Annapolis River Watershed Pesticide Risk Ranking

Final Report



Report Prepared For: Environment Canada



Clean Annapolis River Project Donna Strang, Ecological Technician July 2006



Annapolis River Watershed Pesticide Risk Ranking

Final Report

Prepared By: Donna Strang July 2006



Clean Annapolis River Project
PO Box 395, 21 St. Anthony Street, Annapolis Royal, NS, BOS 1A0
carp@annapolisriver.ca
www.annapolisriver.ca

Table of Contents

| Acknowledgements | ii |
|---|----------------------------|
| Executive Summary | iii |
| 1.0 Introduction Annapolis River Watershed Pesticide Inventory Risk Ranking of Pesticides Used in PEI | 1 1 2 |
| 2.0 Methodology Overview of Risk Ranking Model Determining Individual Active Ingredient Quantities From Agricultural Information Active Ingredient Selection for Risk Ranking Applying the Risk Ranking Model to Active Ingredient Data Modifications to the Release Weighting Factor | 2 2 3 4 4 6 |
| 3.0 Results | 6 |
| 4.0 Discussion | 10 |
| 5.0 Limitations | 12 |
| 6.0 Conclusions | 12 |
| References | 13 |
| Glossary | 16 |
| Appendices Appendix A: Raw Data and References Appendix B: Active Ingredients Excluded from the Risk Ranking Analysis Appendix C: Active Ingredient Loading Percentages | 19 19 55 56 |

Acknowledgements

Clean Annapolis River Project would like to thank all those who contributed to the Annapolis River Pesticide Risk Ranking project. These include Allison Dunn of Environment Canada, Rob Willis of CANTOX Environmental Inc. and Troy Troop of the Canadian Pest Management Regulatory Agency.

This program is funded in part by Environment Canada and Human Resources and Social Development Canada. We would like to thank all who contributed funds.

Page ii July 2006

Executive Summary

In past years, growing debates on pesticides, their appropriate use patterns and effects on human and ecosystem health have been causes for concern in Nova Scotia. Because of these concerns, in 2006, Clean Annapolis River Project (CARP) conducted the first relative risk ranking of pesticides used in Nova Scotia's Annapolis River watershed. This analysis was conducted by combining the results of CARP's pesticide inventory (Comeau, 2006), with the relative risk ranking model developed by Dunn (2004) of Environment Canada.

The model developed by Dunn (2004) was based on the CHEMs model originally developed at the University of Tennessee based on the general risk equation of:

Her model incorporated environmental, human and exposure data as well as weighted factors based on application quantity and dispersal throughout the atmosphere, water and land systems. This provided a relative risk ranking of active ingredients analyzed.

For the 189 active ingredients used in the watershed in 2004, the necessary toxicity and exposure data were identified in order to perform the analysis. For the three active ingredients applied in the greatest quantity (calcium hypochlorite, mineral oil and chlorine), not all the required data could be located. Therefore, default values were assigned to these active ingredients, allowing them to be included in the analysis. Overall, 71 active ingredients were evaluated. It is recommended that if the missing data for these and other active ingredients identified in the watershed become available, that the risk ranking be recalculated.

The 71 active ingredients examined were ranked according to their potential risk to the Annapolis River watershed and grouped into levels of high, medium and low potential risk. The active ingredients comprising the high relative risk level were diazinon, calcium hypochlorite, chlorothalonil, metiram, chlorine, azinphos-methyl, captan, mancozeb, tributyltin oxide, carbaryl and endosulfan. It is CARP's hope that this report will promote greater dialogue between the general public, pesticide users and pesticide regulators on the appropriate use of pesticides and, where pesticides are required, encourage the use of lower risk pesticides in the Annapolis River watershed.

July 2006 Page iii

1.0 Introduction

In recent years there has been a growing debate over the appropriate use of pesticides and their effect on human and ecosystem health. While much of this debate has taken place in the popular media, there has been relatively little factual information on the actual quantities of pesticides used and a quantification of the risk they pose.

It is against this backdrop that Clean Annapolis River Project (CARP) initiated a project in late 2004 to better understand pesticide use in Nova Scotia's Annapolis River watershed. The first component of the study inventoried all pesticide use within the watershed in 2004. The second part, which is reported here, examined the list of active ingredients used, ranking them on the risk that they pose to humans and the environment.

Through this work, CARP's goal was to provide members of the public, elected officials, pesticide regulators and pesticide users with clear, factual and unbiased information on the relative risk of pesticides used in the Annapolis River watershed. It is hoped that this will stimulate a more informed debate on the appropriate use of pesticides within our communities, and where pesticide use is necessary, encourage the substitution of higher risk to lower risk pesticides as identified in this report.

Annapolis River Watershed Pesticide Inventory

In January of 2006, CARP completed the first inventory on pesticides used in the Annapolis River watershed (Comeau, 2006). By incorporating different methods of data collection including questionnaires, interviews with farmers and pesticide experts, as well as consulting 2004 sales data from pesticide vendors in the area, Comeau (2006) was able to estimate the amount of pesticide active ingredient applied within the Annapolis River watershed. Estimates were developed for a number of sectors including agricultural, domestic, forestry, large facilities and institutions, marine, miscellaneous and municipal. Agriculture was found to be the sector using the greatest quantity of pesticides (29 136 kg). The inventory identified 189 different active ingredients being used. It was estimated that approximately 55 400 kilograms of active ingredients were applied to areas within the Annapolis River watershed in 2004. Table 1 presents the ten active ingredients applied in the greatest quantity within the watershed.

Table 1: The ten active ingredients applied in the greatest quantity in the Annapolis River watershed (Comeau, 2006).

| Active Ingredient | Quantity Applied in Watershed (kg) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Calcium Hypochlorite | 11 198 |
| Mineral Oil | 9732 |
| Chlorine | 6139 |
| Captan | 4686 |
| Glyphosate | 2143 |
| Mancozeb | 2122 |
| Glyphosate (Trimethylsulfonium Salt) | 1625 |
| Metiram | 1609 |
| Chlorothalonil | 1058 |
| Atrazine | 1041 |

Risk Ranking of Pesticides Used in PEI

As a result of concerns over pesticide use within Prince Edward Island's agriculture industry, Environment Canada developed a methodology to provide a relative risk ranking of the active ingredients used in pesticides, based on environmental, human and exposure data (Dunn, 2004). By applying her modified version of the CHEMs risk ranking model (originally developed at the University of Tennessee) (Swanson et al, 1997), Dunn was able to calculate which pesticides posed the greatest risk to the region. The model included release weighted factors based on their application quantity and dispersal throughout the atmosphere, water and land systems. The report found that the new model resulted in an improvement in the credibility of the results and was especially helpful in determining which pesticides required more attention than others.

2.0 Methodology

Overview of Risk Ranking Model

The model developed by Dunn (2004) is characterized by the general risk equation of:

By applying weighted toxicity and exposure scores, a value is calculated representing an active ingredient's risk to an area. Once all substances have a calculated risk score, they can be ranked accordingly. Theoretically, those with high risk scores would pose a greater threat to the environment than those with lower risk scores.

The methodology required the input of four toxicity endpoints that represented human health effects, two toxicity endpoints representing environmental effects and three endpoints for potential exposure. The following table lists the endpoints and provides a brief description of what they signify. For a more in depth overview of the risk ranking model used in this report, refer to the 2004 report titled "A Relative Risk Ranking of Pesticides Used in Prince Edward Island".

Table 2: Endpoints used in the application of the risk ranking model to active ingredients (Dunn, 2004).

| Human Health Effects Endpoint | Description |
|---|---|
| Rat Oral LD ₅₀ | Represents an acute oral effect Based on the amount of substance that causes mortality in 50% of test organisms (e.g. rats) within 14 days of being given a single dose |
| Rat Inhalation LC ₅₀ | Represents an acute inhalation effect Based on the concentration inhaled for four hours by test organisms (e.g. rats) that causes 50% mortality |
| Carcinogenicity Rating | Represents a chronic effect Based on classifications appointed to a substance by the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) |
| No-Observed-Adverse- Effects-Level (NOAEL) | Represents a chronic effect Based on the highest daily dose given to test organisms over a life-time that causes no observable, harmful effects |

Page 2 July 2006

| Environmental Effects Endpoint | Description |
|--|---|
| Rainbow Trout 96-hour LC ₅₀ | - Represents an acute aquatic effect - Based on the concentration exposed to test organisms (e.g. Rainbow Trout) for 96 hours causing 50% mortality |
| Daphnia magna 48-hour LC/EC ₅₀ | Represents an acute aquatic effect Based on the concentration exposed to test organisms (e.g. <i>Daphnia magna</i>) for 48 hours causing 50% mortality or immobilization |
| Exposure Potential Endpoint | Description |
| Soil Half-Life | Represents a persistence parameter Based on the time a substance requires to biodegrade in soil under aerobic conditions to half its initial concentration |
| Aquatic Bioconcentration Factor (BCF) | Represents a bioaccumulation parameter Based on the ratio of substance concentration in an aquatic organism to that found in water at steady state If the value was unavailable, it was estimated using the pesticide's Log K_{ow} (partition coefficient) value* |
| Release Weighting Factor (RWF) | - Represents a release amount parameter - Based on the amount of active ingredient released into air, water and land systems as determined through Fugacity modeling |

^{* (}Tomlin, 2000)

<u>Determining Individual Active Ingredient Quantities from Agricultural Information</u>

The inventory provided by Comeau indicated the type and amount of each active ingredient used within each individual sector in the Annapolis River watershed, with the exception of the agricultural industry. Due to limits in data availability, only the total amount of active ingredients could be estimated for agriculture as opposed to the quantity of each individual active ingredient. Therefore, the first task in conducting the risk ranking was to estimate the amount of each active ingredient used in agricultural practices and then combine these results to the active ingredients for other uses that had already been quantified.

It was reported by Comeau (2006) that of the three vendors contacted for sales information, the first sold 80% of their active ingredient to the Annapolis River watershed with 90% of that going to agricultural businesses. The second and third vendors sold 5% and 10% of active ingredients, respectively, to agricultural businesses in the watershed. In order to estimate the quantity used in the agricultural sector, the amount of each active ingredient was multiplied by the percentage of that sold within the watershed and then by the percentage used in agricultural practices. The procedure can be seen in Table 3 with the active ingredient dimethoate serving as an example.

Table 3: Example - Estimating the amount of the active ingredient dimethoate used in the agricultural sector in the Annapolis River watershed.

| Vendor | Total Amount of Active Ingredient Sold (kg) | Total Active Ingredient Sold in Watershed (%) | Total Active Ingredient Sold for Agricultural Practices (%) | Total Active Ingredient Sold in Watershed's Agricultural Sector (kg) |
|--------|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | 43.2 | 80 | 90 | 31 |
| 2 | 206.4 | 5 | 100 | 10 |
| 3 | 323.8 | 10 | 100 | 32 |

Total Dimethoate Applied in Watershed (kg): 73

Active Ingredient Selection for Risk Ranking

In order to keep this study within manageable limits and focus on high volume active ingredients that pose the greatest potential risk, only active ingredients used in quantities greater than 10 kilograms were analyzed. Of the 189 substances that were applied in the Annapolis River watershed in 2004, 98 were considered within the risk ranking model, comprising 52% of those applied and 99% of the total volume of active ingredient used in the watershed.

Applying the Risk Ranking Model To Active Ingredient Data

The methodology followed for the risk ranking was based on that developed by Dunn (2004). In order to develop dependable toxicity data for each active ingredient, many different sources were consulted. Appendix A refers to the sources used in compiling the raw toxicity data needed for the risk ranking of pesticides used in the Annapolis River watershed.

Data searching and collecting proved to be a very time consuming task and although each database was searched thoroughly, some data gaps remained for several active ingredients. Because of this, 27 had to be excluded from the risk ranking due to insufficient data availability. Appendix B lists those ingredients that were identified in the watershed but were eliminated. Of the 98 active ingredients applied in quantities of 10 kilograms or greater, sufficient data was identified for 68.

Three of the active ingredients applied in the greatest quantity did not have sufficient toxicity data available. As can be seen in Table 1, these included calcium hypochlorite; a disinfectant estimated to be used in quantities of over 11 000 kg in the domestic and municipal sectors of the watershed, mineral oil; an adjuvant applied by the agricultural industry in quantities of almost 10 000 kg and chlorine; a disinfectant used in municipal wastewater treatment in quantities of approximately 6000 kg (Comeau, 2006). An adjuvant is a substance intended to enhance the effectiveness of other active ingredients (PSD, 2006).

The information available for each active ingredient varied between the three. Because of their significant use throughout the watershed, default values were used for the missing data to allow the active ingredients to be assessed by the model. The following table presents the data that was available and information gaps for each substance as well as the default values used to accommodate the risk ranking (Allison Dunn, personal communications, June 29, 2006).

Page 4 July 2006

Table 4: Data availability for calcium hypochlorite, mineral oil and chlorine for risk ranking analysis as well as default values used

| values used. Active Ingredient | Available Data | Source | Default Values Used | Estimation Methods |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Rat Oral LD ₅₀ | Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB) ChemIDplus Lite Database | | |
| | Carcinogenicity Rating | Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB) | | Rat Inhalation LC ₅₀ * Soil Half-Life** Log BCF*** |
| Calcium | Rainbow Trout LC ₅₀ | ECOTOXicology Database System | NOAEL (HV _{NCAR} = 2.5) | |
| Hypochlorite | Daphnia magna EC/LC ₅₀ | ECOTOXicology Database System USEPA Reregistration Eligibility Decision Documents | NOALL (IIV _{NCAR} — 2.3) | |
| | Log K _{ow} | SRC Interactive Log K _{ow} (K _{ow} Win) Demo | | |
| | Rat Inhalation LC ₅₀ | ChemIDplus Lite Database | Rat Oral LD ₅₀ (HV _{OR} $= 2.5$) NOAEL (HV _{NCAR} $= 2.5$) | |
| Mineral Oil | Carcinogenicity Rating | IARC: Agents Reviewed on the IARC Monographs | Rainbow Trout LC_{50} (HV _{AAT} = 2.5) Daphnia magna EC/LC ₅₀ (HV _{AAD} = 2.5) | Not Applicable |
| | Soil Half-Life | OSU Extension Pesticide Properties Database | Log K_{ow} Log BCF (HV _{BCF} = 1.75) | |
| | Rat Oral LD ₅₀ | Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology Principles | | |
| | Rat Inhalation LC ₅₀ | ChemIDplus Lite Database | | |
| | Carcinogenicity Rating | USEPA Reregistration Eligibility Decision Documents | | |
| Chlorine | NOAEL | USEPA Reregistration Eligibility Decision Documents | Not Applicable | Soil Half-Life** Log BCF*** |
| | Rainbow Trout LC ₅₀ | ECOTOXicology Database System | | |
| | Daphnia magna EC/LC ₅₀ | ECOTOXicology Database System | | |
| | Log K _{ow} | SRC Interactive Log K _{ow} (K _{ow} Win) Demo | | |

^{*} estimated using equation used by Green Seal Environmental Standards (2005)

** estimated using the Episuite Biowin Ultimate Survey Model (2000)

^{***} estimated using Log K_{ow}

Modifications to the Release Weighting Factor

In the determination of the Release Weighting Factor (RWF) (Dunn, 2004, p 10) for each medium (air, water, and land), fugacity modeling was required for each active ingredient to determine how it dispersed into the environment after application. In her report, Dunn based the fugacity modeling on 100% loading into soil for each pesticide leaving 0% loading into water and air. For the purpose of the active ingredients used in the Annapolis River watershed, the estimated percentages were based on their typical mode of application. Appendix C contains the loading percentages for each medium estimated for each active ingredient.

3.0 Results

Table 5 lists the active ingredients and their corresponding application quantity, weighted risk score, ordinal risk ranks, sectors of use with the percentage used and pesticide type.

Table 5: The risk ranking of the active ingredients identified in the Annapolis River watershed.

| Active Ingredient | Quantity Applied to Watershed (kg) | Weighted Risk Score (WRS) | Ordinal Rank | Sectors Used* | Pesticide Type* |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------|
| Diazinon | 458 | 352 | 1 | Miscellaneous (10%), Municipal (1%), Agriculture (89%) | Insecticide |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | 11 198 | 332 | 2 | Domestic (98%), Municipal (2%) | Disinfectant |
| Chlorothalonil | 1058 | 300 | 3 | Large Facilities and Institutions (11%), Agriculture (89%) | Fungicide |
| Metiram | 1609 | 300 | 4 | Agriculture (100%) | Fungicide |
| Chlorine | 6139 | 296 | 5 | Municipal (100%) | Disinfectant |
| Azinphos-Methyl | 319 | 278 | 6 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |
| Captan | 4686 | 274 | 7 | Agriculture (100%) | Fungicide |
| Mancozeb | 2122 | 249 | 8 | Agriculture (100%) | Fungicide |
| Tributyltin Oxide | 13 | 238 | 9 | Domestic (100%) | Fungicide/Molluscicide |
| Carbaryl | 717 | 227 | 10 | Domestic (9%), Large Facilities and Institutions (3%), Miscellaneous (32%), Agriculture (56%) | Insecticide |
| Endosulfan | 68 | 217 | 11 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |
| Methomyl | 115 | 187 | 12 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |
| Carbofuran | 55 | 185 | 13 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |
| Phorate | 94 | 185 | 14 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |

Page 6 July 2006

| Active Ingredient | Quantity Applied to Watershed (kg) | Weighted Risk Score (WRS) | Ordinal Rank | Sectors Used* | Pesticide Type* |
|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|----------------------|
| Месоргор | 272 | 182 | 15 | Domestic (51%), Large Facilities and Institutions (23%), Municipal (0.0003%), Miscellaneous (10%), Agriculture (16%) | Herbicide |
| Folpet | 33 | 175 | 16 | Domestic (39%), Agriculture (61%) | Fungicide |
| Atrazine | 1041 | 173 | 17 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Chlorpyrifos | 161 | 172 | 18 | Large Facilities and Institutions (1%), Agriculture (99%) | Insecticide |
| Paraquat | 27 | 171 | 19 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Mineral Oil | 9732 | 167 | 20 | Agriculture (100%) | Adjuvant |
| Trifluralin | 85 | 155 | 21 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Cypermethrin | 21 | 153 | 22 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |
| Linuron | 217 | 153 | 23 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Methamidophos | 73 | 152 | 24 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |
| Metolachlor | 345 | 150 | 25 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Phosalone | 38 | 144 | 26 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |
| Phosmet | 196 | 142 | 27 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |
| Dimethoate | 74 | 141 | 28 | Domestic (1%), Agriculture (99%) | Insecticide |
| Dodine | 66 | 133 | 29 | Agriculture (100%) | Fungicide |
| Fenbuconazole | 31 | 131 | 30 | Agriculture (100%) | Fungicide |
| Triclopyr | 83 | 128 | 31 | Forestry (78%), Agriculture (22%) | Herbicide |
| Pyridaben | 22 | 127 | 32 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide/Miticide |
| 2,4-D | 899 | 127 | 33 | Domestic (30%), Large Facilities and Institutions (6%), Municipal (1%), Miscellaneous (6%), Agriculture (52%) | Herbicide |
| Naled | 57 | 124 | 34 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |
| Pirimicarb | 46 | 121 | 35 | Agriculture (100%) | Insecticide |
| Iprodione | 80 | 121 | 36 | Large Facilities and Institutions (44%), Agriculture (56%) | Fungicide |
| MCPA | 538 | 119 | 37 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Diquat Dibromide | 29 | 119 | 38 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Propiconazole | 48 | 115 | 39 | Large Facilities and Institutions (38%), Agriculture (62%) | Fungicide |

| Active Ingredient | Quantity Applied to Watershed (kg) | Weighted Risk Score (WRS) | Ordinal Rank | Sectors Used* | Pesticide Type* |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|---|--------------------|
| Dazomet | 42 | 110 | 40 | Agriculture (100%) | Soil Fumigant |
| Imidacloprid | 29 | 109 | 41 | Domestic (55%), Agriculture (45%) | Insecticide |
| Quintozene | 24 | 107 | 42 | Large Facilities and Institutions (100%) | Herbicide |
| Permethrin | 16 | 104 | 43 | Domestic (6%), Miscellaneous (56%), Agriculture (38%) | Insecticide |
| Hexazinone | 283 | 102 | 44 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Triazine | 46 | 102 | 45 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Metribuzin | 38 | 101 | 46 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Malathion | 102 | 96 | 47 | Domestic (0.5%), Agriculture (99.5%) | Insecticide |
| Prometryn | 29 | 92 | 48 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Thiram | 28 | 92 | 49 | Large Facilities and Institutions (71%), Agriculture (29%) | Fungicide |
| Simazine | 35 | 87 | 50 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Isoxaflutole | 96 | 84 | 51 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Bromoxynil | 27 | 83 | 52 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Diphenylamine | 11 | 82 | 53 | Agriculture (100%) | Fungicide |
| EPTC | 42 | 81 | 54 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Glyphosate | 2143 | 81 | 55 | Domestic (0.04%), Forestry (84%), Large Facilities and Institutions (3%), Municipal (0.06%), Agriculture (13%) | Herbicide |
| Dinocap | 11 | 79 | 56 | Agriculture (100%) | Fungicide/Miticide |
| Thiophanate- Methyl | 11 | 77 | 57 | Agriculture (100%) | Fungicide |
| Dicamba | 180 | 76 | 58 | Domestic (1%), Large Facilities and Institutions (4%), Municipal (1%), Miscellaneous (2%), Agriculture (92%) | Herbicide |
| Fluazifop-p- Butyl | 21 | 75 | 59 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Dichlobenil | 44 | 72 | 60 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Picloram | 15 | 72 | 61 | Large Facilities and Institutions (100%) | Herbicide |
| Azoxystrobin | 32 | 68 | 62 | Large Facilities and Institutions (100%) | Fungicide |

Page 8 July 2006

| Active Ingredient | Quantity Applied to Watershed (kg) | Weighted Risk Score (WRS) | Ordinal Rank | Sectors Used* | Pesticide Type* |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| Myclobutanil | 26 | 67 | 63 | Large Facilities and Institutions (3%), Agriculture (97%) | Fungicide |
| Napropamide | 79 | 63 | 64 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 10 | 55 | 65 | Domestic (100%) | Insecticide |
| Clopyralid | 12 | 53 | 66 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Cymoxanil | 13 | 46 | 67 | Agriculture (100%) | Fungicide |
| Glufosinate Ammonium | 11 | 44 | 68 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Fosetyl Aluminum | 52 | 35 | 69 | Agriculture (100%) | Fungicide |
| Terbacil | 17 | 33 | 70 | Agriculture (100%) | Herbicide |
| Triforine | 11 | 30 | 71 | Domestic (12%), Agriculture (88%) | Fungicide |

^{* (}Comeau, 2006)

4.0 Discussion

Due to the relative nature of the risk ranking model, the results should not be interpreted based on the individual weighted risk scores assigned to each active ingredient. Due to the many estimated values associated in conducting this analysis, the difference in risk between active ingredients with a score of 110 and 119 may not be as significant as one would expect. The results, therefore, are best suited for grouping active ingredients into groups of high, medium and low risk levels. For the purpose of this analysis, the levels were determined arbitrarily. Table 6 presents the levels developed and the active ingredients falling within the limits of each.

Table 6: The groupings of active ingredients into high, medium and low levels based on the results of the risk ranking model.

| Risk Level | Weighted Risk Score (WRS) Range | Active Ingredients |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| High | >200 | Diazinon, Calcium Hypochlorite, Chlorothalonil, Metiram, Chlorine, Azinphos-Methyl, |
| High | /200 | Captan, Mancozeb, Tributyltin Oxide, Carbaryl, Endosulfan |
| Medium | 100 — 200 | Methomyl, Carbofuran, Phorate, Mecoprop, Folpet, Atrazine, Chlorpyrifos, Paraquat, Mineral Oil, Trifluralin, Cypermethrin, Linuron, Methamidophos, Metolachlor, Phosalone, Phosmet, Dimethoate, Dodine, Fenbuconazole, Triclopyr, Pyridaben, 2,4-D, Naled, Pirimicarb, Iprodione, MCPA, Diquat Dibromide, Propiconazole, Dazomet, Imidacloprid, Quintozene, Permethrin, Hexazinone, Triazine, Metribuzin |
| Low | <100 | Malathion, Prometryn, Thiram, Simazine, Isoxaflutole, Bromoxynil, Diphenylamine, EPTC, Glyphosate, Dinocap, Thiophanate-Methyl, Dicamba, Fluazifop-p-Butyl, Dichlobenil, Picloram, Azoxystrobin, Myclobutanil, Napropamide, Piperonyl Butoxide, Clopyralid, Cymoxanil, Glufosinate Ammonium, Fosetyl Aluminum, Terbacil, Triforine |

The above table illustrates that 11 active ingredients are considered to pose the greatest risk to the environment and communities contacted in the watershed. Further information on these 11 active ingredients is presented in Table 7, including use sector, associated commercial brand names and uses. These substances would generally be expected to have highly toxic aquatic and terrestrial outcomes, require great amounts of time to biodegrade in soil and have a greater potential to bioconcentrate in exposed organisms. The release amounts for each of the high risk active ingredients varied greatly from one another with substances applied in quantities as low as tributyltin oxide with an amount of 13 kilograms, to as high as calcium hypochlorite, applied in quantities exceeding 11 000 kilograms. The high ranking of the lesser used active ingredients can be attributed to exceptionally high toxicity and exposure characteristics.

Page 10 July 2006

Table 7: Active ingredients composing the high risk level to the watershed with pesticide type and commercial brand name indicated.

| Active Ingredient | Pesticide Type and Use Sector* | Commercial Brand Name | Uses |
|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Diazinon | Insecticide (Miscellaneous, Municipal and Agriculture) | Diazinon* | Protects against insects such as mites, caterpillars, moths, etc.** |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | Disinfectant (Domestic, Municipal) | Pulsar Plus** | Controls bacteria and algae in swimming pools** |
| Chlorothalonil | Fungicide (Agriculture and Large Facilities and Institutions) | Bravo, Daconil* | Protects crops against foliar diseases*** |
| Metiram | Fungicide (Agriculture) | Polyram* | Used to protect against foliar diseases in potatoes, tomatoes, celery and grape crops** |
| Chlorine | Disinfectant (Municipal) | Busan, Drewchlor** | Used for wastewater treatment and pool water maintenance* |
| Azinphos- Methyl | Insecticide (Agriculture) | Azinphos- Methyl** | Protects against insects on field, fruit, vegetable and ornamental crops** |
| Captan | Fungicide (Agriculture) | Captan, Maestro* | Controls fungal diseases in vegetable, fruit and ornamental crops** |
| Mancozeb | Fungicide (Agriculture) | Dithane, Manzate, Penncozeb* | Protects against fungal disease in fruits, vegetables, potato and corn seeds** |
| Tributyltin Oxide | Fungicide/Molluscicide (Domestic) | Osmose** | Used as a water repellant wood preservative** |
| Carbaryl | Insecticide (Domestic, Large Facilities and Institutions, Miscellaneous, Agriculture) | Bug-B-Gon, Sevin* | Protects plants, fruits, vegetables, poultry and livestock from insects** |
| Endosulfan | Insecticide (Agriculture) | Thiodan* | Protects crops from mite and insect damage** |

5.0 Limitations

The most prominent limitation in conducting this analysis was the unavailability of toxicity data for many of the active ingredients identified in the watershed. As was discussed earlier, this resulted in many active ingredients being excluded from the risk ranking analysis. This issue may be the result of many active ingredients failing to undergo sufficient toxicity testing, the failure of toxicity results to be effectively communicated or the failure to locate appropriate data. It is recommended that when and if this information becomes available for excluded active ingredients, that they be analyzed and incorporated into the final list.

In her report, Dunn (2004) noted many limitations in the development and application of the risk ranking model. These limitations, therefore, would affect the results presented in this report. The limitations expressed by Dunn are as follows:

- 1. The majority of the toxicity endpoints only considered the immediate, acute effects rather than the long term effects that many pesticides have the capability of producing.
- 2. Environmental effects were only represented by two aquatic species and failed to include toxicity information on other aquatic species and terrestrial species
- 3. Since information wasn't available for the exact amount of active ingredient applied in the watershed, quantities were determined from vendor sales data, expert opinion and questionnaires. Because of this, it is possible that the quantities resulting are either overestimated or underestimated.
- 4. Since no models exist that are an exact replica of natural systems, the environmental distribution determined from fugacity modeling for active ingredients throughout air, water and land may not precisely represent how it interacts with the environment in the Annapolis River watershed

6.0 Conclusions

The implementation of the risk ranking model was successfully applied to the active ingredients identified in the Annapolis River watershed. The 71 active ingredients analyzed were assigned weighted risk scores and divided into groups of high, medium and low potential risk.

The high risk active ingredients identified were diazinon, calcium hypochlorite, chlorothalonil, metiram, chlorine, azinphos-methyl, captan, mancozeb, tributyltin oxide, carbaryl and endosulfan. Based on the CHEMs risk ranking, these active ingredients would be expected to pose the greatest risk to the watershed.

Although the pesticides calculated to pose the greatest risk to the Annapolis River watershed were identified, the aim of this report is to contribute to the health and improvement of the Annapolis River watershed in terms of pesticide use. It is hoped that the results of this analysis will promote discussion between different sectors and government officials on the appropriate use of pesticides in the area and, where pesticide use is necessary, support substitution of higher risk to lower risk pesticides as suggested by the report's risk ranking results.

Page 12 July 2006

References

Bintein, S., J. Devillers and W. Karcher. 1993. Non-linear dependence of fish bioconcentration on n-octanol/water partition coefficient. *SAR QSAR Environmental Resources*, 1:29-39.

Comeau, Janice. 2006. Annapolis River Watershed Pesticide Inventory Report. Clean Annapolis River Project.

Dikshith, T.S.S. and Prakash V. Diwan. 2003. Industrial Guide to Chemical and Drug Safety. Canada: John Wiley and Sons.

Dunn, Allison M. 2004. A Relative Risk Ranking of Pesticides Used in Prince Edward Island. Environment Canada, Environmental Protection Branch, Atlantic Region. EPS-5-AR-04-03, March.

Environment Canada. 2004. A Compendium of Information on Pesticides Used in Atlantic Canada. Environmental Protection Branch, Atlantic Region.

Extension Toxicology Network. 1996. Pesticide Information Profiles. < http://extoxnet.orst.edu/pips/ghindex.html, Accessed April 2006.

Extoxnet. 1995. Pesticide Information Profiles. < http://pmep.cce.cornell.edu/profiles/extoxnet>, Accessed April 2006.

Food and Agriculture Organization and the World Health Organization. 2002. Pesticide Residues in Food — 2001: Evaluations — 2001: Part 1: Residues. Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group, Geneva, September 2001.

Green Seal Inc. 2005. Green Seal Environmental Standard for General-Purpose, Bathroom, Glass and Carpet Cleaners Used for Industrial and Institutional Purposes. March 16.

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). 2006. Agents Reviewed on the IARC Monographs: Volumes 1-88. http://www-cie.iarc.fr/monoeval/Listagentsalphaorder.pdf, Accessed March 2006.

International Progamme on Chemical Safety. 1999. Concise International Chemical Assessment Document. United Nations Environment Programme, the International Labour Organization and the World Health Organization, Geneva. http://www.inchem.org/pages/cicads.html, Accessed April 2006.

International Programme on Chemical Safety. 1996. Environmental Health Criteria Monographs (EHCs). < http://www.inchem.org/pages/ehc.html, Accessed April 2006.

Joint Meeting of the FAO Committee on Pesticides in Agriculture and the WHO Expert Committee on Pesticide Residues. 1996. Monographs and Evaluations. < http://www.inchem.org/pages/jmpr.html, Accessed April 2006. Kamrin, Michael A. 1997. Pesticide Profiles: Toxicity, Environmental Impact and Fate. United States: CRC Press, LLC.

Krieger, Robert Ed. 2001. Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology Principles: 2nd Edition — Volumes 1 and 2. New York: Academic Press.

Mackay, D. and W. Shui. 2000. Illustrated Handbook of Physical-Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate of Organic Chemicals. Boca Raton, Florida: Lewis Publishers.

National Pesticide Information Center. 2002. Pesticide Fact Sheets. http://npic.orst.edu/npicfact.htm, Accessed April 2006.

Oklahoma State University (OSU). 2005. Environmental Health and Safety: Glossary of Common MSDS Terms. , Accessed June 2006.

Pesticide Action Network. 2006. PAN Pesticides Database. http://www.pesticideinfo.org/Index.html, Accessed April 2006.

Pesticides Safety Dictorate (PSD). 2006. A Glossary of PSD Terms.

http://www.pesticides.gov.uk/appendices.asp?id=744, Accessed June 2006.

Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA). 2004. Else Label Search. Health Canada.

http://eddenet.pmra-arla.gc.ca/4.0/1.01.asp, Accessed May 2006.

Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA). 2006. Proposed Regulatory Decision Documents (PRDD).

http://www.pmra-arla.gc.ca/english/pubs/prdd-e.html, Accessed April 2006.

Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA). 2006. Regulatory Decision Documents (RDD).

 , Accessed April 2006.

Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA). 2006a. Pesticide Terminology.

http://pmrg-arla.ac.ca/english/aboutpmrg/term-e.html. Accessed June 2006.

Swanson, M.B., G.A. Davis, L.E. Kincaid, T.W. Schultz, J.E. Bartmess, S.L. Jones, E.L. George. 1997. A Screening Method for Ranking and Scoring Chemicals by Potential Human Health and Environmental Impacts. *Environmental Toxicology* and Chemistry. 16(2): 372-383.

Syngenta Corporation. 2006. FarmAssist. http://www.farmassist.cg/prod/bravo/, Accessed June 2006.

Syracuse Research Corporation. 2004. Interactive Log K_{ow} (K_{ow}Win) Demo.

, Accessed April 2006.

Tomlin, C.D.S. Ed. 2000. A World Compendium: The Pesticide Manual, 12th Edition, United Kinadom: The British Crop Protection Council.

The World Health Organization. 2004. The WHO Recommended Classification of Pesticides by Hazards.

http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/pesticides hazard rev 3.pdf>, Accessed April 2006.

Page 14 July 2006 United States Department of Agriculture. 2003. The ARS Pesticide Properties Database. http://www.ars.usda.gov/services/docs.htm?docid=6433, Accessed March 2006.

United States National Library of Medicine. 2004. Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB). http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/htmlgen?HSDB, Accessed March 2006.

United States National Library of Medicine. 2004. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/htmlgen?irisb.htm, Accessed March 2006.

United States National Library of Medicine: Environmental Health and Toxicology. 2005. Toxicology Glossary. http://sis.nlm.nih.gov/enviro/glossaryp.html, Accessed June 2006.

United States National Library of Medicine: National Institute of Health. 2006. Environmental Health and Toxicology Specialized Information Systems: ChemIDplus Lite Database. < http://sis.nlm.nih.gov/enviro/databasetable.html, Accessed April 2006.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2004. Chemicals Evaluated for Carcinogenic Potential. http://npic.orst.edu/chemicals_evaluated_July2004.pdf, Accessed April 2006.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2003. ECOTOXicology Database System. < http://www.epa.gov/ecotox/, Accessed March 2006.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2000. Episuite v.3.12. http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/docs/episuited1.htm, Accessed March 2006.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2000. Episuite Biowin Ultimate Survey Model v.4.02. http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/docs/episuited1.htm, Accessed June 2006.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2006. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Documents. http://cfpub.epa.gov/oppref/rereg/status.cfm?show=rereg, Accessed April 2006.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2006a. Types of Pesticides. < http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/about/types.htm, Accessed June 2006.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2006b. ECOTOX Data Field Descriptions. http://mountain.epa.gov/ecotox/help/datafields.htm, Accessed June 2006.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2006c. Terms of Environment: Glossary, Abbreviations and Acronyms. < http://www.epa.gov/OCEPAterms/wterms.html >, Accessed June 2006.

Vogue, P.A.,E.A. Kerle and J.J. Jenkins. 1994. OSU Extension Pesticide Properties Database. http://npic.orst.edu/ppdmove.htm, Accessed April 2006.

Glossary

The following definitions were derived from many different sources including the Canadian Pest Management Regulatory Agency, the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the Pesticide Safety Dictorate.

Active Ingredient: That ingredient of a pesticide that actually controls the targeted pest. ¹

Acute Effect: Adverse effect on a human or animal body that takes place soon after exposure.⁵

Adjuvant: A substance other than water, which enhances or is intended to enhance the effectiveness of a pesticide product.3

Aerobic: Requiring molecular oxygen.8

Agricultural Sector: A sector contacted in conducting the Annapolis River watershed pesticide inventory project that included poultry, dairy, livestock and fruit and vegetable farms. Christmas tree plantations, nurseries and greenhouses.

Antifouling Agent: Kills or repels organisms that attach to underwater surfaces, such as boat bottoms.²

BCF: (Bioconcentration Factor) A unitless value describing the degree to which a chemical can be concentrated in the tissues of an organism in the aquatic environment.6

Bioconcentrate (Bioconcentration): Process leading to a higher concentration of a substance in an organism than in environmental media to which it is exposed.8

Carcinogenicity (Carcinogen): The causal agents that induce tumors. They include external factors (chemicals, physical agents, viruses) and internal factors such as hormones.3

Chronic Effect: Adverse effect on a human or animal body with symptoms that develop slowly over a long period of time or that recur frequently.5

Disinfectant: Kills or inactivates disease-producing microorganisms or inanimate objects.²

Domestic Sector: A sector contacted in conducting the Annapolis River watershed pesticide inventory project that consisted of households located in the watershed.⁴

EC₅₀: (Median Effective Concentration) Effective concentration for 50% of the organisms tested and used when the effect other than death is the observed endpoint (e.g. immobilization in *Daphnia magna*).

Ecosystem: Grouping of organisms (microorganisms, plants, animals) interacting together, with and through their physical and chemical environments, to form a functional entity.8

Exposure: State of being open and vulnerable to a hazardous chemical by inhalation, ingestion, skin contact, absorption or any other course.5

Page 16

Forestry Sector: A sector contacted in conducting the Annapolis River watershed pesticide inventory project that consisted of contacting forestry companies located within the watershed.⁴

Fugacity Model: A model used to estimate how a chemical disperses throughout the environment through the input of chemical and environmental variables. A Level III fugacity model was used in this analysis.⁷

Fungicide: Kills fungi (including blights, mildews, molds and rusts).²

Fumigant: Produce gas or vapor intended to destroy pests in buildings or soil.²

Herbicide: Kills weeds and other plants that grow where they are not wanted.²

Insecticide: Kills insects and other arthropods.²

Large Facilities and Institutions Sector: A sector contacted in conducting the Annapolis River watershed pesticide inventory project that included health care facilities, schools, government departments, national and provincial parks, golf courses, amusement parks and large industries.⁴

LC₅₀: (Median Lethal Concentration) The concentration of a substance that on the basis of laboratory tests is expected to kill 50% of a group of test animals when administered as a single exposure in a specific time period.⁵

 LD_{50} : (Lethal Dose 50) The single dose of a substance that causes the death in 50% of an animal population from exposure to the substance.⁵

Loading Percentage Value: The estimated amount of active ingredient that is released into soil, air or water during application.⁷

Log K_{ow} : (Partition Coefficient) The ratio of the distribution of a substance between two phases when the heterogeneous system (of two phases) is in equilibrium. The partition coefficient most commonly used in acute toxicology is octan-1-ol/water distributions. It is used to estimate how readily substances will bioconcentrate in an organism.⁸

Marine Sector: A sector contacted in conducting the Annapolis River watershed pesticide inventory project that included boatyards and aquaculture industries.⁴

Medium: Specific environments (air, water, soil) which are the subject of regulatory concern and activities.9

Miscellaneous Sector: A sector contacted in conducting the Annapolis River watershed pesticide inventory project that included lawn care companies and pest control companies.⁴

Miticide: Kills mites that feed on plants and animals.²

Molluscicide: Kills snails and slugs.²

Municipal Sector: A sector contacted in conducting the Annapolis River watershed pesticide inventory project that consisted of contacting the officials for the towns, villages and municipalities within the watershed to inquire about how they used pesticides in such places as public swimming pools, spas and wastewater treatment plants.⁴

No-Observed-Adverse-Effects-Level (NOAEL): The highest exposure level in a toxicity study at which there are no statistically significant and/or biologically significant increases in the frequency of adverse effects between the group of animals exposed to the test substance and it's respective control group.³

Pesticide: Any product, devise, organisms or substance that is used as a means for directly or indirectly controlling, preventing or repelling any pest.

Release Weighting Factor (RWF): A value based on the amount of active ingredient released into air, water and land systems as determined through Fugacity modeling.

Repellant: Repel pests, including insects (such as mosquitoes) and birds.²

Soil Half-life: The time a substance requires to biodegrade in soil to half it's initial concentration.

Surfactant: A detergent compound that promotes lathering.9

Toxicity: Sum of adverse effects resulting from exposure to a material, generally by the mouth, skin or respiratory tract.⁵

Watershed: The land area that drains into a stream. The watershed for a major river may encompass a number of smaller watersheds that ultimately combine at a common point.

Weighted Risk Score (WRS): The final value calculated for each active ingredient representing its potential risk to the environment. It is calculated through the mathematical combination of weighted human, environmental and exposure effect values.7

Wood Preservative: Active ingredients used in treatment of wood to protect it from insects, fungi and other pests.⁴

Page 18 July 2006

¹ (PMRA, 2004a), ² (USEPA, 2006a), ³ (PSD, 2006a), ⁴ (Comeau, 2006), ⁵ (OSU, 2005), ⁶ (USEPA, 2006b), ⁷ (Dunn, 2004), ⁸ (US National Library of Medicine, 2005), 9 (USEPA, 2006c)

Appendix A: Raw Data and References

The following tables contain the raw data collected to perform the pesticide risk ranking of the Annapolis River watershed. The following abbreviations symbolize those sources from which the data was retrieved.

- **CALC:** Calculated Log BCF from the estimated Log K_{ow} based on the equation: log BCF = $0.91*\log K_{ow}-1.975*\log(6.8e-0.7*K_{ow}+1.0)-0.786$ (Bintein, 1993).
- **CECP:** United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2004. Chemicals Evaluated for Carcinogenic Potential. http://npic.orst.edu/chemicals-evaluated-July2004.pdf, Accessed April 2006.
- CHEM: United States National Library of Medicine: National Institute of Health. 2006. Environmental Health and Toxicology Specialized Information Systems: ChemIDplus Lite Database.

 <http://sis.nlm.nih.gov/enviro/databasetable.html >, Accessed April 2006.
- CICAD: International Programme on Chemical Safety. 1999. Concise International Chemical Assessment Document. United Nations Environment Programme, the International Labour Organization and the World Health Organization, Geneva. http://www.inchem.org/pages/cicads.html , Accessed April 2006.
- **DUNN:** Dunn, Allison M. 2004. A Relative Risk Ranking of Pesticides Used in Prince Edward Island. Environment Canada, Environmental Protection Branch, Atlantic Region. EPS-5-AR-04-03, March.
- **ECOTOX:** United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2003. ECOTOXicology Database System. http://www.epa.gov/ecotox/, Accessed March 2006.
- ETN: Extension Toxicology Network. 1996. Pesticide Information Profiles. http://extoxnet.orst.edu/pips/ghindex.html, Accessed April 2006.
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization and the World Health Organization. 2002. Pesticide Residues in Food 2001: Evaluations 2001: Part 1: Residues. Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group, Geneva, September 2001.
- **HPTP:** Kreiger, Robert Ed. 2001. Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology Principles: 2nd Edition Volumes 1 and 2. New York: Academic Press.
- **HSDB:** United States National Library of Medicine. 2004. Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB). < http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/htmlgen?HSDB , Accessed March 2006.
- IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). 2006. Agents Reviewed on the IARC Monographs: Volumes 1 to 88.

 <http://www-cie.iarc.fr/monoeval/Listagentsalphaorder.pdf>, Accessed March 2006.
- **IGCDS:** Dikshith, T.S.S. and Prakash V. Diwan. 2003. Industrial Guide to Chemical and Drug Safety. Canada: John Wiley and Sons.

- IH: Mackay, D. and W. Shui. 2000. Illustrated Handbook of Physical-Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate of Organic Chemicals. Boca Raton, Florida: Lewis Publishers.
- IRIS: United States National Library of Medicine. 2004. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/htmlgen?irisb.htm, Accessed March 2006.
- JMPR: Joint Meeting of the FAO Committee on Pesticides in Agriculture and the WHO Expert Committee on Pesticide Residues. 1996. Monographs and Evaluations. < http://www.inchem.org/pages/jmpr.html, Accessed April 2006.
- **NPIC:** National Pesticide Information Center. 2002. Pesticide Fact Sheets. < http://npic.orst.edu/npicfact.htm, Accessed April 2006.
- **OSU:** Vogue, P.A., E.A. Kerle and J.J. Jenkins. 1994. OSU Extension Pesticide Properties Database. http://npic.orst.edu/ppdmove.htm, Accessed April 2006.
- **PAN:** Pesticide Action Network. 2006. PAN Pesticides Database. < http://www.pesticideinfo.org/Index.html, Accessed April 2006.
- PC: Environment Canada. 2004. A Compendium of Information on Pesticides Used in Atlantic Canada. Environmental Protection Branch, Atlantic Region.
- **PIP:** Extoxnet. 1995. Pesticide Information Profiles. < http://pmep.cce.cornell.edu/profiles/extoxnet>, Accessed April 2006.
- **PM:** Tomlin, C.D.S. Ed. 2000. A World Compendium: The Pesticide Manual, 12th Edition. United Kingdom: The British Crop Protection Council.
- PMRA: Canadian Pest Management Regulatory Agency
- **PP:** Kamrin, Michael A. 1997. Pesticide Profiles: Toxicity, Environmental Impact and Fate. United States: CRC Press, LLC.
- **PPD:** United States Department of Agriculture. 2003. The ARS Pesticide Properties Database. http://www.ars.usda.gov/services/docs.htm?docid=6433, Accessed March 2006.
- SRC: Syracuse Research Corporation. 2004. Interactive Log K_{ow} (K_{ow} Win) Demo. $< \underline{\text{http://www.syrres.com/esc/kowdemo.htm}} >$, Accessed April 2006.
- **USEPA:** United States Environmental Protection Agency
- **WHO:** The World Health Organization. 2004. The WHO Recommended Classification of Pesticides by Hazards. http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications//pesticides_hazard_rev_3.pdf, Accessed April 2006.

Page 20 July 2006

Rat Oral LD₅₀

| Active Ingredient | Geometric Mean LD ₅₀ (mg/kg) | LD ₅₀ Range | N | Reference |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|----|--|
| 2,4-D | 558 | 400 — 764 | 6 | 1) JMPR 2) PM 3) PC |
| Atrazine | 2403 | 1869 — 3090 | 2 | 1) PM |
| Azinphos-Methyl | 11 | 4.4 — 25.4 | 19 | 1) JMPR 2) USEPA. 1999. Human Health Risk Assessment: Azinphos-Methyl. Health Effects Division (HED), Office of Pesticide Programs. May. |
| Azoxystrobin | 5000 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) WHO 3) HSDB |
| Bromoxynil | 237 | 81 — 779 | 8 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Bromoxynil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-013, December. 4) HSDB |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | 850 | | 1 | 1) HSDB 2) CHEM |
| Captan | 10911 | 8400 — 15000 | 4 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Document (RED): Captan. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-015, November. 4) HSDB |
| Carbaryl | 400 | 225 — 850 | 7 | 1) PM 2) PC 3) USEPA. 2002. Updated Toxicology Disciplinary Chapter for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Carbaryl. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 056801, May. |
| Carbofuran | 10 | 5 — 13.8 | 9 | 1) JMPR 2) PM 3) PC |
| Chlorine | 1200 | | 1 | 1) HPTP |
| Chlorothalonil | 5000 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Chlorothalonil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-004, April. |
| Chlorpyrifos | 143 | 82 – 223 | 5 | 1) PC 2) USEPA. 2000. Toxicology Chapter for Chlorpyrifos. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 059101, Case No 818975, April. 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Clopyralid | 3829 | 2675 — 5000 | 4 | 1) PM 2) HSDB |

| _ | _ |
|---|---|
| _ | |
| | |
| | |

| Cymoxanil | 1080 | 960 — 1196 | 3 | 1) PM 2) WHO 3) HSDB |
|--------------|------|----------------|----|---|
| Cypermethrin | 648 | 160 — 4150 | 8 | 1) The International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 82: Cypermethrin. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc82.htm , Accessed March 2006. 2) PC 3) PM 4) USEPA. 2003. Cypermethrin: Toxicology Disciplinary Chapter for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 109702, Case No 819433, November. 5) HSDB |
| Dazomet | 512 | 520 — 650 | 4 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) HSDB |
| Diazinon | 317 | 66 — 1340 | 12 | 1) The International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 198: Diazinon. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc198.htm , Accessed March 2006. 2) PC 3) USEPA. 2000. Diazinon: Toxicology Chapter for the RED as Revised 3/30/00 in Response to the Novartis Crop Protection, Inc. Responses Submitted February 9, 2000 to the RED. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC No 057801, Case No 333-41-5, March. 4) PM 5) HSDB |
| Dicamba | 1495 | 757 — 2740 | 4 | 1) PC 2) USEPA. 2005. Dicamba: HED Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0479-0002, September. 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Dichlobenil | 2729 | 1014 — 4460 | 6 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dichlobenil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-003, October. 4) HSDB |
| Dimethoate | 364 | 180 — 600 | 6 | 1) PM 2) PC 3) JMPR 4) USEPA. 1999. The Updated, Revised Health Effects Division (HED) Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dimethoate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 035001, Case No. 0088, December. |
| Dinocap | 1034 | 950 — 1190 | 3 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) HSDB |

Page 22 July 2006

| Diphenylamine | 1130 | 300 — 2720 | 4 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Diphenylamine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-010, April. 3) HSDB |
|----------------------|-------|-----------------|----|--|
| Diquat Dibromide | 360 | 214 — 810 | 5 | JMPR PM USEPA. 2001. Tolerance Reassessment Eligibility Decision (TRED) Document: Diquat Dibromide. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 032201, December. |
| Dodine | 1132 | 566 — 1931 | 5 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 2005. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dodine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-05-013, September. 3) HSDB |
| Endosulfan | 43 | 9.6 — 110 | 12 | 1) JMPR 2) PM 3) USEPA. 2002. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Endosulfan. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-02-013, November. |
| ЕРТС | 1630 | 916 — 2550 | 7 | PC PM USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): EPTC. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-006, December. HSDB |
| Fenbuconazole | 2000 | | 1 | 1) WHO 2) JMPR 3) PM |
| Fluazifop-p-Butyl | 3003 | 2451 — 3680 | 2 | 1) PM |
| Folpet | 14211 | 7540 — 43800 | 5 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Folpet. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-011, November. 4) HSDB |
| Fosetyl Aluminum | 3971 | 2000 — 5800 | 3 | 1) PM 2) PC 3) HSDB 4) USEPA. 1990. Reregistration Eligibility Document (RED): Aluminum Tris (q-ethylphosphonate) (referred to as Fosetyl-Al). Office of Pesticide Programs Special Reviews and Reregistration Division, December. |
| Glufosinate Ammonium | 1800 | 1620 — 2000 | 2 | 1) PM 2) HSDB |
| Glyphosate | 5600 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Hexazinone | 1424 | 1200 — 1690 | 2 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1994. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Hexazinone. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-94-022, September. |

| _ | _ |
|---|---|
| _ | - |
| • | |
| | |

| Imidacloprid | 449 | 424 — 475 | 3 | 1) PC |
|---------------|------|-----------------|---|--|
| Iprodione | 3150 | 2000 — 4468 | 3 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Iprodione. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-019, November. 4) HSDB |
| Isoxaflutole | 5000 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PMRA. 2005. Proposed Regulatory Decision Document. Alternative Strategies and Regulatory Affairs Division. PRDD2005-03, September. |
| Linuron | 2499 | 1500 — 4000 | 3 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Linuron. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-003, March. |
| Malathion | 1907 | 290 — 5700 | 6 | 1) PC 2) USEPA. 2005. Malathion: Updated Revised Human Health Risk Assessment for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document (RED). Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 057701, Case No 0248, September. 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Mancozeb | 6324 | 5000 — 8000 | 2 | 1) USEPA. 2003. Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment for Mancozeb. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0176, June. 2) PM 3) HSDB |
| МСРА | 901 | 700 — 1160 | 2 | 1) PM |
| Месоргор | 1047 | 930 — 1166 | 3 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) HSDB |
| Methamidophos | 17 | 13 – 21 | 5 | 1) JMPR 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1998. EFED Risk Assessment for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Document: Methamidophos. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 101201, Case No. 0043, January. |
| Methomyl | 28 | 17 — 45 | 5 | 1) The International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 178: Methomyl. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc178.htm , Accessed March 2006. 2) PC 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Metiram | 8518 | 6500 — 10000 | 4 | 1) JMPR |

Page 24 July 2006

| Metolachlor | 2577 | 2200 — 2800 | 3 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Metolachlor. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-006, April. 4) HSDB |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------|---|---|
| Metribuzin | 1827 | 1100 — 2300 | 4 | 1) PM 2) PC 3) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Metribuzin. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-006, February. |
| Mineral Oil | No Information | | | Default Value (HV $_{ m OR}=2.5$) |
| Myclobutanil | 1914 | 1600 — 2290 | 2 | 1) PM 2) HSDB |
| Naled | 311 | 250 — 430 | 3 | 1) PM 2) HSDB |
| Napropamide | 4837 | 4680 — 5000 | 2 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 2005. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Napropamide. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Case No 2450, September. 4) HSDB |
| Paraquat | 171 | 100 — 344 | 9 | 1) JMPR 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1997. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Paraquat Dichloride. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-F-96-018, August. |
| Permethrin | 1404 | 430 — 4000 | 8 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 2005. Permethrin: HED Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document (RED). Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 109701, Case No 52645-53-1, July. 4) HSDB |
| Phorate | 1.79 | 1.1 – 3.7 | 5 | 1) PC 2) USEPA. 1998. Phorate: The HED Chapter on the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document (RED). Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 057201, Case No 0103, April. 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Phosalone | 142 | 120 — 170 | 2 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) HSDB |
| Phosmet | 151 | 93 — 310 | 7 | 1) JMPR 2) PM |
| Picloram | 2690 | 686 — 8200 | 6 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Picloram. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-019, August. 4) HSDB |

| _ | _ |
|---|---|
| | - |
| | - |
| | |

| | 1 | <u> </u> | | 1-1 |
|--------------------|-------|------------------|---|---|
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 10520 | 7500 — 115000 | 3 | 1) PM 2) JMPR 3) HSDB |
| Pirimicarb | 133 | 68 — 221 | 4 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) HSDB |
| Prometryn | 2712 | 1802 — 5233 | 5 | 1) PM 2) PC 3) USEPA. 1996. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Prometryn. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-033, February. 4) HSDB |
| Propiconazole | 1601 | 1211 — 2233 | 3 | 1) JMPR 2) PM |
| Pyridaben | 945 | 570 — 1350 | 3 | 1) PM 2) HSDB |
| Quintozene | 5503 | 1650 — 30000 | 5 | 1) The International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 41: Quintozene. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc41.htm , Accessed March 2006. 2) PM 3) HSDB 4) PC |
| Simazine | 6656 | 500 — 34000 | 5 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 2005. Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision: Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment for Simazine. Environmental Fate and Effects Division. May. 4) HSDB |
| Terbacil | 3271 | 934 — 7500 | 3 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Terbacil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-011, January. 4) HSDB |
| Thiophanate-Methyl | 6640 | | 1 | 1) JMPR 2) PM |
| Thiram | 2654 | 1800 — 4000 | 5 | 1) JMPR 2) PM |
| Triazine | 3779 | 2700 — 5000 | 3 | 1) HSDB 2) JMPR |
| Tributyltin Oxide | 151 | 94 – 234 | 4 | 1) The International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 116: Tributyltin Compounds. < http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc116.htm>, Accessed March 2006. 2) HSDB |

Page 26 July 2006

| Triclopyr | 654 | 577 — 729 | | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Triclopyr. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-011, October. 4) HSDB |
|-------------|-------|-----------------|---|---|
| Trifluralin | 4586 | 1930 — 10000 | 3 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1996. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Trifluralin. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-040, April. 4) HSDB |
| Triforine | 16000 | | 1 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) HSDB |

Rat 4-hour Inhalation LC₅₀

| Active Ingredient | Geometric Mean LC ₅₀ (mg/L) | LC ₅₀ Range | N | Reference |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|---|--|
| 2,4-D | 1.8 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) JMPR |
| Atrazine | 5.8 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 2001. Revised Toxicology Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Atrazine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. January. |
| Azinphos-Methyl | 0.2 | 0.13 — 0.21 | 3 | 1) JMPR 2) USEPA. 1999. Human Health Risk Assessment: Azinphos-Methyl. Health Effects Division (HED), Office of Pesticide Programs. May. |
| Azoxystrobin | 819 | 698 - 962 | 2 | 1) PIP |
| Bromoxynil | 0.4 | 0.15 — 0.81 | 5 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Bromoxynil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-013, December. |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | 4.43 (estimated) | | 1 | 1) Green Seal Inc. 2005. Green Seal Environmental Standard for General-Purpose, Bathroom, Glass and Carpet Cleaners Used for Industrial and Institutional Purposes. March 16. |
| Captan | 0.9 | 0.72 — 1.21 | 5 | 1) NPIC 2) HPTP |
| Carbaryl | 3.4 | | 1 | 1) USEPA. 2002. Updated Toxicology Disciplinary Chapter for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Carbaryl. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 056801, May. |
| Carbofuran | 0.1 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) JMPR |
| Chlorine | 293 | | 1 | 1) CHEM |
| Chlorothalonil | 0.1 | | 1 | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Chlorothalonil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-004, April. |

| _ | _ |
|---|---|
| _ | |
| | |
| | |

| | 1 | | | |
|------------------|------|-----------------|---|---|
| Chlorpyrifos | 0.2 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) ETN |
| Спогруппоз | 0.2 | | | 3) HSDB |
| Clopyralid | 0.4 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Cymoxanil | 5.1 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) ETN 3) USEPA. 1998. Pesticide Fact Sheet: Cymoxanil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. < http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/factsheets/cymoxanil.pdf , Accessed April 2006. |
| Cypermethrin | 4.4 | 2.5 — 7.889 | 2 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) CHEM |
| Dazomet | 8.4 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) CHEM |
| Diazinon | 2.9 | 2.33 — 3.5 | 2 | 1) USEPA. 2000. Diazinon: Toxicology Chapter for the RED as Revised 3/30/00 in Response to the Novartis Crop Protection, Inc. Responses submitted February 9, 2000 to the RED. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC No 057801, Case No 333-41-5, March. 2) ETN 3) PM |
| Dicamba | 43.8 | 9.6 — 200 | 2 | 1) PM 2) IGCDS 3) PP |
| Dichlobenil | 0.9 | 0.25 – 3.3 | 2 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dichlobenil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-003, October. |
| Dimethoate | 2.0 | | 1 | 1) USEPA. 1999. The Updated, Revised HED Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dimethoate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 035001, Case No 0088, December. |
| Dinocap | 0.4 | | 1 | 1) ETN 2) PM 3) PP |
| Diphenylamine | 1.5 | | 1 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Diphenylamine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-010, April. |
| Diquat Dibromide | 0.3 | 0.121 — 1.09 | 4 | USEPA. 2001. Tolerance Reassessment Eligibility Decision (TRED) Document: Diquat Dibromide. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 032201, December. |
| Dodine | 1.1 | | 1 | 1) USEPA. 2005. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dodine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-05-013, September. |
| Endosulfan | 0.1 | 0.0126 — 0.5 | 4 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 2002. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Endosulfan. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-02-013, November. |

Page 28 July 2006

| EPTC | 2.8 | 1.39 – 4.3 | 3 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): EPTC. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-006, December. |
|----------------------|------|-----------------|---|--|
| Fenbuconazole | 2.1 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) CHEM |
| Fluazifop-p-Butyl | 5.2 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Folpet | 3.1 | 1.89 — 5.0 | 2 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) HPTP 4) IGCDS |
| Fosetyl Aluminum | 1.7 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1990. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Aluminum Tris (q-ethylphosphonate) (referred to as Fosetyl-Al). Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. List A, December. |
| Glufosinate Ammonium | 1.3 | 0.62 — 2.60 | 3 | 1) PM 2) HSDB |
| Glyphosate | 4.9 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Hexazinone | 3.9 | | 1 | 1) USEPA. 1994. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Hexazinone. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-94-022, September. |
| Imidacloprid | 0.1 | | 1 | 1) JMPR 2) PM |
| Iprodione | 5.2 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Iprodione. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-019, November. 3) HSDB |
| Isoxaflutole | 5.2 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PMRA. 2001. Proposed Regulatory Decision Document: Isoxaflutole. Submission Management and Information Division. PRDD2001-03, July. |
| Linuron | 6.2 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Malathion | 43.8 | | 1 | 1) HSDB 2) CHEM |
| Mancozeb | 5.1 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| MCPA | 6.4 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Mecoprop | 12.5 | | 1 | 1) ETN 2) PM |
| Methamidophos | 0.1 | 0.0632 — 0.2 | 3 | 1) PM 2) JMPR |
| Methomyl | 0.3 | 0.258 — 0.45 | 4 | 1) The International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 178: Methomyl. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc178.htm , Accessed March 2006. 2) PM 3) HSDB |
| Metiram | 5.7 | | 1 | 1) JMPR 2) PM |

| | | | | |
|--------------------|------|-----------------|---|--|
| Metolachlor | 2.7 | 1.75 — 4.3 | 2 | 1) PC 2) ETN 3) PM |
| Metribuzin | 0.6 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Metribuzin. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-006, February. |
| Mineral Oil | 3.9 | | 1 | 1) CHEM |
| Myclobutanil | 5.0 | | 1 | 1) CHEM |
| Naled | 7.7 | | 1 | 1) PIP |
| Napropamide | 1.0 | 0.2 – 5.0 | 2 | 1) ETN 2) PM 3) IGCDS |
| Paraquat | 0.5 | 0.001 — 10.0 | 4 | 1) JMPR 2) USEPA. 1997. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Paraquat Dichloride. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-F-96-018, August. |
| Permethrin | 7.2 | 0.685 — 23.5 | 3 | 1) ETN 2) IGCDS 3) PM |
| Phorate | 0.03 | 0.011 — 0.06 | 2 | 1) USEPA. 2001. Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision for Phorate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Case 0103, March. 2) PM |
| Phosalone | 0.7 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Phosmet | 1.1 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Picloram | 0.1 | 0.035 — 0.35 | 2 | 1) ETN 2) IGCDS 3) PM |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 5.9 | | 1 | 1) HPTP 2) PM |
| Pirimicarb | 0.5 | 0.3 - 0.86 | 2 | 1) PM 2) IGCDS |
| Prometryn | 5.1 | 4.96 — 5.2 | 3 | 1) PM 2) ETN 3) USEPA. 1996. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Prometryn. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-033, February. |
| Propiconazole | 5.8 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Pyridaben | 0.6 | 0.62 — 0.66 | 2 | 1) PM 2) CHEM |
| Quintozene | 2.5 | 1.4 — 6.49 | 3 | 1) ETN 2) PM 3) CHEM |
| Simazine | 3.8 | 2.0 — 5.5 | 3 | 1) ETN 2) PM 3) IGCDS |

Page 30 July 2006

| | | | | _ |
|--------------------|------|------------------|---|--|
| Terbacil | 4.4 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Terbacil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-011, January. |
| Thiophanate-Methyl | 1.7 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) JMPR 3) USEPA. 2001. Revised Toxicology Chapter for Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Thiophanate-Methyl. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Case No 2680, March. |
| Thiram | 4.4 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Triazine | 0.4 | 0.228 — 0.906 | 3 | 1) HSDB 2) HPTP 3) CHEM |
| Tributyltin Oxide | 0.1 | 0.064 — 0.077 | 2 | 1) The International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 116: Tributyltin Compounds. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc116.htm , Accessed March 2006. 2) CHEM 3) CICAD |
| Triclopyr | 25.8 | 2.6 — 256 | 2 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Triclopyr. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-011, October. |
| Trifluralin | 3.9 | 2.8 – 4.8 | 3 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1996. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Trifluralin. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-040, April. 3) PP |
| Triforine | 4.5 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) PC 3) CHEM |

Carcinogenicity Rating

| Active Ingredient | Carcinogenicity Rating | Reference |
|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| 2,4-D | D | 1) DUNN |
| Atrazine | 3 | 1) IARC 2) USEPA. 2001. Revised Toxicology Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Atrazine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. January. |
| Azinphos-Methyl | E | 1) JMPR 2) USEPA. 1999. Human Health Risk Assessment: Azinphos-Methyl. Health Effects Division (HED), Office of Pesticide Programs. May. |
| Azoxystrobin | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} $= 1.5$) |
| Bromoxynil | С | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Bromoxynil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-013, December. |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | 3 | 1) HSDB |
| Captan | B2 | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Captan. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-015, November. |

| - | 7 | ä | |
|---|---|---|--|
| • | | 7 | |

| Carbaryl | B2 | 1) JMPR 2) USEPA. 2002. Updated Toxicology Disciplinary Chapter for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Carbaryl. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 056801, May. | |
|-------------------|----------------|---|--|
| Carbofuran | D | 1) JMPR | |
| Chlorine | D | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Chlorine Gas. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-001, February. | |
| Chlorothalonil | B2 | JMPR IARC USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Chlorothalonil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-004. April. | |
| Chlorpyrifos | E | 1) NPIC | |
| Clopyralid | No Information | Default Value (HV $_{CAR} = 1.5$) | |
| Cymoxanil | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} = 1.5) | |
| Cypermethrin | С | 1) USEPA. 2005. Cypermethrin/zeta-Cypermethrin: Phase 2 Acute (Probalistic) and Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessments for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 109702 and 129064, November. | |
| Dazomet | D | 1) USEPA. 2005. Overview of the Preliminary Dazomet Risk Assessment. EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0128, July. | |
| Diazinon | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} = 1.5) | |
| Dicamba | D | 1) NPIC | |
| Dichlobenil | С | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dichlobenil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-003, October. | |
| Dimethoate | С | 1) JMPR 2) USEPA. 1999. The Updated, Revised Health Effects Division (HED) Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dimethoate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 035001, Case No 0088, December. | |
| Dinocap | E | 1) USEPA. 2003. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dinocap. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-03-002, May. | |
| Diphenylamine | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} = 1.5) | |
| Diquat Dibromide | E | 1) USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Diquat Dibromide. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA-R-95-016. July. 2) JMPR 1993 | |
| Dodine | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} = 1.5) | |
| Endosulfan | D | 1) JMPR | |
| EPTC | E | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): EPTC. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-006, December. | |
| Fenbuconazole | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} = 1.5) | |
| Fluazifop-p-Butyl | D | 1) USEPA. 2000. Cancer Assessment Document: Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Potential of Diclofop-Methyl. Cancer Assessment Review Committee, Health Effects Division (HED), Office of Pesticide Programs. PC Code 110902, May. | |
| Folpet | B2 | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Folpet. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-011, November. 2) HSDB 3) IRIS | |

Page 32 July 2006

| Fosetyl Aluminum | С | 1) USEPA. 1990. Reregistration Eligibility Document: Aluminum Tris (qethylphosphonate) (referred to as Fosetyl-Al). Office of Pesticide Programs Special Reviews and Registration Division, December. 2) HSDB 3) IRIS |
|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Glufosinate Ammonium | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} = 1.5) |
| Glyphosate | E | 1) USEPA. 1993. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Glyphosate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-93-014, September. |
| Hexazinone | D | 1) USEPA. 1994. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Hexazinone. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-94-022, September. |
| Imidacloprid | D | 1) JMPR |
| Iprodione | B2 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Iprodione. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-019, November. |
| Isoxaflutole | No Information | Default Value ($HV_{CAR} = 1.5$) |
| Linuron | С | IRIS USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Linuron. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-003, March. |
| Malathion | 3 | 1) HSDB 2) IARC |
| Mancozeb | B2 | 1) USEPA. 2005. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Mancozeb. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-04-012, September. |
| MCPA | D | 1) DUNN |
| Mecoprop | 2B | 1) HSDB |
| Methamidophos | D | 1) JMPR |
| Methomyl | E | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Methomyl. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-021, December. |
| Metiram | B2 | JMPR USEPA. 1996. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Nabam. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-035, January. |
| Metolachlor | С | USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Metolachlor. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-006, April. HSDB IRIS |
| Metribuzin | D | IRIS USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Metribuzin. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-006, February. |
| Mineral Oil | 3 | 1) IARC |
| Myclobutanil | E | 1) CECP |
| Naled | E | 1) USEPA. 2002. Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision for Naled. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-02-008, January. |
| Napropamide | E | 1) USEPA. 2005. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Napropamide. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Case No 2450, September. |
| Paraquat | E | 1) USEPA. 1997. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Paraquat Dichloride. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-F-96-018, August. |
| Permethrin | 3 | 1) HSDB 2) IARC |

Clean Annapolis River Project

| Phorate | E | 1) CECP | |
|--------------------|----------------|---|--|
| Phosalone | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} $= 1.5$) | |
| Phosmet | С | 1) USEPA. 1998. Toxicology Chapter for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Phosmet. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 059201, January. | |
| Picloram | 3 | 1) HSDB 2) IARC | |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 3 | 1) HSDB 2) IARC | |
| Pirimicarb | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} = 1.5) | |
| Prometryn | E | 1) CECP | |
| Propiconazole | С | 1) JMPR | |
| Pyridaben | E | 1) CECP | |
| Quintozene | 3 | 1) HSDB 2) IARC | |
| Simazine | 3 | 1) HSDB 2) IARC | |
| Terbacil | E | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Terbacil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-011, January | |
| Thiophanate-Methyl | С | 1) JMPR 2) USEPA. 2001. Revised Toxicology Chapter for Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Thiophanate-Methyl. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Case No 2680, March. | |
| Thiram | 3 | 1) IARC 2) JMPR | |
| Triazine | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} = 1.5) | |
| Tributyltin Oxide | D | 1) HSDB 2) IRIS | |
| Triclopyr | D | 1) NPIC | |
| Trifluralin | С | 1) USEPA. 1996. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Trifluralin. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-040, April. 2) HSDB 3) IRIS | |
| Triforine | No Information | Default Value (HV _{CAR} = 1.5) | |

No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL)

| Active Ingredient | NOAEL (mg/kgbw/d) | Supporting Study | Additional Uncertainly Factor Applied to NOAEL | Justification | Reference |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| 2,4-D | 1 | 1-year Dog/2-year Rat | | | 1) JMPR |
| Atrazine | 1.8 | 6-month Luteinizing Hormone Surge | 10 | Increased Sensitivity of Young | 1) USEPA. 2001. Revised Toxicology Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision. Atrazine (RED): Atrazine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. January. |

Page 34 July 2006

| Azinphos-Methyl | 0.15 | 1-year Dog | | | 1) USEPA. 1999. Human Health Risk Assessment: Azinphos-Methyl. Health Effects Division (HED), Office of Pesticide |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---|----|---------------------------------|--|
| | 10 | H - 1 - 16 - 16 - 1 | | | Programs. May. |
| Azoxystrobin | 18 | Unidentified Study | | | 1) PM |
| Bromoxynil | 1.5 | 1-year Dog | | | 1) HPTP |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | No Information | | | | Default Value (HV $_{NCAR}=2.5$) |
| Captan | 10 | Prenatal Developmental Toxicity Study in Rabbits | | | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Captan. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-015, November. |
| Carbaryl | 3.1 | 1-year Dog | 3 | LOAEL to NOAEL Extrapolation | 1) USEPA. 2002. Toxicology Disciplinary Chapter for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Carbaryl. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 056801, May. |
| Carbofuran | 0.22 | 4-week Dog | | | 1) JMPR |
| Chlorine | 14.4 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Chlorine Gas. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-001, February. |
| Chlorothalonil | 2 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Chlorothalonil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-004, April. |
| Chlorpyrifos | 1 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |
| Clopyralid | 15 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |
| Cymoxanil | 4.1 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |
| Cypermethrin | 10 | Acute Mammalian Neurotoxicity | 10 | Less Than Chronic Study | 1) USEPA. 2005. Cypermethrin/zeta-Cypermethrin: Phase 2 Acute (Probalistic) and Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessments for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 109702 and 1290644, November. |
| Dazomet | 1.5 | Subchronic Toxicity in Rats | 10 | Less Than Chronic Study | 1) USEPA. 2005. Overview of the Preliminary Dazomet Risk Assessment. EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0128, July. |
| Diazinon | 0.02 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) USEPA. 2004. Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Diazinon. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-04-006, May. |
| | 45 | 2-generation Rat | | | 1) USEPA. 2005. Dicamba: HED Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0479-0002, September. |
| Dichlobenil | 50 | 2-year Rat | l | | 1) PM |

| _ | _ |
|---|---|
| _ | |
| | |
| | |

| Dimethoate | 0.05 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) USEPA. 1999. Dimethoate: The Updated, Revised HED Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dimethoate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 035001, Case No. 0088, December. |
|-------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|
| Dinocap | 7 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |
| Diphenylamine | 7.5 | 90-day Rat | 10 | Less Than Chronic Study | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Diphenylamine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-010, April. |
| Diquat Dibromide | 0.19 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) JMPR 2) IRIS |
| Dodine | 2 | Chronic Dog Toxicity | | | 1) USEPA. 2005. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dodine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-05-013, September. |
| Endosulfan | 0.6 | 2-year Rat | 10 | Evidence for Increased Susceptibility of Young, Endocrine Disruption, Uncertainty Regarding Neuroendocrine Effects in the Young, need for a Developmental Neurotoxicity Study | 1) USEPA. 2002. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Endosulfan. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-02-013, November. 2) IRIS 3) JMPR |
| EPTC | 2.5 | 2-generation Rat | | | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): EPTC. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-006, December. |
| Fenbuconazole | 1.28 | 78-week Mouse | | | 1) PMRA. 2005. Proposed Regulatory Decision Document. Alternative Strategies and Regulatory Affairs Division. PRDD2005-03, September. |
| Fluazifop-p- Butyl | 1 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |
| Folpet | 10 | Rabbit Developmental Toxicity | 3 | 3 For Food Quality Protection Act | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Folpet. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-011, November. |
| Fosetyl Aluminum | 5000 | 90-day Rat | 10 | Less Than Chronic Study | 1) PM |
| Glufosinate Ammonium | 2 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |
| Glyphosate | 175 | Developmental rabbit | | | 1) USEPA. 1993. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Glyphosate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-93-014, September. |
| Hexazinone | 5 | 1-year Dog | | | 1) USEPA. 1994. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Hexazinone. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-94-022, September. |

Page 36 July 2006

| Imidacloprid | 5.7 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) JMPR |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|
| Iprodione | 18 | 1-year Dog | | | 1) PM |
| Isoxaflutole | 5 | Rabbit Developmental Toxicity | | | 1) PMRA. 2001. Proposed Regulatory Decision Document: Isoxaflutole. Alternative Strategies and Regulatory Affairs Division. PRDD2005-03, September. |
| Linuron | 0.77 | 1-year Dog | | | 1) USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Linuron. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-003, March. |
| Malathion | 50 | 1-year Dog | | | 1) NPIC |
| Mancozeb | 4.83 | Rat Toxicity | | | 1) USEPA. 2005. Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment for Mancozeb. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0176, June. |
| МСРА | 0.15 | 1-year Dog | 3 | Lack of a Complete Data Base on Chronic Toxicity | 1) IRIS |
| Mecoprop | 1.1 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |
| Methamidophos | 0.05 | 1-year Dog | 10 | No NOAEL Established | 1) IRIS |
| Methomyl | 3 | 2-year Dog | | | 1) The International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 178: Methomyl. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehg/ehc/ehc178.htm , Accessed March 2006. |
| Metiram | 2.5 | 1-year Dog | | | 1) JMPR |
| Metolachlor | 15 | 2-generation Rat | | | 1) HPTP |
| Metribuzin | 1.3 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Metribuzin. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-006, February. |
| Mineral Oil | No Information | | | | Default Value (HV _{NCAR} = 2.5) |
| Myclobutanil | 100 | 90-day Rat | 10 | Less Than Chronic Study | 1) PM |
| Naled | 0.2 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PMRA. 2004. Proposed Regulatory Decision Document: Re-evaluation of Naled. Alternative Strategies and Regulatory Affairs Division. PACR2004- 33, August. |
| Napropamide | 30 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |
| Paraquat | 0.45 | 1-year Dog | | | 1) USEPA. 1997. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Paraquat Dichloride. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-F-96-018, August. 2) IRIS 3) JMPR |

| - |
|---|
| |

| | | | | | 1) USEPA. 2005. Overview of Permethrin |
|------------------------|------|----------------------------|----|---|---|
| Permethrin | 25 | Rat Acute Neurotoxicity | 10 | Less Than Chronic Study | Risk Assessment. EPA-HQ-OPP-2004- 0385, August. |
| Phorate | 0.05 | Chronic Dog | | | 1) USEPA. 2001. Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Phorate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Case No 0103, March. |
| Phosalone | 0.2 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) USEPA. 2001. Report on FQPA Tolerance Reassessment Progress and Interim Risk Management Decision for Phosalone. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-01-001, January. |
| Phosmet | 1.1 | 2-year Rat | 3 | Incomplete Database, Lack of Acute and Subchronic Neurotoxicity Studies in Rats. | 1) USEPA. 1998. Toxicology Chapter for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Phosmet. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 059201, January. |
| Picloram | 20 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 3 | 1-year Dog | | | 1) NPIC |
| Pirimicarb | 4.2 | Rat-Unidentified Time | | | 1) PM |
| Prometryn | 1.5 | 21-month Mouse | | | 1) PM |
| Propiconazole | 3.6 | 1-year Dog/2-year Rat | | | 1) JMPR |
| Pyridaben | 0.5 | 52-week Dog | | | 1) PM |
| Quintozene | 0.75 | Dog-Unidentified Time | | | 1) The International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 41: Quintozene. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehgehc/ehc41.htm , Accessed March 2006. |
| Simazine | 0.52 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) IRIS |
| Terbacil | 250 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |
| Thiophanate- Methyl | 8 | 1-year Dog | | | 1) USEPA. 2001. Revised Anticipated Residues, Acute and Chronic Dietary Risk Assessments for Thiophanate-Methyl (TM) and its Metabolites Methyl 2-benzimidazole carbamate (MBC) and 2-Amine-1-H-benzimidazole (2-AB). Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Chemical No 102001, March. |
| Thiram | 1 | 2-year Rat/ 1-year Dog | | | 1) JMPR |
| Triazine | 0.2 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) HPTP |
| Tributyltin Oxide | 0.19 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) IRIS |
| Triclopyr | 3 | 2-year Rat | | | 1) PM |

Page 38 July 2006

| Trifluralin | 2.4 | 1-year Dog | 1) USEPA. 1996. Reregistrati Decision (RED): Trifluralin. Pr Pesticides and Toxic Substanc 738-R-95-040, April. | evention, |
|-------------|-----|------------|--|-----------|
| Triforine | 100 | 2-year Dog | 1) PM | |

Rainbow Trout 96-hour LC₅₀

| Active Ingredient | Geometric Mean LC ₅₀ (mg/L) | LC ₅₀ Range | N | Reference |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|----|---|
| 2,4-D | 5.50 | 1.4 — 47 | 5 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Atrazine | 10.70 | 4.5 — 24 | 6 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Azinphos-Methyl | 0.01 | 0.0029 — 0.028 | 15 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Azoxystrobin | 6.92 | 0.47 — 150 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Bromoxynil | 1.07 | 0.05 — 18 | 6 | 1) ECOTOX 2) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Bromoxynil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-013, December. |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | 0.085 | 0.06 — 0.095 | 7 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Captan | 0.50 | 0.066 — 126 | 10 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Captan. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-015, November. 4) HSDB |
| Carbaryl | 1.58 | 0.32 - 5.4 | 41 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Carbofuran | 0.48 | 0.38 — 0.60 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Chlorine | 0.185 | 0.132 — 0.291 | 4 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Chlorothalonil | 0.03 | 0.0076 — 0.103 | 13 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Chlorpyrifos | 0.02 | 0.01 – 2.0 | 13 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM 3) HSDB |
| Clopyralid | 103 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) HSDB |
| Cymoxanil | 61 | | 1 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM 3) PAN |
| Cypermethrin | 0.01 | 0.0005 — 13.3 | 8 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM |
| Dazomet | 2.14 | 0.16 — 16.2 | 4 | 1) ECOTOX |

| - | | _ |
|---|---|---|
| = | _ | - |
| | • | |
| | | |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | I-v |
|-------------------|--------|---------------------|----|---|
| Diazinon | 1.69 | 0.09 — 16.0 | 12 | 1) HSDB 2) PC 3) ECOTOX 4) PM |
| Dicamba | 100 | 28 — 153 | 5 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Dichlobenil | 13.74 | 4.93 — 140 | 5 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dichlobenil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-003, December. 2) HSDB 3) ECOTOX |
| Dimethoate | 7.40 | 6.2 - 8.6 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Dinocap | 0.04 | 0.013 — 0.0485 | 5 | 1) ETN 2) PC 3) PAN |
| Diphenylamine | 2.2 | | 1 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Diphenylamine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-010, April. |
| Diquat Dibromide | 24 | 14.83 — 39 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Dodine | 18 | 0.57 — 570 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX 2) USEPA. 2005. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dodine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-05-013, September. 3) PAN |
| Endosulfan | 0.0007 | 0.00017 — 0.0029 | 37 | 1) ECOTOX |
| EPTC | 29 | 19 — 180 | 6 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Fenbuconazole | 1.45 | 1.4 — 1.5 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PMRA. 2005. Proposed Regulatory Decision Document: Fenbuconazole. Alternative Strategies and Regulatory Affairs Division. PRDD2005-03, September. 3) PAN |
| Fluazifop-p-Butyl | 1.3 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Folpet | 0.14 | 0.015 — 49 | 9 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Folpet. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-011, November. 4) HSDB |
| Fosetyl Aluminum | 190 | 75.8 — 428 | 4 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) HSDB |

Page 40 July 2006

| | | • | | |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|----------|--|
| | | | | 1) ECOTOX |
| Glufosinate Ammonium | 182.38 | 26.7 - 710 | 3 | 2) PM |
| | | | | 3) HSDB |
| Glyphosate | 20.2 | 1.3 - 240 | 22 | 1) ECOTOX |
| | | 146 — | | 2) PM 1) ECOTOX |
| Hexazinone | 453 | 1964 | 6 | 2) PM |
| lmidacloprid | 211 | 1704 | 1 | 1) PC |
| maderoprid | 211 | | <u>'</u> | 1) PC |
| | | | | 2) ECOTOX |
| | | | | 3) PM |
| Iprodione | 4.4 | 4.1 - 4.8 | 3 | 4) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): |
| | | | | Iprodione. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R- |
| | | | | 98-019, November. |
| | 00.07 | 1.7.1(0 | | 5) HSDB |
| Isoxaflutole | 20.27 | 1.7 — 160 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Linuron | 3 | | I | 1) ECOTOX |
| Malathion | 0.1 | 0.0028 - | 21 | 1) PC 2) HSDB |
| Mulullion | 0.1 | 0.68 | 21 | 3) ECOTOX |
| | | | | 1) USEPA. 2005. Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk |
| Mancozeb | 0.64 | 0.46 — | 3 | Assessment for Mancozeb. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic |
| | | 0.91 | | Substances. EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0176, June. |
| MCDA | 145 | 01 222 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX |
| MCPA | 145 | 91 — 232 | 2 | 2) PM |
| Mecoprop | 159 | 124 — 220 | 3 | 1) PC |
| | | 124 - 220 | 0 | 2) PIP |
| Methamidophos | 25 | | 1 | 1) ECOTOX |
| | | | | 1) PC |
| Methomyl | 2.04 | 0.86 — | 17 | 2) ECOTOX |
| , | | 32.0 | | 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| | | 0.229 — | | 1) ECOTOX |
| Metiram | 0.50 | 1.1 | 2 | 2) PM |
| | | 1 | | 1) PC |
| | 0.70 | 0 00 | | 2) ECOTOX |
| Metolachlor | 2.79 | 2 - 3.9 | 2 | 3) PM |
| | | | | 4) HSDB |
| Metribuzin | 83 | 42 — 147 | 4 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Mineral Oil | No | | | Default Value (HV _{AAT} $= 2.5$) |
| Millerur Oli | Information | | | Delutil value (IIV _{AAT} — 2.5) |
| | | | | 1) ECOTOX |
| Myclobutanil | 4.2 | | 1 | 2) PM |
| | | | | 3) HSDB |
| Naled | 0.2 | 0.049 — | 9 | 1) ECOTOX |
| | | 0.9 | | 2) HSDB |
| Napropamido | 12 | 0 / 1// | 1 | 1) PC |
| Napropamide | 12 | 9.4 — 16.6 | 4 | 2) ECOTOX 3) PM |
| | | | | 1) ECOTOX |
| Paraquat | 20 | 15 - 26 | 2 | 2) PM |
| L | | 1 | 1 | - · · · · |

| Permethrin Phorate | 0.01 | 0.00062 — 0.314 0.0013 — 0.13 | 27 | 1) International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 94: Permethrin. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc94.htm , Accessed March 2006. 2) PC 3) ECOTOX 4) PM 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM |
|-----------------------|------|--|----|---|
| Phosalone | 0.31 | 0.15 — 0.63 | | 4) HSDB 1) ECOTOX 2) PM 3) HSDB |
| Phosmet | 0.58 | 0.105 — 10 | 17 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Picloram | 13.9 | 3.1 - 310 | 20 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 0.58 | 0.0024 — 11.2 | ď | 1) ECOTOX 2) HSDB |
| Pirimicarb | 77 | 29 — 129 | 4 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Prometryn | 4.1 | 2.5 – 7.2 | 4 | 7) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1996. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Prometryn. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-033, February. 4) ECOTOX 5) HSDB |
| Propiconazole | 6.87 | 0.83 — 506 | | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Pyridaben | 0.02 | 0.00072 — 3.1 | | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM 3) HSDB |
| Quintozene | 0.54 | 0.31 — 1.6 | | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM |
| Simazine | 28.7 | 2.5 — 100 | 11 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) USEPA. 2005. Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision: Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment for Simazine. Environmental Fate and Effects Division. EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0151, May. 5) HSDB |

Page 42 July 2006

| Terbacil | 52.9 | 39.7 — 79.0 | 4 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Terbacil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-011, January. |
|--------------------|------|-------------------|----|--|
| Thiophanate-Methyl | 25.2 | | 1 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Thiram | 0.07 | 0.048 — 0.13 | 4 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Triazine | 0.17 | 0.14 — 0.202 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX 2) HSDB |
| Tributyltin Oxide | 0.02 | 0.00128 — 32.0 | 6 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Triclopyr | 4.36 | 0.74 — 117 | 5 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Trifluralin | 0.07 | 0.01 — 1.6 | 28 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) HSDB |
| Triforine | 146 | 21.4 — 1000 | 2 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) HSDB |

Daphnia magna 48-hour LC/EC₅₀

| Active Ingredients | | EC/LC ₅₀ Range | N | Reference |
|----------------------|---------|------------------------------|----|---|
| 2,4-D | 152 | 25 — 389 | 3 | 1) HSDB 2) ECOTOX |
| Atrazine | 33.9 | 6.9 — 115 | 3 | 1) PM 2) ECOTOX |
| Azinphos-Methyl | 10 0018 | 0.0011 — 0.0044 | 5 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Azoxystrobin | 13.5 | 0.259 — 190 | 3 | 1) PM 2) USEPA. 1997. Pesticide Fact Sheet: Azoxystrobin. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. 7501C, February. |
| Bromoxynil | 0.3186 | 0.011 — 19.22 | 29 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Bromoxynil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-013, December. |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | 0.1616 | 0.037 — 2.3 | 4 | 1) ECOTOX 2) USEPA. 1992. Reregistration Eligibility Document: Sodium and Calcium Hypochlorite Salts. Office of Pesticide Programs Special Review and Reregistration Divisions. List A, Case No 0029, February. |

| | | | | 1\ DC |
|------------------|--------|---------------------|----|---|
| Captan | 7.14 | 3.25 – 10 | 7 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Captan. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-015, November. 4) HSDB |
| Carbaryl | 0.0151 | 0.0056 — 0.73 | 6 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Carbofuran | 0.045 | 0.029 — 0.086 | 5 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Chlorine | 0.1182 | 0.085 — 0.15 | 5 | 1) ЕСОТОХ |
| Chlorothalonil | 0.12 | 0.07 — 0.18 | 4 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Chlorpyrifos | 0.002 | 0.0001 — 0.344 | 5 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX |
| Clopyralid | 225 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Cymoxanil | 27.5 | 27 — 28 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM 3) PAN |
| Cypermethrin | 0.0307 | 0.00015 — 111 | 6 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM 3) PC |
| Dazomet | 1.03 | 0.3 — 11.9 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Diazinon | 0.001 | 0.0005 — 0.00239 | 13 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Dicamba | 202 | 100 — 750 | 2 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) HSDB |
| Dichlobenil | 7.8 | 6.2 — 10 | 2 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) ECOTOX |
| Dimethoate | 1.075 | 0.560 — 2.90 | 8 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Dinocap | 0.0042 | | 1 | 1) PAN |
| Diphenylamine | 0.91 | 0.31 — 2 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX 2) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Diphenylamine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-010, April. |
| Diquat Dibromide | 0.0507 | 0.0022 — 1.17 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Dodine | 0.0394 | 0.0178 — 0.086 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX 2) USEPA. 2005. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dodine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-05-013, September. |
| Endosulfan | 0.322 | 0.158 — 0.72 | 11 | 1) ECOTOX |
| ЕРТС | 9.87 | 6.4 — 14.15 | 4 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM |

Page 44 July 2006

| Fenbuconazole | 2.3 | | | 1) PMRA. 2005. Proposed Regulatory Decision Document: Fenbuconazole. Alternative Strategies and Regulatory Affairs Division. PRDD2005-03, September. |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|---|
| Fluazifop-p-Butyl | 499 | 412.4 — 553.9 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Folpet | 0.25 | 0.02 — 1.5 | 5 | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Folpet. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-011, November. 2) PAN |
| Fosetyl Aluminum | 239 | 189 — 304 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM 3) PAN |
| Glufosinate Ammonium | 135 | 15 — 1000 | 7 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Glyphosate | 66 | 2.95 — 780 | 4 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Hexazinone | 179 | 85 — 442 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Imidacloprid | 85 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Iprodione | 0.58 | 0.24 — 7.2 | 5 | PC ECOTOX PM USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Iprodione. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-019, November. |
| Isoxaflutole | 23.8 | 1.5 - 150 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Linuron | 0.45 | 0.12 — 1.91 | 6 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Malathion | 0.0026 | 0.01 — 0.033 | 7 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX |
| Mancozeb | 0.91 | 0.58 — 1.3 | 3 | 1) USEPA. 2005. Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment for Mancozeb. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA-HQ-00P-2005-0176, June. 2) PAN |
| МСРА | 180 | | 1 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Mecoprop | 420 | | 1 | 1) PC |
| Methamidophos | 0.071 | 0.026 — 0.27 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Methomyl | 0.0883 | 0.0076 — 28.7 | 4 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) HSDB |
| Metiram | 2.55 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Metolachlor | 22.6 | 15.4 — 26 | 5 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM |
| Metribuzin | 7.25 | 4.18 — 35 | 4 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Mineral Oil | No Information | | | Default Value (HV _{AAD} = 2.5) |

| _ |
|---|
| |

| | ı | 1 | 1 | T-1 |
|--------------------|--------|-----------------------|----|--|
| Myclobutanil | 11 | | 1 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM 3) HSDB |
| Naled | 0.0011 | 0.0003 — 0.0029 | 5 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Napropamide | 20.4 | 14.3 — 24.7 | 3 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM |
| Paraquat | 2.7 | 1.2 – 6.1 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Permethrin | 0.0015 | 0.000112 0.022 | 15 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Phorate | 0.0237 | 0.01823 — 0.037 | 4 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Phosalone | 0.0009 | 0.00074 — 0.0012 | 2 | 1) PC 2) ETN 3) PM |
| Phosmet | 0.008 | 0.0056 — 0.0109 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Picloram | 54.9 | 34.4 — 76 | 4 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 0.52 | 0.01 — 2.83 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Pirimicarb | 0.0146 | 0.0065 — 0.021 | 6 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Prometryn | 13.2 | 9.7 — 18.59 | 3 | 1) PC 2) PM 3) ECOTOX |
| Propiconazole | 5.6 | 3.2 – 11.3 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Pyridaben | 0.0007 | 0.00053 — 0.00102 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX 2) PM |
| Quintozene | 0.77 | | 1 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM |
| Simazine | 5.2 | 1.0 — 100 | 5 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) USEPA. 2005. Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision: Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment for Simazine. Environmental Fate and Effects Division. EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-015, May 5) HSDB |
| Terbacil | 65.3 | 63 — 68 | 3 | 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Terbacil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-011, January. |

Page 46 July 2006

| | | | | 1) 500701/ |
|--------------------|------------|-----------------|---|--|
| Thiophanate-Methyl | 10.4 5 | 5.4 - 20.2 | 2 | 1) ECOTOX |
| . , | | | _ | 2) PM |
| Thiram | 0.21 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Triazina | 0.79 | 0.49 — 1.1 | 3 | 1) ECOTOX |
| Triazine | 0.77 | 0.47 - 1.1 | J | 2) PAN |
| | | | | 1) The International Programme on Chemical Safety. |
| | | 0 00075 | | Environmental Health Criteria 116: Tributyltin Compounds. |
| Tributyltin Oxide | 0 0049 | 0.00075 — | 7 | < http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc116.htm >, |
| | | 0.07 | | Accessed March 2006. |
| | | | | 2) ECOTOX |
| | 132.95 | 132.9 — 133 | | 1) PC |
| Triclopyr | | | 2 | 2) ECOTOX |
| | | | | 3) PAN |
| | | | | 1) PC |
| Trifluration | III /9X | 0.193 — 0.56 | 2 | 2) ECOTOX |
| ITIIIOTAIIII | | | ა | 3) PM |
| | | | | 4) HSDB |
| | | | | 1) PC |
| Triforine | 43 25 — 11 | 05 117 | 2 | 2) ECOTOX |
| | | 25 — 117 | | 3) PM |
| | | | | 4) HSDB |
| Trifluralin | 0.298 | 0.193 — 0.56 | 3 | 2) ECOTOX 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PAN 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM 4) HSDB 1) PC 2) ECOTOX 3) PM |

Soil Half-Life

| Active Ingredient | Soil Half-Life (days) | Reference |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 2,4-D | 7 | 1) PPD |
| Atrazine | 120 | 1) USEPA. 2003. Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision (IRED): Atrazine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Case No 0062, January. |
| Azinphos-Methyl | 32 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Preliminary Environmental Fate and Effects Risk Assessment for Azinphos-Methyl. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Case No 0234, January. |
| Azoxystrobin | 72 | 1) HPTP |
| Bromoxynil | 2 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Bromoxynil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-013, December. |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | 15 (estimated) | 1) USEPA. 2000. Episuite Biowin Ultimate Survey Model v.4.02. <hr/> http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/docs/episuited1.htm>, Accessed June 2006. |
| Captan | 6.5 | 1) PC |
| Carbaryl | 5 | 1) USEPA. 2003. Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision (IRED): Carbaryl. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Case No 0080, June. |
| Carbofuran | 11 | 1) PPD |
| Chlorine | 15 (estimated) | 1) USEPA. 2000. Episuite Biowin Ultimate Survey Model v.4.02. http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/docs/episuited1.htm , Accessed June 2006. |

| _ | _ |
|---|---|
| _ | |
| | |
| | |

| Chlorothalonil | 18.8 | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Chlorothalonil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-004, April. |
|----------------------|------|---|
| Chlorpyrifos | 30.5 | 1) PPD |
| Clopyralid | 26 | 1) PPD |
| Cymoxanil | 1.1 | 1) PPD |
| Cypermethrin | 60 | 1) PPD |
| Dazomet | 4 | 1) PC |
| Diazinon | 39 | 1) PPD |
| Dicamba | 20 | 1) HSDB |
| Dichlobenil | 91 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dichlobenil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-98-003, October. |
| Dimethoate | 2.4 | 1) USEPA. 1999. Environmental Fate and Effects Division (EFED) Revised Chapter for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dimethoate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. February. |
| Dinocap | 5.3 | 1) PC |
| Diphenylamine | 1 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Diphenylamine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-010, April. |
| Diquat Dibromide | 1000 | 1) PPD |
| Dodine | 19.9 | 1) USEPA. 2005. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Dodine. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-05-013, September. |
| Endosulfan | 99 | 1) USEPA. 2001. Environmental Fate and Effects Division (EFED) Risk Assessment for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Endosulfan. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 079401, April. |
| EPTC | 55.5 | 1) HSDB |
| Fenbuconazole | 367 | 1) PMRA. 2005. Proposed Regulatory Decision Document. Alternative Strategies and Regulatory Affairs Division. PRDD2005-03, September. |
| Fluazifop-p-Butyl | 20 | 1) PPD |
| Folpet | 75.4 | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Folpet. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-011, November. |
| Fosetyl Aluminum | 0.1 | 1) PPD |
| Glufosinate Ammonium | 3.7 | 1) PPD |
| Glyphosate | 1.9 | 1) USEPA. 1993. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Glyphosate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-93-014, September. |
| Hexazinone | 216 | 1) USEPA. 1994. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Hexazinone. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-94-022, September. |
| Imidacloprid | 426 | 1) PMRA. 2001. Regulatory Note: Imidacloprid. Submission Coordination and Documentation Division. REG2001-11, September. |
| Iprodione | 22 | 1) HSDB |
| ·· | | • • |

Page 48 July 2006

| Isoxaflutole | 1.9 | 1) PMRA. 2001. Regulatory Decision Document. Alternative Strategies and Regulatory Affairs Division. PRDD2005-03, September. |
|--------------------|------|--|
| Linuron | 49 | 1) USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Linuron. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-003, March. |
| Malathion | 1 | 1) PPD |
| Mancozeb | 2 | 1) PPD |
| MCPA | 25 | 1) PPD |
| Mecoprop | 12 | 1) PC |
| Methamidophos | 4 | 1) PPD |
| Methomyl | 17.5 | 1) PPD |
| Metiram | 20 | 1) PPD |
| Metolachlor | 67 | 1) USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Metolachlor. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-006, April. |
| Metribuzin | 106 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Metribuzin. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-97-006, February. |
| Mineral Oil | 10 | 1) OSU |
| Myclobutanil | 66 | 1) HSDB |
| Naled | 4 | 1) PPD |
| Napropamide | 270 | 1) PC |
| Paraquat | 1067 | 1) PPD |
| Permethrin | 37 | 1) USEPA. 2005. Permethrin: HED Chapter of the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document (RED). Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 109701, Case No 52645-53-1, July. |
| Phorate | 3 | 1) PPD |
| Phosalone | 5 | 1) PPD |
| Phosmet | 3 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Environmental Fate and Effects Division (EFED) for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Phosmet. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 059201, April. |
| Picloram | 29 | 1) PPD |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 14 | 1) HSDB |
| Pirimicarb | 10 | 1) OSU |
| Prometryn | 227 | 1) HSDB |
| Propiconazole | 53 | 1) PPD |
| Pyridaben | 21 | 1) HPTP |
| Quintozene | 60 | 1) PPD |
| Simazine | 91 | 1) USEPA. 2005. Overview of the Simazine Risk Assessments. EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0151, July. |
| Terbacil | 356 | 1) HSDB |
| Thiophanate-Methyl | 320 | 1) USEPA. 2001. Environmental Fate and Effects Division (EFED) Document for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Thiophanate-Methyl and its major degradate MBC (methyl 2-benzimadazolycarbamate). Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. May. |

Clean Annapolis River Project

| Thiram | 15.2 | 1) PPD |
|-------------------|-------|---------|
| Triazine | 0.625 | 1) PPD |
| Tributyltin Oxide | 60.5 | 1) PP |
| Triclopyr | 87 | 1) PPD |
| Trifluralin | 169 | 1) PPD |
| Triforine | 19 | 1) HSDB |

Log K_{ow}

| Active Ingredient | Geometric Mean Log K _{ow} | Log K _{ow} | N | Reference |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 2,4-D | 0.33 | Kunge | 1 | 1) PM |
| Atrazine | | 2.05 - 2.80 | 21 | 1) IH |
| Azinphos-Methyl | 2.96 | 2.00 | 1 | 1) PM |
| | | | Ĺ | 1) PM |
| Azoxystrobin | 2.50 | | 1 | 2) HSDB |
| | | | | 1) PM |
| Bromoxynil | 3.93 | 2.8 - 5.06 | 2 | 2) HSDB |
| | | | | 3) PPD |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | -2.46 | | 1 | 1) SRC |
| Captan | 2.80 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Carbaryl | 2.34 | | 10 | 1) IH |
| Carbofuran | 1.90 | 1.23 - 2.32 | 8 | 1) IH |
| Chlorine | -0.85 | | 1 | 1) SRC |
| Chlorothalonil | 2.92 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Chlorpyrifos | 4.85 | 4.7 —5.0 | 2 | 1) PM |
| Спогруппоз | 4.03 | | | 2) PPD |
| Clopyralid | 1.30 | -1.81 — | 4 | 1) PM |
| ciopyrana | 1.00 | 2.63 | <u>'</u> | 2) PPD |
| | | | | 1) PM |
| Cymoxanil | 0.63 | 0.59 - 0.67 | 2 | 2) HSDB |
| | | | | 3) PPD |
| Cypermethrin | 6.60 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | 2) PPD |
| December | 0.15 | | , | 1) PM |
| Dazomet | 0.15 | | 1 | 2) HSDB 3) PPD |
| | | | | , |
| Diazinon | 3.28 | 3.02 - 3.81 | 5 | 1) PM 2) PPD |
| | | | | 1) PM |
| Dicamba | 0.73 | -0.55 — | 3 | 2) HSDB |
| | 0.73 | 2.21 | 3 | 3) PPD |
| | | | | 1) PM |
| Dichlobenil | 2.70 | | 1 | 2) PPD |
| | | -0.294 — | <u> </u> | |
| Dimethoate | 0.64 | 0.79 | 6 | 1) IH |
| Dinocap | 4.54 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Diphenylamine | 3.60 | | 1 | 1) FAO |
| Diquat Dibromide | -4.60 | | 1 | 1) PM |

Page 50 July 2006

| Dodine | 1.32 | | 1 | 1) SRC |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Endosulfan | 4.74 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| EPTC | 3.25 | 3.2 - 3.3 | 2 | 1) PM 2) PPD |
| Fenbuconazole | 3.23 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Fluazifop-p-Butyl | 4.50 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Folpet | 3.11 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Fosetyl Aluminum | -2.57 | -2.77 — -2.28 | 4 | 1) PM 2) PPD |
| Glufosinate Ammonium | 0.10 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PPD |
| Glyphosate | -1.70 | | 1 | 1) IH |
| Hexazinone | 1.20 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Imidacloprid | 0.57 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Iprodione | 3.05 | 3.0 — 3.1 | 2 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PPD |
| Isoxaflutole | 2.32 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) HSDB |
| Linuron | 3.00 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Malathion | 2.60 | 2.36 — 2.75 | 3 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PPD |
| Mancozeb | 1.33 | | 1 | 1) HSDB 2) PPD |
| MCPA | -0.71 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Mecoprop | 1.62 | 0.1004 — 3.13 | 2 | 1) PM 2) HSDB |
| Methamidophos | -0.80 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Methomyl | 0.42 | 0.093 — 0.6 | 3 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PPD |
| Metiram | 0.30 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Metolachlor | | 2.6 — 3.28 | 5 | 1) PM 2) PPD |
| Metribuzin | 1.60 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Mineral Oil | No Information | | | |
| Myclobutanil | 2.94 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PPD |
| Naled | 1.49 | 1.38 — 1.6 | 2 | 1) SRC |
| Napropamide | 3.33 | 3.3 — 3.36 | 2 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PPD |
| Paraquat | -4.50 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Permethrin | 6.10 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) PPD |

| | = | _ | |
|---|---|---|---|
| - | | - | • |
| | | | |

| г | | | | |
|--------------------|------|-------------|-----|----------------------------|
| Phorate | 3.61 | 2.92 — 4.26 | | 1) PM 2) PPD |
| Phosalone | 3.89 | 3.77 — 4.01 | | 1) PM 2) PPD |
| Phosmet | 2.95 | | | 1) PM |
| Picloram | 0.93 | -0.05 — 1.9 | 2 | 1) PM 2) PPD |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 4.75 | | | 1) PM 2) HSDB |
| Pirimicarb | 1.70 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PPD |
| Prometryn | 3.31 | 3.1 — 3.51 | 2 | 1) PM 2) PPD 3) HSDB |
| Propiconazole | 3.72 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Pyridaben | 5.92 | 5.47 — 6.37 | 2 | 1) SRC |
| Quintozene | 5.30 | 5.1 — 5.5 | , , | 1) PM 2) PPD |
| Simazine | 2.10 | | | 1 PM 2) PPD |
| Terbacil | 1.90 | 1.89 — 1.91 | 3 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PPD |
| Thiophanate-Methyl | 1.50 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Thiram | 1.73 | | 1 | 1) PM |
| Triazine | 3.45 | 3.02 - 3.88 | | 1) HSDB 2) PPD |
| Tributyltin Oxide | 3.95 | 3.84 - 4.05 | 2 | 1) SRC |
| Triclopyr | 1.48 | 0.42 — 2.53 | | 1) PM 2) HSDB |
| Trifluralin | 4.78 | 3.97 — 5.33 | | 1) PM 2) PPD |
| Triforine | 2.20 | | 1 | 1) PM 2) HSDB 3) PPD |

Log BCF

| Active Ingredient | Geometric Mean Log BCF | Log BCF Range | N | Reference |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----|-----------|
| 2,4-D | 0.80 | -5 - 1.3 | 6 | 1) IH |
| Atrazine | 1.16 | 0.50 - 2 | 11 | 1) IH |
| Azinphos-Methyl | 1.91 | | | CALC |
| Azoxystrobin | 1.23 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Bromoxynil | 1.04 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | -3.02 | | | CALC |
| Captan | 2.05 | | | 1) HSDB |

Page 52 July 2006

| Carbaryl | 1.26 | 0.0-1.53 | 4 | 1) IH |
|----------------------|-------|------------------|---|--|
| Carbofuran | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2 | 1) IH |
| Chlorine | -1.56 | | | CALC |
| Chlorothalonil | 2.24 | 0.97 — 2.70 | 5 | 1) USEPA. 1999. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Chlorothalonil. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-99-004, April. |
| Chlorpyrifos | 2.67 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Clopyralid | 0.39 | | | CALC |
| Cymoxanil | 0.48 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Dazomet | 0.48 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Diazinon | 2.19 | | | CALC |
| Dicamba | 1.45 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Dichlobenil | 1.67 | | | CALC |
| Dimethoate | -0.30 | | | CALC |
| Dinocap | 3.33 | | | CALC |
| Diphenylamine | 2.49 | | | CALC |
| Diquat Dibromide | -0.06 | -0.16 | 2 | 1) USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Diquat Dibromide. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-016. July. |
| Dodine | 0.42 | | | CALC |
| Endosulfan | 3.38 | 1.91 — 4.04 | 6 | 1) IH 2) USEPA. 2002. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Endosulfan. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-02-013, November. |
| EPTC | 2.17 | | | CALC |
| Fenbuconazole | 2.15 | | | CALC |
| Fluazifop-p-Butyl | 3.29 | | | CALC |
| Folpet | 2.04 | | | CALC |
| Fosetyl Aluminum | -3.12 | | | CALC |
| Glufosinate Ammonium | 0.51 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Glyphosate | -0.26 | -0.42 — -0.28 | 2 | 1) USEPA. 1993. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Glyphosate. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-93-014, September. |
| Hexazinone | 0.31 | | | CALC |
| Imidacloprid | -0.27 | | | CALC |
| Iprodione | 1.61 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Isoxaflutole | 1.53 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Linuron | 1.61 | 1.53 — 1.69 | 3 | 1) USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Linuron. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA 738-R-95-003, March. |
| Malathion | 1.12 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Mancozeb | 0.32 | | | 1) HSDB |
| МСРА | -1.43 | | | CALC |
| Mecoprop | 2.15 | | | 1) HSDB |

| _ | - |
|---|---|
| ▶ | |

| Methamidophos | -1.05 | | 1 | 1) USEPA. 1998. Revision of Environmental Fate and Effects (EFED) Risk Assessment for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Document to Include Registrant's Comments: Methamidophos. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. PC Code 101201, Case No 0043, January. |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|---|---|
| Methomyl | 0.48 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Metiram | -0.51 | | | CALC |
| Metolachlor | 1.90 | | | CALC |
| Metribuzin | 0.67 | | | CALC |
| Mineral Oil | No Information | | | Default Value ($HV_{BCF} = 1.75$) |
| Myclobutanil | 2.00 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Naled | 0.57 | | | CALC |
| Napropamide | 1.89 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Paraquat | -4.88 | | | CALC |
| Permethrin | 4.23 | | | CALC |
| Phorate | 2.49 | | | CALC |
| Phosalone | 2.75 | | | CALC |
| Phosmet | 1.15 | 0.23 - 1.56 | 4 | 1) IH |
| Picloram | 0.06 | | | CALC |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 1.95 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Pirimicarb | 0.60 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Prometryn | 2.43 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Propiconazole | 2.60 | | | CALC |
| Pyridaben | 4.22 | | | CALC |
| Quintozene | 3.93 | | | CALC |
| Simazine | 1.12 | | | CALC |
| Terbacil | 1.20 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Thiophanate-Methyl | 0.58 | | | CALC |
| Thiram | 0.79 | | | CALC |
| Triazine | 1.97 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Tributyltin Oxide | 2.80 | | | CALC |
| Triclopyr | 0.48 | | | 1) HSDB |
| Trifluralin | 3.53 | | | CALC |
| Triforine | 1.00 | | | 1) HSDB |

Page 54 July 2006

Appendix B: Active Ingredients Excluded from the Risk Ranking Analysis

The active ingredients that were excluded from the risk ranking analysis due to insufficient data availability are presented in the following table. Their application quantity, pesticide type and use sectors are also identified.

Table B1: Active ingredients that were excluded from the Annapolis River watershed pesticide risk ranking analysis.

| Active Ingredient | Quantity Applied in Watershed (kg) | Pesticide Type* | Use Sector(s)* |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Glyphosate (Trimethylsulfonium Salt) | 1625 | Herbicide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Chromic Acid | 752 | Wood Preservative | Miscellaneous (100%) |
| Ferrous Sulfate | 593 | Herbicide | Domestic (100%) |
| Pendimethalin | 591 | Herbicide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Cuprous Oxide | 572 | Antifouling Agent | Marine (100%) |
| Arsenic Pentoxide | 527 | Wood Preservative | Miscellaneous (100%) |
| Cupric Oxide | 290 | Wood Preservative | Miscellaneous (100%) |
| Sodium Hypochlorite | 273 | Disinfectant | Municipal (97%), Agriculture (3%) |
| Silicon Dioxide | 225 | Insecticide | Domestic (100%) |
| Sulfur | 192 | Fungicide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Lime Sulfur (Calcium Polysulfide) | 155 | Fungicide/Insecticide | Domestic (21%), Agriculture (79%) |
| DEET | 144 | Insect Repellant | Domestic (100%) |
| Copper | 124 | Fungicide | Domestic (8%), Agriculture (92%) |
| Octylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol | 118 | Adjuvant | Agriculture (100%) |
| S-Metolachlor | 90 | Herbicide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Insecticidal Soap (Potassium Salts of Fatty Acids) | 80 | Insecticide | Agriculture (1%), Domestic (99%) |
| Surfactant Blend | 70 | Surfactant | Agriculture (100%) |
| Bentazon | 64 | Herbicide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Abamectin | 27 | Insecticide/Miticide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Copper Sulfate | 20 | Fungicide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Ferbam | 18 | Fungicide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Kaolin | 16 | Crop Protectant | Agriculture (100%) |
| Boscalid | 14 | Fungicide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Acetamiprid | 14 | Insecticide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Bacillus thuringiensis (ssp. Tenebrionis) | 13 | Insecticide | Agriculture (100%) |
| Metaldehyde | 12 | Molluscicide | Domestic (62%), Agriculture (38%) |
| Fenhexamid | 10 | Fungicide | Agriculture (100%) |

^{* (}Comeau, 2006)

Appendix C: Active Ingredient Loading Percentages

The following table presents the loading percentages into soil, air or water for each active ingredient, estimated by their typical mode of application and use sector. These values were input into the Level III Fugacity model in order to determine the Release Weighting Factor for each active ingredient. The estimates were based primarily on the labels available to the public from the Canadian Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA) and the primary use sectors indicated by Dunn (2004) and Comeau (2006).

Table C1: Loading percentages assigned to each active ingredient for submission into the Fugacity model.

| Active Ingredient | Loading Percentage(s) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2,4-D | 100% soil* |
| Atrazine | 100% soil* |
| Azinphos-Methyl | 100% soil* |
| Azoxystrobin | 100% soil** |
| Bromoxynil | 100% soil*** |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | 100% water*** |
| Captan | 100% soil*** |
| Carbaryl | 100% soil* |
| Carbofuran | 100% soil* |
| Chlorine | 100% water*** |
| Chlorothalonil | 100% soil* |
| Chlorpyrifos | 50% soil, 50% air** |
| Clopyralid | 100% soil*** |
| Cymoxanil | 100% soil*** |
| Cypermethrin | 100% soil*** |
| Dazomet | 100% soil*** |
| Diazinon | 50% soil, 50% water** |
| Dicamba | 50% soil, 50% water** |
| Dichlobenil | 100% soil*** |
| Dimethoate | 100% soil* |
| Dinocap | 100% soil*** |
| Diphenylamine | 100% soil*** |
| Diquat Dibromide | 100% soil* |
| Dodine | 100% soil*** |
| Endosulfan | 100% soil* |
| EPTC | 100% soil*** |
| Fenbuconazole | 100%*** |
| Fluazifop-p-Butyl | 100% soil* |
| Folpet | 20% air, 40% water, 40% soil ** |
| Fosetyl Aluminum | 100% soil* |
| Glufosinate Ammonium | 100% soil*** |
| Glyphosate | 100% soil* |
| Hexazinone | 100% soil* |
| | |

Page 56 July 2006

| Imidacloprid | 100% soil* |
|--------------------|---|
| Iprodione | 50% soil, 50% air** |
| Isoxaflutole | 100% soil*** |
| Linuron | 100% soil* |
| Malathion | 50% soil, 25% water, 25% air** |
| Mancozeb | 100% soil* |
| MCPA | 100% soil* |
| Mecoprop | 50% soil, 50% air** |
| Methamidophos | 100% soil* |
| Methomyl . | 100% soil*** |
| Metiram | 100% soil* |
| Metolachlor | 100% soil*** |
| Metribuzin | 100% soil* |
| Mineral Oil | Default Value (RWF = 5.5 for each medium)**** |
| Myclobutanil | 50% soil, 50% air** |
| Naled | 100% soil*** |
| Napropamide | 100% soil*** |
| Paraquat | 100% soil* |
| Permethrin | 50% soil, 50% air** |
| Phorate | 100% soil*** |
| Phosalone | 100% soil*** |
| Phosmet | 100% soil* |
| Picloram | 50% soil, 50% air** |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 100% air** |
| Pirimicarb | 100% soil*** |
| Prometryn | 100% soil*** |
| Propiconazole | 100% soil* |
| Pyridaben | 100% soil*** |
| Quintozene | 50% soil, 25% air, 25% water** |
| Simazine | 100% soil*** |
| Terbacil | 100% soil*** |
| Thiophanate-Methyl | 100% soil* |
| Thiram | 100% soil* |
| Triazine | 100% soil*** |
| Tributyltin Oxide | 50% soil, 50% water** |
| Triclopyr | 50% soil, 50% air** |
| Trifluralin | 100% soil*** |
| Triforine | 50% soil, 50% air** |

^{* (}Dunn, 2004) **(PMRA, 2006) *** (Comeau, 2006) **** (Allison Dunn, personal communications, June 29, 2006)