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#### Abstract

The Okak assessment unit, made up of Okak Bay and the Cutthroat subareas, was first assessed as a homogeneous unit at the end of the 1985 fishery. Annual landings have ranged from 5 to 76 t (mean 38 t ) and from 1977 to 1986 have represented $26 \%$ of the total commercial catch of Arctic charr from the Nain Fishing Region. Total allowable catch in 1986 was 42 t. Landings in 1986 were 39 t or $69 \%$ of the recommended TAC. Effort decreased by $17 \%$ while catch per unit effort was up by $5 \%$. A sequential population analysis was carried out on catch at age data from 1977 to 1986 and suggested a reference level catch in 1987 of $38-50 \mathrm{t}$.


## Résumé

L'unité d'évaluation d'Okak, constituée de la baie d'Okak et des sous-zones de Cutthroat, a ētē ēvaluēe pour la première fois comme unitē homogène à la fin de la saison de pêche de 1985. Les dëbarquements annuels ont varië de 5 à 76 t (moyenne $=38 \mathrm{t}$ ) et, de 1977 à 1986, ils ont constitué $26 \%$ de la pêche commerciale totale de l'omble chevalier pour la zone de pêche de Nain. En 1986, le TPA a ētē de 42 t, et les débarquements ont ētē de 39 t , ou $69 \%$ du TPA recommandē. L'effort a diminué de $17 \%$, tandis que les prises par unitē d'effort ont augmentē de $5 \%$. Une analyse séquentielle de population a été rēalisēe à partir des donnēes sur les prises par âge de 1977 à 1986 et cette analyse indique un taux de prise de rēfērence de 38-50 t pour 1987.

## Introduction

Okak Bay is located approximately 125 km north of the village of Nain, Labrador (Fig. 1). This bay is a major area for the commercial production of Arctic charr along the northern Labrador coast. Catches of Arctic charr are delivered to the fish plant in Nain via a collector boat system. Results of tagging studies indicated that Okak Bay and the offshore area of Cutthroat were a single unit (Dempson et al. 1986). This was first assessed as such after the 1985 fishery. Catch statistics for the Okak assessment unit have been available since 1974 (Table 1). Annual landings have ranged from 5 to 76 t (mean $=38 \mathrm{t}$ ) and from 1977 to 1986 have represented $26 \%$ of the total commercial catch of Arctic charr from the Nain Fishing Region. Quotas were first applied to Okak Bay itself in 1981, while a TAC of 42 t was recommended for the 0kak assessment unit for 1986. This paper summarizes the results of the 1986 fishery and provides a forecast of available harvest or 'reference level' catch for 1987 based upon the results of a sequential population analysis.

## Stock Assessment

Catch and effort data are summarized in Table 1 for 1974-86. Landings in 1986 totaled $29 t$ and were $13 \%$ lower than in the previous year. This was approximately $69 \%$ of the TAC. Effort decreased by $17 \%$ while catch per unit effort (CUE) was up by $5 \%$. The quota area catch column in Table 1 refers to the subarea specifically under quota restriction only (Okak Bay) prior to the formation of the assessment unit in 1986.

Numbers at age were available since 1977 and are summarized in Table 2. Data were derived from annual commercial sampling programs. Mean age of the catch has varied from 9.1 to 12.1 years with a slight decrease during the past several years. From 1977 to $1986,52 \%$ of the catch has been made up to 9- to 11 -year-old fish while fish aged 12 and above have represented $21 \%$ of the catch.

Weights at age were calculated from commercial samples obtained from 1977 to 1986 . Gutted head-on was converted to whole weight using the conversion factor 1.22 (Dempson 1984). For the yield per recruit analysis, mean weight at age for the period 1977-79 was used. For stock projections mean weight at age for the period 1984-86 was used (Table 3).

Mean weight at age for the Okak assessment unit has also decreased over time. For 7 - to 10 -year-old Arctic charr the average percentage decrease in weight is $5 \%(0.08 \mathrm{~kg})$ (average $1977-79$ to $1984-86)$. For 11- to 14 -year-old fish the percentage decline in weight is $13 \%(0.30 \mathrm{~kg})$. Similar to the other stock units, there has been a decline in the proportion of 'large' charr in the commercial catch (fish greater than 2.3 kg gutted head-on weight) over this period of time. Since this trend occurs in three assessment units it is not believed to be a sampling problem as opposed to a selective removal of larger fish by the commercial fishery.

Total mortality ( $Z$ ) was calculated using the Paloheimo method (Ricker 1975) and the average value for all years (excluding 1983-84) was 0.65 . Assuming a natural mortality rate of 0.2 yields an estimate of fishing mortality of 0.45 . As in past years there was a considerable amount of variation in the estimates and a catch curve was also used to provide an estimate of $Z$. Using catch per unit effort at age data from 1984 to 1986 (ages $11-16$ ) a $Z$ of 0.63 was obtained.

An independent estimate of exploitation and fishing mortality, as derived from tag recaptures, was obtained for the first time for this assessment unit where:

$$
\mu=1-e^{-F} \text { (Ricker 1975) }
$$

Assuming a value of $10 \%$ for an estimate of tagging mortality, tag loss and non-reporting of tags results in a value of $\mu$ of

$$
\mu=\frac{39}{98}=0.398
$$

Tag loss is believed to be minimal given the short period of time between tagging in the spring and the duration of fishery (two to three months). Nonreporting of tags is also believed to be minimal. Fisheries and Oceans staff have been present at the Nain Fish Plant for the duration of the fishery each year since 1977. This has ensured a good cooperation with fishermen regarding reporting of tags, and prompt reward payment for their return.

Rate of fishing mortality was calculated to be 0.51 ( $95 \%$ C.L. $=0.34-$ 0.79). The number of tags applied was only about one-quarter of the number applied in the Nain assessment unit.

An initial cohort analysis was run using partial recruitment values and terminal fishing mortality ( $F_{T}$ ) from last year's assessment (Dempson and LeDrew 1986) ( $F_{T}=0.45$ ). An iterative procedure was used to obtain estimates of fishing mortality for the oldest age group ( $F_{B}$ ) (Rivard 1982). Following this the cohort analysis procedure was rerun using the newly-derived values for $F_{B}$.

Partial recruitment rates were calculated using the historical averaging method from a matrix of fishing mortality rates generated from the last sequential population analysis (SPA) and are presented in Table 3.

Yield per recruit was calculated by the method of Thompson and Bell (Ricker 1975) using partial recruitment rates and mean weight at age. $F_{0.1}$ was 0.43 at a yield per recruit of 0.74 kg . This $F_{0.1}$ value was rounded to 0.4 for conformity with other assessment units.

Cohort analyses were performed using a range of terminal fishing mortality rates from 0.2 to 0.6 using the newly-derived estimates of partial recruitment. In each run, fishing mortality rates for the oldest age group were re-evaluated using the iterative procedure. Regressions of $F$ (weighted mean $F$ for
fully-recruited fish) on effort and population biomass on catch per unit effort of fully-recruited fish were used in tuning the analysis to determine an appropriate value for $F_{T}$ in 1986. Data from 1977 to 1986 (excluding 1984) were included in the regression analysis.

Regressions of $F$ on effort showed a decrease in the correlation coefficient with an increase in $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}$ (Table 4). The distance of the last point (1986) to the regression line was lowest when $F_{T}=0.4-0.5$. The intercept value was lowest when $F_{T}=0.35$ and the residuals for the last two years were smallest when $F_{T}$ was 0.35 or less.

The regressions of population biomass on CUE had the highest correlation at $F_{T}=0.25$. Residuals were also lowest when $F_{T}=0.25$.

In summary, regression analyses suggested a value of $F_{T}$ from 0.25 to 0.45 while the Paloheimo, catch curve, and tagging results suggested an average value of around 0.45 . Dropping the lowest and highest values indicated $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}$ may range between 0.3 and 0.4 .

Stock projections were run for a series of terminal fishing mortalities ranging from 0.30 to 0.40 . Recruitment for the projections was estimated from the geometric mean of population numbers for age 6- and 7-year-old charr. Weights at age were based on 1984-86 data. Table 5 summarizes the population numbers and fishing mortality matrix for the cohort analysis run with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ 0.40 .

Results of the projections are summarized in Table 6. The 'reference level' catch in 1987 ranges from 38 to 50 t with the highest value occurring with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}=0.30$. The 1986 TAC was 42 t while the average catch during the past five years has been 33 t . Given that effort decreased in 1986 and that there has not been any consistent change in age structure of the population, it is suggested that the 1986 'reference level' catch of 42 t remain in effect for 1987.
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Table 1. Summary of catch and effort statistics for the Okak assessment unit, 1974-86. Quotas and landings are in kg -round weight, effort is expressed as man-weeks fished.

| Year | Quota | Quota area catch | Landings | Effort | CUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 |  |  | 46,891 |  |  |
| 1975 |  |  | 5,057 |  |  |
| 1976 |  |  | 25,338 | 148 | 171 |
| 1977 |  |  | 42,392 | 243 | 174 |
| 1978 |  |  | 76,024 | 352 | 216 |
| 1979 |  |  | 43,261 | 283 | 153 |
| 1980 |  |  | 49,035 | 253 | 194 |
| 1981 | 27,300 | 11,049 | 47,541 | 202 | 235 |
| 1982 | 27,300 | 9,031 | 34,171 | 186 | 184 |
| 1983 | 21,000 | 30,732 | 48,978 | 286 | 171 |
| 1984 | 27,000 | 13,864 | 18,146 | 94 | 193 |
| 1985 | 27,000 | 24,746 | 33,261 | 208 | 160 |
| 1986 | 42,000 |  | 28,896 | 172 | 168 |


$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { TOTAL } & 19889 & 34874 & 23096 & 27638 & 25715 & 17827 & 30172 & 13803 & 21486 & 20014 \\ \text { MEAN } & & 12.1 & 10.1 & 9.6 & 9.6 & 10.2 & 9.9 & 10.1 & 10.1 & 9.5\end{array}\right) 9.1$

Table 3. Summary of weight ( $k g$ round) at age data, partial recruitment rates and calculated $F_{0.1}$ for the Arctic charr population of the Okak assessment unit.

|  | Weight |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Age | $1977-79$ | $1984-86$ | Partial <br> recruitment |
|  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1.21 | 1.14 | 0.005 |
| 7 | 1.48 | 1.31 | 0.067 |
| 8 | 1.66 | 1.60 | 0.317 |
| 9 | 1.85 | 1.78 | 0.553 |
| 10 | 1.98 | 1.98 | 0.772 |
| 11 | 2.02 | 1.87 | 1.0 |
| 12 | 2.36 | 2.06 | 1.0 |
| 13 | 2.30 | 1.93 | 1.0 |
| 14 | 2.38 | 2.00 | 1.0 |
| 15 | 2.48 | 1.92 | 1.0 |
| 16 | 2.30 | 1.96 | 1.0 |
| 17 | 2.30 | 1.96 | 1.0 |
| 18 | 2.30 | 1.96 | 1.0 |
| 19 | 2.30 | 1.96 | 1.0 |

$F_{0.1}=0.43$ at a $Y / R$ of 0.74 kg .

Table 4. Results of regressions of $F$ on effort and population biomass on catch per unit effort for various terminal fishing mortality rates $\left(F_{T}\right)$ for the Okak assessment unit.

| Regression | Parameter | Terminal F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0.4 | 0.45 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| F (weighted mean for fully-recruited fish) on effort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
|  | $r$ | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 0.41 |
|  | residual - 1986 | -0.10 | -0.06 | -0.06 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 |
|  | normalized | -0.19 | -0.12 | -0.11 | -0.07 | -0.03 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.14 |
|  | intercept | -0.18 | -0.16 | -0.06 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.28 |
|  | normalized | -0.37 | -0.32 | -0.10 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.46 |
|  | $\Sigma$ residuals <br> (1985-86) | -0.10 | -0.10 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.32 |
|  | $\sum\left(\begin{array}{l} (\text { residuals })^{2} \\ (1985-86) \end{array}\right.$ | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 |

Population biomass
(fully-recruited


Table 5. Summary of the population numbers and fishing mortality matrix for the cohort analysis run with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}=0.40$ on the catch at age data for the 0kak assessment unit Arctic charr population.

| 1 | FOFOLIATSON NUMEERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| 61 | 91674 | 62774 | 14390 | 40086 | 30814 | 17122 | 63033 | 91105 | 103982 | 22640 |
| 71 | 57985 | 74980 | 51209 | 36343 | 32702 | 25217 | 38742 | 51177 | 74363 | 35118 |
| 81 | 27513 | 47348 | 59158 | 40127 | 29173 | 2.6298 | 20001 | 30125 | 40660 | 58463 |
| 91 | 21766 | 22149 | 31379 | 41683 | 27758 | 21957 | 19034 | 12330 | 22.550 | 28812 |
| 101 | 12439 | 16840 | 13163 | 21170 | 2582.5 | 16242 | 14206 | 10347 | 8149 | 13590 |
| 11 1 | 12507 | 7771 | 8726 | 7792 | 11463 | 14253 | 5816 | 6563 | 6798 | 4192 |
| 12 1 | 11699 | 7174 | 2972 | 537.5 | 3789 | 5156 | 8382 | 3360 | 3373 | 2909 |
| 131 | 4730 | 4677 | 2297 | 16.39 | 3151 | 1898 | 2826 | 3184 | 1419 | 1868 |
| 141 | 4219 | 1811 | 1320 | 1192 | 974 | 16.35 | 1153 | 827 | 1539 | 492 |
| 151 | 2892 | 1922 | 1018 | 604 | 659 | 382 | 1029 | 348 | 146 | 565 |
| 161 | 1794 | 1036 | 644 | 462 | 257 | 215 | 147 | 565 | 87 | 27 |
| 171 | 604 | 716 | 569 | 210 | 297 | 171 | 124 | 24 | 348 | 3 |
| 181 | 332 | 368 | 214 | 254 | 55 | 112 | 106 | 92 | 8 | 239 |
| 191 | 187 | 146 | 208 | 90 | 178 | 2 | 78 | 47 | 74 | 1 |
| $6+1$ | 250342 | 249712 | 217269 | 197027 | 167130 | 160962 | 178688 | 210094 | 263495 | 218920 |
| $7+1$ | 158668 | 186939 | 172878 | 156941 | 136286 | 113540 | 115655 | 118989 | 159514 | 196280 |
| $8+1$ | 100683 | 111958 | 121669 | 120598 | 103584 | 88322 | 76914 | 67812 | 85151 | 111163 |
| $9+1$ | 73170 | 64610 | 32511 | 80471 | 74106 | S2024 | 56912 | 37688 | 44491 | 52700 |

FISHJHG MOFTALITY

|  | 1 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.003 | 0.037 | 0.044 | 0.020 | 0.018 | 0.032 | 0.052 | 0.030 | 0.041 |
| 8 | 0.017 | 0.211 | 0.150 | 0.169 | 0.084 | 0.123 | 0.284 | 0.090 | 0.184 | 0.127 |
| 9 | 1 | 0.057 | 0.320 | 0.194 | 0.279 | 0.336 | 0.235 | 0.409 | 0.214 | 0.306 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.270 | 0.457 | 0.324 | 0.413 | 0.394 | 0.304 | 0.572 | 0.220 | 0.465 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.356 | 0.7611 | 0.284 | 0.521 | 0.599 | 0.330 | 0.8872 | 0.466 | 0.649 |
| 12 | 0.717 | 0.939 | 0.395 | 0.334 | 0.491 | 0.401 | 0.759 | 0.662 | 0.391 | 0.400 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.760 | 1.065 | 0.456 | 0.321 | 0.456 | 0.299 | 1.028 | 0.527 | 0.859 |
| 14 | 0.586 | 0.376 | 0.582 | 0.392 | 0.736 | 0.263 | 0.997 | 1.533 | 0.802 | 0.400 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.827 | 0.893 | 0.589 | 0.653 | 0.921 | 0.755 | 0.400 | 1.188 | 1.505 |
| 16 | 0.719 | 0.398 | 0.922 | 0.242 | 0.209 | 0.347 | 1.633 | 0.286 | 3.054 | 0.400 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.294 | 1.006 | 0.606 | 1.139 | 0.775 | 0.282 | 0.103 | 0.830 | 0.173 |
| 18 | 0.622 | 0.370 | 0.673 | 0.155 | 3.347 | 0.160 | 0.598 | 0.012 | 1.560 | 0.400 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.576 | 0.8144 | 0.392 | 0.427 | 0.569 | 0.342 | 0.827 | 0.560 | 0.6100 |
| $11+1$ | 0.592 | 0.836 | 0.403 | 0.435 | 0.579 | 0.344 | 0.839 | 0.590 | 0.637 | 0.400 |

Table 6. Summary of projected reference level catch ( $t$ ) for 1987 and 1988 with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}$ in 1986 varying from 0.35 to 0.45 .

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}$ in 1986 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reference level catch | 0.35 | 0.40 |
| 1987 | 43.1 | 38.0 | 34.0 |
| 1988 | 44.3 | 39.8 | 36.3 |



Fig. 1. Geographic separation of Nain Fishing Region subareas.

