



ARCHIVED - Archiving Content

Archived Content

Information identified as archived is provided for reference, research or recordkeeping purposes. It is not subject to the Government of Canada Web Standards and has not been altered or updated since it was archived. Please contact us to request a format other than those available.

ARCHIVÉE - Contenu archivé

Contenu archive

L'information dont il est indiqué qu'elle est archivée est fournie à des fins de référence, de recherche ou de tenue de documents. Elle n'est pas assujettie aux normes Web du gouvernement du Canada et elle n'a pas été modifiée ou mise à jour depuis son archivage. Pour obtenir cette information dans un autre format, veuillez communiquer avec nous.

This document is archival in nature and is intended for those who wish to consult archival documents made available from the collection of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada.

Some of these documents are available in only one official language. Translation, to be provided by Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, is available upon request.

Le présent document a une valeur archivistique et fait partie des documents d'archives rendus disponibles par Agriculture et Agroalimentaire Canada à ceux qui souhaitent consulter ces documents issus de sa collection.

Certains de ces documents ne sont disponibles que dans une langue officielle. Agriculture et Agroalimentaire Canada fournira une traduction sur demande.

AN EVALUATION OF THE PUNCTURE TEST AS A METHOD FOR FIELD SELECTION OF SWEET
CORN FOR PROCESSING AT OPTIMUM MATURITY.

Peter W. Voisey

ARCH
631.604
C212
no. 330
1972

October 1972

Contents

	Page No.
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Experimental methods	2
3.0 Results	3
4.0 Conclusion	3
5.0 References	3
Table 1. Summary of data	6



1.0 INTRODUCTION

The determination of the optimum maturity of sweet corn is critical in the economic production of sweet corn for processing as whole kernel or cream style. A number of workers have investigated several techniques which are summarized below in chronological order.

Rudnick and Bakke 1920 - 0.5 mm needle to puncture portion of skin - force increases with maturity.

Culpepper and Magoon 1924 - portable puncture tester (No. 16 wire) 30 - 40
Kernels/ear 3 to 5 ears. Force increases with maturity.

Gaessler et. al. 1940 - quantity of pericarp.

Kramer 1946 - Succulometer - quantity of juice pressed out.

Gangstand and Snell 1948 - puncture test to prove that product of dry weight and days after pollination a useful index.

Williams et. al. 1950 - moisture content determined by calcium carbide.

Twigg et. al. 1956. AIS, % pericarp and kernel size combined into one index.

Kornetsky and Kramer 1957. Succulometer - index of Twigg et. al. (1956).

Voisey and Nuttall 1965. Puncture vs. sensory. Puncture force different for each of 14 varieties tested.

Wolf et. al. 1969. Measured pericarp thickness.

Helm and Zuber 1969. Measured pericarp thickness.

Helm et. al. 1970. Pericarp thickness.

Helm and Zuber 1970. Pericarp thickness.

Hammele et. al. 1971. Rheological properties of pericarp.

Khalil and Kramer. 1971. Histology and puncture tests - pericarp toughens with maturity and requires more energy to puncture.

A quick, portable, objective, field test of maturity is required to assist the fieldmen in making decisions about harvest dates. The research noted above indicates that as the crop matures, the pericarp toughens, and its resistance to puncture increases. The puncture test is simple to execute, and inexpensive instruments can be designed for the purpose. Also, if a critical characteristic of the crop is mechanical, then direct mechanical measurement should, in theory, give the most accurate result.

To obtain a preliminary assessment of the potential of the puncture test for this purpose, experiments were conducted at a processing plant to simulate the conditions under which such a test would have to operate.

2.0 EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

A 0.062 in diameter probe was forced into the pericarp at the crown of the kernel at a constant velocity of 4 cm/min until it penetrated the pericarp. The maximum force during this process, i.e. that required for penetration, was recorded electronically.

Ten corn cobs were selected at random from the whole area of a field each day. The cobs were cut into 3 equal parts, and the tip part discarded. Ten equally distributed kernels in each of the butt and mid sections were then punctured (i.e. 20 punctures per cob and 200 punctures per field per day). This procedure was carried out several days before, and, in some cases, after the factory harvest day. The test was done on 4 fields, all of one variety.

3.0 RESULTS

The results are summarized in Table 1. These indicate that there is a trend for the puncture force to increase as the crop matures. However, the variation within cobs is high (5 to 20%), and generally almost equalled the variation between days (11 to 21%). Thus, to determine any significant differences between days would be difficult and require large numbers of samples. In this respect, the test would appear impractical since it becomes time consuming.

Sampling presents a distinct problem since the maturity of the crop is not uniform within a field. Thus, for example, where the only samples left after harvest were in a wet hollow, the test indicated that they were immature.

There was no consistent difference in the fields harvested for 80% whole kernel style (373 and 351 g) and cream style corn (363 and 403 g).

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The puncture resistance of corn pericarp may increase with crop maturity, but this index is highly variable within cobs and within days. Thus, determination of an optimum level of puncture force on which to base the decision to harvest the crop appears impractical. The puncture test may have potential as a research tool, but it is not suitable for routine field tests. In any test method, sampling of the crop presents a problem. This has been noted previously by a number of researchers. Other methods based on testing a bulk quantity of corn kernels removed from the cob should be investigated.

5.0 REFERENCES

The following are some of the articles published which discuss measurement methods for corn, arranged in chronological order.

- Rudnick, R.A. and Bakke, A.L. 1920. The Mechanical Penetration of the Sweet Corn Pericarp. Proc. Iowa Acad. of Sci. XXVII, 129-132. 34th Annual Session, Iowa City. April 23-24.
- Culpepper and Magoon, C.A. 1924. Studies upon the Relative merits of sweet corn varieties for canning purposes and the relation of maturity of corn to the quality of the canned product. J. Agric. Res. XXVIII, 403-443. April 5 - June 28.
- Gaessler, W.G., Hixon, R.M. and Haber, E.S. 1940. The Quantity of Pericarp in several Hybrid and Inbred Strains of Sweet Corn. J. of Sci. XIV, 379-383.
- Kramer, A. 1946. Relation of Maturity to Yield and Quality of Raw and Canned Peas, Corn and Lima Beans. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 47, 361-367.
- Kramer, A. and Smith, H.R. 1946. The Succulometer, an Instrument for Measuring the Maturity of Raw and Canned Whole Kernel Corn. Canner 102 (24), 11 - 13.
- Kramer, A. and Smith, H.R. 1946. The Succulometer, an Instrument for Measuring the Maturity of Raw and Canned Whole Kernel Corn. Food Packer 27 (8), 56-60.
- Gangstad, E.O. and Robert S. Snell. 1948. A Practical Method for Quantitative Evaluation of Texture in Sweet Corn Inbreds and Hybrids. J. Amer. Soc. Agronomy 40, 885-893.
- Williams, Kenneth T., McComb, Elizabeth A., and Washauer, Barbara L. 1950. Quick Test of Sweet Corn Quality. Food Industries 22 (1), 75-76.
- Twigg, Bernard A., Kramer, A., Falen, Hobart N. and Southerland, F.L. 1956. Objective Evaluation of the Maturity Factor in Processed Sweet Corn. Food Technol. 10, 171-174.
- Twigg, B.A. Efficient Utilization of Sweet Corn Via Quality Control.
- Kornetsky, A. and Kramer, A. 1957. Quality Control Program for the Processing of Sweet Corn. Food Technol. XI (3), 188-192.
- Voisey, P.W. and Nuttall, V.W. 1965. A Comparison Between Mechanical and Sensory Evaluation of Pericarp Tenderness in Sweet Corn. Can. J. Plant Sci. 45, 303 - 305.
- Wolf, M.J., Cull, Irene M., Helm, J.L. and Zuber, M.S. 1969. Measuring Thickness of Excised Mature Corn Pericarp. Agron. J. 61, 777-779.
- Helm, J.L. and Zuber, M.S. 1969. Pericarp Thickness of Dent Corn Inbred Lines. Crop Sci. 9, 803-804.

- Helm, J.L., Glover, D.V. and Zuber, M.S. 1970. Effect of Endosperm Mutants on Pericarp Thickness in Corn. *Crop Sci.* 10, 105-106.
- Helm, J.L. and Zuber, M.S. 1970. Effect of Harvest Date on Pericarp Thickness in Dent Corn. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 50: 411-413.
- Hammerle, J.R., White, R.K. and Mohsenin, N.N. 1971. The Rheological Properties of Corn Horny Endosperm. *Cereal Sci. Today* 16 (2), 60-68, 72.
- Khalil, Tannous and Kramer, A. 1971. Histological and Histochemical Studies of Sweet Corn (*Zea mays L.*) Pericarp as Influenced by Maturity and processing. *J. of Food Sci.* 36, 1064 - 1069.

Table 1. Summary of data

Field No.:	1		2		3		4	
Day No.	Mean Force ¹ g	C.V. %	Mean Force ¹ g	C.V. %	Mean Force ¹ g	C.V. %	Mean Force ¹ g	C.V. %
1	325	15	338	18	283	14	317	21
2	297	11	356	16	305	15	338	14
3	-	-	372	19	-	-	351 ³	17
4	322	14	373 ³	14	-	-	388	15
5	357	16	200 ⁴	15	354	16	204 ⁴	16
6	422	15	198 ⁴	17	372	18	203 ⁴	16
7	332	13	-	-	368	17	-	-
8	363 ²	17	-	-	401	16	-	-
9	399	14	-	-	403 ²	16	-	-
Within Day	Maximum	20	-	17	-	19	-	18
C.V. for each	Minimum	5	-	18	-	8	-	9
cob								

1. Mean of 200 punctures - 10 cobs/day, 20 punctures/cob.
2. Harvest day cream style.
3. Harvest day 80% whole kernel.
4. Samples left after harvest in wet hollow in field.

CAL/BCA OTTAWA K1A 0C5



3 9073 00235489 4