

# ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

# SUPERINTENDENT

OF

# **BANKRUPTCY**

FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 1936

Published by Authority of Hon. Charles A. Dunning, M.P., Minister of Finance



J. O. PATENAUDE, I.S.O. PRINTER TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY 1937 The Honourable Charles A. Dunning, M.P., Minister of Finance, Ottawa.

Sir,—I have the honour to submit my fourth Annual Report on the administration of The Bankruptcy Act during the year ending December 31, 1936.

Certain changes have been made in the form of the tables to make them more concise and informative. It is hoped that the report at large gives a fair resume of the problems arising and of the work of this office.

Yours very truly,

W. J. REILLEY, Superintendent of Bankruptcy.

OTTAWA, July 31, 1937.

# CONTENTS

	PAGE
1. Appointments under the Bankruptcy Act	5
2. Licensing and Supervisory Activities	5
(i) Licensing of trustees	5
(ii) Bankruptcies reported and estates administered	7
(iii) Complaints and Investigations	8
3. Losses paid by Bonding Companies	8
4. Prosecutions in Bankruptcy Matters	8
5. The Farmers' Creditors Arrangement Act, 1934	10
6. Revenue and Expenditures	
7. Trustees' Remuneration	1:1
8. General Observations	12
9. Appendix: Statistical Tables I to XXI	17

The state of the s  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta L_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(L_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(L_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(L_{\mathcal{F}})$ ...... ...... 

# ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF BANKRUPTCY FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 1936

# 1. APPOINTMENTS UNDER THE BANKRUPTCY ACT

## (a) Official Receivers

William Thuerck, Esq., Local Registrar of the Supreme Court, Hailey-bury, appointed Official Receiver for Bankruptcy Division No. 15, Ontario, vice Thomas J. Meagher, resigned. (P.C. 321, February 10, 1936.)

Gordon Tennant, Esq., Local Registrar of the Supreme Court, London, appointed Official Receiver for Bankruptcy Division No. 5, Ontario, vice Edmund

Weld, deceased. (P.C. 2262, September 4, 1936.)

J. Clarence Colleran, Esq., Local Registrar of the Supreme Court, Port Arthur, appointed Official Receiver for Bankruptcy Division No. 1, Ontario, vice Neil Campbell, resigned. (P.C. 2632, October 14, 1936.)

# (b) REGISTRARS IN BANKRUPTCY AND TAXING OFFICERS

H. Lester Smith, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Fredericton, appointed by the Honourable Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of New Brunswick (King's Bench Division) to be Registrar and Taxing Officer in Bankruptcy for the Province of New Brunswick, June 8, 1936, vice Francis Kerr, deceased.

Adelard Leduc, Esq., and Richard Beaudry, Esq., of His Majesty's Counsel, appointed by the Honourable Chief Justice of the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec to be joint Registrars in Bankruptcy for Bankruptcy Division

No. 1 of the Province of Quebec, June 19, 1936, vice Arthur Delisle, K.C.,

deceased

Auguste Quesnel, Esq., Montreal, appointed by the Honourable Chief Justice of the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec to be Taxing Officer in Bankruptcy for Bankruptcy Division No. 1 of the Province of Quebec, June 26, 1936.

# 2. LICENSING AND SUPERVISORY ACTIVITIES

## (i) LICENSING OF TRUSTEES

## (a) Licences Granted for 1936

Renewal certificates for 1936 were issued to 292 of the 317 trustees whose licences expired on December 31, 1935. In addition, 9 new licences were issued during the year 1936, bringing the total number of licences in force in 1936 to 301.

### (b) Licences Cancelled in 1936

Six licences were cancelled during the year. Three trustees were removed by death, two withdrew from practice, and one failed to maintain the security required by the Act.

# (c) Renewal of Licences for 1937

Of the 295 trustees whose licences expired on December 31, 1936, 273 applied for certificates of renewal for 1937; 269 of these applications were granted.

### THE SUPERINTENDENT OF BANKRUPTCY

# 6 TH1

(d) Distribution of Licences

The following table shows the distribution by provinces of the 269 licences renewed for 1937. In this table trustees licensed to operate in two or more Provinces are shown only in the provinces in which their head offices are situated:—

Nova Scotia	11	*	Quebec	119	Saskatchewan	5
New Brunswick	6		Ontario	95	Alberta	6
Prince Ed. Iisland	<b>2</b>		Manitoba	7	British Columbia.	18

A more accurate picture of the situation is given by the table below, which shows the number of trustees actually licensed to operate in each of the proinces. In this table trustees licensed to operate in two or more provinces are included in the total for each province in which they operate:—

Nova Scotia	16	Quebec 126	Saskatchewan	14
New Brunswick	11	Ontario 106	Alberta	15
Prince Ed. Island	4	Manitoba 15	British Columbia.	24
		Northwestern Territories 1.		

These figures show a further reduction in 1937 in the number of persons operating as licensed trustees. The following comparative statement shows the number of licences issued during the four-year period ending December 31, 1936:—

## LICENCES ISSUED IN 1933, 1934, 1935 AND 1936

· :	1933	19	34	19	35	1936		
Province	Licences Issued	Licences Renewed	New Licences Issued	Licences Renewed	New Licences Issued	Licences Renewed	New Licences Issued	
Nova Scotia New Brunswick Prince Edward Island Quebec. Ontario. Manitoba. Saskatchewan Alberta British Columbia.	20 13 4 191 122 18 19 19 28	12 7 2 162 99 10 5 8 23	2 1 7 8 2 1 1 2	11 6 2 140 97 11 5 7 22	2 2 1 7 6	12 7 2 134 99 8 5 7 18	3 4 1 1	
Total	364		351	320		301		
Less Cancellations during the year:— By Death	2 1 1	2 2 3		. ::	2 1 —		3 2 1	
Licences in force at end of year	. 360		344,		817		295	

As indicated in previous reports, the reduction in the number of licensed trustees during this period has resulted mainly from the voluntary withdrawal from practice of licensees who found that the volume of work to be had was not sufficient to warrant the renewal of their licences and qualifying bonds. A contributing factor is seen in the marked decrease in the number of bankruptcies during the same period, these having fallen in 1936 to considerably less than half of the number reported in 1933. Originally, when the Amendments of 1932 came into force it was felt that the number of licensees should be restricted to a smaller definite number of the most competent applicants; but to avoid hardship and undue discrimination generally licences were granted to applicants

of good reputation, so far as could be ascertained at the time, who had previously been engaged in this work. It was hoped that through elimination by voluntary retirement and cancellations for cause the number of licensees would gradually be considerably reduced and the original object attained. It is desirable that the number of licensees be reduced still further, notably in the larger centres, in some of which the number of trustees available is entirely out of proportion to the volume of work to be done.

# (ii) BANKRUPTCIES REPORTED AND ESTATES ADMINISTERED

There were 1,154 bankruptcies reported in 1936 compared with 1,263 in 1935, a decrease of 109 in 1936. Comparative figures for all provinces for the years 1933, 1934, 1935 and 1936 are as follows:—

Year	N.S.	N.B.	P.E.I.	Que.	Mont.	Ont.	Tor.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.	Total
1933 1934 1935	42 36	57 34 35 20	12 8 4 6	740 365 303 304	652 429 490 406	573 269 203 210	180 95 82 78	76 54 31 24	73 31 23 20	102 31 22 20	71 53 34 33 33	2,604* 1,411 1,263 1,154

<sup>\*</sup> These figures cover the thirteen-month period from 1st December, 1932 (when the Bankruptcy Act Amendment Act, 1932, came into effect) to 31st December, 1933.

Liabilities and assets for the 1,154 estates reported in 1936 were estimated and valued by the debtors at \$14,349,068 and \$11,910,059, respectively. Liabilities and assets for 1,263 estates reported in 1935 were \$27,681,779 and \$23,564,684, respectively. A marked decrease both in the volume of liabilities and in the value of the assets is noted in 1936.

The administration of 1,069 estates was completed and the trustees discharged in 1936. A survey of the administration of these estates gives the following information and results:—

Total liabilities estimated (by debtors) Total assets valued (by debtors) at which realized	ونبورين	 	0, 314, 455
Distributed as follows:  Payments to creditors	Àe.		1,661,943

In addition to the total payments to creditors (\$1,661,943), securities to an estimated value of \$3,810,708 were realized or taken over by secured creditors, making in all payments to creditors in cash or kind totalling \$5,472,651, or 39.04 per cent of the estimated liabilities, and leaving an estimated net loss to creditors of \$8,546,315.

In addition to the 1,154 bankruptcies reported in 1936, and to the 1,069 estates that were closed in this year, 194 old bankruptcies (estates in existence prior to the Bankruptcy Act Amendment Act, 1932) were newly reported to this office, and the administration of 480 old estates was completed and the trustees discharged. Altogether, the administration of 2,764 new estates and 1,586 old estates was under supervision during the year

estates was under supervision during the year.

Detailed information regarding the number and location of bankruptcies reported, size of estates, realization of assets, administrative costs, etc., will be found in the tables forming the Appendix to this report. As in previous years, every effort has been made to make these tables as useful and as informative as possible. Existing tables have been consolidated and in some cases extended and a new table has been added to show the proportion of dividends paid at various rates.

### THE SUPERINTENDENT OF BANKRUPTCY

## (iii) Complaints and Investigations

During the year seventy-two complaints were registered by creditors and others against forty-eight trustees. Sixty-seven of these complaints related to estates under the administration of licensed trustees. The remaining five related to estates which came into existence prior to 1st December, 1932, in the hands of unlicensed trustees. Each of these seventy-two complaints, with the exception of the seven special cases referred to below, were investigated and satisfactorily disposed of by correspondence.

Eleven outside investigations were made by members of the staff during the year. Seven of these investigations were made as a result of complaints received from creditors and others. Four were made of the records and accounts of trustees whose licences had been allowed to expire or had not been renewed.

## 3. LOSSES PAID BY BONDING COMPANIES

The year 1936 was the first since 1932 in which any very substantial demand was made on the guaranty companies in respect of the operations of trustees bonded by them in bankruptcy matters. A total of approximately \$7,500 is believed to have been paid over by the bonding companies in 1933, but no substantial losses were reported on this account in 1934 or 1935. Losses paid by bonding companies amounted in 1936 to \$64,573.65. Additional claims made in 1936 amounting to \$14,016.68 had not been settled at the end of the year. There is no record of any loss having been suffered by any creditor on this account in respect of estates that have come into existence since the 1st December, 1932.

The amount paid by the surety companies emphasizes the necessity of adequate security being required for the protection of creditors, because no matter how adequately a trustee may be bonded in each estate, a default necessarily incurs heavy additional costs which the creditors eventually have to assume with respect to the appointment of a substituted trustee, the audit or investigation of the former trustee's administration, the collection and distribution of the funds in question, in addition usually to solicitors' costs. Further, the delay in administration occasioned thereby is annoying and irritating to the creditors. suggests the advisability of devising a scheme to avoid such situations, perhaps that of a controlling fund into which the funds of all estates would be paid when received, the practice followed in England. If this were done, the individual bonds in each estate might possibly be dispensed with thereby reducing the expense of administration.

Of the sum of \$64,573 received from bonding companies the sum of \$55,148.08 was recovered on estate bonds and the balance of \$9,425.57 was collected on the qualifying bonds of licensed trustees held in this office indicating the need of such qualifying bonds as marginal security for the creditors because Official Receivers had not fixed estate bonds high enough to cover the total realization in those estates where trustees had defaulted. The large sum thus recovered further emphasizes the importance not only of granting licences to men of known integrity, but also the desirability of granting licences to men with substantial personal assets and independent means, who thereby are less tempted to appropriate trust

moneys in their hands for personal purposes.

## 4. PROSECUTIONS IN BANKRUPTCY MATTERS

At the end of 1935 there were twenty-one cases in which investigations were being continued or in which criminal proceedings were then pending. Nineteen new cases, in most of which there were grounds for believing that offences had been committed and in all of which an investigation of some sort had been made, were reported in 1936. Nineteen of these forty cases were closed in 1936. In the remaining twenty-one proceedings were pending or contemplated at the end of 1936 or the investigations were being continued.

In six only of the nineteen cases closed in 1936 were the accused brought to trial. In four the investigations were dropped because of lack of funds or the indifference of the creditors to further investigate or prosecute. In five others insufficient proof of fraud was available to warrant the institution of criminal proceedings. In one case the charges laid were withdrawn, and in three others the debtors escaped further investigation, at least temporarily, by absconding.

Convictions were obtained in four of the six cases brought to trial. In two the accused were acquitted, insufficient evidence being produced to warrant convictions. In one other case, not included in the above, a debtor was convicted on charges of conversion laid prior to his bankruptcy. In one of the four cases in which convictions were obtained the accused was subjected to payment of a fine. Sentences of imprisonment, varying from six to twenty-three months, were imposed in the other cases.

References have been made in previous reports to the reluctance of creditors to prosecute even where it is known that offences have been committed, and to the difficulties frequently encountered in initiating criminal proceedings in bankruptcy cases in which no funds are available to cover the costs of the investigation and of the preliminary proceedings. An examination of the nineteen cases closed in 1936 shows that in three of these cases prosecutions were ordered by the court or initiated by the provincial authorities. In four others the charges were laid and in three they were prosecuted by the trustees or creditors. In five of the twelve remaining cases the facts and circumstances of the offences believed to have been committed were reported to the court by the trustees in accordance with the requirements of section 195 (2) of the Bankruptcy Act, but in each case the court declined to make an order to prosecute. It would seem that the courts are inclined to take a fairly lenient view in many of these cases, and hesitate to put the provinces to the expense of a prosecution when there is any doubt of the probability of a conviction being obtained. While it is undoubtedly wise that these considerations should be kept in mind it can be seen that a tendency towards leniency might be carried too far. The difficulties now in the way of bringing dishonest debtors to trial are undoubtedly discouraging to the creditors who have been defrauded. They also serve, perhaps, to encourage to some extent dishonest practices of this kind. It should not be overlooked that if there is merely a doubt as to the guilt of the accused he will get the full benefit at his trial of any doubt that may then exist.

References have also been made in previous reports to the dissatisfaction that is caused by the frequent insufficiency of the punishment awarded on conviction in bankruptcy cases. There seems to be no doubt that leniency of this kind is almost entirely the result of a misconception, on the part of the police magistrates who usually deal with these cases, of the gravity of these offences. In one case reported in 1936 an accused person was convicted of having committed four different offences against section 191 of the Bankruptcy Act and section 417 of the Criminal Code. He was fined \$40 on the first offence, \$40 on the second, and \$10 on each of the two others, or a total of \$100. This was felt to be entirely inadequate since the accused had failed to account for assets, the property of his creditors, of a value of at least eight thousand dollars. An appeal entered against the sentences by the Attorney General for the Province was dismissed. The majority of the court of appeal was agreed that the punishment was grossly inadequate but felt, in the circumstances, that it should not have been asked to increase the sentence as this would amount to undue interference with the discretion exercised by the Police Magistrate. Had the appeal been from the convictions a new trial would have been ordered. One of the judges, concurring in the opinion as to the gross inadequacy of the penalties imposed, felt that the appeal from the sentences should be allowed.

# 5. THE FARMERS' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, 1934

Assignments for the benefit of their creditors were made by 182 farmers, and fourteen receiving orders were made against farmers under this Act during the year. This represents a substantial decrease in the total number of assignments and receiving orders reported in 1936 as compared with the sixteen-month period ending December 31, 1935. The following table shows, by provinces, the number of assignments and receiving orders made under the Farmers' Creditors Arrangement Act since it came into effect on September 1, 1934:—

TABLE I.—COMPARISON	OF THE	NUMBER	$\mathbf{OF}$	ASSIGNMENTS	AND	RECEIVING
	OR	DERS, BY	YE.	ARS		

Province	· 1934* (4 months)	19	35	19	Total	
Frovince	Assign- ments	Assign- ments	Receiving Orders	Assign- ments	Receiving Orders	
Nova Scotia. New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island. Quebec. Ontario. Manitoba. Saskatchewan. Alberta. British Columbia.	$egin{array}{ccc} 7 & 4 & \\ 12 & \\ 22 & \\ 11 & \\ \end{array}$	0 8 3 38 27 24 98 86	0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 4 2 39 24 15 (2 33 3	0 0 0 11 0 0 2 1	0 17 5 96 55 51 184 132
Total	61	285	2	182	14	544

<sup>\*</sup> No receiving orders were madé against farmers in 1934.

Liabilities and assets for the 196 estates reported in 1936 were estimated at \$1,449,659.21 and \$779,536.13 respectively, representing average liabilities of \$7,396.22 and assets of \$3,977.23 for each estate. Real property represented \$593,756.68, or 76·17 per cent of the assets, the balance, or \$185,779.45, consisting of live stock and machinery. Of the liabilities, \$1,098,244.44 or 75·76 per cent of the total were either secured or preferred, the balance being composed of ordinary unsecured claims.

Including 254 estates the administration of which was not completed at December 31, 1935, there was under administration in 1936 a total of 450 farmer estates. Of these, 259 were completely administered and the trustees released

during the year, leaving 191 on hand as at December 31, 1936.

The 259 estates closed in 1936 represented total liabilities of \$2,426,374.22, and assets of a total estimated value of \$1,227,197.76, which includes the statutory exemptions to which the farmers were entitled under the provincial laws. The proceeds from the sale of these assets, exclusive of cash payments to farmers in lieu of exemptions, amounted to \$49,931.73, all of which, less administrative costs of \$8,591.79, was available for distribution to the creditors. In addition to the cash payments made to the creditors, lands and chattels under mortgage or lien were in many cases transferred to or repossessed by secured creditors.

Additional administrative costs of \$4,312.70 were paid by the Department, bringing the total administrative costs to \$12,904.49 which includes fees amounting to \$2,954.90 paid to Official Receiver-trustees. The average cost of administering these estates amounted to \$49.82 only, of which 33.42 per cent was paid

by the Dominion Government.

One hundred and seventy-one applications of farmers for discharge, including eighteen applications that were pending on December 31, 1935, were reported during the year. Of this number 142 were granted unconditionally, four were granted conditionally, and five discharges were suspended for periods

ranging from thirty days to two years. Six applications were refused. Fourteen had not been disposed of at the end of the year. In eleven other cases the assignments made by the farmers were annulled on the approval by the court of proposals of composition accepted by the creditors. There were no prosecutions under this Act during the year.

Tables showing (1) assets and liabilities of estates reported in 1936, and (2) the administration of estates closed in 1936, have been included in the Appendix

to this report.

## 6. REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES

Detailed statements of the monthly revenues and of expenditures during 1936 are given below:—

### STATEMENT OF REVENUE-CALENDAR YEAR 1936

.1936	Licence Fees	Levy	Totals
	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
January February March April May June July August September October November December	160 00 5 00 Nil 10 00 Nil 45 00 Nil 15 00 947 50 4,140 00 535 00	1,183 50 1,083 09 1,211 76 796 29 857 43 750 32 922 61 838 59 676 02 2,124 83 1,512 21 2,202 04	1,313 50 1,243 09 1,216 76 796 29 867 43 750 32 967 61 838 59 691 02 3,072 33 5,652 21 2,737 04
Less—refunds during the year	5,987 50 177 50	14,158 69 74 30	20,146 19 251 80
	5,810 00	14,084 39	19,894 39

#### STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURE—CALENDAR YEAR, 1936

Salaries. \$ Printing and Stationery. Travelling. Rent and Maintenance. Sundries.	1,208 76 368 97 2,186 63
Total	

## 7. TRUSTEES' REMUNERATION

Fees paid to trustees amount to \$136,245.41 or 6.01 per cent of the total realization (or 7.81 per cent of the net realization after deducting the payments to the secured creditors). This remuneration comprises all the compensation received by trustees in the closed estates under review and includes extra fees voted by the inspectors and approved by the Court for special services, management of operations, etc. This is an average of \$127.46 per estate. A closer analysis, however, reveals that in a strikingly large percentage of estates trustees received much less than the average fee and indeed, in many cases, they suffered direct personal losses of the advances made to cover the initial bankruptcy costs. It will be seen from the following table that trustees assume grave risks in undertaking the administration of estates where the realization is likely to be less than \$500.

43105---21

Summary administration (Sec 114 9 Bell N) designed to reduce costs in such cases

However I have a feeling that trustices do not suffer the losses sometimes indicated in the Final Statement Possibly private arrangements are made with dethors to recover loss. Anymon this trend has not been a apparent of late but the THE SUPERINTENDENT OF BANKRUPTCY may again when sales resistance returns with anything ANALYSIS OF REMUNERATION RECEIVED BY TRUSTEES IN ESTATES CLOSED IN 1936

	Number of Cases	Percentage of Closed Estates	Total Receipts	Total Fees	Trustee's out-of-pock- et re Costs advanced	Average size Estate	Average Loss per Estate	Average Fees per Estate
No fees and out of pocket No fees but costs covered Fees under \$25.00 Fees \$25.00 and under \$50.00. Fees \$50.00 and under \$100.00 Fees over \$100.00	119 15 46 84 260 545		\$ cts. 25,627 47 3,892 59 10,269 94 26,781 17 139,887 01 2,058,666 82 2,265,125 00	112,969 52	\$ cts. 12,281 44	\$ ets. 215 35 259 51 223 26 318 82 538 02 3,777 37 2,118 92	\$ cts. 103 21	\$ cts.  Nil Nil 14 83 36 51 75 14 207 28

\* Deficits, if any, absorbed in trustees' fees.

There are various reasons for the losses and lack or paucity of fees disclosed in the above table. These may be briefly stated as:—

(1) Misrepresentation by debtors to induce trustees to accept estates.

(2) Non-payment of costs guaranteed by interested parties.

(3) Priority of certain claims over the fees and expenses of the custodian and trustee.

(4) Loss of assets due to court decisions unfavourable to estate.

(5) Expenses advanced by trustee to realize assets which do not yield the costs of collection.

(6) Voluntary acceptance of smaller fees to facilitate closing or to enable payment of wage-earner or other deserving claims.

(7) Errors of judgment.

It is apparent, therefore, that trustees are not receiving an undue amount of remuneration for the services rendered.

## 8. GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

A noticeable feature of bankruptcy administration is the annual decrease in the number of bankruptcies that have been reported since 1st December, 1932, at which date the Bankruptcy Act Amendment Act, 1932, came into effect. During this time the number of bankruptcies has decreased almost fifty per cent. It would be a matter for consideration of economic experts whether or not this marked decline in the number of bankruptcies is due to any large extent to the return to better economic conditions, since it would seem to be a fact that better times in business normally result in credit expansion and the resumption of classes of risks which traders in times of depression are careful to avoid. However, as the trend in times of depression is toward a gradual decline in the number of failures as the crisis runs its course, it may correctly be assumed that there has really been some appreciable decrease each year in the number of commercial failures since, say, 1931 or 1932. At the same time there is no doubt that the decrease in the number of bankruptcies is comparatively greater than the actual decrease in the number of commercial failures over the same period, and an explanation of this situation must be sought elsewhere.

There are a number of reasons which explain and account for the present falling off in the number of cases dealt with under the Bankruptcy Act.

The prohibition of solicitation of assignments had an immediate effect in curtailing the activities of that type of individual, a wolf in sheep's clothing, who preyed upon debtors in financial difficulties and persuaded them to make assignments on wholesale false promises of benefits to be derived therefrom.

The lenient attitude of creditors at large throughout the period of the depression in not filing petitions thereby reducing the number of receiving orders, normally about 50 per cent of all bankruptcies to approximately 15 per cent, in itself alone reduced the number of bankruptcies by about 40 per cent.

\* Held that this prohibition is bad, reach to the benefit of the class it is designed to court "The legisland does not change his shots" is guain designed to court index field of operation of withdrawal of other trusties

?

No

Further, one of the effects of the close supervision exercised over bank-ruptcy proceedings since December 1, 1932, has been to deter many debtors from making assignments in bankruptcy, and in numerous cases it is found that arrangements are being made with creditors by means of informal compositions, transfers under the provincial Bulk Sales Acts and otherwise. Many such compromises are arranged by persons who formerly operated as trustees but who have been unable to obtain licences as trustees under the new system.

Another trend is evidenced by the increasing numbers of sales by bailiffs following seizures by the principal or the nearest creditors. It is hardly necessary to say that this last method of dealing with insolvencies, in so far as the general body of creditors is concerned, is in most cases the most unsatis-

factory of all.

Another and more important factor contributing to the reduction in the number of bankruptcy cases is found in the existence of concurrent insolvency legislation, notably The Winding-up Act, The Farmers' Creditors Arrangement Act (1934) and particularly, The Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act (1933). A comparatively large number of commercial cases involving the financial reorganization of companies with limited liability formerly dealt with under the provisions of The Bankruptcy Act respecting compositions, extensions and other arrangements, are now and have since 1933 been dealt with under The Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act. Notice need not be taken here of the proposals of composition or extension or of the other insolvency proceedings which since 1934 have been dealt with under The Farmers' Creditors Arrangement Act as these are not of relevant importance in the consideration of commercial failures. So many representations having been made in the past few years, however, with regard to the operation of The Winding-up Act and The Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, it is felt that some reference should be made to each of these enactments.

As far as the Dominion Winding-up Act is concerned it was apparently contemplated by Parliament that all insolvency cases, corporate as well as individual, would on the enactment of The Bankruptcy Act come under this Act and that the procedure provided by The Winding-up Act would continue to be used only for the winding up of companies for reasons other than insolvency. If this result was indeed anticipated by Parliament it has not been realized, the effect of the passing of The Bankruptcy Act being merely to set up a concurrent and competitive system of procedure for insolvent companies to be used alternatively as those in charge might elect. By an amendment of 1932 to The Winding-up Act it was enacted that only licensed trustees in bankruptcy could be appointed liquidators under that Act. It is recognized, however, that insolvency procedures under The Winding-up Act are generally more costly than under The Bankruptcy Act as every administrative act of any importance must

first be approved by the court.

The Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act (1933), itself a product of the depression, was passed to enable limited liability companies to reorganize financially and to compromise with their creditors without being obliged to make an authorized assignment or come under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy courts. Proceedings under this Act are, however, controlled to some extent by the judges of the courts having jurisdiction therein. No record of the total number of applications made by companies under The Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act appears to be available but it is understood that a comparatively large number of companies have made applications practically all of which have resulted in compositions being effected. It would seem that the enactment has been of real benefit to companies who have found themselves temporarily embarrassed or whose financial situation was such that reorganization and compromise or extension were possible to enable them to continue business under a more favourable financial structure. In quite a number of cases, however, the applicant companies were hopelessly insolvent and in these the applications were

merely a preliminary step towards bankruptcy proceedings. A disadvantage of the Act is to be found in the almost complete absence of creditor control and of the investigation of the applicant's affairs. The proceedings are initiated and carried through by the applicant, no trustee is appointed and no inspectors are elected to take care of the interests of the creditors at large, hence the possibility of abuse. There would appear to be much criticism of malfeasance and fraud by unscrupulous company officials.

Representations are being made from time to time by various commercial bodies regarding the confusion which has arisen as the result of these distinct and different ways which have become available for the purpose of dealing with insolvencies. This confusion arises not alone from differences in the established procedural methods but also from differences which have arisen as the result of the decisions of the courts in such important matters as the ranking or priority to be given to various classes of secured and preferred creditors. Undoubtedly the need of legislation of the character of that provided by The Companies Creditors Arrangement Act arises from the fact that there is no longer in The Bankruptey Act any means whereby a company may make to its creditors a proposal of composition or for an extension of time without having first made an authorized assignment or having come under the provisions of The Bankruptey Act by way of a receiving order. It should be noted, however, that this situation has not always existed. In The Bankruptey Act, as originally enacted, provision was made to allow any person or corporate entity to make to his or its creditors a proposal of composition or for an extension or other arrangement without first having to make an assignment or having been adjudged bankrupt. These provisions had been adapted into the Canadian Act from The English Bankruptcy Act in which they are still to be found. In the Canadian Act, unfortunately, they were dropped when the amendments of 1923 were brought into force. It is generally conceded that the removal from The Bankruptcy Act of the provisions enabling individuals and companies to make proposals of composition without becoming bankrupt has not been beneficial, and there would seem to be no doubt that the restoration to the Act of its original provisions regarding compositions, extensions and schemes of arrangement, would be successful in simplifying and giving uniformity to insolvency law and procedure and would eliminate a very great deal of the confusion which is now found to exist in these matters.

Consideration of bankruptcy and insolvency as they affect the economic life of the country is further much involved and complicated by reason of the fact that many civil procedures are so closely related to or at least produce an insolvent condition among debtors. Insolvency almost invariably exists or is created by such proceedings as bailiffs' sales by landlords, mortgagees, chattel mortgagees, municipalities for taxes, etc. Other procedures such as liquidations under power of attorney and others of a similar nature are merely expedient substitutes to avoid bankruptcy proceedings. In many of these cases the equitable doctrine of a pro rata distribution of an insolvent's assets among his creditors is defeated and the rights of creditors generally are disregarded as an aggressive creditor or the debtor himself is in control. Much unfairness among creditors results because before creditors generally become aware of what is happening, one creditor has realized upon the debtor's assets and collected the The other creditors being met with a fait accompli have either to acquiesce or commence expensive legal proceedings to obtain their proper share of the proceeds. The worst feature of such a situation, however, is that the debtor escapes any investigation of his conduct or the cause of his losses or insolvency, particularly emphasized as one of the most important features of bankruptcy administration. Many injustices of this nature would be prevented if the scope of the Act were widened to include situations where insolvency in fact exists and more particularly if compromises by both individuals and companies were brought under the control of reputable licensed trustees.

ANNUAL REPORT, 1936

Unfortunately no figures are obtainable to make a comparative statement of bankruptcies with commercial failures at large. The only correct picture of such losses sustained by creditors in these various ways would be a statement of the total debts lost to or written off by creditors annually. If the amount of such losses were known the appalling total would be very impressive to show the tremendous burden placed on business generally by having to absorb such losses, due almost entirely to the insolvency of debtors. It is only as the provisions of The Bankruptcy Act can be applied in a constructive way to try to eliminate or reduce such losses by an examination of the causes thereof and to try to find a remedy therefor that it can be made to serve its potential purpose. The corrective influence of the provisions of the Act undoubtedly has had some effect in those cases coming within its operation in controlling the abuses inherent in insolvency cases. However, as bankruptcy cases are only a fractional part thereof it is apparent that its usefulness is limited because its application is not sufficiently extensive to control the causes of insolvency at large.

Thought might be Einen to the sponsering I amendments to winding life a Companier Credition arrangement Act providing for filing information with Bureau of Statistics but how to acquire information 2. this nature where I provinced went my top, Bulk Sales che, is a problem. Perhaps a commercial conformation Whee Dun a Snadstreet would be able assemble data of this kind. I think at one time some discussion was had with down a Gradeticet in connection with discrepancy between then "facture" figures there ? The Bureau 2 Statusties. Whether such information has anything other than an interest value, is another question • 

# APPENDIX

# INDEX OF TABLES

	PART I.—BANKRUPTCIES REPORTED IN 1936	_
	I—Assets and liabilities of bankrupteies reported.  III—Old estates reported.  III—Total bankrupteies reported in 1936.  IV—Estates on hand at December 31st, 1936.  V—Assignments and receiving orders.  VI—Occupation of debtors.	PAGE 18 18 18 18 19
	PART II.—ESTATES CLOSED DURING 1936	
TABLE	VII—Realization of assets and cost of administration by districts.  VIII—Classification of liabilities and payments to creditors.  IX.—Summary of administrative costs.  X.—Rates of dividends paid.  XI—Comparative averages of receipts and disbursements for 1933 to 1936.  XII—Analysis of bankruptcy costs by districts.	20 20 21 21 22 23
PA	ART III.—COMPARATIVE COST OF ADMINISTRATION ACCORDING TO SIZE OF ESTATES	0
Тавь	XIII—Classification of estates according to size.  XIV—Cost of administration according to size of estates—  (1) Estates of \$500 or less  (2) Estates of \$500 to \$1,000.  (3) Estates of \$1,000 to \$2,500.  (4) Estates of \$2,500 to \$5,000.  (5) Estates of \$5,000 to \$10,000.  (6) Estates of more than \$10,000.  XV—Recapitulation.	24 25 25 26 26 26 27
	PART IV.—OLD ESTATES CLOSED IN 1936	
TABLE	XVI—Realization and cost of administration according to size of estates	27 27
	PART V.—MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION	
TABLE	XVIII—Application of debtors for their discharge from bankruptey	28 28
	PART VI.—FARMERS' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, 1934	
TABLE	XX—Estates reported in 1936	29 30

# PART I BANKRUPTCIES REPORTED IN 1936

TABLE I.—NEW BANKRUPTCIES REPORTED

Province or City	Number of Estates	Percentage of Total	Assets as estimated by Debtors	Liabilities as esti- mated by	Average per Estate		
	opened		by Debiors	Debtors	Assets	Liabilities	
		%	: \$	\$	\$ .	\$	
Nova Scotia.  New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island. Quebec. Montreal. Ontario. Toronto. Manitoba. Saskatchewan. Alberta. British Columbia.	20 6 304 406 210 78 24 20	2.86 1.73 0.52 26.35 35.18 18.20 6.76 2.08 1.73 1.73 2.86	216, 202 72, 288 28, 138 2,719, 312 2,692, 685 3,995, 791 1,357, 833 71, 388 131, 242 207, 929 417, 251	237, 105 87, 681 79, 080 3, 197, 363 3, 920, 046 4, 053, 777 1, 630, 699 153, 024 220, 584 274, 925 494, 784	6,552 3,614 4,690 8,945 6,632 19,028 17,408 2,974 6,562 10,396 18,141	7,185 4,384 13,180 10,518 9,655 19,304 20,906 6,376 11,029 13,746 21,512	
Total	1,154	100.00	11,910,059	14,349,068	10,321	12,434	

# TABLE II.—OLD BANKRUPTCIES (ESTATES IN EXISTENCE PRIOR TO THE 1932 AMENDMENT) REPORTED TO SUPERINTENDENT DURING 1936

/	Total	N.S.	N.B.	P.E.I.	Que,	M'tl.	Ont.	Tor.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.
,	194	3	6	4	25	30	57	20	14	11	7	7

## TABLE III.—TOTAL BANKRUPTCIES REPORTED IN 1936

=							<u> </u>	<del></del>	<del></del> -		
Total	N.S.	N.B.	P.E.I.	Que.	M'tl.	Ont.	Tor.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.
1.040											
1,348	36	26	10	329	436	267	98	. 38	31	27	50

## TABLE IV.-ESTATES ON HAND AT DECEMBER 31st, 1936

	Year	2	New Estates		Old Estates				
$\sqrt{}$	T ear	Opened	Closed	Carried over	Opened	Closed	Carried over		
	1933. 1934. 1935. 1936.	$1,411 \\ 1,263$	850 1,620 1,198 1,069	1,754 1,545 1,610 1,695	1,340 2,030 343 194	860 1,033 428 480	480 1,477 1,392 1,106		
	Total	6,432	4,737	1,695	3,907	2,801	1,106		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Bankruptcy Administrations carried over to 193	7—New 1 605
This is a second control of the second contr	Old 1 108
	Old
	·

# TABLE V.—PERCENTAGE OF ASSIGNMENTS AND RECEIVING ORDERS TO TOTAL NUMBER OF NEW BANKRUPTCIES REPORTED IN 1936

Bussings on City	New	Assign		Receiving Orders		
Province or City	Bankrupt- cies, 1936	Number	%	Number	%	
Nova Scotia. New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island. Quebec. Montreal. Ontario. Toronto. Manitoba.	20 6 304 406 210 78 24	27 19 5 263 352 183 50	81·82 95·00 83·33 86·51 86·70 87·14 64·10 87·50	6 1 1 41 54 27 28 3	18 · 18 5 · 00 16 · 60 13 · 49 13 · 30 12 · 80 35 · 90 12 · 50	
SaskatchewanAlbertaBritish Columbia	20	15 16 25	75.00 80.00 75.76	5 4 8	25·00 20·00 24·24	
	1,154	976	84.58	178	15.4	

# TABLE VI.—OCCUPATIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF DEBTORS

	N.S.	N.B.	P.E.I.	Que.	Mtl.	Ont.	Tor.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.	Total
Manufacture and Industry— Lumber and woodworking Mining. Food Products Furniture. Shoes and Leather Goods. Clothing Auto Supplies. Drugs and Chemicals. Brass and Iron Works. Printing Tobacco Fur Paint Brick and Cement.	1 1	1		9 1 12 2 6 3 3 3	3 2 10 3 1 4 2 4 2 2 4 2 2 2	4 4 14 7 2 3 1	344329		1		1	28 18 47 11 54 10 5 4 10 5 4 3 3
Miscollaneous				6	5	<del></del>	3				1	22
Total	7	3		46	. 81	46	27	2	1	- 2	10	225
TRADE— Dry Goods. Food Products. General Merchants. Footwear. Furs. Furniture. Fuels. Electric Supplies. Plumbing Supplies. Hardware. Painters and Decorators. Building Contractors. Garage and Auto Supplies. Druggists. Tobacco and Stationery. Jeweller. Florist. Miscellaneous.	1 1 1 1 2 1	1	2	36 70 35 3 7 1 8 4 1 10 14 2 4 3 3	35 70 70 2 75 6 6 2 10 2 12 13 2 10 3 11 3	39 32 10 5 6 2 2 6 3 3 4 1	13 8 6 3  1 2  1 7 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7 5 1 1 3 1	1 3 5  1 3  2 	2 1 3 2 2 1 1 1	140 207 61 23 8 8 18 21 6 16 16 33 6 9 34 13 20 14 3 3 5
Total				203		129		19	19	. 10		
OTHERS— Transportation. Financo. Service— Professional. Business. Recreational. Personal. Hotel. Wage Earners. Real Estate and Insuranco. Commercial Agents and Salesmen. Miscellaneous.	i 1	7	1	2 1 3 3 4  6 20 4 6 6	53 53 33 4 6 78 81 7	33 422318234	2 1 2 1 1 1 1	12		1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 6	12 9 13 9 13 8 13 119 16 32 22 26
TotalGrand Total	33	20	6	55 304	406	210	78	24	20	20	33	1,154
Grand Tom	00	20	"	00*	300	210	10	44	20	20	90	1,101

# PART II

ESTATES CLOSED DURING 1936
(Exclusive of "Old" Estates Reported in Part IV)
TABLE VII.—TOTAL REALIZATION OF ASSETS AND COST OF ADMINISTRATION, BY DISTRICTS

Province or City	Number of Estates closed	Assets as estimated by Debtors	Liabilities as estimated by Debtors	Realization	Receipts from operations	Gross Receipts	Cost of Adminis- tration	Percentage cost	Paid to creditors	Realized direct by secured creditors (approx.)	Total amount recovered by creditors (approx.)
Nova Scotia New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island. *Quebec. Montreal *Ontario. Toronto Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta. British Columbia.	7 · 254 392 164 79 35 28	\$ 271, 448 252, 392 37, 881 2, 374, 348 2, 100, 174 2, 033, 550 2, 046, 487 347, 891 381, 148 206, 738 262, 098	\$ 310,813 352,670 88,341 2,798,384 3,695,840 2,286,097 2,845,549 437,798 387,166 2855,344 530,964	\$ 54,560 84 45,711 82 23,217 90 624,348 69 392,411 04 452,715 58 328,098 99 94,118 35 69,603 53 49,928 78 68,802 97	\$ 12 91 434 29 7,138 87 7,885 12 83,709 94 14,425 32	10 000 -0	\$ 16,647 12 15,129 51 3,457 46 143,387 42 150,551 60 121,558 01 80,426 98 25,543 84 14,589 42 11,927 89 19,962 88	% 30·5 34·3 14·9 22·7 37·6 25·0 24·5 23·5 21·0 24·0 29·0	\$ 37,926 63 29,016 66 19,760 44 488;100 14 249,744 40 364,867 51 247,672 01 82,999 83 55,014 11 38,000 89 48,840 09	\$ 117,918 87,421 4,778 720,017 785,590 769,365 963 904 95,496 157,811 28,320 80,088	\$ 155, 845 116, 438 24, 538 1, 208, 117 1, 035, 335 1, 134, 232 1, 211, 576 178, 496 212, 825 66, 321 129, 928
Total	1,069	10, 314, 455	14,018,966	2,201,518 55	63,606 45	2,265,125 00	603, 182 29	26-6	1,661,942 71	3,810,708	5, 472, 651

\* Exclusive of cities shown separately.

TABLE VIII.—CLASSIFICATION OF LIABILITIES AND PAYMENTS TO CREDITORS IN ESTATES CLOSED DURING 1936

•	Lia	bilities estin	nated_by_Del	otors	Payments to Creditors						
Province of City	Total	Secured	Preferred	Ordinary	Total	Secured	Preferred	Ordinary	Percent- age to ordinary Creditors	Levy	
Nova Scotia New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island. Quebec. Montreal. Ontario. Toronto. Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta. British Columbia.	352,670 88,341 2,798,384 3,695,840 2,286,097 2,845,549 437,798 387,166	\$ 134, 187 130, 425 8, 313 967, 757 881, 808 940, 750 1, 077, 076 132, 880 161, 491 48, 213 102, 909	\$ 11,576 16,567 1,299 237,589 235,322 150,134 152,521 23,776 15,984 17,548 28,747	\$ 165,050 205,678 78,729 1,593,038 2,578,710 1,195,213 1,615,952 281,142 209,691 219,583 399,308	29,016 66 19,760 44 488,100 14 249,744 40 364,867 51	\$ ets. 11, 128 07 894 16 3,594 00 215, 796 19 66, 320 27 138, 460 51 47, 461 20 15, 281 57 259 69 12, 648 46 7, 564 52	\$ cts. 7,115 74 9,673 61 115,165 23 91,881 90 90,172 97 99,932 09 12,568 16 7,795 22 9,128 97 14,598 10	\$ cts. 19,472 65 18,300 52 15,562 57 155,683 19 90,199 65 134,538 22 99,179 43 54,734 58 46,665 99 16,035 15 26,381 45	% 11.79 8.89 19.76 9.73 3.49 11.25 6.14 19.46 22.25 7.30 6.60	\$ cts. 210 17 148 37 98 86 2,055 53 1,342 58 1,695 81 1,099 29 415 52 293 21 188 31 296 02	
Total	14,018,966	4,585,809	891,063	8,542,094	1,661,942 71	519,408 64	458,537 06	676,153 40	7.91	7,843 61	

# TABLE IX.—SUMMARY OF TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

Province or City	Number of Estates	Custodian	Trustee	Legal	Total cost of Admin- istration	
Nova Scotia New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island. Quebec. Montreal. Ontario. Toronto. Manitoba. Saskatchewan. Alberta. British Columbia.	27 7 254 392 164 79	\$ cts.  2,274 84 3,435 14 5,01 57 28,586 18 33,303 44 19,272 25 9,780 67 5,367 34 3,699 61 2,120 14 2,062 96	\$ cts. 10, 971 02 9, 352 50 2, 298 79 85, 142 45 91, 075 83 72, 925 57 53, 858 08 15, 981 27 9, 471 15 8, 085 39 11, 985 23	\$ cts.  3,401 26 2,341 87 657 10 29,658 79 26,172 49 29,360 19 16,788 23 4,195 23 1,418 36 1,722 36 5,914 69	\$ cts.  16,647 12 15,129 51 3,457 46 143,387 42 150,551 76 121,558 01 80,426 98 25,543 84 14,589 42 11,927 89 19,962 88	

# TABLE X.—RATES OF DIVIDENDS PAID IN ESTATES CLOSED IN 1936

		<b>a</b> . ,					DIVIL	ENDS		1		٠.
Province or City	Num- ber of Estates closed	Closed without Divi- dend	Under 1%	1% and under 2½%	2½% and under 5%	5% and under 10%	10% and under 15%	15% and under 25%	25% and under 50%	50% and under 75%	75% and under 100%	At 100%
Nova Scotia Mew Brunswick P. E. Island Quebec Montreal Ontario Toronto Manito ba Saskatchewan Alberta Brit. Columbia.	35 27 7 254 392 164 79 35 28 18	21 14 4 173 320 103 40 21 15 10	1 16 15 3 2 1	1 7 5 3	1 2 3 7 5	12 11 10 5 3 3 1	1 3 16 15 6 4 2	2 1 13 11 13 8 8 3 2	3 13 9 8 9 3 3	1 4 1 6 1 1	2 1 1	2 1 1
Total Percentage of	1,069	739	39	20	24	. 57	49	63	- 53	15	6	4
Total		69.13%	3.65%	1.87%	2.25%	5.33%	4.58%	5.89%	4.96%	1.4%	•56%	•37%

TABLE XI.—COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF AVERAGES FOR THE YEARS 1933 TO 1936

<del></del>	1933	1934	1935	1936
	\$ cts.	\$ ets.	\$ cts.	\$ ets
Receipts Realizations Net receipts from operations	2,106 23 97 57	2,286 58 56 20	2,296 79 27 96	2,059 41 59 50
Total receipts	2,203 80	2,342 78	2,324 75	2,118 91
Disbursements Custodian—				
Fees of Official Receiver.  Advertising.  Notices to Creditors.  Postage.  Possession and stocktaking.  Bond and insurance premiums.  Miscellaneous.	14 63 19 04 11 15 4 24 42 08 7 44 16 68	15 73 18 38 10 87 5 14 42 15 7 78 10 59	16 22 19 07 12 67 5 98 46 30 8 33 15 96	15 94 18 97 11 56 5 61 36 79 6 18 8 22
Custodian costs	115 26	110 64	124 53	103 2
Trustee— Advertising. Bond and insurance premiums. Auctioneer. Notices to creditors. Postage. Registrar's fees. Inspectors' fees and disbursements. Trustee's remuneration (less deficit). Miscellaneous. Loss on operations.	15 72 14 25 11 03 19 60 8 23 22 42 22 25 123 18 56 24	18 08 21 31 10 22 18 94 9 68 19 94 23 42 128 31 75 21 4 00	18 91 22 83 9 47 20 94 11 48 20 39 27 28 151 86 91 07 0 47	16 56 21 31 10 87 18 06 10 32 17 36 23 93 127 46 91 93 9 32
Trustee costs	293 22	329 11	374 70	347 1
Legal— On petition or assignment. Solicitor to estate	28 34 52 31 1 36	38 04 60 40 1 52	43 87 82 21 4 17	38 94 70 00 4 78
Legal costs	82 01	99 96	130 25	113 78
TOTAL COST OF ADMINISTRATION	490 49	539 71	629 48	564 24
Available for Distribution	1,713 31	1,803 07	1,695 27	1,554 6
Levy Paid to secured creditors Paid to preferred creditors. Paid to ordinary creditors.	8 14 359 44 575 03 . 770 70	7 99 483 16 502 17 809 75	8 40 378 89 484 59 823 39	7 3 485 8 428 9 632 5
Total paid to creditors	1,713 31	1,803 07	1,695 27	1,554 6
Percentage cost of administration	22.2%	23.0%	27.0%	26.6%



# TABLE XII.—ANALYSIS OF BANKRUPTCY COSTS IN ESTATES CLOSED IN 1936

			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~			J 111 130 1	ATES CL		. 1000				
,	Total	General					Averages b	y City or	Province				
	10001	Average	N. S.	N.B.	P. E. I.	Que.	Montreal	Ont.	Toronto	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.
Total Liabilities: STATEMENTS	\$ cts. 10,314,455 00	\$ cts. 9,649 00	\$ ets. 7,756 00	\$ cts. 9,348 00	\$ cts. 5,412 00	\$ cts. 9,348 00	\$ cts. 5,358 00	\$ cts. 12,401 00	\$ cts. 25,905 00	\$ cts. 9,939 00	\$ cts. 13,612 00	\$ ets. 11,485 00	\$ cts 8,764 00
Total Assets & Cabelities	14,018,966 00	13,114 00	8,880 00	13,062 00	12,620 00	11,017 00	9,428 00	13,940 00	36,020 00	12,508 00	13,827 00	15,582 00	17,699 0
Realizations Net receipts from operations	2,201,518 55 63,606 45	2,059 41 59 50	1,558 88 0 37	1,618 96 16 08	3,316 84	2,458 07 28 10	1,001 05 20 11	2,760 46 205 55	4, 153 15	2,689 09 412 15	2,485 84	2,773 82	2,293 43
Total Receipts	2,265,125 00	2,118 91	1,559 25	1,635 04	3,316 84	2,486 17	1,021 16	2,966 01	4,153 15	3,101 24	2,485 84	2,773 82	2,293 43
DISBURSEMENTS Custodian—		~										<del></del>	
Fess of Official Receiver Advertising Notices to Creditors Postage Possession and stocktaking Bond and insurance premiums Miscellaneous	17,044 23 20,280 08 12,356 73 5,994 25 39,325 48 6,612 16 8,791 21	15 94 18 97 11 56 5 61 36 79 6 18 8 22	8 27 16 43 8 51 5 59 15 02 5 18 5 99	18 07 16 20 19 51 7 33 36 99 15 08 14 05	5.57 14 18 12 23 6 98 14 56 3 57 14 56	18 74 18 88 11 82 5 53 43 64 5 30 8 63	15 10 21 24 9 47 4 37 28 06 3 14 3 58	17 94 16 82 12 01 6 88 43 70 6 32 13 84	14 97 19 78 19 69 9 33 42 88 7 98 9 18	18 07 15 81 12 79 5 71 60 52 31 53 8 92	11 71 15 24 8 70 5 07 71 55 4 46 15 40	13 71 18 09 12 65 5 52 28 13 19 72 19 96	7 20 14 03 9 63 4 32 14 36 5 69 13 53
Custodian Costs	110,404 14	103 27	64 99	127 23	71 65	112 54	84 96	117 51	123 81	153 35	132 13	117 78	68,76
Advertising.  Bond and insurance premiums. Auctioneer. Notices to creditors. Postage. Registrar's fees. Inspectors' fees and expenses Miscellaneous. Loss on operations. Trustee's fee (less deficit).	17, 733 08 22, 782 43 11, 624 21 19, 292 48 11, 026 14 18, 594 59 23, 600 71 98, 273 70 9, 985 53 136 254 41	16 59 21 31 10 87 18 05 10 32 17 39 23 95 91 93 9 32 127 46	13 25 24 64 2 32 10 25 7 61 15 00 18 57 127 99	15 20 29 56 7 84 27 95 14 60 27 05 23 41 80 43	11 31 14 38 1 43 14 64 12 95 13 55 34 20 39 87 186 07	21 70 17 03 7 16 20 97 10 67 20 63 30 27 69 12	15 46 13 88 9 46 15 52 7 21 19 90 15 37 47 18	15 34 29 20 9 84 16 23 13 49 14 21 30 47 179 28	16 03 44 22 40 48 18 54 15 57 11 50 35 20 159 75 110 33 230 12	10 39 31 25 3 12 26 44 11 45 7 95 34 79 158 29	8 24 21 83 1 16 19 59 10 52 8 63 16 12 80 74 6 03 165 39	25 35 31 61 33 40 15 99 11 19 12 33 98 23 50 78 170 32	12 52 23 31 28 10 15 47 10 46 8 04 23 26 123 37 5 56 149 41
Legal— Trustee Costs	371,147 28	347 19	313 46	346 39	328 40	335 21	232 34	444 68	681 74	456 60	338 25	449 20	399 50
On petition or assignment. Solicitor to estate. Awarded against trustee.	41,633 48 74,891 51 5,105 88	38 94 70 06 4 78	39 84 57 34	37 99 48 74	58 34 35 53	53 33 60 06 3 37	32 62 33 92 0 22	27 11 131 48 20 43	50 65 158 74 3 12	26 49 93 38	22 78 27 89	32 81 62 87	62 33 116 02 18 80
Legal Costs	121,630 87	113 78	97 18	86 73	93 87	116 76	66 76	179 02	212 51	119 87	50 67	95 68	197 15
Total Cost of Administration	603, 182 29	564 24	475 63	560 35	493 92	564 51	384 06	741 21	1,018 06	729 82	521 05	662 66	665 41
Available for Distribution	1,661,942 71	1,554 67	1,083 62	1,074 69	2,822 92	1,921 66	637 10	2,224 80	3,135 09	2,371 42	1,964 79	2,111,16	1,628 02
Levy. Paid to secured creditors. Paid to preferred creditors. Paid to ordinary creditors.	7,843 61 519 408 64 458,537 06 676,153 40	7 34 485 88 428 94 632 51	6 00 317 94 203 31 556 37	5 49 33 12 358 28 677 80	14 11 513 43 72 15 2,223 23	8 10 849 59 453 41 610 56	3 42 169 19 234 39 230 10	10 34 844 27 549 84 820 35	13 91 600 78 1,264 96 1,255 44	11 87 436 62 359 09 1,563 84	10 47 9 28 278 40 1,666 64	10 46 702 69 507 17 890 84	9 87 252 16 486 60 879 39
Total Dividend	1,661,942 71	1,554 67	1,083 62	1,074,69	2,822 92	1,921 66	637 10	2,224 80	3,135 09	2,371 42	1,964 79	2,111 16	1,628 02
Percentage cost of administration	26.63%	26.63%	30.51%	34:27%	14:89%	22 71%	37.62%	24.99%	24.51%	23.53%	20.96%	23.89%	29.02%
Assets realized by secured creditors	3,810,708 00	3,565 00	3,369 00	3,238 00	683 00	2,835 00	2,004 00	4,691 00	12,201 00	2,728 00	5,636 <b>0</b> 0	1,573 00	2,269 60

# PART III

# COMPARATIVE COST OF ADMINISTRATION ACCORDING TO SIZE OF ESTATES

(Exclusive of "Old" Estates Referred to in Part IV)

### TABLE XIII.—CLASSIFICATION OF ESTATES ACCORDING TO SIZE

Province or City	\$500 or under	\$501- 1,000	\$1,001- 2,500	\$2,501- 5,000	\$5,001- 10,000	Over \$10,000	Total
Nova Scotia New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island Quebee Montreal Ontario Toronto Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta British Columbia	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \\ 63 \\ 19 \end{array}$	8 6 1 57 58 26 16 8 5 2	10 9 2 50 54 35 15 7 7	1 5 2 23 20 19 16 1 2 5	1 1 0 13 10 12 6 2 0 1 4	1 0 1 13 4 9 7 5 2 1	35 27 7 254 392 164 79 35 28 18
Total	484	193	200	98	50	44	1,069
Percentage	45 · 28%	18.05%	18 · 71%	9.17%	4.68%	4.11%	100%

### TABLE XIV.—COST OF ADMINISTRATION ACCORDING TO SIZE OF ESTATES

Size of Estates	Number of Estates	Realiza	ıtion	Cost Admin tratio	is-	Avera Realiza		Avera Cost Admii tratio	of is-	Percentage Cost of Adminis- tration
\$500 or under \$501 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$2,500. \$2,501 - \$5,000. \$5,001 - \$10,000. Over \$10,000.	193 200 98 50 44	\$ 109,810 137,560 317,601 343,748 355,514 1,000,87	50 78 3 15 4 20 5 16	\$ 92,029 74,217 110,560 97,319 83,754 145,301	54 35 49 26 02		7 63 ) 28 7 16	384 552 993 1,675 3,302		% 83·76 53·93 35·48 28·31 23·56 14·52 26·63

# TABLE XIV.—(1) COST OF ADMINISTRATION BY CITY OR PROVINCE ACCORDING TO SIZE OF ESTATES

ESTATES OF \$500 OR LESS

Province or City	Number of Estates	Realization	Cost of Adminis- tration	Average Realization	Average Cost of Adminis- tration	Percentage Cost of Adminis- tration
		\$ ets.	- \$ ets.	S ets.	\$ ets.	%
Nova Scotia. New Brúnswick. Prince Edward Island. Queléec. Montreal. Ontario. Toronto. Manitoba. Saskatchewan. Alberta. British Columbia.	246 63 19 14 12	3,017 97 2,067 49 156 21 23,464 90 51,306 83 17,624 98 3,637 31 2,137 68 2,795 90 2,050 30	2,146 56 1,403 59 156 21 20,720 87 45,696 28 13,912 09 2,539 59 1,785 04 1,534 45 1,639 15	215 57 344 58 156 21 239 44 208 56 279 76 191 44 152 69 232 99 279 82 227 81	153 33 233 93 156 21 211 44 185 76 220 83 133 66 127 50 127 87 247 90 182 13	70 · 99 67 · 81 100 · 00 88 · 47 88 · 88 78 · 87 69 · 98 83 · 33 54 · 88 88 · 54 79 · 88

# TABLE XIV.—(2) COST OF ADMINISTRATION BY CITY OR PROVINCE ACCORDING TO SIZE OF ESTATES

ESTATES OVER \$500 UP TO \$1,000

. ———	Province or City	Number of Estates	Realization	Cost of Adminis- tration	Average Realization	Average Cost of Adminis- tration	Percentage Cost of Adminis- tration
	/		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	%
Nove New	a Scotia Branswick	8	5,755 48 3,741 83	2,828 61 2,482 33	719 44 623 64	353 58 413 72	49·18 66·30
Princ	e Edward Islandec	1 57	685 35 40,182 40	305 09 22,145 13	685 35 704 95	305 09 388 51	$44 \cdot 47 \\ 55 \cdot 11$
' Mont	realrio	58 26	40,083 66 18,559 47	24,148 13 7,928 43	691 10 713 83	416 35 304 94	60·25 42·71
Toro	ntotoba	16 8	12,722 56 5,525 48	6,723 62 2,518 88	795 16 690 69	420 23 314 86	52 · 86 45 · 57
Saska Albei	atchewan	2	3,678 34 1,795 07	1,921 76 740 80	735 67 897 53	384 35 448 76	52·22 49·97
Briti	sh Columbia	6	4,836 86	2,474 76	806 14	412 46	51 · 17
	Total	193	137,566 50	74,217 54	712 78	384 55	53.93

# TABLE XIV.—(3) COST OF ADMINISTRATION BY CITY OR PROVINCE ACCORDING TO SIZE OF ESTATES

ESTATES OVER \$1,000 UP TO \$2,500

Province or City	Number of Estates	Realization	Cost of Administration	Average Realization	Average Cost of Adminis- tration	Percentage Cost of Adminis- tration
Nova Scotia. New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island. Quebec. Montreal. Ontario. Toronto. Manitoba. Saskatchewan Alberta. British Columbia.	50 54 35 15 5	\$ cts. 16,207 09 14,837 84 4,230 41 76,143 95 89,961 98 53,928 12 23,945 30 7,140 74 12,313 02 10,092 85 9,800 46	\$ cts. 5,527 28 5,352 22 5,369 70 25,148 23 31,191 38 20,190 68 10,103 35 2,849 38 4,097 61 2,564 63 2,845 89	\$ cts. 1,620 71 1,648 65 2,115 20 1,522 88 1,665 96 1,540 80 1,596 35 1,428 15 1,759 00 1,441 84 1,633 41	\$ cts. 552 73 594 69 344 85 502 96 577 62 576 88 673 56 569 88 585 37 366 38 474 31	% 34·10 36·06 16·31 33·02 34·67 37·44 42·20 39·91 33·28 25·41 29·05
Total	200	317,601 78	110,560 35	1,558 01	552 80	35.48

# TABLE XIV.—(4) COST OF ADMINISTRATION BY CITY OR PROVINCE ACCORDING TO SIZE OF ESTATES

ESTATES OVER \$2,500 UP TO \$5,000

Province or City	Number of Estates	Realization	Cost of Adminis- tration	Average Realization	Average Cost of Adminis- tration	Percentage Cost of Adminis- tration
:		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ ets.	\$ cts.	%
Nova Scotia.  New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island. Quebec. Montreal Ontario Toronto. Manitoba Saskatchewan. Alberta. British Columbia.	1 5 2 23 20 19 16 1 2 5	3,272 63 16,975 55 6,075 96 79,334 20 72,774 33 67,654 57 56,030 07 4,319 13 6,822 72 14,762 77 15,726 22	1,538 82 5,185 66 859 52 23,061 82 17,434 62 17,432 25 18,313 02 1,069 62 2,228 86 4,541 31 5,653 99	3,272 63 3,395 11 3,037 98 3,449 31 3,638 72 3,560 77 3,501 88 4,319 13 3,411 36 2,952 55 3,931 56	1,538 82 1,037 13 420 76 1,002 69 871 73 917 49 1,144 56 1,069 02 1,114 43 908 26 1,413 50	47.02 30.55 14.15 20.07 23.96 25.76 32.68 24.76 30.76 35.95
Total	98	343,748 15	97,319 49	3,507 63	993 06	28.31

# TABLE XIV.—(5) COST OF ADMINISTRATION BY CITY OR PROVINCE ACCORDING TO SIZE OF ESTATES

Estates Over \$5,000 up to \$10,000

Province or City	Number of Estates	Realization	Cost of Adminis- tration	Average Realization	A verage Cost of Adminis- tration	Percentage Cost of Adminis- tration
		\$ ets.	\$ cts.	\$ .cts.	\$ cts.	%
Nova Scotia	1 1	5,007 73 6,523 42	483 68 705 71	5,007 73 6,523 42	483 68 705 71	9·66 10·82
Quebec Montreal Ontario	l 10	94,974 67 68,132 42 86,225 59	16,404 91 19,148 83 24,773 49	7,305 74 6,813 24 7,185 46	1,261 91 1,914 88 2,064 46	$17 \cdot 27$ $28 \cdot 11$ $28 \cdot 73$
Toronto	6 2	47,891 33 13,127 04	12,570 64 2,308 05	7,981 89 6,563 52	2,004 40 2,095 11 1,154 02	26·25 17·58
Alberta. British Columbia	1 4	9,357 23 24,274 77	1,317 03 6,041 92	9,357 23 6,068 69	1,317 03 1,510 48	14·08 24·89
Total	50	355,514 20	83,754 26	7,110 28	1,675 09	23.56

# TABLE XIV.—(6) COST OF ADMINISTRATION BY CITY OR PROVINCE ACCORDING TO SIZE OF ESTATES

ESTATES OVER \$10,000

Province or City	Number of Estates	Realization	Cost of Adminis- tration	Average Realization	Average Cost of Adminis- tration	Percentage Cost of Adminis- tration
,		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ ets.	\$ cts.	%
Nova Scotia New Brunswick	1	21,312 85	4,122 17	21,312 85	4,122 17	19.34
Prince Edward Island Quebec	1	12,069 97 317,387 44	1,446 94 35,906 46	12,069 97 24,414 42	$\substack{1,446 \ 94 \\ 2,762 \ 03}$	$11.99 \\ 11.32$
Montreal	4	78,036 96 242,432 79	12,932 52 37,321 07	19,509 24 26,936 98	3,233 13	16.57
Ontario	. 7	183,872 42	30,176 76	26,267 49	4,146 79 4,310 97	15·39 16·41
Manitoba	2	76,293 60 43,993 55	15,012 87 4,806 74	15,258 72 21,996 77	3,002 57 2,403 37	$19.68 \\ 10.93$
AlbertaBritish Columbia	1	13,361 22 12,114 36	2,268 32 1,307 17	13,361 22 12,114 36	2,268 32 1,307 17	16·98 10·79
Total	44	1,000,875 16	145,301 02	22,747 16	3,302 29	14.52

TABLE XV.—RECAPITULATION OF PERCENTAGE COST OF ADMINISTRATION BY CITY OR PROVINCE ACCORDING TO SIZE OF ESTATES

Province or City	\$500 or under	\$501- 1,000	\$1,001- 2,500	\$2,501- 5,000	\$5,001- 10,000	Over \$10,000	Total
`	%	%	%	%:	%	%	%
Nova Scotia. New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island. Quebec. Montreal. Ontario. Toronto. Manitoba. Saskatchewan Alberta. British Columbia.	70.99 67.81 100.00 88.47 88.88 78.87 69.98 83.33 54.88 88.54 79.88	49·18 66·30 44·47 55·11 60·25 42·71 52·86 45·57 52·22 49·97 51·17	34·10 36·06 16·31 33·02 34·67 37·44 42·20 39·91 33·91 325·41 29·05	47·02 30·55 14·15 29·07 23·96 25·76 32·68 24·76 32·67 30·76 35·95	9.66 10.82 17.27 28.11 28.73 26.25 17.58 14.08 24.89	19·34 11·99 11·32 16·57 15·39 16·41 19·68 10·93 16·98 10·79	30·51 34·27 14·89 22·71 37·62 24·90 24·51 23·53 20·96 23·89 29·02
Average percentage cost for Canada	83.76	53 93	35.48	28.31	23.56	14 52	26-63

PART IV

TABLE XVI.—OLD ESTATES CLOSED IN 1936

Size of Estates	Number of Estates	Realization	Cost of Adminis- tration	Average Realization	Average Cost of Adminis- tration	Percentage Cost of Adminis- tration
		\$	\$	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	%
\$500 or under \$501 to \$1,000. \$1,001 to \$2,500. \$2,501 to \$5,000. \$5,001 to \$10,000. \$10,001 or over.	63 45 31	22,360 31,146 101,828 156,194 208,473 4,090,155	22,360 21,191 45,019 57,165 77,644 990,541	254 09 741 57 1,616 31 3,470 98 6,724 93 80,199 12	254 09 504 57 714 59 1,270 33 2,504 64 19,422 37	100·00 68·04 44·21 36·59 37·26 24·21
Total	320	4,610,156	1,213,920	14,406 74	3,793 50	26.33

In addition to the 320 estates reported in the above table, 160 old estates which had been re-opened for various reasons were finally closed in 1936.

TABLE XVII.—OTHER ESTATES COMPLETED IN 1936
ESTATES RE-OPENED TO REALIZE AND DISTRIBUTE AFTER ACQUIRED ASSETS

Province or City	Estate Originally closed	Subsequent Realiza- tion	Cost of Adminis- tration	Paid to Creditors
Montreal. Montreal. Quebec. Toronto. Manitoba. Total.	Dec. 1934 Dec. 1933 May 1934 Dec. 1934 Aug. 1935	\$ cts. 550 00 178 90 18,000 00 360 43 262 18 19,351 51	\$ cts.  249 66 174 53 2,473 07 174 59 39 37  3,111 22	\$ ets. 300 34 4 37 15,526 93 185 84 222 81 16,240 29

## PART V

## MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

# TABLE XVIII.—APPLICATIONS OF DEBTORS FOR THEIR DISCHARGE FROM BANKRUPTCY DEALT WITH IN 1936

Province or City	Dis- charges applied for	Granted unconditionally			Bankrupt-				
			Six months	One year	Two years	Three years	Until 50% paid	cies annulled	Applica- tions refused
Nova Scotia New Brunswick	7	4							3
Prince Edward Island Quebec. Montreal Ontario.	48	3 47 76 11	32					6	i
Toronto Manitoba Saskatchewan	16 1 5	14 1 1 5				<i>.</i>		1	
Alberta British Columbia	1 4	1 4							
Total	251	166	32	16	17	1	7	7	5

# TABLE XIX.—BANKRUPTCIES SETTLED BY PROPOSALS OF COMPOSITION EXTENSION, OR SCHEME OF ARRANGEMENT

Nova Scotia New Brunswick Prince Edward Island Quebec. Montreal Ontario Toronto Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta.	1 18 13 - - -
British Columbia.	_
Total	20

PART VI

THE FARMERS' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, 1934

TABLE XX—ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF ESTATES REPORTED IN 1936

Province	Number of estates	Assets				Average	Liabilities			Average
		Land	Livestock	Machinery	Total assets	assets per estate	Secured and preferred	Ordinary	Total liabilities	liabilities per estate
		\$ cts.	\$ ets.	\$ cts.	S cts.	S cts.	\$ cts.	S cts.	S cts.	S cts.
Nova Scotia New Brunswick Prince Edward Island Quebec Ontario Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta British Columbia	0 4 2 50 24 15 64 34 3	6,000 00 5,500 00 130,236 00 63,260 00 30,273 68 244,600 00 98,312 00 15,575 00	990 00 680 00 16;871 90 7,886 50 5,957 00 28,479 00 12;178 50 1,686 00	1,005 00 1,272 00 20,731 25 7,888 75 5,427 00 47,714 05 22,150 50 4,862 00	7,995 00 7,452 00 167,839 15 79,035 25 41,657 68 320,793 05 132,641 00 22,123 00	1,998 75 3,726 00 3,356 78 3,293 14 2,777 18 5,012 39 3,901 21 7,374 33	12,252 39 7,432 50 170,479 39 139,102 90 46,588 38 460,476 18 237,319 50 24,593 20	3,948 67 2,574 64 54,213 94 73,379 46 18,715 04 102,216 37 80,224 02 16,142 63	16,201 06 10,007 14 224,693 33 212,482 36 65,308 42 562,692 55 317,543 52 40,735 83	4,050 27 5,003 57 4,493 87 8,853 43 4,353 56 8,792 07 9,339 52 13,578 61
Total	196	593,756 68	74,728 90	111,050 55	779,536 13	3,977 23	1,098,244 44	351,414 77	1,449,659 21	7,396 22

## TABLE XXI—ESTATES CLOSED DURING 1936

Province	Nova Scotia	New Brunswick	Prince Edward Island	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskat- chewan	Alberta	British Columbia	Total
Number of estates	0	13	2	32	15	20	116	60	1	259
	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ ets.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Liabilities (as estimated by farmers) Assets (as estimated by farmers)		46,003 16 15,741 15	22,397 50 13,670 00	165,109 64 95,100 00	113,531 85 42,164 31	149,375 44 83,861 05	1,228,380 18 670,725 75	696,223 40 303,507 50		2,426,374 22 1,227,197 76
Trustee's Receipts					,		·			
Realization of assets		1,878 68	2,970 38	31,555 69	6,292 39	958 87	5,763 70	1,718 31		51,138 02
deficit		312 87	14 90	182 32	98 87	241 48	2,462 41	943 42	56 43	4,312 70
Total Receipts		2,191 55	2,985 28	31,738 01	6,391 26	1,200 35	8,226 11	2,661 73	56 43	55,450 72
TRUSTEE'S DISBURSHMENTS					,					
Paid to creditors Levy Exemptions allowed farmers		1,540 90 5 44	2,566 39 12 90	28,273 87 191 68	4,015 99 40 39 1,022 16	614 33 3 46	2,735 42 15 90 184 13	1,111 76 5 81		40,858 66 275 58 1,206 29
Undistributed assets vested in Receiver General				3 55	8 24	3 65	138 32	46 16		205 70
trustee fee)		639 43	405 99	3,268 91	1,304 48	578 91	5,152 34	1,498 00	56 43	12,904 49
Total Payments		2,191 55	2,985 28	31,738 01	6,391 26	1,200 35	8,226 11	2,661 73	56 43	55,450 72
Average Cost per Estate		49 19	203 00	102 15	86 97	28 95	44 42	24 97	56 43	49 82
Percentage Cost Borne by Department		48.93	3.67	5.58	7.58	41.71	47.79	62-98	100	33.42



QUEEN HG 3769 .C3 C3 1936 Canada. Office of the Superi Annual report (Superintenden