# 2021 MARINE SURVIVAL FORECAST OF SOUTHERN BRITISH COLUMBIA COHO




Figure 1: Coho salmon at three life stages: freshwater rearing fry; ocean rearing adult; and returning male. This image has been used on previous Coho Stock Status reports, origin unknown.



Figure 2: The Province of British Columbia, showing the major rivers in the South Coast, Lower Fraser and Interior BC areas.

# **SUMMARY**

The observed indicator marine survivals and aggregate abundances from 2020 were generally higher (-2% to +85%) than the previous year with the Black Creek Wild indicator much higher (+243%). All indicator survivals were higher to much higher than the forecasted levels (+5% to +820%). The Carnation Creek Wild indicator was not included in this summary due to a suspect marine survival result in 2020.

The 2021 forecast for Coho indicator marine survivals is showing a decrease from 2020 levels (minus 35% - 84%) with the exception of Area 13 Aggregate (+12%) and Robertson Hatchery (+21%). The best performing models that were used for the indicators are the Three Year Average (Area 12 and Area 13 Aggregates), the Sea Surface Temperature at Amphitrite Point Lighthouse (Quinsam), the El Nino Southern Oscillation (Big Qualicum Hatchery and Carnation Wild), the North Pacific Gyre Oscillation (Black Wild, Inch and Robertson Hatcheries) and the Ricker model (Interior Fraser Aggregate).

The Chrome Island salinity Distribution Index indicates an outside distribution for Coho suggesting a late summer return of Coho adults to the Strait of Georgia.

# INTRODUCTION

Coho marine survival and aggregate abundances for Indicator stocks in southern British Columbia and the Fraser River have been forecast annually since 1996. The estimates from these Indicators are used in International stock management processes and domestically for informing fishery management, while the forecasts are used for shaping future fisheries.

Starting with the 2015 forecast, the Ocean Climate Indices was incorporated into the suite of models examined for the two WCVI indicators. In the following year, these indices were included as possible forecast models for the rest of the marine survival indicators. These models were not considered for the Aggregate Indicators.

Previously, marine survival or aggregate abundance forecasts for southern BC Coho stock groups have been published as Science Advisory Reports. Starting in 2012, this information is set out in an unpublished document for use in domestic and international Coho stock management processes.

Descriptions of the assessment methods, data sets, forecast models and sources of uncertainty have been documented in previous papers and will not be described herein. For more information see Simpson *et al.* (2004), DFO (2006), DFO (2008), DFO (2009) and DFO (2012). Baillie *et al.* (2005), DFO (2010), DFO (2011), DFO (2013), DFO (2014), DFO (2015), DFO (2016), DFO (2017), DFO (2018), DFO (2019) and DFO (2020) are similar reports that are unpublished but are available from Wilf Luedke, StAD Chief, South Coast Area, DFO.

## **Data Sources**

The data set used for the Area 12/13 aggregates is based on a subset of Coho populations from each Area. The forecast is based on the expected total return to the average stream in the area (derived via the  $P_{max}$  methodology to standardize escapements in the aggregate area). For the Interior Fraser aggregate, the data represents the estimated total abundance for that aggregate. Each datum includes Natural Spawners, Broodstock removals and Fishery catches, both recreational and commercial. All other indicators in this forecast use the survival rate between release of smolts and the resulting return of adult Coho, which includes Coho caught in commercial, sport and First Nation fisheries, and entering freshwater to spawn. There are four hatchery stocks used, Robertson Creek Hatchery, Quinsam Hatchery, Big Qualicum Hatchery and Inch Creek Hatchery. Additionally, there are two wild stocks used, at Black Creek and Carnation Creek.

## **Exploitation Rate**

A change in the methodology used to estimate the exploitation rate for adipose fin clipped Coho indicators was incorporated into the 2015 forecast exercise and has been continued with the current forecast. The Black Creek wild indicator is the exception to this due to the lack of an adipose clip marker. Please see the 2015 forecast for further information.

Directed commercial and recreational fisheries on Coho were severely restricted in the late 1990s in response to decreasing stock abundances. Until recently most exploitation of Coho was incidental catch in commercial fisheries that targeted other species. Generally, non-retention of unmarked Coho is in effect in most areas except for Food, Social and Ceremonial fisheries for First Nations in specific areas where local abundances allow for retention of unmarked Coho (PSC 2013).

For the 2021 forecast, the non-clipped coded-wire tagged Coho released from Black Creek were detected in the Northern Troll and Johnstone Strait seine test fisheries. 2 CWT only Coho were

observed in the troll fishery with an estimated catch of 16 Coho, and 1 CWT only Coho was observed in the seine fishery, with an estimated catch of 1 Coho. This information was incorporated in the exploitation rate estimate for this Indicator.

#### **Marine Survival**

Marine survival is defined as the portion of the coded-wire tagged smolt release that has survived to be either caught in marine fisheries or returned to freshwater as adult Coho , i.e. (Catch + Escapement) / Release. The 2016 brood year progeny from Big Qualicum hatchery were unfortunately lost due to a pump failure, leaving a missing datum from this indicator. In order to include the time series models as part of the forecast, the missing datum was infilled by using a regression of known Big Qualicum marine survivals against the Quinsam River Hatchery marine survivals ( $R^2 = 0.46$ ), and using the corresponding Quinsam survival for the 2016 brood year to estimate a value for Big Qualicum.

The brood year 2017 escapement of coded-wire tagged adult Coho to the wild indicator, Carnation Creek, was unusually low. 2 of 33 adults were found to have a tag, which resulted in a marine survival of 0.1% from a release group of 2106 smolts, a decrease of 94% from the previous year. Marine survivals over the previous 10 years averaged 1.3% (range 0.3% - 2.2%). Also, observed marine survivals from all other indicators increased an average of 69% from the previous year (range -2% to +243%: see Appendix 3). In addition, nearly all smolts had a codedwire tag applied and although a similar ratio is not expected, the observed very low return suggests an issue with either smolt tag application or adult tag detection. Therefore, for the purposes of the forecast models, a marine survival was estimated using a regression of known marine survivals with the results from Robertson Creek Hatchery Coho.

Similar to the BY 2016 Big Qualicum infill, a regression with Robertson Creek Hatchery marine survival ( $R^2 = 0.52$ ) was used to estimate the BY 2017 Carnation Creek marine survival for the purposes of running the forecast models.

## **Forecast Models**

The forecast is chosen from a variety of both time-series and biologically based methods which are evaluated and selected based on performance criteria. See Simpson *et al.* (2004) for a description of the times series models.

The 2021 Interior Fraser Aggregate forecast is based on using the Ricker model which the retrospective analysis showed to be a better fit than other time series models. This model uses the Ricker formulae parameters from the population to forecast the recruitment for this stock.

## **Climate Indicators**

Large scale climate indicators have been shown to be correlated to biological processes, including marine survival of Pacific salmon (Trudel *et al.*, 2015). In addition, the odd\even year has been shown be a co-variable in association with the climate indicators. This was used in developing the forecast model regressions.

The marine survival forecast models in this report use direct data input from the specific populations and a marine survival forecast is generated in a naïve manner with respect to climate

trends. Specifically, marine climate indicators such as the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), North Pacific Gyre Oscillation (NPGO), El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO), and Sea Surface Temperature (SST) will be included. In this year's annual report the marine climate indices will be included in the forecast model comparison for all the marine survival indicators.

The data for the climate indicators was obtained from:

PDO: <a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/teleconnections/pdo/">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/teleconnections/pdo/</a>

NPGO: <a href="http://www.o3d.org/npgo/data/NPGO.txt">http://www.o3d.org/npgo/data/NPGO.txt</a> ENSO: <a href="http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/enso/mei/">http://www.o3d.org/npgo/data/NPGO.txt</a>

Amphitrite SST: http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/oceans/data-donnees/lighthouses-

phares/data/AmphitriteMonthlyTemp.txt

The original source for the NPGO data file has not been updated since July 2020. Since this model index uses an average of the monthly observations from May-Sept the averages for the May-July and May-Sept periods were compared and showed a very close R<sup>2</sup> of 0.986, so the shorter period was used for the 2021 forecast.

# Passive Integrated Transponder (PIT) Tags

PIT tags are small (9-12 mm) inert devices that are inserted into the abdominal cavity of juvenile salmonids. These tags utilize Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) technology that is read when a tag passes over an antenna at short distances. The antenna records the date, time and unique ID from each tag. When the unique ID is linked to a tagging database the origin, time of tagging and age can be determined.

This method is currently being used to estimate survival at different life stages. The ability to link the unique ID back to the tagging database allows for calculations of survival through various life stages. Marine survival can be calculated by comparing the detections from adult returns to the number of smolts that were initially tagged. PIT systems can also be used to estimate escapement through expansion factors determined from the proportion of PIT tags in a population that passes through a counting fence. After a fence has been removed, the expansion factor can then be applied to the PIT detections from permanent antennas to calculate the total escapement.

Appendix 1 shows Coho marine survival estimates using Passive Integrated Transponder (PIT) from the Cowichan, Black and Sakinaw systems. In the upcoming years results will be available from the Nanaimo, Big Qualicum, and Quinsam Rivers.

# **RESULTS**

Graphical depictions of the observed marine survival or aggregate abundance for all Coho indicators used in this forecast are shown in Appendix 2 while Appendix 3 is a table that shows the observed 2019 and 2020 values, and the forecast for 2021 returns.

#### Johnstone Strait/Mainland Inlets

In 2020 the observed return in Area 12 was 35% higher than forecast and the Area 13 return was about 5% higher than forecast. The Area 12 return saw 53% of the 2017 brood return and about an 84% improvement of what was estimated for the previous year return (2019). The Area 13

return demonstrated a 23% improvement in abundance relative to the brood year (2017) and a similar abundance as the previous year's return (2019). For the indicator systems at Keogh and Black Creek, smolt production in 2019 was above average for Keogh (72,000 smolts) and below average for Black Creek (40,000 smolts). Based on the observed 2020 returns at those and other system in the area, marine survival is improving but continues to be low for both Area 12 and Area 13 Coho stocks.

The Area 12 forecast for 2021 is 31% higher than the brood returns in 2018. The Area 13 forecast is 9% higher than the 2018 observed indices. Coho abundance in this region can be characterized as 'well below average' for both Area 12 stocks and for Area 13 stocks. See Simpson et al., 2004 for description of characterizations. Smolt production in 2020 was above average for Keogh River (87,000). Keep in mind that these more recent year returns do not have the high levels of exploitation as in the past and these forecasts are highly uncertain. These forecasts should be viewed with caution due to the continued decline of contributing index streams further exacerbating the uncertainty in the expectations.

# Georgia Basin – West

The observed 2020 marine survival rates of Quinsam and Big Qualicum Hatcheries were 1.7% and 2.5%, respectively, and the marine survival at the wild indicator at Black Creek was 3.7%. For Quinsam Hatchery, this marine survival is 24% higher than the previous year and 66% higher than the forecast. For Big Qualicum the marine survival is substantially higher than the forecast at 318%. The wild indicator at Black Creek was also substantially higher than the previous year (+243%) and the forecast (+820%).

After a retrospective analysis with the addition of the 2020 return, the best performing forecast model for the Quinsam River Hatchery Indicator is still the Sea Surface Temperature index. The best performing model for Big Qualicum also is the ENSO index. The best performing model for the Black Creek Indicator was still the NPGO.

The 2021 forecast for the three indicators is for a continuation of the low marine survival levels seen in recent years although the figure in Appendix 2 suggests a slow increase since the low levels in the early 2000s. The model forecasts a marine survival of 1.1%, 0.4% and 1.0% for Quinsam Hatchery, Big Qualicum Hatchery and Black Creek (changes of -35%, -84% and -73% from 2020 observed levels), respectively. Smolt production in 2020 (83,000) was above average for Black Creek.

## **Lower Fraser**

The observed 2020 marine survival from the Inch Creek Hatchery indicator was 7.9% which was higher than the previous year (+85%) and was much higher than the forecast level (+297%). There was a substantial increase in the FW Terminal fishery in the Nicomen/Norrish Rivers, possibly due to Covid-19 travel restrictions.

The retrospective analysis showed that the best performing model has remained the NPGO climate index. The 2021 forecast for marine survival for this indicator is 2.3%, a decrease (-71%) from the observed level in 2020.

#### **Interior Fraser**

The preliminary estimate of the 2020 pre-fishery abundance for the Interior Fraser Aggregate was 81,100, 107% higher than the 2020 forecast and 60% higher than the 2019 pre-fishery abundance of 50,850.

The forecast model selected for the 2021 return is the Ricker stock-recruit relationship, which is the same as the 2020 forecast. The 2021 forecast of pre-fishery abundance for the Interior Fraser Aggregate is 43,882 Coho with an 80% forecast range of 36,969 – 52,087. Note that the confidence interval has changed from 50% to 80% to highlight the uncertainty in these forecasts. This forecast is 46% less than the preliminary estimate of recruitment in 2020.

## **Southwest Vancouver Island**

The two indicators in this Management Unit are Robertson Creek Hatchery and Carnation Creek, both located in Barkley Sound. For the Robertson indicator the estimate of Coho escapement is based on the estimated abundance from the Stamp Falls fishway project.

The observed 2020 marine survival of 4.5% for Robertson (Stamp) Indicator was slightly lower from the previous year (-2%) and higher than the forecast (+68%). The marine survival of the wild indicator at Carnation Creek was 0.1% however as described above, this value is questionable.

For the 2021 forecast, the best performing model for the Robertson (Stamp) Indicator remained as the NPGO while the best performing model for the Carnation Wild Indicator has changed to the ENSO index. The 2021 marine survivals for Robertson Hatchery is forecast to increase to 5.5%, a 21% increase. The marine survival for the wild indicator at Carnation Creek is forecast to be 1.3%.

#### **Distribution**

The distribution Index is a metric that uses salinity in the Strait of Georgia to forecast whether Coho will be present in the Strait during their final summer ("inside") or wait until fall to reenter the Strait ("outside"). In Figure 3, the central red line indicates the base period average distribution of Coho catches between Strait of Georgia and WCVI fisheries. Deviations from this line suggest a greater 'Inside' or 'Outside' catches of Coho, if the same fisheries regimes were in place.

This model is based on the relationship between salinity and the relative quantity of Coho that were harvested, using data from a base period (1975-1997). As fisheries have been restricted since the late 1990's the relationship is fixed and cannot be updated or have a retrospective analysis.

The average salinity as measured at Chrome Island lighthouse for February and March 2021 was 27.84 ppt, which results in a  $P_{inside}$  statistic of 0.278, suggesting a moderate outside distribution of Coho.




Figure 3. Distributional index for Strait of Georgia Coho, with observed data from 1975-1997, and results from the salinity based model for 1998-2021. The red line indicates the division between an 'inside' year and an 'outside' year. The yellow datum represents the current year.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The Coho forecast for southern British Columbia requires data from many sources and is very much a collaborative document. All sources are DFO staff except where noted. Karalea Cantera completed analysis of WCVI and Strait of Georgia indicators. Data analysis of the Interior Fraser Management Unit was completed by Michael Arbeider, and the Johnstone Strait Aggregates by Pieter Van Will.

Fresh water creel survey data were provided by Joan Bennett (Strait of Georgia) and Joe Tadey (Lower Fraser). Coho data from the WCVI indicators was collated by Nick Brown and Mike Spence. Cheryl Lynch provided escapement data from the hatcheries. Wild Coho data were provided by Andrew Pereboom (Black Creek) and Dr. Peter Tschaplinski (BC Ministry of Environment - Carnation Creek). Chrome Island salinities were collected by the lighthouse keeper and provided by Peter Chandler, Institute of Ocean Sciences. PIT tag data was provided by Kevin Pellett.

Ocean Climate indices were obtained from various internet sources noted in the text.

# REFERENCES AND PREVIOUS FORECAST DOCUMENTS

Ocean Climate index sources were accessed in February 2021.

Baillie, S., Simpson, K., Chamberlain, M., Van Will, P., Tanasichuk, R., Dobson, D., and Sweeting, R. 2005. Forecast for Southern British Columbia Coho Salmon in 2005. Unpublished report.

DFO, 2006. 2006 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2006/037.

DFO, 2008. 2007 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2008/032.

DFO, 2009. 2008 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2008/053.

DFO, 2010. 2009 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2009/073.

DFO, 2011. 2010 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO unpublished document

DFO, 2012. 2011 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2012/037.

DFO, 2013. 2013 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO unpublished document.

DFO, 2014. 2014 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO unpublished document.

DFO, 2015. 2015 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO unpublished document.

DFO, 2016. 2016 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO unpublished document.

DFO, 2017. 2017 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO unpublished document.

DFO, 2018. 2018 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO unpublished document.

DFO, 2019. 2019 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO unpublished document.

DFO, 2020. 2020 Marine Survival Forecast of Southern British Columbia coho. DFO unpublished document.

Pacific Salmon Commission Joint Coho Technical Committee. 2013. 1986-2009 Periodic Report (Revised). Report TCCOHO (13)-1. 174 p.

Simpson, K., Chamberlain, M., Fagan, J., Tanasichuk, R., and Dobson, D. 2004. Forecast for southern and central British Columbia coho salmon in 2004. Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2004/135.

Trudel, M., Thiess, M., Morris, J., Tucker, S., Zubkowski, T., Jung, Y., and Baillie, S. 2015. Growth of juvenile Coho Salmon of WCVI: The highest on record in 2014 since 1988, *in* State of the Ocean, 2015.

# FOR MORE INFORMATION

Contact:	Wilf Luedke							
	South Coast Area, Fisheries and Oceans Canada							
	3225 Stephenson Point Road							
	Nanaimo, BC V9T 1K3							
Tel:	(250) 756-7222							
E-Mail:	wilf.luedke@dfo-mpo.gc.ca							

Appendix 1. PIT tag application on wild Coho smolts, and the associated jack and adult escapement. Survival estimates in this table do not include any exploitation data.

Watershed	Brood Year	Release Year	# Tags used	Jack Return	Adult Return	Smolt to Jack Survival	Smolt to Adult Survival
Cowichan	2016	2018	534	0	15	0.00%	3.16%
Cowichan	2017	2019	4821	19	219	0.44%	4.82%
Cowichan	2018	2020	1642	1	0.06%		
Black Creek	2016	2018	4000	92	26	2.42%	0.65%
Black Creek	2017	2019	4300	183	78	4.26%	1.81%
Black Creek	2018	2020	8326	121		1.45%	
Sakinaw	2018	2020	906	16		1.86%	


The only comparison that can be made at this time is the Black Creek indicator, Brood years 2016 and 2017. Using the estimated CWT and ER-Effort model estimate for exploitation rate of 19.6% and 4.3%, respectively, to the PIT-based adult escapement results in a marine survival of 0.8% and 1.9%, respectively. To clarify:


Watershed, Brood Year	CWT Marine Survival	PIT Marine Survival			
Black, BY 2016	.011	0.008			
Black, BY 2017	.037	0.019			


CWT Marine Survival uses CWT for escapement, CWT and ER-Effort Model for exploitation. PIT Marine Survival uses PIT tags for escapement, CWT and ER-Effort Model for exploitation.


On a sample size of two, both data suggest that a PIT based marine survival is lower than the corresponding CWT based marine survival. Over the ensuing years additional observations will increase the size of this dataset to allow for a more significant comparison.

Appendix 2. Marine survival or aggregate abundances for southern BC Coho indicators, including the 2021 forecast.









Appendix 3. Observed and forecast marine survival and aggregate abundance indicators from southern BC Coho indicator stocks.

# **Column Headings**

**Stock**: The name of the Management Unit in **Bold**, followed by the individual indicator or stock grouping within that Management Unit.

**2019 Observed**: The values in this column represent either the aggregate value (whole numbers) or the estimated marine survival (decimal numbers), from the 2019 return year.

**2020 Forecast, 50% CI, and Model** refer to the forecast for the 2020 return year. The actual forecasted value is given first, followed by the 50% confidence interval, then the forecasting model used.

**2020 Observed, Change from forecast and Change from 2019** refer to the estimated values for each indicator, then the % change from the forecasted value and from the observed value in the previous year. The % change is in relation to the base value so a marine survival of 1.5% in year one increasing to 2.0% in the next year is expressed as a plus 33% change and is highlighted in green. A decrease of 2.0% to 1.5% is expressed as a minus 25% change and is highlighted in pink.

**2021 Forecast**, **50% CI and Model** refer to the forecast for the current year.

**Change from 2020** is the change in value from the observed 2020 value to the 2021 forecast. Each change is highlighted in green or pink, depending on whether the change is up, or down.

**Distribution Index** ( $P_{inside}$ ) does not have an annual inside/outside measure so there are no Observed data to report or compare to.

		1				Change		1			
Stock	2019	2020			2020	from	Change	2021			Change
21111	Observed	Forecast	50% CI	Model	Observed	forecast	from 2019	Forecast	50% CI	Model	from 2020
Johnstone Strait/Mainland Inlets											
Area 12 Aggregate	474	649	451 - 935	3YRA	874	35%	84%	561	390 - 808	3YRA	-36%
Area 13 Aggregate	178	172	118 - 251	3YRA	180	5%	1%	202	139 - 294	3YRA	12%
Georgia Basin - West											
Quinsam Hatchery	0.013	0.010	0.007 - 0.013	SST	0.017	66%	24%	0.011	0.008 - 0.015	SST	-35%
Big Qualicum Hatchery	N/A	0.006	0.003 - 0.010	ENSO	0.025	318%		0.004	0.002 - 0.006	ENSO	-84%
Black Creek (wild)	0.011	0.004	0.003 - 0.007	NPGO	0.037	820%	243%	0.010	0.006 - 0.017	NPGO	-73%
Lower Fraser											
Inch Hatchery	0.043	0.020	0.013 - 0.030	NPGO	0.079	297%	85%	0.023	0.015 - 0.036	NPGO	-71%
Interior Fraser											
Interior Fraser Aggregate*	55,133	39,223	31,493 - 48,849	Ricker	81,100	107%	47%	43,882	36,969 - 52,087	Ricker	-46%
South-west Vancouver Island											
Robertson (Stamp Falls) Hatchery	0.046	0.027	0.020 - 0.037	NPGO	0.045	68%	-2%	0.055	0.040 - 0.075	NPGO	21%
Carnation Creek (wild)	0.017	0.013	0.007 - 0.023	3YRA	0.001	-92%	-94%	0.013	0.006 - 0.028	ENSO	N/A
Distribution Index (P <sub>inside</sub> )		0.232	0.167 - 0.313	Salinity				0.278	0.204 - 0.366	Salinity	
Distribution muex (F inside )		0.232	0.107 - 0.313	Sammy				0.278	0.204 - 0.300	Samuly	
* Interior Fraser Aggregate uses an 80	% CI for fore	ecast uncertai	nty								
** Observed 2020 Marine Survival fo			•	gest an issue	with CWTs.						