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September 19, 1973
$V \& B$ were asked to consider the feasibility of running an "educational and/or information" type campaign to help the consumer in view of todays climbing food prices.

In conjunction with Mr. Jack Hall, Information and Public Relations Branch, research studies were undertaken. The conclusions of these studies, along with the results of "creative" testing to find out what consumers would be most responsive to, are summarized in this report.

* To describe current environment in terms of housewives'

1. Knowledge of rising prices
2. Response to date
3. Information or advice they have received
4. Further information or advice required

* Response of housewives to three different types of information columns designed for newspaper use.
* Based on both sets of results, to provide direction for development of final information column.
$\therefore$ All housewives are aware of rising food prices.
$\therefore$ Few housewives know the reasons.
* Great majority of housewives have done something to cut down on food bills.
* Main things done are buying less meat or cheaper cuts of meat.
* Camparitively few housewives have received information or advice from relatives or friends.
$\therefore$ Except for french speaking Montreal, almost half of the housewives have used information or advice seen in newspapers.
$\therefore$ Considerable number have also used information or advice seen in magazines.
* "Budget Recipes" was information or advice most sought by housewives.'
* Of three information columns tested, "Here Is What Mrs. Kostic Is poing'" evoked most favourable response.
* To describe environment cross-sections of 100 housewives were interviewed in each of following cities.

Toronto
French-speaking Montreal
Edmonton

* To determine response to three different types of information columns group discussions were conducted in Toronto with:

1. Low to middle income housewives with children.
2. Low to middle income "New Canadian" phousewives with children.

RESULTS OF SURVEY IN TORONTO

* Many housewives said food bills had increased $10 \%$ or more in past year.
$\therefore$ Meat was thought to have increased most, but most other foods were also mentioned.
* Only canned foods were thought by many housewives not to have increased too much.
$\star$ Over $70 \%$ of housewives said they knew few or none of reasons for increases. in food prices. .
* Labour unions were blamed by many of the housewives for increases in food prices.
$\therefore$
$\therefore$ Almost 70\% of housewives said they had done something to cut down food bills.
$\therefore$ Housewives said they had cut food bills by:

1. Buying less meat
2. Buying cheaper cuts of meat
3. Buying less "Treats"
4. Cooking more casserole-type meals
5. Watching out for specials .

1
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* Only $25 \%$ of housewives said they had received information or advice from friends or relatives on how to cut down food bills.
* Over $80 \%$ of housewives had seen information or advice in newspapers, almost $40 \%$ in magazines.
* 
* $55 \%$ of housewives had used information or advice in newspapers, about $20 \%$ in magazines.
!
i
.
: "Budget Recipes" is information or advice most required by housewives.
* Other requirements include:

1. Good Food buys
2. How to "Stretch Food"
3. Nutrition
4. How to freeze food
!
$1 \prime$
:
$\star$ "Best weekly food buys" was rated best.

* Good ratings achieved by "Nutrition", "New inexpensive foods", "Budget Recipes" and "How to stretch food" concepts.
* "List of price increases in past year" was poorly rated. .
* Housewives most responsive to information or advice from independent expert or "Housewives like themȩelves".
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SURVEY RESULTS

## MOATBEB

$\therefore$ Housewives inclined to think food bills had risen higher.

* Marginally fewer housewives said they fiad done something to cut down food bills.
* Fewer housewives said they had received information or advice on how to cut down food bills from friends or relatives.
* Far fewer housewives had seen or used information or advice in newspapers or maģazines.
* Housewives much less responsive to information or advice from expert in newspapers.
* Housewives inclined to think food bills had risen higher.
$\pm$ Housewives less inclined to think bread and vegetables prices had increased so much.
* Fewer housewives said they had received information or advice on how to cut down food bills from friends or relatives.
* Fewer housewives had seen information or advice in news papers.
* Housewives more, responsive to information or advice from "housewives like themselves."


## "CAINADA'S FOOD PRICES: THE FACTS"

* Initial response was negative - housewives are tired of hearing about rising food prices.
* Statistical facts of "How much have food prices really gone up during the past year" aroused only mild curiousity.
* The reasons "why?" also aroused only mild curiousity - housewives want specials' or price decreases rather than explanations.
$\star$ The international comparisons of "Do Canadians spend too Much on Food?" was thought irrelevant.
$\pm$ "How to make sure your family gets proper nutrition" secured much interest - housewives have a renewed interest in nutrition because of move to "Budget Recipes".
* Initial response was fairly positive - housewives want specific help on how to save money.
* $25 \%$ of housewives said they would clip out column.
$\star$ However, few housewives would actually use column. as actual guide - most said that they would use it to check on own, judgement, specials in newspapers or specials in stores.
* Concept of different best buys according toldifferent budget levels not understood.
* Many housewives spend different amounts each week on food.
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* Straight listing of best grocery buys preferred.
* Against background of specials in newspapers and critical : . attention housewives now pay to them, column judged basically "Me - Too'".
* Initial response was mixed.
* Headline reference to rising food prices wrong in terms of housewives' current state of mind.
* "Here is what Mrs. Alice Kostic is doing" was exactly right in terms of:

1. The move by housewives towards
"Budget Recipes".
2. The personal "Housewife Like Yourself" presentation of Mrs. Alice Kostic.

* Different "Budget Recipes" required for "New Canadians".
* However, \{gainst "Budget Recipes" available in Newspaper (particularly in Toronto) and Magazines, "Here is What Mrs. Kostic is Doing" was judged basically "Me - Too". $\therefore$
$\cdot 9$
＊Department revealed as sponsor at end of group discussions．
＊Most Canadian housewives responded negatively and the reasons were：

1．It was felt＂Government＇s＂responsibility waş to stop food prices from rising rather than＂adver＇tise＂ways to lessen impact．

2．A few felt strongly that＂Government＂should not tell them what to buy for their families．
＊＂New Canadians＂accepted sponsor as natural． $\cdot 1$

* Information in column must not be seen as coming directly from Department.
* Department must appear as sponsor of columns for housewives and independent home economists to present their own ideas.
* Use personal "Housewives Like Yourself" presentation of information as much as poss'ible.
* "Budget Recipes" are most important information to communicate.
* Allowance should obviously be made for cultural and regional diffferences.
$!$
* Other information that could be communicated by weekly columns include:

1. ${ }^{\text {Buying meat }}$

- 1

2. Shopping for specials
3. How to cut down on non-food items
```
    RESPONSE OF TORONTO GROUPS
        TO
        THREE DIFFERENT TYṖES OF INFORMATION COLUMNS
        \
```

        \(x\)
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Here are some inings you cando:


Here is tritat
Pars Alize Kostio is doing.

Breasand Oexes sauitió


 T0TH cost
$\$ 1.89$
firs. Kostic's hest mane $\gamma$-S8ving ideas:


Share your ideas with us!

Q1. HOW MUCH DO YOU THINK YOUR AVERAGE WEEKLY FOOD BILL HAS INCREASED IN THE PAST YEAR DUE TO RISING PRICES? TORONTO MONTREAL EDMONTON
$10 \%$
29
11
21

15\%
20
13 7.

20\%
13
17
$20^{\circ}$
$25 \%$
11
13
12

MORE THAN $25 \%$
11
41
33

9
2
2

Q2. Which types of food have increased the most in the PAST YEAR?

TORONTO . MONTREAL EDMONTON

MEAT $95 \%$ 94\% $98 \%$

BREAD
40
44
18
vegetables
36
41
15

FRUIT
35
19
7

MILK $\quad \because \quad 24$ - 22

EGGS
22
$13 \vdots \begin{array}{lll} & & \\ & \ddots & \ell\end{array}$
FISH
21
4
6

CANNED GOODS
9
6
5

BUTTER
9
9
10
i;
CHEESE
9
9
4

OTHERS
4
" !

| 6 | 4 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR LESS | OR LESS | OR LESS |

Q3. WHICH types of food have increased the least in the PAST YEAR?

## TORONTO MONTREAL EDMONTON

CANNED FOODS
$24 \%$
$14 \%$
19\%

## BUTTER

8
-
2

SUGAR
7
1
2

OTHERS
8 , OR LESS OR LESS OR LESS

Q4. HAVE YOU DONE ANTTHTNG TO CUT DOWN ON YOUR WEEKLY FOOD BILL?

| HAVE DONE SONETHING | $63 \%$ | $69 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE DONE NOTHING | 27 | 34 | 31. |

Q5. WHAT SORTS OF THINGS HAVE YOU DONE TO CUT DOWN ON YOUR WEEKLY FOOD BILL?

|  | TORONTO | MONTREAL | EDMONTON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUY LESS MEAT | 22\% | 27\% | 29\% |
| BUY CHEAPER MEAT | 21 | 8 | 28 |
| BUY LESS TREATS | 19 | 16 | 13 |
| COOK MORE CASSEROLES | 16 | 3 | 1 |
| DO MORE BAKING | 11 | 2 | 12 |
| ; | $\therefore$ |  |  |
| SHOP FOR SPECIALS | , 11 | 8 | 4 |
| BUY MORE FISH | 8 | - | 4 |
| USE FREEZER MORE | 8 | - | 4 |
| BUY LESS CONVENIENCE |  |  |  |
| FOODS | 7 | 2 | 6 |
| OTHERS ? | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | OR LESS | OR LESS | OR LESS |

Q6. DO YOU KNOW WHY PRICES have INCREASED SO MUCH IA THE PAST YEAR?

## TORONTO MONTREAL EDMONTON

KNOW MOST REASONS
$5 \%$
4\%

KNOW SOME REASONS . $22 \quad 18$

KNOW VERY FEW REASONS
42
34
46

KNOW NONE OF REASONS
31
44
27
is
$i$

8
71

Q7. WHY DO YOU THINK PRICES HAVE INCREASED SO NUCH THIS YEAR?


QS. HAVE YOU RECEIVED INFORIATION OR ADVICE ON HON TO CUT DOWN ON YOUR WOERLY FOOD BILL FROS RELATIVES OR FRIFADS?

TORONTO MONTREAL EDMONTON

HAVE RECEIVED ADVICE $26 \% \quad 18 \%$

HAVE NOT RECEIVED ADVICE $74 \quad 82$

Q9. HAVE THE FOLLOWING GIVEN PEOPLE INFORUATION OR ADVICE ON HON TO CUT DONN WEEKLY FOOD BILLS?

TORONTO MONTREAL EDMONTON


Q10. SiAf: YOU USED ASY INFORAATION OR ADVICE RECEIVED FROM THE GOLWING ON HOY' TO CUT DOKN ON YOUR MEEKLY FOOD BILL?

TORONTO MONTREAL EDMONTON

NENSPAPERS $49 \% ~ 19 \% ~ 39 \%$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { MAGAZINES } & 22 & 1 & 35\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { FOOD STORES } & 17 & 12 & 6\end{array}$

TV $\quad, \quad 13$

FOOD COMPANIES . 7
4
3

RADIO
4
12
17

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
2
2
3

PROVINCIAL GOVERMENT
2
1
2

Q12. WHY HAVE YOU NOT USED INFORMATION OR ADVICE FROM MEDIA, BUSINESS OR GOVERNMENT?

TORONTO MONTREAL EDMONTON


| $\because$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PARTICULAR $\operatorname{REASON}$ | 16 | 19 | 4 |
| $!$ |  |  |  |

$\uparrow$
..

Q13. IF MORE INFORYATION OR ADVICE COULD BE MADE AVAILABLE IN NETSPAPERS, NIAT SORT WOULD BE MOST USEEUL?

|  | TORONTO | MONTREAL | EDMONTON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ECONOMICAL RECIPES | 20\% | 24\% | 28\% |
| GOOD RECIPES | 15 | 7 | 12 |
| LIST OF GOOD FOOD BUYS | 11 | 10 | 4 |
| HOW TO "STRETCH" FOOD | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| NUTRITIONAL VALUE OF FOOD | $8$ | 8 | 4 |
| HOW TO FREEZE FOOD | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| OTHER | OR LESS | 4 <br> OR LESS | 4 <br> OR LESS |
| NOTHING IN PARTICULAR | 14 | 1 | - |
| DON ${ }^{\prime}$ T KNOW | 7. | 20 | 15 |

Q14. IF THE FOLLONING INFOR:ATION OR ADVICE WAS MADE AVAILABLE IN THE NENSPAFERS, HON USEFUL WOULD IT BE?

## TORONTO MONTREAL EDMONTON

## RATED "EXTREMELY USEFUL"

| BEST WEEKLY FOOD BUYS | 53\% | 57\% | 62\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUTRITION | 45 | 48 | 35. |
| NEW INEXPENSIVE FOODS | 44 | 46 | 42 |
| RECIPES FOR INEXPENSIVE | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| MEALS | 43 | 57 | 51 |
| HOW TO "STRETCH" FOOD | 38 |  | 36 |
| LIST OF PRICE INCREASES |  |  |  |
| IN PAST YEAR | 17 | 26 | 12 |


|  | TORONTO | MONTREAL | EDMONTON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INDEPENDENT IN NEWSPAPERS | 47\% | 17\% | 36\% |
| HOUSEUIVES | 44 | 40 | 68 |
| EXPERT FROM GOVERNMENT | 30 | 31 | 17. |
| FOOD MANUFACTURERS | 27. | 5 | . 1 |
| ' , | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| SUPERMARKETS | 22 | 9 | 6 |
|  |  |  | - |
| - . |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |

Q16. WHEN WILL FOOD PRICES STOP RISING SO FAST?

## TORONTO MONTREAL EDMONTON

WITHIN 3 MONTHS . $14 \%$. $15 \%$ 10\%
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { WITHIN } 6 \text { MONTHS } & 15 & 15 & 6\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { WITHIN } 12 \text { MONTHS } & 28 & 28 & 10\end{array}$

MORE THAN 12 MONTHS 36 . 34

DON'T KNON
7
8
6


| METRO MARKETS | POPULATION | PERC. FOOD DOLLAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montreal | 2,600,000 | 14.33 |
| Toronto | 2,400,000 | 12.98 |
| Vancouver | 1,030,000 | 4.95 |
| Winnipeg | 550,000 | 2.62 |
| Ottawa (Inc.Hull) | 545,000 | 2.69 |
| Hamilton | 491,000 | 2.54 |
| Edmonton | 456,000 | 1.83 |
| Quebec | 439,000 | 2.28 |
| Calgary y | 396,000 | 1.73 |
| London | - 231,000 | . 81 |
| Windsor | 229,000 | 1.36 |
| Kitchener | 212,000 | 1.11 |
| Halifax | 205,000 | K. 98 |
| Victoria | 191,000 | . 94 |
| Regina | 144,000 | . 47 |
|  |  | 51.62\% |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |
| ? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  | - |  |
| Y) |  |  |

## MEDIA LIST

| Montreal | Montreal Star | $3 \times 311 \mathrm{~L}$ | 933L | . 89 | 830.37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | La Presse | $3 \times 310 \mathrm{~L}$ | 930 L | 1.20 | 1116.00 |
| Toronto | Toronto Star | $3 \times 308 \mathrm{~L}$ | 924 L | 1.90 | 1755.60 |
| Vancouver. | Sun Province | $3 \times 308 \mathrm{~L}$ | 924L | 1.80 | 1663.20 |
| Winnipeg | Free Press | $3 \times 308 \mathrm{~L}$ | 924L | . 57 | 526.68 |
| Ottawa | Citizen | $3 \times 310 \mathrm{~L}$ | 930L | . 36 | 334.80 |
|  | Le Droit | $3 \times 305 \mathrm{~L}$ | 915L | . 27 | 247.05 |
|  | Journal | $3 \times 310 \mathrm{~L}$ | 930 L | . 38 | 353.40 |
| Hamilton | Spectator | $3 \times 308 \mathrm{~L}$ | 924L | . 49 | 452.76 |
| Edmonton | Journal | $3 \times 310 \mathrm{~L}$ | 930L | . 61 | 567.30 |
| Quebec | Le Soleil | $3 \times 300 \mathrm{~L}$ | 900L | . 72 | 648.00 |
| Calgary | Harold | $3 \times 308 \mathrm{~L}$ | 924L | . 45 | 415.80 |
| London | Free Press | $3 \times 308 L^{\circ}$ | . 924 L | . 54 | 498.96 |
| Windsor | Star. | $3 \times 310 \mathrm{~L}=$ | 930 L | . 48 | 446.40 |
| Kitchener. | Waterloo Record | $3 \times 308 \mathrm{~L}$ | 924L | . 25 | 231.00 |
| Halifax | Harold-Mail Star | $3 \times 300 \mathrm{~L}$ | 900 L | $\therefore .53$ | 477.00 |
| Victoria | Colonist-Times | $3 \times 315 \mathrm{~L}$ | 945 L | $\because .50$ | 472.50 |
| Regina | Leader-Post | $3 \times 310 \mathrm{~L}$ | 930 L | . 33 | 306.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,343.72 |

Based on Government line rates

It is hoped the media schedule can be extended to include certain ethnic papers where the language problem imposes a barrier.

## MECHANICS

To establish a smooth-working procedure for the placement of English and French advertisements on a conitituous basis, $V$ \& $B$ would have to . work closely with the Department.

The following time and events schedule outlines the steps involved: Day 0 Wednesday . Advertisement appearing in

5 days previous Friday
6 days previous Thursday
7 days previous Wednesday
8 days previous Tuesday

12days previous Friday

16days previous Monday

20days previous food shopping day issue of newspaper.
Shipment of mats to newspapers.
Material into engravings.
Final copy into type.
Copy returned from Ottawa,
$\therefore$ including French translation.
Copy to Ottawa for final legal
clearance, via Bankers.

- Copy details from Ottawa, with initial legal or other Department clearance', glossy photo of recipe provifes, price etc. Collection of prices, information etc.

It is hoped the Department would establish their own network, through Regional Directors, of:price information etc. It is possible advertisements could vary regionally, as the local situation warrants.
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