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## SUMMARY

> For 2020 fishery management purposes, the Area 23 Roundtable has agreed to begin fisheries in the "critical" zone for early season harvest management The management forecast for Somass Sockeye is less than 200,000 adult Sockeye based on the best performing forecast model.
> There is considerable uncertainty in the 2020 forecast, similar to recent years. The forecasts (Table 3) vary between 169,000 (Sibling model), to 992,000 based on Coho survivals in the same ocean entry year (CLI model).
> Model forecasts for the 2020 aggregate Somass Sockeye return are described in Appendix A. The point forecasts for 2020 are 169,000 (Sibling); 476,000 (Sea Surface temperature); 601,000 (Sea Surface Salinity), 857,000 (SEP Biostandards), 992,000 (Coho Leading Indicator).
> Based on recent year model performance and the very low observed production to date from the 2015 brood year, The Area 23 Roundtable accepted the management forecast for 2020 of less than 200,000 Sockeye based on the best performing model (Sibling).
> The forecast from the Sibling Model also predicts a low proportion (23\%) of Great Central Lake Sockeye in the 2020 return and predicts that the total return to Great Central Lake will fall well below the fishery reference point of 100,000 , and is near the lower biological benchmark of 29,290 spawners. This requires a precautionary management approach for early season fisheries until the total run size and stock composition can be determined. The first reforecast is expected June 25 but actions may be taken earlier if in-season assessment data indicates larger or small returns.
> The recommended management outlook for Henderson Sockeye is the "very low" zone for harvest management, corresponding to an expected return of less than 15,000 (Table 5). The key factors influencing this outlook are the low spawner abundances in the main contributing brood years (2015, 2016), as well as apparently low marine survival rates experienced by these two brood years. There were no surveys in Henderson Lake to estimate juvenile production from either of the main contributing brood years (2017 and 2018 sea-entry years). Based on the spawner abundances in 2015 and 2016, the smolt abundances in the 2017 and 2018 sea-entry years are estimated to be low (less than 1 M ).

## BACKGROUND

The three main Sockeye stocks returning to Barkley Sound (Area 23) include the Great Central Lake, Sproat Lake and Henderson Lake stocks. Status of each stock is assessed as a separate Conservation Unit (CU) for implementation of Canada's Wild Salmon Policy. From 1980 to 2019, the average adult terminal returns (catch and escapement) of Great Central, Sproat and Henderson Lake Sockeye are $379,000,328,000$ and 30,000 , respectively (see Table 6).
When the Great Central and Sproat Lake stocks are both contributing similar proportions (e.g. within 60$40 \%$ ) then management is based on the aggregate stock management unit referred to as Somass Sockeye. When one stock is considerably lower than the other (e.g. $<40 \%$ ) then a lower aggregate abundance should be the basis for management, based on factors such as abundance of each stock relative to an LRP, environmental factors, productivity considerations, etc.

Area 23 sockeye fisheries target Somass Sockeye while limiting impact on the Henderson Lake Sockeye stock.
The pre-season biological forecasts for Somass Sockeye inform a 'management' forecast which guides June effort-limited harvest plans (Table 9). The run size forecasts are revised weekly starting in late June based on the evaluation of in-season indicators described later in this report. The first in-season forecast revision is anticipated no earlier than June 25, 2020.

Statistical forecast models for Henderson Sockeye are not generated due to data limitations. A management zone is produced based on spawner and smolt abundance and indicators related to marine
survival rate for the contributing brood years. This outlook informs the amount and timing of commercial gillnet openings in outer areas of Barkley Sound where the fishery is more likely to intercept Henderson Sockeye (Table 10).

## 2020 Somass Sockeye Biological Forecasts

The biological forecasts (Table 3) vary considerably, between 169,000 (Sibling model) to 992,000 based on Coho survivals in the same ocean entry year (CLI Model). The individual point forecasts (Table 3) are: 169,000 (Sibling); 476,000 (Sea Surface temperature); 601,000 (Sea Surface Salinity), 857,000 (SEP Biostandards), and 992,000 (Coho Leading Indicator). The predicted Somass aggregate return is further broken down into stock-specific forecasts in Table 3.
Model forecasts for the 2020 aggregate Somass Sockeye return are described in detail in Appendix A and summarized below.

- The Sibling forecast (Table 4) for Great Central Lake is estimated a total adult return of 38,412 $(23 \% \mathrm{GCL})$ and for Sproat Lake a return of 130,376 ( $77 \%$ ) for a total of 168,788 based on low jack and age 4 returns in 2019. The Sibling model's forecast of a low proportion of Great Central Lake in 2020 returns is the result of low proportions of Great Central Lake stock ( $26 \%$, and $13 \%$, respectively,
- Table 7) from both of the main contributing brood years (2015 and 2016). The Sibling forecast for the Great Central Lake return is well below the fishery reference point of 100,000 for this stock (based on $50 \%$ of the 200,000 minimum reference point for the aggregate Somass stock) and is near the lower biological benchmark of 29,290 (the upper biological benchmark is 91,640 ).
- The Sea Surface Temperature (SStM; Table 4) based forecast is 476,254 ( $71 \% \mathrm{GCL}$ ). This model uses a stepped marine survival rate calculated based on the average marine temperature over the March to May period which is applied to the estimates smolt abundance. Marine temperatures have been above average for all sea entry years contributing to the 2020 return, resulting in a "low" survival estimate of $2.5 \%$. Indications from the 2015 brood year returning as 4 year olds in 2019 suggest that the marine survival has been much lower for this brood (Figure 4). Additionally, the estimates of juvenile Sockeye abundance for the 2017 sea-entry year (age 42 and 53 fish returning in 2019) were more uncertain than usual. Juvenile surveys conducted for the 2018 sea entry year are considered to be more reliable, however the estimate for GCL is one of the highest in the time series (Table 8) at 16.8 million which results in a prediction for greater numbers of GCL Sockeye compared to SPL, contrary to the prediction from the Sibling model.
- The Sea Surface Salinity (SSM) model forecast is $601,000(69 \%$ GCL). This model utilizes a an exponential relationship to predict marine survival for each sea entry year based on average surface salinity for the March to May time period. The predicted survival is then applied to the estimated smolt abundances for each sea entry year. This model also appears to be significantly overestimating survival of the 2017 sea entry year and is predicting only slightly below average survival for the 2018 sea entry year. The predicted proportion of GCL of $69 \%$ is due to the large smolt abundance estimate for the 2018 sea entry year.
- The SEP Biostandards (SEPB) model forecast is $857,000(71 \% \mathrm{GCL})$. This model applies a standard survival rate of $4.5 \%$ to the estimated smolt abundances for each sea entry year. This survival rate is much higher than what has been observed to date for the 2017 sea entry year and is likely to over predict the 2018 sea entry survival based on recent observations and indications from other models. Overall, this model has not performed well in recent years and is expected to be an over prediction of the 2020 Somass return.
- The Coho Leading Indicator (CLI) forecast is $992,000(56 \% \mathrm{GCL})$ total return. The CLI model accounts for spawner abundances in the contributing brood years, as well as the survival rate of Coho from the contributing sea-entry years. The estimated survival rate of Coho from the 2017 sea-entry years was above average and Somass Sockeye escapement in 2015 was above average (the second highest escapement on record). However, Sockeye survival from the 2015
brood has been very poor (age 4 returns in 2019) and well below average (Figure 4). This forecast model predicts high returns of age 5 Sockeye in 2020 based on the above average Coho survival from the 2017 sea entry year and the forecast is therefore expected to be overly optimistic for 2020 returns. The performance of this model has been poor in recent years where the Coho and Sockeye survival from the same sea entry years has not followed similar trends.


## 2020 Somass Sockeye Management Forecast

For fishery management purposes, the Area 23 Roundtable has agreed that the management forecast in the "critical" zone corresponding to an expected return of less than 200,000 adult Sockeye (see Table 9).
Based on a projected return of less than 200,000 adult Sockeye, a precautionary approach to fisheries management will be required until in-season information can inform run size estimates.

The Area 23 Sockeye management plan assumes the Somass stock composition averages about 56\% Great Central and $44 \%$ Sproat, with the productivity of the two populations similar enough that they can withstand a similar harvest rate. Actions will be taken if GCL is assessed to be significantly less (e.g. 30 to $40 \%$ or less) of the Somass aggregate in early season fisheries and escapement.
In-season indicators that will be applied to inform management in 2020:

- The stock composition from the test fishery in June - will be used as an indicator of the relative proportions of Great Central and Sproat Lake at the end of the run.
- If fisheries occur, Area D gillnet catch in Area 23 in the fourth week of June-will be used as an indicator of the final Somass Sockeye adult return
- The total accounting to date (escapement, catch, Alberni inlet abundance estimate, and lower river abundance estimate) and assumed run-timing and early estimated run timing-will be used as an indicator of the final Somass Sockeye adult return
- Scale samples collected from test boat, fisheries and escapement at the fishways-will inform on the age composition of the return
- River temperatures and inlet conditions - will inform holding and pre-spawn conditions which may impact returns


## 2020 Henderson Sockeye Outlook

The recommended management outlook for Henderson Sockeye is the "very low" zone for harvest management, corresponding to an expected return of less than 15,000 (Table 5). The key factors influencing this outlook are the low spawner abundances in the main contributing brood years (2015, 2016) for the 2020 return, as well as low marine survival rates experienced by these two brood years.
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## Appendix A. FORECAST METHODOLOGY

Four models have been used to forecast returns to Great Central Lake and Sproat Lake (Hyatt et al. 2003). These models include: the Survival Stanza Method (SStM), Surface Salinity Method (SSM), Salmonid Enhancement Program Biostandard Method (SEPB), and Coho Leading Indicator Method (CLI). More recently, a "sibling" model has been developed that uses the relationships between the returns of Sockeye at earlier ages to predict future returns of their older siblings (i.e. predicts age-4 and age- 5 returns based on the abundance of age-3 and age-4 returns respectively) (DFO 2012).
Three of the four models (SStM, SSM, SEPB) use annual estimates of the numbers of smolts from Great Central and Sproat Lakes and correlates of early marine survival to predict returns. The SStM forecast correlates marine survival with marine temperature, and the SSM forecast correlates marine survival with marine salinity (Hyatt et al. 2003). The SEPB forecast applies a Salmonid Enhancement Program biostandard survival rate of $4.5 \%$ to the estimate of smolts to predict returns (Hyatt et al. 2003).

The CLI model is based on the observation that changes in marine survival variations for both juvenile Sockeye and Coho migrating through Barkley Sound and up the west coast of Vancouver island may be expected to co-vary because both species face similar physical and biological conditions at sea-entry in a given year (Hyatt et al. 2003). Because Coho return one year earlier than most Sockeye, Coho survival values observed in one year are used to predict survival of Sockeye returning the next year.

In general, the Sibling and SStM forecasts have provided the most accurate forecasts over the long term with mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) values of $39 \%$ and $53 \%$, respectively (Table 3). Over the past 5 years the Sibling and SStM models have performed the best (MAPE values of $34 \%$ and $53 \%$ respectively), while the SSM,SEPB and CLI models have performed poorly over the past 5 years (MAPE values of $87 \%, 66 \%$ and $168 \%$ respectively).
The forecasts generated from all methods are evaluated based on their relative accuracy at predicting past returns along with other relevant information (e.g. marine environmental conditions or observations). A heuristic management forecast for the Somass aggregate return is produced to guide early season fisheries. This forecast sets pre-season expectations and guides early-season harvest planning.

## 2019 FORECAST PERFORMANCE

The preseason management forecast was in the "low" zone with an expected return of 350,000 adult Somass Sockeye (Table 2).

There was a below average return of about 180,000 adult Somass Sockeye (Great Central and Sproat Lake stocks) observed in 2019 (Table 1, Table 6, Figure 1). Fish from the 2013-2016 brood years returned in 2019, with 2014 and 2015 being the main contributing brood years.
The proportion of age 4 fish (36\%) was higher than expected pre-season in the Sibling model, but lower than expected based on all other model predictions. The 2019 return included a low (below average) return of jack (age 3) Sockeye from both the Sproat Lake and Great Central 2016 brood and 2018 sea entry year.

The low proportion of Great Central Lake in the total return (28\%) was better than expected preseason (19\%). The returns from the 2015 and 2016 brood years appear to be heavily weighted to Sproat Lake returns ( $74 \%$ Sproat in the 2015 brood returns and $87 \%$ in the 2016 brood returns, Table 7). The returns are still incomplete, but suggest a disproportionate return to Sproat Lake in 2020 relative to the historic proportions between these two lakes.
While all models over predicted the 2019 return, the Sibling Model was within $9 \%$ of the observed value and was the best performing model (Table 2). In contrast, the CLI model over predicted the return by $645 \%$ (Table 2). All other models over-estimated the final run size by varying degrees (Table 2). Smolt based models (SStM, SSM and SEPB) all over predicted primarily due to a lower than expected return of age 4 fish from the 2015 brood year. The large over prediction of the CLI model was the result of roughly average survival of Coho from the 2017 smolt year which did not reflect to survival of Sockeye from the same smolt year.

The return of Henderson Lake Sockeye in 2019 was approximately half of the 10 year average and estimated at about 6,000 (Table 1, Table 6, Figure 2). The pre-season outlook was for a management zone of "very low return" (i.e., less than 15,000 Sockeye). Pre-season expectations were based on the low spawner abundances in the main contributing brood years $(2015,2016)$, low marine survival rates experienced by these two brood years.

## SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY

The mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) for the five forecast models used to predict Somass Sockeye range from about 39\% to over 100\%, with the best performing forecast model (Sibling model) averaging about $39 \%$ (Table 3). That is, on average, the observed return is about 39\% higher or lower than the predicted return. Factors that contribute to forecast uncertainty include, but are not limited to: model structure, uncertainty associated with model inputs (i.e. source data), etc.

For the Henderson Sockeye outlook, there is considerable uncertainty due to lower quality assessment data relative to the Somass stocks. There are less complete age data, relatively high uncertainty in the estimates of spawner abundance and uncertainty in catch estimates. Catch estimates are particularly uncertain in recent years when the abundance of Henderson Sockeye is very low relative to the Somass stocks. Under these circumstances, the probability of detection of Henderson Sockeye in catch samples is lower therefore catch of Henderson Sockeye may be underestimated.

The impact of the ocean conditions on juvenile Sockeye survival is uncertain and there is greater uncertainty in the estimates of smolt abundance for Great Central, Sproat and Henderson Lakes for the 2017 sea-entry year because fewer juvenile surveys were conducted than in other years, the surveys were undertaken by a new group, and the surveys did not inform on species composition and age classes. Smolt estimates for the 2018 sea-entry year were derived from a renewed acoustic/trawl survey program and should result in better estimates moving forward. The uncertainty in smolt survey estimates affects all smolt based forecast models in 2020 (SStM, SSM, and SEPB).
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Table 1. Total return of Sockeye to Barkley Sound in 2019.

| Conservation Unit | Age at return |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Total Adults |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 6.3 |  |  |
| Great Central Lake | 5,427 | 13,516 | 7,953 | 31,770 | 2,592 | 2,424 | 63,683 | 50,303 |
| Sproat Lake | 37,308 | 50,543 | 2,413 | 72,769 | 4,036 | 2,068 | 169,136 | 129,416 |
| Henderson Lake | 29 | 2,205 | 4 | 3,415 | 72 | 273 | 5,999 | 5,966 |
| Combined Barkley Sound | 42,763 | 66,264 | 10,370 | 107,955 | 6,701 | 4,765 | 238,819 | 185,685 |

Table 2. Forecast performance of alternative Somass Sockeye models for 2019. Absolute Percentage Error (APE) is calculated as (Forecast-Return)/Return (adult fish).

| 2019 Management forecast : low/moderate zone (350,000 adult fish) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 179,719Observed | Forecast 2019 |  |  |  |  |
|  | SStM | SSM | SEPB | CLI | Sibling |
| Expected | 312,766 | 378,940 | 562,979 | 1,339,596 | 196,486 |
| Obs-Exp | 133,047 | 199,221 | 383,260 | -1,159,877 | 16,767 |
| APE | 74\% | 111\% | 213\% | 645\% | 9\% |

Table 3. Forecast results for 2020 from alternative Somass Sockeye models. Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) is the average APE over years the forecast method has been used. The forecast from each model (at the $50 \%$ probability level) for the Somass Sockeye aggregate is further broken down into stock-specific forecasts.

| Probality of <br> a lower <br> return | Forecast Method |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | SStM | SSM | SEPB | CLI | Sibling |  |
| 0.75 | 747,141 | $1,165,358$ | $1,179,178$ | $1,286,726$ | 451,635 |  |
| 0.5 | 476,254 | 601,379 | 857,258 | 992,047 | 168,788 |  |
| 0.25 | 204,092 |  | 533,824 | 695,981 |  |  |
| MAPE | $53 \%$ | $112 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $39 \%$ |  |
| GCL | 336,560 | 414,447 | 605,807 | 556,043 | 38,412 |  |
| SPR | 139,695 | 186,931 | 251,451 | 436,004 | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 3 7 6}$ |  |

Table 4. Stock and age composition for 2020 forecast results from three Somass Sockeye models (Sibling forecast, SStM forecast, and CLI forecast).

| Forecast |  | Age at return |  |  |  |  | \% of return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 4.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 and 6.3 | Total |  |
| Sibling | GCL |  | 28,516 | 7,997 | 1,899 | 38,412 | 23\% |
|  | SPL |  | 106,431 | 20,470 | 3,475 | 130,376 | 77\% |
|  | Total |  | 134,947 | 28,467 | 5,374 | 168,788 |  |
|  | \% at age |  | 13\% | 76\% | 10\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4s | 5s |  | Total |  |
| SStM | GCL |  | 231,142 | 105,418 |  | 336,560 | 71\% |
|  | SPL |  | 110,108 | 29,587 |  | 139,695 | 29\% |
|  | Total |  | 341,250 | 135,005 |  | 476,254 |  |
|  | \% at age |  | 72\% | 28\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 6.3 | Total |  |
| CLI | GCL | 111,632 | 332,019 | 107,971 | 4,421 | 556,043 | 56\% |
|  | SPL | 152,115 | 253,763 | 25,255 | 4,872 | 436,004 | 44\% |
|  | Total | 263,746 | 585,782 | 133,226 | 9,293 | 992,047 |  |
|  | \% at age | 27\% | 59\% | 13\% | 1\% |  |  |

Table 5. 2020 outlook for the Henderson Sockeye return.

| Return <br> Year | Age at <br> Return | Brood <br> year | Spawner <br> Abundance | Smolt <br> Year | Smolt <br> Abundance | Marine <br> Survival | Outlook |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 | 4 s | 2016 | 11 K | 2018 | No Survey | LOW $(<2 \%)$ | Very Low |
|  | 5 s | 2015 | 6 K | 2017 | No Survey | LOW $(<2 \%)$ | $<15, \mathbf{0 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Terminal Return of Area 23 adult Sockeye; 1980 to 2019. (Estimates do not include jacks. Catch includes Henderson Sockeye.)

| RETURN YEAR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TEST } \\ & \text { FISHERY } \end{aligned}$ | FIRST NATIONS CATCH |  |  |  | COMMERCIAL CATCH |  |  |  |  | RECREATIONAL <br> Recreational | tOTAL CATCH | ESCAPEMENT |  |  |  | total RETURN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tseshaht / Hupacasath Total Catch | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Barkley } \\ \text { Bands } \\ \text { (FSC) } \end{gathered}$ | Maanulth First Nation | Total First Nations | Comm GN | Comm SN | Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Use } \end{aligned}$ | Total Comm Catch |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GCL } \\ & \text { adults } \end{aligned}$ | SPR adults | HED | TtI Adult Esc |  |
| 1980 | - | 15,791 | - |  | 15,791 | 292,339 | 374,760 | - |  | 667,099 | - | 682,890 | 246,041 | 124,943 | 21,000 | 391,984 | 1,074,874 |
| 1981 | - | 17,000 |  |  | 17,000 | 391,950 | 617,474 | - |  | 1,009,424 | - | 1,026,424 | 195,124 | 118,710 | 40,000 | 353,834 | 1,380,258 |
| 1982 | - | 23,500 | - |  | 23,500 | 229,271 | 246,673 | - |  | 475,944 | - | 499,444 | 155,579 | 213,477 | 56,000 | 425,057 | 924,501 |
| 1983 | - | 30,000 | - |  | 30,000 | 315,478 | 603,827 | - |  | 919,305 | - | 949,305 | 339,204 | 239,763 | 45,000 | 623,967 | 1,573,272 |
| 1984 | - | 21,000 | - |  | 21,000 | 454,813 | 463,971 | - |  | 918,784 | - | 939,784 | 131,000 | 76,373 | 61,000 | 268,374 | 1,208,158 |
| 1985 | 77* | 15,987 | - |  | 15,987 | 249,814 | 190,038 | - |  | 439,852 | 1,731 | 457,570 | 112,339 | 113,688 | 16,000 | 242,027 | 699,597 |
| 1986 | 2,885* | 12,800 |  |  | 12,800 | 30,461 | 13,640 | - |  | 44,101 | 17 | 56,918 | 119,820 | 173,915 | 3,000 | 296,735 | 353,653 |
| 1987 | 6,993* | 23,395 | - |  | 23,395 | 19,921 | 189,643 | - |  | 209,564 | 21,424 | 254,383 | 277,562 | 105,457 | 26,000 | 409,019 | 663,402 |
| 1988 | 10,470* | 21,292 |  |  | 21,292 | 146,391 | 146,603 | - |  | 292,994 | 348 | 314,634 | 195,327 | 210,518 | 35,000 | 440,845 | 755,479 |
| 1989 | 648 | 23,395 | - |  | 23,395 | 4,145 |  | - |  | 4,145 | 139 | 27,679 | 171,652 | 133,349 | 36,000 | 341,000 | 368,679 |
| 1990 | 7,211* | 10,480 | - |  | 10,480 | 3,617 | 8,062 | - |  | 11,679 | 14,430 | 36,589 | 163,320 | 93,631 | 32,000 | 288,952 | 325,541 |
| 1991 | 8,505* | 36,523 | - |  | 36,523 | 282,833 | 762,634 | - |  | 1,045,467 | 78,551 | 1,160,541 | 402,976 | 140,123 | 37,000 | 580,099 | 1,740,640 |
| 1992 | - | 53,662 | - |  | 53,662 | 203,890 | 211,938 | - |  | 415,828 | 101,408 | 570,898 | 149,898 | 192,641 | 35,000 | 377,539 | 948,437 |
| 1993 | 11,997* | 58,020 | 10,000 |  | 68,020 | 258,957 | 346,246 | - |  | 605,203 | 107,407 | 780,630 | 227,694 | 187,860 | 150,000 | 565,553 | 1,346,183 |
| 1994 | 10,475 | 53,656 | 10,000 |  | 63,656 | 74,981 | - | - |  | 74,981 | 30,261 | 179,373 | 113,121 | 142,162 | 18,000 | 273,282 | 452,655 |
| 1995 | 146 | 23,782 |  |  | 23,782 | - | - | - |  | - | 6,519 | 30,447 | 40,940 | 43,254 | 4,000 | 88,195 | 118,642 |
| 1996 | 4,513 | 28,139 | - |  | 28,139 | - | - | - |  | - | 28,033 | 60,685 | 157,087 | 207,716 | 56,000 | 420,804 | 481,489 |
| 1997 | 10,493 | 29,508 | 12,098 |  | 41,606 | 52,241 | - | 2,100 |  | 54,341 | 36,531 | 142,971 | 174,088 | 126,349 | 49,000 | 349,437 | 492,408 |
| 1998 | 17,522 | 45,200 | 30,859 |  | 76,059 | 49,924 | - | 9,003 |  | 58,927 | 55,421 | 207,929 | 184,542 | 142,360 | 82,000 | 408,902 | 616,831 |
| 1999 | 4,445 | 39,820 | 1,000 |  | 40,820 | 53,800 | - | 8,819 |  | 62,619 | 7,870 | 115,754 | 203,969 | 162,776 | 12,000 | 378,745 | 494,499 |
| 2000 | 6,904 | 36,649 | 16,500 |  | 53,149 | 16,260 | - | 5,236 |  | 21,496 | 24,315 | 105,864 | 52,043 | 108,568 | 23,000 | 183,611 | 289,475 |
| 2001 | 7,004 | 58,245 | 20,000 |  | 78,245 | 46,640 | - | 21,022 |  | 67,662 | 67,190 | 220,100 | 307,106 | 158,923 | 11,000 | 477,029 | 697,130 |
| 2002 | 9,207 | 99,014 | 41,575 |  | 140,589 | 131,176 | 202,893 | 51,087 |  | 385,156 | 58,718 | 593,670 | 259,482 | 190,971 | 18,000 | 468,453 | 1,062,123 |
| 2003 | 10,577 | 64,908 | 25,651 |  | 90,559 | 149,499 | 209,823 | - |  | 359,322 | 61,610 | 522,069 | 223,546 | 163,807 | 3,000 | 390,352 | 912,421 |
| 2004 | 10,318 | 119,522 | 28,673 |  | 148,195 | 46,420 | 48,041 | - |  | 94,461 | 81,836 | 334,810 | 213,021 | 113,798 | 3,000 | 329,819 | 664,629 |
| 2005 | 9,233 | 49,213 | 3,745 |  | 52,958 | 11,305 | - | - |  | 11,305 | 31,292 | 104,788 | 172,962 | 131,949 | 2,000 | 306,911 | 411,700 |
| 2006 | 11,188 | 35,808 | 5,000 |  | 40,808 | 5,449 | - | - |  | 5,449 | 30,514 | 87,959 | 135,493 | 61,940 | 3,000 | 200,433 | 288,391 |
| 2007 | 885 | 8,706 | - |  | 8,706 | - | - | - |  | - | - | 9,591 | 67,717 | 52,837 | 12,000 | 132,554 | 142,145 |
| 2008 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 59,589 | 65,333 | 11,000 | 135,921 | 135,921 |
| 2009 | - | 55,345 | 12,963 |  | 68,308 | 9,138 | 14,735 | - |  | 23,873 | 55,218 | 147,399 | 203,858 | 130,289 | 30,000 | 364,148 | 511,547 |
| 2010 | - | 85,596 | 20,915 |  | 106,511 | 240,170 | 495,495 | - |  | 735,665 | 77,462 | 919,638 | 255,339 | 296,956 | 30,000 | 582,296 | 1,501,934 |
| 2011 | - | 109,369 |  | 17,081 | 126,450 | 231,442 | 192,333 | - |  | 423,775 | 42,799 | 593,024 | 431,213 | 381,980 | 20,423 | 833,616 | 1,426,640 |
| 2012 |  | 154,951 |  | 18,047 | 172,998 | 116,106 | 79,550 | - |  | 195,656 | 16,940 | 385,593 | 147,440 | 192,226 | 17,133 | 356,800 | 742,393 |
| 2013 | 5,313 | 31,208 |  | 11,851 | 43,059 | 11,390 | 9,128 | - |  | 20,518 | 13,274 | 82,164 | 66,688 | 119,849 | 12,500 | 199,037 | 281,201 |
| 2014 | 9,636 | 164,319 |  | 19,659 | 183,978 | 169,685 | 243,937 | - | 5,190 | 418,812 | 16,313 | 628,739 | 66,298 | 159,751 | 11,837 | 237,885 | 866,624 |
| 2015 | 11,298 | 319,351 |  | 25,267 | 344,618 | 329,505 | 521,003 | - | 15,000 | 865,508 | 88,232 | 1,309,656 | 417,774 | 312,265 | 6,400 | 736,440 | 2,046,096 |
| 2016 | 8,887 | 170,326 |  | 26,765 | 197,091 | 161,607 | 228,329 | - | 13,124 | 403,060 | 51,680 | 660,719 | 220,952 | 211,926 | 10,700 | 443,578 | 1,104,297 |
| 2017 | 3,328 | 36,305 |  | 14,672 | 50,977 | 9,879 | 16,461 | - |  | 26,340 | 12,420 | 93,065 | 125,846 | 142,684 | 22,704 | 291,234 | 384,299 |
| 2018 | 4,837 | 35,886 |  | 18,278 | 54,164 | 10,785 | 6,075 | - |  | 16,860 | 5,566 | 81,427 | 36,418 | 146,312 | 12,203 | 194,933 | 276,360 |
| 2019 | 3,409 | 27,770 |  | 12,792 | 40,562 | 6,482 | - | - |  | 6,482 | 2,193 | 52,646 | 35,982 | 91,245 | 5,874 | 133,101 | 185,747 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG $92+$ 10 YR AVG | 6,139 5,190 | 72,834 113,508 | 12,578 20,915 | 18,953 | 87,300 132,041 | 88,528 128,705 | 104,666 179,231 | 3,603 | 11,105 11,105 | 198,030 311,268 | 41,068 32,688 | 332,184 480,667 | 174,597 180,395 | 161,018 205,519 | 24,663 | 360,278 400,892 | 692,462 881,559 |
| 5 YR AVG | 6,352 | 117,928 |  | 19,555 | 137,482 | 103,652 | 154,374 | - | 14,062 | 263,650 | 32,018 | 439,503 | 167,395 | 180,886 | 11,576 | 359,857 | 799,360 |

Table 7. Escapement, catch and total return at age from brood years contributing to the 2020 Somass Sockeye return

| Brood <br> Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conservation } \\ \text { Unit } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Catch |  |  |  |  |  | Total Return |  |  |  |  |  | \% of return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | TOTAL | 3.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | TOTAL | 3.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | TOTAL |  |
|  | Great Central Lake | 12,467 | 24,148 | 1,687 | 23,394 | 2,295 | 63,991 | 320 | 12,927 | 90 | 8,376 | 297 | 22,011 | 12,787 | 37,074 | 1,777 | 31,770 | 2,592 | 86,002 | 17\% |
| 14 | Sproat Lake | 146,818 | 131,896 | 3,427 | 50,272 | 3,247 | 335,660 | 3,711 | 56,652 | 183 | 22,497 | 789 | 83,832 | 150,529 | 188,547 | 3,610 | 72,769 | 4,036 | 419,492 | 83\% |
|  | TOTAL | 159,286 | 156,044 | 5,114 | 73,666 | 5,542 | 399,651 | 4,031 | 69,578 | 273 | 30,874 | 1,087 | 105,842 | 163,317 | 225,622 | 5,387 | 104,540 | 6,629 | 505,493 |  |
| 15 | Great Central Lake | 515 | 8,326 | 7,631 |  |  | 16,471 | 27 | 5,191 | 322 |  |  | 5,540 | 542 | 13,516 | 7,953 |  |  | 22,012 | 26\% |
|  | Sproat Lake | 8,074 | 36,603 | 2,315 |  |  | 46,992 | 431 | 13,940 | 98 |  |  | 14,468 | 8,505 | 50,543 | 2,413 |  |  | 61,460 | 74\% |
|  | TOTAL | 8,589 | 44,928 | 9,946 | 0 | 0 | 63,463 | 458 | 19,130 | 420 |  |  | 20,009 | 9,047 | 64,059 | 10,366 |  |  | 83,472 |  |
| 2016 | Great Central Lake | 5,207 |  |  |  |  | 5,207 | 220 |  |  |  |  | 220 | 5,427 |  |  |  |  | 5,427 | 13\% |
|  | Sproat Lake | 35,796 |  |  |  |  | 35,796 | 1,511 |  |  |  |  | 1,511 | 37,308 |  |  |  |  | 37,308 | 87\% |
|  | TOTAL | 41,003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41,003 | 1,731 |  |  |  |  | 1,731 | 42,735 |  |  |  |  | 42,735 |  |

Table 8. Estimates of juvenile Sockeye abundance in Great Central, Sproat, and Henderson Lakes for smolt years 1978-2018 (units are in millions).

| Smolt Year | Great Central Lake |  |  | Sproat Lake |  |  | Henderson Lake |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age 1.0s | Age 2.0s | Total | Age 1.0s | Age 2.0s | Total | Total |
| 1978 | 6.66 | 2.25 | 8.91 |  |  |  | 1.60 |
| 1979 | 14.86 | 0.83 | 15.70 |  |  |  | 0.77 |
| 1980 | 7.45 | 0.00 | 7.40 | 4.48 | 0.00 | 4.62 |  |
| 1981 | 9.31 | 0.31 | 9.60 | 5.48 | 0.14 | 5.68 | 2.88 |
| 1982 | 6.79 | 2.75 | 9.50 | 7.93 | 0.33 | 8.34 | 2.15 |
| 1983 | 12.45 | 0.81 | 13.20 | 8.14 | 0.14 | 8.43 | 3.79 |
| 1984 | 7.66 | 1.46 | 9.10 | 9.37 | 0.27 | 9.64 | 4.30 |
| 1985 | 9.64 | 0.83 | 10.40 | 19.26 | 0.00 | 19.56 | 3.52 |
| 1986 | 7.11 | 2.45 | 9.50 | 5.79 | 0.14 | 6.97 | 4.26 |
| 1987 | 4.91 | 0.35 | 5.20 | 4.52 | 0.52 | 5.04 | 0.96 |
| 1988 | 3.41 | 0.43 | 3.80 | 8.69 | 0.00 | 8.89 | 0.03 |
| 1989 | 6.07 | 0.26 | 6.40 | 8.84 | 0.22 | 9.19 | 2.07 |
| 1990 | 6.75 | 0.51 | 7.20 | 10.10 | 0.49 | 11.18 | 2.57 |
| 1991 | 8.68 | 2.03 | 10.70 | 7.62 | 0.81 | 8.54 | 1.68 |
| 1992 | 4.58 | 0.21 | 4.80 | 5.42 | 0.28 | 5.88 | 0.86 |
| 1993 | 7.12 | 0.05 | 7.15 | 3.20 | 0.05 | 3.37 | 0.95 |
| 1994 | 3.13 | 0.77 | 3.90 | 9.69 | 0.36 | 5.99 | 0.90 |
| 1995 | 2.87 | 0.53 | 3.40 | 5.57 | 0.09 | 5.90 | 5.46 |
| 1996 | 6.71 | 2.69 | 9.40 | 9.33 | 0.32 | 9.78 | 0.33 |
| 1997 | 3.77 | 0.61 | 4.40 | 4.65 | 0.10 | 4.76 | 0.03 |
| 1998 | 16.71 | 0.09 | 16.79 | 17.21 | 0.02 | 18.12 | 1.97 |
| 1999 | 10.29 | 1.49 | 11.80 | 7.90 | 0.33 | 8.23 | 0.05 |
| 2000 | 6.34 | 0.16 | 6.50 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 8.46 | 2.06 |
| 2001 | 11.06 | 2.49 | 13.60 | 9.54 | 0.09 | 9.68 | 1.07 |
| 2002 | 3.31 | 0.03 | 3.73 | 7.10 | 0.22 | 7.48 | 2.14 |
| 2003 | 8.92 | 0.67 | 10.50 | 4.53 | 0.14 | 4.77 | 1.82 |
| 2004 | 8.27 | 1.35 | 10.90 | 8.21 | 0.26 | 8.60 | 1.37 |
| 2005 | 5.57 | 0.83 | 8.50 | 6.37 | 0.20 | 6.70 | 1.23 |
| 2006 | 2.35 | 1.27 | 4.00 | 3.35 | 0.11 | 3.50 | 0.83 |
| 2007 | 5.09 | 0.57 | 5.60 | 3.48 | 0.11 | 3.60 | 0.63 |
| 2008 | 4.15 | 0.65 | 4.78 | 4.86 | 0.14 | 5.00 | 0.48 |
| 2009 | 3.16 | 0.60 | 3.76 | 5.84 | 0.18 | 6.02 | 3.02 |
| 2010 | 4.653 | 0.517 | 5.17 | 4.83 | 0.15 | 4.98 | 1.39 |
| 2011 | 9.73 | 1.27 | 11.00 | 6.02 | 0.18 | 14.53 | 1.19 |
| 2012 | 14.32 | 1.34 | 15.66 | 13.00 | 0.19 | 13.44 | 0.28 |
| 2013 | 13.75 | 1.42 | 15.17 | 7.53 | 0.40 | 14.53 | 3.14 |
| 2014 | 8.59 | 1.52 | 10.11 |  |  | 3.69 | 1.81 |
| 2015 |  |  | 0.75 |  |  | 1.21 | 0.611 |
| 2016 |  |  | 3.79 |  |  | 4.15 | - |
| 2017 |  |  | 12.05 |  |  | 5.92 | - |
| 2018 |  |  | 16.81 |  |  | 6.99 | - |

Table 9. Standardized Area 23 Sockeye Fishing Regime for early-season (June) fisheries. Typically, commercial seine fisheries are not planned until late June. However, all fisheries may be adjusted depending on in-season assessment results.

| MANAGEMENT ZONE | $\begin{gathered} \text { FORECAST RUN } \\ \text { SIZE } \end{gathered}$ | MAANULTH FIRST NATIONS | RECREATIONAL | $\begin{gathered} \text { TSUMASS } \\ \text { ECONOMIC } \\ \text { OPPORTUNITY } \end{gathered}$ | COMMERCIAL SEINE* | COMMERCIAL GILLNET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Critical | Less than 200,000 | no harvest | no harvest | no harvest | no harvest | no harvest |
| 2 - Very Low | 200,000 to 350,000 | Open, fishing to target through limited effort (designated $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{n}$ vessels) | 2 fish/day <br> + Area restrictions <br> + Late opening | Community/elder seine 1 day/week g/n | no harvest | 1 day/week starting 64 (1 day total) |
| 3 - Low | 350,000 to 500,000 | Open, fishing to target through limited effort (designated $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{n}$ vessels) | 2 fish/day <br> + Area restrictions | Community/elder seine 2 days/week g/n | seine fishing to target | 1 day/week starting 63 (2 days total) |
| 4 - Moderate | 500,000 to 700,000 | Open, fishing to target through limited effort (designated $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{n}$ vessels) | 4 fish/day (time-area closures if required) | Community/elder seine 3 days/week g/n | seine fishing to target | 1 day/week starting 62 (3 days total) |
| 5 - High | $\begin{gathered} 700,000 \text { to } \\ 1,000,000 \end{gathered}$ | Open, fishing to target through limited effort (designated $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{n}$ vessels) | 4 fish/day (time-area closures if required) | Community/elder seine 4 days/week g/n | seine fishing to target | 1 day/week starting 62 (3 days total) |
| 6 - Abundant | 1,000,000 + | Open, fishing to target through limited effort (designated $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{n}$ vessels) | 4 fish/day | Community/elder seine 5 days/week g/n | seine fishing to target | 1 day/week starting 61 (4 days total) |

Table 10. General guidelines for allowable fishery openings in the outside area (Barkley Sound) for Area D Gillnet associated with the Henderson Sockeye outlook. These guidelines are designed to reduce the exploitation rate of Henderson Sockeye as the expected abundance declines. Additional time and area measures may be applied in-season depending on environmental conditions and observed migration behavior.

| MANAGEMENT ZONE | HENDERSON RUN SIZE | REFERENCEPOINT | TAC ${ }^{1}$ | HARVEST REGIME ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Outside Area Openings | Outside Area Closure | Maximum Harvest Rate |
| 1 - Very Low | UP to 15,000 |  | - | June only | July 1 | 9\% |
| 2 - Low | $\begin{aligned} & 15,000 \text { to } \\ & 25,000 \end{aligned}$ | low end | 1,317 | June + up to 1 day July | July 8 | 9\% |
|  |  | high end | 2,926 |  |  | 12\% |
| 3 - Moderate | $\begin{gathered} 25,000 \text { to } \\ 45,000 \end{gathered}$ | low end | 2,926 | June + up to 2 days July (1 per week) | July 15 | 12\% |
|  |  | high end | 7,900 |  |  | 18\% |
| 4 - High | $\begin{gathered} 45,000 \text { to } \\ 60,000 \end{gathered}$ | low end | 7,900 | June + <br> up to 3 days July (up to 2 per week) | July 15 | 18\% |
|  |  | high end | 14,045 |  |  | 23\% |
| 5 - Abundant | $\begin{gathered} 60,000 \text { to } \\ 150,000 \end{gathered}$ | low end | 14,045 | June + <br> up to 4 days July <br> (2 per week) | July 15 | 23\% |
|  |  | high end | 43,890 |  |  | 29\% |

1. Not including TAC associated with Maanulth Treaty or Maanulth Harvest Agreement.
2. The harvest regime may be adjusted based on the results of catch composition analysis.


Figure 1. Estimated adult return of Somass (Great Central and Sproat Lake) Sockeye, 1984-2019.


Figure 2. Estimated adult return of Henderson Lake Sockeye, 1984-2019.


Figure 3. Estimated Sockeye "pre-smolt" juvenile abundance for Great Central, Sproat and Henderson Lake by sea-entry year. Most adult Sockeye returning in 2020 are associated with the production from the 2017 and 2018 sea-entry years.


Figure 4. Marine survival rate index for the Somass Sockeye stocks. Most adult Sockeye returning in 2020 are associated with the 2017 and 2018 sea-entry years. Although the survival rate index for those years is preliminary (and incomplete as it does not yet account for older fish that will return in 2020), the survival rate associated with the 2017 and 2018 sea-entry year were very low.

