/or conditions. Likewise, relationships between particle size and observed toxicity in field-collected sediments should be examined further.

The data from which the TELs and PELs for freshwater and marine sediments were derived are primarily from field studies representing a variety of geographic locations, mixtures of chemicals, a wide range of sediment types and characteristics, organisms at different life stages, and many biological endpoints. The heterogeneous physical and chemical factors to which organisms are exposed may influence the occurrence of biological responses associated with chromium. This influence is implicitly incorporated in the calculation of the TELs and PELs because the data used in their derivation represent such a range of conditions. Likewise, utilization of information on a wide range of sediment-resident species, on a variety of life stages, and on several experimental endpoints ensures that the TELs and PELs adequately reflect the range of biological responses that could be observed in association with chromium concentrations in sediments. Incorporation of data from a range of geographic locations increases the likelihood that TEL and PEL values will be broadly applicable in assessing sediment quality in Canada.

## The Spiked-Sediment Toxicity Test (SSTT) Approach

The SSTT approach, which involves an independent evaluation of information from spikedsediment toxicity tests (some of which are included in the guideline derivation tables described above), is a complementary procedure to the modified NSTP approach for estimating the concentration of a chemical in sediments below which adverse effects are not expected to occur (CCME 1995). In contrast to the modified NSTP approach, which is used to derive TELs and PELs using information on *associations* between chemical concentrations in sediments and effects on sediment-associated organisms, the SSTT approach is used to derive SSTT values using data from controlled laboratory tests in which organisms are exposed to sediments that have been spiked with known concentrations of a chemical. Such studies provide quantifiable cause-and-effect relationships between the concentration of a chemical in sediments and the observed biological

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

## Chapter 4: Guideline Development

response (e.g., survival, reproductive success, growth). Spiked-sediment toxicity tests are generally used to evaluate the toxic effect of a single chemical, or specific mixture of chemicals, to exposed organisms. They may also be used to determine the extent to which environmental conditions modify the bioavailability of a chemical, and ultimately the response of organisms exposed to the spiked-sediments.

6

Minimum toxicological data requirements have been set for the SSTT approach to ensure that
 SSTT values derived using spiked-sediment toxicity information provide adequate protection to
 aquatic organisms (CCME 1995). Insufficient data currently exist to derive SSTT values for
 chromium in either freshwater or marine sediments. The limited number of spiked sediment toxicity
 tests that meet CCME (1995) data quality guidelines are discussed in Chapter 3.

## CHAPTER 5. RECOMMENDED CANADIAN SEDIMENT QUALITY GUIDELINES

### Freshwater Sediments

 Sufficient toxicological data exist for freshwater sediments to use the modified NSTP approach to develop a freshwater TEL and PEL. However, insufficient toxicological data exist to calculate a freshwater SSTT value using the SSTT approach. According to the formal protocol (CCME 1995), a TEL calculated using the modified NSTP approach can be recommended as an interim sediment quality guideline. An evaluation of the incidence of effects observed below the freshwater TEL of 37.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> indicates that it adequately defines the concentration of sediment-associated chromium below which adverse effects rarely occur. In addition, spiked sediment toxicity tests conducted to date have not demonstrated adverse biological effects of chromium below this concentration (Appendix IIIa). Because the information upon which the TEL is based is representative of sediments with a broad range of characteristics, concentrations below the TEL are unlikely to be associated with adverse biological effects under a wide variety of conditions. Therefore, a high degree of certainty exists in the TEL as a sediment-associated chromium concentration that would protect freshwater aquatic life.

# The recommended interim freshwater sediment quality guideline for chromium is, therefore, $37.3 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ dry weight.

This interim sediment quality guideline is recommended for total (or more accurately, "near total"; see Chapter 1) chromium concentrations in freshwater surficial sediments (i.e., top 0 to 5 cm centimetres). Because Canadian sediment quality guidelines are intended to be used for evaluating the potential for biological effects, this guideline refers to concentrations of chromium as quantified by digestion with a strong acid (e.g., with aqua regia, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCI, etc.) followed by detection with a standard analytical device for trace metals. Such methods are comparable to those used in studies upon which this interim guideline is based (Appendix IIa; CCME 1995; Environment Canada 1996).

In order to derive a full freshwater sediment quality guideline for chromium, at least four independent spiked-sediment toxicity studies on two or more North American freshwater sediment-resident invertebrates are required (CCME 1995). One of these studies must be on a benthic crustacean species and one must be on a benthic arthropod species other than a crustacean (CCME 1995). In addition, at least two of these studies must be partial or full life-cycle tests that consider ecologically relevant endpoints (e.g., growth, reproduction, developmental effects).

#### **Chapter 5: Recommendations**

1 Because concerns exist regarding sediment spiking methods (Environment Canada 1995a). 2 advances in methods standardization and/or the development of methods performance criteria is 3 also required before such data could be used as the basis for the recommendation of a full 4 freshwater sediment guality guideline for chromium. Existing evidence is sufficient to determine 5 that the freshwater TEL is applicable to a wide range of sediment types. Although factors such as 6 sediment TOC, metal oxide and AVS concentration are believed to influence the bioavailability (and 7 therefore toxicity) of chromium, no convincing evidence (i.e., from spiked-sediment toxicity tests 8 or field studies) exists to suggest that these influences are quantifiable and predictable. Therefore 9 for the purposes of national sediment quality guideline development in Canada, no justification 10 therefore exists to modify, or adjust, the TEL to account for variation in these sediment 11 Nonetheless, additional studies should examine relationships between the characteristics. 12 bioavailability and toxicity of sediment-associated chromium and characteristics of the sediment 13 and overlying water column at specific sites. As is discussed further in Chapter 6, results of such 14 studies would assist in refining the means by which such factors are considered in the site-specific 15 implementation of freshwater sediment quality guidelines for chromium.

The freshwater PEL of 90.0 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight, is recommended as an additional sediment quality assessment tool. Although this value does not technically meet its narrative objective (i.e., > 50% effects above the PEL), it is anticipated that the PEL for chromium in freshwater sediments will be useful in identifying sediments in which adverse biological effects are more likely to occur (i.e., sediments with chromium concentrations above the PEL). As is discussed further in Chapter 6, such sediments would likely be considered a higher priority for sediment quality management action.

25 The recommended interim sediment quality guideline and PEL for freshwater sediments are within 26 the range of philosophically-similar sediment quality guidelines recommended by other jurisdictions 27 (Appendix Ia). For freshwater sediments in Ontario, Persaud et al. (1992), have used the 28 screening level concentration approach to establish a lowest observable effect level (i.e., LOEL 29 which is comparable, philosophically, to the TEL) of 26 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (versus 37.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for Canada) 30 while the severe effect level (comparable, philosophically, to the PEL) has been set at 110 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> 31 (versus 90 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> nationally). Similarly, in the St. Lawrence River, the screening effect level 32 approach was used to establish a minimal effect level of 55 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and a toxic effect level of 33 110 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, whereas background concentrations were used to establish a no-effect level of 34 55 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Environnement Canada et Ministère de l'Environnement du Québec (EC/MENVIQ) 35 1992). Likewise, background levels at the Ambrosia horizon in Lake Michigan sediments, were

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

16

used by the International Joint Commission (IJC 1988) to recommend a threshold chromium concentration of 37.1 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. In other Great Lakes Harbours, the US EPA (1977) used background concentrations to establish that chromium concentrations less than 25 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> constituted non-polluted sediments.

## **Marine and Estuarine Sediments**

.17

\_24

β4  Sufficient toxicological data exist for marine sediments to use the modified NSTP approach to develop a marine (including estuarine) TEL and PEL. However, insufficient toxicological data exist to calculate a marine SSTT value using the SSTT approach. According to the formal protocol (CCME 1995), a TEL calculated using the modified NSTP approach can be recommended as an interim sediment quality guideline. An evaluation of the incidence of effects below the marine TEL of 52.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> indicates that it adequately defines the concentration of sediment-associated chromium below which adverse effects rarely occur. Data from spiked-sediment toxicity tests further confirm that chromium concentrations in this concentration range would not be expected to be associated with adverse biological effects (Appendix IIIb). Because the information upon which the TEL is based is representative of sediments with a broad range of characteristics, concentrations below the TEL are unlikely to be associated with adverse biological effects under a wide variety of conditions. Therefore, a high degree of certainty exists in the TEL as a sediment-associated chromium concentration that would protect marine and estuarine aquatic life.

# The recommended interim marine sediment quality guideline for chromium is, therefore, 52.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight.

This interim sediment quality guideline is recommended for total (or more accurately "near total"); see Chapter 1) chromium concentrations in marine and estuarine surficial sediments (i.e., top 0 to 5 cm). Similar to the freshwater guideline, it refers to "near total" concentrations of chromium as quantified by digestion with a strong acid (e.g., with aqua regia, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCI, etc.) followed by detection with a standard analytical device for trace metals.

In order to derive a full marine sediment quality guideline for chromium, at least four independent spiked-sediment toxicity studies on two or more North American marine sediment-resident invertebrates are required (CCME 1995). One of these studies must be on a benthic amphipod species (CCME 1995). In addition, at least two of these studies must be partial or full life-cycle

#### Chapter 5: Recommendations

tests that consider ecologically relevant endpoints (e.g., growth, reproduction, developmental 1 2 effects). Although factors such as sediment TOC content and AVS concentration are believed to 3 influence the bioavailability (and therefore toxicity) of chromium, no convincing evidence (i.e., from 4 spiked-sediment toxicity tests or field studies) exists to suggest that these influences are 5 quantifiable and predictable. Therefore, for the purposes of national sediment quality guideline 6 development in Canada, no justification exists to modify, or adjust, the TEL to account for variation 7 in these characteristics. As is discussed further in Chapter 6, however, these characteristics 8 should be considered along with other site-specific information in the implementation of Canadian 9 sediment quality guidelines for chromium. Because the information upon which the TEL is based (i.e., data in the marine guideline derivation table) is representative of sediments with a broad range 10 of characteristics, concentrations below the TEL are unlikely to be associated with adverse 11 12 biological effects under a wide variety of conditions. Therefore, a high degree of certainty exists 13 in the TEL as a sediment-associated chromium concentration that would protect marine and 14 estuarine aquatic life.

The marine PEL of 160 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> is recommended as an additional sediment quality assessment tool. The high incidence of adverse biological effects (53%) observed in association with chromium concentrations (in the marine guideline derivation table) above the PEL suggests that this value will be useful in identifying sediments in which adverse biological effects are likely to occur frequently.

22 The recommended interim sediment guality guideline and PEL for marine sediments are within the 23 range of philosophically-similar sediment quality guidelines recommended by other jurisdictions 24 (Appendix Ib). For marine sediments, the marine sediment quality objective for Burrard Inlet, 25 British Columbia, determined from background concentrations and apparent effects threshold data 26 for Puget Sound, has been set at 60 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Swain and Niiman 1991). This is comparable to the 27 marine TEL value of 52.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. In the United States, the apparent effects threshold approach 28 (AETA) has been used in several jurisdictions. Marine AETA concentrations of 27 to 270 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> 29 were developed in Puget Sound (Bellar et al. 1986; Barrick et al. 1988), >240 to >820 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> 30 along the coast of California (Becker et al. 1989, 1990) and 280 or 370 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in San Francisco 31 Bay (Long and Morgan 1990). Alden and Rule (1992) calculated an AETA value of 68 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for 32 a data set which included sediment chromium and toxicity measurements in the port of Hampton 33 Roads, Virginia. The range in these AETA values results from the different organisms (e.g., 34 Rhepoxynius, Crassostrea) and bioassay procedures employed, as well as from the different 35 localities/databases upon which the AETA values were developed.

15

In Europe, the Netherlands have used the equilibrium partitioning approach (EqPA), to initiate target values in sediments of 100 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Appendix Ia,b) with an upper limit being proposed at 380 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Netherlands Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning and the Environment, 1994). In Norway, sediment background levels have been used to develop four classes of contamination. The proposed boundary between Class II, representing moderate pollution/contamination and Class III, representing marked pollution/contamination is 300 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> or 4.3 times the background level of 70 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> measured in aerobic Norwegian fjord sediments. The proposed boundary between Class IV, representing strong pollution/contamination is 1 400 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> or 20 times the background level (NIVA 1992).

The general applicability of the modified NSTP (National Status and Trends Program) approach using the weight of evidence of available toxicological information is evidenced by the fact that other jurisdictions have already adopted or are considering adopting a similar strategy. For example, the Agence de l'Eau Rhin-Meuse is contemplating using a combination of WEA and EqPA (Marc Babut, Agence de l'Eau Rhin-Meuse, France, 1995, pers. com.) as is the Joint Monitoring Group of the Oslo and Paris Commissions (Rachel Fleming, WRc, UK., 1995, pers. com.). MacDonald (1996) utilized the identical NSTP database to develop sediment quality guidelines for Florida coastal waters, which are the same as the marine values of 52.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (TEL) and 160 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (PEL) established in the previous section. Long and Morgan (1990) compiled an 'effects' database containing both marine and estuarine sediment data collected as part of the NSTP and calculated an effects range-low value (comparable to TEL) of 80 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and an effects range-median value (comparable to PEL) of 145 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. More recently Long et al. (1995) applied only 'effects' data to ascertain effects range-low and effects range-median values of 81 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> and 370 mg·kg<sup>1</sup>, respectively. Since agreement within a factor of three or less among guidelines developed with different methods has been recommended as an indication of good precision (Lorenzato et al. 1991), it would appear that the interim marine and freshwater sediment qualtiy guidelines developed in the previous section are comparable to those derived for other locations in the Northern hemisphere.

The information upon which the sediment quality guidelines are based (i.e., data in the freshwater and marine guideline derivation tables) is representative of sediments with a broad range of characteristics and mixtures of chemicals, which means that concentrations of chromium below the sediment quality guidelines are unlikely to be associated with adverse biological effects under a wide variety of conditions. Therefore, a high degree of certainty exists in the sediment quality guidelines as chromium concentrations in sediment that will protect freshwater and marine aquatic

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

> 29 30

31 32

33

34

### Chapter 5: Recommendations

life. Because the sediment quality guidelines are applicable to a wide range of sediment types, it is not necessary to adjust these values for variation in sediment characteristics. Nonetheless, additional studies should examine relationships between the bioavailability and toxicity of chromium in sediments and characteristics of the sediment and overlying water column at specific sites. As is discussed further in Chapter 6, results of such studies would assist in refining the means by which such factors are considered in the site-specific implementation of freshwater sediment quality guidelines for chromium.

8

9 The recommended interim Canadian freshwater and marine sediment quality guidelines and PELs 10 for chromium adequately reflect our current understanding of the behaviour and toxicity of 11 sediment-associated chromium. The means by which they can be used together, and in 12 conjunction with other relevant information, to prioritize and focus sediment quality assessments 13 are discussed further in the following chapter.

## **CHAPTER 6. IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE**

.11

вз 

β5  The Canadian interim sediment quality guidelines (ISQGs) and PELs for chromium developed in this report are scientific benchmarks (i.e., reference points) that can be used, along with other relevant information, as the basis for evaluating, protecting and enhancing sediment quality. Although these sediment quality guidelines are considered interim at this time, they should not be used differently than they would be if they were full sediment quality guidelines. However, during their application it should be recognized that these values reflect associative information only (i.e., no reliable spiked-sediment toxicity data exist to evaluate cause-and-effect relationships between sediment-associated chromium concentrations and the occurrence of adverse biological effects). It should also be noted that the interim freshwater sediment quality guideline is derived largely from data collected in the Great Lakes. Generic guidance regarding the implementation of Canadian sediment quality guidelines is provided in CCME (1995) and discussed briefly below.

Sediment quality guidelines, like other environmental quality guidelines, have a broad range of potential applications. As is described by the CCME (1995) and Gaudet et al. (1995), they can serve as goals or interim targets for national and regional toxic substance management programs, as benchmarks or targets in the assessment and remediation of contaminated sites, or as the basis for the development of site-specific objectives. These values can also be used as environmental benchmarks for international discussions on emission reductions, as environmental guidelines on trade agreements, in reports on the state of regional or national sediment quality, in the assessment of the efficacy of environmental regulations, in evaluations of potential impacts of developmental activities, and in the design, implementation and evaluation of sediment quality monitoring programs. Despite the variety of potential uses of sediment quality guidelines, they are likely to be routinely applied as screening tools in the site-specific assessment of the potential risk of exposure to sediment-associated substances and in formulating initial management decisions (e.g., acceptability for open-water disposal, required remediation or further investigation, prioritization of sites).

The following discussion reviews the existing framework for assessing sediment quality (CCME 1995) as exemplified by the use of Canadian ISQGs for chromium. In the application of this framework, it is important to recognize that these guidelines for chromium are intended to be used in conjunction with other supporting information. Such information includes Canadian sediment quality guidelines and PELs (or other relevant sediment quality assessment values) for other chemicals, including trace metals and organics (e.g., CCME 1996a,b). In addition, supporting information such as site-specific background concentrations of chromium and other naturally-

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

#### Chapter 6: Implementation

1 occurring substances, biological assessments, and environmental quality guidelines for other media 2 (i.e., water, aquatic tissues) is also important. It should also be noted that the ISQGs and PELs 3 for chromium are developed using scientific information only (i.e., field studies, as summarized in 4 Appendix IIa,b). Socioeconomic (e.g., cost) or technological (e.g., remedial technology) factors that 5 may influence their application are not considered in the development process. However, such 6 factors may play a varying role in the application of sediment quality guidelines (and/or in the 7 development of site-specific sediment quality objectives) within the decision-making framework of 8 different jurisdictions and programs (Gaudet et al. 1995).

- 10 The ISQGs for chromium define the concentrations below which adverse biological effects are not expected to occur in Canadian sediments. In conjunction with the PELs, these values can be used 11 12 to define three ranges in chromium concentrations; those that are rarely (< ISQGs), occasionally 13 (between the ISQGs and the PELs) and frequently (≥ PELs) associated with adverse biological 14 effects (CCME 1995; Gaudet et al. 1995). Evaluation of sediment chromium concentrations with 15 reference to these ranges (and concurrent evaluations of concentrations of other chemicals in 16 sediments relative to their guidelines and PELs) can help to focus sediment guality assessment 17 efforts and prioritize sites for further investigation or management action.
- 19 Sediments in which concentrations of all measured chemicals are less than or equal to their 20 respective national sediment quality guidelines would generally be considered to be of acceptable 21 quality for the protection of aquatic life. In general, further investigations of these sediments would 22 be considered of relatively low priority unless scientific information suggesting otherwise (e.g., the 23 presence of high levels of previously unmeasured chemicals) were advanced. Sediments with 24 measured concentrations of one or more chemicals between the sediment quality guideline and 25 the PEL would be considered to represent potential hazards to sediment-associated organisms. 26 The actual hazard to exposed organisms would be dependent on a number of site-specific factors 27 such as local background concentrations (in the case of naturally-occurring substances like 28 chromium) and chemical and geochemical parameters that affect chromium bioavailability, 29 particularly chromium speciation and the factors that affect it (e.g., redox, pH, organic matter, metal 30 oxides, see Chapter 3). Sediments with concentrations of one or more substances equal to or 31 greater than their respective PELs would be considered to have a high probability of being 32 associated with adverse biological effects and would therefore be the highest priority for further 33 investigation or sediment quality management action.
- 34 35

9

18

The evaluation of the origin (i.e., natural versus anthropogenic) of sediment-associated chromium

and other naturally-occurring substances is an important component of the above framework. Although background concentrations of such substances may have an adverse effect on certain organisms, realistically, most management actions would focus on concentrations that are primarily influenced by human activities. As was described in Chapter 2, data summarized by the NGR program (Table 3) indicate that naturally-occurring chromium concentrations in individual freshwater sediment samples vary from well below the ISQG for chromium to well above the freshwater PEL. When compared with chromium concentrations in the combined lake and stream sediment database of the NGR program, the freshwater ISQG and PEL for chromium fall at the 38.6th and 83rd percentile, respectively (n = 513111), of background chromium concentrations (R. Garrett 1997, Geological Survey of Canada, Ottawa, pers. com.). Concentrations measured in freshwater surficial sediments, which may reflect ambient or contaminated conditions, also vary substantially and in some cases overlap with estimated background concentrations for a given area. Naturally-occurring chromium concentrations in marine (and estuarine) sediments (Chapter 2) range from well below the marine ISQG for chromium to just above the marine PEL. Concentrations in Canadian marine surficial sediments also vary considerably and in some cases overlap with estimated background concentrations for a given area. Because of this variation, sitespecific information regarding the contribution of natural processes versus human activities to measured chromium concentrations in Canadian freshwater and marine sediments should be considered in decision-making processes. Site-specific information on background concentrations of chromium in sediments can be used, along with surficial sediment chemistry data, ISQGs, and the PELs to further focus sediment assessment activities. Therefore, the effective implementation of ISQGs and PELs for chromium requires an understanding of the degree to which natural and anthropogenic sources contribute to observed concentrations in sediments at specific sites.

In the above framework, scientific and management considerations would continue to focus the decision-making process on sediments in which the concentrations of one or more substances exceed their respective sediment quality guidelines or PELs, and in which the concentrations are the result of human activities rather than natural processes. Additional scientific studies would focus on determining whether chromium and other substances were bioavailable and whether effects on resident organisms were occurring or were likely to occur from sediment-associated chromium. The effect of physicochemical and geochemical factors upon chromium bioavailability (e.g., redox, pH, organic matter, metal oxides; see Chapter 3) should be considered. Although evidence suggests that geochemical characterization of sediments and selective extraction procedures may be used to estimate the relative bioavailability of chromium in sediments (see Chapter 3), at present substantial uncertainty exists in the prediction of metal bioavailability

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

1 (Tessier and Campbell 1987; Luoma 1989; Burton 1995; Chapman 1995). This is particularly true 2 of chromium due to its speciation and the different aqueous behaviours of Cr (III) and Cr(VI) (see 3 Chapter 3). However, biological assessments, including laboratory bioassays, in situ investigations of benthic invertebrate community structure, and the examination of concentrations of substances 4 5 in tissues of benthic organisms and higher trophic levels can be used to estimate bioavailability and 6 determine the extent and severity of effects (CCME 1997). Concurrent measurement of additional 7 factors such as redox, pH, metal oxides and TOC concentrations and selectively-extracted metal 8 fractions may assist in the interpretation of the results of such investigations.

9

21

10 The need to undertake additional biological assessments should be prioritized in a tiered manner. 11 For example, in the routine screening of dredged sediment for ocean disposal. Environment 12 Canada is considering a cost-effective tiered testing scheme in which sediments with 13 concentrations of one or more chemicals that exceed national sediment quality quidelines (for a 14 specified list of substances, including chromium) would be subject to additional testing with a suite 15 of bioassays before a decision is made regarding their acceptability for disposal (Environment 16 Canada 1995b). In other cases (e.g., when the goal of a study is to focus remedial action at a contaminated site), more detailed studies or ecological risk assessments, may be desirable (e.g., 17 18 Canfield et al. 1994; Pascoe et al. 1994; Reynoldson et al. 1995; CCME 1996c). This process, in 19 which sediment quality guidelines and PELs are used to prioritize and focus assessment activities, 20 provides a cost-effective alternative to sole reliance on site-specific investigations.

22 Some management decisions may have to be made on an ecosystem basis, taking into account 23 the processes that may be specific to one ecosystem but not another (Luoma and Carter 1993). 24 Given the wide range of ecosystems and sediments for which the effects of sediment-associated 25 chromium were assessed, the interim sediment quality guidelines and PELs are applicable to all 26 systems, unless unique features with implications upon bioavailability and effects are identified. 27 In identifying unique systems, chromium concentrations and unusual physical, chemical, or 28 geochemical features that may enhance or mitigate chromium bioavailability (e.g., redox status), 29 should be considered with respect to the biology of resident organisms. The relationship of 30 organisms to the sediment (i.e., whether the organism lives in it, consumes it, consumes organisms 31 that live in it, and any combination of these) should be considered with respect to addressing factors that could modify the application of sediment quality guidelines. The extent to which 32 33 organisms take up metals from particulate or dissolved sources is useful information that can 34 provide guidance for the use of sediment or water quality guidelines. Such information is seldom 35 available for many benthic organisms. Further, organisms are never exposed to chromium as a

single entity. Therefore, joint actions of chemicals should be taken into consideration, as in the modified NSTP approach.

Sediment quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life should be used in conjunction with other relevant information in a holistic manner (e.g., Pascoe and DalSoglio 1994; CCME 1996c). They should also be used along with tissue quality guidelines and water quality guidelines (for the protection of aquatic life and other resource uses), which account for the potential for adverse biological effects from other exposure routes. Because ISQGs and PELs are derived from data regarding the toxicity of sediment-associated substances to benthic organisms, they do not specifically account for the potential for adverse biological effects on higher trophic levels that may result from dietary exposure. Canadian tissue quality guidelines are being developed for substances that are expected to pose a threat to consumers of aquatic life (S.L. Walker, Environment Canada Ottawa, 1996, pers. com.). Such guidelines, which specify maximum recommended concentrations of substances in the tissues of aquatic organisms, should be used in conjunction with sediment quality guidelines to evaluate the potential for adverse biological effects on other components (e.g., wildlife) of aquatic ecosystems. Because chromium does not biomagnify, (see Chapter 3), the trophic transfer of sediment-associated chromium would not be expected to result in adverse effects on aquatic organisms. Similarly, the ISQGs and PELs for chromium recommended in this report should also protect sensitive aquatic species that are exposed to such substances in the water column. However, potential effects on such organisms should also be evaluated relative to Canadian water quality guidelines for chromium or other relevant water quality guidelines for other substances. Water quality guidelines for chromium have been recommended (CCREM 1987) and should be used along with the sediment quality guidelines and PELs recommended in this report to evaluate the potential for adverse biological effects on aquatic ecosystems.

It is widely recognized that no single sediment quality assessment tool can always predict whether adverse biological effects will occur as a result of sediment exposure. Rather, the appropriate use of different tools provides the most useful information (Luoma and Carter 1993; Chapman 1995). Sediment quality guidelines are only one of the many scientific tools available to assist in the protection and management of sediment quality. The use of sediment quality guidelines in the exclusion of other information (such as background concentrations of naturally occurring substances, other assessment values such as the PEL, biological assessments, or guidelines for other media) can lead to erroneous conclusions or predictions about sediment quality. Decisions are more defensible if they acknowledge scientific uncertainties and allow for management

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25 26

27 28

29 80

31

82

33

modifications as scientific knowledge improves (Luoma and Carter 1993). In the framework discussed above, sediment quality guidelines and PELs provide nationally-consistent benchmarks with which to evaluate the ecological significance of sediment chemical concentrations and determine the relative priority of sediment quality concerns. Canadian ISQGs for chromium should be used along with all other relevant information in making practical and informed decisions regarding sediment quality. These considerations are equally important whether the focus is to maintain, protect, or improve sediment quality conditions at a particular site in Canada.

## REFERENCES

Aboul Dahab, O., A.N. Khalil and Y. Halim. 1990. Chromium fluxes through Mex Bay inshore waters. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 21:68-73.

Achilli, M. G. Ciceri, R. Ferraroli, W. Martinotti and B. Zucchi. 1989. Elements determination in environmental samples: Comparison of different methodologies. In: International Conference Heavy Metals in the Environment, Volume One. Geneva - September 1989, ed. J.P. Vernet. CEP Consultants, Edinburgh, UK. pp. 605-608.

Ackerman, F. 1980. A procedure for correcting grain size effect in heavy metal analysis of estuarine and coastal sediments. Environ Technol Lett 1: 518-527.

Adams, W.J., R.A. Kimmerle and J.W. Barnett, Jr. 1992. Sediment quality and aquatic life assessment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 26:1865-1875.

Aislabie, J. and M.W. Loutit. 1986. Accumulation of Cr(III) by bacteria isolated from polluted sediment. Mar. Environ. Res. 20:221-232.

Ajayi, F. and C. Mombeshora. 1989. Sedimentary trace metals in lakes in Ibadan, Nigeria. Sci. Total Environ. 87/88:77-84.

Ajayi, S.O. and G.W. VanLoon. 1989. Studies on redistribution during the analytical fractionation of metals in sediments. Sci. Total Environ. 87/88:171-187.

Alden, R.W. III and J.H. Rule. 1992. Uncertainty and sediment quality assessments: II. Effects of correlations between contaminants on the interpretation of apparent effects threshold data. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 11:645-651.

Allan, R.J. 1975. Natural versus unnatural heavy metal concentrations in lake sediments in Canada. Proc. International Conference on Heavy Metals in the Environment, Volume II, Part 2:785-808.

Allan, R. and T. Jackson. 1978. Heavy metals in bottom sediments of the mainstem Athabasca River system in the AOSERP study area. Prepared for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program, Fisheries and Environment Canada, Freshwater Institute, Winnipeg, Manitoba. AOSERP Report 34. 72 pp.

Anderson, A.-M., R. Crosley, F. Dieken, D. Lucyk and S. Wu. 1994. Multi-media monitoring of trace metals and pesticides in the Battle River 1989-1990. Alberta Environmental Protection and Environment Canada.

Anderson, J. 1990. St. Lawrence River Environmental Investigations Volume 4: Assessment of water and sediment quality in the Cornwall area of the St. Lawrence River, 1985. Great Lakes Research Section, Water Resources Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment. 39 pp. + Appendices

Anderson, J.M., S.M. Bay, and B.E. Thompson. 1988. Characteristics and effects of contaminated sediments from southern California. Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCWRP) Contribution No. C-297. Long Beach, California. 120 pp.

Andreev, G. and V. Simeonov. 1988. Occurrence and distribution of some elements in sediments from the Black Sea. Toxicol. Environ. Chem. 18:221-228.

Angelidis, M. and A.P. Grimanis. 1989. Geochemical partitioning of Co, Cr, Fe, Sc, and Zn in polluted and non-polluted marine sediments. Environ. Pollut. 62:31-46.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

Ankley, G.T., E.N. Leonard and V.R. Mattson. 1994. Prediction of bioaccumulation of metals from contaminated sediments by the oligochaete, *Lumbriculus variegatus*. Water Res. 28:1071-1076.

Arafat, N. and J.O. Nriagu. 1986. Simulated mobilization of metals from sediments in response to lake acidification. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 31:991-998.

Arctic Laboratories Ltd. and LGL Ltd. 1987. Beaufort Sea ocean dumpsite characterization. Prepared for: Environmental Protection, Conservation and Protection, Environment Canada, Yellowknife, N.W.T. DSS Contract File No. 015G.KE501-6-0107-A.

Ayling, G.M. 1974. Uptake of cadmium, zinc, copper, lead and chromium in the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, grown in the Tamar River, Tasmania. Water Res 8: 729-738.

Bahnick, D.A., W.A. Swenson, T.P. Markee, D.J. Call, C.A. Anderson, and R.T. Morris. 1981. Development of Bioassay Procedures for Defining Pollution of Harbor Sediments. Part 1. PB81-178261. Centre for Lake Superior Environmental Studies. University of Superior, Superior, Wisconsin: 189 pp.

Balci, A. and M. Türkoglu. 1993. Heavy metals in sediments from Izmir Bay, Turkey. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 26:106-107.

Balistrieri, , L.S., J.W. Murray and B. Paul. 1992. The biogeochemical cycle of trace metals in the water column of Lake Sammamish, Washington: response to seasonally anoxic conditions. Limnol. Oceanogr. 37:529-548.

Barrick, R., S. Becker, L. Brown, H. Bellar and R. Pastorok. 1988. Sediment quality values refinement: 1988 update and evaluation of Puget Sound AET. EPA Contract No. 68-01-4341, PTI Environmental Services, Bellevue, Washington.

Beaubien, S.E., J.O. Nriagu, G. Lawson and D.W. Blowes. 1993. Chromium concentrations in the Great Lakes. In: Heavy Metals in the Environment, Volume One. Toronto - September 1993, ed(s). R.J. Allan and J.O. Nriagu. CEP Consultants, Edinburgh, UK. pp. 196-199.

Beaubien, S., J. Nriagu, D. Blowes and G. Lawson. 1994. Chromium speciation and distribution in the Great Lakes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 28:730-736.

Becker, D.S., R.C. Barrick and L.B. Read. 1989. Evaluation of the AET approach for assessing contamination of marine sediments in California. SWRCB Contract 7-189-250-0. PTI Environmental Services, Bellevue, Washington. 52 pp.

Becker, D.S., R.C. Barrick and L.B. Read. 1990. Evaluation of the AET approach for assessing contamination of marine sediments in California. Data Appendices. Report No. 90-3 WQ, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Bellar, H., R. Barrick and S. Becker. 1986. Development of sediment quality values for Puget Sound. Prepared by Tetra Tech Inc. for Resource Planning Associates for PSDDA and PSEP. 128 pp.

Bello, M.A., M. Callejon, J.C. Jimenez, F. Pablos and M. Ternero. 1994. Determination of heavy metals in estuarine sediments by acid digestion and atomic absorption spectrometry. Toxicol. Environ. Chem. 44:203-210.

Benoliel, M.J., M.T. Vinhas and M.M. Matos. 1988. Relationship of heavy metal concentrations with organic matter and fine fraction in sediments within Ria Formosa (Portugal). In: Heavy Metals in the Hydrological Cycle, ed(s). M. Astruc and J.N. Lester, Selper Ltd., London. pp. 513-518.,

Bergbäck, B., S. Anderberg and U. Lohm. 1989. A reconstruction of emission, flow and accumulation of chromium in Sweden 1920-1980. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 48:391-407.

Berrow, S.D. 1991. Heavy metals in sediments shellfish from Cork Harbour, Ireland. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 22:467-469.

Biddinger, G.R. and S.P. Gloss. 1984. The importance of trophic transfer in the bioaccumulation of chemical contaminants in aquatic ecosystems. Residue Rev. 91:103-145.

Biney, C.A. and C.A. Beeko. 1991. Trace metal concentrations in fish and sediment from the Wiwi: a small urban river in Kumasi, Ghana. Trop. Ecol. 32:197-206.

Blais, J.M. and J. Kalff. 1993. Atmospheric loading of Zn, Cu, Ni, Cr, and Pb to lake sediments: the role of catchment, lake morphometry, and physico-chemical properties of the elements. Biogeochem. 23:1-22.

Bolton, H.S., R.J. Breteler, B.W. Vigon, J.A. Scanlon and S.L. Clark. 1985. National prerspective on sediment quality. EPA Contract No. 68-01-6986, BATELLE, Washington Environmental Program Office, Washington, DC.

Bourbonniere, R.A., S.L. Telford and J.B. Kemper. 1996. Depositional history of sediments in Lake Athabasca: Geochronology, bulk parameters, contaminants and biogeochemical markers. Northern River Basins Study Project Report No. 72, Northern River Basins Study, Edmonton, Alberta.

Bremer, P.J. and M.W. Loutit. 1986. Bacterial polysaccharide as a vehicle for entry of Cr(III) to a food chain. Mar. Environ. Res. 20:235-248.

Bricker, S.B., C.A. Wolfe, K.J. Scott, G. Thursby, E.R. Long, and A. Robertson. 1993. Sediment toxicity in Long Island Sound Embayments. Proceedings of the Long Island Sound Research Conference. October 23-24, 1992. Southern Connecticut State University. New Haven, Connecticut.

Brix, H. and J.E. Lyngby. 1985. The influence of size upon the concentrations of Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb and Zn in the common mussel (*Mytilus edulis* L.). Symposia Biologica Hungarica 29:253-271.

Brooks, R.R. and M.G. Rumsby. 1965. The biogeochemistry of trace element uptake by some New Zealand bivalves. Limnol. Oceanogr. 10:521-527.

Bruland, K.W., K. Bertine, M. Koide and E.D. Goldberg. 1974. History of heavy metal pollution in southern California coastal zone. Environ. Sci. Technol. 8:425-432.

Brumbaugh, W.G., C.G. Ingersoll, N.E. Kemble, T.W. May and J.L. Zajicek. 1994. Chemical characterization of sediments and pore water from the upper Clark Fork River and Milltown Reservoir, Montana. Environ. Toxicol. Chem 13:1971-1983.

Bryan, G.W. and L.G. Hummerstone. 1978. Heavy metals in the burrowing bivalve *Scrobicularia plana* from contaminated and uncontaminated estuaries. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 58:401-419.

Bryan, G.W. and W.J. Langston. 1992. Bioavailability, accumulation and effects of heavy metals in sediments with special reference to United Kingdom estuaries: a review. Environ. Pollut. 76:89-131.

Bryan, G.W. and H. Uysal. 1978. Heavy metals in the burrowing bivalve *Scrobicularia plana* from the Tamar Estuary in relation to environmental levels. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK. 58:89-108.

Buckley, D.E. and G.V. Winters. 1992. Geochemical characteristics of contaminated surficial sediments in Halifax Harbours: impact of waste discharge. Can. J. Earth Sci. 29:2617-2639.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

52 53

Buckley, D.E., G.V. Winters, R.A. Fitzgerald, S. Penney and K.W.G. Leblanc. 1993. Inorganic geochemical data for marine sediments from Hudson Bay and James Bay: Delta of Grande Baleine River, Manitounuk Sound and Delta of la Grande River. Geological Survey of Canada, Open File Report 2720.

Bufflap, S.E. and H.E. Allen. 1995. Sediment pore water collection methods for trace metal analysis: A review. Water Res. 29:165-177.

Burton, G.A., Jr. 1995. Critical issues in sediment bioassays and toxicity testing. Journal of Aquatic Ecosystem Health. 4:151-156.

Burton, G.A. Jr. 1991. Assessing the toxicity of freshwater sediments. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 10:1585-1627.

Byrne, C.J. and I.R. DeLeon. 1986. Trace metal residues in biota and sediments from Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 37:151-158.

Call, D.J., M.D. Balcer, L.T. Brooke, S.J. Lozano, and D.D. Vaishnav. 1991. Sediment quality evaluation in the Lower Fox River and Southern Green Bay of Lake Michigan. Centre for Lake Superior Environmental Studies. University of Wisconsin-Superior. Superior, Wisconsin: 270 pp.

Campbell, J. and R.D. Evans. 1991. Cadmium concentrations in the freshwater mussel (*Elliptio complanata*) and their relationship to water chemistry. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 20:125-131.

Campbell, J.A. and D.H. Loring. 1980. Baseline levels of heavy metals in the waters and sediments of Baffin Bay. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 11:257-261.

Campbell, K.R. 1995. Chromium accumulation in three species of central Florida centrarchids. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 54:185-190.

Campbell. P.G.C. and A. Tessier. 1989. Geochemistry and bioavailability of trace metals in sediments. In Aquatic Ecotoxicology: Fundamental Concepts and Methodologies, ed(s) A. Boudou and F. Ribeyre. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, Florida, U.S.A. pp. 125-148.

Camusso, M., R. Balestrini, F. Muriano and M. Mariani. 1994. Use of freshwater mussel *Dreissena polymorpha* to assess trace metal pollution in the lower River Po (Italy). Chemosphere 29:729-745.

Canfield, T.J., N.E. Kemble, W.G. Brumbaugh, F.J. Dwyer, C.G. Ingersoll and J.F. Fairchild. 1994. Use of benthic invertebrate community structure and the sediment quality triad to evaluate metal-contaminated sediment in the Upper Clark Fork River, Montana. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 13:1999-2012.

Cantillo, A.Y. and T.P. O'Connor. 1992. Trace element contaminants in sediments from the NOAA National Status and Trends Program compared to data from throughout the world. Chem. Ecol. 7:31-50.

Capuzzo, J.M. and J.J. Sasner, Jr. 1977. The effect of chromium on filtration rates and metabolic activity of *Mytilus edulis* L. and *Mya arenaria* L. In: Physological Responses of Marine Biota to Pollutants, ed(s) F.J. Vernberg, A. Calabrese, F.P. Thurberg and W.B. Vernberg, Academic Press, New York. pp. 225-237.

Carr, R.S. 1992. Survey of Galveston Bay bottom sediments and benthic communities. Prepared by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. National Fisheries Contaminant Research Center. Corpus Christi, Texas. Prepared for Galveston Bay National Estuary Program and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Region 6 Water Management Division.

Carr, R.S. and D.C. Chapman. 1995. Comparison of methods for conducting marine and estuarine sediment porewater toxicity tests - extraction, storage, and handling techniques. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 28:69-77.

Catsiki, V.A., E. Papathanassiou and F. Bei. 1991. Heavy metal levels in characteristic benthic flora and fauna in the central Aegean Sea. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 22:566-569.

CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 1997. A protocol for the derivation of tissue residue guidelines for the protection of aquatic life and wildlife in aquatic ecosystems. Prepared by the CCME Water Quality Guidelines Task Group on Water Quality. Ottawa.

CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 1996a. Canadian sediment quality guidelines for mercury. Prepared by the Technical Secretariat of the CCME Task Group on Canadian Water Quality Guidelines. Ottawa. Final Draft.

CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 1996b. Draft Canadian environmental quality guidelines for polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans. Prepared by the Technical Secretariat of the CCME Task Group on Water Quality Guidelines. Ottawa.

CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 1996c. A Framework for Ecological Risk Assessment: General Guidance. Final Draft. Prepared for the CCME Contaminated Sites Advisory Group. Winnipeg.

CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 1995. Protocol for the derivation of Canadian sediment quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life. Report CCME EPC-98-E. Prepared by the Technical Secretariat of the CCME Task Group on Water Quality Guidelines. Ottawa. 38pp.

CCREM (Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers). 1987. Canadian Water Quality Guidelines. Task Force on Water Quality Guidelines. Ottawa, Canada.

Chapman, P.M. 1995. Sediment quality assessment: status and outlook. J. of Aquat. Ecosystem Health. 4:183-194.

Chapman, P.M., E.A. Power, R.N. Dexter and H.B. Andersen. 1991. Evaluation of effects associated with an oil platform, using the sediment quality triad. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 10: 407-424.

Chapman, P.M., R.C. Barrick, J.M. Neff and R.C. Schwartz. 1987. Four independent approaches to developing sediment quality criteria yield similar values for model contaminants. Hazard Assessment. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 6: 723-725.

Chassard-Bouchaud, C. 1991. Microanalysis and biological oceanography. Elemental detection and imaging at the cellular and subcellular levels in the fields of ecotoxicology and hydrothermalism. Oceanis 17:421-437.

Chassard-Bouchaud, C., F. Kleinbauer, F. Escaig and P. Boumati. 1991. Fate and availability of trace metal pollutants in the mussel, *Mytilus sp.* Uptake and distribution at the cellular and subcellular level. In: Proceedings of the FAO/UNEP/IAEA Consulations Meeting of the Accumulation and Transformation of Chemical contaminants by Biotic and Abiotic Processes in the Marine Environment, La Spezia, Italy, 24-28 September 1990, ed. G.P. Gabrielides. MAP Technical Reports Series No. 59:163-178.

Cheung, Y.H. and M.H. Wong. 1992. Comparison of trace metal contents of sediments and mussels collected within and outside Tokyo Harbour, Hong Kong. Environ. Manage. 16:743-751.

Chipman, W.A. 1966. Some aspects of the accumulation of <sup>51</sup>Cr by marine organisms, pp. 931-941. In: Radioecological Concentration Processes, B. Aberg and F.P. Hungate.ed(s). Oxford: Pergamon Press.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

**`1**7

Chovanec, A., W.R. Vogel, G. Lorbeer, A. Hanus-Illnar and P. Seif. 1994. Chlorinated organic compounds, PAHs, and heavy metals in sediments and aquatic mosses of two upper Austrian rivers. Chemosphere 29:2117-2133.

Ciceri, G., S. Maran, W. Martinotti and G. Queirazza. 1992. Geochemical cycling of heavy metals in a marine coastal area: benthic flux determination from pore water profiles and in situ measurements using benthic chambers. Hydrobiol. 235/236:501-517.

Coakley, J.P. and D.J. Poulton. 1993. Source-related classification of St. Lawrence estuary sediments based on spatial distribution of adsorbed contaminants. Estuaries 16:873-886.

Coetzee, P.P. 1993. Determination and speciation of heavy metals in sediments of the Hartbeespoort Dam by sequential chemical extraction. Water SA 19:291-300.

Coetzee, P.P., K. Gouws, S. Plüddemann, M. Yacoby, S. Howell and L. den Drijver. 1995. Evaluation of sequential extraction procedures for metal speciation in model sediments. Water SA 21:51-60.

Comber, M.H.I., N.C.D. Craig and D. Taylor. 1989. The impact of metal contamination of estuarine sediments on the growth of *Nereis diversicolor* - a comparison of 10 estuaries in SW England. In: International Conference Heavy Metals in the Environment, Volume 1, Geneva - September 1989, ed. J.-P. Vernet. CEP Consultants Ltd., Edinburgh, UK. pp. 272-276.

Cormier, D.P. 1991. Assessment of Chromium Releases to the Canadian Environment. Report prepared for G.C. Ross, Environment Canada.

Cotta Ramusino, M., G. Pacchetti and A. Lucchese. 1981. Influence of chromium(VI) upon stream Ephemeroptera in the pre-Alps. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 26:228-232.

Cotta Ramusino, M., B. Rossaro and E. Bielli. 1983. Récherches sur le torrent gura: influence du chrome sur les peuplements d'insectes aquatiques. Vie et Milieu 33:55-62.

Cranston, R.E., R.A. Fitzgerald and G.V. Winters. 1974. Geochemical data: Baie des Chaleurs. Bedford Institute of Oceanography Data Series BI -D-74-6, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia.

Crocker, P.A., G.J. Guillen, R.D. Seiler, E. Petrocelli, M. Redmond, W. Lane, T.A. Hollister, D.W. Neleigh, and G. Morrison. 1991. Water quality, ambient toxicity and biological investigations in the Houston Ship Channel and tidal San Jacinto River. Draft Report. Technical Section. Water Quality Management Branch. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Region 6. Dallas, Texas: 5 pp.

Damiani, V., R. Baudo, S. De Rosa, R. De Simone, O. Ferretti, G. Izzo and F. Serena. 1987. A case study: Bay of Pozzuoli (Gulf of Naples, Italy). Hydrobiol. 149:201-211.

Dave, G. 1992. Sediment toxicity in lakes along the river Kolbäcksån, central Sweden. Hydrobiol. 235/236:419-433.

Davidson, C.M., R.P. Thomas, S.E. McVey, R. Perala, D. Littlejohn and A.M. Ure. 1994. Evaluation of a sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of heavy metals in sediments. Anal. Chim. Acta 291:277-286.

Davis, J.R. 1987. Analysis of fish kills and associated water quality conditions in the Trinity River, Texas, I. Review of historical data, 1970-1985. Report Number LP 87-02. Texas Water Commission. Austin, Texas: 117 pp.

Day, K. and T.B. Reynoldson. 1995. Ecotoxicology of Depositional Sediments. Athabasca River, May and September, 1993. Northern River Basins Study Project Report No. 59, Northern River Basins Study, Edmonton, Alberta. 9 pp + Tables + Appendices.

Decho, A.W. and S.N. Luoma. 1991. Time-courses in the retention of food material in the bivalves *Potamocorbula amurensis* and *Macoma balthica*: Significance to the absorption of carbon and chromium. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 78:303-314.

Deely, J.M., J.C. Tunnicliff, C.J. Orange and W.H.L. Edgerley. 1992. Heavy metals in surface sediments of Waiwhetu Stream, Lower Hutt, New Zealand. N.Z. J. Mar. Freshwat. Res. 26:417-427.

DeLeo, P.C. and H.L. Ehrlich. 1994. Reduction of hexavalent chromium by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* LB300 in batch and continuous cultures. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 40:756-759.

Dickman, M.D., J.R. Yang and I.D. Brindle. 1990. Impacts of heavy metals on higher aquatic plant, diatom and benthic invertebrate communities in the Niagara River Watershed near Welland, Ontario. Water Poll. Res. J. Can. 25:131-159.

Dickson, K.L., W.T. Waller, J.H. Kennedy, W.R. Arnold, W.P. Desmond, S.D. Dyer, J.F. Hall, J.T. Knight, D. Malas, M.L. Martinez, and S.L. Matzner. 1989. A water quality and ecological survey of the Trinity River. Volume I Report. Volume II Appendix. conducted by Institute of Applied Sciences, University of North Texas and Graduate Program in Environmental Sciences, University of Texas, Dallas, Texas. Conducted for City of Dallas Water Utilities: 339 pp. + Apps.

Dillon, P.J., H.E. Evans and P.J. Scholer. 1988. The effects of acidification on metal budgets of lakes and catchments. Biogeochem. 5:201-220.

Di Toro, D.M., J.D. Mahoney, D.J. Hansen, K.J. Scott, M.B. Hicks, S.M. Mayr and M.S. Redmond. 1990. Toxicity of cadmium in sediments: The role of acid volatile sulfide. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 9:1487-1502.

Di Toro, D.M., J.D. Mahoney, D.J. Hansen, K.J. Scott, A.R. Carlson and G.T. Ankley. 1992. Acid volatile sulfide predicts the acute toxicity of cadmium and nickel in sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 26:96-101.

Dobson, E. K. Day and T.B. Reynoldson. DRAFT. Ecotoxicology of Suspended and Bottom Sediments. Athabasca, Smoky, and Peace Rivers, March 1996. Northern River Basins Study Project Report.

Douglas, G.S., G.L. Mills and J.G. Quinn. 1986. Organic copper and chromium complexes in the interstitial waters and Narragansett Bay sediments. Mar. Chem. 19:161-174.

Dunn, C.E., J.B. Percival, G.E.M. Hall and A. Mudroch. 1992. Reconnaissance geochemical studies in the Howe Sound drainage basin.

Duzzin, B., B. Pavoni and R. Donazzolo. 1988. Macroinvertebrate communities and sediments as pollution indicators for heavy metals in the River Adige (Italy). Water Res. 22:1353-1363.

Eary, L.E. and D. Rai. 1987. Kinetics of chromium(III) oxidation to chromium(VI) by reaction with manganese dioxide. Environ. Sci. Technol. 21:1187-1193.

Edsall, T.A., B.A. Manny, D.W. Schloesser, S.J. Nichols and A.M. Frank. 1991. Production of *Hexagenia limbata* nymphs in contaminated sediments in the upper Great Lakes connecting channels. Hydrobiol. 219:353-361.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

12

3

4 5

| 6 { 7

> 8 | 9

10 11

EG&G, Bionomics. 1980. Laboratory evaluation of the toxicity of material to be dredged from Pensacola Harbor and Bay, Pensacola, Florida. EG&G, Bionomics. Marine Research Laboratory. Pensacola, Florida: 104 pp.

Elderfield, H. 1970. Chromium speciation in sea water. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 9:10-16.

Elsokkary I.H. and G.Müller. 1989. Geochemical association of heavy metals in sediments of the Nile River, Egypt. In: International Conference Heavy Metals in the Environment, Volume 1, Geneva -September 1989, ed. J.-P. Vernet. CEP Consultants Ltd., Edinburgh, UK. pp. 134-139.

Elsokkary, I.H. and G. Müller. 1990. Assessment and speciation of chromium, nickel, lead and cadmium in the sediments of the River Nile, Egypt. Sci. Total Environ. 97/98:455-463.

Elwood, J.W., J.J. Beauchamp and C.P. Allen. 1980. Chromium levels in fish from a lake chronically contaminated with chromates from cooling towers. Int. J. Environ. Stud. 14:289-298.

EMAP (Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program). 1991. Louisiana Province: Results of the 1991 environmental monitoring and assessment program. Unpublished data provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Gulf Breeze, Florida.

Environment Canada. 1996. Data evaluation procedures used in the development of Canadian sediment quality guidelines. Unpublished Report. Guidelines Division, Evaluation and Interpretation Branch, Ecosystem Conservation Directorate, Ottawa. 26 pp.

Environment Canada 1995a. Guidance document on measurement of toxicity test precision using control sediments spiked with a reference toxicant. Report EPS 1/RM/30. Environmental Protection, Ottawa, 56 pp.

Environment Canada. 1995b. 1994-95 Discussion Paper on Ocean Disposal: An Update. Ocean Disposal Program, Hazardous Waste Management Branch. Environmental Protection. Ottawa. Unpublished report.

Environment Canada. 1994. Guidance document on collection and preparation of sediments for physicochemical characterization and biological testing. Environmental Protection Series Reprot EPS 1/RM/29. Environmental Protection, Ottawa. 145 pp.

Environment Canada. 1993. Chromium and its Compounds (Unedited Version). Supporting Documentation -Environmental Section. Environment Canada, National Water Research Institute, Burlington, Ontario. 422 pp.

Environment Canada. 1988. Upper Connecting Channels Study. Volume II - Final Report. The Upper Connecting Channels Study Management Committee. 626 pp.

EC/MENVIQ (Environnement Canada et Ministère de l'Environnement du Québec). 1992. Critères intérimaires pour l'évaluation de la qualité des sédiments du Saint-Laurent. Ministre des Approvisionnements et Services Canada, EM 40-418/1991F. Montreal. 28 pp.

Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc. 1985. Biological Services for the Matagorda Ship Channel (DACW64-85-C-0023), the Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas and Sabine Bank Channel (DACW64-83-C-0026, the Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas and the Sabine Pass Jetty Channel (DACW64-83-C-0026, and the Freeport Harbor, Texas and Entrance Channel (DACW64-83-C-0037. Prepared for: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Galveston District, Galveston, Texas.

Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc. 1985a. Matagorda Ship Channel. Biolgical services. Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc. Austin, Texas. 80 pp.

Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc. 1985b. Freeport Harbor, Texas. Entrance Channel. Biological Services. Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc. Austin, Texas. 92 pp.

Espey, Huston & Associates, Inc. 1983a. Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas. Sabine Bank Channel. Biological services. Espey, Huston & Associates, Inc. Galveston Laboratory. Galveston Texas. 102 pp.

Espey, Huston & Associates, Inc. 1983b. Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas. Sabine Bank Channel. Biological services. Espey, Huston & Associates, Inc. Galveston Laboratory. Galveston Texas. 99 pp.

Fallon, M.E. and F.J. Horvath. 1985. Preliminary assessment of contaminants in soft sediments of the Detroit River. J. Great Lakes. Res. 11:373-378.

Fendorf, S.E., R.J. Zasoski and R.G. Burau. 1993. Competing metal ion influences on chromium(III) oxidation by Birnessite. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 57:1508-1515.

Fernandes, H.M., E. D. Bidone, L.H.S. Veiga and S.R. Patchineelam. 1994. Heavy-metal pollution assessment in the coastal lagoons of Jacarepagua, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Environ. Pollut. 85:259-264.

Ferraro, S.P., R.C. Swartz, F.A. Cole and D.W. Schults. 1991. Temporal changes in the benthos along a pollution gradient: discriminating the effects of natural phenomena from sewage-industrial wastewater effects. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 33:383-407.

Ferreira, A.M., C. Cortesao, O.G. Castro, and C. Vale. 1990. Accumulation of metals and organochlorines in tissues of the oyster *Crassostrea angulata* from the Sado Estuary, Portugal. Sci. Total Environ. 97/98:627-639.

Fitchko, J. 1989. Criteria for contaminated soil/sediment cleanup. Beak Consultants Limited. Brampton, Ontario. ISBN 0-934165-29-6. Pudvan Publishing Co. Inc. Northbrook, Illinois.

Fitchko, J. 1974. Heavy Metal Concentrations in River Mouth Sediments of the Great Lakes. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. 132 pp.

Fitchko, J. and T.C. Hutchinson. 1975. A comparative study of heavy metal concentrations in river mouth sediments around the Great Lakes. J. Great Lakes Res. 1:46-78.

Förstner, U. 1987. Sediment-associated contaminants - an overview of scientific bases for developing remedial options. Hydrobiol. 149:221-246.

Francis, A.J. and C.J. Dodge. 1990. Anaerobic microbial remobilization of toxic metals coprecipitated with iron oxide. Environ. Sci. Technol. 24:373-378.

Friant, S.L. 1979. Trace metal concentrations in selected biological, sediment, and water column samples in a northern New England river. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 11:455-465.

Francois, R. 1988. A study on the regulation of the concentrations of some trace metals (Rb, Sr, Zn, Pb, Cu, V, Cr, Ni, Mn, Mo) in Saanich Inlet sediments, British Columbia, Canada. Mar. Geol. 83:285-308.

Friske, P.W.B. and E.H.W. Hornbrook. 1991. Canada's National Geochemical Reconnaissance programme. Trans. Instn. Min. Metall. (Sect. B: Appl. Earth Sci.)100:B47-B56.

Fude, L., B. Harris, M.M. Urrutia, and T.J. Beveridge. 1994. Reduction of Cr(VI) by a consortium of sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB III). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60:1525-1531.

Fujii, E., K. Toda, and H. Ohtake. 1990. Bacterial reduction of toxic hexavalent chromium using a fed-batch culture of *Enterobacter cloacae* Strain HO1. J. Ferment. Bioeng. 69:365-367.

Fuller, C.C., J.A. Davis, D.J. Cain, P.J. Lamothe, T.L. Fries, G. Fernandez, J.A. Vargas and M.M. Murillo. 1990. Distribution and transport of sediment-bound metal contaminants in the Rio Grande de Tarcoles, Costa Rica (Central America). Water Res. 24: 805-812.

Gagnon, C., E. Pelletier and S. Maheu. 1993. Distribution of trace metals and some major constituents in sediments of the Saguenay Fjord, Canada. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 26:107-110.

Gardner, G.R., R.J. Pruell and A.R. Malcolm. 1992. Chemical induction of tumors in oysters by a mixture of aromatic and chlorinated hydrocarbons, amines and metals. Mar. Environ. Res. 34:59-63.

Gaudet, C.L., K.A. Keeleyside, R.A. Kent, S.L. Smith and M.P. Wong. 1995. How should numerical criteria be used? The Canadian approach. Human Ecol. Risk Assess. 1(1): 19-28.

Gauglhofer, J. and V. Bianchi. 1991. Chromium. In: Metals and their Compounds in the Environment. Occurrence, Analysis, and Biological Relevance, ed. E. Merian. VCH Publishers, Inc., New York, U.S.A. pp. 853-878.

Giani, M., M. Gabellini, D. Pellegrini, S. Costantini, E. Beccaloni and R. Giordano. 1994. Concentration and partitioning of Hg, Cr and Pb in sediments of dredge and disposal sites of the northern Adriatic Sea. Sci. Total Environ. 158:97-112.

Gibbs, R.J. 1994. Metals in the sediments along the Hudson River estuary. Environ. Inter. 20:507-516.

Giesy, J.P., R.L. Graney, J.L. Newsted, C.J. Rosiu, A. Benda, R.G. Kreis, Jr. and F.J. Horvath. 1988. Comparison of three sediment bioassay methods using Detroit River sediments. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 7:483-498.

Gilbert, T.R., A.M. Clay, and C.A. Karp. 1976. Distribution of polluted materials in Massachusetts Bay. Research Department, New England Aquarium. Prepared for: Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Division of Water Pollution Control. New England Aquarium Corporation. Central Wharf, Boston, Massachusetts: 173 pp.

Glahder, C.M. 1992. Chromium (III) concentrations in the recipient outside a tannery in South Greenland. In: Proceedings of the Eighteenth Annual Aquatic Toxicity Workshop: September 30 - October, 1991, Ottawa, Ontario. eds. A.J. Niimi and M.C. Taylor. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1863:272-277.

Goldberg, E.D., V. Hodge, M. Koide and J.J. Griffin. 1976. Metal pollution in Tokyo as recorded in sediments of the Palace Moat. Geochem. J. 10:165-174.

Golimowski, J., L. Sigg, P. Valenta, and H.W. Nürnberg. 1985. Chromium levels in European inland waters. In: International Conference on Heavy Metals in the Environment, Vol.1, ed. T.D. Lekkas. CEP Consultants Ltd., Edinburgh, UK. pp.107-109.

Golimowski, J., A.G.A. Merks and P. Valenta. 1990. Trends in heavy metal levels in the dissolved and particulate phase in the Dutch Rhine-Meuse (MAAS) Delta. Sci. Total Environ. 92:113-127.

Gonçalves, E.P.R., R.A.P. Boaventura and C. Mouvet. 1992. Sediments and aquatic mosses as pollution indicators for heavy metals in the Ave river basin (Portugal). Sci. Total Environ. 114:7-24.

Gopalan, R. and H. Veeramani. 1994. Studies of microbial chromate reduction by *Pseudomonas sp.* in aerobic continuous suspended growth cultures. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 43:471-476.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

Goulet, M. and D. Laliberté. 1982. Métaux: Contamination du milieu aquatique au Québec Méridional. Réseau de surveillance des substances toxiques dans le milieu aquatique. Service de la Qualité des Eaux, Direction Générale des Inventaires et de la Recherche, Ministère de L'Environnement.

Goyette, D. 1990. Trace metal concentrations in sediment samples from Loughborough Inlet, Belize/Seymour Inlaets and port Hardy, british Columbia. Unpublished Data.

Goyette, D. and J. Boyd. 1989. Distribution and environmental impact of selected benthic contaminants in Vancouver Harbour, British Columbia, 1985 to 1987. Regional Program Report: 89-02. Environment Canada, Conservation and Protection, Environmental Protection, Pacific and Yukon Region.

Goyette, D. and P. Christie. 1982. Environmental studies in Alice Arm and Hastings Arm, B.C. Part III: Initial production period - Amax/Kitsault mine - Sediment and tissue trace metals May-June and October 1981. Regional Program Report 82-14. Environmental Protection Service, Environment Canada, Pacific Region.

Grieco, D. M. 1984. Bioassay, chemical analyses, and statistical analyses of samples obtained from the Galveston Harbor and Channel, Texas. Final Report - Galveston Channel. Laboratory Services Division. NUS Corporation. Prepared for: Department of the Army. Galveston District. Corps of Engineers: 125 pp.

Griffiths, R.W. 1991. Environment quality assessment of the St. Clair River as reflected by the distribution of benthic macroinvertebrates in 1985. Hydrobiol. 219:143-164.

Guilizzoni, P. 1991. The role of heavy metals and toxic materials in the physiological ecology of submersed macrophytes. Aquat. Bot. 41:87-109.

Hall, L.W., M.C. Ziegenfuss, S.A. Fischer, R.D. Anderson, W.D. Killen, R.W. Alden, E. Deaver, J.W. Gooch, and N. Shaw. 1992. A pilot study for ambient toxicity testing in Chesapeake Bay. Year Two Report. CBP/TRS 82/92. Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for the Chesapeake Bay Program. Contract No. 68-WO-00-43. University of Maryland System. Queensland, Maryland: 145 pp.

Hamdy, Y. and L. Post. 1985. Distribution of mercury, trace organics, and other heavy metals in Detroit River sediments. J. Great Lakes Res. 11:353-365.

Hansen, D.J., W.J. Berry, J.D. Mahony, W.S. Boothman, D.M. Di Toro, D.L. Robson, G.T. Ankley, D. Ma, Q. Yan and C.E. Pesch. 1996. Predicting the toxicity of metal-contaminated field sediments using interstitial concentration of metals and acid-volatile sulfide normalizations. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 15:2080-2094.

Harding, L. and D. Goyette. 1989. Metals in Northeast Pacific coastal sediments and fish, shrimp, and prawn tissues. Mar. Pollut. 20:187-189.

Harding, L. and M. Thomas. 1987. Baseline sediment and tissue trace metals in Barkley Sound, Quatsino Sound, Surf Inlet and Laredo Sound, British Columbia. Environment Canada, Conservation and Protection, Environmental Protection, Pacific and Yukon Region. Regional Program Report 87-06.

Hart, D.R., J. Fitchko, and P.M. McKee. 1988. Development of sediment quality guidelines. Phase II - guideline development. BEAK Ref. 2437.1. Prepared by BEAK Consultants Limited. Brampton, Ontario. Prepared for Ontario Ministry of the Environment. Toronto, Ontario.

Hart, D.R., P.M. McKee, A.J. Burt and M.J. Goffin. 1986. Benthic community and sediment quality assessment of Port Hope Harbour, Lake Ontario. J. Great Lakes Res. 12:206-220.

Hartford, W.H. 1979. Chromium and chromium alloys. In: Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Edition, Vol. 6, ed. Kirk-Othmer. Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, New York, U.S.A. pp. 54-120.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

2 3

4 5 6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17 18

19

Harzdorf, A.C. 1987. Analytical chemistry of chromium species in the environment, and interpretation of results. Internat. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 29:249-261.

Hatcher, C.O., R.E. Ogawa, T.P. Poe and J.R.P. French, III. 1992. Trace elements in lake sediment, macrozoobenthos, and fish near a coal ash disposal basin. J. Freshwat. Ecol. 7:257-269.

Hesselberg, R.J., and Y. Hamdy. 1987. Current and historical contamination of sediment in the St. Mary's River, 1987. Upper Great Lakes Connecting Channels, Sediment Workgroup Report, 17 pp.

Holdway, D.A. 1988. The toxicity of chromium to fish. In: Chromium in the Natural and Human Environment, ed(s). J.O. Nriagu and E. Nieboer. John Wiley and Sons, New York, U.S.A. pp. 369-398.

Hornung, H. and G.J. Ramelow. 1987. Distribution of Cd, Cr, Cu and Zn in eastern Mediterranean fishes. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 18:45-49.

IEPA (Illinois Environmental Protection Agency). 1988a. An intensive survey of the Kishwaukee River and its tributaries, 1983. Anonymous Springfield, Illinois: Division of Water Pollution Control. IEPA/WPC/88-010:65, 1988.

IEPA (Illinois Environmental Protection Agency). 1988b. An intensive survey of the DuPage River Basin, 1983. IEPA/WPC/88-010. Division of Water Pollution Control. Springfield, Illinois: 65 pp.

IJC (International Joint Commission). 1988. Procedures for the Assessment of Contaminated Sediment Problems in the Great Lakes. Report to the Great Lakes Water Quality Board by the Sediment Subcommittee and its Assessment Work Group. International Joint Commission. Great Lakes Regional Office, Windsor, Ontario. 140 pp.

Ingersoll, C.G. Unpublished data. Anonymous Columbia, Missouri: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Fisheries Contaminants Research Center., 1992.

Ingersoll, C.G., D.R. Buckler, E.A. Crecelius, and T.W. LaPoint. 1992a. Draft of the NFCRC-Battelle final
 report for the USEPA GLNPA assessment and remediation of contaminated sediments (ARCS). Project:
 Biological assessment of contaminated Great Lakes sediment. National Fisheries Contaminant Research
 Center. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Columbia, Missouri. Prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection
 Agency. Chicago, Illinois.

Ingersoll, C.G., W.G. Brunbaugh, A.M. Farag, T.W. LaPoint, and D.R. Woodward. 1992b. Effects of metal contaminated sediment, water, and diet on aquatic organisms. Second draft of the final report for the USEPA
 Milltown endangerment assessment project. National Fisheries Contaminant Research Center. U.S. Fish and
 Wildlife Service. Columbia, Missouri. Prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Helena, Montana:
 99 pp.

Jaagumagi, R., T. Lomas and S. Petro. 1991a. A study of the effects of in-place pollutants on the bottom
 water, sediments, and sediment-dwelling organisms of the St. Mary's River at Sault Ste. Marie 1985 and 1987.
 Water Resources Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

Jaagumagi, R., T. Lomas and S. Petro. 1991b. A study of the effects of in-place pollutants on the bottom
 water, sediments, and sediment-dwelling organisms of the Toronto waterfront at the Toronto main sewage
 treatment plant, 1987. Water Resources Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

Jaagumagi, R. 1988. The in-place pollutants program. Volume V, Part B. Benthic invertebrates studies
 results. ISBN 0-7729-5435-6. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of the Environment. Water Resources
 Branch. Toronto, Ontario: 74 pp.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

Jaagumagi, R., D. Persaud and T. Lomas. 1989. The in-place pollutants program. Volume V, Part A. A synthesis of benthic invertebrate studies. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of the Environment. Water Resources Branch. Toronto, Ontario: 50 pp.

Jackson, M.B. 1988. The dominant attached filamentous algae of Georgian Bay, the North Channel and Eastern Lake Huron: Field ecology; and biomonitoring potential during 1980. Hydrobiol. 163:149-171.

Jackson, T.A., G. Kipphut, R.H. Hesslein and D.W. Schindler. 1980. Experimental study of trace metal chemistry in soft-water lakes at different pH levels. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 37:387-402.

Janus, J.A. and E.I. Krajnc. 1990. Integrated Criteria Document Chromium: Effects. Report No. 710401002. National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection, Bilthoven, The Netherlands. 89 pp.

Jarry, V., P. Ross, L. Chanpoux, H. Sloterdijk, A. Mudroch, Y. Couillard et F. Lavoie. 1985. Repartition spatiale des contaminants dans les sediments du Lac St.-Louis (Fleuve St Laurent). Water Poll. Res. J. Can. 20:75-99.

Jeandel, C. and J.-F. Minster. 1984. Isotope dilution measurement of inorganic chromium(III) and total chromium in seawater. Mar. Chem. 14:347-364.

Jenner, H.A. and T. Bowmer. 1990. The accumulation of metals and their toxicity in the marine intertidal invertebrates *Cerastoderma edule*, *Macoma balthica*, *Arenicola marina* exposed to pulverised fuel ash in mesocosms. Environ. Pollut. 66:139-156.

Jenner, H.A. and T. Bowmer. 1992. The accumulation of metals and toxic effects in *Nereis virens* exposed to pulverised fuel ash. Environ. Monit. Assess. 21:85-98.

Johnson, A. and D. Norton. 1988. Screening survey for chemical contaminants and toxicity in sediments at five lower Columbia River ports, September 22-24, 1987. WA-CR-1010. Washington State Department of Ecology. Environmental Investigations and Laboratory Services Program. Olympia, Washington: 20 pp.

Johnson, C.A. 1990. Rapid ion-exchange technique for the separation and preconcentration of chromium(VI) and chromium(III) in fresh waters. Anal Chim Acta 238: 273-278.

Johnson, C.A. and L. Sigg. 1992. Chromium cycling in natural water systems. Metal Compounds in Environment and Life (Interrelation between Chemistry and Biology) 4:73-80.

Johnson, C.A., L. Sigg and U. Lindauer. 1992. The chromium cycle in a seasonally anoxic lake. Limnol. Oceanogr. 37:315-321.

Johnson, I., N. Flower and M.W. Loutit. 1981. Contribution of periphytic bacteria to the concentration of chromium in the crab *Helice crassa*. Microb. Ecol. 7:245-252.

Johnson, M.G. 1991. Temporal trends in metal concentrations in rivers, coastal-zone waters, and sediments of northern and eastern Georgian Bay. J. Great Lakes Res. 17:241-254.

Johnson, M.G. and K.H. Nicholls. 1988. Temporal and spatial trends in metal loads to sediments of Lake Simcoe, Ontario. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 39:337-354.

Johnson, M.G. and L.R. Culp and S.E. George. 1986. Temporal and spatial trends in metal loadings to sediments of the Turkey Lakes, Ontario. Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci. 43:754-762.

Jorgensen, S.E. 1990. Modelling the distribution of chromium in a Danish firth. In: Modelling in Ecotoxicology, ed. S.E. Jorgensen. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam. pp. 105-114

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

Kaczynski, S.E. and R.J. Kieber. 1993. Aqueous trivalent chromium photoproduction in natural waters. Environ. Sci. Technol. 27:1572-1576.

Kapkov, V.K. and O.A. Trishina. 1990. Concentrations of polyvalent metals in commercial macrophytes of the White Sea. Hydrobiol. J. 26:88-92.

Katz, S.A. and H. Salem. 1994. The Biological and Environmental Chemistry of Chromium. VCH Publishers, Inc., New York, NY, Weinheim, Germany and Cambridge, UK. 214 pp.

Kauss, P.B. and L.E. Post. 1987. Contaminant concentrations in bottom sediments of the Sir Adam Beck Power Reservoir and Niagara River Bar dredgeate. Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Great Lakes Section, Water Resources Branch. 40 pp.

Kauss, P.B., Y.S. Hamdy and B.S. Hamma. 1988. St. Lawrence River Environmental Investigations, Volume 1. Background: Assessment of water, sediment and biota in the Cornwall, Ontario and Massena, New York section of the St. Lawrence River, 1979-1982. Ontario Ministry of the Environment Report, 123 pp.

Kemp, A.L.W. and R.L. Thomas. 1976. Impact of man's activities on the chemical composition in the sediments of Lakes Ontario, Erie and Huron. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 5:469-469.

Kemp, A.L.W., J.D.H. Williams, R.L. Thomas and M.L. Gregory. 1978. Impact of man's activities on the chemical composition of the sediments of Lakes Superior and Huron. Water, Air, and Soil Pollut. 10:381-402.

Kostic, K. and R.J. Draskovic- 1982. Studies of iron, cobalt and chromium distribution in some continental aquatic ecosystems and biological materials. J. Radioanal. Chem. 69:417-426.

Krantzberg, G. 1985. Metal concentrations in benthic insect larvae and emergent adults as a function of sediment contamination. In: International Conference on Heavy Metals in the Environment, Vol. 2, ed. T.D. Lekkas. CEP Consultants Ltd, Edinburgh, UK. pp. 159-161.

Krantzberg, G. 1994. Spatial and temporal variability in metal bioavailability and toxicity of sediment from Hamilton Harbour, Lake Ontario. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 13:1685-1698.

Krantzberg, G. and D. Boyd. 1991. The biological significance of contaminants in sediment from Hamilton Harbour, Lake Ontario. In: Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual Aquatic Toxicity Workshop, Vol 2. November 5-7, 1990, Vancouver, B.C., ed(s) P. Chapman, F. Bishay, E. Power, K. Hall, L. Harding, D. McLeay, M. Nassichuk, and W. Knapp. Can. Tech. Rep. Aquat. Sci. 1774:847-884.

Kraus, M.L. 1989. Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in pre-fledgling tree swallows, *Trachycineta bicolor*. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 43:407-414.

Kulikova, I., Z. Seisuma and M. Legzdina. 1985. Heavy metals in marine organisms. Symposia Biologica Hungarica 29:141-151.

Kuntz, K.W. 1988. Contaminants in Bottom Sediments of the St. Lawrence River in June 1975. Technical Bulletin No. 147. Environment Canada, Inland Waters Directorate, Ontario Region, Water Quality Branch. Burlington, Ontario.

Kurita, M.H. and W.C. Pfeiffer. 1991. Heavy metal in sediment and biota of Septiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro -Brazil. In: International Conference Heavy Metals in the Environment, Volume 1, Edinburgh - September 1991, ed. J.G. Farmer. CEP Consultants Ltd, Edinburgh, UK. pp. 519-522.

Kvasnikov, E.I., T.M. Klyushnikova, T.P. Kasatkina, V.V. Stepanyuk and S.L. Kuberskaya. 1988. Chromium-reducing bacteria in nature and industrial sewage. Microbiol. 57:552-557.

Lacerda, L.D., F.C.F. De Paula, A.R.C. Ovalle, W.C. Pfeiffer and O. Malm. 1990. Trace metals in fluvial sediments of the Madeira River watershed, Amazon, Brazil. Sci. Total Environ. 97/98:525-530.

Laskowski-Hoke, R. and B.L. Prater. 1984. Multivariate statistical analysis of 96-hour sediment bioassay and chemistry data. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 33:400-409.

Lee, G.F. and G.M. Mariani. 1977. Evaluation of the significance of waterway sediment-associated contaminants on water quality at the dredged material disposal site. In: Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Evaluation, Proceedings of the First Annual Symposium on Aquatic Toxicology, eds. F.L. Mayer and J.L. Hamelink. ASTM STP 634. Amercian Society for Testing Materials, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. pp. 196-213.

Leland, H.V. and B.C. Scudder. 1990. Trace elements in *Corbicula fluminea* from the San Joaquin River, California. Sci. Total Environ. 97/98:641-672.

Lenat, D.R. and J.K. Crawford. 1994. Effects of land-use on water quality and aquatic biota of three North Carolina piedmont streams. Hydrobiol. 294:185-199.

Leshchinskaya, A.A. and P.N. Linnik. 1990. Stability of hexavalent chromium in inland surface waters. Hydrobiol. J. 26:111-117.

Lewis, M.A. 1995. Use of fresh-water plants for phytotoxicity testing: a review. Environ. Pollut. 87:319-336.

Literathy, P., F. Laszlo and B. Csanyi. 1994. Approaches for sediment associated pollutant monitoring in the River Danube. Water Sci. Technol. 30:157-165.

Long, E.R. 1993. Magnitude and extent of sediment toxicity in Tampa Bay, Florida. Coastal Monitoring and Bioeffects Assessment Division. National Status and Trends Program. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Seattle, Washington.

Long, E.R. 1992. Ranges in chemical concentrations in sediments associated with adverse biological effects. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 24:38-45.

Long, E.R. and L.G. Morgan. 1990. The potential for biological effects of sediment-sorbed contaminants tested in the National Status and Trends Program. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS OMA 52, NOAA, Seattle, Washington. 175 pp + Appendices.

Long, E.R. and D.D. MacDonald. 1992. National status and trends program approach. *In* Sediment classification methods compendium, pp. 14-1 to 14-18. EPA 823-R-92-006. U.S. EPA, Office of Water, Washington, D.C.

Long, E.R., D.D. MacDonald, S.L. Smith and F.D. Calder. 1995. Incidence of adverse biological effects within ranges of chemical concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments. Environ. Manag. 19:81-97.

Long, E.R., M.F. Buchman, S.M. Bay, R.J. Breteler, R.S. Carr, P.M. Chapman, J.E. Hose, A.L. Lissiner, J. Scott and D.A. Wolfe. 1990. Comparative evaluation of five toxicity tests with sediments from San Francisco Bay and Tomales Bay, California. Environ. Toxicol. Chem 9:1193-1214.

Lorenzato; S.G., A.J. Gunther, and J.M. O'Connor. 1991. Summary of a workshop concerning sediment quality assessment and development of sediment quality objectives. California State Water Resources Control Board. Sacramento, California. 31 pp.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

2

3

5

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

- Loring, D.H. 1990. Lithium-a new approach for the granulometric normalization of trace metal data. Mar. Chem. 29:155-168.
- Loring, D.H. 1984. Trace-metal geochemistry of sediments from Baffin Bay. Can. J. Earth Sci. 21:1368-1378.
- Loring, D.H. 1982. Overview of trace metal levels in eastern Canada coastal and estuarine sediments. International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Marine Environmental Quality Committee. Report C.M. 1982/E:20. 10 pp.
- Loring, D.H. 1979a. Geochemistry of cobalt, nickel, chromium, and vanadium in the sediments of the estuary and open Gulf of St. Lawrence. Can. J. Earth Sci. 16:1196-1209.
- Loring, D.H. 1979b. Baseline levels of transition and heavy metals in the bottom sediments of the Bay of Fundy. Proc. N.S. Inst. Sci. 29:335-346.
- Loring, D.H. 1976. Distribution and partition of cobalt, nickel, chromium, and vanadium in the sediments of the Saguenay Fjord. Can. J. Earth Sci. 13:1706-1718.
- Luoma, S.N. 1990. Processes affecting metal concentrations in estuarine and coastal marine sediments. In: Heavy Metals in the Marine Environment, ed(s) R.W. Furness and P.S. Rainbow. CRC Press Inc., Boca Raton, FL., U.S.A. pp. 51-66.
- Luoma, S.N. 1989. Can we determine the biological availability of sediment bound trace elements? Hydrobiol. 176/177: 379-396.
- Luoma, S.N. 1983. Bioavailability of trace metals to aquatic organisms a review. Sci. Total Environ. 28:1-22.
- Luoma, S.N. and J.L. Carter. 1993. Understanding the toxicity of contaminants in sediments: Beyond the bioassay-based paradigm. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 12: 793-796.
- Luoma, S.N., R. Dagovitz and E. Axtmann. 1990. Temporally intensive study of trace metals in sediments and bivalves from a large river-estuarine system: Suisun Bay/Delta in San Francisco Bay. Sci. Total Environ. 97/98:685-712.
- Lutz, A. and M. Hendzel. 1977. Survey of baseline level of contaminants in aquatic biota of the AOSERP study area. Prepared for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada. AOSERP Report 17. 51 pp.
- Lyngby, J.E. 1991. Age-dependent accumulation of heavy metals in eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) and
  mussels (*Mytilus edulis* L.). In: International Conference Heavy Metals in the Environment, Volume Two,
  Edinburgh September 1991, ed J.G. Farmer. CEP Consultants Ltd., Edinburgh, UK. pp. 153-156.
- 47 Lytle, T.F. and J.S. Lytle. 1990. Heavy metals in the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, of the Mississippi
   48 Sound. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 44:142-148.
   49
  - MacDonald, D.A. and S.M. Salazar. 1995. The utility of AVS/EqP in hazardous waster site evaluations. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS ORCA 87, Seattle, Washington. 103 pp. + Appendices.
- MacDonald, D.D. 1996. Development and evaluation of sediment quality guidelines for Florida coastal waters.
   Ecotoxicol. 5:253-278.

MacDonald, D.D. 1994. Approach to the assessment of sediment quality in Florida coastal waters. Prepared for Florida Department of Environmental Protection. MacDonald Environmental Sciences, Ltd., Ladysmith, B.C. Vol. 1. 123 pp.

MacDonald, D.D., S.L. Smith. M.P. Wong and P. Mudroch. 1992. The development of Canadian marine environmental quality guidelines. Mar. Environ. Qual. Ser. No. 1, Ecosystem Sciences and Evaluation Directorate, Eco-Health Branch, Ottawa, Ontario. 121 pp.

Malueg, K.W., G.S. Schuytema, D.F. Krawczyk, and J.H. Gakstatter. 1984a. Laboratory sediment toxicity tests, sediment chemistry and distribution of benthic macroinvertebrates in sediments from the Keweenaw Waterway, Michigan. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 3: 233-242.

Malueg, K.W., G.S. Schuytema, D.F. Krawczyk, and J.H. Gakstatter. 1984b. Toxicity of sediments from three metal-contaminated areas. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 3: 279-291.

Mance, G. 1987. Pollution Threat of Heavy Metals in Aquatic Environments. Elsevier Applied Science, London, England. 372 pp.

Manny, B.A., S.J. Nichols and D.W. Schloesser. 1991. Heavy metals in aquatic macrophytes drifting in a large river. Hydrobiol. 219:333-344.

Mantei, E.J. and E.J. Sappington. 1994. Heavy metal concentrations in sediments of streams affected by a sanitary landfill: A comparison of metal enrichment in two size sediment fractions. Environ. Geol. 24:287-292.

Marking, L.L., V.K. Dawson, J.L. Allen, T.D. Bills, and J.J. Rach. 1981. Biological activity and chemical characteristics of dredge material from ten sites on the Upper Mississippi River. Cooperative Agreement No. 14-16-0009-79-1020. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. La Crosse, Wisconsin. Supported by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. St. Paul District. Minnesota: 145 pp.

Marmolejo-Rivas, C. and F. Pàez-Osuna. 1990. Trace metals in tropical coastal lagoon bivalves, *Mytella strigata*. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 45:545-551.

Mathis, B.J. and T.F. Cummings. 1973. Selected metals in sediments, water, and biota in the Illinois River. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 45:1573-1583.

Matthews, G.J. and R. Morrow Jr. 1995. Canada and the World. An Atlas Resource. 2nd edition. Prentice-Hall Canada Inc., Scarborough, Ontario.

Mayer, L.M. 1988. Geochemistry of chromium in the oceans. In: Chromium in the Natural and Human Environments, ed(s) J.O. Nriagu and E. Nieboer. John Wiley and Sons, New York, U.S.A. pp. 173-187.

Mayer, L.M. and L.K. Fink, Jr. 1980. Granulometric dependence of chromium accumulation in estuarine sediments in Maine. Estuar. Coast. Mar. Sci. 11:491-503.

Mayer, L.M. and L.L. Schick. 1981. Removal of hexavalent chromium from estuarine waters by model substrates and natural sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 15:1482-1484.

McCloskey, J.T., M.C. Newman and P.M. Dixon. 1995. Effect of metal and metalloid contaminated sediment on the spatial distribution of asiatic clams (*Corbicula fluminea*). Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 28:203-208.

McGee, B.L., C.E. Schlekat, and E. Reinharz. 1993. Assessing sublethal levels of sediment contamination using the estuarine amphipod *Leptocheirus plumulosus*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 12: 577-587.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

3 4

5

6 7

10 11
McGreer, E.R. 1979. Sublethal effects of heavy metal contaminated sediments on the bivalve *Macoma balthica* (L.). Mar. Poll. Bull. 10: 259-262.

McGreer, E.R. 1982. Factors affecting the distribution of the bivalve *Macoma balthica* (L.) on a mudflat receiving sewage effluent, Fraser River Estuary, British Columbia. Mar. Environ. Res. 7:131-149.

McLeay, D., S. Yee, K. Doe, and S. Wade. 1991. Phase-II and Phase-III studies by EC laboratories of 10-day tests for sediment toxicity using marine or estuarine infaunal amphipods. Final Report. Prepared for: Environment Canada (EP, C&P) and the Inter-Government Aquatic Toxicity Group (IGATG): 39 pp.

Mearns, A.J., P.S. Oshida, M.J. Sherwood, D.R. Young and D.J Reish. 1976. Chromium effects on coastal organisms. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 48:1929-1939.

Medine, A. and D.B. Porcella. 1980. Heavy metal effects on photosynthesis/respiration of microecosystems simulating Lake Powell, Utah/Arizona. In: Contaminants and Sediments. Vol. II, ed. R.A. Baker, Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, Michigan. pp. 355-390.

Merriman, J.C. 1987. Bottom Sediment Quality of the Ottawa River. Technical Bulletin No. 153. Environment Canada, Inland Waters/Land Directorate, Water Quality Branch, Ontario Region, Burlington, Ontario.

Mersch, J. and L. Johansson. 1993. Transplanted aquatic mosses and freshwater mussels to investigate the trace metal contamination in the Rivers Meurthe and Plaine, France. Environ. Technol. 14:1027-1036.

Mertz, W. 1969. Chromium occurrence and function in biological systems. Physiol. Rev. 49:163-239.

Metcalfe-Smith, J.L., J.C. Merriman, and S.P. Batchelor. 1992. Relationships between concentrations of metals in sediment and two species of freshwater mussels in the Ottawa River. Water Pollut. Res. J. Can. 27:845-869.

Metcalfe-Smith, J.L., R.H. Green and L.C. Grapentine. 1995. Influence of biological factors on concentration of metals in the tissues of freshwater mussels (*Elliptio complanata* and *Lampsilis radiata* radiata) from the St. Lawrence River. NWRI Contribution 95-50, National Water Research Institute, Burlington, Ontario.

Miller-Ihli, N.J. 1992. Chromium. In: Hazardous Metals in the Environment, ed. M. Stoeppler. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam. pp. 373-404.

Mimicos, N., A. Mylona and V.A. Catsiki. 1991. The bioaccumulation of heavy metals and petroleum hydrocarbons in mussels from polluted and unpolluted areas of Saronikos Gulf. In: Proceedings of the FAO/UNEP/IOC Consultation Meeting on the Accumulation and Transformation of Chemical Contaminantsby Biotic and Abiotic Processes in the Marine Environment, La Spezia, Italy, 24-28 September 1990, ed G.P. Gabrielides. MAP Technical Report Series No. 59. pp. 251-258.

Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning, and the Environment. 1994. Environmental Quality Objectives in the Netherlands. A review of environmental risk assessment and quality objectives and their policy framework in the Netherlands. Environmental Quality Division. Directorate for Chemicals, External Safety and Radiation Protection. The Hague. 465 pp.

Modak, D.P., K.P. Singh, H. Chandra and P.K. Ray. 1992. Mobile and bound forms of trace metals in sediments of the lower Ganges. Water Res. 26:1541-1548.

Moore, J.W. 1980. Distribution and transport of heavy metals in the sediments of a small northern eutrophic lake. Bull. Environ. Contamin. Toxicol. 24: 828-833.

Moore, J.W. 1991. Inorganic Contaminants of Surface Water, Research and Monitoring Priorities. Springer-Verlag, New York, U.S.A. ?? pp.

Moore, J.W. and S. Ramamoorthy. 1984. Heavy Metals in Natural Waters Applied Monitoring and Impact Assessment. Springer-Verlag, New York, U.S.A. 268 pp.

Mouvet, C., B. Andre and C. Lascombe. 1987. Aquatic mosses for the monitoring of heavy metals in running freshwaters: comparison with sediments. In: International Conference on Heavy Metals in the Environment, vol. 2, ed(s). S.E. Lindberg and T.C. Hutchinson. CEP Consultants Ltd., Edinburgh, UK. pp. 424-429.

Mudroch, A. 1980. Biogeochemical investigation of Big Creek Marsh, Lake Erie, Ontario. J. Great Lakes Res. 6:338-347.

Mudroch, A. 1984. Particle size effects on concentration of metals in Lake Erie bottom sediments. Water Pollut. Res. J. Canada 19:27-35.

Mudroch, A. 1991. Metal concentration in sediments (bottom and suspended) of St. Marys River, Ontario/Michigan. Water Pollut. Res. J. Can. 26:119-143.

Mudroch, A. 1993. Lake Ontario sediments in monitoring pollution. Environ. Monit. Assess. 28:117-129.

Mudroch, A. and J.A. Capobianco. 1979. Effects of mine effluent on uptake of Co, Ni, Cu, As, Zn, Cd, Cr and Pb by aquatic macrophytes. Hydrobiol. 64:223-231.

Mudroch, A. and G.A. Duncan. 1986. Distribution of metals in different size fractions of sediment from the Niagara River. J. Great Lakes Res. 12:117-126.

Mudroch, A. and S.R. Joshi. 1991. Géochimie et distribution de métaux à l'intérior de carottes de sédiment prises du lac Saint-Louis (fleuve Saint-Laurent) et datée par radioactivité. Revue des Sciences de l'Eau 4:1-17.

Mudroch, A. and R. Sandilands. 1978. Geochemical analyses of lake bottom sediments in the Shubenacadie Headwaters, Technical Report #9. Prepared for the Shubenacadie-Stewiacke River Basin Board, Halifax, Nova Scotia. 89 pp.

Mudroch, A., L. Sarazin and T. Lomas. 1988. Summary of surface and background concentrations of selected elements in the Great Lakes sediments. J. Great Lakes Res. 14:241-251.

Mudroch, P. 1987. Distribution of metals and hydrocarbons in the nearshore zone of the southern Beaufort Sea. Conservation and Protection, Environmental Protection, Northwest Territories District Office, Yellowknife, N.W.T. Western and Northern Region 86/87 - CP(EP) - 9.

Müller, C.S., G.J. Ramelow and J.N. Beck. 1989. Spatial and temporal variation of heavy metals in sediment cores from the Calcasieu River/Lake complex. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 43:213-230.

Munawar, M. and I.F. Munawar. 1987. Phytoplankton bioassays for evaluating toxicity of in situ sediments contaminants. Hydrobiol. 149:87-105.

Munns, W.R., C. Mueller, D.J. Cobb, T.R. Gleason, G.G. Pesch, and R.K. Johnston. 1991. Risk assessment pilot study, Phase I. Naval Construction Battalion Center. Davisville, Rhode Island. Environmental Research Laboratory. Office of Research and Development. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Narragansett, Rhode Island: 287 pp.

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

 $\begin{array}{c} 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 9\\ 20\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 32\\ 33\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 24\\ 34\\ 45\\ 66\\ 7\\ 89\\ 0\\ 51\\ 25\\ 35\\ 54\\ \end{array}$ 

Murray, J.W., B. Spell and B. Paul. 1983. The contrasting geochemistry of manganese and chromium in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. In: Trace Metals in Sea Water, ed(s) C.S. Wong, E. Boyle, K.W. Bruland, J.D. Burton, and E.D.Goldberg. Plenum Press, New York, U.S.A. pp. 643-669.

Nair, C.K. and A.N. Balchand. 1993. Speciation of trace metals in sediments of a tropical estuary. Environ. Geol. 21:96-102.

Nair, S.M., A.N. Balchand and P.N.K. Nambisan. 1990. Metal concentrations in recently deposited sediments of Cochin backwaters, India. Sci. Total Environ. 97/87:507-524.

Nakayama, E., T. Kuwamoto, S. Tsurubo and T. Fujinaga. 1981a. Chemical speciation of chromium in sea water. Part 2. Effects of manganese dioxides and reducible organic materials on the redox processes of chromium. Anal. Chim. Acta 130: 401-404.

Nakayama, E., H. Tokoro, T. Kuwamoto and T. Fujinaga. 1981b. Dissolved state of chromium in seawater. Nature 290:768-770.

Namminga, H. and J. Wilhm. 1977. Heavy metals in water, sediments, and chironomids. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 49:1725-1731.

Neff, J.M., R.S. Carr and W.L. McCulloch. 1980. Acute toxicity of a used chrome lignosulphonate drilling mud to several species of marine invertebrate. Mar. Environ. Res. 4:251-266.

Nelson, W.G., B.J. Bergen and D.J. Cobb. 1995. Comparison of PCB and trace-metal bioaccumulation in the blue mussel, Mytilus edulis, and the ribbed mussel, *Modiolus demissus*, in the New Bedford Harbor, Massachusetts. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 14:513-521.

Netherlands Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning and the Environment, 1994. Environmental Quality Objectives in the Netherlands. Risk Assessment and Environmental Quality Division, Directorate for Chemicals, External Safety and Radiation Protection, The Hague, Netherlands. 465 pp.

Nichols, S.J., B.A. Manny, D.W. Schloesser and T.A. Edsall. 1991. Heavy metal contamination of sediments in the Upper Connecting Channels of the Great Lakes. Hydrobiol. 219: 307-315.

Nicol, M.L. and K.G. Doe. 1990. The use of *Corophium volutator* and *Pontoporeia femorata* as test species for the 10-day marine sediment toxicity test using infaunal amphipods. Ocean Dumping Research Fund Project. Prepared for: Marine Environment Branch. Environment Canada, C&P. Dartmouth, Nova Scotia: 20 pp.

Nicolaidou, A. and J.A. Nott. 1990. Mediterranean pollution from a ferro-nickel smelter: Differential uptake of metals by some gastropods. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 21:137-143.

NIVA (Norwegian Institute for Water Research). 1992. Preliminary proposals for classification of marine environmental quality respecting micropollutants in water, sediments and selected organisms. Norwegian Institute for Water Research Report No. 0-862692/0-89266. 22 pp.

Nott, J.A. and A. Nicolaidou. 1989. Metals in gastropods - metabolism and bioreduction. Mar. Environ. Res. 28:201-205.

Nriagu, J.O. 1989. A global assessment of natural sources of atmospheric trace metals. Nature 338:47-49.

Nriagu, J.O. and J.M. Pacyna. 1988. Quantitative assessment of worldwide contamination of air, water and soils by trace metals. Nature 333:134-139.

Nriagu, J., J. Pacyna, J. Milford, and C. Davidsson. 1988. Distribution and characteristic features of chromium in the atmosphere, In: Chromium in the Natural and Human Environments, J. Nriagu and E. Nieboer.ed(s).

Nriagu, J.O., H.K.T. Wong and W.J. Snodgrass. 1983. Historical records of metal pollution in sediments of Toronto and Hamilton harbours. J. Great Lakes Res. 9:365-373.

Nugent, C.E., G.J. Atchison, D.W. Nelson A.W. McIntosh. 1980. The effects of heavy metals on microbial biomass in sediments of Palestine Lake. Hydrobiol. 70:69-73.

Ohtake, H., E. Fujii and K. Toda. 1990. A survey of effective electron donors for reduction of toxic hexavalent chromium by *Enterobacter cloacae* (strain HO1). J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 36:203-208.

Oliver, B.G. 1973. Heavy metal levels of Ottawa and Rideau River sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 7:135-137.

Oliver, B.G. and H. Agemian. 1974. Further studies on the heavy metal levels in Ottawa and Rideau River sediments. Scientific Series No. 37. Environment Canada, Inland Waters Directorate, Water Quality Branch, Ottawa, Canada. 10 pp.

Oliver, B.G. and J. Kinrade. 1972. Heavy metal concentrations in Ottawa River and Rideau River sediments. Scientific Series No. 14. Inland Waters Branch, Department of the Environment, Environment Canada, Ottawa, Canada.

OME (Ontario Ministry of the Environment). 1989a. St. Lawrence River Environmental Investigations Volume 3- Sediment quality of the St. Lawrence River near Maitland, 1984. Great Lakes Section, Water Resources Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment. 42 pp + appendices.

OME (Ontario Ministry of the Environment). 1989b. Remedial action plan for Hamilton Harbour. Stage 1. Environmental conditions and problem definitions. Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food, Environment Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Royal Botanical Gardens, 162 pp.

OME (Ontario Ministry of the Environment). 1990. Port Hope Harbour Remedial Action Plan. Stage 1 Report: Environmental Conditions and Problem Definition. 95 pp + appendices.

OME (Ontario Ministry of the Environment). 1991. Handbook of Analytical Methods for Environmental Samples. Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Laboratory Services Branch, Toronto.

Oshida, P.S., L.S. Word and A.J. Mearns. 1981. Effects of hexavalent and trivalent chromium on the reproduction of *Neanthes arenaceodentata* (Polychaeta). Mar. Environ. Res. 5:41-49.

OSPAR (Oslo and Paris Commissions). 1994. Ecotoxicological Assessment Criteria for Trace Metals and Organic Microcontaminants in the North-East Atlantic. Methodology and summary of the group reports written during the OSPAR Workshop Assessment Criteria for Chemical Data of the Joint Monitoring Program (JMP), held in Schevenigen (The Netherlands), 15-17 November 1993. 36 pp.

Othoudt, R.A., J.P. Giesy, K.R. Grzyb, D.A. Verbrugge, R.A. Hoke, J.B. Drake and D. Anderson. 1991. Evaluation of the effects of storage time on the toxicity of sediments. Chemosphere 22:801-807.

Paez-Osuna, F. and C. Marmolejo-Rivas. 1990. Occurrence and seasonal variation of heavy metals in the oyster *Saccrostrea iridescens*. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 44:129-134.

in Campania, Italy. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 25:20-26.
Painter, S., E.M. Cameron, R. Allan and J. Rouse. 1994. Reconnaissance geochemistry and its environmental relevance. J. Geochem. Explor. 51:213-246.
Pankow, J.F. 1991. Aquatic Chemistry Concepts. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, Michigan. 683 pp.
Parrish, P.R., J.C. Moore, J.M. Macauley, E.M. Lores, R.S. Stanley, B.B. Albrecht, and J. Forester. 1990. Studies with sediment from the naval station, Pascagoula, Mississippi, and representative marine organisms. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental Research Laboratory. Sabine Island. Gulf Breeze: 70 pp.
Parrish, P.R. 1988a. Effects of sediment from two locations near the Pensacola, Florida, Naval Air Station on representative marine organisms. Executive Summary. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental Research Laboratory. Sabine Island. Gulf Breeze. To pp.

Pagano, G., B. Anselmi, P.A. Dinnel, A. Esposito, M. Guida, M. Iaccarino, G. Melluso, M. Pascale and N.M.

Trieff. 1993. Effects on sea urchin fertilization and embryogenesis of water and sediments from two rivers

- Parrish, P.R. 1988b. Effects of sediment from three locations in Bayou Casotte, Mississippi, on representative marine organisms. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental Research Laboratory. Sabine Island. Gulf Breeze, Florida: 64 pp.
- Parrish, P.R. 1987a. Effects of sediment from the Bayou La Batre, Alabama, Channel on representative marine organisms. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental Research Laboratory. Sabine Island. Gulf Breeze, Florida: 75 pp.
- Parrish, P.R. 1987b. Effects of sediment from the Gulfport, Mississippi, Channel on representative marine organisms. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental Research Laboratory. Sabine Island. Gulf Breeze, Florida: 69 pp.
- Parrish, P.R. 1987c. Effects of sediment from six locations in the Pascagoula, Mississippi, Channel on representative marine organisms. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental Research Laboratory. Sabine Island. Gulf Breeze, Florida. 129 pp.
- Part, P. 1987. Testing the bioavailability of metals in natural waters. In: Speciation of Metals in Water, Sediment and Soil Systems, ed. L. Lander. Springer-Verlag. Berlin. pp. 115-125.
- Pascoe, G.A. and J.A DalSoglio. 1994. Planning and implementation of a comprehensive ecological risk assessment at the Milltown Reservoir-Clark Fork River superfund site, Montana. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 13(12): 1943-1956.
- Pascoe, G.A., R.J. Blanchet, G. Linder, D. Palawski, W.G. Brumbaugh, T.J. Canfield, N.E. Kemble, C.G.
   Ingersoll, A. Farag and J.A. DalSoglio. 1994. Characterization of ecological risks at the Milltown Reservoir Clark Fork River Sediments Superfund Site, Montana. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 13:2043-2058.
- 6 Patrick, F.M. and M.W. Loutit. 1977. The uptake of heavy metals by epiphytic bacteria on *Alisma* 7 *plantago-aquatica*. Water Res. 11:699-703.
- Pavlou, S.P. and D.P. Weston. 1983. Initial evaluation of alternatives for development of sediment related
   criteria for toxic contaminants in marine waters (Puget Sound). Phase I: Development of conceptual
   framework. Final Report. JRB Associates, Bellevue, Washington: 56 pp.
- Paya-Pérez, A.B., L. Götz, S.D. Kephalopoulos and G. Bignoli. 1988. Sorption of chromium species on soil.
   In: Heavy Metals in the Hydrological Cycle, ed(s) M. Astruc and J.N. Lester. Selper Ltd, London. pp. 59-66.

Pelletier, B.R. and D.E. Buckley. 1984. Marine Science Atlas of the Beaufort Sea Sediments.

Pelletier, E. and G. Canuel. 1988. Trace metals in surface sediment of the Saguenay Fjord, Canada. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 19:336-338.

Perron, L. 1992. Chromium. pp. 14.1-14.8 In: 1991 Canadian Minerals Yearbook. Mineral Policy Sector, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa.

Persaud, D., R. Jaagumagi, and A. Hayton. 1992. Guidelines for the Protection and Management of Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario. ISBN 0-7729-9248-7. Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Energy, Toronto, Ontario. 28 pp.

Pestana, M.H.D., C.M.M. de Souza and L.D. de Lacerda. 1989. Partitioning of Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb and Zn in sediments from three estuaries in southern Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. In: International Conference Heavy Metals in the Environment, Volume 2, Geneva - September 1989, ed. J.-P.Vernet. CEP Consultants Ltd., Edinburgh, UK. pp. 383-386.

Peternac, B. and T. Legovic. 1986. Uptake, distribution and loss of Cr in the crab *Xantho hydrophilus*. Mar. Biol. 91:467-471.

Pfeiffer, W.C., M. Fiszman, and N. Carbonell. 1980. Fate of chromium in a tributary of the Iraja River, Rio de Janeiro. Environ. Pollut. (Series B) 1:117-126.

Phelps, H.L. 1990. Development of estuarine sediment burrowing bioassay for shipboard use. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 45: 722-728.

Phelps, D.K., G. Telek and R.L. Lapan, Jr. 1974. Assessment of heavy metal distribution within the food web. In: Marine Pollution and Marine Waste Disposal, Proceedings of the 2nd International Congress, San Remo, 17-21 December, 1973, ed(s) E.A. Pearson and E. de Fraja Frangipane. Pergamon Press, Oxford, England. pp. 341-348.

Phillips, D.R. 1989. Chromium. pp 20.1-20.10 In: 1988 Canadian Minerals Yearbook. Mineral Resources Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa.

Pope, R.J. and P.B. Kauss. 1995. Algoma Slip sediment quality and benthic invertebrate community assessment, 1990. Ontario Ministry of Environment and Energy, Surface Water Section, Environmental Monitoring and Reporting Branch. Draft Report. 65 pp.

Pope, R.J. 1990. Analysis of benthic macroinvertebrate samples from St. Mary's River sediment cores 1987. Prepared by Tarandus Assoociates Limited for the Water Resources Branch. Ontario Ministry of the Environment. Toronto. 58 pp.

Poulton, D.J. 1992. Heavy metals and toxic organic contaminants in effluents, water, and sediments of the Bay of Quinte, Lake Ontario. J. Great Lakes Res. 18:390-404.

Preston, E.M. 1971. The importance of ingestion in chromium-51 accumulation by *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 6: 47-54.

PTI (PTI Environmental Services; R. Barrick, S. Becker, L. Brown, H. Beller, and R. Pastorok). 1988. Sediment quality values refinement: 1988 update and evaluation of Puget Sound AET. Volume 1. PTI Environmental Services. Bellevue, Washington: 173 pp.

Qasim, A.T., J. Corn and B.L. Jordan. 1980. Quality of water and bottom sediments in the Trinity River. Water Resour. Bull. 16:522-531.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

1 2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

Ramelow, G.J., C.L. Webre, C.S. Mueller, J.N. Beck, J.C. Young and M.P. Langley. 1989. Variations of heavy metals and arsenic in fish and other organisms from the Calcasieu River and Lake, Louisiana. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 18:804-818.

Ramelow, G.J., S.L. Biven, Y. Zhang, J.N. Beck, J.C. Young, J.D. Callahan and M.F. Marcon. 1992. The identification of point sources of heavy metals in an industrially impacted waterway by periphyton and surface sediment monitoring. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 65:175-190.

Reimer, P. and H.C. Duthie. 1993. Concentrations of zinc and chromium in aquatic macrophytes from the Sudbury and Muskoka regions of Ontario, Canada. Environ. Pollut. 79:261-265.

Reynoldson, T.B. 1987. Interactions between sediment contaminants and benthic organisms. Hydrobiol. 149:53-66.

Reynoldson, T.B. 1994. A field test of a sediment bioassay with the oligochaete worm *Tubifex tubifex* (Müller, 1774). Hydrobiol. 278:223-230.

Reynoldson, T.B., R.C. Bailey, K.E. Day and R.H. Norris. 1995. Biological guidelines for freshwater sediment based on **BE**nthic **A**ssessment of **S**edimen**T** (the BEAST) using a multivariate approach for predicting biological state. Australian Journal of Ecology 20:198-219.

Reynoldson, T.B., S.B. Thompson and J.L. Bamsey. 1991. A sediment bioassay using the tubificid oligochaete worm *Tubifex tubifex*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 10:1061-1072.

Richard, F.C. and A.C. Bourg. 1991. Aqueous geochemistry of chromium: A review. Water Res. 25: 807-816.

Richman, L. 1991. St. Lawrence River sediment and biological assessment 1990. Ontario Ministry of Environment and Energy Data Report. 8 pp + Tables.

Rieberger, K. 1992. Metal Concentrations in Bottom Sediments from Uncontaminated B.C. Lakes. Water Quality Branch, Water Management Division, Ministry of the Environment, Lands and Parks, Province of British Columbia. 332 pp.

Rossmann, R. 1988. Estimation of trace metal storage in Lake St. Clair post settlement sediments using composite samples. J. Great Lakes Res. 14:66-75.

Rowan, D.J and J. Kalff. 1993. Predicting sediment metal concentrations in lakes without point sources. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 66:145-161.

Ruiz, E., F. Romero and G. Besga. 1991. Selective solubilization of heavy metals in torrential river sediments. Toxicol. Environ. Chem. 33:1-6.

Rukavina, N.A., A. Mudroch, and S.R. Joshi. 1990. The geochemistry and sedimentology of the surficial sediments of Lac St-Louis, St. Lawrence River. Sci. Total Environ. 97/98:481-494.

Rule, J.H. 1985. Chemical extractions of heavy metals in sediments as related to metal uptake by grass shrimp (*Palaemonetes pugio*) and clam (*Mercenaria mercenaria*). Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 14:749-757.

Sager, M., R. Pucsko and R. Belocky. 1990. Evaluation of the speciation of inorganic constituents in sediments of the reservoir at Altenwörth of the River Danube. Arch. Hydrobiol. Suppl. 84:37-72.

project. Technical Report 570. San Diego, California. Naval Ocean Systems Center. 46 pp. Saleh, F.Y., T.F. Parkerton, R.V. Lewis, J.H. Huang and K.L. Dickson. 1989. Kinetics of chromium transformations in the environment. Sci. Total Environ. 86:25-41. Salem, H. 1989. The chromium paradox in modern life: introductory address to the symposium. Sci. Total Environ. 86:1-3. 12 13 Salomons, W. 1989. Fate and behavior of trace metals in a shallow eutrophic lake. In: Aquatic 14 Ecotoxicology: Fundamental Concepts and Methodologies, ed(s) A. Boudou and F. Ribeyre. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, Florida, U.S.A. pp. 185-199. Salomons, W. and U. Förstner. 1980. Trace-metal analysis of polluted sediments. Part 2. Evaluation of environmental impact. Environ. Technol. Lett. 1:506-517. Samant, H.S., K.G. Doe and O.C. Vaidya. 1990. An integrated chemical and biological study of the bioavailability of metals in sediments from two contaminated harbours in New Brunswick, Canada. Sci. Total Environ. 96: 253-268. Sanchez, J., M.C. Vaguero and I. Legorburu. 1994. Metal pollution from old lead-zinc mine works: biota and sediment from Oiartzun Valle. Environ. Technol. 15:1069-1076. Santiago, S., R.L. Thomas, and C. Corvi. 1989. Bioavailibility of sediment-associated contaminants from Lake Geneva watershed to natural phytoplankton. In: International Conference Heavy Metals in the Environment, Volume Two, Geneva - September 1989, ed J.P. Vernet. CEP Consultants Ltd., Edinburgh, UK. pp. 270-273. Santiago, S., R.L. Thomas, G. Larbaigt, D. Rossel, M.A. Echeverria, J. Tarradellas, J.L. Loizeau, L McCarthy, C.I. Mayfield and C. Corvi. 1993. Comparative ecotoxicity of suspended sediment in the lower Rhone River using algal fractionation, Microtox® and Daphnia magna bioassays. Hydrobiol. 252:231-244. Santschi, P.H. 1988. Factors controlling the biogeochemical cycles of trace elements in fresh and coastal marine waters as revealed by artificial radioisotopes. Limnol Oceanogr 33: 848-866. Sasson-Brickson, G. and G.A. Burton, Jr. 1991. In situ and laboratory sediment toxicity testing with Ceriodaphnia dubia. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 10:201-207. Schlekat. C.E., B.L. McGee, D.M. Boward, E. Reinharz, D.J. Velinsky and T.L. Wade. 1994. Tidal river sediments in the Washington, DC area. 3. Biological effects associated with sediment contamination. Estuaries 17:334-344. Schreier, H., T.G. Northcote and K. Hall. 1987. Trace metals in fish exposed to asbestos rich sediments. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 35:279-291. Schroeder, D.C. and G.F. Lee. 1975. Potential transformations of chromium in natural waters. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 4:355-365. Schubauer-Berigan, M.K. and G.T. Ankley. 1991. The contribution of ammonia, metals and nonpolar organic compounds to the toxicity of sediment interstitial water from an Illinois River tributary. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 10:925-939. cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

Salazar, M.H. and S.M. Salazar. 1985. Ecological evaluation of organotin-contaminated sediment. Technical

Salazar, M.H., S.C. U'ren and S.A. Steinert. 1980. Sediment bioassays for NAVSTA San Diego dredging

Report 1050. Naval Ocean Systems Center. San Diego, California. Naval Ocean Systems Center: 24 pp.

1 2

3 4 5

6 7 8

9 10

11

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48 49

50

51 52

53

Schults, D.W., S.P. Ferraro, L.M. Smith, F.A. Roberts and C.K. Poindexter. 1992. A comparison of methods for collecting interstitial water for trace organic compounds and metals analyses. Water Res. 26:989-995.

Schulz-Baldes, M., E. Rehm and H. Farke. 1983. Field experiments on the fate of lead and chromium in an intertidal benthic mesocosm, the Bremerhaven Caisson. Mar. Biol. 75:307-318.

Scudlark, J.R., K.M. Conko and T.M. Church. 1994. Atmospheric wet deposition of trace elements to Chesapeake Bay: CBAD study year 1 results. Atmos. Environ. 28:1487-1498.

Simmers, J.W., R.G. Rhett and C.R. Lee. 1984. Application of a wetland animal bioassay for determining toxic metal uptake from dredged material. In: Ecotoxicological Testing for the Marine Environment. Vol. 2, ed(s) G. Persoone, E. Jasper, and C. Claus. State Univer. Chent and Inst. Mar. Scient. Res., Bredene, Belgium. pp. 457-464.

Simon, N.S., C. Demas and W. d'Angelo. 1994. Geochemistry and solid-phase association of chromium in sediment from the Calcasieu River and estuary, Louisiana, U.S.A. Chem. Geol. 116:123-135.

Smith, S.L., D.D. MacDonald, K.A. Keenleyside, C.G. Ingersoll, and L.J. Field. 1996a. A preliminary evaluation of sediment quality assessment values for freshwater ecosystems. J. Great Lakes Res. 22(3): 624-638.

Smith, S.L., D.D. MacDonald, K.A. Keenleyside, and C.L. Gaudet. 1996b. The development and implementation of Canadian Sediment Quality Guidelines. *In* Development and Progress in Sediment Quality Assessment: Rationale, Challenges, Techniques and Strategies. Ecovision World Monograph Series, ed., M. Munawar and G. Dave. SPB Academic Publishing, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. In Press.

Smock, L.A. 1983a. The influence of feeding habits on whole-body metal concentrations in aquatic insects. Freshwater Biol. 13:301-313.

Smock, L.A. 1983b. Relationships between metal concentrations and organism size in aquatic insects. Freshwater Biol. 13:313-321.

Snetsinger, R. 1993. Historical Metal Contamination of Sudbury Area Lake Sediments. M.Sc. Thesis, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario. 98 pp + Appendices.

Stackhouse, R.A. and W.H. Benson. 1988. The influence of humic acid on the toxicity and bioavailability of selected trace metals. Aquat. Toxicol. 13:99-108.

Stackhouse, R.A. and W.H. Benson. 1989. The effect of humic acid on the toxicity and bioavailability of trivalent chromium. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety 17:105-111.

Steinberg, C.E.W. and H. Högel. 1990. Forms of metals in a sediment core of a severely acidified northern Black Forest lake. Chemosphere 21:201-213.

Statistics Canada. 1992. Merchandise Trade Data. Statisitics Canada, International Trade Division, Ottawa.

Statistics Canada. 1993. Merchandise Trade Data. Statistics Canada, International Trade Division, Ottawa.

Statistics Canada. 1994. Merchandise Trade Data. Statisitics Canada, International Trade Division, Ottawa.

Stollenwerk, K.G. and D.B. Grove. 1985. Adsorption and desorption of hexavalent chromium in an alluvial aquifer near Telluride, Colorado. J. Environ. Qual. 14:150-155.

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

Sullivan, J., J. Ball, E. Brick, S. Hausmann, G. Pilarski, and D. Sopcich. 1985. Report of the technical subcommittee on determination of dredge material suitability for in-water disposal. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Report.

Swain, L.G. and R.P. Nijman. 1991. An approach to the development of sediment quality objectives for Burrard Inlet. In: Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual Aquatic Toxicity Workshop: November 5-7, Vancouver, B.C., ed(s) P.F. Chapman, F. Bishay, E. Power, K. Hall, L. Harding, D. McLeay, M. Nassichuk and W. Knapp. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquatic. Sci. 1774:1026-1037.

Swartz, R.C. 1987. Toxicological methods for determining the effects of contaminated sediment on marine organisms. In: Fate and Effects of Sediment-Bound Chemicals in Aquatic Systems, ed(s) K.L. Dickson, A.W. Maki and W.A. Brungs. Pergamon Press, Toronto, Canada. pp. 183-198.

Swartz, R.C., F.A. Cole, D.W. Schults, and W.A. DeBen. 1986. Ecological changes in the Southern California Bight near a large sewage outfall: Benthic conditions in 1980 and 1983. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 31: 1-13.

Swartz, R.C., W.A. DeBen, J.K.P. Jones, J.O. Lamberson and F.A. Cole. 1985. Phoxocephalid amphipod bioassay for marine sediment toxicity. In: Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Assessment: Seventh Symposium, ed(s) R.D. Cardwell, R. Purdy, and R.C. Bahner. ASTM STP 854, Amercian Society for Testing Materials, Philadelphia, PA, U.S.A. pp. 284-307.

Tessier, A. and P.G.C. Campbell. 1987. Partitioning of trace metals in sediment: Relationships with bioavailability. Hydrobiol. 149: 43-52.

Tessier, A., P.G.C. Campbell and M. Bisson. 1979. Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of particulate trace metals. Anal. Chem. 51:844-851.

Tetra Tech. 1985. Commencement Bay nearshore/tide flats remedial investigation. Vol. 3 and 4. Appendices I-V, VI-XV. Bellevue, Washington: Tetra Tech, Inc.: 916 pp.

Thomas, R.L., J.-M. Jaquet and A. Mudroch. 1975. Sedimentation processes and associated changes in surface sediment trace metal concentration in Lake St. Clair, 1970-1974. Proc. International Conference on Heavy Metals in the Environment, Volume II, Part 2:691-708.

Thomas, D.J., R.W. Macdonald and A.B. Cornford. 1982. Arctic Data Compilation and Appraisal, Volume 2. Beaufort Sea: Chemical Oceanography. Canadian Data Report of Hydrography and Ocean Sciences No. 5, Institute of Ocean Sciences, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Sidney, B.C.

Thompson, B.E., S.M. Bay, J.W. Anderson, J.D. Laughlin, D.J. Greenstein and D.T. Tsukada. 1989. Chronic effects of contaminated sediments on the urchin *Lytechinus pictus*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 8:629-637.

Thornley, S. and Y. Hamdy. 1984. An assessment of the bottom fauna and sediments of the Detroit River. Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Toronto, 48 pp.

Tietjen, J.H. and J.J. Lee. 1984. The use of free-living nematodes as a bioassay for estuarine sediments. Mar. Environ. Res. 11: 233-251.

Tong, S.S.C., W.D. Youngs, W.H. Gutenmann, and D.J. Lisk. 1974. Trace metals in Lake Cayuga lake trout (*Salvelinus nanaycush*) in relation to age. J Fish Res Board Can 31: 238-239.

Tsai, C.F., J. Welch, K.Y. Chang, J. Schaeffer and L.E. Cronin. 1979. Bioassay for Baltimore Harbor sediments. Estuaries 2(3): 141-153.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

Tuin, B.J.W. and M. Tels. 1988. Extractability and distribution of six heavy metals in artificially polluted waste site clay soils. In: Heavy metals in the Hydrological Cycle, ed(s) M. Astruc and J.N. Lester. Selper Ltd., London. pp. 51-58.

Ure, A.M., R. Thomas and D. Littlejohn. 1993. Ammonium acetate extracts and their analysis for the speciation of metal ions in soils and sediments. Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 51:65-84.

US EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1977. Guidelines for the Pollutional Classification of Grest Lakes Harbor Sediments. US Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, Chicago, Illinois.

V.-Balogh, K., D.S. Fernandez and J. Salanki. 1988. Heavy metal concentrations of *Lymnaea stagnalis* L. in the environs of Lake Balaton (Hungary). Water Res. 22:1205-1210.

Van Dolah, R.F., D.M. Knott, E.L. Wenner, T.D. Mathews, and M.P. Katuna. 1984. Benthic and sedimentological studies of the ocean dredged material disposal site. Final Report. South Carolina Marine Resources Center. Technical Report No. 59. Charleston, South Carolina: 102 pp.

van Eeden, P.H. and H.J. Schoonbee. 1991. Bio-accumulation of heavy metals by the freshwater crab *Potamonautes warreni* from a polluted wetland. S. Afr. J. Wildl. Res. 21:103-108

Van der Weijden, C.H. and M. Reith. 1982. Chromium(III) - chromium(VI) interconversions in seawater. Mar. Chem. 11:565-572.

Van Weerelt, M., W.C. Pfeiffer, and M. Fiszman. 1984. Uptake and release of <sup>51</sup>Cr(VI) and <sup>51</sup>Cr(III) by barnacles (*Balanus sp.*). Mar. Environ. Res. 11:201-211.

Verbrugge, D.A., R.A. Othoudt, K.R. Grzyb, R.A. Hoke, J.B. Drake, J.F. Giesy and D. Anderson. 1991. Concentrations of inorganic and organic contaminants in sediments of six harbors of the North American Great Lakes. Chemosphere 22:809-820.

Vincze, G., J. Vallner, S. Balazsy, A. Balogh and F. Kiss. 1994. Investigation of chromium(VI) tolerant bacteria. Acta Biologia Hungarica 45:17-23.

Vukadin, I. and N. Odzak. 1991. Fate and distribution of chromium in waters, sediments and mussels of the Kastela Bay. In: Proceedings of the FAO/UNEP/IAEA Consultation Meeting on the Accumulation and Transformation of Chemical Contaminants by Biotic and Abiotic Processes in the Marine Environment, La Spezia, Italy, 24-28 September 1990, ed G.P. Gabrielides. MAP Technical Reports Series No. 59:363-368.

Wainwright, P.F. and B. Humphrey. 1988. Analysis of sediment data from the Beaufort Sea Shorebase Monitoring Program 1982 to 1984. Environmental Studies Research Funds Report No. 090.

Ward, J.A., M.R. Pinza, M.E. Barrows, and J.Q. Word. 1992. Ecological evaluation of proposed dredged material from Wilmington Harbor, North Carolina. Draft Report. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers with the U.S. Department of Energy. Contract De-AC06-76RLO 1830. Pacific Northwest Laboratory. Richland, Washington.

WDE (Washington Department of Ecology). 1989. Interim sediment quality evaluation process for Puget Sound. Olympia, Washington: 21 pp.

Weis, P., J.S. Weis and T. Proctor. 1993. Copper, chromium, and arsenic in estuarine sediments adjacent to wood treated with chromated-copper-arsenate (CCA). Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 36:71-79.

Wentsel, R., A. McIntosh, and W.P. McCafferty. 1978. Emergence of the midge *Chironomus tentans* when exposed to heavy metal contaminated sediment. Hydrobiol. 57:195-196.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

 $\begin{array}{c} 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 9\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 489\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ \end{array}$ 

Wentsel, R., A. McIntosh and V. Anderson. 1977a. Sediment contamination and benthic macroinvertebrate distribution in a metal-impacted lake. Environ. Pollut. 14: 187-193.

Wentsel, R., A. McIntosh, W.P. McCafferty, G. Atchison and V. Anderson. 1977b. Avoidance response of midge larvae (*Chironomus tentans*) to sediments containing heavy metals. Hydrobiol. 55: 171-175.

Westerman, A. 1989. Yearly chronic toxicity comparisons of stream sediments and waters. In: Aquatic Toxicology and Environmental Fate: Eleventh Volume, ASTM STP 1007, ed(s) G.W. Suter II and M.A. Lewis. American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, U.S.A. pp. 204-214.

WHO (World Health Organization). 1988. Chromium, Environmental Health Criteria 61. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 197 pp.

Wilkins, W.D. 1988. St. Lawrence River Environmental Investigations. Volume 3. Sediment quality of the St. Lawrence River near Maitland, 1984. Ontartio Ministry of the Environment, Water Resources Branch, Great Lakes Section. 42 pp. + Appendices.

Willey, J.D. 1976. Geochemistry and environmental implications of the surficial sediments in northern Placentia Bay, Newfoundland. Can. J. Earth Sci. 13:1393-1410.

Willey, J.D. and R.A. Fitzgerald. 1980. Trace metal geochemistry in sediments from the Miramichi Estuary, New Brunswick. Can. J. Earth Sci. 17:254-265.

Williams, T.P., J.M. Bubb and J.N. Lester. 1994. The occurrence and distribution of trace-metals in halophytes. Chemosphere 28:1189-1199.

Windom, H. 1995. An evaluation of ambient sediment quality in the Brunswick Harbor and Savannah River Entrance channel, Georgia. Skidway Institute of Oceanography. Savannah, Georgia. In preparation.

Winger, P.V. and P.J. Lasier. 1995. Sediment toxicity in Savannah Harbor. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 28:357-365.

Winger, P.V., D.P. Schultz and W.W. Johnson. 1990. Environmental contaminant concentrations in biota from the lower Savannah River, Georgia and South Carolina. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 19:101-117.

Winn, R.N., R.F. Van Dolah, A. Frankenburg, and T.W. Kana. 1989. Benthic and sedimentological studies of the ocean dredged material disposal site (ODMDS) for Charleston, South Carolina. Final Report. South Carolina Marine Resource Center. Charleston, South Carolina: 177 pp.

Winner, R.W., M.W. Boesel, and M.P. Farrell. 1980. Insect community structure as an index of heavy-metal pollution in lotic ecosystems. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 37:647-655.

Wittbrodt, P.R. and C.D. Palmer. 1995. Reduction of Cr(VI) in the presence of excess soil fulvic acid. Environ. Sci. Technol. 29:255-263.

Word, J.Q. and A.J. Mearns. 1979. 60-metre control survey off southern California. TM 229. El Segundo, CA: Southern California Coastal Water Research Project: pp. 27-31.

Word, J.Q., J.A. Ward, C.W. Apts, D.L. Woodruff, M.E. Barrows, V.I. Cullinan, J.L. Hyland, and J.F. Campbell. 1988. Confirmatory sediment analyses and solid and suspended particulate phase bioassays on sediment from Oakland Inner Harbor, San Francisco, California. PNL-7480. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830. Richland, Washington. Prepared by Battelle/Marine Science Laboratory. Sequim, Washington.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

Young, D.R., G.V. Alexander and D. McDermott-Ehrlich. 1979. Vessel-related contamination of southern California harbours by copper and other metals. Mar. Poll. Bull. 10:50-56.

cr. SQGs: Draft, Sept 1997

Young, T.C., J.V. DePinto and T.W. Kipp. 1987. Adsorption and desorption of Zn, Cu, and Cr by sediments from the Raisin River (Michigan). J. Great Lakes Res. 13:353-366.

Young, T.C., M.R. Waltman, T.L. Theis, and J.V. DePinto. 1992. Studies of heavy metal sorption by Trenton Channel (Detroit River) sediments. Hydrobiol. 235/236:649-660.

Zwolsman, J.J.G., G.W. Berger and G.T.M. Van Eck. 1993. Sediment accumulation rates, historical input, postdepositional mobility and retention of major elements and trace metals in salt marsh sediments of the Scheldt Estuary, SW Netherlands. Mar. Chem. 44:73-94.

Jurisdiction	Approach	Guideline mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> dw	Level of Protection	Reference
Ontario	SLCA .	26	Lowest Effect Level	Persaud et al. 1992
USEPA Region V	SBA	< 25	Non Polluted	USEPA 1977
Lake Michigan	SBA	37.1	Threshold Level	IJC 1988
St. Lawrence River	SBA	55	No Effect Threshold	EC/MENVIQ 1992
St. Lawrence River	SLCA	55	Minimal Effect Threshold	EC/MENVIQ 1992
Norway	SBA	< 70	Class I	NIVA 1992
USEPA Region V	SBA	25 - 75	Moderately Polluted	USEPA 1977
USEPA Region V	SBA	> 75	Heavily Polluted	USEPA 1977
Netherlands	EqPA	100	Target value; multifunctional sediment quality for the long-term; risk of adverse effects on man and the ecosystem are negligible	Ministry of Housing, Physical Planning and the Environment 1994
Ontario	SLCA	110	Severe Effect Level	Persaud et al. 1992
St. Lawrence River	SLCA	110	Toxic Effect Level	EC/MENVIQ 1992
Norway	SBA	70 - 300	Class II	NIVA 1992
Netherlands	EqPA	380	Limit value; quality level to be realized by the year 2000; determined by an ecotoxicological risk evaluation	Ministry of Housing, Physical Planning and the Environment 1994
Netherlands	EqPA	380	Intervention value; level above which there is serious pollution; urgency of cleanup measures must be determined	Ministry of Housing, Physical Planning and the Environment 1994
Norway	SBA	300 - 1400	Class III	NIVA 1992
Norway	SBA	> 1400	Class IV	NIVA 1992

Appendix 1a: Freshwater sediment quality guidelines for chromium from other jurisdictions

Cr SQGs: Draft, Jul 1997

•

#### Appendix 1b: Marine sediment quality guidelines for chromium from other jurisdictions

Jurisdiction	Approach	Guideline mg∙kg¹ dw	Level of Protection	Reference
Puget Sound, WA	AETA	27	15-min Microtox	Bellar et al. 1986
Puget Sound, WA	ΑΕΤΑ	> 37	48-h Crassostrea	Bellar et al. 1986
OSPAR	EqPA & WEA	5 - 50	Provisional Value; concentration below which no harm to the marine environment is expected	OSPAR 1994
Florida	WEA	52.3	Threshold Effect Level	MacDonald 1996
Puget Sound, WA	ΑΕΤΑ	59	Benthic Community	Bellar et al. 1986
Burrard Inlet, B.C.	SBA & AETA	60	Protection of aquatic biota	Swain and Nijman 1991
Virginia	AETA	68		Alden and Rule 1992
Norway	SBA	< 70	Class I	NIVA 1992
Netheriands	EqPA	100	Target value; multifunctional sediment quality for the long-term; risk of adverse effects on man and the ecosystem are negligible	Ministry of Housing, Physical Planning and the Environment 1994
Puget Sound, WA	AETA	> 130	10-d Rhepoxynius	Beilar et al. 1986
Florida	WEA	160	Probable Effect Level	MacDonald 1996
Norway	SBA	70 - 300	Class II	NIVA 1992
Northern California	ΑΕΤΑ	> 240	Benthic community, 10-d Rhepoxynius	Becker et al. 1989, 1990
California	ΑΕΤΑ	> 240	48-h Mytilus	Becker et al. 1989, 1990
Puget Sound, WA	ΑΕΤΑ	260	Benthic community	Barrick et al. 1988
Puget Sound, WA	AETA	270	10-d Rhepoxynius	Barrick et al. 1988
San Francisco Bay, CA	AETA	280	48-h bivalve larvae	as cited by Long and Morgan 1980
Southern California	AETA	310	Benthic Community	Becker et al. 1989, 1990
California	ΑΕΤΑ	310	Benthic Community	Becker et al. 1989, 1990
San Francisco Bay, CA	AETA	370	10-d Rhepoxynius	as cited by Long and Morgan 1980

Cr SQGs: Draft, Jul 1997

# Appendix 1b (cont): Marine sediment quality guidelines for chromium from other jurisdictions

Jurisdiction	Approach	Guideline mg•kg <sup>-1</sup> dw	Level of Protection	Reference
Netherlands	EqPA	380	Limit value; quality level to be realized by the year 2000; determined by an ecotoxicological risk evaluation	Ministry of Housing, Physical Planning and the Environment 1994
Netherlands	EqPA	380	Intervention value; level above which there is serious pollution; urgency of cleanup measures must be determined	Ministry of Housing, Physical Planning and the Environment 1994
Norway	SBA	300 -1400	Class III	NIVA 1992
Southern California	ΑΕΤΑ	> 820	10-d Rhepoxynius	Becker et al. 1989, 1990
California	ΑΕΤΑ	> 820	10-d Rhepoxynius	Becker et al. 1989, 1990
Norway	SBA	> 1400	Class IV	NIVA 1992

Cr SQGs: Draft, Jul 1997

Chi (m	romium Ig•kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Hit	Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Life Stage
5.5		NC	COA		Low diversity (1.34; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
6		NE	COA		High abundance (169 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
6.25		•	EqPA		Chronic Marine EqP Threshold	Aquatic biota	
7.4	±2.97	NE	COA		High diversity (3.8±0.0212; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
5.2 0 0	±2.4	NG	COA		Low abundance (1036±696 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
р.о А 93	+7 77	NE	COA		High abundance (323±20.2 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
8.93	+2 72	NF			High taxa (5+1 S)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
9.05	±0.636	NE	COA		High abundance (1118±803 N/sg m )	Amphipoda	
9.05	±0.636	NE	COA		High abundance (437±227 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
9.8	±1.7	NE	COA		High abundance (4034±1459 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
9.8	±1.7	NE	COA		High abundance (16306±859 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
<b>Э.</b> 87	±2.15	NC	COA		Moderate abundance (841±258 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
9.91	±2.66	NC	COA	10-d	Toxic (44±15.2% mortality)	Chironomus tentans (midge)	LAR
10		NE	COA		High species richness (2.37; SRUs)	Benthic species	
10	<u> </u>	NE	COA	nyhai	High abundance (20308 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
10.2	±1.84	NE	COA		High abundance (812±183 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
10.2	±1.84	NE	COA	<i>.</i>	High species richness (2.13±0.219; SRUs)	Benthic species	
10.3	±2.08	NC	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (24.2±2.89% mortality)	Daphnia pulex (water flea)	
0.4	10.18			40 L	Low diversity (1.32±0.318; Brillouin's Diversity Index)	Benthic species	
10.0	±2.90			48-n	Significantly toxic (27% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	NEO
113	+3 57	NE	COA	10 d	Figh abundance (4374 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
11 ⊿	+3.69	SG		10-0	Juni abundance (567+453 N/cg m )	Ayaiella azieca (amphipod)	<6 mm
1.6	+3.2	NC	COA	Blockiens	Low species (6.88+3.72.S/sg.m.)	Benthic inventebrates	
1.7	±3.01	NE	COA		High biotic integrity (45.8+4.66: AIBI)	Benthic invertebrates	
1.9	±2.28	NE	COA		High diversity (2.88±0.424: Brillouin's Diversity Index)	Benthic species	
2		NE	COA		High abundance (774±53.7 N/sg.m.)	Ephemeroptera Plecoptera Tricoptera	l .
2.2	±3.04	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (5.8±5.14% mortality)	Hvalella azteca (amphipod)	<6 mm (
2.6	±2.56	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (5.5±5.8% mortality)	Chironomus tentans (midge)	LAR
2.6	±5.3	NE	COA	96-h	Not toxic (2.5±3.1% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	
2.6	±9.25	SG	COA		Moderate abundance (1446±323 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
3	±1.73	NE	COA		High abundance (746±133 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	and a second a second a second se
3.2	±2.37	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (6±5.12% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	NEO
3.3	±4.82	NE	COA		High species (24.3±9.84 S/sq.m.)	Benthic species	
3.4	±2.65	NE	COA	a	High species (22±5.77 S/sq.m.)	Benthic species	
3.5	±2.05	SG	, ÇOA		Moderate diversity (2.05±0.041; Brillouin's Diversity Index)	Benthic species	
3.5	±2.12	NE	COA		High abundance (2843±706 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
3.5.	±2.12	NE	CUA		High abundance (1550±978 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
3.5	. <b>IZ. IZ</b> ∕	NE		C. ALLAN	High abundance (612/±1621 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
3.5	±3.90 +1.87	SG		90-11	Moderate abundance (5164+1504 N/cg m )	Daphnia pulex (water flea)	
3.9	+2 14	NE	COA	10_d	Not toxic (17 2+7 56% montality)	Chironomus tentente (midne)	
4	±1.41	SG	COA	10-0	Low abundance (4+5 66 N/sg m )	Castropoda	LAR
4.2	±3.54	NE	COA	adhira di	High diversity (3,18±0,429: Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
4.2	±3.54	NE	COA		High species richness (3.27±0.509; SRUs)	Benthic species	Constant State
4.4	±5	NC	COA		Low abundance (1297±1052 N/sg.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	1-1-1-1
4.4	±6.21	NC	COA		Low biotic Integrity (32.5±3.42; AIBI)	Benthic invertebrates	er en ser en de
4.6		SG	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (33% mortality)	Chironomus tentans (midge)	LAR
4.6	±3.78	SG	COA		Low abundance (145±145 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
4.6	±3.78	SG	COA		Low abundance (90±109 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
4.6	±3.78	SG	COA		Low abundance (1017±746 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
4.6	±5.05	NC	COA	10-d	Toxic (30±10% mortality)	Chironomus tentans (midge)	LAR
4.8	±2	SG	COA		Low blotic integrity (31±9.9, AIBI)	Benthic invertebrates	1000 ja 11
4.9	±8.7	NC	COA		Low diversity (1.05±0.205; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
4.9 5	±0.7	NC		<u>in an le s</u> i	Low species richness (0.805±0.163; SRUs)	Benthic species	
ย 5		ა <b>ს</b> იი	COA		Low diversity (1.45; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
J 51	<b>15</b> 7	36 NE	COA	10 d	Low species richness (1.36; SRUs)	Benthic species	
ม.1 5 ว	10./	NE SO	COA	D-UI	Not toxic (8.9±3.33% montality)	Chironomus tentans (midge)	LAR
J.∠ 5 ⊿	1J.43	NC			Low abundance (101±142 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
240	+5.33		COA	1328400	Tupical diversity (20, AIBI)	Benthic invertebrates	
<b>ה 4</b> אינ			Construction of the Constr	فسأشارة لمصفحه كماس	A STATE OF A DATE OF A DAT	CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF A	
5.4 5.7	+5 68	SA	COA		I ow species (10.4+2.2 S/cg m)	Denthic species	All Although

#### Appendix Ia. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

тос (%)	AVS (µmoi∙g <sup>-1</sup> )	Unionized Ammonia (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	Sand (%)	Silt (%)_	Clay (%)	Area	Reference
0.25			96	2	1	Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988: et al. 1989
0.25			91	7	2	Detroit River, MI	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1						United States	Bolton et al. 1985
0.715±0.262						St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.25			97	1.5±0.71	0.5±0.71	Toronto Outer Harbour & Eastern Headland, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.25			94.5±2.12	3.5±0.707	1	Toronto Harbour, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.98±0.19						River Adige, Italy	Duzzin et al. 1988
0.98±0.19						River Adige, Italy	Duzzin et al. 1988
0.55±0.028						St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.55±0.0283						St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.41±0.226				•		St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.41±0.226						St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.433±0.318			91.3±5.69	5±2.65	1,33±0.57	Toronto Harbour, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.362±0.27						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.25						Toronto Outer Harbour & Eastern Headland, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.07						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.388±0.275			91.3±4,65	4.75±2.22	1.25±0.5	Toronto Harbour, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.388±0.275			91.3±4.65	4.75±2.22	1.25±0.5	Toronto Harbour, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.2±0.1						Lower Columbia River, WA	Johnson and Norton 1988
0.35±0.335						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.505±0.403						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.25			91	4	1	Toronto Harbour, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.32±0.085						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.418±0.282						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.456±0.249						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.523±0.297						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.66±0.363						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.855±0.148			81±4.24	13.5±3.54	3	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.548±0.276						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.59±0.262						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.627±0.837			•			Lower Columbia River, WA	Johnson and Norton 1988
1.71±1.73			53±32.5	40±31.1	4.5±3.54	St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.82±0.209			81.7±3.21	13.7±2.08	3	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.607±0.235						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.743±0.384						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.73±0.26						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0,595±0.115						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.77±0.269			81±4,24	14±2.83	3±2.83	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.77±0,269			81±4.24	14±2.83	3±2.83	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.77±0.269			81±4.24	14±2.83	3±2.83	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al, 1989
0.788±0.943						Lower Columbia River, WA	Johnson and Norton 1988
0.598±0.116						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.68±0.205						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.625±0.53			88±2.83	6±7.07	1±1.41	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.743±0.288			80,5±6,69	13.2±2,32	3.83±2.56	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.743±0.288			80.5±6.69	13.2±2.32	3.83±2.56	. St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.531±0.247						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.533±0.314						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.57						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.634±0.362			82.2±8.38	10.4±5.64	3.4±3.36	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.634±0.362			82.2±8.38	10.4±5.64	3.4±3.36	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.634±0.362			82.2±8.38	10.4±5.64	3.4±3.36	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.867±0.325						Trinity River. TX	Dickson et al. 1989
7.67±0.085						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.25			96	2	1	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.25			96	2	1	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988: et al. 1989
0.25			90	1		St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988 et al. 1989
0.25			90	1		St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
0.584±0.288				•		Trinity River TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.6±0.356			82.2+8.38	10 6+5 68	3 4+3 36	St Clair River ON	Jaagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
0.56		•••••			2. 120.00	Trinity River TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.681±0.311							Dickson et al. 1989
0.611+0.284						Trinity River, TY	Dickson et al 1980
0.75±0.273						Trinity River TY	Dickeon at al 1989
						THE PARTY PARTY AND A	Cienceri și di. 1000

.

Appendix IIa - 2

Chi (m	romium ıg∙kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Analysis Test Hit Type Type		Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Life Species Stage
16		NE	COA		High abundance (1318 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda
16		NE	COA		High abundance (199 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda
16.1	±4.93	NE	COA		High biotic integrity (45.7±3.9; AIBI)	Benthic invertebrates
16.4	±16.4	NE	COA		High abundance (13.7±2.31 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera
16.4	±7.15	NE	COA		High abundance (9774±1103 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
16,5	±6.36	SG	COA		Moderate abundance (263±94 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda
17		NC	COA		Low diversity (1.32±0.0212; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species
17	_±2.18	NC	COA	10-d	Toxic (22.3±4.03% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod) <6 mm
17	±3.46	NE	· COA		High abundance (3696±837 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda
17	±3.46	NC	COA		Moderate abundance (11319±1993 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
17.1	±6.97	NE	COA		High abundance (9751±975 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
17.2	±6.84	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (2.2±4.64% mortaltiy)	Chironomus tentans (midge) LAR
17.2	±7.41	NC	COA		Low abundance (0 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda
17.2	±7.41	NC	- COA		Low abundance (147±135 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
17.3	±7,22	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (15±5.22% mortaltiy)	Chironomus tentans (midge)
17.4	±7.55	NE	COA		High biotic integrity (46±5.29; AIBI)	Benthic invertebrates
17.5	±2.12	NE	COA		High abundance (2605±109 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda
17.5	±3.87	NC	COA		Moderate abundance (876±277 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera
17.6	±13	NE	COA		High abundance (154±30.2 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
17.6	±4.16	SG	COA		Moderate abundance (6218±804 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
17.6	±5.85	NE	COA	. 48-h	Not significantly toxic (0.7±2.21% mortality)	Chironomus tentans (midge)
17.6	±7.44	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (2.22±4.66% mortaltiy)	Daphnia magna (water flea)
17.8	±0.502	NC	COA	S. 11 - 20.	Low biotic integrity (35.3±3.06; AIBI)	Benthic invertebrates
18	an a	NE	COA		High abundance (414 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda
18		NE	COA		High abundance (888 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates .
18	±4.58	SG	COA		Low abundance (108±141 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda
18.1	±2.69	SG	COA		Low species (8.5±2.12 S/sq.m.)	Benthic species
18.1	±2.85	NC	COA		Low abundance (1642±1449 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae ·
18.4	±7.27	NE,	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (5.5±6.26% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod) <6 mm
_ <u>19</u>		NC	COA	al e col e cara Arra de Roches	Low blotic integrity (7.7; MBI)	MacroInvertebrates
19	le in the second	NE	COA	aikii ka me	High abundance (483 N/sq m.)	Amphipoda
19		NE	COA	. Aliki ki	High abundance (1930 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera
19		NC	COA		Low abundance (0 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera
19	±2.45	NC	COA		Low abundance (433/±//3 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
19	±4	NC	COA		Low abundance (40±17 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae
19.1	±7.66	NE	COA	(1). (1). (1). (1). (1). (1). (1). (1).	High blotic integrity (46.2±3.46; AIBI)	Benthic species
19.2	±2.1/ ***	<u>୪</u> ଜ୍ଞ ୦୦	COA		Low abundance (325±239 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda
19.3	10.577	5G NF	COA	40.4	Moderate abundance (1340±484 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda
19.3	10.90	NE	CUA	יז <b>ר-</b> מ	Not toxic (3.3±4.92% mortality)	Chironomus tentaris (midge)
19.5	±0.707	NE	COA		High abundance (2375±542 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera
19.5	±0.707	NE	CUA		High abundance (5646±3216 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
19.0	17.23				High diversity (2.95±0.612; Brillouin's Diversity Index)	Benthic species
20					High species fictiness (1.97; SRUs)	Benthic species
20	0.000.880.9970			10100 A.T.W	High abundance (12013 N/SQ.III.)	
50			COA		High abundance (19240 N/SQ.III.)	Chimponidae
5000		NE	COA		High abundance (400 N/cd m)	Castionada
20			COV		High abundance (80 N/com)	Amphinoda
20	an a	SC SC	COA	anna an	Low divorsity (1.47: Brillowick Divorsity Index)	Ampripoda
20	JE 51	80		40 h	Significantly (1.47, Brillouin's Diversity Index)	Benthic species
20 6	10.810		COA	40-11	High abundance (16010+2977 N/ca m )	Daphnia magna (water liea) NEO
20.0	10.049	SC	COA		I ow biotic integrity (26: AIDI)	Benthic invertebrates
20.0	10.043	NE.			High species richness (2.0540.320) SPIIa	Bentinc inventebrates
20.3		NE	COA	96.h	Not toxin (12 545 4% mortality)	Dermino species
21.3	+2 12		COA	30-11	High abundance (60+32 5 Migg m )	Enhometerica (mayily)
21.0	-ce, 12		COA		High abundance (09132,5 WSQ.III.)	Epriemeioptera, Piecoptera, Incoptera
20 20	+13 5				Ingit availuative (3177 19/50.00202 cz m.)	Dentino invenebiates
22 1	±10.0		COA		Luw species (11.211.94 3/0.00203 SQ.M.)	Benunic species
44.4 วว	±12	NC	COA		Low diversity (1.0±0.220; Brillouin's Diversity Index)	Benthic species
<b>∡</b> 3 23		NO	COA		Low unversity (1.22; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species
23	TB 35	140			Luw species fictiness (U.89; SKUS)	Benthic species
20.0	-10.00 -+1 <i>1</i>			28.4	Not algorizable toxin (C 4210 000/	benutic species
20.9	15 De		COA	20-U	INOT SIGNICATING TOXIC (0.43±8.89% MORANIN)	Hyaielia azteca (amphipod)
24.0	10.∠0		COA		rign species richness (2.05±0.385; SRUs)	Benthic species
_ <b>~_</b> 2	الله ومن بشكلة المحمد المسلما	NE	್ಷಾರಗಡಿಯಿಂ		NOT POlIUTED - USEPA Region 5 Harbour Classification	$(\mathbf{F}_{i})$

#### Appendix Ia. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

.

тос	AVS	Unionized Ammonia	Sand	Silt	Clay	Area	Reference
(%)	(µmol·g⁻¹)	(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	(%)	(%)		
0.25			94	۵	1	Toronto Outer Harbour	Jagumagi 1099; et al. 1090
0.25			94	4	1	Toronto Outer Harbour	laagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
0 679+0 313				•	•		Dickson et al. 1989
1 64+2 13			70+30.4	24 3+25 8	4 33+4 04	Renetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	langumagi 1088: et al. 1080
1.03+0.081			10130.4	24.3123.0	-4.33 <u>14</u> .04	Triple Pare TY	Dialyan at at 1988, et al. 1989
0 5+0 354			76+11 3	13 5+3 54	6+4.24	St. Clair Prior, ON	
0.25			69 E+10 C	10.010.04	14 E+4 0E	Onla illa Harbaur, Laka Ontaria, Ohl	Jaagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
0.23			00.5110,0	1913.00	11.014.90		Distance of the second se
1 47-0 551			007.05	447.06	<u>^</u>	Charles Diver, 1X	Dickson et al. 1989
1.47±0.551			02./10.0	14/19.0	2	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.56340.301			02.710.0	14,719.0	2	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
0.50210.290						Trick River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.03010.309			04710.04	0.07.0.00		Frinkly River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.25			94.7±2.31	3.67±2.69	1	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.25			94.7±2.31	3.67±2,89	1	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.018±0.353						Trinity River, 1X	Dickson et al. 1989
0.023±0.37						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
1.8±0.424			76±2.83	20±5.66	3.5±2.12	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1./±0.424	0.07.0.0.1		/1.3±13.6	24.3±11.6	4.25±2.87	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.4/±0.2/	9.07±2.94		32.8±5.56	35.6±13	31.7±9.67	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992
U.838±0.221						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.494±0.188						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.56±0.206						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.51±0.278						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
2			82	9	2	Bay of Quinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2			82	9	2	Bay of Quinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.77±0.493			69±15.7	27±12.5	4±3.46	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.655±0.445						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
1.71±0.438			72±14 4	24±13.5	3.43±2.3	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.677±0.358						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
						Kishwaukee River Basin, IL	IEPA 1988a
0.25			83	7	2	Niagara River, NY	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.25			83	7	2	Niagara River, NY	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.6±0.707			82.5±12	14.5±13.4	2	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.9±0,271			64±13	31±12.3	4.5±2.65	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.435±0.377			58.3±14.2	28.8±12.3	11.5±3.11	Oakville Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.615±0.269						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
2.04±0.391			62.2±11.9	32.2±11	4.2±2.39	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.9±0.755			66.7±21.1	28±20.1	2.67±0.57	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.66±0.267						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
2.3±0.424			54.5±0.70	39.5±3.54	3	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.3±2.12			65±2.83	25±1.41	5	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.661±0.26						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.25						Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.6			55	37	3	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988: et al. 1989
2.6			55	37	3	St. Clair River, ON	Jaagumad 1988: et al. 1989
4.8			63	26	5	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988: et al. 1989
4.8			· 63	26	5	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988 et al. 1989
3.2			77	17	3	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaanumani 1988; et al. 1989
0.97					-	Trinity River TX	Dickson et al. 1989
1.06±0.7						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.505±0.12						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.885±0.12						Trinity River TX	Dickson et al. 1989
2.45±3.57			· ·			St Man/e Phar ON	lasoumed 1088; et al. 1080
						Duluth-Superior Harbor MNLM/	Bahnick et al. 1981
3.45±1.91			56 5+9 10	31+7 07	6+1 41	Midland Bay Lake Huron ON	laanumani 1088: ot al. 1090
.3			30	52	511.91	Oaloille Harbour Lako Orforio ON	laanumaal 1000, et di. 1909
	13 4+8 65	W1 - 84 - 1 - 1 - 1	24 5+13 9	43 3+16 9	37 7+0 24	Calveston Bay, TY	Corr 1002
1 658+0 122			24.0110.0	-0.0±10.8	JZ.210.31	Convesion Bay, TA	Call 1992
0.00010.122			60	26	~		Dickson et al. 1989
5.1 7.1			50	30	1	Midiano Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
4. I 1 57740 330			30	36	1	Midiand Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.012IU.229			40 7.40 5	00 7 . 0		Innity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.4/ IU.200			42./±18.3	23./±21.6	33.7±8.71		Ingersoil 1992
J.7 I IU. 404			30.0±15.6	40.8±9,46	12.8±1.89	Oakville Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
		···· br · ·····				United States	USEPA 1977 (As cited in SAIC 199

Appendix IIa - 4

Ch (m	romium 1g·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Hit	Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Life Species Stage
25 25		+ NE	SBA COA		OMOE Open Water Disposal Criteria High abundance (33 N/sg m )	Enhemerontera Diecontera Tricontera
25.4	±8.35	SG	COA		Low abundance (2536±1062 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
25.5	±8.43	NE	COA		High species (20.6±4.28 S/sq.m.)	Benthic species
26		• IC	SLCA		OMOE Provincial SOGs - Lowest Effect Level	
26.1	±1.41 ±10.2	NE	COA		High abundance (67±8.49 N/sq.m.) High blotic Integrity (45.6±3.32: AIBI)	Amphipoda Benthic invertebrates
26.2		SG	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (22% mortaltly)	Chironomus tentans (midge)
26.2	±9.69	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (5.8±7.93% mortality)	Chironomus tentans (midge) LAR
26.5	±14.8	NE	COA		High abundance (2107±1030 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae
26.0	±10.0	SG	COA		Low abundance (U N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera Benthic species
26.7	±19.2	NE	COA		High diversity (3.16±0.355; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species
26.7	±19.8	NC	COA		Low abundance (44.3±19.2 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae
26.7	±19.8	NC	COA		Low abundance (5.75±6.45 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda
26.7	±19,8	SG	COA	aryar yanaya en sansisisi	Low bintin integrity (38: AIB)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera
27.3		NC	COA		Low abundance (7839 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
27.3		NE	COA		High abundance (1484 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera
27.3	±10.3	SG	COA		Low abundance (3569±2188 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
27.3	±2.25	NC.	COA		Moderate abundance (28916±3965 N/sq.m.)	Benthic Invertebrates
27.5		NC	COA		Low abundance (2937 N/sq.m.)	Benthic species
27.6	±0.354	NC	COA	a da anna an stairte Carlana an stairte	Moderate abundance (1320±107 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae
27.6	±0.354	NE	COA		High abundance (71±25.5 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda
27.7	±15.5	SG	COA		Low abundance (4±3.27 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda
27.9	±40.7	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (8 18+8 74% mortality)	Amphipoda Chironomus tentans (midae)
28	±14.2	SG	COA		Low abundance (1611±794 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
28.3	±1.34	NC	COA	16-22-d	Significantly toxic (33.3% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea) <24 h
28.7	±9.1	NE	COA		High diversity (2.75±0.298; Brillouin's Diversity Index)	Benthic species
28.8	±10.8 to 7 +14	NE	COA (1)	19, 29, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 1	Low blotic integrity (37±2.45; AIBI)	Freshwater fish
29	±14	NĖ	COA		High taxa (13.4±2.88 S)	Benthic invertebrates
29	±14	NE	COA		High diversity (0.81±0.07 Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species
29	±14	NE	COA	i nijih protin (dava nagari	High abundance (195±155 N/sq.m.)	Emphemeroptera
29.1	.±4.05	NC	COA	10 d	Low abundance (18±16.4 N/sq.m.)	Hemiptera
29.2	±9.07	NE	COA	10-0	High taxa (16.3±4.61 S)	Chironomus tentans (midge) LAR
29.3	±2.52	SG	COA		Moderate abundance (10.3±4.04 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera
29.6	±18.1	SG	COA		Low species richness (1.06±0.189; SRUs)	Benthic species
29.7	±21.5	NC	COA		Low species richness (1.64±0.24; SRUs)	Benthic species
29.7 29.7	±23.2 ±40.3	NE	COA	48-h	Not toxic (4.82+5.91% mortality)	Benthic species
29,7	±40.3	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (4±4.24% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)
30	duizes:	NE	COA		High abundance (720 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae
30		NE	COA		High abundance (582 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera
30,3	±14 +30.4	NE	COA		High diversity (2.31±0.177; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species
30.9	±8.22	NE	COA	28-d FT	Not significantly toxic (12.8±7.22% mortality)	Hvalella azteca (amphipod) <2 mm
30.9	±8.22	NE	COA	28-d FT	Not significantly toxic (2±2.09% mortality)	Oncorhynchus mykiss (rainbow trout) 5 d
31	±12.9	SG	COA		Low abundance (53±33.9 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
31.2	±4.74	NC .	COA	28-d FT	Significantly toxic (11.5±4.95% mature males)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod) <2 mm
31.4	±45	NE	COA		High blotic Integrity (46±5 92: AIRI)	Epnemeroptera, Piecoptera, Tricoptera
31.5	±17.7	NE	COA		High abundance (6668±2109 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae
31.5	±17.7	NE	COA	aan oo ahaa ka badhadhadhadhadhadhadhadhadhadhadhadhadha	High abundance (9094±2546 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates
31.5	±7.5	NE	COA	14-d FT	Not toxic (22.8±9.95% mortality)	Chironomus riparius (midge) <24 h
31.5 31.5	±1.5 ±7.5	NE	COA	16-22-d 16-22-d	Not toxic (10.5±1.37 offspring/brood; reproduction)	Daphnia magna (water flea) <24 h
31.5	±7.5	NE	COA	16-22-d	Not toxic (33.4±3.21 offspring/brood: reproduction)	Daphrila magna (water flea) <24 h
31.6	±5,23	NC	COA		Low abundance (142±139 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae
31.7	±9,28	NE	COA	28-d FT	Not significantly toxic (26.5±2.38% mature males)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod) <2 mm
32.2	±8.13	SG	COA		Low abundance (5.4±8.05 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda

#### Appendix la. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

.

		Unionized					
тос	AVS	Ammonia	Sand	Silt	Clay	Area	Reference
(%)	(umol·a <sup>-1</sup> )	(ma·L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	(%)	(%)		
<u> </u>	(pinorg /	<u></u>	(,				
						Ontario	Fitchko 1989
1			42	43	13	Oakville Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.587±0.217						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
0.61±0.142						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
1						Ontario	Persaud et al. 1992
1.15±0.212			30,5±16,3	46,5±4,95	5 13,5±0,70	) Oakville Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988: et al. 1989
0.617±0.129						Trinity River, TX	Dickeon et al 1989
0.59						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
	•					Trinity River TX	Dickson et al. 1969
0.875±0.884			78+22.6	15+15.6	3 5+3 54	Toronto Outer Harbour	bickson et al. 1989
0.708±0.636			46 4+25 8	39 6+23 7	11 4+2 7		Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.654±0.144				00.0110.1	11. 444.7	Trinity Piver, TY	Dialegumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.05±1.88			57 7+28 0	30+20 1	0+7 55	Detroit Phone MI	Dickson et al. 1989
0 538+0 575			70+45 4	10 6+21 0	7 76+43 6	Det Melles Lesbour 1 du Outuin Obl	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.538+0.575			72143.4	19,0101.0	7.7513.5	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontano, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.538+0.575			/2143.4 70:45.4	19.5±31.8	7.70±13.0	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.00010.070			72±45.4	19.5±31.8	/./5±13.5	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.04	140		74			Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
1.41	149 µg/g		74	12	14	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.41	149 µg/g		74	12	14	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
0.662±0.132						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
3.19±3.02	328±192 µg/g		75.7±6.03	11.7±5.51	12.7±0.58	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
5.03						St. Marys River, ON	Pope 1990
5.03						St. Marys River, ON	Pope 1990
1.56±0.205	348±281 µg/g		74.5±0.70	12	13.5±0.70	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.56±0.205	348±281 µg/g		74.5±0.70	12	13,5±1	Upper Clark Fork River MT	Ingersoli et al. 1992b
0.92±0.664			38.6±25.4	46.6±23.2	12.3±2.69	Oakville Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	laagumagi 1988: et al. 1989
1.7	547 µg/g		75	12	13	Upper Clark Fork River MT	Indersoll et al. 1992b
0.422±0.214			-			Trinity River TX	Dickson et al. 1992
0.93±0.616			37 6+24 4	45 9+21 A	··· 3+2 /0	Oshdile Harbour, Lake Optaria, ON	
1.31±0.141	197 <del>+6</del> 7 9 uo/	0.006+0.003	78+5.66	90.0121.9	13-1 /1	Lispot Clark Fork Prior MT	
0 656+0 136		0.00010.000	1010.00	519.29	1021.41	Triple Clark Fork River, M1	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
			0+5 57			Kinhugudung Diver Disele K	Dickson et al. 1989
2 12+0 50			913.37			Kishwaukee River Basin, IL	IEPA 1988a
2 12:0.55						Keweenaw waterway, MI	Malueg et al. 1984a
2.12±0.39						Keweenaw Waterway, MI	Malueg et al. 1984a
2.12±0.59						Keweenaw Waterway, MI	Malueg et al. 1984a
2.12±0.59				_		Keweenaw Waterway, MI	Malueg et al. 1984a
4.12±3.09	248±224 µg/g		69.8±12.8	15.8±9.3	14.5±3.7	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
0.63						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
			16±16.6			Kishwaukee River Basin, IL	IEPA 1988a
1.4±0,361			19±8	59.3±11.4	14.3±0.57	Oakville Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.02±0.725		•	37.8±29.6	47.2±27.3	12.4±2.97	Oakville Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.52±3.38			44.7±37.6	45±33.2	7.33±5.03	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.28±1.13			63.2±34.3	26±24.5	7.4±9.69	Toronto Outer Harbour	Jaanumani 1988; et al. 1989
D.465±0.202							Dickson et al. 1989
0.465±0.202	•					Trinity River TX	Dickson et al. 1989
1,9			43	44	8	Detroit River MI	Jacoumani 1088: of al. 1080
1.9			43	44	a	Detroit River, MI	Jaagumagi 1966, et al. 1969
1.18±0.49			27 7+16	54 4+14 5	12 044 77	Oplatilla Hathaut, Laka Optada, Ott	
3 63+4 77			51-50.0	A0+45 2	7+7 07	Danatana Ularbarra Lake Unitario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2 81+2 28	311+168	0.01140.008	72 248 07	40140.3	/1/.0/	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2 81+2 28	311±168 µg/ (	0.01110.000	72.210.07	14.01/.00	13±0.707	Upper Clark Fork River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
005-0 247	311±108 µg/ (	0.009±0.007	72.2±8.07	14.8±7.85	13±0.707	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
0.90JEU.24/	22111.2		8.03±6.42	58.6±14.3	33.4±7.83	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992
1.313.00	2//±382 µg/ (	0.064±0.054	63.5±16.3	20±11.3	16.5±4.95	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.23±3.25	:					St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.416±0.202	Υ.					Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
.58±1.87			78.5±6.36	14.5±10.6	2	Niagara River, NY	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
.58±1.87			78.5±6.36	14.5±10.6	2	Niagara River, NY	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
.5±2.63	261±195 µg/ (	0.039 <b>±0</b> .06	68.8±11	17±8.85	14.2±2.93	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	ingersoll et al 1992b
.5±2.63	261±195 µg/ (	0.038±0.065	68.8±11	17±8.85	14.2±2.93	Upper Clark Fork River MT	ingersoll et al. 1992b
.5±2.63	261±195 µg/ (	0.038±0.065	68.8±11	17±8.85	14.2±2.93	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
.5±2.63	261±195 µg/ 0	0.038±0.065	68,8±11	17±8.85	14.2±2.93	Upper Clark Fork River MT	Incersoll et al. 1992b
.47±0.665	415±548 µg/g	·	62±15.6	24.5±10.6	14.5±4.95	Milltown Reservoir MT	Indereoil of al 1002b
.09±2.53	252±121 µg/ 0	).007±0.001	71,5±9 15	15.5+8 89	13±0 816	Upper Clark Fork Rher MT	Ingereoli et al. 19920
.85±2.77	203±152 ua/a	3	67.6+11 B	18+9 51	14 4+3 21	Linner Clark Fork Diver, MT	ingersoli et al. 1992D
						oppor Giain FUIN RIVER, MI	ingersoli et al. 1992b

22.3         46.2         NE         COA         High abundance (55/2173 Nkg, m)         Gastopods           23.3         80.0         SG         COA         Low bundance (55/2173 Nkg, m)         Ephemeroptics, Precepters, Tricopters           23.3         81.00         SG         COA         Low bundance (55/2173 Nkg, m)         Ephemeroptics, Precepters, Tricopters           23.5         81.07         COA         Low bundance (55/2173 Nkg, m)         Charmonics           23.5         81.07         COA         Low bundance (53/2173 Nkg, m)         Charmonics           23.5         81.67         COA         Lew bundance (705161 Nkg, m)         Charmonics         Charmonics           23.5         81.67         COA         11.67         Not bold (23.62 Nkg, m)         Charmonics         Charmonics           23.5         81.67         COA         Hoh boundance (23.56.11 Nkg, m)         Manholics         Charmonics         Charmonics           23.5         81.67         COA         Hoh boundance (23.56.11 Nkg, m)         Manholics         Charmonics         Charmonics           23.6         COA         COA         Hoh boundance (23.56.11 Nkg, m)         Manholics         Charmonics         Charmonics           23.7         11.7         COA         CoA<	Ch (n	romlum ıg∙kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Hit	Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Life Stage
32.3         19.00         SG         COA         Low bundance (52.325.3 Neg.m.)         Ephenetoplang, Hesperger, Troppdate           32.5         41.8         NC         COA         Low bundance (0 Nag.m.)         Categoria           32.5         41.8         NC         COA         Low bundance (0 Nag.m.)         Categoria         Categor	32.3	±45.2	NE	COA		High abundance (567±173 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	,
3.3.8         1.8.6         1.8.6         1.9.6         Construction         Programmed Regress (74) 200, 400, 400, 400, 400, 400, 400, 400,	32.3	±8.06	SG	COA		Low abundance (55.2±32.3 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
125         11/2         Nor         Construction         Temporal field           131         14/000         NE         COA         1.15 (2000)         Depring mayner (note field)         2.4 (1)           131         14/000         NE         COA         1.15 (2000)         Media State (2000)         Depring mayner (note field)         2.4 (1)           135         44.4         NE         COA         1.04         Network (2014)         Depring mayner (note field)         2.4 (1)           135         44.4         NE         COA         1.04         Network (2014)         Depring mayner (note field)         2.4 (1)           136         2.48         NE         COA         Hold         Network (21340 C21 (Sharan (2140))         Depring mayner (2140)         Depring (2140	32.5	±13.6	NE	COA		High Diotic Integrity (5.18±0./13; MBI)	Macroinvertebrates Costronada	
31. 1403         NC         COA         Lev Brandance (33:12 keys m)         Camponia         2.3.1           33.5         14.64         NE         COA         1.5.2         Mark (11)         Captopics         2.3.1           33.5         14.64         NE         COA         1.5.2         Mark (11)         Captopics         2.3.1           33.5         84.76         NC         COA         Lev abundance (11:3:162 keys m)         Gastropods         47 mm           33.7         15.7         SG         COA         How abundance (13:3:161 Narg, m)         Amphipods         Mark (11)         Mark (11) <td>32.6</td> <td>+14.2</td> <td>NE</td> <td>COA</td> <td></td> <td>High blotic integrity (47 9+4 36' AIBI)</td> <td>Gastropoda Freshwater fish</td> <td></td>	32.6	+14.2	NE	COA		High blotic integrity (47 9+4 36' AIBI)	Gastropoda Freshwater fish	
31.1         19.00         NE         COA         16.2-24         MA supplicating block (Marching)         Deptone manual events fails         Statis           33.5         54.44.0         NE         COA         11-94 abundance (D13.64.02 Nump.)         Characomplex         -36           33.5         54.8.4         Sec         COA         11-94 abundance (D13.64.02 Nump.)         Characomplex         -37           33.6         24.8         NE         COA         High abundance (D13.64.02 Nump.)         Characomplex         Amplipoda           34.1         15.0         NE         COA         High abundance (D13.64.01 Nump.)         Amplipoda         LART           34.1         15.1         NE         COA         High abundance (D13.02.01 Nump.)         Benthics protein	33,1	±10.8	NC	COA		Low abundance (533±162 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
B.5. 5 / 14/3         NE         COA         Lega baumana (705100 km m)         Cestingeoids         Cestingeoids           35.5         447.6         NE         COA         Low abundance (11.3.8.6.2 Nay m.)         Castropoids         Strong           35.5         447.6         NE         COA         Low abundance (11.3.8.6.2 Nay m.)         Castropoids         Strong         Strong         Castropoids         Strong         Castropoids         Strong         Castropoids         Strong         Castropoids         Strong         Castropoids         Strong         Castropoids         Castropoi	33,1	±9,09	NE	COA	16-22-d	Not significantly toxic (0% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water fiea)	<24 h
33.5         47.6         NE         COA         10-0         Not table (32.65 M) and table)         Mysike aztes (ampiped)         dis many           33.6         2.4.8         NE         COA         High abundance (33.48.25 M/sq.m.)         Chironomates         Chironomates           33.7         13.7         SC         COA         High abundance (33.48.25 M/sq.m.)         Ampipeda           33.6         2.4.8         NE         COA         High abundance (33.48.25 M/sq.m.)         High abundance (33.48.76 M/sq.m.)         High abundance (33.46.70 M/sq.m.)         High abundance (34.46.70 M/sq.m.)         High abundance (34.46.70 M/sq.m.)         Chironomates         CAT           34.8         4.8         NE         COA         Low Bundance (34.16.70 M/sq.m.)         High abundance (34.16.70 M/sq.m.)         Chironomates         CaT           34.8         4.8         NE         COA         Hogh abundance (74.14.70 M/sq.m.)         Chironomates         CaT           34.8 </td <td>33.5</td> <td>±14.8</td> <td>NE</td> <td>COA</td> <td></td> <td>High abundance (705±196 N/sq.m.)</td> <td>Gastropoda</td> <td></td>	33.5	±14.8	NE	COA		High abundance (705±196 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
3.3.5         21.6         SG         CDA         Low Bundance (13.4267) Ning m)         Charomade           3.7.7         13.7         SG         CDA         High Bundance (23.4267) Ning m)         Amphipoda           3.7.7         13.7         SG         CDA         High Bundance (23.4267) Ning m)         High Bundance (23.4267) Ning m)         Bertific Street	33.5	±47.6	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (9±3.66% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	<6 mm
0.37         41.3         To So         Cook         Project and status (particular (particular)         Life fragment           64         111         IFC         Cook         14.1         Fig. 200         Fig. 200         Fig. 200         Fig. 200         Fig. 200         Fig	33.5	±8.84	SG	COA		Low abundance (11.3±8.62 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
34         Fig. Cook         14 FT         Significantly table (24) keesal maturing         Typeling arrive demokadig         LAT           34         113         LE         COA         High shundbare (665/20 M/kgm)         Bertile species         Chicomoniana           34         151         LE         COA         High shundbare (665/20 M/kgm)         Bertile species         Chicomoniana           34         452         LE         COA         High shundbare (665/20 M/kgm)         Bertile species         Chicomoniana           34         453         NE         COA         Low shundbare (664/20 M/kgm)         Bertile species         Chicomoniana         Chicomoniana <t< td=""><td>33.7</td><td>±13.7</td><td>SG</td><td>COA</td><td></td><td>Moderate abundance (24.3+6.11 N/sq.m.)</td><td>Amphipoda</td><td></td></t<>	33.7	±13.7	SG	COA		Moderate abundance (24.3+6.11 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
94         113.1         NE         CDA         Piph deventy (3 120 0721; Shannon Wearer Indea)         Denths species           34         212         NE         CDA         High abundance (388246 Mexm.)         Denths species           34         450         NE         CDA         High has (16 822 5)         Denths species           341         4472         NC         CDA         Low abundance (38426 Mexm.)         Hernip task           341         4474         NC         CDA         Low abundance (38420 Nexm.)         Hernip task           342         448         NE         COA         Low abundance (38426 Nexm.)         Hernip task         Hernip task           343         456         NE         COA         24-FT         Hernip task         Mexman task         Zimm           345         SD         COA         24-FT         Mernip task         Mexman task         Zimm           345         MC         COA         14-917         Simman task         Zimm         Charcomat bank         Mexico task           345         MC         COA         14-917         Simman task         Charcomat bank         Cark           345         MC         COA         Low abundance (0122071 Negm.)         Charomat ba	34		NC	COA	14-d FT	Significantly toxic (2.8% sexual maturity)	Hvalelia azteca (amphipod)	IAR
34         4.212         NE         COA         High shundance (362476 Nive m.)         Chirorandas           34         4.25         NE         COA         High tox (16.82.2)         Benthe invertebrates           34         4.72         NO         COA         Low abundance (3.84.01 Nag m.)         Benthe invertebrates           34.1         4.74         NO         COA         Low abundance (3.84.02 Nag m.)         Amphipoda           34.3         4.74         NO         COA         Low abundance (1.84.02 Nag m.)         Amphipoda           34.3         4.72         NE         COA         Low abundance (1.84.02 Nag m.)         Amphipoda           34.5         SO         COA         14.24 H.8         NC 64.7         Stefficiently insci (275 minoritaliy)         Chirorandale insci (10.01 minoritaliy)         Chirorandale insci (10.01 minoritaliy)         24 minoritaliy	34	±13.1	NE	COA		High diversity (3.12±0.0721; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
34         6.2.12         NE         COA         High isourdance (68625/1530 M/sq.m.)         Berthe species           34.1         44.72         NO         COA         Low abundance (16.42 C)         Berthe species           34.1         44.74         NO         COA         Low abundance (16.42 C) Sign (1)         Ampipota           34.2         44.8         NE         COA         24.6 FT         Net toxic (5.42.5)         Methods (6.62.6)           34.3         6.26         COA         24.6 FT         Net toxic (24.10.4 W mature males)         Hyaelia actiona (molecy)         21 mm           34.5         S.G         COA         24.6 FT         Significantly toxic (75.5 W motalsy)         Chicroantidas         22 mm           34.5         NE         COA         14.6 FT Significantly toxic (75.5 W motalsy)         Chicroantidas         24.1 High abundance (24.15 M/sq.m.)         Departure margin (water fica.)         24.1 High abundance (24.15 M/sq.m.)           34.9         A.51         NE         COA         High abundance (24.15 M/sq.m.)         Chicroantidas         Mathodas           35         NE         COA         High abundance (24.15 M/sq.m.)         Chicroantidas         Mathodas         24.1 High abundance (24.16 M/sq.m.)         Chicroantidas         Mathodas         24.1 High abundance	34	±15	NE	COA		High abundance (368±76.9 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
34         ab.33         Nic         COA         High tax (15.12.S)         Berthin species           34.1         s4.74         NC         COA         Low abundance (16.14.40.2 Nog.m.)         Amphipoda         27 mm           34.1         s4.74         NC         COA         Low abundance (16.14.40.7 Nog.m.)         Amphipoda         27 mm           34.3         s4.26         NR         COA         28.47 FT         Night store (16.16.40.0 Nog.m.)         Chronomize mpk/sta (might store)         24 hit           34.5         SG         COA         28.47 FT         Significantly lose (17.5% motality)         Chronomize mpk/sta (might store)         24 hit           34.6         NC         COA         10.4 ST         Significantly lose (10.2% motality)         Chronomize mpk/sta (might store)         24 hit           34.8         NC         COA         10.4 ST         Significantly lose (10.2% motality)         Chronomize mpk/sta (might store)         24 hit           34.9         44.51         NC         COA         Low abundance (10.14.86 m)         Chronomize (might store)         24 hit           35         SI         COA         Low abundance (124.14.86 m)         Amphipoda         24 hit           36         22.5 NC         COA         Low abundance (124.14.86	34	±2.12	NE	COA	Saladitha i Is	High abundance (86865±16330 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
B-1         Pin 2         INC         COA         Low abundance (E 3420 (Viet) m.)         Premipted           342         24.8         NE         COA         28.4 FT         Spintanty (CVIet) (Viet) m.)         Prateia aztree (amphipod)         <2 mm	34	±5.9	NE	COA		High taxa (15.8±2 S)	Benthic species	
448       NE       COA       284 FT       bate bate (appliped)       2 mm         343       4426       NE       COA       284 FT       bate (appliped)       24 FT         345       50       COA       284 FT       bate (appliped)       24 FT         345       50       COA       284 FT       bate (appliped)       24 FT         345       50       COA       284 FT       big (applicative) (applicative)       Chiconomicati       24 FT         346       NC       COA       104 FT       bate (applicative)       Chiconomicative)       Chiconomicative       24 FT         348       NC       COA       104 FT       bate (applicative)       Chiconomicative)       Chiconomic	34.1	14.12 +4.74	NC	COA		Low abundance (9.8±10.1 N/sq.m.)	Amphinada	
34.3       44.26       NE       COA       14.4 FT       Net Significantly loss (23% motality)       Hyaiabia actives (amphipod)       2 mm         34.5       SG       COA       28.4 FT       Significantly loss (23% motality)       Chicrosomics reparts (midge)       LAR         34.5       NE       COA       14.9 fB bundance (2071 Neg.m.)       Chicrosomics reparts (midge)       LAR         34.8       NC       COA       14.0 4 ST       Significantly toos (17.5 % motality)       Chicrosomics reparts (midge)       LAR         34.9       44.53       NE       COA       14.0 4 ST       Significantly toos (17.5 % motality)       Chicrosomics reparts (midge)       LAR         34.9       46.1       NC       COA       Low abundance (21.6 Msg.m.)       Chicrosomics reparts (midge)       LAR         35.       NE       COA       High abundance (21.6 Msg.m.)       Chicrosomics reparts (midge)       LAR         35.       22.0 NC       COA       Low abundance (15.Nisg m.)       Chicrosomics reparts (midge)       LAR         35.       22.0 NC       COA       Low abundance (13.92.3 Nisg m.)       Amphipoda       Chicrosomics reparts (midge)       CA         35.       22.0 NC       COA       Low abundance (13.92.3 Nisg m.)       Amphipoda       Chicrosomics r	34.2	±4.8	NE	COA	28-d FT	Not toxic (34±10.4% mature males)	Amphipoda Hvalella azteca (amphipod)	mm</td
345         S0         COA         24 of FT         Significantly took (25% montails)         How and the series (ampling)         -2 mm           345         NE         COA         High abundance (2071 Nisq m.)         Chironymidse (amboour toot)         5 d           348         NC         COA         10-4 ST         Significantly took (20.2% Co Stephrophood, teproduction)         Daphna magna (water flea)         24 h           348         NC         COA         Low abundance (214 Nisq m.)         Ephremorphera, Piecoptera, Trooptera         Chironomidae           345         NE         COA         High abundance (214 Nisq m.)         Amplipoda         Chironomidae           35         NE         COA         High abundance (214 Nisq m.)         Amplipoda         Chironomidae           35         223.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (1032893 Nisq m.)         Berthu invertibrates         4           35         223.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (1032893 Nisq m.)         Berthu invertibrates         4           36         44.34         NE         COA         24 H         High abundance (1032493 Nisq m.)         Ephereroptera, Piecoptera, Ticoptera           36         44.4         NE         COA         Low abundance (1032493 Nisq m.)         E	34.3	±4.26	NE	COA	14-d FT	Not significantly toxic (19.5±6.6% mortality)	Chironomus riparius (midge)	<24 h
945         SQ         COA         28-4 FT         Significantly toxic (77.5% motality)         Choinomidae         5 d           345         NC         COA         10-4 ST         Significantly toxic (100% motality)         Chinonomias lentans (midge)         LAR           349         s44.3         NC         COA         10-23 Not (00.5 motality)         Daphma magna (water field)         -23 h           349         s45.1         NC         COA         Lova bundance (215 Nisq m)         Chinonomidae           35<	34.5		SG	COA	28-d FT	Significantly toxic (52% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	<2 mm
345         NE         COA         High abundance (2071 N/sq.m.)         Charanomiae           348         NC         COA         16-252         Not took (2085 motility)         Charanomiae         Charanomiae         -24 h           349         451         NC         COA         16-252         Not took (2322/8 Mag.m.)         Charanomiae         -24 h           35         NE         COA         High abundance (215 N/sq.m.)         Charanomiae         Charanomiae         -24 h           35         NE         COA         High abundance (14 N/sq.m.)         Amphipoda         -11           35         223.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (33-397.N/sq.m.)         Chiranomidae         -21           35         223.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (33-397.N/sq.m.)         Binthic invertebrates         -           35         23.8         NC         COA         Low abundance (33-397.N/sq.m.)         Binthic invertebrates         -         -22 nm           35.5         44.4         NE         COA         Low abundance (33-31-39.N/sq.m.)         Daphnia magna (water fea)         -22 h           35.6         44.4         NE         COA         16-22.4         Not took (10.321.1.4 dispinfptonod; reproduction) <t< td=""><td>34.5</td><td></td><td>SG</td><td>COA</td><td>28-d FT</td><td>Significantly toxic (77.5% mortality)</td><td>Oncorhynchus mykiss (rainbow trout)</td><td>5 d 💎</td></t<>	34.5		SG	COA	28-d FT	Significantly toxic (77.5% mortality)	Oncorhynchus mykiss (rainbow trout)	5 d 💎
348     NC     COA     10-45     Significantly took (100% motally)     . Chronomus tentans (midge)     LAR       349     445.0     NC     COA     Hotok (23.22.6 Stephyngbrood; reproduction)     Daphna magara (water fiele)     -24 h       349     445.1     NC     COA     Hotok (23.22.6 Stephyngbrood; reproduction)     Ephemerophera, Piecophera, Tricophera       35     NE     COA     Hotok abundance (15 Nag m.)     Ephemerophera, Piecophera, Tricophera       35     23.5     NC     COA     Low abundance (15 Nag m.)     Chronomulae       35     23.5     NC     COA     Low abundance (35.2503 Nag m.)     Amphipoda       35     23.6     NC     COA     Low abundance (35.32503 Nag m.)     Banthic invartebrates     4       35     43.2     NC     COA     Low abundance (33.2503 Nag m.)     Banthic invartebrates     4       36.5     44.4     NE     COA     High abundance (33.2503 Nag m.)     Ephemerophera, Piecophera, Tricophera     -24 h       36.5     44.4     NE     COA     16-22.4     Not took (0.94 motally)     Daphna magna (water fiea)     -24 h       36.5     44.4     NE     COA     16-22.4     Not took (21.33.41 dispinghydood; reproduction)     Daphna magna (water fiea)     -24 h       36.5     44	34.5		NE	COA		High abundance (2071 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
349         34.33         NE         CDA         162/24         Not toos (23/22.65 oftspring/tood) reproduction)         Daphna magna (water flea)	34.8		NC	COA	10-d ST	Significantly toxic (100% mortality)	Chironomus tentans (midge)	LAR
3-3       N.H.       N.C.       COA       High abundance (211 Nigq m.)       Chilenomidae         35       N.E.       COA       High abundance (214 Nigq m.)       Amphipoda         35       N.E.       COA       High abundance (15 Nigq m.)       Amphipoda         35       N.E.       COA       High abundance (15 Nigq m.)       Chilenomidae         35       223.6       N.C.       COA       Low abundance (37 393.7 Nigq m.)       Chilenomidae         35       423.5       N.C.       COA       Low abundance (1032463 Nigq m.)       Ephemeroptra, Picoptra, Ticoptra         35       423.2       N.C.       COA       Low abundance (132463 Nigq m.)       Ephemeroptra, Picoptra, Ticoptra         35       423.2       N.C.       COA       Low abundance (1032463 Nigq m.)       Ephemeroptra, Picoptra, Ticoptra         35.6       424.4       N.E.       COA       16-224       Not toxic (10 9114 discription reproduction)       Daphnia magna (water flea)       -224 h         36.6       44.44       N.E.       COA       16-224       Not toxic (10 9114 discription reproduction)       Daphnia magna (water flea)       -224 h         36.5       45.71       N.E.       COA       Low abundance (1032423 Nisq m.)       Daphnia magna (water flea)       -24	34.9	±4.53		COA	16-22-d	Not toxic (23.2±2.65 offspring/brood; reproduction)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	<24 h
Construction         Classification         Classification         Classification         Classification           35         NE         COA         High abundance (214 Nisq, m.)         Amphipoda           35         NE         COA         Ligh abundance (161 Nisg, m.)         Chinonemidae           35         ±23.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (33:4353 Nisg, m.)         Chinonemidae           35         ±23.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (10:3:2:453 Nisg, m.)         Amphipoda           35         ±23.8         NC         COA         Low abundance (13:2:453 Nisg, m.)         Berthic invertebrates         *           35.8         ±4.44         NE         COA         High abundance (33:4:189 Nisg, m.)         Ephemeroptera, Pleooptera, Theoptera           35.6         ±4.44         NE         COA         16:22:4         Not toxic (21:3:3:41 offspringbrood, reproduction)         Daphnia magna (water fitea)         <24 h	35	10.1	NE			Liow abundance (36±27.6 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Piecoptera, Tricoptera	
35         NE         COA         High abundance (15 Maq m.)         Ephemeroptera, Precoptera, Tricoptera           35         23.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (053:263 N/sq.m.)         Amphipoda           35         23.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (03:24:393 N/sq.m.)         Amphipoda           35         243.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (03:24:393 N/sq.m.)         Benthic invertebrates            35         243.2         NE         COA         Low abundance (03:24:393 N/sq.m.)         Ephemeroptera, Precoptera, Trocoptera           35.8         24.44         NE         COA         High abundance (33:21:89 N/sq.m.)         Ephemeroptera, Precoptera, Trocoptera           35.6         44.44         NE         COA         16:22:4         Not toxic (0's mortality)         Daphnia magna (water filea)         <24 h	35		NE	COA	5	High abundance (214 N/sq m )	Ampbinoda	(SPORTED AND A
155         123.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (3324590 N/sq.m.)         Chiranemidae           35         123.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (07.3433.7 N/sq.m.)         Berthic invertebrates         4           35         123.6         NC         COA         Low abundance (07.3433.7 N/sq.m.)         Berthic invertebrates         4           35         123.26         NC         COA         2.4 H         Not toxic (0.293.8.64% montality)         Hyalola azteca (amphipod)         <2 mm	35		NE	COA		High abundance (15 N/sg.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
35       ±23.6       NC       COA       Low abundance (032493 N/sq.m.)       Amphpoda         35       ±23.6       NC       COA       Low abundance (1032493 N/sq.m.)       Berthic invertebrates *         35       ±33.2       NE       COA       24 nH       Not toxic (023483 N/sq.m.)       Berthic invertebrates *         35.3       ±0.252       NE       COA       24 dH       Net toxic (0234189 N/sq.m.)       Ephemeroptera, Tricoptera         35.6       ±4.44       NE       COA       16-22-4       Not toxic (010 9±1.14 difspring/brood; reproduction)       Daphnia magna (water fiea)       <24 h	35	±23.6	NC	COA		Low abundance (536±569 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
35       ±23.6       NC       COA       Low abundance (1032:693 N/sq.m.)       Benthic invertebrates       4         35       ±4.32       NE       COA       28-dFT       Not toxic (9.29±8.64% mortality)       Hyalella azteca (amphipod)       <2 mm	35	±23.6	NC	COA		Low abundance (87.3±93.7 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
35       #4.32       NE       COA       28.4 FT       Not toxic (9.29±6.64% mortality)       Hyalella azteca (amphipod)       <2 mm	35	±23.6	NC	COA		Low abundance (1032±693 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
33.3       b) 202       NE       CDA       High abundance (333119 N/sq.m.)       Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trooptera         35.6       44.44       NE       COA       16-22-4       Not toxic (0% motality)       Daphnia magna (water flea)       <24 h	35	±4.32	NE	COA	28-d FT	Not toxic (9.29±8.64% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	<2 mm
0.50     24.4     NE     COA     16-22.4     Not tobb (0% Industry)     Daphna magna (water fles)     24 h       35.6     44.4     NE     COA     16-22.4     Not tobb (0.9.11,14 offspring/brood; reproduction)     Daphna magna (water fles)     24 h       35.6     44.4     NE     COA     16-22.4     Not tobb (0.9.11,14 offspring/brood; reproduction)     Daphna magna (water fles)     224 h       35.6     44.4     NE     COA     16-22.4     Not toxic (21.33.41 offspring/brood; reproduction)     Daphna magna (water fles)     224 h       35.6     44.4     NE     COA     Low abundance (123.92.92% mortailty)     Oncorhynchus mykiss (rainbow trout)     5 d       35.8     45.17     SG     COA     High abundance (123.92.26% mortailty)     Daphna magna (water fles)     JUV       36.4     21.9     NE     COA     High abundance (152.47.2.8 M/sq.m.)     Chironomidae       36.4     22.8     NC     COA     Low species richness (20.50.335; SRUs)     Berthic species       37.4     116.6     NE     COA     High species fichness (1.95.40.35; SRUs)     Berthic species       37.3     116.6     NE     COA     High species fichness (1.95.40.30; SRUs)     Berthic species       37.3     116.6     NE     COA     High abundance (127.9% RNLs)<	35.3	±0.252		COA	16 22 4	High abundance (333±189 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
35.6         ±4.44         NE         COA         16-22-d         Not toxic (21-343.41 offspring/brood; reproduction)         Daphnia magna (water flea)         C24 h           35.6         ±4.44         NE         COA         21-d FT         Not toxic (21-32.92% mortality)         Dechnia magna (water flea)         C24 h           35.8         ±5.71         SG         COA         Low abundance (12339±2051 N/sq.m.)         Benthic invertebrates         VAR           36.3         ±21.7         NE         COA         48-h         Not significantly toxic (3.9±3.26% mortality)         Daphnia magna (water flea)         JUV           36.4         TEL	35.6	+4 44	NE		16-22-d	Not toxic (0.9 monality)	Daphnia magna (water fiea)	<24 h
35.6         ±4.44         NE         COA         21-0 FT         Not toxic (2.1±2.92% mortality)         Oncorhymchus mykiss (rainbow trout)         5 d           35.8         ±5.71         SG         COA         Low abundance (12339±2051 N/sq.m.)         Benthic invertebrates         VAR           36.3         ±12.7         NE         COA         High abundance (12339±2051 N/sq.m.)         Benthic invertebrates         VAR           36.4         TEL         VAR         JUV         Daphnia magna (water flea)         JUV           36.4         TEL         VAR         JUV         Daphnia magna (water flea)         JUV           36.7         ±29.8         NC         COA         High abundance (152±72.8 N/sq.m.)         Chironomidae           37.7         129.8         NC         COA         Low species richness (2.05±0.356; SRUs)         Benthic species         Startics         22 mm           37.3         ±10.6         NE         COA         High diversity (2.6±0.377; Shannon Weaver Index)         Benthic species         316.6         NE         COA         High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)         Amphipoda         37.4         116.6         NE         COA         High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)         Amphipoda         37.4         119.7         NE         COA         4d	35.6	±4.44	ŃE	COA	16-22-d	Not toxic (21.3±3.41 offspring/brood: reproduction)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	<24 h
35.8       ±5.71       SG       COA       Low abundance (12339±2051 N/sq.m.)       Benthic invertebrates       VAR         36.3       ±21.9       NE       COA       48-h       Not significantly toxic (3.9±3.26% mortality)       Daphnia magna (water flea)       JUV         36.4       TEL       36.4       TEL       SE       COA       48-h       Not significantly toxic (3.9±3.26% mortality)       Daphnia magna (water flea)       JUV         36.4       TEL       SE       123.3       NE       COA       High abundance (152±72.8 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae       SE       27.3       129.8       NC       COA       Low species richness (2.05±0.356; SRUs)       Benthic species       37.3       126.6       NE       COA       Low species richness (2.05±0.356; SRUs)       Benthic species       37.3       116.6       NE       COA       High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)       Macroinvertebrates       37.3       126.6       NE       COA       High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)       Amphipoda       37.3       128.8       NE       COA       High abundance (16.5±2.7.2% mortality)       Benthic species       37.3       128.7       NE       COA       High abundance (17.054, SRUs, M.)       Benthic species       37.3       128.7       NE       COA       4.4       Not significantly toxic (16.5±2.7.2% mor	35.6	±4.44	NE	COA	21-d FT	Not toxic (2.1±2.92% mortality)	Oncorhynchus mykiss (rainbow trout)	5 d
36.3       ±12.7       NE       COA       High abundance (405±68.6 N/sq.m.)       Hemiptera       VAR         36.3       ±21.9       NE       COA       48-h       Not significantly toxic (3.9±3.26% mortality)       Daphnia magna (water flea)       JUV         36.4       TEL       TEL       Chironomidae       Chironomidae       Chironomidae         36.7       ±23.8       NC       COA       Low species richness (2.05±0.356; SRUs)       Benthic species       Ferritic species         37.4       NC       COA       Low species richness (2.05±0.356; SRUs)       Benthic species       Ferritic species         37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       Low blotic integrity (7.9; MBI)       Macroinvertebrates         37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High diversity (2.62±0.377; Shannon Weaver Index)       Benthic species       Ferritic species         37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)       Amphipoda       Tampipoda         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4d       Not significantly toxic (18.5±1.7% mortality)       Procambarus sp. (crayfish)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4d       Not significantly toxic (118.45% mortality)       Procambarus sp. (mayfity)	35.8	±5.71	SG	COA		Low abundance (12339±2051 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
36.3       ±21.9       NE       COA       48-h       Not significantly toxic (3.9±3.26% mortality)       Daphnia magna (water flea)       JUV         36.4       TEL	36.3	±12.7	NE	COA		High abundance (405±68.6 N/sq.m.)	Hemiptera	VAR
36.4       TEL         36.5       ±23.3       NE       COA       High abundance (152±72.8 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         36.7       ±23.8       NC       COA       Low species richness (2.05±0.356; SRUs)       Benthic species         37.7       ±10.6       NE       COA       Low biotic integrity (7.9; MBI)       Macroinvertebrates         37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High diversity (2.6±0.377; Shanron Weaver Index)       Benthic species         37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High species richness (1.95±0.306; SRUs)       Benthic species         37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High species richness (1.95±0.306; SRUs)       Benthic species         37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)       Amphipoda         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (2±4.8% mortality)       Gammarus pseudolimnaeus (Scud)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (15.5±21.7% mortality) <i>Hexagenia</i> sp. (mayfity)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (15.5±1.7% mortality) <i>Hexagenia</i> sp. (mayfity)       VAR	36.3	±21.9	NE		48-h	Not significantly toxic (3.9±3.26% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	JUV
36.7       ±29.8       NC       COA       Low species richness (2.05±0.356; SRUs)       Benthic species         36.7       ±29.8       NC       COA       Low species richness (2.05±0.356; SRUs)       Benthic species         37.2       ±29.8       NC       COA       Low biotic integrity (7.9; MBI)       Macroinvertebrates         37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High diversity (2.62±0.377; Shannon Weaver Index)       Benthic species         37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)       Amphipoda         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)       Amphipoda         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4.4       Not significantly toxic (2.64.6% mortality) <i>Gammarus pseudolimnaeus</i> (Scud)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4.4       Not significantly toxic (16.5±21.7% mortality) <i>Hexagenia</i> sp. (rayfish)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4.4       Not significantly toxic (13.6±13.8% mortality) <i>Physa gyrina</i> (snail)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4.4       Not significantly toxic (118.6±3.13.8% mortality) <i>Trunolla donaciformis</i> (fawnfoot clam)       VAR <td>36.5</td> <td>+73 3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>High obundance (150170.9 N/sc )</td> <td>Obierentides</td> <td></td>	36.5	+73 3				High obundance (150170.9 N/sc )	Obierentides	
37       NC       COA       Low blotts integrity (7,9; MBI)       Macroinvertebrates         37.2       ±3.04       SG       COA       28-d FT       Tode (11,5±2,12% mature males)       Hyalelia aztaca (amphipod)       <2 mm	36.7	+29.8	NC	COA		I av species richness (2.05+0.356: SRUs)	Chironomidae Benthic species	
37.2       ±3.04       SC       COA       28-d FT       Toxic (11.5±2.12% mature males)       Hyale/la azteca (amphipod)       <2 mm	37		NC	COA		Low biotic integrity (7.9: MBI)	Macroinvertebrates	
37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High diversity (2.62±0.377; Shannon Weaver Index)       Benthic species         37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High species richness (1.95±0.306; SRUs)       Benthic species         37.3       ±2.83       NE       COA       High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)       Amphipoda         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (2±4.8% mortality)       Gammarus pseudolimnaeus (Scud)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (2±4.8% mortality)       Procambarus sp. (rayfish)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (16.5±21.7% mortality)       Hexagenia sp. (mayfiy)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (13.6±13.8% mortality)       Hexagenia (snail)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (11±8.4% mortality)       Tuncilla donaciformis (favnfoot clam)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (11±8.4% mortality)       Sphaerum sp. (ingernail clam)       VAR         37.4       ±2.69       NE	37.2	±3,04	SG	COA	28-d FT	Toxic (11.5±2.12% mature males)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	<2 mm
37.3       ±16.6       NE       COA       High species richness (1.95±0.306; SRUs)       Benthic species         37.3       ±2.83       NE       COA       High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)       Amphipoda         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (8±6.3% mortality)       Gammarus pseudolimnaeus (Scud)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (16.5±21.7% mortality)       Procambarus sp. (crayfish)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (16.5±21.7% mortality)       Hexagenia sp. (mayfly)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (13.5±13.8% mortality)       Physa gyrina (snail)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (11±8.4% mortality)       Truncilla donaciformis (fawnfoot clam)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (11±8.4% mortality)       Sphaerium sp. (fingernail clam)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       High abundance (130±19.8 N/sq.m.)       Hemiptera         37.7       ±14.4       NE       C	37.3	±16.6	NE	COA		High diversity (2.62±0.377; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	1. Sec.
37.3       ±2.83       NE       COA       High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)       Amphipoda         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (8±6.3% mortality)       Gammarus pseudolimnaeus (Scud)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (2±4.8% mortality)       Procambarus sp. (crayfish)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (13.5±13.8% mortality)       Hexagenia 'sp. (mayfly)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (13.5±13.8% mortality)       Hiys a gyrina (snall)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (13.5±13.8% mortality)       Physa gyrina (snall)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (11.8.4% mortality)       Sphaerium sp. (fingernail clam)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       High abundance (130±19.8.N/sq.m.)       Hemiptera         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       High abundance (130±19.8.N/sq.m.)       Macroinvertebrates         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       Hig	37.3	±16.6	NE	COA		High species richness (1.95±0.306; SRUs)	Benthic species	*****************
37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (8±6.3% mortality)       Gammarus pseudolimnaeus (Scud)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (2±4.8% mortality)       Procambarus sp. (crayfish)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (16.5±21.7% mortality)       Hexagenla sp. (mayfiy)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (10.5±21.7% mortality)       Physa gyrina (snall)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (10.5±21.7% mortality)       Physa gyrina (snall)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (10.5±13.8% mortality)       Physa gyrina (snall)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (10.5±13.8% mortality)       Truncilla donaciformis (fawnfoct clarn)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (10.5±13.8% mortality)       Sphaerium sp. (fingemail clarn)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       High abundance (130±19.8 N/sq.m.)	37.3	±2.83	NE	COA		High abundance (27 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
37.4       19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (248,8% mortality)       Procambarus sp. (crayfish)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (16.5±21.7% mortality)       Hexagenia sp. (mayfiy)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (16.5±21.7% mortality)       Physa gyrina (snail)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (10.5±13.8% mortality)       Physa gyrina (snail)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (0% mortality)       Truncilla donaciformis (fawnfoot clarn)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (11±8.4% mortality)       Sphaerium sp. (fingernail clarn)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       High abundance (130±19.8 N/sq.m.)       Hemiptera         37.7       ±16.2       SG       COA       Low abundance (57.3±69.9 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda         37.9       ±20.1       NE       COA       High species richness (2.14±0.516; SRUs)       Benthic species         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA	37.4	±19.7	NE	COA	4-d	Not significantly toxic (8±6.3% mortality)	Gammarus pseudolimnaeus (Scud)	VAR
37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (13.5±13.8% mortality)       Physa gyrina (snail)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (13.5±13.8% mortality)       Physa gyrina (snail)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (0% mortality)       Truncilla donaciformis (tawnfoot clam)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (11±8.4% mortality)       Sphaerium sp. (fingemail clam)       VAR         37.4       ±2.69       NE       COA       High abundance (130±19.8 N/sq.m.)       Hemiptera         37.7       ±14.4       NE       COA       High biotic integrity (6.02±0.47; MBI)       Macroinvertebrates         37.7       ±16.2       SG       COA       Low abundance (57.3±69.9 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda         37.9       ±20.1       NE       COA       High species richness (2.14±0.516; SRUs)       Benthic species         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.3	37.4	119.7	NE		4-0 1.d	Not significantly toxic (2±4.8% monality)	Procambarus sp. (crayfish)	VAR
37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (0% mortality)       Truncilla donaciformis (tawnfoot clam)       VAR         37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (1±8.4% mortality)       Sphaenum sp. (fingemail clam)       VAR         37.4       ±2.69       NE       COA       High abundance (130±19.8 N/sq.m.)       Hemiptera       VAR         37.7       ±14.4       NE       COA       High biotic integrity (6.02±0.47; MBI)       Macroinvertebrates       VAR         37.7       ±16.2       SG       COA       Low abundance (57.3±69.9 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda         37.9       ±20.1       NE       COA       High species richness (2.14±0.516; SRUs)       Benthic species         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.3       ±2.93       SG       COA       Moderate abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.4       ±2.76       NE       COA       High abundance (116±58.5 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda	37.4	±19.7	NE	COA	4-d	Not significantly toxic (13.5±13.8% mortality)	Physa dvrina (snail)	VAR
37.4       ±19.7       NE       COA       4-d       Not significantly toxic (11±8.4% mortality)       Sphaenum sp. (fingemail clam)       VAR         37.4       ±2.69       NE       COA       High abundance (130±19.8 N/sq.m.)       Hemiptera       Hemiptera         37.7       ±14.4       NE       COA       High biotic integrity (6.02±0.47; MBI)       Macroinvertebrates         37.7       ±16.2       SG       COA       Low abundance (57.3±69.9 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda         37.9       ±20.1       NE       COA       High species richness (2.14±0.516; SRUs)       Benthic species         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (6.78±9.85 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.3       ±2.93       SG       COA       Moderate abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.4       ±2.76       NE       COA       High abundance (116±58.5 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda	37.4	±19.7	NE	COA	4-d	Not significantly toxic (0% mortality)	Truncilla donaciformis (fawnfoot clam)	VAR
37.4       ±2.69       NE       COA       High abundance (130±19.8 N/sq.m.)       Hemiptera         37.7       ±14.4       NE       COA       High biotic integrity (6.02±0.47; MBI)       Macroinvertebrates         37.7       ±16.2       SG       COA       Low abundance (57.3±69.9 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda         37.9       ±20.1       NE       COA       High species richness (2.14±0.516; SRUs)       Benthic species         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda         38.3       ±2.93       SG       COA       Moderate abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.4       ±2.76       NE       COA       High abundance (116±58.5 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda	37.4	±19.7	NE	COA	,4-d	Not significantly toxic (11±8.4% mortality)	Sphaenum sp. (fingernail clam)	VAR
37.7±14.4NECOAHigh biotic integrity (6.02±0.47; MBI)Macroinvertebrates37.7±16.2SGCOALow abundance (57.3±69.9 N/sq.m.)Gastropoda37.9±20.1NECOAHigh species richness (2.14±0.516; SRUs)Benthic species38.2±19.8SGCOALow abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)Chironomidae38.2±19.8SGCOALow abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)Gastropoda38.3±2.93SGCOALow abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)Gastropoda38.4±2.76NECOAHigh abundance (116±58.5 N/sq.m.)Gastropoda	37.4	±2.69	NE	COA		High abundance (130±19.8 N/sq.m.)	Hemiptera	an su an
37.7       ±16.2       SG       COA       Low abundance (57.3±69.9 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda         37.9       ±20.1       NE       COA       High species richness (2.14±0.516; SRUs)       Benthic species         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda         38.2       ±19.8       SG       COA       Low abundance (6.78±9.85 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda         38.3       ±2.93       SG       COA       Moderate abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)       Chironomidae         38.4       ±2.76       NE       COA       High abundance (116±58.5 N/sq.m.)       Gastropoda	37.7	±14.4	NE	COA		High biotic integrity (6.02±0.47; MBI)	Macroinvertebrates	
37.9     ±20.1     NE     COA     High species richness (2.14±0.516; SRUs)     Benthic species       38.2     ±19.8     SG     COA     Low abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)     Chironomidae       38.2     ±19.8     SG     COA     Low abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)     Chironomidae       38.3     ±2.93     SG     COA     Low abundance (6.78±9.85 N/sq.m.)     Gastropoda       38.4     ±2.76     NE     COA     High abundance (116±58.5 N/sq.m.)     Gastropoda	37.7	±16.2	SG	COA		Low abundance (57.3±69.9 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
38.2     ±19.8     SG     COA     Low abundance (495±275 N/sq.m.)     Chironomidae       38.2     ±19.8     SG     COA     Low abundance (6.78±9.85 N/sq.m.)     Gastropoda       38.3     ±2.93     SG     COA     Moderate abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)     Chironomidae       38.4     ±2.76     NE     COA     High abundance (116±58.5 N/sq.m.)     Gastropoda	37.9	±20.1	NE	COA		High species richness (2.14±0.516; SRUs)	Benthic species	
38.3     ±2.93     SG     COA     Moderate abundance (1707±271 N/sq.m.)     Gastropoda       38.4     ±2.76     NE     COA     High abundance (116±58.5 N/sq.m.)     Gastropoda	38.2	119.8	30 SC	COA		Low abundance (495±2/5 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
38.4 ±2.76 NE COA High abundance (116±58.5 N/sq.m.) Gastropoda	38.3	±2.93	SG	COA		Moderate abundance (1707+271 N/sg m )	Chironomidae	
	38.4	±2.76	NE	COA		High abundance (116±58.5 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	

## Appendix la. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

.

		Unionized					
тос	AVS	Ammonia	Sand	Silt	Clay	Area	Reference
(%)	(µmol·g <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	(%)	(%)		
2.44±3.98			71.3±26.3	24.3±24.1	2.67±2.08	St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.91±2.71	283±209 µg/g	1	67.8±11.9	18±9.51	14 2±3.27	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
			19.6±18			Kishwaukee River Basin, IL	IEPA 1988a
1.37±0.739	249±256 µg/g		60.5±9.26	26.3±6.99	13.5±2.52	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
			20.2±19.3			Kishwaukee River Basin, IL	IEPA 1988a
3.65±2.77	287 <b>±122</b> µg/g	1	70.7±11	16.7±10.5	12.7±0.57	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
4.59±2.6	292±241 µg/	0.054±0.078	64.3±10.3	21±7.79	14.8±3.5	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.72±1.67			81.5±10.6	14±11.3	1.5±0.707	Niagara River, NY	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.438±0.233						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
4.47±2.79	217±172 µg/g	1	66±13	19.5±10.3	14.5±3.7	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.03±0.4	268±336 µg/g	l	58±5.7	29±5.7	13	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
3.03±1.07			42.3±21.9	45.7±19.1	8.33±2.89	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.4	3.7	0.049	71.2	9,9	16.4	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
3.5±0,265			45.7±27.6	43.3±23,1	7.67±4.04	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.4±0.265			19.6±8.82	60.8±11.4	13.4±1.52	Oakville Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.5±0.852	323±256 µg/g		56.3±4.93	29.3±4.04	14.3±2.31	Militown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
	454.077					DuPage River Basin, IL	IEPA 1988b
1.86±1.01	451±277 µg/g		57.4±8.91	28.4±6.5	14.4±2.97	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.74±1.03	297±246 µg/g		59.6±8.26	27±6.28	13.4±2.19	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.64±0.974	371±336 µg/	0.1±0.004	59.2±8.7	27.4±6.58	13.6±2.61	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.77±0.929	381±302 µg/	0.015±0.013	58.2±8.18	27.8±5.98	14.2±2.71	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoil et al. 1992b
6.9	7.4 µg/g	0.102	52	28	20	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
6.9	7.4 µg/g	0.03	52	28	20	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
6.9	7.4 µg/g		52	28	20	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
0.2	1.2	0.846	98.2	1.5	2.3	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
1.97±0.879	357±330 µg/	0.015±0.012	59±8.86	26.8±6.06	14.4±2.97	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.91±1.21	273±260 µg/g		55.8±13.5	30±9.63	14.8±3.5	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
0.78			47	43	8	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.78			47	43	8	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.78			47	43	8	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.29±2.45			44.3±33.3	43.7±26.6	10.3±8.37	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.29±2.45			44.3±33.3	43.7±26.6	10.3±8.37	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.29±2.45			44.3±33.3	43.7±26.6	10.3±8.37	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.9±0.914	337±300 µg/	0.013±0.011	55.6±10.1	29.6±7.14	15±3.32	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.89±0.567	422±386 µg/g		55.3±5.86	29±3.61	16±2.65	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
2.09±0.836	309±318 µg/	0.055±0.069	55.8±11.1	29±7.64	15.3±3.5	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
2.09±0.836	309±318 µg/	0.055±0.069	55.8±11,1	29±7.64	15.3±3.5	Militown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
2.09±0.836	309±318 µg/	0.055±0.069	55.8±11.1	29±7.64	15.3±3.5	Milltown Reservoir, MT	ingersoli et al. 1992b
2.09±0.836	309±318 µg/	0.018±0.017	55.8±11.1	29±7.64	15.3±3.5	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
2.2±0.95	347±368 µg/g		55±13.7	29.8±9.5	15.5±4.2	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
2.25±1.18	287±194 µg/g		67±9.9	19.5±10.6	13.5±0.70	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoli et al. 1992b
2±0.6						Keweenaw Waterway, MI	Malueg et al. 1984a
4 4 0 1 4 0 4							
1.18±1.31			52	39	9	Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
5.1313.95			62.3±29	28±25.5	6.33±5.86	Bay of Quinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0 65 io 17	050,050,01					DuPage River Basin, IL	IEPA 1988b
2.33±0,17	202#208 µg/	0.02±0.023	46.5±9.19	35±7.07	18.5±2.12	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
0.81±0.575			47.7±44	39±30.2	12.3±14	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.81±0.576	420 - 540		4/./±44	39±30.2	12.3±14	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.31±0.516	436±518 µg/g		45.5±7.78	36±5.66	19±1.41	Militown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
			82.1±31.3	9.8±15.9	5.6±10.2	Upper Mississippi River, MS	Marking et al. 1981
			82.1±31.3	9.8±15.9	5.6±10.2	Upper Mississippi River, MS	Marking et al. 1981
			82.1±31,3	9.8±15.9	5.6±10.2	Upper Mississippi River, MS	Marking et al. 1981
			82,1±31,3	9.8±15.9	5.6±10.2	Upper Mississippi River, MS	Marking et al. 1981
			82.1±31.3	9.8±15.9	5.6±10.2	Upper Mississippi River, MS	Marking et al. 1981
00/0.000			82.1±31.3	9.8±15.9	5.6±10.2	Upper Mississippi River, MS	Marking et al. 1981
1.99 <b>±</b> 0.969	50±27.6 µg/g		51±15.6	32.5±10.6	16.5±4.95	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
						DuPage River Basin, IL	IEPA 1988b
2.45±2.18			40±39	44.7±34.9	11.7±8.74	Niagara River, NY	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.58±0.985		·· · · ·	41.4±23.9	44.7±18.5	10.4±6.8	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.28±0.977			40±22.8	45.8±17.5	10.7±6.63	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.28±0.977			40±22.8	45,8±17,5	10.7±6.63	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.76±0.39	331±228 µg/g		49.7±8.5	33.3±5.77	16.7±3.51	Militown Reservoir, MT	ingersoll et al. 1992b
2.6±0.628	454±368 µg/g		49±8.19	34±5.29	17±3.61	Militown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoli et al. 1992b

Chromium (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )		Hit	Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Life Stage
38,9	±17.2	NC	COA		Moderate abundance (3304±588 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
38.9	±21.9	NC	COA		Low abundance (15.9±13.3 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
39	±13.9	SG	COA		Low abundance (232±180 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
393	113.9	SG		14-d FT	Significantly toxic (53% mortality)	Chironomus rinarius (midae)	<24 h
39.3	li (1997) e mini Res 1998 - S	SG	COA	16-22-d	Significantly toxic (14.3 offspring/brood; reproduction)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	<24 h
39.3	±45.8	NC	COA		Low abundance (4804±1517 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
39.8	±23.8	SG	COA		Moderate diversity (2.43±0.239; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
39.9	±7.64	NE	COA		High abundance (57732±15882 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
40.1	±20.3	SG *	COA		Low abundance (4.25±5.04 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
40.2	+45.5		COA		Low abundance (27.4±20.5 Wsq.m.)	Amphipoda Gastropoda	
40.3	±11.6	8 <b>.</b> •.X2	COA		Low abundance (104±76.6 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
40.3	±11.6		COA		Low abundance (261±321 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
40.5	±23.1		COA		Low abundance (0 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
40.6	±19.7		COA	lat site	Low abundance (819±241 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
40.8	±49.9	SG	COA		Low abundance (113±118 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
42 12 1	+28.1		COA		Low diversity (1.37; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
43	+17	NE	COA		High abundance (4818+791 N/sg m )	Amphinoda	
43.4	±22.5	SG	COA		Low taxa (8.4±0.55 S)	Benthic species	929925SQU
44.4	±29,7	NE	COA		High species richness (2.67±0.178; SRUs)	Benthic species	
44.6	±57.2	NE	COA		High abundance (341±80.6 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
45	±14.1	NE		an a	High abundance (65.5±16.3 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
45	±14.1	NE	COA		High abundance (1667±26.2 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
45	±35.4	NC	COA		Moderate abundance (4150±254 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
45.8 45.8	±25.8	NC	COA		Low diversity (2.22±0.064; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
46	120.0	NE		Condet and the	High abundance (2771 N/sg m )	Chironomidae	<u></u>
47.9	±36	SG	COA		Low abundance (33.7±45.2 N/sg.m.)	Gastropoda	
47.9	±65.3	NE	COA		High diversity (2.53±0.585; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
49.3	±18.2	NC	COA		Moderate species (2.83±0.753 S/0,044 sq.m.)	Benthic species	
49.6	±30.1	NC	COA		Low abundance (0 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
49.6	±39	*	COA		Low abundance (2121±1924 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
49.8 50	±38.8		COA	10 4 67	Low abundance (64.8±75.7 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
50	+25	INE *	SOG	10-0 51	Moderately Polluted - LISEDA Region 5.1	Hyalella azleca (amphipod) Harbour Classification	80.02.03 <b>9</b> 2.03
50,1	±25	~~~~~ }? <b>●</b> ,???∛	COA		Low abundance (0 N/sg m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
50.9	±25.7	NC	COA		Significantly toxic (19.2±4.45% deformities)	Chironomidae	3. S. S. S. S.
50.9	±25.7	NC	COA		Low abundance (176±163 N/sq m.)	Chironomidae	017422
51	±20.2	NC	COA		Low abundance (21.5±27.8 N/0.044 sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrate	
51.4	±41.1	•	COA		Moderate diversity (2.56±0.39; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
52	±22.4	NE	COA		High diversity (0.348±0.057; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
54.2	+74 4	NE		10-d ST	Not significantly force (43.3+22.5% modality)	Chironomus tentans (midde)	
54.3	±24.3	NE	COA	14-d FT	Not significantly toxic (13.1±5.95% sexual maturity)	Hvalelia azteca (amphipod)	LAR
54.4	±24.6	NE	COA	14-d FT	Not significantly toxic (7.61±5.17% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	LAR
54.4	±24.6	NE	COA	28-d FT	Not significantly toxic (5.03±3.41% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	LAR
54.4	±24.6	NE	COA	14-d FT	Not significantly toxic (11.5±8.37% mortality)	Chironomus riparius (midge)	24 h
54.4	±24.6	NE	COA	28-d FT	Not significantly toxic (82.2±11.3% sexual maturity)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	LAR
54.5		NE	COA		Not significantly toxic (2% deformities)	Chironomidae	
54.5		NE			High abundance (2204 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae Roothia invertebrates	
55		NE	SBA		Sediment Quality Criteria - No Effects Threshold	Benuic inventeblates	
55			SLCA		Sediment Quality Criteria - Minimal Effects Threshold		
55	±12.7	SG	COA		Low diversity: (0.1±0.085; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
55.5	±40.6	*	COA		Low species richness (1.61±0.445; SRUs)	Benthic species	
56	±39.6	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (0.85±1.2% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	JUV
56 50	±39.6	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (0.85±1.2% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	JUV
00 50 5	±39.6	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (16.7±4.74% mortality)	Hexagenia limbata (mayfly)	NYM
59.7	143.1	.n⊏ SC		40-II >	1 ov taya (6 67+2 5 S)	Daphnia magna (water tiea)	JUV
60.8	±44.3	•	COA		Low abundance (0.8±1.79 N/sn m )	Enhemeroptera Plecontera Tricontera	
61	±60.7	NE	COA		High abundance (10577±2385 N/sg.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	

#### Appendix Ia. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

		Unionized					
тос	AVS	Ammonia	Sand	Silt	Clay	Area	Reference
(%)	(umol·a <sup>·1</sup> )	(ma·L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	(%)	(%)		
		<u>(</u>			· · ·		
1.87±0.896	3.4±0.265	0.053±0.007	66.1±17.5	9.63±3.41	22.6±14,1	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
3.67±2.32			39.3±31.7	47.6±25.4	11.4±8.3	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.55±2.03			42±42.3	44.3±35.5	11.3±9.29	Niagara River, NY	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.55±2.03			42±42.3	44.3±35.5	11.3±9.29	Niagara River, NY	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.67	69.5 µg/g	0.003	40	40	20	Militown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoli et al. 1992b
2,67	69.5 µg/g	0,003	40	40	20	Milltown Reservoir, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
1.13±1.91			69±37.2	20.2±25.3	7.33±12.3	Toronto Harbour, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.62±1.23			39.3±24.3	45.3±18.2	11.8±7.78	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
4.99±2.7	216±295 µg/	9	56±5.66	27.5±0.70	16.5±4.95	Upper Clark Fork River, MT	Ingersoll et al. 1992b
3.43±0.932		-	38.8±24	47±18.3	11.1±6.94	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
1.54±1.51			60.2±32.6	28.5±23.4	7.67±8.69	Toronto Outer Harbour	Jaanumani 1988; et al. 1989
1.54±1.51			60.2+32.6	28.5±23.4	7.67+8.69	Toronto Outer Harbour	Jaanumani 1988; et al. 1989
2.64±1.67			50±38 1	38 8+31	9+8 91	Niagara River NY	lasoumed 1988; et al. 1989
2 64+1 67			50+38.1	38 8+31	9+8 91	Nagara River NY	laagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3 67+1 15			36 5+21 3	47 3+15 0	10,017 //7	Nidland Ray Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
3 46-0 96			36 6+34 9	47.0110.0	12.217,97	Midland Bay, Lake Hulon, ON	Jaagumagi 1968; et al. 1989
1.40±0.00			50.0121.0	40.0110.0	11.410.72	Midland Bay, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
1.34±1.00			59.8±36.4	29±26.1	873.01	loronto Outer Harbour	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
7.4			53	28	18	St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.89±2.74			38.4±31.8	48.5±25	11.6±8.35	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.07±0.467			29±12.7	57.5±12	10±1.41	Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
			31.5±23.9			Kishwaukee River Basin, IL	IEPA 1988a
3.98 <b>±2.9</b> 5			39.3±34.3	47.6 <u>±2</u> 6.8	12.1±8,88	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
4.53±6.05			47.5±53	43.5±44.5	8±8.49	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.09±0.438			25.5±30.4	55±17	18±14,1	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1.09±0.438			25.5±30.4	55±17	18±14.1	Port Weller Harbour, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.2±1,13			31.5±24.7	49.5±13.4	15±12.7	Toronto Outer Harbour	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
5.39±0.509						St. Marvs River, ON	Pope 1990
5.39±0.509						St. Marvs River, ON	Pope 1990
19	13.5		33.6	79	55.8	Buffalo River NV	
6 2+4 19			37 8+17 3	51+18 3	10.3+6.6	St Mande Diver ON	lassumasi 1098; et al. 1980
1 36+1 86			60 1+20 0	20 6+27 4	9 57+43 0	Tarapta Llarbeur, ON	
0.605+0.609	0 092+0 1 45		0 1 2 4 9 7 1	20.0127.4	0.3/112.9	Mississing Divers LA MO	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.09510.506	0.083±0,145		9.12±0.71			Mississippi River, LA, MS	EMAP 1991
7.35±5.94			52.8±28.8	36.8±24.9	7.6±5.9	Bay of Quinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
5.77±4.2			45±20.4	45.5±19.6	8±6.26	St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
5.73±4.25			48.2±26.7	43.2±23.9	7.83±6.46	St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
4.78±6.85			49.8±46.7			Everett, WA	Johnson and Norton 1989
						United States	USEPA 1977 (As cited in SAIC 199
4.78±2.76			28.4±27.3	56.4±22.5	13.4±7.81	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.23±1,49	4.35±2.67	0.059±0.031	63.2±25.2	9.72±5.04	25.8±19.2	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoli et al. 1992a
2.23±1.49	4.35±2.67	0.06±0,03	63.2±25.2	9.72±5.04	25.8±19.2	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
0.49±0.3	0.041±0.061		6.3±5.79			Mississippi River, LA, MS	EMAP 1991
5.45±4.59			47.2±29.7	46.2±25.4	5.8+4.6	St Mary's River ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0 729+0 457	0.036+0.052		10 2+8 27			Mississioni Piver I A MS	EMAD 1991
21	0.00020.002		52	30	a	Humber Bay, Lake Optaria, ON	
2 38+1 29	4 98+2 43	0 33+0 20	56 4+18 4	11 7+3 12	30 3+15 /	Saginaw Phore MI	Jaagunagi 1900, et al. 1909
2 19+1 54	A 49+2 06	0.05510.23	60.0+34.0	10.215.04	30.3±13.4	Saginaw River, Mi	Ingersoli et al. 1992a
2.10±1.04	4.4012.90	0.055±0.034	67.2.26.5	10.3±0.24	28±18,7	Saginaw River, Mi	ingersoil et al. 1992a
2.0311.34	4.212.57	U.U5±0.03	57.3±26.5	9.6/±4.38	31,4±23,7	Saginaw River, MI	ingersoil et al. 1992a
2.05±1.34	4.2±2.57	0.05±0.03	57.3±26,5	9.67±4.38	31.4±23.7	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoli et al. 1992a
2.05±1.34	4.2±2.57	0.05±0.03	57.3±26.5	9.67±4.38	31.4±23.7	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
2.05±1.34	4.2±2.57	0.05±0.03	57.3±26.5	9.67±4.38	31.4±23.7	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
1.05		0.024	57.4	13.2	29.2	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
1.05		0.024	57.4	13.2	29.2	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
1.05		0.024	57.4	13,2	29.2	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
						St. Lawrence River	EC/MINVEQ 1992
						St. Lawrence River	EC/MINVEQ 1992
0.936±0.87	0.3		12.1±14.6			Mississippi River, LA, MS	EMAP 1991
5.19±4.41			54.4±24.4	38±22.6	6.8+6.65	St Mary's River ON	Jaanumani 1988. et al. 1989
5.85+7.42	·		• · · · · · · ·	JUILL.U	0.010.00	Little Grizzly Crook System CA	Naluag et al. 1084b
85+7 42						Little Grizzly Creek System, CA	Malueg et al. 19040
85+7 42						Little Grizzly Creek System, CA	Malueg et al. 1984D
0011.42						Little Grizzly Creek System, CA	Malueg et al. 1984b
						Keweenaw Waterway, Mł	Malueg et al. 1984a
						DuPage River Basin, IL	IEPA 1988b
i.6±4.86			47.2±29.7	46.2±25.4	5.8±4.6	St. Mary's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
.95±1.96			66±26.2	24.3±19.6	5.33±4.04	Toronto Outer Harbour	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989

Chi (m	romium g·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Hit	Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Life Stage
61.5	±10.8	NC	COA		Moderate species (10.7±1.63 S/0.044 sq.m)	Benthic species	
62	±11.1	NE	COA		High abundance (950±222 N/0.044 sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrate	
62	±29.7	NC	COA		Low abundance (0 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
62.5	±23.3	NE	COA		High abundance (301±88.4 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
64.2	±30.3	NC	COA		Low abundance (806±434 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	1.11
64.3	±12.5	1NC +	COA		Moderate abundance (255+91 4 Mer m )	Gettropeda	1000 AND 12-5-11
64.7	+64.2	NC	COA		Low abundance (731±621 N/sq m )	Benthic invertebrates	
65		NE	COA	30-d	Not significantly toxic (645% increase in number)	Lumbriculus variegatus (oligochaete)	ADT
65.3	±42.3	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (12.1±11.4% mortality)	Hexagenia limbata (mayfly)	NYM
65. <b>3</b>	±82.9	NE	COA		High abundance (102±46.8 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
66.3	±66.3	NE	COA		High species richness (2±0.573; SRUs)	Benthic species	
68	±16.7	NC	COA		Low abundance (163±46.8 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
69	±7,07	NE	COA		High abundance (277±79.2 N/0.044 sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrate	
69.6	±85.4	•	COA		Low species richness (0.655±0.021; SRUs)	Benthic species	
70		NE	COA	28-d FT	Not significantly toxic (7.5% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	LAR
70 71 B	149.2		COA		Low abundance (6.67±6.11 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
72.1	131.2		SBA		Tevas Water Commission Screening Levels	Chironomidae	
72.3	±10.7	NC	COA		Low diversity (0.5+0.07: Shannon Weaver Index)	Renthic species	
73		NC	COA		Moderate abundance (3686 N/sg m )	Benthic invertebrates	
74		NG	COA		Low species (0 S/0.044 sq.m.)	Benthic species	
74		NE	COA		High species (8 S/0.044 sq.m.)	Benthic species	
74	±25.5	NC	COA		Significantly toxic (15.5±2.12% deformities)	Chironomidae	
74.4	±17.1	NC	COA		Significantly toxic (16.6±4.83% deformities)	Chironomidae	a ana a sa ka
74.8		NC	COA	10-d ST	Significantly toxic (50% mortality)	Hexagenia limbata (mayfly)	LAR
>75		•	SQG		Heavily Polluted - USEPA Region 5 Harbour Classification		
75.2	±38.7	SG	COA .		Low abundance (295±146 N/0.044 sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrate	
75.3	±10,5	NC	COA		Low abundance (51.5±15 N/0.044 sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrate	
75.3	±10.5	NC	COA		Low species (6.5±2.65 S/0.044 sq.m.)	Benthic species	
11			COA		Low species (5 S/0.044 sq.m)	Benthic species	
77	AB 40	ine :		an an tha an thai an an tha an tha Tha an tha an t	High abundance (378 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
78.8	+85.1	NÉ.	COA		High abundance (200±30.4 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
81	±18	NE	COA	10-d ST	Not significantly toxic (3.08+3.84% mortality)	l umbriculus variegatus (oligochaete)	ADT
81	±18	NE	COA	10-d ST	Not significantly toxic (6,15±7,40% mortality)	Chironomus riparius (midde)	LAR
B1	±18	NE	COA	10-d ST	Not significantly toxic (5.77±6.07% mortality)	Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow)	FRY
81	±18	NE	COA	30-d	Not significantly toxic (18,8±5.05% mortality)	Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow)	FRY
81.5	±18.7	NE	COA	10-d ST	Not significantly toxic (19.2±12.4% mortality)	Hexagenia limbata (mayfly)	LAR
82.3	±18.1	SG	COA	30-d	Significantly toxic (402±40.6% increase in number)	Lumbriculus variegatus (oligochaete)	ADT
83.5	±61.3	*	COA		Low abundance (16±17.2 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
84 04 2	±07.1	NE	COA		High diversity (0.797±0.067; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
04.J 85	±3.51	NE SC			High abundance (6616±538 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
85 85	<u></u>	NE	COA		High abundance (5346 N/cg m )	Chironomidae	
85 		NE	COA		High abundance (1179 N/sq m )	Amphipoda	
85		NE	COA		High abundance (6991 N/sg.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	4-12-14
86	±2.83	NE	COA		High diversity (0.835±0.106, Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
86.8	±78.8	*	COA		Moderate abundance (582±142 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
86.9	±33.1	٠	COA		Low abundance (0 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
86.9	±33.1	NE	COA		High abundance (11106±2958 N/sq.m.)	Benthic species	•
86.9	±46.8	SG	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (100% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	JUV
86.9	±46.8	SG	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (100% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	JUV
86.9 00	±46,8	SG	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (98.3±3.1% mortality)	Hexagenia limbata (mayfiy)	NYM
00 89					High abundance (645 N/0.044 sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrate	
88 6		INE	DUA		rign species (26 S/U.044 sq.m.)	Benthic species	
90.3	±96 1	NF			High abundance (14+7 94 N/cg m )	Castropoda	
92.5	±110	NE	COA		High abundance (21306+2196 N/com )	Benthic invertebrates	
92.5	±6.36	SG	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (53.4±23.6% mortality)	Dentrilo Invenculates Danbnia magna (water flea)	. 11 11/
93.8	±24.8	NE	COA		High abundance (16865±2383 N/sg m )	Benthic invertebrates	
94.5	±36	NE	COA		High diversity (2.87±0.035; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
94,5	±36	NE	COA		High taxa (9.33±0.577 S/sq.m.)	Benthic species	
				1622 15 To Carlos 1 1		1944 Fire 4 200000000 (194000) 1000000000000000000000000000000000	CARLES CONTRACTOR STRATES

#### Appendix Ia. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

		Unionized					
TOC	AVS	Ammonia	Sand	Silt	Clay	Area	Reference
(%)	(µmol·g <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	(%)	(%)		
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.26±0.469	1.28±1.7		24.9±8.36			Lake Pontchartrain, LA	EMAP 1991
1.33±0.662	0.753±0.332		23.1±7.62	47.0.00	40.0.5.05	Lake Pontchartrain, LA	EMAP 1991
10.3±0.35	5 22 10 812		39±28.9	47.8±20	10.8±5.85	Bay of Quinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.4010.40 7.6+7.00	5.2310.813	0.05540.047	32./ 120.9	9.6510.35	00.2122.9	Saginaw River, Mi	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
2.012.09 1.5710.05	0.54310 205	0,065±0.047	00,4130.4	9.011.2	29120.3	Saginaw River, Mi	Ingersoil et al. 1992a
7.05+7.66	0.543±0.325		20./10.22	23+20 5	8 22+14 8	Lake Politicharitain, LA	EMAP 1991
2.0312.00			60 7+33 0	23125.5	0.3311.0	Potroit Phys. MI	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
4 37			200,7133.8	30.1	59 1	Lower Fox Biver & Creen Bay Mi	
2 15+0 58			2.0	33.1	30.1	Kowoongw Waten gy Mi	
1 12+0 828			74+26 9	19 3+21 4	4+3.46	Detroit Divor MI	Malueg et al. 1984a
2 5+2 77			60 7+33 9	27 5+24 1	0 17+0 35	Detroit River, MI	Jaagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
10 8+3 94			39 3+10 7	49 7+15 4	10 3+5 51	Bay of Ouinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
45+0 145	0 205+0 134		21 5+1 34	40,7 110,4	10.010.01	Mississippi Piver LA MS	Saagunagi 1966, et al. 1969
7 18+2 72	0.20010.104		60 5+38 0	22 5+20	5+5 66	Toronto Outer Harbour	EMAP 1991
3 7	2.6	0.072	10	0.5	743		Jaagumagi 1968, et al. 1969
262+238	2.0	0.072	18 3+46 5	35 3+30 1	13 3+16 4	Toropto Harbour, ON	loggiumoni 1088: et al. 1080
2+3.1			40.3140.3	18 3+16 Q	9 75+6 99	St. Man's River, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
			41110.0	40.5110.5	5.7510.55	United States	Jaagumagi 1966, et al. 1969 Devie 1087 (As sited in Diskeep st
33+0.05	0 327+0 106		24 5+9 64			Vermillion Ray LA	EMAD 1001
0.5510.55	5.6		24.010.04	0	55 G	Secion Bay, LA	
1316	0.13		21,3	9	55.0	Saginaw River, Mi	Ingersoli et al. 1992a
135	0.13		0,0 00 E			Mississippi River, LA, MS	EMAP 1991
05-0 353	5 4+0 424	0.005+0.00	20.5	7 66+2 46	76 7 . 49 7	Mississippi River, LA, MS	
11+0 /36	5 13+1	0.03510.05	1413.03 22.6±16.6	0 6840 30	64 0+17 4	Sacinaw Diver, MI	Ingersoli et al. 1992a
:7	5.1511		22.0110.0	9.30IU.39	04.9±17.4	Saginaw River, Mi	Ingersoli et al. 1992a
			<b>J</b> .Z	03.0	21.2	Listed States	Call et al. 1991
24+0 979	1 08+1 77		29 8+19			Lake Pentebatrain I.A	EMAD 4004
55+2 56	0.635+0.637		20.0110			Vermillion Ray 1.4	EMAP 1991
55+2 56	0.635+0.637		20.417.99			Vermillion Bay, LA	EMAP 1991
1.0012.00	0.26		20,417,35			Lake Pontobatraio I A	EMAP 1991
18	4.2		20.4	37	02	Lake Folicitatian, LA	
1.0	4,2		7.0 00	50 613 40	92 13 E 10 70	Bullato River, NY	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
1 24+5 87			2/12.00	20.012.12	5 25+5 07	Bay of Quinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
153+2 41			33 4429 7	24127.5	31 3119	Lawer Fox River & Creen Rev. Mil	Jaagumagi 1988, et al. 1989
153+2 /1			33.4120.7	35.3110.7	31.3110	Lower Fox River & Green Bay, Wi	
53+2 41			33.4120.7	35 3+16 7	31.3110	Lower Fox River & Green Bay, Wi	
153+2 41			33 4120.7	35 3+16 7	31 3±10	Lower Fox River & Green Bay, Wi	
135+7 17			35,4120,7	33.3±10.7	31,3110	Lower Fox River & Green Bay, Wi	
54+7 57			35.4129	3511J	31.0110.0	Lower Fox River & Green Bay, Wi	
80+5 07			50 9+20 6	30 3+35 1	29110.0	Lower Fox River & Green Bay, Wi	
672+0 485	1 83+2 48		00.0130.0	39.3123.1	0.3313.90	Bay of Quinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.07210.403	1.0312.40		29.4±20.0	0 77+0 20	76 7 4 60	Lake Pontchartrain, LA	
.0010.03	4.7511.2		12.014,00	9.77IU.29	70,714.00	Saginaw River, Mi	ingersoli et al. 1992a
, . 			40	30 75	1	Perstant Harbour, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0,0			10	/0 76	14	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, UN	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
).0 ) 0			10	/5	14	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
	2.04.0.05		10	/5	14	Penetang Harbour, Lake Huron, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
14312.40	3.01±2.05		35.8±5.35			Vermillion Bay, LA	EMAP 1991
0.3410.72			62.8±31.5	29.8±26.1	5.75±5.68	Bay of Quinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1±2.83						St. Marys River, ON	Pope 1990
5±2.83						St. Marys River, ON	Pope 1990
94±0.23						Little Grizzly Creek System, CA	Malueg et al. 1984b
.94±0.23						Little Grizzly Creek System, CA	Malueg et al. 1984b
.94±0.23						Little Grizzly Creek System, CA	Malueg et al. 1984b
	4.46		39.5			Vermillion Bay, LA	EMAP 1991
.1	4.45		39.5			Vermillion Bay, LA	EMAP 1991
70.0 40							
19±3.76			//±16.5	18±14	3±1.73	Bay of Quinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
.00±1.//			58±53.7	30.5±37.5	11.5±14.8	Toronto Harbour, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
.45±0.92	0.00.000					Keweenaw Waterway, MI	Malueg et al. 1984a
.85±0.26	6.28±4.01		7.47±2.34	6.67±2.46	83.3±7.88	Buffalo River, NY	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
/5±2.93						St. Marys River, ON	Pope 1990
./5±2.93						St. Marys River, ON	Pope 1990
.24±2,3			41.3±40.6	40.8±26.8	15.5±14.1	Toronto Harbour, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989

Ch (n	romium ng•kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Hit	Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Life Stage
95	±64.2	•	COA		Low abundance (0 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
95	±64.2	*	COA		Low species richness (0.918±0.417; SRUs)	Benthic species	
95.5	±75.7	NE	COA		High species (18.5±0.707 S/0.044 sq.m)	Benthic species	
99.7	±76.2	*	COA		Low abundance (42.1±71.4 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
99.7	±76.2		COA		Low abundance (33.3±45.6 N/sq.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
100			SBA		WDNR Interim Criteria for In-Water Dispos	al of Dredged Sediments	
100			SLCA		Sediment Quality Criteria - Toxic Effects Threshold		
100			SDA		USEPA Persion VI Proposed Cuidelines	for Sodimont Dispessal	
102	+23.3	*	COA		Low abundance (110+69 4 N/sg m )	Benthic Invertebrates	
102	±23.3		COÀ		Low taxa (3 8+1.92 S)	Benthic species	
102	±23.3	*	COA		Low diversity (0.38±0.25 Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
102	±23.3	S	COA		Low abundance (0 N/sg.m.)	Emphemeroptera	
102	±66.7	NE	COA		High species richness (2.92±0.216; SRUs)	Benthic species	
102	±86.6	SG	COA		Low abundance (20,8±27 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
102	±99.3	SG	COA		Low abundance (6050±2566 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
103	±71.6	*	COA		Low abundance (0.571±1.51 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	S OTA BARONNETS EIRE MARTINE
105	±84.7	*	COA		Low abundance (154±102 N/sq.m.)	Chironomidae	
106	±74.4	SG	COA		Low abundance (9±6.75 N/sq.m.)	Amphipoda	
106	±91.3	NE	COA		Not significantly toxic (5.5±2.56% deformities)	Chironomidae	
109	±19.6		COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (97.1±2.85% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	JUV
110			SLCA		OMOE Provincial SQGs - Severe effect level		
112	±67.9		COA		Moderate diversity (2.14±0.351; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
113	1000 States and a	NC :	COA	14-d FT	Toxic (36.2% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	LAR
113		NE	COA	14-d FT	Not significantly toxic (4.2% sexual maturity)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	LAR
120			SBA		OMOE Unrestricted Land Use Criteria		
120	+112	-	SBA		OMOE Restricted Land Use Criteria	Ohimanamidaa	
121	1112 ±105	NE		20 d ET	Low abundance (65±41.7 N/sq.m.)		11 A D
123	±105		COA	20-0 F1	Not significantly toxic (99.7±0.716% sexual maturity)	Ayaiella azleca (amphipoo)	LAR
133	+121	ŇF		14-d FT	Not significantly toxic (0.00±0 % (notality)	Hvalalla aztera (amphipod)	
133	+121	SG	AO2	14-d FT	Significantly toxic (0.35+0.7% sexual maturity)	Hvalella azteca (amphipod)	
135	±159	SG	COA	kak, manananan b	Low abundance (1494±533 N/sg.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
136	±91.2	NE	COA		High abundance (24.5±13.4 N/sg.m.)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	1
142	±224	٠	COA		Low abundance (684±529 N/sg.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
143	±115	٠	COA	28-d FT	Significantly toxic (20±5.1% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	LAR
143	±259	NC	COA		Low abundance (0 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
150			COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (22% mortality)	Chironomus tentans (midge)	LAR
158	_±211	NE	COA		High abundance (16.3±2.31 N/sq.m.)	Gastropoda	
164	±241	and and and a	COA		Low species richness (1.07±0.192; SRUs)	Benthic species	
165	±240	NE	COA		High diversity (2.23±0.387; Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
165	±49.5		COA		Low species richness (0.69±0.0141; SRUs)	Benthic species	
170	±280	-	COA		Moderate abundance (6908±2982 N/sq.m.)	Benthic Invertebrates	
170	142.4	NE •			High abundance (517/±7/9 N/sq.m.)	Benthic Invertebrates	
179	+256		COA		Low abundance (100±3161Vsq111)	Chimpornidae	
180	+197	NF	COA		Not significantly toxic (3+4 24% deformities)	Chironomidae	S IT charges a
200		9. K			Low diversity (1.39: Shannon Weaver Index)	Berthic species	
282	±321	NE	COA	namilifinili	High taxa (11.3±0.577 S)	Benthic species	
287	±262	NE	COA		High diversity (0.833±0.057: Shannon Weaver Index)	Benthic species	
309	±529	*	COA		Low taxa (4.14±2.54 S)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	L
309	±529	*	COA		Low taxa (1.14±1.46 S)	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera	
315	±236	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (1.68±2.04% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	YUL
319		şuğu	COA	14 d FT	Significantly toxic (90% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	LAR
319			COA	28-d FT	Significantly toxic (90% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	LAR
319		6. S	COA	14-d FT	Significantly toxic (85.5% mortality)	Chironomus riparius (midge)	24 h
319		*	COA	28-d FT	Significantly toxic (37.5% sexual maturity)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	LAR
379	±300	NE	COA		High abundance (1401±208 N/sq.m.)	Benthic Invertebrates	
426	±344	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (3.33±2.8% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	JUV
426	±344	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (10±3.61% mortality)	Hexagenia limbata (mayfly)	NYM
4/ 3 570	1440 1364	। •	COA		Low apundance (ozo±zu1 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	1999 - 1999 -
705	+389	50	COA		Luw dwareth/ /0.5/40.090/ Shappan Warran Indus	Benthic species	
797	+728	NE	COA		High abundance (22+6 N/0.0225 as m)	Obironomidan	
	ورون ال <b>لہ اللہ اللہ اللہ</b> اللہ اللہ اللہ اللہ ا	INC (			- TIGH abuluance (2210 IVU.U220 SQ.M.)	Chiromonomidae	

#### Appendix Ia. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

		Unionized					
TOC	AVS	Ammonia	Sand	Silt	Clay	Area	Reference
(%)	(µmol·g <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	(%)	(%)		
20400			44.0.40.0				
3 2412.3			41.3±40.6	40.8±26.8	15.5±14.1	Toronto Harbour, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.43±0.345	0.4±0.226		33.3±36.7	40.0120.0	10.0114.1	Lake Pontchartrain LA	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.63±3.18			61.9±30.3	26±21	9.86±8.57	Detroit River, MI	Jaagumagi 1988: et al. 1989
3.63±3.18			61.9±30,3	26±21	9.86±8.57	Detroit River, MI	Jaanumaol 1988: et al. 1989
				한다. 같이 아직 A		Great Lakes	Sullivan et al. 1985
					at sea a sé	St. Lawrence River	EC/MINVEQ 1992
an er ne an traditionen en	is an an an an an an an an an an Inaimh ann an an an an an an an an	مىية بىرى ئەتە يەتە يېلىكى ئەتە يەتە يەتە يەتە يەتە يەتە يەتە يەتە				Canada	Hart et al. 1988
2 2+0 64						United States	Pavlou and Weston 1983
2.2±0.64						Keweenaw Waterway, Mi	Malueg et al. 1984a Malueg et al. 1984a
2.2±0.64						Keweenaw Waterway, MI	Maluen et al. 1984a
2.2±0.64	مەلەر ۋە 20 ئىرىمىدە دىرىمەر 1				*****	Keweenaw Waterway, MI	Malueg et al. 1984a
8,49±6.27		a da antesia.	50±34.6	40.3±28.2	8.25±6.75	Bay of Quinte, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.5±2.47	24.7±51,4		31±28	6.43±2.94	58.1±26.6	Buffalo River, NY	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
2.68±2.82	29.7±58.2	يتفارف فتتمطيعه فارار	37.8±28.3	5.94±3.23	52.1±27.5	Buffaio River, NY	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
3.00±2.94 2 49+2 47	23 7+51 7		55±27.9	31.3±20.1	10.7±7.93	Detroit River, MI	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
4.79±3.02	20.7101.7		20.1±29 50.8+29	33 6+20 3	13+8 19	Detroit River, M	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
2.55±2.63	27±54.4		32.4±29.6	5.81±2.9	57.7±29.1	Buffalo River, NY	Incersoll et al. 1992a
2.38±0.54	ىرىمى بىرىمى بىرىمىيە يېرىمىيە يېرىمىيە يېرىمىيە يېرىمىيە يېرىمىيە يېرىمىيە يېرىمىيە يېرىمىيە يېرىمىيە يېرىمىي يېرىمىيە يېرىمىيە يېرى	ng par sur sur s	en e soeven in joer (en e			Keweenaw Waterway, MI	Malueg et al. 1984a
1						Ontario	Persaud et al. 1992
4.14±3.44			60.5±32.1	27.3±23	10±9.06	Detroit River, MI	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2	5.8	0.052	34.6	7	54	Buffalo River, NY	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
2	5.8	0.052	34.0	/	54	Buffalo River, NY	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
						Ontario	Filchko 1989
2.3±0.84	6.4±5.37		37±29.7	8±1.91	50.7±30	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoli et al. 1992a
3.3±3.14	36±69.9	0.076±0.024	22.9±15.3	7.84±1.98	65.1±16.8	Buffalo River, NY	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
3.3±3.14	36±69.9	0.214±0.203	22.9±15.3	7.84±1.98	65.1±16.8	Buffalo River, NY	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
3.63±3.52	43.6±72.3	0.08±0.02	20±15.9	8.05±2.22	67.9±18	Buffalo River, NY	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
3.53±3.52	43.01/8.3	0.08±0.02	20±15.9	8.05±2.22	67.9±18	Buffalo River, NY	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
6.28±8.09	1.021.11		61 5±46	30 5+37 5	20.1111.2 7+8.49	Bay of Quinte, ON	Ingersoli et al. 1992a
2.1±3.2			55.5±57.3	35.5±47.4	7.5±9.19	Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
3.7±3.47	44.4±77.7	0.077±0.028	26.2±15.5	7.43±2.02	62.8±18.4	Buffalo River, NY	Ingersoli et al. 1992a
1.77±2.09			41±35.8	45.5±28.2	11±8.17	Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
0.18						Trinity River, TX	Dickson et al. 1989
2.7212.03			33.5±20.2	04±21.2	11.5±3.54	Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989 🥲 🤖 j
2.31±2.27	مأسابة مدرو مدهدة للقاركين	se a contraction of the	31+74 1	47.0123.5 54 1+19	11.1±7.04	Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
6.15±2.19			56±7.07	30.5±4.95	11±1.41	Detroit River, MI	Jaagumagi 1988: et al. 1989
2.07±2.19			30±26.4	54.2±20.7	13±7.31	Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
6.15±2.19			56±7.07	30.5±4.95	11±1.41	Detroit River, MI	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
2.37±2.48			42.5±36.7	44.3±28.8	11.5±8.26	Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989
1 55+0 78	8 540 0	0.04340.03	37.3±34.1	48.9±27.3	11.4±7.55	Humber Bay, Lake Ontario, ON	Jaagumagi 1988; et al. 1989 🕮
4.6			61	27	19.0±0.26 10	Sayillaw River, Mi Detroit River, Mi	Ingersoli et al. 1992a
22.7±6.59						Phillips Chain of Lakes, WI	Malueg et al. 1984b
21.7±5.76						Phillips Chain of Lakes, WI	Malueg et al. 1984b
2.75±2.71						River Adige, Italy	Duzzin et al. 1988
2.75±2.71						River Adige, Italy	Duzzin et al. 1988
20.4£J.62	15.5	0.064	69.2	6	10.9	Phillips Chain of Lakes, WI	Malueg et al. 1984b
2.1	15.5	0.064	69.2	6	19.8	Saginaw River, MI	
2.1	15.5	0.063	69.2	6	19.8	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
2.1	15.5 (	0.064	69.2	5	19.8	Saginaw River, MI	Ingersoll et al. 1992a
19.2±7.13						Phillips Chain of Lakes, WI	Malueg et al. 1984b
19.3±5.78				•	1	Phillips Chain of Lakes, WI	Malueg et al. 1984b
19.5±5.75	MP PARAFAM		LINE AV	kan jada kant		Phillips Chain of Lakes, WI	Malueg et al. 1984b
15.9±2.36						Phillips Chain of Jakes Wi	Malueg et al. 1984b
14.6±0,495		7.1.1.1			201	Phillips Chain of Lakes, WI	Malueg et al. 1984b
	inni ana ina	Laissen en				Palestine Lake, IN	Wentsel et al. 1977a

Appendix la. Su	ummary of the available b	iological effects and	related physicochemical data for
-----------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------

Chromium (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )		Hit	Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Life Stage
980		*	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (16.7% mortality)	Daphnia magna (water flea)	JUV
1014	±821	NC	COA		Low abundance (3357±2112 N/sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates	
1156	±505	NE	COA	5-d	Not significantly toxic (58.8±1.98% avoidance)	Chironomus tentans (midge)	LAR
1412		NE	COA		High abundance (493917 N/sq.m.)	Benthic Invertebrates	
1721	±375	SG	COA	5-d	Significantly toxic (86.53±11.8% avoidance)	Chironomus tentans (midge)	LAR
1954	±215	*	COA		Low abundance (0.2 N/0.0225 sq.m.)	Chironomidae	

TOC (%)	AVS _(µmol·g <sup>-1</sup> )	Unionized Ammonia (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Area	Reference
14.2						Phillips Chain of Lakes, WI	Malueg et al. 1984b
9.2±2.29	41.5±21.4		23.9±14.3	5.9±0.636	66.1±15.1	Indiana Harbor, IN	Ingersoll et al 1992a
						Palestine Lake, IN	Wentsel et al. 1977b
12.3	31.7		14.2	9.9	72.1	Indiana Harbor, IN	ingersoli et al. 1992a
						Palestine Lake, IN	Wentsel et al. 1977b
						Palestine Lake, IN	Wentsel et al. 1977a

.

Chromium Anai (mg∙kg <sup>-1</sup> ) Hit Tyj		Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Life Stage	Tọc (%)	
0.6		NC	COA	20-d	Significantly toxic (-0.004 mg/day growth)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	JUV	0.185
1.32	±1.34	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (7.2±2.77% mortality)	Palaemonetes pugio (grass shrimp)	ADT	
1.32	±1.34	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (10.6±5.18% mortality)	Nereis virens (sandworm)	ADT	
1.32	±1.34	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (0.2±0.447% mortality)	Mercenaria mercenaria (hard clams)	ADT	•
2.46	1.12	NE	COA		Not toxic (species richness or abundance)	Benthic species		0.12
2.74	±1.82	NE	COA		Not sig. toxic (mean ET50;burrowing time 0.704±0.17h)	Mya arenaria (clam)	-1-2 cm	
4	10.40	NC	COA		Significantly toxic (10% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid shrimp)		0.009
4.2	+2.43	NE	COA	40 -	High species richness (14.9±2.04; SRUs)	Benthic species		
4.J 5.6	±2.12	NC	COA	10-a	Significantly toxic (15.6% monality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)		0.438±0.442
6		NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (10% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)		0.028
6	±2.94	NE	COA	10 11	Not significantly toxic (0.75+1.5% mortality)	Musidonsis habia (musid shrimn)		0.03
6.25			EaPA		Chronic Marine EgP Threshold	Aquatic biota		1
6.4		SG	COA		Low species richness (5,16; SRUs)	Benthic species		
6.64	±2.47	NE	COA		High diversity (4.15±0.59; SDUs)	Benthic species		
6.67	±5.03	NE	COA		Not significantly toxic (15±13.2% mortality)	Sheepshead minnow	ADT	0.05±0.0242
6.8		SG	COA		Low diversity (1.16; SDUs)	Benthic species	Noodilleire	Ministella Frank Lices (
7.5	±3.54	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (3.3±3.25% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)		0.643±0.455
7.63	±8.94	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (15.3±11.0% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	JUV	0.648±0.641
8		SG	COA	5.788 Y 88 N 800 K 80	Significantly toxic (mean ET50; burrowing time 1.4 h)	Mya arenaria (clam)	1-2 cm	
8.02	±2.45	SG	COA		Moderate species richness (9.05±1.33; SRUs)	Benthic species		
8.37	±2.30	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (2±1% mortality)	Palaemonetes pugio (grass shrimp)	ADT	
8.37	±2.30	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (9.6±4.22% mortality)	Nereis virens (sandworm)	ADT	
8.37	±2.30	NE		10-d	Not toxic (0% mortality)	Mercenaria mercenaria (hard clams)	ADT	
0.43 8.43	±2.49		COA	10-0	Not toxic (4.8±2.28% montality)	Palaemonetes pugio (grass shrimp)	ADT	
0.43 8.43	+2.49			10-0 10 d	Not toxic (15.8±4.21% monality)	Nereis virens (sandworm)	ADT	
9.32	+3.27	SG		10-u	Moderate diversity (2.3±0.2: SDL(c)	Nercenaria mercenaria (naro ciams)	ADI	
95	+9 19	NC		10-d	Significantly toxic (11 6+3 30% mortality)	Amaclinos abdita (amablaad)		0444.0045
10		NE	COA		High density (24 N/0.1 sg m )	Echipodermata	VAD	0.111±0.045
10.6	±10.6	NC	COA	20-d	Significantly toxic (55±19.5% mortality)	Streblospio benedicti (polychaete worm)		0 349+0 11
11.8	±3.7	NE	COA	48-h	Least toxic (15.1±3.1% abnormality)	Oyster	LAR	
11.8	±4.61	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (86.6±4.25% normal development)	Arbacia punctulata (sea urchin)		0.181±0.162
12		NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (11.3% mortality)	Leptocheirus plumulosus (amphipod)	JUV	
12	±9.7	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (7.2±1.92% mortality)	Lepidactylus dytiscus (amphipod)		0.56±0.47
12	±9.7	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (99.6±0.55% reburial)	Lepidactylus dytiscus (amphipod)		0.56±0.47
12	±9.7	NE	COA	20-d	Not significantly toxic (<10% emergence)	Lepidactylus dytiscus (amphipod)		0.56±0.47
12	±9.7	NE	COA	20-d	Not significantly toxic (<10% emergence)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	JUV	0.56±0.47
13.2	±14	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (27.5±10.6% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid)		0.237±0.311
13.3 3	1173		COA	90-11	Not significantly toxic (9.78±5.33% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid)	anter en sere	0.24±0.247
13.5	+6 11	SG		10-d	Significantly toxic (28.346.11% mortality)	Menidia beryllina (silverside)		0.243±0.264
14	+14.1	NC	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (46+49 3% mortality)	Ampelisco obdito (amphiped)		0.246±0.051
14.2	±11.9	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (11.6±4.33% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)		0.2210.290
14.7	±12.5	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (5.4±3.6% mortality)	Amphipod	ADT	0.129+0.054
15	±4.24	NE	COA	20-d	Not significantly toxic (25.4±17.1% mortality)	Leptocheirus plumulosus (amphipod)	JUV	
15.3	±2.0	NE	COA	96-h	Not toxic (0-10% mortality)	Palaemonetes pugio (shrimp)		
15.4	±8.41	NE	COA	Automatica and a second	High abundance (155±49.5 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Oligochaeta		1.2±1.27
15.8	±11.9	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (91.3±2.91% normal development)	Arbacia punctulata (sea urchin)	**************	0.299±0.251
16.1	±8.17	NE	COA	1-h	Least toxic (83±4.26% fertilization)	Arbacia punctulata (sea urchin)	GAM	
16.2	±8.1	NE	COA	10-d	Least toxic (12.5±4.5% mortality)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	
17.4	±12.2	- 	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (25.4±7.66% mortality)	Arbacia punctulata (sea urchin)	*************	0.344±0.242
17.5	±13		COA		High abundance (154±30.2 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Benthic invertebrates		0.47±0.27
17.7		NC		20 H	Not significantly toxic (1% mortality)	Strebiospio benedicti (polychaete worm)		1.38
17.7	+7.3	SG	403	20-0 48-h	Moderately toxic (23+2 3% abnormality	Cepidaciyius dyriscus (amphipod)		1.38
17.7	±7.3	SG	COA	10-d	Moderately toxic (26+5 2% motality)	Phononyphile abronius (apprhimed)		
17.9	±0.173	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (2.4±1.52% mortality)	Palaemonetes nucio (grass shrimo)	ADT	
17.9	±0.173	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (0% mortality)	Mercenaria mercenaria (hard clams)	ADT	
17.9	±0.173	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (2.8±2.17% mortality)	Nereis virens (sandworm)	ADT	
18	±4.93	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (8±2% mortality)	Crassostrea virginica (oyster)	ADT	6.73±2.04
18	±4.93	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (22±5.29% mortality)	Arenicola cristata (lugworm)	ADT	6.73±2.04
18	±4.93	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (3.3±3.05% mortality)	Penaeus duorarum (pink shrimp)	ADT	6.73±2.04
19	±12.7	NE	COA	A CARLES	High density (299±40.3 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Arthropoda	VAR	

## Appendix lb. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

# sediment-associated chromium (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) in marine and estuarine systems.

	Total		Unionized					
AVS	Sulphide	TFS	Ammonia	Sand	Silt	Clav	Area	Reference
(umolea <sup>-1</sup> )	(ma.ka <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg.1 <sup>-1</sup> )	$(ma.1^{-1})$	(%)	(%)	(%)		
<u></u>	(ing kg )	Ing.c. J.		(///	(/0)	(/0)		
0.5				100			Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
				85.7±13.7	5.33±9.24	5.33±7.51	Matagorda Ship Channel, TX	Espey, Huston & Assoc. 1985a
				85.7±13.7	5.33±9.24	5.33±7.51	Matagorda Ship Channel, TX	Espey Huston & Assoc 1985a
				85.7±13.7	5.33±9.24	5.33±7.51	Matagorda Ship Channel TX	Esper Huston & Assoc 1985a
	-	• • •		0.5		0.5	Georgefown Harbor, SC	Van Dolah et al. 1984
•				••••			Chesaneake Bay MD VI: Delaware Bay DE	Photos 1000
0.004				94.8			Mobile Bay, Al	
0.00 1				04.0+2.04			Charlester Lister SO	EMAP 1991
0 421+0 502				40 5 104 0			Charleston Harbor, SC	Winn et al. 1989
0.42110.393				40.5±24.2			Apalachee Bay, FL	EMAP 1991
9 μ <u>y</u> /y 10 μm/n							Savannah River Entrance Channel, GA	Windom 1995
ia hãia							Savannah River Entrance Channel, GA	Windom 1995
0.405±0.417				51.6±25.1			Apalachee Bay, FL	EMAP 1991
							United States	Bolton et al. 1985
				98.1			Charleston Harbor, SC	Winn et al. 1989
				97.1±2.34			Charleston Harbor, SC	Winn et al. 1989
							Houston Ship Channel, TX	Crocker et al. 1991
				98.1			Charleston Harbor, SC	Winn et al. 1989
0.39±0.41				62.7±28.3			Apalachee Bay, FL	EMAP 1991
1.74 <b>±1</b> .39				87.4±16.6	9.77±13.7	2.8±3,02	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
							Chesapeake Bay, MD, VI; Delaware Bay.DE	Phelps 1990
				97.7±1.07			Charleston Harbor, SC	Winn et al. 1989
				24.7±21	41.4±5.4	34±16.5	Freeport Harbor, TX	Espey Huston & Assoc 1985b
				24.7±21	41.4±5.4	34±16.5	Freeport Harbor TX	Espey, Huston & Assoc 1985b
				24.7±21	41 4+5 4	34+16.5	Freeport Harbor, TX	Espey, Huston & Assoc. 1985b
						•	Sabine-Neches Waterway, TY	Esper, Huston & Assoc. 19600
							Sabine-Neches Waterway, TX	Espey, Huston & Assoc. 1963a
							Sabine-Neches Waterway, TX	Esper, Huston & Assoc. 1963a
				97 5+0 67			Charleston Harbor, SC	Espey, Husion & Assoc. 1963a
0 03				87 012 /6			Mississi Saund MO	Winn et al. 1989
0.00				07.913.40			Mississippi Sound, MS	EMAP 1991
1 07+0 66				047.070	0.05.0.57	0.00.4.00	Massachusetts Bay, MA	Gilbert et al. 1976
1.07 ±0.00				94.7±3.73	3.25±2.57	2,03±1.38	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
400,000,	_						Commencement Bay, WA	Tetra Tech 1985
182±208 µg/	3						Brunswick Harbor Entrance, GA	Windom 1995
				4.9	44.4	50.8	Curtis Creek, Baltimore, MD	McGee et al. 1993
1.5±1.13				89.5±12.1	7.68±10.2	2.82±2.14	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
1.5±1.13				89.5±12.1	7.68±10.2	2.82±2.14	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
1.5±1.13				89.5±12.1	7.68±10.2	2.82±2.14	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
1.5±1.13				89.5±12.1	7.68±10.2	2.82±2.14	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
74.6±85.5 µg	/g						Savannah River Entrance Channel, GA	Windom 1995
91.9±73.4 µg	/g						Savannah River Entrance Channel, GA	Windom 1995
90.5±78.4 µg	/g						Savannah River Entrance Channel, GA	Windom 1995
127±24 µg/g							Savannah River Entrance Channel, GA	Windom 1995
3.84±5.43				59.6±49.8			Mobile Bay, AL	EMAP 1991
102±71.1 µg/	g						Savannah River Entrance Channel GA	Windom 1995
				; ;		· · · · ·	Houston Ship Channel, TX	Crocker et al. 1991
				5 8±1 27	43 6+1 13	50 7+0 212	Curtis Creek Baltimore MD	McGea et al 1993
							Diwamish River WA	Lee and Mariani 1977
4.27±3.85				48.6±14 1	30.2+5.06	21 2+19 2	Galveston Bay, TX	
114±68.4 µn/	q					~	Savannah River Entrance Chappel CA	Windom 1995
0.131±0 169	~						Tampa Bay, El	1 opg 1003
							Commonagement Row 14/4	Long 1993
129 5+50 3	n/n						Sourcement Bay, WA	Teua Tech 1985
9 07+2 0A	9.9			22 0 15 50	25 0.40	04 7 .0 07	Savarinan River Entrance Channel, GA	vvindom 1995
3.01 12.54				J∠.0±3.30	05.0±13	51./±9.67	Gaiveston Bay, 1X	
3.25				00.0	20.4	0	Unesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
0.20				08.0	25.4	0	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
	· · ·						Commencement Bay, WA	Tetra Tech 1985
							Commencement Bay, WA	Tetra Tech 1985
				25.3±0.917	25.3±2.06	49.4±2.15	Galveston Harbor, TX	Grieco 1984
				25.3±0.917	25.3±2.06	49.4 <u>±2</u> .15	Galveston Harbor, TX	Grieco 1984
				25.3±0.917	25.3±2.06	49.4 <u>±2</u> .15	Galveston Harbor, TX	Grieco 1984
,					Contraction of the		Gulfport Mississippl Channel, MS	Parrish 1987b
							Gulfport Mississippl Channel MS	Parrish 1987b
,					×.		Gulfport Mississippi Channel, MS	Parrish 1987b
							Massachusetts Bay, MA	Gilbert et al. 1976
				e e a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a			ana an	أممد الديجيج ومحمد ممتحي مدمعت معتصم ومحمومة معامر معمولا والما

Chromium (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )		Hit	Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Life Stage	тос (%)
· 19.7	±11.3	SG		10-d	Highly toxic (78.5±19.5% mortality)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	0.016+0.661
19.9	±0.4	NE	COA	96-h	Not toxic (0% mortality)	Palaemonetes pugio (shrimp)		0.910±0.001
20	±1.97	NE	COA		High abundance (27.3±10 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Mollusca		1.64±0.4
20	±5	ŇE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (6±2.35% mortality)	Palaemonetes pugio (grass shrimp)	ADT	
20	±5	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (2.6±2.97% mortality)	Nereis virens (sandworm)	ADT	
20	±5	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (1.8±1.79% mortality)	Mercenaria mercenaria (hard clams)	ADT	
20.5	±14.3	NE	COA	_7-Q h_0t	Not significantly toxic (1,5±2% mortality)	Sneepsnead minnow		0.13/±0.056
21.2	±7.65	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (6.67±4.51% mortality)	Corophium volutator (amphipod)		0 24+0 18
21.2	±7.65	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (2.33±1.53% mortality)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT/JUV	0.24±0.18
21.2	±7.65	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (100% reburial)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT/JUV	0.24±0.18
21.5	±3.51	NE	COA		High species richness (24.5±3.7 S/0.00203 sq m.)	Benthic species		1.36±0.66
21.5	±3.51	NE	COA		High abundance (359±92.8 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Benthic species		1.36±0.66
21.6	±8.67	NE	COA		High abundance (16.2±6.19 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Copepoda		0.844±0.524
22	±13.5	NE	COA	400000 C	Low species (11.2±1.94 S/0.00203 sq.m.)	Benthic species		0.642±0.356
22.2	±10.7	NE	COA	40-11	High species richness (102+4 73 S/0 1 sq m )	Benthic species		
23.4	±10.3	SG	COA		Moderate abundance (58.3±16.2 N/0.00203 sg.m.)	Oligochaeta	Y AIN	0 632+0 274
23.5	±3.54	NG	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (22.7±0.778% mortality)	Amphipod	ADT	0.248±0.132
23.7	±15.6	NE	COA	48-h	Not toxic (98.1±1.79% normal development)	Arbacia punctulata (sea urchin)	ЕМВ	0.748±0,476
23.7	±22,3	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (4.7±4.29% mortality)	Amphipod	ADT	0.144±0.123
24.6	±29.9	SG	COA	_ 1-h	Moderately toxic (44.5±17.8% fertilization)	Arbacia punctulata (sea urchin)	GAM	
24.8	±22.5	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (9±1.73% mortality)	Nereis virens (polychaetes)	ADT	
24.8	±22.5	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (13±3.61% mortality)	Penaeus duorarum (pink shrimp)	ADT	
24.8	±22.5	NE	COA	10-0 1 b	Not significantly toxic (11.3±3.21% mortality)	Crassostrea virginica (oyster)	ADT	0.77.0.440
25	I14.4		COA	1-0 06-b	Not toxic (92.3±0.9% fertilization)	Arbacia punctulata (sea urchin)	EWB	0.77±0.448
26	See se s	NE		10-d	Not significantly toxic (14% mortality)	Acanthomysis sculata (mysid)		
26		NE	COA	20-d	Not significantly toxic (13% mortality)	Neanthes arenaceodentata (polychaete)		
26		NE	COA	20-d	Not significantly toxic (1% mortality)	Macoma nasuta (clam)		
26		NE	COA	96-h	Not significantly toxic (0% mortality)	Acanthomysis sculpta (mysid)	ADT	
26		NE	COA	96-h	Not significantly toxic (18% mortality)	Acartia tonsa (copepod)	ADT	
26.4	±5.08	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (0% mortality)	Crassostrea virginica (oyster)	ADT	2.5±2.83
26.4	±5.08	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (5±1.41% mortality)	Penaeus duorarum (pink shrimp)	ADT	2.5±2.83
26.4	±5.08	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (8% mortality)	Arenicola cristata (lugworm)	ADT	2.5±2.83
20.1	τιz			16-min	Low abundance (U.S.EU.651 NVU.UU2US sq.m.)	Amphipoda Microtox (Photobacterium phoephoreum)		0.859±0.487
28	±40.1	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (0.33+0.516% modality)	Palaemonetes pugio (grass shrimo)		0.493+0.448
28	±40.1	NE	COA	20-d	Not significantly toxic (2.33±1.03% mortality)	Palaemonetes pugio (grass shrimp)		0.493±0.448
28	±40.1	NE	COA	20-d	Not toxic (0.367±0.085 mg/day growth)	Palaemonetes pugio (grass shrimp)	****	0.493±0.448
28	±40.1	NE	COA	20-d	Not toxic (0.002±0.0005 mg/day growth)	Streblospio benedicti (polychaete worm)		0.493±0.448
28.7	±7.68	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (46.7±11.4% mortality)	Nereis virens (polychaete)	ADT	
28.7	±7.68	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (5.3±2.31% mortality)	Crassostrea virginica (oyster)	ADT	********
28.7	±7,68	NE	CDA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (14±9.17% mortality)	Penaeus duorarum (pink shrimp)	ADT	
29	±15 7	NE SC	COA		High density (4135 N/U, 1 sq.m.)	Annelida	VAR	0.70410.404
29.1	+25.4	NF		10-d	Not significantly toxic (1+1 41% mortality)	Crassostrea virginica (mater)	ADT	7 3113 96
29.1	±25.4	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (4±2.83% mortality)	Penaeus duorarum (nink shrimp)		7 31+3 86
29.1	±25.4	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (5±1.41% mortality)	Nereis virens (polychaete)	ADT	7.31±3.86
29.2	±16	SG	COA		Low species richness (10±3.73 S/0.00203 sq.m.)	Benthic species		0.795±0.425
29.2	±16	SG	COA		Low abundance (89±60.7 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Benthic species		0.795±0.425
29.6	±15.6	NE	COA		High abundance (191±70.1 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Echinoderm		
29.8	±11.3	NE	COA		Not significantly toxic (1.03±2.05% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid shrimp)		0.824±0.915
30	±44.4	SG	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (29.8±11.1% mortality)	Streblosplo benedicti (polychaete worm)		0.316±0.12
30 F	±44.4	NE	COA	20-d	Not significantly toxic (0.003±0.002 mg/day growth)	Lepidactylus dytiscus (amphipod)		0.316±0.12
31	+12 9	50			Low abundance (4.21±3.00 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Oligochaeta Ropthis investebrates		U.895±0.453
31	±16.5	SG	COA		Low abundance (41.7+21.8 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Polychaeta		0.90010.24/
31.1	±33.2	NE	COA		Not significantly toxic (EC50: 0.133±0.103 mg dry wt/ml )	Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum)		J.U-ULU.441
31.3	±16.1 🕠		COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (82.8±22.2% mortality)	Leptocheirus plumulosus (amphipod)	JÜV	
32.3	±17.5	SG	COA		Moderate abundance (56.2±23 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Echinoderm		
32.7	±17.1	SG	COA		Low abundance (2.05±1.58 N/0.00203 sq.m.)	Copepoda		0.879±0.47
33	±13.9	NC	COA	ran Ti	Significantly toxic(mean ET50;burrowing time3.79±3.29 h)	Mya arenaria (clam)	1-2 cm	

#### Appendix lb. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

# sediment-associated chromium (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) in marine and estuarine systems.

.....

Total Unionize AVS Sulphide TFS Ammoni	d a Sand	Silt	Clay	Area	Reference			
<u>(µmol·g<sup>-1</sup>) (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) (mg·L<sup>-1</sup>) (mg·L<sup>-1</sup>)</u>	(%)	(%)	(%)					
				Commencement Bay, WA	Tetra Tech 1985			
2.94 <u>+2</u> .3	46.7±11	40.7±7.63	12.6±4.37	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
1.39±0.17	57.4±5.75	34.7±5.09	7.94±0.68	Newport, RI. Galveston Bay, TX	Lee and Mariani 1977 Carr 1992			
	and the second secon			Sabine-Neches Waterway, TX	Espey, Huston & Assoc. 1983b			
	n de la seconda. No de la seconda de la s			Sabine-Neches Waterway, TX	Espey, Huston & Assoc. 1983b			
				Sabine-Neches Waterway, TX	Espey, Huston & Assoc. 1983b			
I or his straiter consistence of the or established of the definition of the office of the second second second	7.98±3.2	41.1±3.19	50.9±0.665	Curtis Creek, Baltimore, MD	McGee et al. 1993			
•	92.4±6.83			Black Rock Beach, NS	Nicol and Doe 1990			
	92.4±6.83			Black Rock Beach, NS Black Rock Beach, NS	Nicol and Doe 1990			
1.2±0.39	52.4±11	38.6±8.89	8.98±2.16	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
1.2±0.39	52.4±11	38.6±8.89	8.98±2.16	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
4.73±3.1	33.3±17.9	48.2±15.9	18.5±9.96	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
IO.MIO.OO A CALL III IN CALLARY CONTRACTOR AND AND IN THE PARTY INTERPARTY IN THE PARTY IN THE PARTY IN THE PARTY IN THE PARTY INTERPARTY	24.0113.8	43.3±10.8	32.218.31	Commencement Bay WA	Carr 1992 Tetra Tech 1985			
				Massachusetts Bay, MA	Gilbert et al. 1976			
7.93±5.6	33.2±11.8	46.8±10.9	20±10.4	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
4 16+3 45	35 2+18 4	48 9+16 4	17 849 16	Houston Ship Channel, TX Galveston Bay, TX	Crocker et al. 1991			
		-0.0110.4	11,010.10	Houston Ship Channel, TX	Crocker et al. 1991			
0.622±1.12			ing san	Tampa Bay, FL	Long 1993			
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	alter is wet a low that		a in la latas	Pensacola Harbor & Bay, FL	EG&G Bionomics 1980			
				Pensacola Harbor & Bay, FL Pensacola Harbor & Bay, Fl	EG&G Bionomics 1980			
6.37±6.58	31.7±18.8	49.2±16	19.1±9.19	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
en van der seinen in wurd dere i vieleren an eine eine eren van eren der andere eren var eren ander eren ander	BI SHERRE PERSONNELLER	KARAN (KANARAN)	LINE STRUCK COMPANY	San Diego Bay, CA	Salazar and Salazar 1985			
				San Diego Bay, CA	Salazar and Salazar 1985			
				San Diego Bay, CA	Salazar and Salazar 1985			
			inin a state a	San Diego Bay, CA	Salazar and Salazar 1985			
				San Diego Bay, CA	Salazar and Salazar 1985			
				Pensacola Naval Air Station, FL Pensacola Naval Air Station, FL	Parrish 1988a Parrish 1988a			
E - MARANTANTAN AN <b>BETIS FEFER FERTING AN FAFE AN</b> AN <b>BARANTANANANANANANANANANANANANANAN</b>				Pensacola Naval Air Station, FL	Parrish 1988a			
7.67±8.12	28.1±19.5	54.3±17.7	17.6±7.44	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
2.32+2.25	91 1+11.5	6 53+9 51	2 42+2 16	Puget Sound, WA	Bellar et al. 1986			
2.32+2.25	91.1±11.5	6.53±9.51	2.42±2.16	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992			
2.32±2.25	91.1±11.5	6.53±9.51	2.42±2.16	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992			
2.32±2.25	91.1±11.5	6.53±9.51	2.42±2.16	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992			
				Bayou La Batre, AB Bayou La Batre, AB	Parrish 1987a			
				Bayou La Batre, AB	Parrish 1987a			
8 2019 13	1	55 0140 0	405.744	Massachusetts Bay, MA	Gilbert et al. 1976			
	29±32.2	55.8±16.9	18.5±/,14	Pascacoula Naval Station MS	Carr 1992 Parrish 1990			
9- aranasa kotokano tara antara di attara di katata ang tata na kitata di katata di katata di katata di katata	29±32.2	ana	ariti alifa da	Pascagoula Naval Station, MS	Parrish 1990			
9 56+9 14	29±32.2	50.47.0	40	Pascagoula Naval Station, MS	Parrish 1990			
8.56±8.14	25.3±17.9 25.3±17.9	00±17.2 56±17.2	18.7±7.17	Galveston Bay, TX Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992 Carr 1992			
	32.6			Southern California	Word and Mearns 1979			
1.69±0.92	8.82±7.13			Chandeleur Sound, LA	EMAP 1991			
2.13±2.40	95.5±3.71	2.76±2.48	1.7±1.4	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992			
7.85±8.8	25.9±20.4	57.6±17.3	16.6±5.67	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
22±11.2	8.03±6.42	58.6±14.3	33.4±7.83	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
9.21±8.61 1 23+1 96	22.6±17.7	58.2±17.4	19.1±7.64	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
	12.9±8.13	35.6±9.26	51.6±1.38	Curtis Creek, Baltimore MD	Long 1993 McGee et al. 1993			
	49			Southern California	Word and Mearns 1979			
9.63±9.65	25.5±20.1	57.7±17.5	16.8±5.37	Galveston Bay, TX	Carr 1992			
		and the second	a (ili) Nentana di katena da	Chesapeake Bay, MD, VI; Delaware Bay, DE	Phelps 1990			
Chromium (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )		Hit	Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Life Stage	тос (%)
------------------------------------	--	-------------	--	------------------------	--	---	--	----------------------------------
33.3	±17.7	NC	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (48.1±1.91% mortality)	Mulinia lateralis (coot clam)	LAR	
33.4	±42.2	NE	COA	20-d	Not significantly toxic (0.001±0.001 mg/day growth)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	JUV	0.555±0.471
33.5	±10.5	NC	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (11.5±4.86% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)		0.811±0.711
33.6	±35.9	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (9.5±6.15% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	SUBADT	
34	±10.8	NE	COA	10-0 49 b	Not toxic (4.5±3.02% emergence)	Arbenio aurotulate (amphipod)	ADT	2.66±2.15
35.7	+16.5	3G *		20-d	Significantly toyle (94.6+9.35% mortality)	Arbacia puriciulata (sea urchin)	EMB	1.06±0.449
35.7	±18.5	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (2 87+4 05% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	104	0.517+0.166
36.2	±11.2	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (5.21±3.61% emergence)	Corophium volutator (amphipod)	ADT	3.18+2.1
37.5	±12.9	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (8.9±2.99% mortality)	Corophium volutator (amphipod)	ADT	2.8±1.96
37.5	±12.9	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (97.2±2.84% reburial)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	2.8±1.96
37.8	±13.4	SG	COA		Significantly toxic (7.6±1.32% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid shrimp)		0.624±0.197
38.1	±36.3	NC	COA		Moderate species richness (72±3.3 S/0.1 sq.m.)	Benthic species		
38.5	±26.3	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (10.6±3.17% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)		1.19±1.04
30.0	±20.3	NE SC	COA	10-0 1 b	Not significantly toxic (8±2.92% mortailty)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid)		1.19±1.04
39.9	+12.7	NF	COA	10-d	Not toxic (7.9+5.12% mortality)	Rhenorynius abronius (amphinod)	ADT	1.26±0.47
39.9	±27.1	NE	COA	96-h	Not toxic (9.61±2.7% mortality)	Menidia bervilina (silverside)	AUT	2.04±2.14 1.22+1.13
40.1	±23.5	NE	COA		Not significantly toxic (0.789±1.59% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid shrimp)		0 827+0 757
40.2	±27.3	NE	COA	96-h	Not toxic (5.43±3.21% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid)		1.21±1.13
40.7	±30.9	NE	COÁ .		High abundance (148±58 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Arthropods		
41.9	±34	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (5.28±3.04% mortality))	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	ADT	
42	±11	NE	COA		Sediments populated by feral clams	Macoma balthica (bivalve)		0.45
42	±39.8	NC	COA	Section 2	Moderate abundance (75.6±12.7 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Benthic species		
42.5	±37	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (38.5±2.9% mortality)	Mulinia lateralis (coot clam)	LAR	
43	±26.9	NC	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (15.8±3.89% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)		0.647±0.606
40.8	±21.0 ±/3.3	NE SG	COA		High density (201±33.4 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Mollusca	VAR	
40.3	±43.3	SG		96.h	Highly toxic (>50% mortalib)	Palaemonotos puralo (chrimp)	Construction of the second	
48.1	+22.8	NF	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (5.8+3.7% mortality)	Bhenorypius abropius (amphipod)		2 8340 450
48.1	±22.8	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (3.4±1.82% mortality)	Nereis virens (polychaete)		2.83+0.459
48.1	±22.8	NE	COA	28-d	Not significantly toxic (11.2±3.7% mortality)	Nereis virens (polychaete)		2.83±0.459
48.1	±22.8	NE	COA	28-d	Not significantly toxic (3.52±1.66% mortality)	Macoma nasuta (clam)	uli turbis asis	2.83±0.459
48.3	±51.9	*	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (55±22.6% mortality)	Hyalella azteca (amphipod)	JUV	0.338±0.133
49.3	±21.9	NE	COA		Not significantly toxic (0% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid shrimp)		0.934±0.657
49.6	±24.1	SG	COA	678 Y <u>1</u> 8388868	Low density (1316±768 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Annelida	VAR	
50.2	±26.1	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (5.3±3.06% mortality)	Penaeus duorarum (pink shrimp)	ADT	5.2±2.6
50.2	±20.1	NE	COA	0-UF	Not significantly toxic (8±6% mortality)	Arenicola cristata (lugworm)	ADT	5.2±2.6
51	( <b>140.</b> ]	NE	COA	1 <b>0-0</b>	Most toxic (100 Ti 1/a)	Crassostrea Virginica (oyster)	AD1	5.2±2.6
51.2	±18.3	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (1.4+1.73% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)		0.4
51.2	±42.9	NE	COA		High Abundance (6±1.41 N/0.00203 sg.m.)	Amphipoda		0.955+0.629
51.6	±3.05	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (0.36±0.261% emergence/d)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	0.42±0.13
51.7	±2.56	NE	COA	48-h	Not toxic (4.54±5.37% mortality)	Crassostrea gigas (oyster)	LAR	0.443±0.127
51.9	±2.42	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (7.76±1.89% abnormality)	Crassostrea gigas (oyster)	LAR	0.425±0.128
52.3			TEL					
52.8	±2.36	NE	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (41.75±38.9% mortality)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	0.45±0.058
53.8	±14.2	NE	COA	10 4	Not significantly toxic (1.58±1.82% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid shrimp)		0.944±0.54
54	I14.2	(NE:		10-0	Not significantly toxic (2.33±4.65% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)		0.944±0.54
54	+83.5	NC	COA	10-4	Low abundance (57 6+13 6 N/0 1 com)	Hyalella azleca (amphipod) Roothin species	JUV	
54.7	200.0	SG	COA	96-h	Toxic (16% mortality)	Mysidonsis habia (mysid)		18
54.9		SG	COA	10-d	Highly toxic (23% emergence)	Corophium volutator (amphipod)	ADT	3.5
54.9		SG	COA	10-d	Highly toxic (30.5% emergence)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	3.5
55.3	±21	SG	COA		Significantly toxic (12.2±4.42% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahla (mysid shrimp)		0.939±0.335
56	±1.41	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (7.8±1.63% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)		1.15±1.04
56	±18.2	SG	COA	-	Significantly toxic (13.4±9.11% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid shrimp)	an an an an an Bhirain an Anna	0.778±0.482
56.7		SG	COA	96-h	Toxic (22.3% mortality)	Menidia beryllina (silverside)		1.71
57.5	±15.2	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (1.35±1.45% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	<b>_</b>	0.961±0.537
50.9	<b>144.9</b> (1997)			<b>ח-ר</b>	MOST LOXIC (1.96±3.19% Territization)	Arbacia punctulata (sea urchin)	GAM	
58.6	+129	NE	CO4	STO S	Not Significantly fovic (mean ETED)		VAR.	
59		•	AETA		1986 Puget Sound AFT	Renthic species	1-2 cm	16
59	±27.2	NC	COA		Low density (7.14±4.23 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Rhynchocoela	VAR	10
····	··· •/ · ··· > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	***********	~~~***********************************	~~~~~	TRUNKER SAME AND	an a	2200222 (V 2000)	A 400 24 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20

### Appendix lb. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

·· • • •

.

AVS         Sulphide TFS         Ammonia         Sand         Silt         Clay         Area         Reference           (μmol·g <sup>-1</sup> ) (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> ) (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ) (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )         (%) </th <th></th>	
(µmol·g <sup>-1</sup> ) (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> ) (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ) (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ) (%) (%) (%)       Tampa Bay, FL       Long 1993         2.62±2.65       89.3±11.9       7.84±10       2.9±2.01       Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD       Hall et al. 1992         2.66±2.3       89.3±11.9       7.84±10       2.9±2.01       Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD       Hall et al. 1992         1.87±0.973       7.38±5.97       Chandeleur Sound, LA       EMAP 1991         1.56±2.73       25.7±41.3       39.1±18.7       52.6±25.5       Burrard Inlet, BC       McLeay et al. 1991         14.5±9.2       15.5±12.9       64±13.4       20.1±7.68       Galveston Bay, TX       Carr 1992         14.5±9.2       15.8±22.5       Chandeleur Sound, LA       EMAP 1991         2.02±1.38       15.8±22.5       Curtis Creek, Baltimore, MD       McGee et al. 1993         2.02±1.38       27.6±47.4       24.8±21.6       46.7±35.3       Burrard Inlet, BC       McLeav et al. 1991	
2.82±2.65       Tampa Bay, FL       Long 1993         2.68±2.3       89.3±11.9       7.84±10       2.9±2.01       Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD       Hall et al. 1992         1.87±0.973       7.38±5.97       Chandeleur Sound, LA       EMAP 1991         1.56±2.73       25.7±41.3       39.1±18.7       52.6±2.55       Burrard Inlet, BC       McLeay et al. 1991         1.45±9.2       15.5±12.9       64±13.4       20.1±7.68       Galveston Bay, TX       Carr 1992         2.02±1.38       15.8±22.5       Chandeleur Sound, LA       EMAP 1991         2.02±1.38       27.6±47.4       24.8±21.6       46.7±35.3       Burrard Inlet, BC       McLeav et al. 1993	
2.68±2.3       89.3±11.9       7.84±10       2.9±2.01       Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD       Hall et al. 1992         1.87±0.973       7.38±5.97       Chandeleur Sound, LA       EMAP 1991         1.56±2.73       25.7±41.3       39.1±18.7       52.6±2.55       Burrard Inlet, BC       MoLeav et al. 1992         14.5±9.2       15.5±12.9       64±13.4       20.1±7.68       Galveston Bay, TX       Carr 1992         2.02±1.38       15.8±22.5       Chandeleur Sound, LA       EMAP 1991         2.02±1.38       15.8±22.5       Chandeleur Sound, LA       EMAP 1991	
1.8740.973       7.38±5.97       Chardeleur Sound, LA       EMAP 1991         1.56±2.73       7.38±5.97       Tampa Bay, FL       Long 1993         14.5±9.2       15.5±12.9       64±13.4       20.1±7.68       Galveston Bay, TX       Carr 1992         14.5±9.2       15.5±12.9       64±13.4       20.1±7.68       Galveston Bay, TX       Carr 1992         202±1.38       15.8±22.5       Chandeleur Sound, LA       EMAP 1991         27.6±47.4       24.8±21.6       46.7±35.3       Burrard Inlet, BC       McLeav et al 1993	
1.56±2.73         Tampa Bay, FL         Long 1993           14.5±9.2         25.7±41.3         39.1±18.7         52.6±25.5         Burrard Inlet, BC         MoLeay et al. 1991           14.5±9.2         15.5±12.9         64±13.4         20.1±7.68         Galveston Bay, TX         Carr 1992           14.9±8.59         33.1±9.68         51.9±1.45         Curtis Creek, Baltimore, MD         McLeav et al. 1993           2.02±1.38         15.8±22.5         Chandeleur Sound, LA         EMAP 1991           27.6±47.4         24.8±21.6         46.7±35.3         Burrard Inlet, BC         McLeav et al. 1991	
25.7±41.3         39.1±18.7         52.6±25.5         Burrard Inlet, BC         McLeay et al. 1991           14.5±9.2         15.£±12.9         64±13.4         20.1±7.68         Galveston Bay, TX         Carr 1992           14.9±8.59         33.1±9.68         51.9±1.45         Curtis Creek, Baltimore, MD         McCee et al. 1993           2.02±1.38         15.8±22.5         Chandeleur Sound, LA         EMAP. 1991           27.6±47.4         24.8±21.6         46.7±35.3         Burrard Inlet, BC         McLeav et al. 1991	
14.5±9.2         15.5±12.9         64±13.4         20.1±7.68         Galveston Bay, TX         Carr 1992           14.9±8.59         33.1±9.68         51.9±1.45         Curtis Creek, Baltimore, MD         McGee et al. 1993           2.02±1.38         15.8±22.5         Chandeleur Sound, LA         EMAP. 1991           27.6±47.4         24.8±21.6         46.7±35.3         Burrard Inlet, BC         McLeav et al. 1991	
14.9±8.59         33.1±9.68         51.9±1.45         Curtis Creek, Baltimore, MD         McGee et al. 1993           2.02±1.38         15.8±22.5         Chandeleur Sound, LA         EMAP. 1991           27.6±47.4         24.8±21.6         46.7±35.3         Burrard Inlet, BC         McLeav et al. 1991	
202±1.38 15.8±22.5 Chandeleur Sound, LA EMAP 1991 27.6±47.4 24.8±21.6 46.7±35.3 Burrard Inlet, BC McLeav et al 1991	
27.6±47.4 24.8±21.6 46.7±35.3 Burrard Inlet, BC McLeavet al 1991	Market States
23±37.5 40.3±16.4 51.2±22.3 Burrard Inlet, BC McLeay et al. 1991	
23237.5 40.3±16.4 51.2±22.3 Burrard Inlet, BC McLeay et al. 1991	MERCENSION PAGE
2.0/11.19 11.3±1/.1 Chandeleur Sound, LA EMAP 1991	N \$18008034465
7864±1973 und	(1963)A
7864±11973 µg/gu r r Windom 1990	5922
14.6±10.1 13±11.1 70.5±11.6 16.4±5.2 Galveston Bay, TX Carr 1992	
27.5±40.1 46.9±8.02 42.7±13.2 Burrard Inlet, BC McLeav et al. 1991	N. C.
9172±13363 µg/g Brunswick Harbor Entrance, GA Windom 1995	
1.46±1.58 24.4±36.1 Mississippi Sound, MS EMAP 1991	
9096±13378 µg/g Brunswick Harbor Entrance, GA Windom 1995	
59.4 Southern California Word and Mearns 1979	
Munns et al. 1991	
Fraser River Estuary, BC McGreer 1982	33 X
2.64±3.04 Southern California Word and Mearns 19/9	Same Co
0.16±0.184 18.3±3.77 Galveston Bay TX EMAD 1001	
Massachusetts Bay MA Gilbert et al 1976	
49.4 Southern California Word and Mearns 1979	
Los Angeles, CA	
16.3±9.58 51.6±31.4 20.2±15 28.2±17.4 Wilmington Harbor, NC Ward et al. 1992	
16.3±9.58 51.6±31.4 20.2±15 28.2±17.4 Wilmington Harbor, NC Ward et al. 1992	
16.3±9.58 51.6±31.4 20.2±15 28.2±17.4 Wilmington Harbor, NC Ward et al. 1992	Street States
16.3±9.58 51.6±31.4 20.2±15 28.2±17.4 Wilmington Harbor, NC Ward et al. 1992	
2.9±5.11 94.7±3.84 3.3±2.72 2.03±1.44 Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD Hall et al. 1992	
Io. 124.00 Galveston Bay, 1X EMAP 1991	
Massacinusells Day, MA Gilbert et al. 1976 Bavoit Casotta MS	N280073
Partisti Sood	
Bayou Casotte MS	
24.6 29.1 46.1 Guilt of Mexico Chapman et al. 1991	
2.01±1.82 7.47±3.87 Mississippi Sound, MS EMAP 1991	ornerster
7.21±8.2 36.4±20.8 46.9±9.5 16.7±11.3 Galveston Bay, TX Carr 1992	
33.8±15.6 35.8±11.1 30.3±10.1 Gulf of Mexico Chapman et al. 1991	
31.4±13.3 37.1±10.5 31.1±9.29 Gulf of Mexico Chapman et al. 1991	
31.4±12.3 36.6±9.79 31.6±8.73 Gulf of Mexico Chapman et al. 1991	
22 2+5 33 37 4+11 3 30 7+5 07 Citil of Maxima Charmen at al 4024	
1.93±1.58 20±9.22 Matagorda Ray TX EMAD 4004	
1.93±1.58 20±9.22 Matagorda Bay TX EMAP 1991	
24.5 22.1 53.4 Curtis Creek Baltimore, MD McGee et al 1993	
Southern California Word and Mearns 1979	
7095 µg/g Brunswick Harbor Entrance, GA Windom 1995	
9.5 44.9 45.6 Burrard Inlet, BC McLeay et al. 1991	
9.5 44.9 45.6 Burrard Inlet, BC McLeay et al. 1991	
2.032.0 2.3140 651	
1.(14.00) 1.21+0.778 EMAP 1991	
6562 ug/g	
1.17±0.64 Brunswick Harbor Entrance, GA Windom 1995	
3.95±5.94 EMAP 1991	
10 43.2 Palos Verdes CA	
Chesapeake Bay.MD.VI: Delaware Bay.DE Phelos 1990	
Puget Sound, WA Bellar et al. 1986	
Massachusetts Bay, MA Gilbert et al. 1976	

Chromium (mg∙kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Hit	Analysis Type	Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Life Stage	тос (%)
59.9 ±9.2	*	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (14.6±18.9% normal development)	Arbacia punctulata (sea urchin)		1.99±0.562
60	•	COA	48-h	Significant increase in burrowing time	Macoma balthica (bivalve)		1.13
60 4 ±06 4	NE	SQO		Sediment Quality Objectives	Aquatic biota		
60.6 +27.3	SG		171121114	Low density (2.76±2.71v/0.1 sq.m.)			*****
60.8 ±8.77	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (10.5±4.09% mortality)	Rhapoxynius abronius (amphipod)		3 4+4 30
60.8 ±8.77	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (5.83±5.48% emergence)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	3 4+4 39
60.8 ±8.77	NE	COA	10-d	Not toxic (96.3±4.19% reburial)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	3.4±4.39
61.2 ±25.9	*	COA	********	Low density (61.1±36.6 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Arthropoda	VAR	rainnanisertekekekekekeisi
62	NE	COA		High density (4.4 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Echinoderm		0.9
62	NE	COA		High density (88 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Foraminifera (sponge)		0.9
62	NE	COA		High density (132 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Ophiuroidea (brittle star)		0.9
62 ±139	NE	COA		High species richness (96.3±22.3 S/0,1 sq.m.)	Benthic species		
62 8 ±63 7	NIC		20 4	Low species richness (37,2±12,2 S/U,1 sq.m.)	Benthic species	VAR	
66 +36.4	NF	COA	20-0	Not significantly toxic (10.313, 13% motality)	Mysidensis babia (mysid shrimp)		0.783±0.845
66.5 ±13.4	NE	COA	v Kost é Alba.	Not significantly toxic (0% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid shrimp)	States of the	1 3+0 83
67.5 ±5.9	NE	COA	96-h	Not toxic (0% mortality)	Palaemonetes pugio (shrimp)		1.010.00
67.8 ±36.4	NE	COA		Not toxic (3.21±0.239 diversity index)	Benthic species		0.464±0.143
69.5 ±20.5	SG	COA		Significantly toxic (32.9±36.4% mortality)	Mysidopsis bahia (mysid shrimp)		1.07±0.924
69.5 ±37.9	NE	COA	en de caracitada	Least toxic (6.32±3.68 TU/g)	Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum)		0.47±0.149
70	NE	COA		High density (22 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Rhynchocoela	VAR	
73 ±124	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (23.2% mortality)	Grandidierella Japonica (amphipod)	JUV	
73.8 ±19	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (17.2±7.99% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	SUBADT	1.14±0.352
76.4 ±19.3	NC	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (25.9±5.01% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	SUBADT	1.21±0.444
70.4 ±44.3	NE.	COA	10-0	Not significantly toxic (9±3.95% monality)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	0.471±0.18
80 +5.66	SG		10.d	Significantly toxic (ECS0, 0.02 H0.012 mg dry w/mL)	Microtox (Photobactenum phosphoreum)		4 00 10 747
81.3 +46.4	SG		10-0 10-d	Highly toxic (2.5+1.05% emergence/d)	Rhenorynius abonius (amphipod)	ADT	1.22±0./1/
81.4 ±88.5	SG	COA	10-d	Significantly toxicity (51.7% mortality)	Grandidieralla Japopica (amphipod)		.0.5±0.155
81.9 ±20.9	NE	COA		Not significantly toxic (EC50: 0.125±0.084 mg dry wt/mL)	Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum)		1.25+0.468
83.5 ±24.7	NC	COA	48-h	Significantly toxic (96±1.66% normal development)	Mulinia lateralis (bivalve)	LAR	2.52±0.997
83.6 ±22.1	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (6.48±10.1% mortality)	Mulinia lateralis (bivalve)	LAR	1.46±0.733
83.6 ±22.1	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (99±0.862% normal development)	Mulinia lateralis (bivalve)	LAR	1.46±0.733
83.8 ±25.5	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (17.2±6.07% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	SUBADT	1.71±0.9
84.3 ±18.5	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (19.3±5.86% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	SUBADT	1.82±0.225
85.3 ±32.7	NE	COA	40 5	Not significantly toxic (EC50; 0.096±0.101 mg dry wt/mL)	Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum)		1.37±0.759
85.6 ±14.7	NC	COA	48-N	Significantly toxic (68.5±11.4% mortality)	Mulinia lateralis (bivalve)	LAR	2.33±0.364
86 +67		COA	40-11 06-b	Least toxic (17.5% mortality)	Mussel	LAR	1.25
86.9 ±37.1	NE	COA	an a	Not significantly toxic (EC50: 0.074+0.043 mg doy wt/mt)	Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum)	in in hard	1 27-0 626
87	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (0.5±0.778% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphinod)		1 24+0 948
87 ±9.19	NG	COA	48-h	Moderately toxic (57.1±13.6% mortality)	Mussel	LAR	1.14±0.33
87.3 ±22.1	٠	COA		Sediments devoid of feral clams	Macoma balthica (bivalve)		1.95
87.4 ±33.1	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (99.3±0.827% normal development)	Mulinia lateralis (bivalve)	LAR	1.43±0.738
87.5 ±41.7	NE	COA		High abundance (54.6±5.09 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Amphipod	VAR	
87.5 ±41.7	NE.	COA		High abundance (30.7±0.99 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Phoxocephalids	VAR	
88.2 ±82.7	NE	COA	48-h	Least toxic (23.3±7.3% abnormal)	Bivalve	LAR	
89 ±4.55	NE.	COA	4-wk	Least toxic (116±4.3 young produced)	Tigriopus californicus (copepod)	ADT	1.23±0.09
09.J 134.0	•	COA	24 5	Significantly toxic (ECSU; 0.008±0.001 mg dry w/mL)	Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum)		2.19±1.27
90.1 +11.5	NF	COA	48-h	Least toxic (18+8 01% abnormal)	Macoma Daithica (Divalve)		3.09
90.6 ±15.8	SG	COA	`10-d	Significantly toxic (39 5+18 2% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	SUBADT	7.06+0.523
92.6 ±15.5	NC	COA		Significantly toxic (EC50: 0.014±0.006 mg dry wt/mt.)	Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum)	000,01	2 51+0 45
93 ±31.8	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (12.3±14.5% mortality)	Mulinia lateralis (bivalve)	LAR	1.53±0.743
93.7 ±35.8	1.00	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (32.1±16.9% mortality)	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	SUBADT	
94	NE	COA	~~~~ <b>~~~~~</b>	High density (93.4 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Echinoderm	*************	1.3±0.77
94.2 ±17.4	NE	COA		Not significantly toxic (EC50; 0.061±0.038 mg dry wt/mL)	Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum)		1.8±0.477
94.7 ±14.7	NE	COA	48-h	Not significantly toxic (98.3±2.22% normal development)	Mulinia lateralis (bivalve)	LAR	2.18±0.531
95 ±13.2	SG	COA	48-h	Moderately toxic (25.1±6.61% abnormal)	Mussel	LAR	1.26±0.17
95.3 ±23.6	NE	COA	10-d	Least toxic (13.6±7.76% mortality)	Amphipod	ADT	1.4±0.79
90 ±52,3	5G )	COA	48-h	Highly toxic (59.4±15.5% mortality)	Crassostrea gigas (oyster)	LAR	0.5±0.18
97.1 ±10.4		COA	48-N	Not significantly toxic (15.2±9.21% mortality)	Mulinia lateralis (bivalve)	LAR	2.17±0.663
51.17 122.3	INC		୍ର <b>ୀ U-ପ</b> ୍ରି	Least Ioxic (4.63±2.91% avoidance)	Amphipod	ADT	1.44±0.74

### Appendix Ib. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

	Total		Unionized					
AVS (umol·a <sup>-1</sup>	Sulphide ) (ma·ka <sup>-1</sup> )	TFS (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	Ammonia (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Area	Reference
14000+124			<u></u>			<u> </u>	Development of the second seco	
140091134	54 µg/g						Brunswick Harbor Entrance, GA	Windom 1995
							Burrard inlat BC	Sucia and Nimon 1001
							Massachusetts Bay, MA	Gilbort et al. 1976
							Massachusetts Bay, MA	Gilbert et al. 1976
				39 9 <del>1</del> 51 5	34 6 <del>+</del> 8 34	51 3+27 5	Have Sound BC	McLeavet at 1991
				39.9+51.5	34.6+8.34	51 3+27 5	Howe Sound, BC	Micheavior al 1991
				39.9+51.5	34 6+8 34	51 3+27 5	Howe Sound, BC	Moleovet al 1991
					•	•	Massachusetts Bay MA	Gilbert et al. 1976
	24	0.33	0.015	38	53.7	8.3	Palos Verdes CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	24	0.33	0.015	38	53.7	8.3	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	24	0.33	0.015	38	53.7	8.3	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
							Southern California	Word and Means 1979
							Massachusetts Bay, MA	Gilbert et al. 1976
4.83±2.23				83.7±21.4	13.1±17.4	3.2±3.96	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
8.23±3.4				19.8±4.69			Mobile Bay, AL	FMAP 1991
1.14±1				25.3±20.9			Mississippi River MS LA	EMAP 1991
							Norwalk River CT	Lee and Mariani 1977
				28.8±11.4	37.8±9.61	29.9±11.4	Gulf of Mexico	Chanman et al. 1991
1.41±1.93				10.5±7.94			Mississippi River MS LA	EMAP 1991
				29.2±12	38.7±9.67	28.3±10.6	Gulf of Mexico	Chapman et al. 1991
							Massachusetts Bay MA	Gilbert et al. 1976
							Southern California	Anderson et al. 1988
6.09±5,38				34.3±29.2	31±26.5	32.9±17	Long Island Sound NY CT	Bricker et al. 1993
2.41±2.32				42.9±29.8	40.3±21.4	16±9.54	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
				32.6±12.5	38±9.47	24.3 ±9.91	Gulf of Mexico	Chanman et al. 1991
6.13±8.06							Tampa Bay, FL	Long 1993
0.235±0.276	;			28.1±17			Mississiopi River, MS, LA	EMAP 1991
				24.7±4.7	39.4±8.91	29.6±13.3	Gulf of Mexico	Chapman et al 1991
							Southern California	Anderson et al. 1988
1.98±2.25				32.8±30.5	46.5±21.2	19.8±10.9	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
35±42.9				22.4±22.6	46.8±15.6	30.6±7.42	Long Island Sound NY CT	Bricker et al. 1993
4.2±6.27				36.7±29.4	44.1±20.4	18.4±10.3	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al 1993
4.2±6.27				36.7±29.4	44.1±20.4	18.4±10.3	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
15.3±23.2				31±23.5	37.1±21.8	30.6±13.8	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
6.3±6.2				23±19.7	48±14.9	28.4±5.2	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
6,93±6.83				47±30.3	30.6±21	20.3±14.7	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
26.8±9.42				23.9±10.2	33.5±9.38	23.7±4.83	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
				18.6	37.7	43,8	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1987a
							Stamford, CT	Lee and Mariani 1977
6.21±5.41				49.8±30.2	27.4±20.3	20.4±15.8	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
8.51±4.76				17.5±3.49			Mobile Bay, AL	EMAP 1991
				23.3	32.6	44	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1987a
							Fraser River Estuary, BC	McGreer 1982
14.7 <u>+2</u> 4				43.8±31	31.2±21	22.5±15.1	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al, 1993
	55.5±64.3			29.5±19.4			Palos Verdes, CA	Ferraro et al. 1991
	55.5±64.3			29.5±19.4			Palos Verdes, CA.	Ferraro et al. 1991
							San Francisco Bay, CA	Long and Morgan 1990
				15.2	36.2	48.7	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1987a
12±11.3				50.3±29.8	35.8±21.1	13.6±8.13	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
							Strait of Georgia, BC	McGreer 1979
				20.5	34.4	45.1	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1987a
20.1±13.9				22.3±9.32	39.6±11.2	26.3±5.56	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
29.6±15.7				20.2±11	40.4±12	28.1±7.08	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
15.7±23.2				38.6±28.5	34.8±20.4	24±14.3	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
7.63±9.44					<b>_</b>		Tampa Bay, FL	Long 1993
	226						Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1986
8.52±10.7				20±13.1	48.3±10.3	30.3±3.96	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
19.1±12.5				19.8±10.4	43.1±11.6	28.8±6.03	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
				14.7	36.3	49	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1987a
				20,1	40.4	39.4	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1987a
				24.3±6.01	39±9.31	27.8±15.9	Gulf of Mexico	Chapman et al. 1991
18.4 <b>±1</b> 9.9				18.2±12	48.6±9.29	31.6±4.65	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
				18.7	40.3	41	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1987a

# sediment-associated chromium (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) in marine and estuarine systems.

#### Chromium Analysis Test Life (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) Hit Type Type Endpoint Measured Species Stage TOC (%) ±66.7 COA 97.5 NC 48-h Highly toxic (92.4±4.5% abnormal) Bivalve LAR 98.7 ±31.1 NE COA 48-h Not significantly toxic (17±16.1% mortality) Mulinia lateralis (bivalve) LAR 1.99±1 ±32.8 SG COA Significantly toxic (30.5±16.4% mortality) 99,9 10-d Ampelisca abdita (amphipod) SUBADT 1.62±0.777 101 +21.8NF COA Not significantly toxic (EC50; 0.167±0.198 mg dry wt/mL) Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum) 1.59±1.09 Not significantly toxic (98,1±2,78% normal development) 101 ±33.7 NE COA 48-h Mulinia lateralis (blvalve) LAR 2.12±1.04 107 ±96.4 SG COA 48-h Significantly toxic (51.3±6.95% mortality) Mulinia lateralis (bivalve) ĽAR 1.8±1.71 108 COA 10-d Significantly toxic (29% mortality) Lepidactylus dytiscus (amphipod) 0.185 108 Significantly toxic (89.7% reburial) COA 10-d Lepidactylus dytiscus (amphipod) 0.185 108 Significantly toxic (>10% emergence) COA 20-d Lepidactylus dytiscus (amphipod) 0.185 108 COA 20-d Significantly toxic (>10% emergence) Hyalella azteca (amphipod) JUV 0.185 109 ±36.2 SG COA 10-d Significantly toxic (34.9±15.7% mortality) Ampelisca abdita (amphipod) SUBADT 2.23±1.04 109 ±7.78 NE COA 10-d Not significantly toxic (21.5±6.36% mortality) Ampelisca abdita (amphipod) SUBADT 2.35±1.05 110 ±53.5 COA 48-h Significantly toxic (32.6±14.2% abnormality) Crassostrea gigas (oyster) LAR 0.567±0.153 113 ±44.4 COA Significantly toxic (EC50; 0.016±0.007 mg dry wt/mL) SG Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum) 2.26±0.936 116 ±23.5 NE COA 10-d Not significantly toxic (5.6±2.2% mortality) Arenicola cristata (lugworm) ADT 116 ±23.5 NE COA 10-d Not significantly toxic (6.5±5.14% mortality) Penaeus duorarum (pink shrimp) ADT 116 ±23.5 NE COA 10-d Not significantly toxic (0.7±0.82% mortality) Crassostrea virginica (oyster) ADT 116 ±27.1 SG COA Moderately toxic (28.3±7.51% mortality) 10-d Amphipod ADT 2.01+0.98 117 NE ±19.8 COA 10-d Not significantly toxic (23±4.24% mortality) Ampelisca abdita (amphipod) SUBADT 2.46±1.22 117 ±31.9 SG COA Significantly toxic (EC50; 0.013±0.006 mg dry wt/mL) Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum) 2.79±0.744 117 ±46.5 COA 48-h Mulinia lateralis (bivalve) SG Significantly toxic (68.1±13.3% mortality) LAR 2.68±0.955 121 ±25 NE COA 48-h Not significantly toxic (29.8±13.9% mortality) Mulinia lateralis (bivalve) LAR 2.65±1.07 ±55.4 Significantly toxic (91.5±7.28% normal development) 124 SG COA 48-h Mulinia lateralis (bivalve) LAR 2.6±0.899 128 ±23.7 NE COA 48-h Not significantly toxic (96,6±3.79% normal development) Mulinia lateralis (bivalve) LAR 2.87±0.94 129 ±24.3 SG COA Significantly toxic (38±13% mortality) 10-d Ampelisca abdita (amphipod) SUBADT 2.92±0.937 130 ±18.3 SG COA 48-h Highly toxic (92.3±5.5% mortality) Mussel LAR 2.87±1.32 130 ±18.3 SG COA 4-wk Moderately toxic (94.9±10.1 young produced) Tigriopus californicus (copepod) ADT 2.87±1.07 134 SG COA Most toxic (95% mortality) 10-d Amphipod ADT 4 03 134 SG COA 10-d Highly toxic (37% avoldance) Amphipod ADT 4.03 134 ±94.2 NC COA 48-h Significantly toxic (55.7±22.7% abnormal) Bivalve LAR Significantly toxic (EC50; 0.011±0.006 mg dry wt/mL) 137 ±17.4 SG COA Microtox (Photobacterium phosphoreum) 3.28±0.363 140 SG COA 48-h Highly toxic (66.8% abnormal) 3.59 Mussel LAR 142 ±43.9 NE COA High density (20.9±0.23 N/0.1 sq.m.) Amphipod 1.7±0.61 142 ±43.9 NE COA High density (11.2±1.64 N/0.1 sq.m.) Phoxocephalid 1.7±0.61 142 ±86.5 NC COA 10-d Highly toxic (67±11.8% mortality) Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod) ADT 143 ±11.9 SG COA Significantly toxic (75.1±10.6% mortality) 48-h Mulinia lateralis (bivalve) LAR 3 32+0 317 145 ±88.6 NE COA 14-d Not toxic (increased growth rate) Chromadorina germanica (nematode) Low abundance (35.3±15.8 N/0.1 sq.m.) 146 ±308 COA Arthropods 150 ±85.9 NE COA 48-h Not significantly toxic (31,9±15,5% abnormal) Bivalve I AR 152 ±55.1 SG COA 48-h Significantly toxic (88.5±8.39% normal development) Mulinia lateralis (bivalve) LAR 2.65±1.05 155 ±102 NC COA 10-d Significantly toxic (42.9±19.2% mortality) Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod) ADT High species richness (80.8±13.7 S/0.1 sq.m.) 156 ±131 NE COA Macro benthos 2.1±1.15 156 ±131 NE COA High density (54.5±9.91 N/0.1 sq.m.) Amphipod 2.1±1.15 156 ±131 NF COA Phoxocephalid High density (34.3±5.67 N/0.1 sq.m.) 2.1±1.15 156 ±131 NE COA High density (111±32 N/0.1 sq.m.) Crustacea 2.1±1.15 157 ±321 COA Low species richness (51.2±8.6 S/0.1 sq.m.) Benthic species 160 ±54.5 NÉ COA High species richness (70.9±16.9 S/0.1 sq.m.) Benthic species VAR ±85.4 160 COA 14-d Significantly toxic (reduced growth rate) Chromadorina germanica (nematode) 160 PEL 163 ±117 COA NC 10-d Moderately toxic (33.8±4.7% mortality) Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod) ADT ±91.4 NC 164 COA 48-h Moderately toxic (59.4±11.3% abnormal) Bivalve LAR ±23.4 NE High abundance (944±101 N/0.1 sq.m.) 183 COA **Benthic species** VAR 192 ±104 COA Not significantly toxic (11.9±5.36% mortality) NE 10-d VAR Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod) 195 ±93.9 NE COA Least toxic (18±6.6% mortality) Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod) 10-d ADT 197 +136 SG COA Low abundance (415±94.4 N/0.1 sq.m.) **Benthic species** VAR 201 $\pm 349$ ٠ COA Low abundance (6.1±7.2 N/0.1 sq.m.) Echinoderm 203 ±97.3 NE COA 10-d Not significantly toxic (18.4±6.8% mortality) Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod) ADT ±95.5 Low abundance (2.4±5.65 N/0.1 sq.m.) 211 COA Echinoderms VAR . 227 ±94.3 COA Low abundance (13.8±11.4 N/0.1 sq.m.) Amphipod VAR 227 ÷ Low abundance (5.87±7.06 N/0.1 sq.m.) ±94,3 COA VAR Phoxocephalids 255 NE COA Not toxic (<3% mortality) M. elongata (mysid) 260 AETA 1988 Puget Sound AET Benthic community 260 SOG Chemical Criteria Benthic community

#### Appendix lb. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)

ADT

1.65±0.738

260

±58.5

NE

COA

10-d

Not significantly toxic (23.3±6.14% mortality)

## sediment-associated chromium (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) in marine and estuarine systems.

	Total		Unionized					
AVS	Sulphide	TFS	Ammonia	Sand	Silt	Clay	Area	Reference
(µmol·g⁻¹)	(mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	(%)	(%)		4
21 6+24 5				34 3+26 5	37 6+18 2	25 8+13 6	San Francisco Bay, CA	Long and Morgan 1990 Brieker et al. 1993
17.7±26.3				43.6±30.1	34 4+19.2	19.4+12.8	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
4.67±6.99				30.3±35.6	41.5±23.7	26.1±13.6	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
22.9±23.7	64492277498888944777.88 2011-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	41 17 344 900 34 9 8 5 8 1 9 1	pyran second y	34±26.6	35.3±17.3	25.9±13.2	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
29.6±46.3		an thair		61.7±45.4	27.7±34.6	10.5±11.2	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
6.41				98.8	0.8	0.4	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
6.41		F1 Herrister		98.8	0.8	0.4	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
6.41				98.8	0.8	0.4	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
6.41				98.8	0.8	0.4	Chesapeake Bay, VA, MD	Hall et al. 1992
25.4±25.5				34±26.2	36.1±16.6	24.4±12.7	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
10.5±13.4	anna anna ann ann anna bha		****	15±20.1	57.7±9.83	26.9±10.7	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
A2 4125 C		15,175		21,8±4,26	41±10.3	25.3±18.5	Guir of Mexico	Chapman et al. 1991
43.4133.0			이 같은 것이 같이 같이 같이 같이 같이 같이 같이 같이 않는 것이 같이 많이	20.8121	49.9±10.3	27.019.02	Long Island Sound, NY, CI	Bricker et al. 1993
18	的消息的情			Ż			Pascagoula Mississippi Channel, MS	Pamsn 1987c
i dal 120	<ol> <li>จ</li></ol>	allalla di mare i	hand had a see	1.118 A N. Ko 5	unit in addition		Pascagoula Mississippi Channel, MS	Parrich 1987c
				7.47	53.8	38.7	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chanman et al 1987a
40±55.1	•			3.7±4.1	59.8±6.79	36.4±2.83	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
37.6±25.3				21.7±14.8	41.5±12.6	30.3±9.23	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
31.8±24.4	ndaamedaaa adaamadid			28.5±25.1	33.7±15.5	26±10.7	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
32.1±28.4	计中型计算	加州的推进		24.2±21.5	40.6±14.9	32.1±11.7.	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
36.8±34.9	а —			21.3±17.2	52.7±12.2	25.8±8.98	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
35.1±25.9	24. 41. دمین (معدم) مدم	awast Table Badabara	anna ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann an	22±17.7	39.2±13.1	32.9±9.51	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
34.6±23.9				23.9±17.5	37.1±11.6	32.5±9.93	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
				5.8	70.1	24	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1987a
				3.012.0	70.1±20.4	24.1±24.3	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1987a
<ul> <li>A strategy of the strategy of the</li></ul>	esteration approvement 11. Texa (Station Reference)	CASE AND	denotes summary.	33	88.5	81	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1967a
	للهوار و مدانه بید و به مواد به در مورد و محمد بیو وی مواد و به مو و و						San Francisco Bay, CA	Long and Morgan 1990
45.3±21.4	۵۵. م			19.4±6.9	38.5±8.7	35±7.15	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
		Contraction and the second		4.6	85.3	10.1	San Francisco Bay, CA	Chapman et al. 1987a
CARLES C. C. S. S. S. S.	318±222	****************		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	məzə ·	ana a Naraa Si	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1986
	318±222						Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1986
							San Francisco Bay, CA	Long and Morgan 1990
42.3±19.2	n ne strattalen die net van d		***	17.5±3.03	36.4±8.55	34.3±1.53	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Bricker et al. 1993
							Hudson-Raritan Bay, NY	Tietjen and Lee 1984
			Selvo	41.3				Word and Mearns 1979
38 1+38 9				20 6+18 3	56 6+10 8	77 7+0 g	Long Island Sound, NY, CT	Ricker et al. 1003
For a construction of the second second	มามีข้อไปสารครามมีสังหังมังนี้ 	***********	Andreas and the second second	20.0110.0	COLUTIO.O	********	San Francisco Bay, CA	Long and Morgan 1990
	196±219	0.463±0.16	0.01±0.005	26.6±10.2	61.4±11.1	12±6.88	Palos Verdes CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	196±219	0.463±0.16	0.01±0.005	26.6±10.2	61.4±11.1	12±6.88	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al, 1985a
A State of the second second	196±219	0.463±0.16	0.01±0.005	26.6±10.2	61.4±11.1	12±6.88	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
<u> 1997 (1997)</u>	196±219	0.463±0.16	0.01±0.005	26.6±10.2	61.4±11.1	12±6.88	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
							Southern California	Word and Mearns 1979
	186±145			20.8±12.5			Palos Verdes, CA	Ferraro et al. 1991
							Hudson-Raritan Bay, NY	Tietjen and Lee 1984
							San Emplicas Davi CA	Lana and Marray 1000
							San Francisco Bay, CA	Long and Morgan 1990
	145±27.8			23.1±6.74			Palos Verdes, CA	Ferraro et al. 1991
188-1872 - 26 <u>12</u> 1	308±371		nandana santang kinanan Natis Marta di Santa	19.5±12.1			Palos Verdes, CA	Ferraro et al. 1991
							San Francisco Bay, CA	Long and Morgan 1990
	407±456			17.3±14.8			Palos Verdes, CA	Ferraro et al. 1991
				57.4			Southern California	Word and Mearns 1979
							San Francisco Bay, CA	Long and Morgan 1990
Contraction and the second second	351±379	on and an	ومه به	16.1±8.02	0335.3477777 A.A.A		Palos Verdes, CA	Ferraro et al. 1991
	3931397,			16.2±8.78			Palos Verdes, CA	Ferraro et al 1991
Mar Provident	292128//7	动行动编合		10.2±8,78			Palos Verdes, CA	Ferraro et al. 1991
			的复数形式				Divide Sound W/A	Salazar et al. 1960
tradition and a statistic	9975-TimBCCCCC		anna ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann an				Puget Sound WA	WDF 1989
	182 <b>±1</b> 35			23.2±21.8	33.5±9.86	43.2±13.4	Oakland Harbor, CA	Word et al. 1988

Chromlum (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )		n Analysis Test Hit Type Type		Test Type	Endpoint Measured	Species	Llfe Stage	TOC (%)
270		٠	AETA	10-d	1988 Puget Sound AET	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	
270	±63.6	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (10.4±6.3% mortality)	Nephtys caecoides (polychaete)		1.63±0.657
270	±63.6	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (0.2±0.6% mortality)	Macoma nasuta (clam)		1.63±0.657
280			AETA	48-h	San Francisco Bay AET	Oyster; mussel	LAR	
293	±459	NE	COA		High abundance (88.9±35.4 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Benthic species		
297	±302	NE	COA		High density (594±688 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Mollusca		2.53±1.27
297	±302	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (8±5.7% mortality)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	2.53±1.27
300		NE	COA		Not toxic (<3% mortality)	Protothaca staminea (clam)		
300		NE	COA		Not toxic (<3% mortality)	Citharichthys stigmaeus (sanddab)		
300		NE	COA		Not toxic (<3% mortality)	Neanthes arenaceodentata (polychaete)		
300		NE	COA		Not toxic (<3% mortality)	M. elongata (mysid)		
305		NE	COA		High density (2177 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Polychaeta		3.2
308	±78.4	SG	COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (35.3±2.5% mortality)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	1.56±0,07
310	an san san an san san san san san san sa	ter and the second s	AETA		California AET Values	Benthic species		
310		*	AETA		Southern California AET Values	Benthic species	n van dan karan karana	
335	±180	NE	COA	48-h	Least toxic; TLm .	Fundulus heteroclitus (mummichog)		
335	±180	NE	COA	48-h	Least toxic; TLm	Leiostomus xanthurus (spot)		
335	±226	NE	COA	10-d	Not significantly toxic (9.5±5.45% mortality)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	2.42±0.88
369			COA	14-d	Significantly toxic (100% mortality)	Nerels virens (sandworm)		
370			AETA	10-d	San Francisco Bay AET	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	
383	±215		COA		Low density (0.2±0.14 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Echinoderm		2.64±0.77
416		2.0	COA	Salari Salari	Low species richness (19.2 S/0.1 sq.m.)	Benthic species	VAR	
482	±330	SG	COA		Low density (365±178 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Polychaeta	*****	3.15±1.32
523	±279	*	COA		Low density (0.03±0.08 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Echinoderm		3.53±0.742
523	±279	•	COA		Low density (0.233±0.367 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Foraminifera (sponge)		3.53±0.742
523	±279	*	COA		Low density (0.3±0.8 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Ophiuroidea (brittle star)		3.53±0.742
527	±120		COA		Low density (5.3±3.7 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Amphipod		3,13±0,15
527	±120	9 <b>.</b> /	COA		Low density (0.13±0.23 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Phoxocephalid		3.13±0.15
669	±173	ten da da da Recentrado	COA		Low density (21.5±11.6 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Mollusca		4±0.265
669	±173		COA	10-d	Significantly toxic (21±6.3% mortality)	Rhepoxynius abronius (amphipod)	ADT	4±0.265
682	±144	*	COA		Low species richness (26±11.5 S/0.1 sq.m.)	Macro benthos	en e	3.95±0.238
682	±144	*	COA		Low density (1±1.2 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Amphipod		3.95±0.238
682	±144	*	COA		Low density (0 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Phoxocephalid		3.95±0.238
682	±144	*	COA	·	Low density (8.7±6.01 N/0.1 sq.m.)	Crustacea		3.95±0.238
1646	±1628	•	COA	48-h	Most toxic; TLm	Fundulus heteroclitus (mummichog)	5.77.89	
1646	±1628	. <b>.</b>	COA	48-h	Most toxic; TLm	Leiostomus xanthurus (spot)		

### Appendix Ib. Summary of the available biological effects and related physicochemical data for

# sediment-associated chromium (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) in marine and estuarine systems.

A)/O	Total	***	Unionized	<u> </u>				
AVS	Sulphide	IFS	Ammonia	Sand	Silt	Clay	Area	Reference
(µmol·g⁻¹)	(mg kg ')	(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	(%)	(%)		
							Durant Sound With	
	196+131			23 4+19 5	33 1+8 0	13 4+12	Opkland Harber, CA	
	196+131			23 4+19 5	33 1+8 0	40.4112 13 1412		Word et al. 1988
	1002101			20.4215.0	00.110.0	40.4112	San Empland Part CA	
							Sauthorn California	Long and Morgan 1990
	275+858	0 47+0 137	0 009+0 002	22 5+11 7	63 3+0 75	14 247 21	Balas Vordas, CA	Word and Mearns 1979
	275+585	0.47+0.137	0.009+0.002	22.5111.7	63 3+0 75	14 217.21	Palos Verdes, CA	Swanz et al 1985a
	1,01000	0.4/10.10/	0.00310.002	22.0111.7	05.515.75	14.21/.21	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
							San Diego Bay, CA	Salazar et al. 1980
							San Diego Bay, CA	Salazar et al. 1980
							San Diego Bay, CA	Salazar et al. 1980
	443	0.65	0.006	23.6	56 5	10.0	San Diego Bay, CA	Selazar et al. 1980
	249+112	0.00	0.000	20.0	31 646 75	10.0	Caldard Hater CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	2-02112			24.110.74	51.0±0,75	44.313.09		vvord et al. 1988
				,			California Southern Colifornia	Becker et al. 1990
							Southern California	Becker et al. 1990
							Baltimore Harbor, MD	I sal et al. 1979
	9/6+710						Balumore Harbor, MU	Isaret al. 1979
	3401/13						Paios verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1986
							Black Rock Harbor, Cl	Simmers et al. 1984
	1000+700						San Francisco Bay, CA	Long and Morgan 1990
	10901/00						Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1986
	1304 4000	0.00.0.07	0.000.0004	10.6			Palos Verdes, CA	Ferraro et al. 1991
	1461.1000	0.99±0.97	0.009±0.004	21.1±9.95	64.4±/.44	14.6±5.43	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	145111003	7.0410.92	0.008±0.006	18.7±0.03	64.9±6.69	16.5±4.79	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	1451±1003	7.0418.92	0.008±0.006	18.7±6.03	64.9±6.69	16.5±4.79	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	1451±1003	7.04±8.92	0.008±0.006	18.7±6.03	64.9±6.69	16.5±4.79	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	15/3±24/						Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1986
	10/3±24/	40.0.0.45					Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1986
	2277.±301	13.6±8.45	0.009±0.003	20±6.32	63.2±4.74	16.8±1.59	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	2277±301	13.6±8.45	0.009±0.003	20±6.32	63.2±4.74	16.8±1.59	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	2030±542	10.3±9.5	0.008±0.005	17.6±7.12	64.6±4.77	17.8±2.48	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	2035±542	10.3±9.5	0.008±0.005	17.6±7.12	64.6±4.77	17.8±2.48	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	2035±542	10.3±9.5	U.U08±0.005	17.6±7.12	64.6±4.77	17.8±2.48	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
	2035±542	10.3±9.5	0.008±0.005	17.6±7.12	64.6±4.77	17.8±2.48	Palos Verdes, CA	Swartz et al. 1985a
							Baltimore Harbor, MD	Tsai et al. 1979
							Baltimore Harbor, MD	Tsai et al. 1979

.