

**Proposed Registration Decision** 

PRD2023-10

# Paclobutrazol and TRIMMIT

(publié aussi en français)

# 16 November 2023

This document is published by the Health Canada Pest Management Regulatory Agency. For further information, please contact:

Publications Pest Management Regulatory Agency Health Canada 2 Constellation Drive 8<sup>th</sup> floor, A.L. 2608 A Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9 Internet: canada.ca/pesticides pmra.publications-arla@hc-sc.gc.ca

Information Service: 1-800-267-6315 pmra.info-arla@hc-sc.gc.ca



ISSN: 1925-0878 (print) 1925-0886 (online)

Catalogue number: H113-9/2023-10E (print version) H113-9/2023-10E-PDF (PDF version)

#### © His Majesty the King in Right of Canada, as represented by the Minister of Health Canada, 2023

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9.

# **Table of Contents**

Overview	1
Proposed registration decision for paclobutrazol	. 1
What does Health Canada consider when making a registration decision?	. 1
What is paclobutrazol?	2
Health considerations	2
Environmental considerations	. 4
Value considerations	. 5
Measures to minimize risk	. 5
Next steps	. 6
Other information	6
Science evaluation	7
1.0 The active ingredient, its properties and uses	7
1.1 Identity of the active ingredient	7
1.2 Physical and chemical properties of the active ingredient and end-use product	. 7
1.3 Directions for use	9
1.4 Mode of action	9
2.0 Methods of analysis	9
2.1 Methods for analysis of the active ingredient	9
2.2 Method for formulation analysis	9
2.5 Methods for residue analysis	10
3.0 Impact on numan and ammai nearm	10
3.1.1 Toxicology summary	10
	10
3.1.2 <i>Pest Control Products Act</i> hazard characterization	19
3.2 Toxicology reference values	20
3.2.1 Route and duration of exposure	20
3.2.2 Occupational and residential toxicology reference values	20
3.2.3 Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)	21
3.2.4 Acceptable daily intake (ADI)	22
3.2.5 Cancer assessment	22
3.2.6 Aggregate toxicology reference values	23
3.3 Dermal absorption	23
3.4 Occupational and residential exposure assessment	23
3.4.1 Acute hazards of end-use product and mitigation measures	23
3.4.2 Occupational exposure and risk assessment	24
3.4.3 Residential exposure and risk assessment	25
3.4.4 Bystander exposure and risk assessment	26
2.5 Distant exposure and risk assessment	<u>-</u>
2.5.1 Exposure from regidues in food of plant and enjoyed enjoyed	20 26
5.5.1 Exposure from residues in 1000 of plant and animal origin	20

3.5.2 E	xposure from drinking water	. 26
3.5.3 D	vietary risk assessment	. 27
3.6 Age	pregate exposure and risk assessment	. 27
3.7 Cur	nulative assessment	. 27
3.8 Max	ximum residue limits	. 28
3.8.1 H	ealth incident reports	. 29
4.0 Impost	on the environment	20
4.0 Impact	on the environment.	. 29
4.1 Factor $4.2$ Environment	ironmontal risk characterization	20
4.2 Elly	iska to torrostrial organisma	. 30
4.2.1 K		. 51
4.2.2 R	isks to aquatic organisms	. 32
4.2.3 E	nvironment incident reports	. 33
5.0 Value.		. 33
6.0 Pest co	ntrol product policy considerations	. 34
6.1 Ass	essment of the active ingredient under the Toxic Substances	
Mai	nagement Policy	. 34
6.2 For	mulants and contaminants of health or environmental concern	. 34
7.0 Propos	ed regulatory decision	. 35
List of abbrev	viations	. 36
Appendix I	Tables and figures	. 43
Table 1	Residue Analysis	. 43
Table 2	Identification of select metabolite of paclobutrazol	. 43
Table 3	Toxicity Profile of Technical Paclobutrazol	. 44
Table 4	Toxicity profile of end-use product TRIMMIT containing paclobutrazol	. 64
Table 5	Toxicology reference values for use in health risk assessment for	
	paclobutrazol	. 65
Table 6	AHETF/PHED/ORETF unit exposures for chemical handler risk assessment	. 67
Table 7	Mixer/Loader/Applicator (M/L/A) and risk for TRIMMIT	. 68
Table 8	Postapplication occupational exposure and risk for TRIMMIT on day 0	
	after last application	. 69
Table 9	Postapplication residential/recreational exposure and risk for golfers	. 69
Table 10	Major fate inputs for the modelling of the combined residues of	=0
T 11 11	paclobutrazol, CGA 14990/ and NOA 45/654	. 70
Table 11	Level I EECs of combined residues of paclobutrazol, CGA 14990/, and	
	NOA 45/654 in potential sources of drinking water as the parent equivalent for	or 70
TT 1 1 1 1 0	the combined residue.	. 70
Table 12	Refined EECs of combined residue of paclobutrazol, CGA 14990/, and	70
TT 1 1 1 1 2	NOA 45/654 in potential sources of drinking water as the parent equivalent	. /0
Table 13 $T_{11}$ 14	Dietary exposure risk assessment	. /1
Table 14 $T_{abl} = 15$	Aggregate exposure and risk for gollers	. 12
Table 15 $T_{a}$	rate and benaviour of pactooutrazol in the environment	. 13 05
Table 10 $T_{ab} = 17$	Iviajor transformation products of paciobutrazol	. 0J 07
Table 1 / $T_{a}$	Electring assessment of paciobutrazol residues	. 80
1 able 18	EECs for paciobutrazol in the environment	. 88

Table 19	Toxicity of paclobutrazol to non-target species	91
Table 20	Screening level risk assessment for non-target terrestrial organisms	
	(Except birds and mammals)	98
Table 21	Screening level risk assessment for birds and mammals	99
Table 22	Refined risk assessment for birds and mammals	. 100
Table 23	Refined risk assessment for non-target terrestrial plants	. 101
Table 24	Screening level risk assessment for non-target aquatic organisms	. 102
Table 25	Refined risk assessment for non-target freshwater organisms	. 103
Table 26	List of Supported Uses	. 104
Table 27	Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations-Comparison to TSME	)
Track 1 Cr	iteria	. 104
References		. 107

# Overview

#### Proposed registration decision for paclobutrazol

Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA), under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act*, is proposing registration for the sale and use of Paclobutrazol Technical and TRIMMIT, containing the technical grade active ingredient paclobutrazol, for use on turfgrass on golf courses to slow the growth of turfgrass and suppress *Poa annua*.

Paclobutrazol is currently registered as a plant growth regulator for use on container grown ornamental bedding plants and plugs in greenhouses. For details, see Proposed Re-evaluation Decision PRVD2013-04, *Paclobutrazol*, and Re-evaluation Decision RVD2014-06, *Paclobutrazol*.

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the health and environmental risks and the value of the pest control products are acceptable.

This Overview describes the key points of the evaluation, while the Science evaluation provides detailed technical information on the human health, environmental and value assessments of paclobutrazol and TRIMMIT.

#### What does Health Canada consider when making a registration decision?

The key objective of the *Pest Control Products Act* is to prevent unacceptable risks to individuals and the environment from the use of pest control products. Health or environmental risk is considered acceptable<sup>1</sup> if there is reasonable certainty that no harm to human health, future generations or the environment will result from use or exposure to the product under its proposed conditions of registration. The Act also requires that products have value<sup>2</sup> when used according to the label directions. Conditions of registration may include precautionary measures on the product label to further reduce risk.

To reach its decisions, Health Canada's PMRA applies modern, rigorous risk-assessment methods and policies. These methods consider the unique characteristics of sensitive subpopulations in humans (for example, children). They also consider the unique characteristics of organisms in the environment. These methods and policies also consider the nature of the effects observed and the uncertainties when predicting the impact of pesticides. For more information on how the Health Canada regulates pesticides, the assessment process and risk-reduction programs, please visit the Pesticides section of the Canada.ca website.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Acceptable risks" as defined by subsection 2(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Value" as defined by subsection 2(1) of the *Pest Control Products Act*: "the product's actual or potential contribution to pest management, taking into account its conditions or proposed conditions of registration, and includes the product's (*a*) efficacy; (*b*) effect on host organisms in connection with which it is intended to be used; and (*c*) health, safety and environmental benefits and social and economic impact."

Before making a final registration decision on paclobutrazol and TRIMMIT, Health Canada's PMRA will consider any comments received from the public in response to this consultation document.<sup>3</sup> Health Canada will then publish a Registration Decision<sup>4</sup> on paclobutrazol and TRIMMIT, which will include the decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed registration decision and Health Canada's response to these comments.

For more details on the information presented in this Overview, please refer to the Science evaluation of this consultation document.

# What is paclobutrazol?

Paclobutrazol is a plant growth regulator which reduces internode growth, resulting in shorter and stouter stems. It is absorbed by plant roots and translocated to the growing tissues.

# Health considerations

#### Can approved uses of paclobutrazol affect human health?

# **TRIMMIT** plant growth regulator, containing paclobutrazol, is unlikely to affect your health when used accordingly to proposed label directions.

Potential exposure to paclobutrazol may occur through the diet (drinking water only), when handling and applying the end-use product, or when entering an area that has been treated with the product. When assessing health risks, two key factors are considered: the levels at which no health effects occur and the levels to which people may be exposed. The dose levels used to assess risks are selected to protect the most sensitive human population (for example, children and nursing mothers). As such, sex and gender are taken into account in the risk assessment. Only uses for which the exposure is well below levels that cause no effects in animal testing are considered acceptable for registration.

Toxicology studies in laboratory animals describe potential health effects from varying levels of exposure to a chemical and identify the dose level at which no effects are observed. The health effects noted in animals occur at dose levels more than 100-times higher (and often much higher) than levels to which humans are normally exposed when pesticide products are used according to label directions.

In laboratory animals, the technical grade active ingredient paclobutrazol was of moderate acute toxicity by the oral route and was mildly irritating to the eyes; consequently, the signal word "WARNING" and hazard statements "POISON" and "EYE IRRITANT" are required on the label. It was of low acute toxicity by the dermal and inhalation routes. It was minimally irritating to the skin and did not cause an allergic skin reaction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Consultation statement" as required by subsection 28(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Decision statement" as required by subsection 28(5) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

The acute toxicity of the end-use product TRIMMIT, containing paclobutrazol, was low via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure. It was non-irritating to the eyes and skin and did not cause an allergic skin reaction.

Guideline and supplemental short- and long-term (lifetime) animal toxicity tests were assessed for the potential of paclobutrazol to cause neurotoxicity, immunotoxicity, chronic toxicity, cancer, reproductive and developmental toxicity, and various other effects. There was no evidence of tumourigenicity. The most sensitive endpoints for risk assessment were effects on activity level, body weight, fetal bone development, and the liver. There was an indication that the young were more sensitive than the adult animal.

The risk assessment protects against the effects noted above and other potential effects by ensuring that the level of exposure to humans is well below the lowest dose level at which these effects occurred in animal tests.

#### Residues in water and food

#### Dietary risks from drinking water are not of health concern.

No food residue data are required to support the registration of paclobutrazol for use on golf course turf in Canada. There are no food or feed uses associated with paclobutrazol, with either the registered uses on nursery and greenhouse ornamentals, or with the proposed use on golf course turf. However, there is the potential for residues to enter drinking water sources as a result of the proposed use on golf course turf.

The proposed use of TRIMMIT on golf course turf in Canada does not constitute a health risk of concern for acute or chronic dietary exposure to residues of paclobutrazol in drinking water to any segment of the population, including infants, children, adults and seniors.

Acute dietary (drinking water alone) intake estimates indicated that all population subgroups, including females 13–49 years old, are exposed to less than or equal to 16% of the acute reference dose (ARfD), and therefore are not of health concern.

Chronic dietary (drinking water alone) intake estimates indicated that the general population and all population subgroups are exposed to less than 30% of the acceptable daily intake (ADI), and therefore are not a health concern.

As no food residue data are required to support the registration of paclobutrazol for use on golf course turf in Canada, maximum residue limits (MRLs) are not required for this proposed use.

#### **Occupational risks from handling TRIMMIT**

# Occupational risks are not of health concern when TRIMMIT is used according to the proposed label directions, which include protective measures.

Workers mixing, loading or applying TRIMMIT, and workers entering recently treated golf courses can be exposed to paclobutrazol residues through direct skin contact or through

inhalation. Therefore, the label specifies that anyone mixing, loading and applying TRIMMIT must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, socks and shoes. Gloves are not required during application within a closed cab.

The label also requires that workers do not enter or be allowed on treated golf courses until sprays have dried. Taking into consideration the label statements, the number of applications and the duration of exposure for handlers and postapplication workers, the risks to these individuals from exposure to TRIMMIT are not of health concern when the end-use product is used according to the proposed label directions.

#### Health risks in residential and other non-occupational environments

#### Risks in residential and other non-occupational environments are not of health concern when TRIMMIT is used according to the proposed label directions and restricted-entry interval (REI) is observed.

Adults, youth and children golfing can come into direct contact with paclobutrazol residues from treated turf. Therefore, the label requires that individuals do not enter treated golf courses until sprays have dried. Taking into consideration the label statements, the number of applications and the duration of exposure, the risks to individuals golfing from exposure to TRIMMIT are not of health concern when the end-use product is used according to the proposed label directions.

#### Health risks to bystanders

# Bystander risks are not of health concern when TRIMMIT is used according to the proposed label directions and spray drift restrictions are observed.

A standard label statement to protect against drift during application is on the label. Therefore, health risks to bystanders are not of concern when the end-use product is used according to the proposed label directions.

#### **Environmental considerations**

#### What happens when paclobutrazol is introduced into the Environment?

# When used according to the proposed label directions, environmental risks associated with paclobutrazol and its end-use product, TRIMMIT, are acceptable.

Paclobutrazol enters the environment when TRIMMIT is used on golf courses to slow turfgrass growth and to suppress the growth of grassy weeds. Paclobutrazol is not expected to break down by reacting with water or light, or to be found in air. On land, paclobutrazol can be broken down by microorganisms in the soil to form two main breakdown products. Paclobutrazol and its breakdown products may move through soil to reach groundwater. Paclobutrazol and its breakdown products are unlikely to carry over between growing seasons.

Paclobutrazol may move from the treatment area in runoff to reach surface water. In aquatic habitats, paclobutrazol is expected to be persistent and move quickly from water to sediment. It is not likely to build up in aquatic organisms.

Use restrictions and hazard statements on the TRIMMIT label are required to reduce risks to non-target terrestrial plants and some aquatic organisms. When TRIMMIT is used according to the proposed label directions, paclobutrazol and its breakdown products pose acceptable risk to terrestrial and aquatic organisms.

#### Value considerations

#### What is the value of TRIMMIT?

# **TRIMMIT**, formulated with the active ingredient paclobutrazol, reduces the frequency of mowing by slowing down turfgrass growth and suppressing *Poa annua* on golf courses.

TRIMMIT can be applied at 0.45–1.12 L/ha once, or multiple times with an interval of 7–21 days, and at a maximum annual rate of 4.05 L/ha in water volumes of 400–800 L/ha. TRIMMIT slows turfgrass growth, reduces the frequency of mowing by up to 50%, and suppresses *Poa annua*.

#### Measures to minimize risk

Labels of registered pesticide products include specific instructions for use. Directions include risk-reduction measures to protect human and environmental health. These directions must be followed by law.

The key risk-reduction measures being proposed on the label of Paclobutrazol Technical and TRIMMIT to address the potential risks identified in this assessment are as follows.

#### Key risk-reduction measures

#### Human health

To reduce the potential exposure of workers to paclobutrazol through direct skin contact or inhalation of sprays, workers mixing, loading and applying TRIMMIT and performing cleaning and repair activities must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, socks and shoes. Gloves are not required during application within a closed cab. The label also requires that workers do not enter or allow others to enter treated areas until sprays have dried. Furthermore, a standard label statement to protect against drift during application is present on the label.

#### Environment

- Standard precautionary label statements to inform users of:
  - Toxicity to aquatic organisms and non-target terrestrial plants,
  - The potential for leaching of paclobutrazol residues to groundwater.

- Spray buffer zones of up to 5 metres to protect sensitive aquatic and non-target terrestrial habitats
- Standard runoff label statements to reduce the risks to aquatic organisms

#### Next steps

Before making a final registration decision on paclobutrazol and TRIMMIT, Health Canada's PMRA will consider any written comments received from the public in response to this consultation document up to 45 days from the date of publication (by 31 December 2023) of this document. Please forward all comments to Publications (contact information on the cover page of this document). Health Canada will then publish a Registration Decision, which will include its decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed decision and Health Canada's response to these comments.

# **Other information**

When Health Canada makes its registration decision, it will publish a Registration Decision on paclobutrazol and TRIMMIT (based on the Science evaluation of this consultation document). In addition, the test data referenced in this consultation document will be available for public inspection, upon application, in the PMRA's Reading Room. For more information, please contact the PMRA's <u>Pest Management Information Service</u>.

# **Science evaluation**

# **Paclobutrazol and TRIMMIT**

#### **1.0** The active ingredient, its properties and uses

#### **1.1** Identity of the active ingredient

Ac	tive substance	Paclobutrazol
Fu	nction	Plant Growth Regulator
Ch	emical name	
1.	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC)	(2RS,3RS)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,4-dimethyl-2-(1H-1,2,4-triazol- 1-yl)pentan-3-ol
2.	Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS)	$(\alpha R,\beta R)$ - <i>rel</i> - $\beta$ -[(4-chlorophenyl)methyl]- $\alpha$ -(1,1-dimethylethyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,4-triazole-1-ethanol
CA	AS number	76738-62-0
Mo	olecular formula	$C_{15}H_{20}ClN_3O$
Mo	olecular weight	293.8
Str	uctural formula	$H_{3C} \xrightarrow{CH_{3}}_{\stackrel{N}{\longrightarrow}} H_{3C} \xrightarrow{CH_{3}}_{OH} H_{3C} \xrightarrow{CH_{3}$
Pu ing	rity of the active redient	98.8%

**1.2** Physical and chemical properties of the active ingredient and end-use product

#### Technical product—Paclobutrazol Technical

Property	Result
Colour and physical state	Beige granule
Odour	Odourless
Melting point	159°C
Boiling point	384°C

Property		Result
Density	$1.23 \text{ g/cm}^3$	
Vapour pressure at 20°C	0.0019 mPa	
Ultraviolet (UV)-visible	$\lambda_{max} = 221 \text{ nm}$	
Solubility in water at 20°C	22.9 mg/L	
Solubility in organic solvents at	Solvent	Solubility (g/L)
20°C	n-heptane	0.199
	xylene	5.67
	n-octanol	24.9
	ethyl acetate	45.1
	1,2-dichloroethane	51.9
	acetone	72.4
	methanol	115
<i>n</i> -Octanol-water partition	pН	$\log K_{\rm ow}$
coefficient ( $K_{ow}$ )	7	3.11
Dissociation constant $(pK_a)$	stant ( $pK_a$ ) Does not dissociate in environmental pH range.	
Stability (temperature, metal)	Stable for more than 2 years	at 20 °C, and more than 6 months
	at 50°C. Stable to hydrolysis	s (pH 4–9), and not degraded by
	UV light (pH 7, 10 days).	

# End-use product—TRIMMIT

Property	Result
Colour	Tan
Odour	Aromatic odour
Physical state	Liquid
Formulation type	Suspension
Label concentration	247 g/L
Container material and	Plastic jug (0.5L to bulk)
description	
Density	1.06 g/mL at 20°C
pH of 1% dispersion in water	9.5
Oxidizing or reducing action	Not oxidizing or reducing
Storage stability	Stable for 14 days at 54°C
Corrosion characteristics	Not corrosive to non-fluorinated packaging
Explodability	Not explosive

#### **1.3** Directions for use

TRIMMIT is used for slowing turfgrass growth for up to two months after each application and reducing the frequency of mowing by up to 50% during that time. TRIMMIT also suppresses *Poa annua* in turfgrass.

TRIMMIT can be applied at 0.45–1.12 L/ha once, or multiple times with an interval of 7–21 days and at a maximum annual rate of 4.05 L/ha. Use the low rate and more frequent applications if turf discoloration cannot be tolerated. Apply TRIMMIT in water volumes of 400–800 L/ha, to ensure thorough coverage.

For best results, irrigate TRIMMIT into the soil but not to the point of runoff, prior to rain or within 24 hours after application to limit soil surface movement. For best residual activity, remove TRIMMIT from the leaf surface by irrigation or rainfall prior to mowing.

For growth suppression, apply in spring after green-up and turfgrass has been mowed once or twice or at least one month before onset of high air temperatures. In late summer/early fall, apply at least one month before anticipated first killing frost.

For *Poa annua* suppression, apply when *Poa annua* is actively growing. In climates with a prolonged winter dormancy, a fall application can be made up to one month prior to anticipated first killing frost.

#### 1.4 Mode of action

Paclobutrazol acts in two ways in the target plant. First, it inhibits the plant's ability to produce gibberellic acid, which reduces the plant's cell elongation. Second, it decreases the destruction of abscisic acid, which makes the plant grow slowly and lose less water, helping the plant stay shorter and stouter. The application of paclobutrazol on turfgrass results in stouter stems by reducing internodal growth and increasing root growth.

# 2.0 Methods of analysis

#### 2.1 Methods for analysis of the active ingredient

The methods provided for the characterization of the technical product have been validated and assessed to be acceptable.

#### 2.2 Method for formulation analysis

The method provided for the analysis of the active ingredient in the formulation has been validated and assessed to be acceptable for use as an enforcement analytical method.

#### 2.3 Methods for residue analysis

High-performance liquid chromatography methods with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) were developed and proposed for data generation and enforcement purposes. These

methods fulfilled the requirements with regards to selectivity, accuracy and precision at the respective method limit of quantitation. Acceptable recoveries (70–120%) were obtained in environmental media. Methods for residue analysis are summarized in Appendix I, Table 1.

Methods for residue analysis in plant and animal matrices are not required as there are no food or feed uses associated with paclobutrazol with either the registered uses on nursery and greenhouse ornamentals, or with the proposed use on golf course turf.

#### 3.0 Impact on human and animal health

#### 3.1 Hazard assessment

#### 3.1.1 Toxicology summary

The toxicology database for paclobutrazol is complete and contains all required guideline toxicity studies to satisfy the data requirements. For the purpose of this major new use application, the registrant provided acute toxicity studies performed with the proposed end-use product TRIMMIT. In addition, several new studies were provided including six acute toxicity studies, an acute rat neurotoxicity study, a short-term dog oral toxicity study, an in vivo Hershberger assay, in vitro steroidogenesis and aromatase assays, and several paclobutrazol metabolite studies including an acute oral study and genotoxicity studies. Certain aspects of the previous evaluations were revisited and relevant scientific studies published in the peer reviewed literature were also incorporated into the hazard assessment. Overall, the scientific quality of the toxicology database is acceptable, and the database is considered adequate to characterize the toxic effects that may result from exposure to paclobutrazol.

Paclobutrazol belongs to the triazole class of pesticides. It is a plant growth regulator that affects the isoprenoid pathway and alters the levels of plant hormones by inhibiting gibberellin synthesis and increasing cytokinin levels, consequently reducing stem elongation. Paclobutrazol also has fungicidal properties. Paclobutrazol has two stereogenic centers, but the production process yields only (2S,3S)- and (2R,3R)-enantiomers because of steric-hindrance effects (Wu et al., 2015). The studies submitted to Health Canada at the time of the initial registration were performed with the racemic mixture (2RS,3RS)-paclobutrazol at >92% purity. It contained the (2RS,3SR)-paclobutrazol form at  $\leq 2.5\%$ . The currently manufactured paclobutrazol is the racemic mixture (2RS,3RS)-paclobutrazol enantiomer has more effective fungicidal activity than the 2S,3S-paclobutrazol enantiomer, which performs better as a plant growth regulator (Guo, 2021; Burden, 1987). Throughout this evaluation, the name paclobutrazol refers to the racemic mixture (2RS,3RS)-paclobutrazol.

Toxicokinetic studies were conducted in rats and dogs. Following a single oral low dose in rats of paclobutrazol radiolabelled on the triazole moiety, 75–87% of the administrated dose (AD) was excreted within 48 hours. In male rats, 23–48% of the AD was excreted in urine and 44–64% of the AD in feces, while in female rats the urinary route was predominant, 48–64% of the AD, with 26-41% of the AD excreted in feces. Most (81–91%) of the urinary excretion occurred within 24 hours, indicating rapid absorption. The same pattern was observed in dogs following a

single oral low dose. The peak levels in the blood occurred 0.5 to 1.5 hours after dosing in dogs. The fecal excretion pattern in rats suggested, and was further confirmed in bile-cannulated animals, that most of the fecal excretion was of biliary origin and, thus, the full extent of absorption was greater than that suggested by urinary excretion. After a single oral high dose administration in rats, higher levels of radioactivity were found in urine than in feces in both sexes and excretion was slightly lower in males. Tissue levels at 48 to 96 hours following administration in rats were very low. The gastrointestinal contents accounted for most of the residual radioactivity in rats and dogs. In rats, paclobutrazol was extensively metabolized but biotransformation was limited to the tertiary butyl moiety, with no metabolism detected in the triazole or halogenated phenyl rings. The parent compound was detected in trace amounts in urine and bile at all doses, but at ~5% in feces at the high dose. The two main metabolites in urine, bile and feces were paclobutrazol diol and paclobutrazol acid, which were both excreted in conjugated and unconjugated forms. Males excreted more of the acid metabolite form while females excreted more of the diol metabolite form. A study from the published literature suggests that (2S,3S)-paclobutrazol enantiomer is preferably transformed and eliminated compared to the (2R,3R)-paclobutrazol enantiomer (Wu et al., 2015). A differential evaluation of paclobutrazol enantiomers was not performed, nor required. Considering the difference was slight, it was not considered to have a significant impact on the overall hazard assessment.

Based on the new acute toxicity studies submitted to PMRA, the technical grade active ingredient paclobutrazol was of low acute toxicity by the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure in rats. It was mildly irritating to the eyes and minimally irritating to the skin of rabbits, and was negative for skin sensitization in mice according to the local lymph node assay (LLNA). The new acute oral study in rats provided an  $LD_{50}$  of greater than 2000 mg/kg bw; however, the studies submitted previously for the original registration indicated an acute oral hazard classification of moderate toxicity for the technical grade active ingredient. There was no information to suggest that the results of the previous studies are less valid than the results of the new study. Therefore, technical paclobutrazol will continue to be reflected as moderately acutely toxic via the oral route.

Additional studies were submitted to characterize the oral acute toxicity and genotoxic potential of triazole lactic acid (CGA 205369), a metabolite of paclobutrazol. No triazole metabolites were identified from the metabolism studies in rats, but they were present in environmental fate studies. Triazole lactic acid was of low oral acute toxicity in rats. There was no evidence of genotoxicity with the metabolite triazole lactic acid in in vitro studies, including gene mutation assays in bacteria and mammalian cells and a clastogenicity assay in mammalian cells.

The acute toxicity of the end-use product TRIMMIT, containing paclobutrazol, was low via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure in rats. It was non-irritating to the eyes and skin of rabbits, and was negative for skin sensitization in mice according to the LLNA method.

In an acute oral neurotoxicity study in rats, no clinical signs of toxicity were observed at any dose level and there were no deaths during the study. A treatment-related decrease in mean body weight gain was noted for males from the high-dose group. Food consumption was decreased at the high-dose level in both sexes. There was no evidence of neuropathological alterations and no treatment-related selective neurotoxic effects observed in this study. In zebrafish (*Danio rerio*),

which is a surrogate model for vertebrate development, paclobutrazol produced perturbations of neurotransmitter synthesis and content through oxidative stress (Guo et al., 2022), and locomotion hyperactivity in larvae, and anxiolytic exploratory behaviour and an inhibition of innate aggressive behaviour in adults (Hussain et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2022). A published study in mice *t*reated via gavage every two days over a 28-day period, reported variable effects on levels of different neurotransmitters in the brain (Xu and Yang, 2020). The acute neurotoxicity study in rats described above used comparable or even higher doses of paclobutrazol than those used in the mouse study and examined clinical signs as well as brain weight and brain histopathology. Other than fewer rearings observed in females, no behavioral changes, or gross/histopathological changes were observed in the brain. Additionally, the absence of any specific clinical signs of neurotoxicity and lack of effect on brain weight in short- or long-term studies in mice and rats indicated an absence of selective neurotoxicity of paclobutrazol in mammals.

In repeat-dose toxicity studies, paclobutrazol caused reductions in body weight gain and increases in liver lesions in dietary short-term and two-year studies. Increased duration of exposure did not exacerbate the effects on the liver. Paclobutrazol did not demonstrate any evidence of genotoxic potential or tumourigenicity.

The 28-day dietary range-finding toxicity studies in mice and rats submitted at the time of the initial registration of paclobutrazol were re-examined and it was confirmed that liver effects were the most sensitive endpoint for establishing NOAELs in these studies, consistent with other studies. Although the range-finding studies did not assess all the required parameters to meet the guideline, sufficient assessment of the livers were conducted to establish a NOAEL for liver effects. Liver effects are considered the most sensitive endpoints in the paclobutrazol database, in agreement with the effects observed in the database of other pesticides of this class.

In a 90-day oral (capsule) toxicity study in dogs, at the high-dose level there was an overall decrease in body weight in both sexes, as well as reduced body weight gain during the first week in males and over the entire study period in females. Liver weights were increased in high-dose males and females compared to concurrent controls. This finding in males was also associated with increased incidences of minimal hepatocyte vacuolation and minimal to slight hepatocyte fine fat deposition and slight centrilobular mononuclear cell infiltration. Plasma triglycerides and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were increased, and albumin concentration was decreased at all time-points in both sexes. Total protein concentration was also decreased in females at week 8. At terminal sacrifice, hepatic enzymatic activity was increased, namely aminopyridine-Ndemethylase (APDM) and ALP concentrations were increased in both sexes, and alanine transaminase (ALT) concentration was increased in males from the high-dose group at terminal sacrifice. Absolute and relative kidney weights were increased in both sexes. Also at the high dose, decreased testes and epididymides weights, testes and prostate size as well as absence of spermatozoa were observed. The onset of puberty in dogs is irregular and some individuals could have been at a prepubescent stage by terminal sacrifice. While a similar effect was not observed in the 1-year dog study, the difference compared to the control group in the 90-day study was potentially biologically relevant, as published studies suggest that paclobutrazol may impact endocrine sensitive tissues at high doses.

The 2-year dietary combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study in rats was re-assessed for tumour incidence. It was noted that the incidence of uterine stromal polyps in females was increased in all treated groups compared to controls (10%, 10%, 14% compared to 0%). Uterine stromal polyps are benign tumours that are not uncommon in aging rats and it was also noted that there was an unusually low incidence of these polyps in the control group. From historical control data (HCD) submitted by the applicant from studies performed in the same strain of rats around the same time period, the calculated mean incidence for stromal polyps in female rats of this age is  $6.7 \pm 3.1$  % ranging from 0 to 12%. Considering the unusually low incidence of these benign tumours in the controls, as supported by additional HCD, the absence of a treatment-related increase in uterine stromal polyps in the 18-month oncogenicity study in mice and the absence of genotoxicity in a battery of assays for paclobutrazol, the benign uterine stromal polyps in the low- and mid-dose female rats were not considered treatment related and the increased incidence in high-dose females outside the historical control range was considered equivocal.

In a 2-generation dietary reproductive toxicity study in rats, there was no evidence of sensitivity of the young, reproductive toxicity, or adverse effects in endocrine tissues up to the highest dose tested. At the highest dose, adverse effects in parental animals included decreased body weight and body weight gains in both generations, increased incidences of chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids, and dental malocclusion in both sexes, decreased food consumption, increased liver weight with centrilobular fatty changes in the  $F_0$  generation animals and increased cytoplasmic eosinophilia of centrilobular hepatocytes and inflammatory cell infiltration in  $F_0$  female animals. At the same dose level, offspring toxicity consisted of marginally decreased body weights in the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations, increased liver weights and increased incidences of chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids and dental malocclusion in both sexes. No treatment-related adverse effects were observed at the mid- or low-dose level.

Cleft palate was observed at the highest dose tested in one of two developmental toxicity studies in rats. Both studies showed an increased incidence of partially ossified transverse processes on the 7<sup>th</sup> cervical vertebra and an increased incidence of extra (14<sup>th</sup>) ribs in the absence of maternal toxicity. Several urogenital variations were also observed in one study. Since fetal effects were observed in both rat developmental toxicity studies in the absence of maternal toxicity, these studies provided evidence of sensitivity of the young. A published study conducted according to the same protocol used in the above studies confirmed these finding, although no sensitivity of the young was observed (Vergieva, 1998).

In one of two developmental toxicity studies in rabbits, multiple vertebral variations were observed in the fetuses at the highest dose tested, in the presence of maternal toxicity (decreased body weight and body weight gain, and two deaths). Encephalocele, a rare malformation, was also observed in one of these fetuses. In the second study, decreased body weight and increased incidence of scoliosis and flexed forepaws were observed in fetuses at the highest dose tested in the presence of maternal toxicity (decreased body weight and food consumption). At the middose level, another fetus with encephalocele was observed. No HCD were available for this observation, but publicly available HCD confirmed that encephalocele is a rare observation in New Zealand white rabbit fetuses. While this effect was considered equivocal at the mid-dose and high dose levels, the toxicology reference doses provide a sufficient margin to this effect.

The same data were evaluated by foreign regulatory authorities, namely the USEPA and EFSA, and neither reported this observation as treatment-related.

Most of the information in the published literature regarding potential impacts of paclobutrazol on reproduction and development are experiments performed in an aquatic environment on zebrafish. Study protocols were designed to examine the potential to elicit gonadal effects on adult zebrafish and developmental effects on zebrafish embryos exposed to paclobutrazol. As a toxicology model, zebrafish have the potential to identify pathways of developmental toxicity due to their similarity with those of mammals (Caballero and Candiracci, 2018). However, care must be taken in extrapolating results from zebrafish to mammals, as aquatic organisms appear to be more sensitive to the effects of paclobutrazol than mammals.

In zebrafish embryos, paclobutrazol at  $\geq 1$  ppm in the water affected eye development by inhibiting the production of retinoic acid, damaging retinal cell division and the photoreceptors resulting in decreased eye size. This effect was attributed to the paclobutrazol-induced decreased expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase. The paclobutrazol-induced decreased eye size was partly reversed by retinoic acid (Wang et al., 2017). In the 2-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats discussed previously, no treatment-related macro- or microscopic changes were observed in the eyes of rat pups at up to 1250 ppm.

Embryonic developmental toxicity was observed in zebrafish when embryos were exposed to paclobutrazol during early stages of development. Zebrafish embryos exhibited pharyngeal arch development and skull formation defects when exposed to a high dose of paclobutrazol within 60 hours post fertilization. Furthermore, zebrafish embryos exposed to paclobutrazol at different stages exhibited different severities of effects in each tissue related to development of digestive organs (pancreas, intestine and liver) derived from embryonic endoderm (Wang et al., 2019). It was also suggested that paclobutrazol can impact the development of the heart and craniofacial cartilage in zebrafish embryos and decrease their survival and hatching rates (Yekti et al., 2014).

While in vitro studies in zebrafish embryos have identified developmental effects on various organs, the available guideline developmental toxicity studies in rats have identified non-serious skeletal variations in developing fetuses, further indicating a greater sensitivity of aquatic organisms such as the zebrafish model, to potential effects of paclobutrazol.

Given the reproduction and developmental toxicity studies were conducted according to older OECD test guidelines, additional mechanistic studies from both the registrant and published scientific literature were assessed for endocrine sensitivity. These results were considered in the overall weight of evidence (WoE) for the potential of paclobutrazol to have an impact on the mammalian endocrine system.

#### Mechanistic studies for endocrine activity

Paclobutrazol was negative for androgenicity and anti-androgenicity in all five androgendependent tissues taken at necropsy from castrated rats in the Hershberger assay, it was a positive inhibitor of both testosterone and estradiol production in H295R cells in the steroidogenesis assay, and was a positive inhibitor of aromatase in a human recombinant (CYP 19) assay. Although paclobutrazol was a positive inhibitor in both in vitro assays, the inhibition occurred at high concentrations ( $\geq 1 \mu M$  and  $\geq at 31.6 \mu M$ , for testosterone and estradiol inhibition, respectively – and at  $\geq 100 \mu M$  for aromatase inhibition), indicating a low potency and non-specific effect. In the same assay, under the same conditions, the positive control substances were approximately four orders of magnitude more potent than paclobutrazol. Some published in vitro assays indicated paclobutrazol had androgen receptor antagonist activity in CHO cells (Andersen et al., 2002) and aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) transactivator activity in human hepatoma cells (Long et al., 2003), again at high concentrations ( $\geq 20 \mu M$  and  $\geq 50 \mu M$ , respectively). However, Andersen et al., (2002) also reported an absence of effect on aromatase activity in human placental microsomes (at 50  $\mu M$ ).

Borgert et al., (2014) developed a classification system to identify substances with potential endocrine effects, and attributed a rank of 1–3 to the endpoints used in the verification of the hypothesis (1 being the most relevant to the hypothesis). In this system, the results from a Hershberger assay (rank 1) are critical to the characterization of a substance suspected of having anti-androgenic activities, as it is an in vivo test that is consistent and reproducible, and is representative of organism-level effects. Confidence that the chemical has anti-androgenic potential increases as the number of significantly affected organs increases, and decreases as fewer endpoints respond (Borgert et al., 2014). Based on the Borgert et al., (2014) ranking system, paclobutrazol was a weak androgen receptor antagonist (rank 2 for in vitro androgen receptor assay and rank 3 for in vitro steroidogenesis assay). More importantly, it was clearly negative for the rank 1 evidence (in other words, in vivo Hershberger assay).

The USEPA requires a statistically significant decrease in two or more endpoints in the Hershberger assay to suggest anti-androgenic activity (USEPA, 2011). As noted above, paclobutrazol was negative for all five endpoints in the Hershberger assay. While some effects observed in the available in vivo toxicity studies indicate potential anti-androgenic activity (decreased testes and epididymides weights, decreased testes and prostate size, and absence of spermatozoa in a short-term toxicity study in dogs, and small focus of atrophic tubules in one testis observed in a short-term toxicity study in rats), these effects were observed at the high dose level only in both studies and were not observed in longer term studies.

The USEPA screened (Tier 1 screening) paclobutrazol as a potential candidate for the USEPA Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program (EDSP).ToxCast assays were used to inform on estrogen and androgen bioactivity. The overall weight of evidence showed it did not have significant estrogen receptor bioactivity (based on  $EC_{50} > 100 \mu$ M in in vitro assays), but was identified as having androgen receptor bioactivity. Paclobutrazol was included in List 2 of chemicals for screening, but no data has been requested or generated for List 2 chemicals to date.

Several published reviews, that include summaries from various regulatory authorities, suggest that several triazole pesticides have the potential to impact steroid hormone homeostasis (Sanderson, 2006; Goetz et al., 2007; Kjærstad et al., 2010a, Kjærstad et al., 2010b; Goetz and Dix, 2009; Draskau et al., 2021) and affect fetal development (Zhou et al., 2016). Although these published reports indicated no major endocrine flags in mammals treated with paclobutrazol, studies described above indicated that paclobutrazol has some anti-androgenic activity at high dose/concentrations. A comparison of paclobutrazol with several other triazoles/conazoles was

conducted based on the measurements of endocrine sensitive endpoints tested with in silico prediction/simulation tools and in vitro assays.

Endocrine Disruptome (Kolšek et al., 2014) is a computational tool that has been used to predict the possible effects of triazole fungicides on the human endocrine system. It considers the molecular docking approach based on the AutoDock Vina algorithm (Trott and Olson, 2010) implemented for twelve human nuclear receptors as follows: androgen receptor (AR), estrogen receptors alpha and beta (ER alpha and ER beta), glucocorticoid receptor (GR), liver X receptors alpha and beta (LXR alpha and LXR beta), peroxisome proliferator activated receptors alpha (PPAR alpha), beta/delta (PPAR beta), and gamma (PPAR gamma), retinoid X receptor alpha (RXR alpha), and thyroid receptors alpha (TR alpha) and beta (TR beta). The investigated triazole compounds were docked to the structures of these human nuclear receptors, and a docking score of the compound on every receptor structure was computed and further used to classify the binding of investigated ligand to the nuclear receptors (Kolšek et al., 2014).

Predictions obtained using the Endocrine Disruptome computational tool reflected that investigated triazole fungicides can influence the activity of some of the human nuclear receptors (Gridan et al., 2019). All investigated triazole fungicides (cyproconazole, flutriafol, triticonazole, epoxiconazole, tetraconazole, triadimenol, prothioconazole, metconazole and paclobutrazol) are predicted as having antagonistic effects on the androgen receptor. Based on the predictions, paclobutrazol is most likely to interact with the androgen receptor and less likely to interact with all the other endocrine receptors tested, including the estrogen receptor, consistent with the reported in vitro findings available from the scientific literature.

A potency ranking of several triazoles/conazoles including paclobutrazol based on their effects on endocrine sensitive endpoints as reported in the PMRA database and/or the publicly available peer-reviewed scientific literature is summarized below.

In a published study, in vitro endpoints for several conazoles were compared for their respective potency to impact endocrine sensitive endpoints. These endpoints were androgen receptor antagonism, estrogen receptor antagonism, AhR transactivation and four aspects of steroidogenesis (estradiol, testosterone and progesterone production, and aromatase inhibition) (Dreisig et al., 2013). Although paclobutrazol showed positive results for androgen receptor antagonism and/or disruption of steroidogenesis, it had a lower potential to impact endocrine sensitive endpoints than other triazoles/conazoles (ketoconazole, epoxiconazole, tebuconazole, propiconazole, prochloraz [imidazole], difenoconazole, triticonazole, hexaconazole, triadimefon, and triadimefol). This is reflected by the high concentrations (LOECs and IC<sub>50</sub>s) required to obtain a positive response and places paclobutrazol among the least potent of the triazoles/conazoles presented.

While paclobutrazol shares a similar profile for embryotoxicity with that of propiconazole, another triazole pesticide, the latter was more potent than paclobutrazol for more endocrine sensitive endpoints (Dreisig et al., 2013; Unpublished studies). An increase in the measurement of anogenital distance (AGD) is a sensitive marker of anti-androgenic effects of a xenobiotic (Mylchreestet at al., 2000; McIntyreet et al., 2001; Wolf et al., 2004). While there were no AGD measurements available for paclobutrazol, the toxicology profiles for other conazoles (including

tebuconazole, propiconazole, uniconazole-P, ipconazole, metconazole, difenoconazole, epoxyconazole, mefentrifluconazole, prothioconazole, and tetraconazole) indicated that effects on AGD and/or developmental and reproductive effects occurred at higher doses than liver effects. As previously noted, the NOAEL for liver effects is used to set reference doses for health risk assessment, which is considered protective of endocrine findings. The overall concern for the lack of AGD measurements in studies with paclobutrazol is tempered by the fact that liver toxicity NOAELs are well established for paclobutrazol. In silico, in vitro and embryotoxicity information on paclobutrazol suggest that paclobutrazol is among the least potent of the triazoles/conazoles for endocrine activity and embryotoxicity.

Few published studies examine the effects of paclobutrazol on the thyroid, however, any effects that were identified are not consistent between studies or species, namely rats and zebrafish. In a subchronic (28-day) oral gavage toxicity study in rats (Liu et al., 2022), decreased L-thyroxine (T4) levels were observed in male animals and slight inflammation of the thyroid follicular cells was observed in female rats at  $\geq$  32.5 mg/kg bw/day, the lowest dose tested. Although the changes were slight, L-triiodothyronine (T3) levels were also decreased in both sexes at this dose. In comparison, in a supplemental 90-day dietary toxicity study in rats, no histopathological changes were observed in the thyroid gland at the highest dose tested (93/107 mg/kg bw/day in  $\sqrt[3]{\phi}$ ). Liu et al., (2022) reported that in both sexes at the high dose (260 mg/kg bw/day), there were modulations of lipid biomarkers indicative of effects on the thyroid (namely modulation of phosphatidyl glycerols, phosphatidylserine, phospholipid acid, phosphatidylethanolamines). Another study using high doses of paclobutrazol (200 mg/kg bw and 500 mg/kg bw) identified the insulin growth factor (IGF) pathway as one of the targets of paclobutrazol by using network pharmacology, which involves innovative methods combining biology, pharmacology and computational simulations (Yue et al., 2022). Conversely, the opposite effects, namely increased T4 and increased levels of T3, were observed in zebrafish embryos along with increased levels of oxidation and apoptosis biomarkers. Also in this study, thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) mRNA levels were increased at the lowest dose tested only (Wang et al., 2020). The relevance of this finding to treatment is questionable when considering the broader weight of evidence across the paclobutrazol database and the inherent uncertainty introduced by an extrapolation from zebrafish to mammals.

For example, in the available mammalian studies, while hormone levels were not measured, no down-stream (apical) treatment-related effects were observed in the short-term dietary toxicity studies in mice and rats, 2-generation reproductive toxicity study in parental animals, or 2-year combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study that could be attributed to thyroid toxicity.

#### **Overall WoE and conclusions:**

Considering the entirety of the evidence from the available data, including applicant-submitted study reports, data from the open scientific literature on paclobutrazol and other triazoles/conazoles, data from the Endocrine Disruptome tool to characterize triazole interaction with human nuclear receptors, and the information from ToxCast screening assays, there is evidence that paclobutrazol has mild anti-androgenic activity under certain conditions. In determining appropriate uncertainty factors for health risk assessments of paclobutrazol, the following lines of evidence were considered:

- 1) Where suspected endocrine sensitive effects were observed in the guideline and supplemental paclobutrazol toxicity studies or in vivo studies from the literature, these effects were observed at dose levels at least threefold higher than the NOAELs selected as a point of departure to set reference values for the human health risk assessment.
- 2) The guideline rat in vivo Hershberger assay, considered to be the most important test for androgenic/anti-androgenic activity, performed with paclobutrazol was negative.
- 3) No major endocrine flags were noted from the guideline and supplemental toxicity studies. The sex ratio was not affected in the DART studies. The 28-day dietary toxicity range-finding study in mice, the 90-day dietary toxicity study in rats, or the 2-year rat combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study did not show effects on the thyroid gland or reproductive organs assessed. More importantly, the reproductive organs in the 2-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats were also not affected.
- 4) Positive results observed in an androgen receptor, a steroidogenesis inhibitor, or an aromatase inhibitor assay, occurred only at high concentrations of paclobutrazol, thus showing low potency.
- 5) The most sensitive endocrine effects were noted in a non-mammalian species (zebrafish), for which the extrapolation to mammals is uncertain and must be interpreted with caution.
- 6) Available in vitro assays and in silico predictions, including predictions from the Endocrine Disruptome tool, characterized paclobutrazol as a low potency androgen receptor ligand and inhibitor compared to structural analogues and other conazoles/triazoles having an impact on the endocrine system.
- 7) Compared to several conazoles, paclobutrazol is much less potent conazole pesticide with respect to effects on endocrine sensitive endpoints both in vitro and in vivo.
- 8) Liver toxicity represents the most sensitive endpoint in the database for paclobutrazol. The liver toxicity is well characterized for paclobutrazol and evidence from structural analogues with similar toxicity profiles suggest that endocrine sensitive endpoints are likely to be less sensitive than liver toxicity endpoints.
- 9) Sensitivity of the young was observed in the guideline and supplemental toxicity studies for paclobutrazol and consequently a threefold PCPA (*Pest Control Products Act*) factor will be retained when using a developmental toxicity endpoint as a point of departure for the human risk assessment (as further detailed below).

Based on the weight of evidence, the overall concern for database uncertainties is low and the application of an additional  $UF_{DB}$  is not required, as there is an adequate margin to the critical NOAELs selected as points of departure when setting reference values for the human health risk assessment.

The identification of the metabolite mentioned in the text is presented in Appendix I, Table 2. Results of the toxicology studies conducted on laboratory animals with paclobutrazol submitted by the applicant (including new studies as well as previously submitted studies that were revisited) as well as data reported from the open scientific literature were also summarized in Appendix I, Table 3. The toxicology studies of the paclobutrazol associated end-use product, TRIMMIT are summarized in Appendix I, Table 4. The toxicology reference values for use in the human health risk assessment are summarized in Appendix I, Table 5.

#### 3.1.2 Pest Control Products Act hazard characterization

For assessing risks from potential residues in food or from products used in or around homes or schools, the *Pest Control Products Act* requires the application of an additional 10-fold factor to threshold effects to take into account completeness of the data with respect to the exposure of, and toxicity to, infants and children, and potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity. A different factor may be determined to be appropriate on the basis of reliable scientific data.<sup>5</sup>

With respect to the completeness of the toxicity database as it pertains to the toxicity to infants and children, the database contains the full complement of required studies including gavage developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits and a dietary 2-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats. There were some uncertainties related to the lack of dosing during a critical window of development in the developmental toxicity studies, limited evaluations of some endocrine sensitive parameters in both the reproductive and developmental toxicity studies, and the identified potential for endocrine-related impairments from the open peer reviewed scientific literature. However, as discussed in the preceding sections of the document, it was determined based on the WoE that the application of an additional database uncertainty factor is not required to provide an adequate margin of exposure to the critical NOAELs selected as points of departure for the human risk assessment.

With respect to concerns regarding potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity, evidence of sensitivity of the young was observed in the developmental toxicity study in rats. Skeletal variations (an increased incidence of rudimentary 14<sup>th</sup> ribs and increased incidences of partially unossified transverse processes on the 7<sup>th</sup> cervical vertebra) were observed in the absence of maternal toxicity. These variations are not considered serious in nature and the degree of concern is low. In the developmental toxicity studies in rabbits, fetuses showed body weight effects and musculo-skeletal variations at a maternally toxic dose level (body weight effects, decreased food consumption and deaths). Equivocal evidence of sensitivity of the young was observed in the form of two observations of a rare malformation (encephalocele) in rabbits. One occurred at the high dose in a supplemental study in the presence of maternal toxicity and the other at the middose in a guideline developmental toxicity study in rabbits, in the absence of maternal toxicity. The PMRA considered the incidence of encephalocele to be equivocal, and the endpoints selected for human risk assessment will provide a margin of exposure that will protect the population of concern. There was no evidence of sensitivity of the young in the rat 2-generation reproductive toxicity study. It is noted that in the rat developmental toxicity study, a malformation (cleft palate), considered to be a serious endpoint, was observed at the highest dose tested. However, this effect was tempered by the presence of maternal toxicity at this dose.

Overall, effects in the young that were observed in the absence of maternal toxicity in the developmental toxicity studies in rats were not serious in nature and therefore, the retention of a threefold PCPA factor for sensitivity of the young is required when a developmental endpoint is selected as the point of departure for the human risk assessment. The toxicology reference values

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SPN2008-01. The Application of Uncertainty Factors and the Pest Control Products Act Factor in the Human Health Risk Assessment of Pesticides.

selected for risk assessment provide an intrinsic margin to other endpoints in the young, some of which were serious in nature. For exposure scenarios involving other subpopulations, including children, the risk was considered well-characterized and the PCPA factor is reduced to onefold.

#### **3.2** Toxicology reference values

#### 3.2.1 Route and duration of exposure

Exposure to paclobutrazol is characterized as short-to intermediate-term in duration predominantly by the dermal and inhalation routes for chemical handlers, and through the dermal route for postapplication workers. For golfers, contact with treated turf should primarily occur via the dermal route of exposure. The duration of exposure is expected to be of short- to intermediate-term in duration.

#### 3.2.2 Occupational and residential toxicology reference values

#### Short- and intermediate-term dermal exposure

#### Adults and youth

For short- and intermediate-term dermal residential (golfing) and occupational exposures, the developmental NOAEL of 10 mg/kg bw/day from the developmental toxicity study in rats was selected for risk assessment, based on an increased incidence of skeletal variations at the LOAEL of 40 mg/kg bw/day. A repeat-dose dermal toxicity study was not available, thus necessitating the use of an oral study for risk assessment.

For residential scenarios, the target margin of exposure (MOE) for this endpoint is 300, which includes uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability, as well as a PCPA factor of threefold for the reasons outlined in section 3.1.2. The selection of this study and target MOE is protective of these populations, including the unborn children of exposed women.

For occupational scenarios, the target MOE for this endpoint is 300. Ten-fold factors were applied each for interspecies extrapolation and intraspecies variability. As the worker population could include pregnant women, it is necessary to afford adequate protection of the fetus that may be exposed via its mother. In light of concerns regarding prenatal toxicity, as outlined in the *Pest Control Products Act* Hazard Characterization section, an additional threefold factor was applied to this endpoint to protect for a sensitive subpopulation, namely females 13–49 years of age.

#### Children

For short- and intermediate-term dermal residential (golfing) exposures for children, the NOAEL for liver toxicity of 4.6 mg/kg bw/day from the 28-day dietary range-finding toxicity study in rats was selected for risk assessment. This NOAEL is based on an increased incidence of liver hypertrophy with midzonal macrovacuolation, increased liver weight and increased cholesterol at the LOAEL of 87 mg/kg bw/day. The liver weight and histopathology results from the interim sacrifice of the 2-year combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study in rats confirmed the lack

of durational effects on the liver. Consequently, the use of a NOAEL from a short-term study as a point of departure for an intermediate-term exposure scenario was considered acceptable. A repeat-dose dermal toxicity study was not available, thus necessitating the use of an oral toxicity study for risk assessment.

For residential scenarios for children, the target MOE for this endpoint is 100. Ten-fold factors were applied each for interspecies extrapolation and intraspecies variability. As the endpoint used for exposure scenarios concerning the general population (prenatal and developmental effects) was not relevant to children, the selection of this study and target MOE is considered to be protective of this population, and as outlined in section 3.1.2, the PCPA factor was reduced to onefold.

#### Short- and intermediate-term inhalation exposure (adults)

For short- and intermediate-term occupational exposures via the inhalation route, the developmental NOAEL of 10 mg/kg bw/day from the developmental toxicity study in rats was selected for risk assessment, based on an increased incidence of skeletal variations at the LOAEL of 40 mg/kg bw/day. A repeat-dose inhalation toxicity study was not available, thus necessitating the use of an oral toxicity study for risk assessment.

For occupational scenarios, the target MOE for this endpoint is 300. Ten-fold factors were applied each for interspecies extrapolation and intraspecies variability. As the worker population could include pregnant women, it is necessary to afford adequate protection of the fetus that may be exposed via its mother. In light of concerns regarding prenatal toxicity, as outlined in the *Pest Control Products Act* hazard characterization section, an additional threefold factor was applied to this endpoint to protect for a sensitive subpopulation, namely females 13-49 years of age.

# 3.2.3 Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)

# 3.2.3.1 Acute Reference Dose for general population (excluding females 13–49 years of age)

To estimate acute dietary risk for the general population (excluding females 13–49 years of age), the acute oral (gavage) neurotoxicity study in rats with a NOAEL of 30 mg/kg bw was selected for risk assessment. At the LOAEL of 150 mg/kg bw, decreased rearing counts was observed in females on Day 1 at the time of peak effect. This effect was the result of a single exposure and therefore relevant to an acute risk assessment. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability were applied. As discussed in the *Pest Control Products Act* Hazard Characterization section 3.1.2, the PCPA factor was reduced to onefold. The composite assessment factor (CAF) is thus 100.

The ARfD is calculated according to the following formula:

 $ARfD = NOAEL = \frac{30 \text{ mg/kg bw}}{CAF} = 0.3 \text{ mg/kg bw of paclobutrazol}$ 

#### 3.2.3.2 Acute Reference Dose (ARfD) for females 13-49 years of age

To estimate acute dietary risk in females of 13–49 years of age, the developmental toxicity studies in rats with a developmental NOAEL of 10 mg/kg bw/day, was selected for the risk assessment. At the LOAEL of 40 mg/kg bw/day, skeletal variations were observed in the absence of maternal toxicity. These effects may have been the result of a single exposure and are therefore relevant to an acute risk assessment. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability were applied. As discussed in the *Pest Control Products Act* hazard characterization section, the PCPA factor was reduced to threefold. Thus, the CAF is 300.

The ARfD is calculated according to the following formula:

 $ARfD = \frac{NOAEL}{CAF} = \frac{10 \text{ mg/kg bw}}{300} = 0.03 \text{ mg/kg bw of paclobutrazol}$ 

This ARfD provides a sufficient margin of exposure for developmental toxicity in rats, 333 to the NOAEL for skeletal variations and 3333 to the NOAEL for cleft palate, and a margin of 2500 (skeletal variations) and 833 (equivocal encephalocele) to the NOAEL for developmental toxicity in the rabbit, and is thus considered protective of pregnant women and their fetuses.

# **3.2.4** Acceptable daily intake (ADI)

To estimate risk following repeated dietary exposure, the NOAEL of 2.1 mg/kg bw/day from the 2-year combined chronic/carcinogenicity study in rats was selected for risk assessment, based on the increased incidence of liver lesions (hypertrophy and steatosis) and decreased body weight gain at the LOAEL of 11 mg/kg bw/day. This study provides the lowest NOAEL in the database. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability were applied. As discussed in the *Pest Control Products Act* hazard characterization section, the PCPA factor was reduced to onefold. The CAF is thus 100.

The ADI is calculated according to the following formula:

 $ADI = \frac{NOAEL}{CAF} = \frac{2.1 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}{100} = 0.02 \text{ mg/kg bw/day of paclobutrazol}$ 

The ADI provides a margin of 500 to the NOAEL for the developmental toxicity study in rats (5000 to the NOAEL for cleft palate), and a margin of 3750 (skeletal variations) and 1250 (equivocal encephalocele) to the NOAELs for the effects that were observed in the developmental toxicity study in rabbits. It also provides a margin of 705 to the NOAEL for the equivocal tumourigenicity observed in female rats in the 24-month dietary chronic toxicity/oncogenicity study.

# 3.2.5 Cancer assessment

As previously discussed, a slight increase in the incidence of uterine stromal polyps in females in the rat 2-year chronic dietary toxicity/oncogenicity study with paclobutrazol was considered

equivocal based on the weight of evidence. Overall, the toxicology reference values selected for the non-cancer risk assessment are protective of any residual concerns regarding the carcinogenic potential of paclobutrazol.

#### 3.2.6 Aggregate toxicology reference values

Aggregate exposure is the total exposure to a single pesticide that may occur from dietary (drinking water and diet), residential and other non-occupational sources, and from all known or plausible exposure routes (oral, dermal and inhalation). Short- to intermediate-term aggregate exposure to paclobutrazol may be comprised of drinking water and residential (golfing) exposure via the dermal route (children, youth and adults).

The toxicology endpoint selected as a point of departure for aggregation for all populations, except children, was the increased incidence of skeletal variations. For the oral and dermal routes, the developmental NOAEL of 10 mg/kg bw/day from the developmental toxicity study in rats was selected with a target MOE of 300. The PCPA factor for this point of departure was threefold as set out in the *Pest Control Products Act* hazard characterization section.

The toxicology endpoint selected as a point of departure for aggregation for children was liver toxicity. For the oral and dermal routes, the NOAEL for liver toxicity of 4.6 mg/kg bw/day from the 28-day dietary range-finding toxicity in rats was selected with a target MOE of 100. The PCPA factor for this point of departure was onefold as set out in the *Pest Control Products Act* hazard characterization section.

#### 3.3 Dermal absorption

A rat in vivo dermal absorption study for paclobutrazol was reviewed previously (PRVD2013-04). Based on the data presented in the study, a dermal absorption value of 19.3% was selected for the risk assessment of paclobutrazol end-use products. This value is applicable for the occupational and residential risk assessments of TRIMMIT which contains the same source of active ingredient, Paclobutrazol Technical (Reg. No. 24198) and is the same formulation type (suspension concentrate) as the formulation used in the dermal absorption study.

#### 3.4 Occupational and residential exposure assessment

#### 3.4.1 Acute hazards of end-use product and mitigation measures

#### **3.4.1.1 TRIMMIT**

The acute hazard assessment indicated that TRIMMIT (Paclobutrazol SC (A8164F) (23.5% a.i.)) is of low acute toxicity by the oral, dermal, and inhalation routes in the rat. It is not irritating to the eyes and skin of rabbits. It is not a skin sensitizer in mice using the LLNA method.

Based on these low acute hazards, and no hazard signal words required on the label, no additional personal protective equipment (PPE) is triggered for workers during mixing, loading, application, clean-up and repair. The PPE on the label is as follows: wear a long-sleeved shirt,

long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, socks and shoes during mixing, loading, application, cleanup and repair. Gloves are not required during application within a closed cab.

#### 3.4.2 Occupational exposure and risk assessment

#### 3.4.2.1 Mixer, loader and applicator exposure and risk assessment

Individuals have potential for exposure to paclobutrazol during mixing, loading, application, clean-up and repair. Dermal and inhalation exposure estimates were generated from the Agricultural Handlers Exposure Task Force (AHETF) database, the Outdoor Residential Task Force (ORETF) database and the Pesticide Handlers Exposure Database (PHED, v1.1) for mixers, loaders and applicators applying TRIMMIT to golf course turf using a groundboom sprayer, a turf gun sprayer or a backpack sprayer. The exposure estimates are based on handlers wearing a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, socks and shoes during mixing, loading, application, clean-up and repair (Appendix I, Table 6).

Dermal exposure was estimated by coupling the unit exposure values for the appropriate exposure scenario with 19.3% dermal absorption value (PRVD2013-04) and the amount of product handled per day, which was derived from the maximum application rate, the standard area treated per day values for each equipment and spray volume specified on the label for handheld equipment. Inhalation exposure was estimated by coupling the unit exposure values with the amount of product handled per day and 100% inhalation absorption. Exposure was normalized to mg/kg bw/day by using 80 kg adult body weight.

Dermal and inhalation unit exposure values were combined, since the dermal and inhalation reference values are based on the same study and thus on the same adverse toxicological effects. Total daily exposure estimates were compared to the selected toxicological reference value to obtain the margin of exposure (MOE); the target MOE is 300. Calculated MOEs are greater than the target MOE of 300 for all chemical handler scenarios for golf course turf and are therefore not of health concern (Appendix I, Table 7).

Considering both the acute toxicity and the risk assessment, the label directions for TRIMMIT, which include the personal protective equipment, are adequate to protect workers.

#### 3.4.2.2 Postapplication exposure and risk assessment

There is potential for exposure to workers entering areas treated with TRIMMIT to complete tasks such as transplanting, harvesting, mowing, watering, scouting and hand pruning. Given the nature of activities performed, exposure should be primarily via the dermal route based on dermal contact with treated turf. Inhalation exposure is not expected as paclobutrazol is considered non-volatile with a vapour pressure of  $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$  kPa at 20°C, which is less than the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) criterion for a non-volatile product for outdoor uses  $[1 \times 10^{-4}$  kPa ( $7.5 \times 10^{-4}$  mm Hg) at 20-30° C]. As such, a quantitative inhalation risk assessment is not required and the restricted-entry interval of until residues have dried is acceptable to allow suspended particles to settle and vapours to dissipate.

A postapplication dermal exposure risk assessment was conducted for each postapplication activity with the number of applications possible at the maximum rate. A turf transferable residue (TTR) study was not submitted for paclobutrazol. Therefore, exposure during each postapplication activity on treated turf was estimated with the day zero standard TTR of 1% of the maximum application rate dislodged from treated turf, the shortest re-treatment interval of 7 days for the maximum number of applications (three) and with the 10% standard dissipation rate per day, using ARTF transfer coefficients (TCs) values and the standard exposure duration of 8 hours workday. The MOEs were calculated using the toxicological reference value specified for paclobutrazol. Calculated MOEs for all postapplication activities on treated turf are greater than the target MOE of 300 on Day 0, after the maximum number of applications, and are therefore not of health concern (Appendix I, Table 8). Therefore, the proposed restricted-entry interval of until sprays have dried is acceptable for workers entering treated golf course turf.

#### 3.4.3 Residential exposure and risk assessment

#### 3.4.3.1 Handler exposure and risk assessment

TRIMMIT is not a domestic class product and is not permitted for use in residential settings; therefore, a residential handler exposure assessment is not required.

#### 3.4.3.2 Postapplication exposure and risk assessment

TRIMMIT is proposed for use on golf course turf which includes residential/recreational areas. As such, a postapplication residential exposure and risk assessment is required.

#### 3.4.3.2.1 Golf courses treated with TRIMMIT

Since TRIMMIT is for use on golf course turf, there is the potential for postapplication residential/ recreational exposure to paclobutrazol for golfers (adults, youth and children 6 to <11 years of age) entering treated turf areas of golf courses. The primary route of exposure for these individuals is through the dermal route for a short- to intermediate-term duration.

Dermal exposure to golfers is estimated by coupling the turf transferable residue (TTR) value with the activity specific transfer coefficient based on ARTF studies data from the 2012 United States Environmental Protection Agency Residential Standard Operating Procedures. TTR was calculated by using 1% of the maximum application rate with the shortest re-treatment interval of 7 days with the maximum number of applications (three), and the 10% dissipation rate per day. Exposure estimates after correcting for the dermal absorption of 19.3% were compared to the selected toxicological reference values for adults, youth and children 6 to <11 years of age to obtain the MOEs; the target MOE is 300 for adults and youth, and 100 for children 6 to <11 years of age. The calculated MOEs for dermal exposure are presented in Appendix I, Table 9. The estimated MOEs were all greater than the target MOE for each population. Therefore, health risks are not of concern for golfers playing on treated golf courses after the sprays have dried.

#### 3.4.4 Bystander exposure and risk assessment

Bystander exposure is considered negligible as application is limited to golf courses only when there is low risk of drift to areas of human habitation and human activity (other than golf courses) such as parks, school grounds, and playing fields, taking into consideration wind speed, wind direction, temperature inversions, application equipment and sprayer settings.

Therefore, bystander exposure and risk are not of health concern since the potential for drift is expected to be minimal.

#### 3.5 Dietary exposure and risk assessment

#### 3.5.1 Exposure from residues in food of plant and animal origin

Residue data for paclobutrazol in plant and animal foodstuffs are not required as there are no food or feed uses associated with paclobutrazol with either the registered uses on nursery and greenhouse ornamentals, or with the proposed use on golf course turf.

#### 3.5.2 Exposure from drinking water

A Level 1 drinking water assessment was conducted using conservative assumptions with respect to environmental fate, application rate and timing, and geographic scenario. The Level 1 estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) are intended to screen out pesticides that are not expected to pose any concern related to drinking water sources (groundwater and surface water). EECs for paclobutrazol in drinking water sources were calculated using the Pesticide in Water Calculator (PWC) version 2.0.

For surface water, PWC calculates the amount of pesticide entering a water body by runoff and spray drift, and the subsequent degradation of the pesticide in the water system. Groundwater EECs are calculated by simulating leaching through a layered soil profile and reporting the average concentration in the top 1-metre of a water table for several scenarios representing different regions of Canada. All scenarios are run for 50 years. Only the highest EECs from across the groundwater scenarios are reported.

The use pattern selected for the Level 1 drinking water modelling was intended to represent all proposed uses: 1 application of 172.5 g a.i./ha followed by 3 applications of 280 g a.i./ha, with a 7-day re-application interval. The EECs were modelled as a combined residue of paclobutrazol with the transformation products CGA 144907 and NOA 457654, the latter of which represented the tautomer pair hydroxyl-triazole and triazolone. The major fate inputs for the drinking water modelling and the Level 1 drinking water EECs are presented in Tables 10 and 11, respectively, of Appendix I.

Level 1 surface water EECs were not refined. The Level 1 groundwater EECs were refined at Level 2 using more specific, but still conservative, inputs with respect to application rate, application timing, and geographic scenario. The groundwater EECs cover all regions of Canada but are modified to represent turf only. A parent-daughter-granddaughter approach was used where the EECs for paclobutrazol and CGA 149907 were calculated separately from those for NOA 457654. The refined use pattern and groundwater EECs are presented in Appendix I, Table 12. Given the refined modelling, the groundwater EECs do not allow for future use expansion into other crops and cover only single turf ground-spray applications of no more than 280 g a.i./ha with an interval of 7 days and cumulative yearly applications of no more than 1012.5 g a.i./ha.

# 3.5.3 Dietary risk assessment

Acute and chronic dietary risk assessments were conducted using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model (DEEM–FCID<sup>TM</sup>, Version 4.02, 05-10-c), which incorporates consumption data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey/What We Eat in America (NHANES/WWEIA) for the years 2005–2010.

#### 3.5.3.1 Acute dietary exposure results and characterization

The acute dietary exposure (drinking water alone, refined Level 1) is estimated to be 16% (0.005 mg/kg bw/day)] of the ARfD for females 13–49 years old (95<sup>th</sup> percentile, deterministic) and is considered acceptable (Appendix I, Table 13).

#### 3.5.3.2 Chronic dietary exposure results and characterization

The chronic dietary exposure to paclobutrazol from drinking water alone (refined, Level 1) is estimated to be 7.9% (0.002 mg/kg bw/day) of the ADI for the total population. The highest exposure and risk estimate is for all infants (< 1 year) at 29.4% (0.006 mg/kg bw/day) of the ADI, which is not of health concern (Appendix I, Table 13).

#### 3.6 Aggregate exposure and risk assessment

There is potential for exposure to paclobutrazol for individuals via different routes of exposure concurrently. As such, for golfers, the chronic dietary exposure values from drinking water for specific subpopulations were aggregated with the residential/recreational dermal exposure values. Aggregate exposure estimates were compared to the aggregate toxicological reference values to obtain the MOEs; the target MOE is 300 for adults and youth, and 100 for children 6 to <11 years of age. The results of the aggregate risk assessment are presented in Appendix I, Table 14. The calculated MOEs were greater than the target MOE for each subpopulation, as such, there are no health risks of concern, and the golfers can enter the treated golf course once the sprays have dried.

#### 3.7 Cumulative assessment

The *Pest Control Products Act* requires the Agency to consider the cumulative effects of pest control products that have a common mechanism of mammalian toxicity. A Science Policy Note (SPN2018-02) entitled Cumulative Health Risk Assessment Framework describes the framework and methodology that Health Canada's PMRA uses for assessing the cumulative health effects of pesticides. Consistent with the approach outlined in SPN2018-02, Health Canada followed a weight-of-evidence approach to explore the potential for a common mechanism of mammalian toxicity for this active ingredient with other pesticides. Health Canada considered chemicals

within the same class of pesticides, which takes into consideration similarities with respect to structure and pesticidal mode of action.

In addition to identifying a common mechanism of toxicity, other important considerations must be explored as part of the process in determining the need to conduct a cumulative risk assessment (CRA). These considerations include defining and comparing the use patterns of the different chemicals belonging to a class of pesticides with a common mechanism of toxicity to determine if the same uses are registered, whether the uses are wide-ranging, if there are residential uses, the potential routes of exposure and the potential for co-occurrence of exposure to the different chemicals. There are no food uses associated with paclobutrazol. As no food uses are proposed for paclobutrazol, no dietary exposure from food commodities is anticipated. Accordingly, the potential contribution of paclobutrazol to the cumulative exposure of the conazole/triazole class would be through non-dietary exposure and drinking water exposure only.

Paclobutrazol is part of a group of plant growth regulators that acts as a gibberellin biosynthesis inhibitor. Other gibberellin biosynthesis inhibitors of the same class that are known to target the inhibition of gibberellic acid are registered in Canada (prohexadione-calcium, trinexapac-ethyl); however, the toxicological effects following exposure to this class of plant growth regulators are considered indicative of more generalized toxicity, and a common mechanism of mammalian toxicity has not been identified. Paclobutrazol is also part of the conazole class and shares fungicidal activities and structural similarities with other fungicides that contain a triazole moiety (for example, propiconazole, tebuconazole, difenoconazole, triticonazole, uniconazole-p, triadimenol, hexaconazole, metconazole). As a result of these structural similarities, conazole fungicides share common metabolites including 1,2,4-triazole and triazole conjugates.

Canadians may be exposed to these pesticides and pesticide metabolites through their diet, therefore, Health Canada examined the toxicology databases of these active ingredients and compared apical endpoints among the available toxicity studies. Variable toxicological responses are found for conazoles including: hepatotoxicity and hepatocarcinogenicity in mice, thyroid tumours in rats, as well as developmental, reproductive, and neurological effects in rodents. No clear common mechanism for toxicity has been confirmed on which to base a cumulative assessment for any of these effects for the conazole active ingredients. Furthermore, USEPA's most recent dietary (food and drinking water) assessment of the common triazole metabolites (USEPA, 2022) from direct exposure to the metabolites, as well as indirect exposure resulting from consumption of parent conazole fungicides followed by in vivo conversion to the metabolites, showed no health risks of concern. Combined non-dietary (including dermal, hand-to-mouth, object-to-mouth and soil ingestion) and dietary exposures also showed no health risks of concern.

#### 3.8 Maximum residue limits

Not applicable as there are no food uses associated with paclobutrazol with either the registered uses on nursery and greenhouse ornamentals, or with the proposed use on golf course turf.

#### 3.8.1 Health incident reports

As of 8 June 2023, the PMRA has received one human incident involving the active ingredient paclobutrazol. The incident was considered to be unlikely related to the reported exposure to paclobutrazol. The symptom as experienced by the individual (difficulty breathing) is not typical for the reported product when taking into consideration the reported route of product exposure (pesticide spill on hands). Additionally, the details surrounding the timing of symptom onset relative to paclobutrazol exposure were not clear.

The paclobutrazol product, Trimmit Plant Growth Regulator, contains precautionary statements to minimize inhalation exposure in mixers, loaders, and applicators.

#### 4.0 Impact on the environment

#### 4.1 Fate and behaviour in the environment

The environmental fate parameters for paclobutrazol and its major transformation products (TPs) are provided in Appendix I, Table 15. A summary of the major transformation products for paclobutrazol is provided in Appendix I, Table 16.

Abiotic processes are not expected to be important routes of dissipation for paclobutrazol in the environment. Paclobutrazol does not undergo significant hydrolysis or phototransformation. Volatilization is not expected to be an important route of dissipation for paclobutrazol based on its vapour pressure and Henry's law constant values.

Paclobutrazol is classified as slightly persistent to persistent in aerobic soils and persistent in anaerobic soils. Biotransformation in aerobic soils is the main route of dissipation in the environment, forming two major transformation products: CGA 149907 and NOA 457654. In soil laboratory studies conducted with paclobutrazol, CGA 149907 and NOA 457654 were observed to be more persistent than paclobutrazol. In contrast, these transformation products were observed to be non-persistent to moderately persistent in studies in which they were used as starting materials. The reason for this difference in persistence is uncertain. Depending on soil type, aerobic biotransformation of paclobutrazol may also result in large amounts of residues that are strongly bound to soil, and mineralization to  $CO_2$ .

In the field, paclobutrazol is slightly to moderately persistent. Carryover between growing seasons is not a concern. Considering the results of laboratory studies ( $K_{oc}$  values, soil column leaching), multi-criteria assessments (the criteria of Cohen et al. (1984) and Groundwater Ubiquity Scores (Appendix I, Table 17)), groundwater modelling, and field studies, paclobutrazol and its transformation products have the potential to be mobile in soil and are likely to leach to groundwater. A precautionary label statement is required that instructs users to avoid using TRIMMIT in areas more conducive to leaching (in other words, where the soils are permeable and particularly where the water table is shallow).

Paclobutrazol is persistent in aerobic and anaerobic aquatic systems, where it is expected to partition rapidly from water to sediment. Large amounts of strongly bound residues may form in

some sediments. Based on its bioconcentration factor, it is not likely to accumulate in aquatic organisms.

#### 4.2 Environmental risk characterization

The environmental risk assessment integrates the environmental exposure and ecotoxicology information to estimate the potential for adverse effects on non-target species. This integration is achieved by comparing exposure concentrations with concentrations at which adverse effects occur. Estimated environmental exposure concentrations (EECs) are concentrations of pesticide in various environmental media, such as food, water, soil and air. The EECs are estimated using standard models which take into consideration the application rate(s), chemical properties and environmental fate properties, including the dissipation of the pesticide between applications. The EECs used in the risk assessment and their calculation details are presented in Appendix I, Tables 18 (all organisms except birds and mammals) and 21 (birds and mammals). Ecotoxicology information includes acute and chronic toxicity data for various organisms or groups of organisms from both terrestrial and aquatic habitats including invertebrates, vertebrates, and plants. Acute and chronic ecotoxicological data for non-target terrestrial, freshwater and marine organisms are summarized in Appendix I, Table 19. Toxicity endpoints used in risk assessments may be adjusted to account for potential differences in species sensitivity as well as varying protection goals (in other words, protection at the community, population, or individual level). Toxicity endpoints used in the risk assessment and their associated uncertainty factors are in Appendix I, Tables 20 and 21.

Initially, a screening level risk assessment is performed to identify pesticides and/or specific uses that pose negligible risk to non-target organisms, and to identify those groups of organisms for which there may be a potential risk. The screening level risk assessment uses simple methods, conservative exposure scenarios (for example, direct application at a maximum cumulative application rate) and sensitive toxicity endpoints. A risk quotient (RQ) is calculated by dividing the exposure estimate by an appropriate toxicity value (RQ = exposure/toxicity), and the risk quotient is then compared to the level of concern (LOC = 0.4 for acute risk to pollinators, 2 for glass plate studies using the standard beneficial arthropod test species *Typhlodromus pyri* and *Aphidius rhopalosiphi*, and 1 in all other cases). If the screening level risk quotient is below the level of concern, the risk is considered negligible and no further risk characterization is necessary.

If the screening level risk quotient is equal to or greater than the level of concern, then a refined risk assessment is performed to further characterize the risk. A refined assessment takes into consideration more realistic exposure scenarios (such as drift to non-target habitats) and might consider different toxicity endpoints. Refinements may include further characterization of risk based on exposure modelling, monitoring data, results from field or mesocosm studies, and probabilistic risk assessment methods. Refinements to the risk assessment may continue until the risk is adequately characterized or no further refinements are possible.

#### 4.2.1 Risks to terrestrial organisms

TRIMMIT is applied as a foliar spray to golf course turf and grassy weeds. Terrestrial organisms, such as earthworms, bees, birds, mammals and terrestrial vascular plants may be exposed to paclobutrazol through direct contact with spray or spray drift, contact with sprayed surfaces, or from ingestion of contaminated food. A risk assessment for paclobutrazol and its major transformation products was performed based on available toxicity data for earthworms, bees, birds, mammals, and terrestrial plants. Toxicity data for the major transformation products CGA 149907 and NOA 457654 was available only for earthworms.

#### Earthworms and bees

Earthworms may be exposed to paclobutrazol in soil when it is applied to turf. Foraging bees may be exposed to spray droplets during application (contact exposure) or through the ingestion of pollen and nectar contaminated with paclobutrazol (oral exposure). Additionally, bee brood may be exposed to paclobutrazol if foraging bees bring contaminated pollen and nectar back to the hive. The screening level risk quotients ( $\leq 0.28$ ) for earthworms and bees were below the relevant levels of concern (Appendix I, Table 20). Also, turf is not a bee-attractive plant; therefore, the use of paclobutrazol on turf is not expected to result in exposure of bees as there is low potential for turf to be visited by pollinators. The risks to earthworms and bees from the use of TRIMMIT are negligible.

#### Birds and wild mammals

Birds and small wild mammals may be exposed to paclobutrazol through ingestion of contaminated food items sprayed with paclobutrazol. The screening level risk assessment assumed:

- The maximum paclobutrazol residue concentrations in food items;
- A diet composed entirely (100%) of a particular food (for example, insects or short grass); and,
- The feeding guild assumed to have the highest exposure for each animal weight category.

The results of the screening level risk assessment are in Appendix I, Table 21. The risk quotients indicated negligible risk to: all size classes of birds on an acute basis, large birds on a chronic basis, and small and large mammals on an acute basis (RQs:  $\leq 0.70$ ). The level of concern (in other words, 1) was slightly exceeded for the remaining risk quotients corresponding to: chronic risk to small and medium birds (RQs: 1.39 and 1.09), acute risk to medium mammals (RQ: 1.11), and chronic risk to all size classes of mammals (RQs: 1.22 to 2.36).

The screening level assumptions are conservative. With respect to both acute and chronic risk, it is not likely that bird and mammal diets consist entirely of one food item that is highly contaminated. In addition, considering chronic risk, it is unlikely that animals will remain in only one area (in particular, golf courses) for a prolonged period to forage for food.
The highest level of concern exceedances were linked to chronic risk to birds and mammals (RQs: 1.09 to 2.36). Chronic risk quotients were calculated based on no observed effect levels (NOELs). For birds, the NOEL of 35 mg a.i./kg bw/d was established based on a LOEL of 69 mg a.i./kg bw/d that resulted in a 26% reduction in female body weight. There were no significant effects on reproductive parameters at any test dose, the maximum of which was 138 mg a.i./kg bw/d. When considering the LOEL instead of the NOEL in risk quotient calculations, the maximum chronic risk quotient for birds is 0.71 (below the LOC; Appendix I, Table 22).

For mammals, the NOEL of 23 mg a.i./kg bw was established based on a LOEL of 117 mg a.i./kg bw/d for males and 124 mg a.i./kg bw/d for females that resulted in relatively minor 5 to 13% reductions in body weight gain. There were no significant effects on reproductive parameters at any test dose, the maximum of which was 117 mg a.i./kg bw/d for males and 124 mg a.i./kg bw/d for females. When considering the LOEL instead of the NOEL to calculate risk quotients, the maximum chronic risk quotients for mammals is 0.46 (below the LOC; Appendix I, Table 22).

In general, chronic effects on birds and mammals observed at the LOEL are expected to be even lower with more realistic feeding habits that include a diet consisting of diverse food sources obtained from different foraging areas that do not typically include golf courses. Overall, considering the relatively low level of concern exceedances and conservatisms in the risk assessment, the acute and chronic risks to birds and mammals do not require risk mitigation.

## Non-target terrestrial plants

Non-target terrestrial plants may be exposed to paclobutrazol through direct spray or spray drift. At the screening level, which assumed direct spray exposure, the risk quotients exceeded the level of concern of 1 (Appendix I, Table 20). Thus, the risk assessment was refined to consider off-field exposure to 6% spray drift one-metre downwind from the point of application. The refined risk quotients ranged from 0.63 to 8.5 (Appendix I, Table 23). Since some of the refined risk quotients still exceeded the level of concern, spray buffer zones are required to protect sensitive terrestrial habitats.

#### 4.2.2 Risks to aquatic organisms

Aquatic organisms could be exposed to paclobutrazol through spray drift or runoff that enter aquatic habitat. A risk assessment for paclobutrazol and its major transformation products was performed based on available toxicity data for invertebrates, fish, amphibians, algae, and vascular plants. Toxicity data for the major transformation products CGA 149907 and NOA 457654 was available only for vascular plants. The screening level risk assessment assumed exposure from direct overspray to a small water body. The risk quotients for marine organisms ranged from <0.025 to <0.052 (<LOC), which indicate acceptable risk to marine organisms (Appendix I, Table 24). For freshwater organisms, risk quotients exceeded the level of concern for fish, amphibians and vascular plants (RQs: 2.57 to 54.7). Risks to these organisms were further characterized by considering exposure via spray drift one metre downwind from the point of application, and runoff.

## Spray drift to aquatic habitats

The refined risk assessment for paclobutrazol exposure considered a reduction in spray drift from 100% to 6% and resulted in risk quotients below the level of concern for freshwater fish. However, the risk quotients for amphibians (1.44) and freshwater vascular plants (3.28) still exceeded the level of concern (Appendix I, Table 25). Spray buffer zones are required to protect sensitive freshwater habitats.

#### **Runoff to aquatic habitats**

The refined risk assessment for paclobutrazol exposure due to runoff considered runoff from a treated field into an adjacent water body, and the subsequent degradation of paclobutrazol in the water and sediments. Further details on the runoff risk assessment are in Appendix I, Table 18. The risk quotients still exceeded the level of concern for freshwater fish, amphibians, and vascular plants (Appendix I, Table 25). Since paclobutrazol is a plant growth regulator, the significant growth inhibition observed in the laboratory aquatic vascular plant study and associated high risk quotient of 33.0 are not unexpected. However, it is unlikely that aquatic plants along with freshwater fish (RQ: 1.55) and amphibians (RQ: 4.64) will be chronically exposed to the modelled conservative paclobutrazol levels in the water column, given the rapid partitioning of paclobutrazol from water to sediment that is expected in aquatic systems. In the two test systems assessed in the aquatic biotransformation study, approximately 30 to 85% of the initially applied radioactivity was observed in the sediment seven days after application. The amount of applied radioactivity in sediments increased until test termination at 120 days after application. Nevertheless, since there may be risk to aquatic organisms from runoff containing paclobutrazol residues, standard label statements are required to mitigate runoff.

#### 4.2.3 Environment incident reports

As of 8 June 2023, no environment incidents related to paclobutrazol have been submitted to the PMRA.

## 5.0 Value

Value information in the form of use history information from the United States and a Canadian precedent registration was submitted for review. TRIMMIT was first registered in the United States on golf courses in 1987. Since then, TRIMMIT has become a commercial standard turf growth regulator. The use history information demonstrated that TRIMMIT reduces turf growth and improves turf health, colour, density, and vigour. The frequency of mowing can be reduced by 50% for up to two months after each application. TRIMMIT also suppresses *Poa annua* growth and seed head production. All uses and claims requested for the Canadian registration are found on the United States TRIMMIT (renamed as Power-Guard 2SC PGR in 2013) label. Products containing paclobutrazol are currently registered in Canada for reduction of internode elongation, resulting in more desirable compact plants, on container grown ornamental bedding plants and plugs in greenhouses.

Trinexapac-ethyl is the only active ingredient currently registered for reduction of the frequency of mowing and the amount of grass clippings on well-maintained turf on golf courses and commercial sod farms. The registration of TRIMMIT provides users with another option to regulate turfgrass growth as well as suppress *Poa annua* growth on golf courses, which has been available in the United States for many years.

The application of TRIMMIT is compatible with current management practices including IPM on turfgrass. The label recommends using with high nitrogen fertility to maintain turfgrass appearance and reduce discolouration. The target plants are very unlikely to develop resistance to TRIMMIT.

# 6.0 Pest control product policy considerations

## 6.1 Assessment of the active ingredient under the Toxic Substances Management Policy

The Toxic Substances Management Policy (TSMP) is a federal government policy developed to provide direction on the management of substances of concern that are released into the environment. The TSMP calls for the virtual elimination of Track 1 substances, in other words, those that meet all four criteria outlined in the policy: persistent (in air, soil, water and/or sediment), bio-accumulative, primarily a result of human activity and toxic as defined by the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*. The *Pest Control Products Act* requires that the TSMP be given effect in evaluating the risks of a product.

During the review process, paclobutrazol and its transformation products were assessed in accordance with the PMRA Regulatory Directive DIR99-03<sup>6</sup> and evaluated against the Track 1 criteria. The PMRA has reached the conclusion that paclobutrazol and its transformation products do not meet all of the TSMP Track 1 criteria.

Please refer to Appendix I, Table 27 for further information on the TSMP assessment.

#### 6.2 Formulants and contaminants of health or environmental concern

During the review process, contaminants in the active ingredient as well as formulants and contaminants in the end-use products are compared against Parts 1 and 3 of the *List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern.*<sup>7</sup>

The list is used as described in the PMRA Science Policy Note SPN2020-01<sup>8</sup> and is based on existing policies and regulations, including the *Toxic Substance Management Policy* and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> DIR99-03, The Pest Management Regulatory Agency's Strategy for Implementing the Toxic Substances Management Policy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> SI/2005-114, last amended on June 24, 2020. See Justice Laws website, Consolidated Regulations, *List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> PMRA's Science Policy Note SPN2020-01, *Policy on the List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern under paragraph* 43(5)(b) of the *Pest Control Products Act* 

Formulants Policy,<sup>9</sup> and taking into consideration the Ozone-depleting Substances and Halocarbon Alternatives Regulations under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999, (substances designated under the Montreal Protocol).

The PMRA has reached the conclusion that Paclobutrazol Technical does not contain any substances identified in the *List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern*. The end-use product TRIMMIT contains the preservative 1,2-benzisothiazolin-3-one, which contains low levels of polychlorinated dibenzodioxins and furans (TSMP Track 1 substances). As the use of this preservative in pest control products at a maximum of 0.1% was reassessed by the PMRA in 2012 and was found to be acceptable because dioxin and furan levels are low/being managed as outlined in the PMRA Regulatory Directive DIR99-03 for the implementation of TSMP, the Agency position at this time is that no further action is required.

The use of formulants in registered pest control products is assessed on an ongoing basis through PMRA formulant initiatives and Regulatory Directive DIR2006-02.

# 7.0 Proposed regulatory decision

Health Canada's PMRA, under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act*, is proposing registration for the sale and use of Paclobutrazol Technical and TRIMMIT, containing the technical grade active ingredient paclobutrazol, for use on turfgrass on golf courses to slow the growth of turfgrass and suppress *Poa annua*.

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the health and environmental risks and the value of the pest control products are acceptable.

## Additional information being requested

Good Laboratory Practice (GLP)-compliant five-batch data representing commercial-scale production at the approved manufacturing site must be provided as post-market information.

DIR2006-02, Formulants Policy and Implementation Guidance Document

# List of abbreviations

↑	increased
$\downarrow$	decreased
°C	degrees centigrade
μg	microgram(s)
μΜ	micromolar(s)
9	female
3	male
1/n	exponent for the Freundlich isotherm
3-MA	3-methyladenine
4-OH ASDN	4-hydroxyandrostenedione
5-HIAA	5-Hydroxyindole-3-acetic acid
5-HT	serotonine
a.i.	active ingredient
abs	absolute
ACh	acetylcholine
AChE	acetylcholinesterase
AD	administered dose
ADD	absorbed daily dose
ADI	acceptable daily intake
ADME	absorption, distribution, metabolism and elimination
AGD	anogenital distance
AHETF	Agricultural Handler Exposure Task Force
AhR	Aryl hydrocarbon receptor
AICAR	5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-β-D-ribofuranoside
AKT	murine viral thymoma viral oncogene
ALB	albumin
aldh	aldehyde dehydrogenase
ALOX	arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase gene
ALP	alkaline phosphatase
ALS	acetolactate synthase
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
AMPK	adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase
AOPWIN	Atmospheric Oxidation Program for Microsoft Windows
APDM	aminopyrine n-demethylase
AR	applied radioactivity
ARfD	acute reference dose
ARTF	Agricultural Re-entry Task Force
AST	aspartate transaminase
ATG5	autophagy related 5 gene

atm	atmosphere
ATPD	Area Treated Per Day
BAF	bioaccumulation factor
Bax	Bcl-2 associated X, apoptosis regulator
BCF	bioconcentration factor
Bcl-2	B cell leukemia/lymphoma apoptosis regulator 2
BECN1	beclin 1 gene
BRAF	murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1
BUN	blood urea nitrogen
bw	body weight
bwg	body weight gain
С	Celsius
CAF	composite assessment factor
CALUX	chemically activated luciferase expression
CaN	calcineurin
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service
CAT	catalase
CEPA	Canadian Environmental Protection Act
СНО	Chinese hamster ovary cell line
cm	centimetre(s)
cm <sup>3</sup>	cubic centimetre(s)
$CO_2$	carbon dioxide
CR	Chemical-Resistant
CREA	creatinine
Cu/Zn-SOD	copper/zinc superoxide dismutase
CXCL-clc	chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand gene
СҮР	cytochrome P450 family enzyme
d	day(s)
DA	dopamine
DACO	data code
DART	developmental and reproductive toxicity
DEEM	Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model
DER	data evaluation report
DF	dry flowable
DFOP	double first-order in parallel
Dio1	iodothyronine deiodinase
DIR	Directive
DMSO	dimethyl sulfoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DOC	dissolved organic carbon
DT <sub>50</sub>	dissipation time 50% (the time required to observe a 50% decline in

	concentration)
DT90	dissipation time 90% (the dose required to observe a 90% decline in concentration)
dw	dry weight
EC <sub>25</sub>	effective concentration on 25% of the population
EC50	effective concentration on 50% of the population
EDD <sub>50</sub>	effective dietary dose on 50% of the population
EDE	estimated daily exposure
EDSP	Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program
EEC	estimated environmental concentration
EFH	Exposure Factors Handbook
EFSA	European Food Safety Agency
EGFR	epidermal growth factor receptor
EIIS	USEPA Ecological Incident Information System
ELS	early life-stage
EPI	Estimation Program Interface
ER	estrogen receptor
ER <sub>25</sub>	effective rate for 25% of the population
F0	parental generation
F1	first filial generation
F2	second filial generation
fc	food consumption
g	gram(s)
GABA	gamma-aminobutyric acid
GAP	Good Agricultural Practice
GD	gestational day
GIT	gastrointestinal tract
GLP	Good Laboratory Practice
Glu	glutamate
gnat	G protein subunit alpha transducin
GR	glucocorticoid receptor
GST	glutathione-S-transferase
GUS	groundwater ubiquity score
h	hour(s)
H4IIE	rat hepatocellular carcinoma-derived cell line
ha	hectare(s)
HCD	historical control data
HDT	highest dose tested
Hg	mercury
hpf	hours post fertilization

HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
hr	hour
hr(s)	hour(s)
IBR	integrated biomarker response
IC <sub>25</sub> / <sub>50</sub>	concentration estimated to inhibit $25/50\%$ of the enzyme activity
IGF	insulin growth factor
IORE	indeterminate order rate equation
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
ISO	International Standardization Organisation
IUPAC	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry
K <sub>d</sub>	soil-water partition coefficient
K <sub>F</sub>	Freundlich adsorption coefficient
kg	kilogram(s)
km	kilometre
Koc	organic-carbon partition coefficient
$K_{ m ow}$	n-octanol-water partition coefficient
KOWWIN	K <sub>OW</sub> Estimation Program for Microsoft Windows
kPa	kilopascal
L	litre(s)
LADD	lifetime average daily dose
LC3	microtubule-associated protein light chain 3
LC <sub>50</sub>	lethal concentration on 50% of the population
LD <sub>50</sub>	lethal dose on 50% of the population
LDD <sub>50</sub>	lethal dietary dose on 50% of the population
LLNA	local lymph node assay
LOAED	lowest observed adverse effect dose
LOAEL	lowest observed adverse effect level
LOC	level of concern
LOD	level of detection
LOEC	lowest-observed effect concentration
LOEL	lowest-observed effect level
LOQ	limit of quantitation
LR <sub>50</sub>	lethal rate 50%
LXR	liver X receptors
m	metre
M/L	Mixer/Loader
M/L/A	Mixer/Loader/Applicator
m <sup>3</sup>	cubic metre(s)
MAOA	monoamine oxidase A

MAPK	mitogen-activated protein kinase
MAS	maximum average score
MCF	Michigan Cancer Foundation-7 cell line
mg	milligram(s)
MIS	maximum irritation score
mL	millilitre(s)
mm	millimetre(s)
MNE	normetanephrine
MOA	mode of action
MOE	margin of exposure
MOEC	median observable effect concentration
mol	mole(s)
mPa	milliPascal(s)
MRID	US Master Record Identification Number
MRL	maximum residue limit
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
MS	mass spectrometry
MS/MS	tandem mass spectrometry
MTD	maximum tolerated dose
mTOR	mammalian target of rapamycin
Ν	North
N/A	not applicable
N/R	not required
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
nm	nanometres
NOAEC	no observed adverse effect concentration
NOAED	no observed adverse effect dose
NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
NOEC	no observed effect concentration
NOEDD	no observed effect dietary dose
NOEL	no observed effect level
NOER	no observed effect rate
NZW	New Zealand white
OC	organic carbon content
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OM	organic matter content
OPPTS	Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances
ORETF	Outdoor Residential Task Force
p53	tumour protein 53
p62	sequestosome 1
Pa	Pascal

PBI	plantback interval
PC	protein carbonyl
PCPA	Pest Control Products Act
PE	phosphatidylethanolamine
PG	phosphatidyl glycerol
PGR	plant growth regulator
PHED	Pesticide Handler Exposure Database
PHI	preharvest interval
p <i>K</i> a	dissociation constant
PLA2G	phospholipase A2 group
PMRA	Pest Management Regulatory Agency
PPAR	peroxisome proliferator activated receptors
ppb	parts per billion
PPE	personal protective equipment
ppm	parts per million
PRVD	proposed re-evaluation decision
PS	phosphatidylserine
PTGS	prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase
PWC	Pesticide in Water Calculator
RA	risk assessment
RAC	raw agricultural commodity
RBC	red blood cells
RD	residue definition
RED	Reregistration Eligibility Decision
REI	restricted entry interval
rel	relative
ROS	reactive oxidative species
RQ	risk quotient
RSD	relative standard deviation
RTI	Retreatment Interval
RXR	retinoid X receptor
S.S.	statistically significant
S9	mammalian metabolic activation system
SC	suspension concentrate
SD	standard deviation
SFO	single first-order
SI	stimulation index
SOD	superoxide dismutase
SPN	Science Policy Note
STMdR	supervised trial median residue
STMR	supervised trial mean residue

+	half life	
L <sub>1/2</sub>	half-life	
T3	tri-iodothyronine	
T4	thyroxine	
TC	Transfer Coefficient	
TCDD	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	
TG	triglyceride	
TH	thyroid hormone(s)	
TNOS	total nitric oxide synthase	
TP	transformation product	
TR	thyroid receptor	
t <sub>R</sub>	representative half-life	
TRR	total radioactive residue	
TSH	thyroid stimulating hormone	
TSMP	Toxic Substances Management Policy	
T-SOD	total superoxide dismutase	
TTR	Turf Transferable Residue	
TV101	human hepatoblastoma-derived cell line	
UAN	urea ammonium nitrate	
UF <sub>DB</sub>	uncertainty factor (database)	
UGT	UDP glucuronosyltransferase gene family	
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency	
UV	ultraviolet	
v/v	volume per volume dilution	
vtg1	vitellogenin 1	
w/w	weight per weight	
WBC	white blood cells	
wk	week(s)	
WoE	weight of evidence	
WP	wettable powder	
wt/wts	weight/weights	
XDH	xanthine dehydrogenase	
λ	wavelength	
μΜ	micromolar	
μΡα	microPascal	

# Appendix I Tables and figures

## Table 1Residue Analysis

Matrix	Method ID	Analyte	Method type	LOQ	Reference
Clay	-	Paclobutrazol	HPLC-MS-MS	1 ppb	PMRA No.
		R79105			3116813
Sandy	-	Paclobutrazol	HPLC-MS-MS	1 ppb	PMRA No.
loam		R79105			3116813
Drinking	-	Paclobutrazol	HPLC-MS-MS	0.05 ppb	PMRA No.
water		R79105			3116814, 3116815
Surface	-	Paclobutrazol	HPLC-MS-MS	0.05 ppb	PMRA No.
water		R79105			3116814, 3116815
Ground	-	Paclobutrazol	HPLC-MS-MS	0.05 ppb	PMRA No.
water		R79105			3116814

## Table 2Identification of select metabolite of paclobutrazol

Code	Chemical name	Source
CGA 205369	2-Hydroxy-3-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-	environmental degradate, residue
	yl)propanoic acid	in plants

## Table 3Toxicity Profile of Technical Paclobutrazol

Effects observed in both sexes are presented first followed by sex-specific effects in males, then females, each separated by semi-colons. Organ weight effects reflect both absolute organ weights and relative organ to body weights unless otherwise noted. Effects seen above the LOAEL(s) have not been reported in this table for most studies for reasons of brevity.

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
Toxicokinetics studies	
Excretion and tissue retention of a single oral dose (10 mg/kg)	Three male and three female rats were dosed by gavage with <sup>14</sup> C-labelled paclobutrazol at 10 mg
Wistar (Alpk) rats	given the material with higher specific activity placed in metabolism cages. From these animals, urine and feces
PMRA No. 1232560	were collected over solid carbon dioxide and expired air (from the 2 rats) was drawn through a series of traps to remove water vapour and other expired gases. Using triazole-labelled paclobutrazol following a single dose of 10 mg <sup>14</sup> C-paclobutrazol/kg bw, 75–87% of the dose was excreted within 48 hours. In males, 23–48% was excreted in urine and 44–64% in feces while in females the urinary route was predominant, 48–64% of the dose, with 26–41% excreted in feces. No significant excretion occurred in expired air. Most (81–91%) of the urinary excretion occurred within 24 hours indicating rapid absorption. Fecal excretion was somewhat slower than urinary excretion suggesting that some of the fecal <sup>14</sup> C was of biliary origin and, thus, the full extent of absorption may have been greater than that suggested by urinary excretion. Tissue levels at 96 hours were very low. Whole body radiography after 72 hours indicated the GI contents accounted for most of the residual radioactivity.
Whole body autoradiography study in the rat following a single oral dose (250 mg/kg)	One male and one female rat were given single oral (gavage) doses of 250 mg test material/kg bw and were placed in glass metabolism cages. Urine and feces were collected over solid carbon dioxide and removed at 24
Wistar (Alpk) rats	and 48 hours. Expired air was drawn through traps
PMRA No. 1232562	radioactivity. Forty-eight hours after dosing the rats were sacrificed. Following a single oral dose of 250 mg <sup>14</sup> C-paclobutrazol/kg bw, a whole body autoradiogram prepared 48 hours after dosing indicated that most of the radiolabelling was in the GI contents. In the male the amount in large intestine was larger than in the female which was consistent with the excretion pattern

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	observed. Low levels of radioactivity were noted in
	liver, kidney and perirenal fat.
Excretion and tissue retention of a single oral dose (5 mg/kg) Sprague-Dawley rats PMRA No. 1232564	Four male and four female rats were given a single dose, 5 mg of <sup>14</sup> C-test material/kg bw, by gavage and then placed in individual glass metabolism cages for collection of urine and feces. Urine and feces were frozen immediately by being collected over solid carbon dioxide and were removed at 24-hour intervals for 7 days post-dosing. The cages were rinsed after each collection. After 7 days the rats were sacrificed. Blood samples and brain, liver, kidney, heart, fat, GI contents, muscle, spleen, bone, gonads, lung and carcass were saved. Liver, kidney, fat, blood, plasma, GIT contents and carcass were analyzed for residual radioactivity. All fecal and urinary samples were analyzed for radioactivity. After a single oral dose of paclobutrazol at 5 mg/kg bw the test material was excreted in urine and feces mainly within 48 hours after dosing. Seven days after dosing tissue levels were low or not detectable. Radioactivity was found in GIT contents and in liver in most rats and in kidney in one female. None was detected in fat. There were no differences between males and females.
Excretion and tissue retention of a single oral dose (250 mg/kg) Sprague-Dawley rats PMRA No. 1232565	Four males and four females were given a single oral (gavage) dose of test material at 250 mg/kg bw. The animals were then placed in individual all glass metabolism cages. Urine and feces were collected over solid carbon dioxide and were removed at 24-hour intervals. The cages were rinsed after each collection. Seven days after dosing the animals were sacrificed. Blood, brain, liver, heart, fat, GIT contents, muscle, spleen, femur, gonads, lung and residual carcass were sampled. Radioactivity in liver, fat, kidneys, blood, plasma, GI contents and carcass were determined. Radioactivity of each collected sample of urine and feces was determined. Following a single oral dose of 250 mg <sup>14</sup> C-paclobutrazol/kg bw, most of the radioactivity was recovered in urine and feces in 72 hours with somewhat higher amounts in urine than in feces. There were no large differences between sexes although fecal excretion of a single dose of 5 mg/kg bw the rate of excretion was slower especially in the first 24 hours.

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	Tissue residues were largely confined to GI tract and
	liver and were low. There was no indication of
	accumulation in the tissues.
Bioaccumulation of repeated oral doses	Groups of 3 male rats received 5 mg $^{14}$ C-
(5  mg/kg/day)	paclobutrazol/kg bw/day for 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42 or
	49 (7 groups) days. The animals were sacrificed one day
Sprague-Dawley rats	after the final dose except for the six extra groups dosed
	for 49 days which were sacrificed at 3, 7, 14, 21, 28 or
PMRA No. 1232566	35 days after the final dose. Tissue levels increased with
	duration of dosing mainly in the organs of
	biotransformation and excretion. Fat levels were low,
	near the level of detection throughout dosing. Following
	cessation of dosing the tissue levels fell rapidly
	indicating that there was little bioaccumulation of
	paclobutrazol or its metabolites.
Absorption, excretion and tissue	Three male and three female dogs received a single oral
retention of a single oral dose (5 mg/kg)	(gavage) dose of 5 mg <sup>14</sup> C-paclobutrazol/kg bw. Each
	dog was placed in an individual metabolism cage and
Beagle dogs	urine and feces were collected over solid carbon dioxide
	and removed at 24-hour intervals, Blood samples from
PMRA No. 1232567	the jugular vein were taken at 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8,
	12, 24, 48, 72 and 168 hours. The metabolism cages
	were rinsed daily and the washings were analyzed.
	Seven days after dosing the dogs were sacrificed. Blood,
	plasma, brain, liver, kidney, heart, spleen, bone, GII
	contents, fat, gonads and lungs were retained. Liver,
	kidney, lat, blood, plasma and GI contents were
	uning faces blood (and plasma) were analyzed for
	urine, letes, blood (and plasma) were analyzed for redicactivity. Following administration of 5 mg test
	material/kg by to dogs, the material was rapidly
	absorbed with neak levels in blood at 0.5 to 1.5 hours
	after dosing. Most of the radioactivity was in the plasma
	Excretion was rapid with most of radioactivity found in
	urine and feces being excreted within 24 hours of
	dosing, urinary excretion was slightly higher than fecal
	excretion. There were no sex differences noted. The only
	tissue residue observed was in the liver of one male dog.
	There was no evidence of bioaccumulation of the test
	material in the tissues.
Biotransformation in the rat	Ten female rats were each given a single oral dose of
	250 mg <sup>14</sup> C-paclobutrazol/kg bw metabolism cages.
Wistar (Alpk:AP) rats	Urine and feces were collected over solid carbon dioxide
	and removed at daily intervals for 3 days. Additionally,
PMRA No. 1232568	two male and two female rats were anesthetized while
	bile cannulas were installed. Following recovery, each

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	rat received a single oral dose of 250 mg <sup>14</sup> C-
	paclobutrazol/kg bw and were placed in individual
	restraining cages. Bile, urine and feces were collected at
	24-hour intervals for four days. At the end of the dosing
	periods all rats were sacrificed. Paclobutrazol, given as a
	single dose, was readily absorbed with no parent
	compound detected in feces after 5 mg/kg bw and only
	5% of the dose excreted as the parent compound in feces
	at 250 mg/kg bw. The compound was extensively
	tertiary butyl mojety with no metabolism detected in the
	triazole or halogenated phenyl rings. The two main
	metabolites in urine, bile and feces were paclobutrazol
	diol and paclobutrazol acid which were both excreted in
	conjugated and unconjugated forms. A mechanism of
	biotransformation involving a two-stage oxidation
	process by way of the hepatic mixed function oxidase
	system is proposed. Following oxidation to the diol, the
	fate of the compound (excretion or further oxidation to
	the carboxylic acid) was sex and dose-dependent. At 250
	mg/kg males excreted about 20% of the dose in urine
	mainly as the acid while females excreted about 30% of
	the dose by this route but mainly as free and conjugated
	diol (2/3 diol 1/3 acid). At 5 mg/kg bw the same pattern
	was observed in males but females excreted more acid
	than at 250 mg/kg. In both sexes most of the dose was
	excreted in bile as diol conjugates which were
Acute Toxicity Studies	eliminated in feces.
Acute Toxicity Studies	
Acute oral toxicity	$LD_{50}$ ( $^{\circ}$ ) = 490 (394-642) mg/kg bw
	$LD_{50}(\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow}) = 1219 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
Mouse (Alderley Park SPF)	
	Moderate acute oral toxicity
PMRA No. 1231127	
	Deaths occurred in $\bigcirc \ge 800 \text{ mg/kg}$ bw and in $\bigcirc \text{ at } \ge 400$
	mg/kg bw, all within 48 hours after dosing. Unsteady
	gait was common in both sexes. Coma, hypothermia,
	piloerection and urinary incontinence were also
	common. Most survivors showed weight gains by Day
Acute oral toxicity	$LD_{50}(\mathcal{Z}) = 1954 (1147-4985) \text{ mg/kg hw}$
	$LD_{50}(\Omega) = 1336 (837-1969) \text{ mg/kg bw}$
Rat (Alderley Park SPF)	
	Slight acute oral toxicity
PMRA No. 1231127	

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	Deaths occurred at $\geq$ 640 mg/kg. All deaths occurred
	within 3 days for $\bigcirc$ or 4 days for $\bigcirc$ .
Acute oral (up-and-down)	$LD_{50} (\bigcirc) > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	Low acute oral toxicity.
PMRA No. 3277879	2000 mg/kg bw: 1/5 animal hypoactivity on day 1, 3/5 animals reduced fecal output days 1-2
Acute oral toxicity	$LD_{50}$ ( $\checkmark$ ) = 542 (432-717) mg/kg bw $LD_{50}$ ( $\updownarrow$ ) = between 400 and 640 mg/kg bw
Guinea pigs (Dunkin Hartley)	Moderate acute oral toxicity
PMRA No. 1231127	Deaths occurred within 48 hours after dosing at $\geq$ 800 mg/kg bw in $\Im$ and at $\geq$ 640 mg/kg bw $\Im$ . Unsteady gait was also observed in most animals dosed at $\geq$ 400 mg/kg bw. Comatose animals were only observed at the highest dose (800 mg/kg bw for $\Im$ , 640 mg/kg bw for $\Im$ ). The survivors appeared normal by Day 2. Weight loss was observed following treatment but weight gains were observed in all survivors by Day 14.
Acute oral toxicity	$LD_{50}$ ( $\bigcirc$ ) = 835 (200–2300) mg/kg bw $LD_{50}$ ( $\bigcirc$ ) = 937 (555–2026) mg/kg bw
Rabbit (New Zealand White)	Moderate acute oral toxicity
PMRA No. 1231127	Moderate acute orar toxicity
	All animals died at $\geq$ 1000 mg/kg bw. Most deaths occurred within 3 days after dosing. Unsteady gait was common. At the highest dose level there was excessive salivation (males), respiratory difficulties, increased tone of limbs, hypothermia and in two $\bigcirc$ , coma. Most signs of toxicity were no longer present by Day 10. Weight loss was observed in all animals following dosing and not all animals had recovered their initial body weight by Day 14.
Acute dermal	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	Low acute dermal toxicity.
PMRA No. 3277880	No clinical signs of toxicity
Acute inhalation	$LC_{50} > 2.02 \text{ mg/L}$
Rat (Wistar)	Low acute inhalation toxicity
PMRA No. 3280224	2.02 mg/L: wet fur on the day of exposure and the

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	majority of animals also exhibited staining around the
	nose. One female exhibited increases sensitivity to touch
	from days 1–3
Eye irritation	MAS = 8.1/110
	MIS = 13.7/110 at 24 hours
Rabbit (New Zealand White)	Persistence past 72 hours
PMRA No. 3277881	Mildly irritating to the eye
Skin irritation	MAS = 0.33/8
	MIS = 1/8 at 1 hour
Rabbit (New Zealand White)	
	Minimally irritating
PMRA No. 3277882	
Skin sensitization	Negative
LLNA	
	Not a dermal sensitizer.
Mouse (CBA)	
DMD A No. 2277882	
PMRA NO. 527/885	
Short-Term Toxicity Studies	
28-day range-finding oral toxicity (diet	Supplemental (range-finding)
Mouse (Crl+CD 1(ICD)DD)	NOAEL for liver offects: 8 6/10 mg/kg bw/day $\mathcal{Z}/\mathbb{O}$
Mouse (CII.CD-I(ICK)BK)	I O A EL for liver effects: $182/212 \text{ mg/kg bw/day } \sqrt{2}$
DMP A No. 3306823	EOAEE for fiver effects. $102/212 \text{ mg/kg ow/day} \bigcirc 7 \ddagger$
1 WIXA 100. 3370823	Effects at the LOAEL : hepatocyte centrilobular
	hypertrophy with cytoplasmic basophilic granules and
	micro- and macro-vacuolation $\uparrow$ rel liver wt $\uparrow$ ALT
	cholesterol.   TG $(\mathcal{A}^{\heartsuit})$ : $\uparrow$ abs liver wt $(\mathcal{A})$
	Limitations relative to current guideline include: low
	number of required organs weighed.
	However, endocrine sensitive tissues were examined; the
	brain, gonads, kidneys, lungs, liver, thymus, spleen and
	heart were weighed; and histological examination was
	performed for thyroid including parathyroids glands,
	uterus, ovaries, seminal vesicles, both testes with
	epididymides and the liver.
	The methodology and findings partoining to the liver
	were judged to be of sufficient quality and robustness to
	determine a NOAEL for liver effects

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
28-day range-finding oral toxicity (diet)	Supplemental (range-finding)
Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	NOAEL for liver effects: 88/4.6 mg/kg bw/day ♂/♀ LOAEL for liver effects: 130/87 mg/kg bw/day ♂/♀
PMRA No. 3396822	Effects at the LOAEL: $\uparrow$ incidence hepatocellular hypertrophy, $\uparrow$ liver wt ( $\Im/ \Im$ ); $\downarrow$ fc, $\uparrow$ incidence of liver midzonal macrovacuolation, $\uparrow$ cholesterol ( $\Im$ )
	Limitations according to current guideline include: eyes were not examined, only PT and APTT were measured as part of hematology examinations, only GPT and cholesterol were measured as part of clinical chemistry examinations, only the liver was weighed and examined for histopathology.
	The methodology and findings pertaining to the liver were judged to be of sufficient quality and robustness to determine a NOAEL for liver effects.
90-day oral toxicity (diet)	Supplemental
Rat (Wistar (Alpk:AP)) PMRA No. 1231115	Urinary concentration of a metabolite of paclobutrazol (the butyl acid) was measured at week 9–11. The metabolite was detected in significant quantities in the urine of the female controls, but the source of this metabolite was unknown, resulting in questions
	in the study. For this reason, the study was considered to be supplemental.
	93/107 mg/kg bw/day $\partial/Q: \downarrow$ bwg, $\downarrow$ fc, $\uparrow$ liver wt, $\uparrow$ APDM activity, $\downarrow$ PT, $\downarrow$ kaolin-cephalin time ( $\partial Q$ ); small focus of atrophic tubules in one testis (0, 0, 0, 2) ( $\partial$ ); $\uparrow$ cholesterol, $\uparrow$ ALT ( $Q$ )
	No effects on brain, testes and/or ovary weights. No abnormalities (macro- and microscopic) at necropsy on adrenals, pituitary, thyroid, seminal vesicles.
90-day oral (capsule)	NOAEL= 15 mg/kg bw/day
	LOAEL= 450 mg/kg bw/day
Dog (Beagle)	Effects at the IOAEI $\cdot$   by   by $\uparrow$ liver wt $\uparrow$ AID $\uparrow$
PMRA No. 3277884	APDM activity, $\downarrow$ ALB, $\uparrow$ TG, $\uparrow$ kidney wt ( $\eth/ \clubsuit$ ); $\uparrow$ incidence of minimal hepatocyte vacualation $\uparrow$
	incidence of minimal to slight hepatocyte fine fat
	deposition, ↑ incidence of slight mononuclear cell

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	infiltration, ↑ incidence of slight mononuclear cell
	infiltration, $\downarrow$ testes and epididymides wt, $\downarrow$ testes and prostate size, absence of spermatozoa, $\uparrow$ ALT ( $\mathcal{A}$ ):
	total protein ( $\mathcal{Q}$ )
Chronic toxicity/Oncogenicity studies	
18-month oncogenicity (diet)	NOEL: 15 mg/kg bw/day ♂/♀
	LOEL: 85 mg/kg bw/day ♂/♀
Crl:CD(SD)BR mice	Effects at the LOEL, I for (first form modes only) A linear
PMRA No. 1231138	wt $(3/2)$ ; $\downarrow$ TG, $\uparrow$ severity of liver steatosis (interim and terminal) (3)
	No evidence of tumourigenicity
24-month chronic toxicity/oncogenicity	NOAEL: 2.1/2.8 mg/kg bw/day ♂/♀
(diet)	LOAEL: 11/14 mg/kg bw/day ♂/♀
Rat (Crl:CD(SD))	Effects at the LOAEL:
	hypertrophy/steatosis ( $\overset{\frown}{\bigcirc}$ ); $\downarrow$ bwg ( $\bigcirc$ )
PMRA No. 1231122, 1231138	
	At the next dose (72 mg/kg bw/day): equivocal incidence of uterine stromal polyns (0% 10% 10%)
	14%)
	Historical control data: $6.7\% \pm 3.1\%$ range: 0-12%
	Equivocal evidence of tumourigenicity in $\mathbb{Q}$
Developmental/Reproductive toxicity	studies
2-generation dietary reproductive	Parental toxicity
toxicity	NOAEL: 23/25 mg/kg bw/day ♂/♀
$\mathbf{D} = t \left( \mathbf{W} \mathbf{U} = t - u \left( \mathbf{A} 1 + 1 - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D} \right) \right)$	LOAEL: 117/124 mg/kg bw/day ♂/♀
Kat (Wistar (Alpk:AP))	Effects at the LOAEL $\cdot$   by   by (Equand Eq) $\uparrow$
PMRA No. 1231142	incidence of chromodacryorrhea, thickened evelids,
	dental malocclusion (F <sub>0</sub> and F <sub>1</sub> ) ( $\Diamond \bigcirc$ ); $\downarrow$ fc (1–2 wks F <sub>0</sub> )
	(♂); $\downarrow$ fc (F <sub>0</sub> and F <sub>1</sub> ), $\uparrow$ liver wt (F <sub>0</sub> and F <sub>1</sub> ) with
	centrilobular fatty changes $(F_0)$ , $\uparrow$ cytoplasmic
	inflammatory cell infiltration ( $F_0$ ) ( $\circ$ )
	Reproductive toxicity
	NOAEL: 117/124 mg/kg bw/day $\partial'/\varphi$
	LUAEL: not determined
	Offspring toxicity
	NOAEL: 25 mg/kg bw/day $\bigcirc$

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	LOAEL: 124 mg/kg bw/day ♀
	Effects at the LOAEL: $\downarrow$ wt, $\uparrow$ liver wt (F <sub>1</sub> and F <sub>2</sub> ), $\uparrow$ incidence of chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids, dental malocclusion ( $\Diamond \uparrow$ )
	No evidence of sensitivity of the young
	Limitations relative to current guideline include: endocrine sensitive endpoints not measured: oestrus cycle measurements including qualitative or quantitative examination of the ovarian primordial or growing follicle population, sperm parameter measurements, timing of sexual maturation, anogenital distance, pituitary, testis, epididymis, prostate, seminal vesicles, coagulating gland, and uterus weights, examination of pup thyroid gland at necronsy
Developmental toxicity (gavage)	Maternal NOAFL: 40 mg/kg bw/day
(gui uge)	Maternal LOAEL: 100 mg/kg bw/day
Rat (Wistar (Alpk:AP))	
	Effects at the maternal LOAEL: ↓ bwg
PMRA No. 1231134	
	Developmental NOAEL: not determined
	Developmental LOAEL: 40 mg/kg bw/day
	Effects at the developmental LOAEL: $\uparrow$ transverse processes on 7 <sup>th</sup> cervical vertebra partially ossified (bilateral and unilateral + bilateral), $\uparrow$ extra 14 <sup>th</sup> ribs (bilateral)
	Effects at the high dose: $\uparrow$ cleft palate (3 fetuses in 2 litters)
	Evidence of sensitivity of the young
	Evidence of treatment-related malformations at maternally toxic dose
	Limitations relative to current guideline include: maternal animals were not dosed during most of the period of fetal phenotypic sex differentiation which is known to be hormone dependent; thyroid gland weight and histology as well as thyroidal hormones measurements were not performed in dams and no particular attention was given to the reproductive tracts;
	anogenital distance not measured.

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
Developmental toxicity (gavage)	Maternal NOAEL: 100 mg/kg bw/day
	Maternal LOAEL: not determined
Rat (Wistar (Alpk:AP))	Maternal
PMRA No. 1231136	No adverse effects
	Developmental NOAEL: 10 mg/kg bw/day Developmental LOAEL: 40 mg/kg bw/day
	Effects at the developmental LOAEL: $\uparrow$ transverse processes on 7 <sup>th</sup> cervical vertebra partially ossified (unilateral), $\uparrow$ extra (14 <sup>th</sup> ) short ribs (bilateral), $\uparrow$ incidence of bilateral slight to moderate pelvic dilatation, $\uparrow$ incidence of unilateral slight to extreme pelvic dilatation, $\uparrow$ bilateral slight to moderate ureteral dilation, $\uparrow$ incidence of unilateral slight to extreme ureteral dilation, $\uparrow$ incidence of unilateral slight to extreme
	Evidence of sensitivity of the young
	No evidence of treatment-related malformations
	Limitations relative to current guideline include: maternal animals were not dosed during most of the period of fetal phenotypic sex differentiation which is known to be hormone dependent; thyroid gland weight and histology as well as thyroidal hormones measurements were not performed in dams and no particular attention was given to the reproductive tracts; anogenital distance
Developmental toxicity (gavage)	Supplemental
Rabbit (New Zealand white)	Maternal
	75 mg/kg bw/day: abortions
PMRA No. 1232576	125 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bw (GD 6-9), ↓ bwg, deaths, ↓ fc GD 6-9
	Developmental 125 mg/kg bw/day: multiple vertebral variations, one case of encephalocele (equivocal), ↑ incidence of partially ossified skull (interparietal)
	Limitations relative to current guideline include: inadequate number of pregnant $\mathcal{Q}$ including control

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	group; maternal animals were not dosed during most of the period of fetal phenotypic sex differentiation which is known to be hormone dependent.
Developmental toxicity (gavage)	Maternal NOAEL: 75 mg/kg bw/day Maternal LOAEL: 125 mg/kg bw/day
Rabbit (New Zealand white) PMRA No. 1232601	Effects at the maternal LOAEL: $\downarrow$ bw, $\downarrow$ fc (GD 7-10)
1 WIXX INC. 1232001	Developmental NOAEL: 25 mg/kg bw/day Developmental LOAEL: 75 mg/kg bw/day
	Effect at the developmental LOAEL: one case of encephalocele (equivocal)
	Equivocal evidence of sensitivity of the young
	Equivocal evidence of treatment-related malformations in the absence of maternal toxicity
	Limitations relative to current guideline include: maternal animals were not dosed during most of the period of phenotypic sex differentiation which is known to be hormone dependent.
Genotoxicity studies	
In vitro bacterial assay	Negative
S. typhimurium	Tested up to limit concentration $\pm$ metabolic activation
PMRA No. 1232637	
In vivo chromosome aberration assay (gavage)	Negative for clastogenicity
Wistar (Alpk:SPF) rats	Tested up to MTD for 5 days
PMR 4 No. 1227766	
Dominant lethal assay	Negative
CD-1 ♂ mice	Tested up to 300 mg/kg bw/day for 5 days
PMRA No. 1232554	300 mg/kg bw/day: piloerection, urinary incontinence, and tremors at dosing, 1 death on day 4 of the dosing period
In vitro Mouse lymphoma mutation	Negative
assay	0
	Tested up to 140 $\mu$ g/mL $\pm$ metabolic activation

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
Mouse L5178Y TK +/- cells	
	Evidence of cytotoxicity at $\geq 102.5 \ \mu g/mL$
PMRA No. 1232551	
In vivo Mouse micronucleus assay	Negative
C57BL/6JBL10/Alpk mice	Tested up to 373 mg/kg bw
$\mathbf{DMPA} = 11/8783$	> 233 mg/kg bw: subdue nature uringry incontinence
1 WIXA NO. 1140/05	hunched posture tintoe gait eve discharge piloerection
	reduced stability splayed and abnormal gaits $(2/2)$
	(0, +)
	373 mg/kg bw: laboured breathing, coldness ( $3/2$ )
In vivo unscheduled DNA synthesis in	Negative
rat hepatocytes	
	Tested up to 400 mg/kg bw
Wistar (Alpk:AP-SPF) ♂ rats	
D. (D. 4.) 1. 1000555	400 mg/kg bw: evidence of cytotoxicity
PMRA No. 1232557	
Neurotoxicity Studies	
Acute neurotoxicity (gavage)	NOAEL= 150/30 mg/kg bw/day $\partial/\Box$
	LOAEL = 500/150  mg/kg bw/day  ?
Rat (Wistar)	
DMD A No. 2077997	Effects at the LOAEL: $\downarrow$ body temperature on Day I (3– 4 hours next deep) $\downarrow$ hug on Days 1.2 $\downarrow$ fo Days 1.2
PMRA NO. 527/887	4 nours post-dose), $\downarrow$ bwg on Days 1–2, $\downarrow$ 1c Days 1–2 ( $\mathscr{Z}$ ): $\downarrow$ motor activity (number of rearings $\downarrow$ total
	$(\bigcirc)$ , $\downarrow$ motor activity (number of rearings, $\downarrow$ total distance travelled (equivocal)) ( $\bigcirc$ )
Additional studies	
Hershberger bioassay	Negative for androgenicity and anti-androgenicity
Castrated & Crl:CD(SD) Sprague-	No paclobutrazol treatment–related effects were noted in
Dawley rats; weight of five androgen-	any of the 5 androgen-dependent tissues.
dependent tissues taken at necropsy	Desing was considered adaguate based on the dese
PMRA No. 3266383	response $\uparrow$ in liver wt (> 30% at the high dose)
Steroidogenesis assay	Steroidogenesis inhibitor
Sterordogenesis assay	
In vitro H295R cell cultures (derived	Decreases (statistically significant at $p < 0.05$ ) in
from human adrenal carcinoma, possess	testosterone concentrations were observed at $1 \times 10^{-6}$ M
all the key enzymes necessary for	and above (↓19–88%)
testosterone and estradiol biosynthesis)	
	Decreases (statistically significant at $p < 0.05$ ) in
PMRA No. 3260413	estradiol concentrations was decreased at $3.16 \times 10^{-5}$ M
	and above $(\downarrow 36-6\%)$

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	Reference compounds known to interfere with steroidogenesis functioned as expected. Hormone levels were measured with enzyme immunoassay kits.
Aromatase assay	Aromatase enzyme inhibitor
In vitro recombinant microsomes containing human aromatase and cytochrome P450 reductase PMRA No. 3260442	PaclobutrazolAromatase activity averaged $0.7287 \pm 0.0091$ nmol/mgprotein/min at $1.0 \times 10^{-10}$ M and $0.0835 \pm 0.0008$ nmol/mg protein/min at $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ M.IC <sub>50</sub> range for three runs: $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M to $1.58 \times 10^{-4}$ M
	<u>4-OH ASDN (positive control)</u> Aromatase activity averaged $0.6006 \pm 0.0866$ nmol/mg protein/min at $10^{-10}$ M and $0.0026 \pm 0.0006$ nmol/mg protein/min at $10^{-5}$ M.
Metabolite studies (Triazole lactic aci	<b>d</b> )
Acute oral (up-and-down)	$LD_{50} (\bigcirc) > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	No clinical signs of toxicity
PMRA No. 3277890	Low acute oral toxicity
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	Negative
S. typhimurium strains TA1535, TA1537, TA98 and TA100 and E. coli strains WP2 and WP2 uvrA	Tested up to limit concentration ± metabolic activation.
PMRA No. 3277891	
In vitro mammalian cell gene mutation assay (HGPRT locus)	Negative
CHO cells	Tested up to 1600 $\mu$ g/mL $\pm$ metabolic activation.
PMRA No. 3277889	Cytotoxicity +S9 at $\ge 400 \ \mu g/mL$
In vitro Chromosomal aberration assay in rat lymphocytes	Negative
PMRA No. 327788	Tested up to 1600 $\mu$ g/mL $\pm$ metabolic activation.
	Cytotoxicity +S9 at 1600 µg/mL

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results		
Additional data from the open scienti	Additional data from the open scientific literature		
Study on endocrine disruption effect of	Non-guideline		
paclobutrazol and uniconazole on the			
thyroid of male and female rats based	$\geq$ 32.5 mg/kg bw/day: $\downarrow$ T3 (not s.s.) ( $\bigcirc/\bigcirc$ ); $\downarrow$ T4 ( $\bigcirc$ );		
on lipidomics (Liu et al., 2022).	inflamed thyroid follicular cells $(\bigcirc)$		
SD rats dosed orally (gavage) with	260 mg/kg bw/day: $\downarrow$ T4, shrunk and split thyroid		
paclobutrazol at 32.5 or 260 mg/kg	follicular structure forming split tendency and distortion,		
bw/day in distilled water for 28 days	$\uparrow$ thyroid interstitial follicular edema gap ( $\bigcirc$ )		
Lipidomics in combination with	Male thyroid histology reported as: "male rats also		
network pharmacology	experienced different degrees of thyroid damage after		
were used to investigate the thyroid	administration of paclobutrazol"		
levels caused by paclobutrazol, and to	Unregulated and downregulated linid biomarkers of		
screen potential linid biomarkers	thyroid endocrine disruption in both seves of rats:		
sereen potentiar npra bromarkers.	ingroud endoernie disruption in ooth sexes of fuls.		
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 693	260 mg/kg bw/day: 3 PGs, 1 PS, 1 PA, 2 PEs ( $3<^{\circ}_{+}$ )		
	Identified common targets by Cytoscape: AKT1 and		
	AKT2, EGFR, BRAF, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAPK10,		
	MAPK14, IGF-1, IGF-IR		
Network pharmacology combined with	Non-guideline		
metabolomics approach to investigate			
the toxicity mechanism of	≥200 mg/kg bw/day: ↑ incidence of mild to moderate		
paclobutrazol (Yue et al., 2022).	hepatocyte cytoplasm puffing and spotty necrosis, $\uparrow$		
	incidence and severity of renal tubular degeneration and		
Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats dosed orally $(gavage)$ at 0, 200, 500 or 1000 mg/kg	abnormal dilations or renal corpuscles, $\downarrow$ urine CREA		
bw/day in distilled water for 30 days	>500 mg/kg bw/day: ↑ incidence of loose cytoplasm in		
owned in distinct water for 50 days	renal corpuscles. Bowman's cansule shrinks and minor		
	exudation. $\uparrow$ AST. $\uparrow$ serum CREA		
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 1529			
	1000 mg/kg bw/day: ↑ ALT, ↑ BUN		
	Metabolomics profiling:		
	In the serum sample, 27, 14, and 10 potential biomarkers		
	were significantly differentially expressed between the		
	control group and paclobutrazol-exposed groups of high		
	dose, middle dose, and low dose, respectively. In the		
	urine sample, 30, 19, and 13		
	potential biomarkers were significantly differentially		
	expressed between the control group and paclobutrazol-		
	exposed groups of high dose, middle dose, and low dose,		
	respectively. Further analysis with MetaboAnalyst 4.0		

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	identified 4 impacted metabolic pathways in the serum,
	including phenylalanine metabolism (L-phenylalanine;
	phenylpyruvate; hippurate), phenylalanine, tyrosine, and
	tryptophan biosynthesis (phenylpyruvate; L-
	phenylalanine), arachidonic acid metabolism
	(arachidonate), and tryptophan metabolism (L-
	tryptophan). There were 2 major metabolic pathways in
	the urine sample, including pentose and glucuronate
	interconversions (p-D-glucuronoside) and ribonavin metabolism (riboflavin)
	metabolisin (riboliavin).
	Network pharmacology:
	1497 hepatotoxicity-related and 1181 nephrotoxicity-
	related targets were obtained. Ultimately, 133
	hepatotoxicity- and 94 nephrotoxicity-related targets
	were tentatively identified using Venn analysis. In total,
	there were 10 related targets acquired after integrating
	metabolomics with the network pharmacology analysis
	result, of which 9 genes were hepatoloxicity-related
	(ALUAS, CIFIAZ, CIFZAO, CIFZEI, WAOA, DI A 2G2A DTGS1 UGT2R7 XDH) and 4 were
	nenhrotoxicity-related (CVP1A2 PLA2G2A
	PLA2G4A, XDH). Of these, with the molecular docking
	approach, it was determined that CYP1A2, CYP2A6,
	XDH, CYP2E1, MAOA, PLA2G2A and PTGS1 had
	good binding affinity to the targets with a binding
	energy < -5.0 kcal/mol (after 100 times of docking
	analysis for each target)
Synergistic effect of fenpropathrin and	Non-guideline
paclobutrazol on early life stages of	
zebrafish (Danio rerio) (Wang et al.,	Paclobutrazol toxicity (LC <sub>50</sub> at 96h [mg a.1./L]):
2020)	Embryos (23.43), juvenile (15.46) fish $\leq$ adult (13.69),
$\sim$ 350 zebrafish embryos (2 hours post-	
fertilization (hpf)/dish (in triplicate)	$0.07 \text{ mg/L}$ : $\uparrow$ GST. $\mid$ p53 mRNA. $\mid$ cas3 mRNA. $\mid$ dio1
were exposed to control vehicle or	mRNA, $\uparrow$ tsh mRNA, $\uparrow$ ER beta1 mRNA, $\downarrow$ crh mRNA
1/320 (0.07 mg/L), 1/80 (0.29 mg/L) or	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1/20 (1.2 mg/L) LC <sub>50 at 96h</sub> of	0.07 and 1.2 mg/L: ↑ ER alpha mRNA, ↑ cyp17 mRNA
paclobutrazol for 96 hours	
	$\geq$ 0.07 mg/L: $\uparrow$ T-SOD, $\uparrow$ Cu/Zn-SOD, $\uparrow$ ROS, $\downarrow$
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 1478	CYP450, ↑ T4 levels
	0.29 mg/L: ↑ MDA, ↑ T3 levels, ↓ vtg1 mRNA
	1.2 mg/L: ↑ cas9 mRNA, ↑ CXCL-clc mRNA, ↑ IL-8 mRNA

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
Single day treatment-a feasible tool in	Non-guideline
revealing not dependent on maternal	
toxicity teratogenic potential (Vergieva,	Maternal toxicity
1998).	≥50 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bwg (GD 6-15 dosing)
13 Wistar rat dams/group dosed at 50 or	200 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bw (GD 6-15 dosing)
200 mg/kg bw/day from GD 6 to 15 by	
gavage in 0.5% water solution of	Developmental toxicity
gumma arabicum.	200 mg/kg bw/day (GD 6-15 dosing): combined open
	eyes and micrognatia (2/116 fetuses in 2/11 litters), cleft
or	palate (2/39 fetuses), short mandibula (6.4%)
7.11 Wistor rat dama/aroun docad with	200 mg/kg by (GD 11 desing); combined open avec and
a single dose of 200 or 500 mg/kg bw	micrognatia (14/76 fetuses in 3/6 litters), cleft palate
on GD 7 9 11 or 13 by gayage in 0.5%	(12/25, 48%)
water solution of gumma arabicum	(12/23, 10/0)
8	500 mg/kg bw (GD 9 dosing): shortened mandibular
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 1368	(7/60 fetuses)
	500 mg/kg bw (GD 11 dosing): external anomalies
	(21/58 fetuses in 5/6 litters), cleft palate (100% of
	fetuses examined), shortened mandibular (25/32 fetuses)
Integrated gender-related effects of	Non-guideline
profenotos and paclobutrazol on	
neurotransmitters in mouse.	$\geq$ 9.8 mg/kg bw: $\downarrow$ 5-HIAA wks 3–4 ( $\bigcirc$ / $\updownarrow$ ); $\downarrow$ MNE at
6 mice/sex/group dosed every two days	wks 5–4 ( $_{\bigcirc}$ ); $\downarrow$ 5-H1 at wks 5–4 ( $\downarrow$ )
a = 0.98 24.5 or 49 mg/kg bw	> 24.5 mg/kg bw: $\uparrow$ ACh at wk 2 ( $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$ ): $\downarrow$ 5-HT at 2
naclobutrazol in 0.5% CMC by gayage	where $\uparrow$ donamine we $1$ ( $\land$ ): $\downarrow$ ACh at we $4$ ( $\heartsuit$ )
for 28 days	with $(0)$ , $(0)$ , $(0)$ , $(1)$
(Xu and Yang, 2020).	49 mg/kg bw: $\uparrow$ dopamine wks 3 ( $3/2$ ); $\uparrow$ dopamine wk
6,,	2, $\uparrow$ ACh at wk 3 ( $\Diamond$ ), $\uparrow$ dopamine (wks 1 and 4), $\downarrow$
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 1502	MNE at wks 3–4 $(\bigcirc)$
	Integrated biomarker response (IBR): In the female
	groups, the comprehensive responses for the six
	biomarkers increased at the first week of exposure as
	IBR of the mice were greater than zero. In the male
	groups, the neurotransmitter profiles in the mice
	increased at the first two weeks of exposure and then
Dealabutrazal avpacura induaca	Decreased in the following two weeks.
raciobuliazoi exposure induces	non-guidenne
hepatocytes via the AMPK/mTOP	IC co . 701 · 360 uM paclobutrazol + ranamycin slightly
signaling nathway (Luo 2021)	increased viability, paclobutrazol + 3-MA slightly
	decreased viability compared to paclobutrazol alone

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results			
HepaRG human hepatocytes ( $8 \times 10^4$				
cells/well) dosed at 0, 90, 180 or 360	$\geq$ 90 µM: $\uparrow$ ROS levels, $\uparrow$ SOD levels, $\downarrow$ ATG5 and			
$\mu$ M paclobutrazol for 24 hours (n = 6,	BECN1 mRNA levels, ↓ BECN1 protein levels, ↑ p62			
triplicate)	protein levels, ↓ transformation LC3I to LC3II			
	(decreased autophagy), ↓ AMPK phosphorylation levels,			
HepaRG human hepatocytes $(1 \times 10^5)$	↑ mTOR phosphorylation levels			
cells/well) dosed at 0, 90, 180 or 360				
$\mu$ M paclobutrazol for 72 hours (n = 6,	$\geq$ 180 µM: $\uparrow$ CAT levels, $\uparrow$ MDA levels, $\downarrow$ ATG5			
triplicate) with or without rapamycin or	protein levels			
3-MA.				
	360 $\mu$ M: $\uparrow$ annexinV-positive cells, $\downarrow$ Bcl-2 levels, $\uparrow$			
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 720	Bax levels, $\uparrow$ cleaved caspase-3 levels			
	Resveratrol (20 $\mu$ M) and AICAR (2 mM) prevented			
	paciobutrazol induced effects on measured pro- and anti-			
	inhibiting anontosis			
Patinoic acid protects and rescues the	Non guideline			
development of zebrafish embryonic	ron-guidenne			
retinal photoreceptor cells from	> 0.1 nnm:   aldh1a2 (at 48 hnf)			
exposure to paclobutrazol (Wang	$\sim$ 0.1 ppin. $\downarrow$ around 2 (at 40 npi)			
2017).	> 1 ppm:   eve size,   photoreceptor layer,   aldh1a3 (at			
	48 hpf)			
15 zebrafish embryos/group were				
exposed to 0, 0.1, 1, 5 or 10 ppm	5 ppm: $\downarrow$ gnat1, $\downarrow$ gnat2			
paclobutrazol with or without retinoic				
acid (RA) from 2 to 72 h post-	The paclobutrazol-induced decreased expression of aldh			
fertilization (hpf), and paclobutrazol-	was reversed by retinoic acid. The paclobutrazol-			
treated embryos (2–72 hpf) were	induced decreased eye size was partly reversed by			
exposed to RA for additional hours	retinoic acid			
until 120 hpf.				
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 1463	NY '1 1'			
Enantioselective neurotoxicity and	Non-guideline			
oxidative stress effects of paclobutrazol				
in zebrafish (Danio rerio) (Guo et al.,	$LC_{50}$ at 96 h of racemate: 20.89 mg/L L $C_{50}$ at 96 h of (25, 25) poolobutrozol: 21.62 mg/L			
2022).	$LC_{50}$ at 90 from (25, 55)-pactobutrazol: 21.02 filg/L I $C_{50}$ at 96 h of (28, 38) pactobutrazol: 18.41 mg/L			
60 zebrafish/aquarium exposed to 10	$LC_{50}$ at 90 h of (2 <i>K</i> , 5 <i>K</i> )-pactobult alor. 10.41 hig/L			
mg/L (2SR 3SR)-naclobutrazol	1 SOD and CAT activities (2% to 58%).			
[racemate] (2S 3S)-paclobutrazol (2R	(2R, 3R)-naclobutrazol exposed group at day 4 and 7			
3R)-paclobutrazol or dimethyl sulfoxide	were 1.09–1.35-fold larger than those in (2S, 3S)-			
sacrificed after 4, 7 or 14 days.	paclobutrazol-treated group			
,				
PMRA No. 3396215 p. 160	$\uparrow$ MDA (14% to 103%), $\uparrow$ PC (5% to 82%); (2 <i>R</i> , 3 <i>R</i> )-			
	paclobutrazol > $(2S, 3S)$ -paclobutrazol-treated group			

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results			
	$\downarrow$ AChE, $\uparrow$ ACh; AChE activity and ACh content in (2 <i>R</i> , 3 <i>R</i> )-paclobutrazol-treated group were 0.61–0.88 and 1.24–1.57 times than those in (2 <i>S</i> , 3 <i>S</i> )-paclobutrazol-treated group			
	$\uparrow$ CaN activities (36% to 86%); (2 <i>R</i> , 3 <i>R</i> )-paclobutrazol > (2 <i>S</i> , 3 <i>S</i> )-paclobutrazol (1.24-1.53 fold), $\uparrow$ TNOS (7% to 70%); (2 <i>R</i> , 3 <i>R</i> )-paclobutrazol > (2 <i>S</i> , 3 <i>S</i> )-paclobutrazol (1.21-1.53 fold)			
	↑ DA (1.51-1.73 fold), $\downarrow$ Glu (1.16-1.70 fold), $\downarrow$ GABA (1.1-1.57 fold); (2 <i>R</i> , 3 <i>R</i> )-paclobutrazol > (2 <i>S</i> , 3 <i>S</i> )-paclobutrazol			
	(2R, 3R)-paclobutrazol had stronger binding with the receptors than $(2S, 3S)$ -enantiomer through molecular docking.			
	The integrated biomarker response values further demonstrated that $(2R, 3R)$ -paclobutrazol showed stronger toxicity to zebrafish than $(2S, 3S)$ -enantiomer. All paclobutrazol treatments peaked after 7 days.			
Toxic effects of paclobutrazol on	Non-guideline			
developing organs at different exposure				
times in zebrafish (wang et al., 2019).	Survival rate $> 3.4 \text{ µM} \cdot \text{ }$ survival rate at 120 hpf (exposure starting			
Zebrafish embryos (80/plate in	from 48 hpf)			
triplicate) were exposed to 0, 0.34, 3.4				
or 17 $\mu$ M paclobutrazol beginning at 24, 36, 48, 60, 72 or 96 hours post- fertilization (hpf), and survival rate at 120 hpf was evaluated.	17 $\mu$ M: $\downarrow$ survival rate at 120 hpf (all exposures) an early paclobutrazol exposure had an impact on the survival rate of embryos: Exposure starting at 24 and 36 hpf led to lower survival rates compared to exposure starting at 48, 60, 72 or 96 hpf.			
r MRA NO. 5428888 p. 1429	Pericardial edema ≥ 3.4 μM: ↑ incidence of pericardial edema at 120 hpf (exposure starting ≤ 48 hpf)			
	17 μM: $\uparrow$ incidence of pericardial edema at 120 hpf (exposure starting at 60 or 72 hpf)			
	Head skeleton, early embryonic stages and precursor cell differentiation			
	≥ 3.4 µM: ↑ incidence of mild to severe malformation of pharyngeal arch development (embryos exposure			

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results				
	starting at 24 and 36 hpf). The severity of this				
	observation decreased in embryos exposure starting at $\geq$				
	60 hpf (no effects of paclobutrazol at 72 or 96 hpf).				
	Developing digestive organs				
	$\geq 0.34 \mu\text{M}$ : $\uparrow$ incidence and severity of liver-				
	development adverse effects (exposure starting at 24				
	hpf). Adverse developmental effects in liver were less				
	severe and frequent when exposure started after 48 hpt,				
	nancreas hypoplasia (exposure starting at 24 hpf)				
	Adverse developmental effects in pancreas were less				
	severe and frequent when exposure started from 36 hpf,				
	0.34 and 3.4 $\mu$ M: $\uparrow$ incidence of mild to severe intestine				
	hypoplasia (70% of embryos with exposure starting at 24 or 36 hpf)				
	$17 \text{ uM}$ : $\uparrow$ incidence of mild to severe intestine				
	hypoplasia (100% of embryos with exposure starting at				
	24 or 36 hpf)				
	Observation less frequent with exposure starting at 60				
	hpf and only 4% of embryos at the high dose with				
	exposure starting at 96 hpf				
The effect of paclobutrazol on the	Non-guideline				
development of zebrafish (Danio rerio)	5 nnm + noricerdial adams at 2 drf + heart loaning				
emoryos (Tekti et al., 2014).	defect at 3 dpf,   heart beat rate at 3 dpf,   head length at				
Zebrafish embryos exposed to 0, 5, 10,	5 dpf, $\downarrow$ eye size at 5 dpf, $\downarrow$ ceratohyal cartilage length				
20, 50, 100 or 150 ppm (equivalent to	and width at 5 dpf, $\downarrow$ lower jaw length at 5 dpf, $\downarrow$				
0, 17, 34, 68, 170 or 340 μM) in 0.01%	trabecula length at 5 dpf, $\downarrow$ col2a1 expression at 5 dpf				
DMSO (in triplicate)	(chondrocytes maturation)				
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 1519	$\geq 10$ ppm: $\uparrow$ apoptosis in the pericardial heart chamber at				
	3 dpf, $\downarrow$ sox9a expression in the pharynx at 2 dpf				
	(craniofacial chondrocyte differentiation and				
	maturation), $\downarrow$ dlx2 expression at 1.5 dpf (migratory				
	neural crest cells)				
	$\geq$ 20 ppm: $\downarrow$ survival at 5 dpf, $\downarrow$ hatching rate at 5 dpf, $\downarrow$				
	head width at 5 dpf				
Waterborne exposure of paclobutrazol	Non-guideline				
at environmentally relevant					
concentration induces locomotion	1.				
myperactivity in farvae and anxiolytic	$\geq 10  \mu g/L$ :   burst movement per minute				

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results			
exploratory behavior in adult zebrafish	light and dark periods (3- to 1.5-folds), ↑ rotation			
(Hussain, 2020).	movement in the dark period			
1. Acute neurotoxicity in 96 hours post- fertilization (hpf) zebrafish larvae (48/group) exposed to 10 or 100 μg/L paclobutrazol for 24 hours.	10 $\mu$ g/L: $\downarrow$ total distance traveled in both dark and light periods, $\downarrow$ distance covered light+dark period 100 $\mu$ g/L: $\uparrow$ distance covered in the dark period, $\downarrow$ distance covered in the light period			
2 Subchronic neurotoxicity in 6-month	distance covered in the right period			
old adult zebrafish (30 fish/group)	2			
exposed to 100 or 1000 μg/L paclobutrazol for 14 days.	$\geq 100 \ \mu g/L: \uparrow$ time spent in the top and total distance travelled at the top			
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 340	≥100 μg/L: ↓ average speed, ↑ freezing time movement, ↓ rapid movement time ratio			
	1000 $\mu$ g/L: $\downarrow$ mirror biting, $\downarrow$ longest duration in the mirror side, $\uparrow$ Ach, $\downarrow$ AChE, $\downarrow$ GABA, $\uparrow$ DA, $\downarrow$ SOD, $\downarrow$ ROS, $\downarrow$ cortisol, $\downarrow$ 5-HT			
Effects of currently used pesticides in	Non-guideline			
assays for estrogenicity, androgenicity,				
and aromatase activity in vitro	Cytotoxicity of paclobutrazol:			
(Andersen et al., 2002).	MCF-7 cells: $> 100 \ \mu M$			
	CHO cells: $> 100 \ \mu M$			
MCF-7 cells dosed at $10^{-6}$ to $5 \times 10^{-5}$ M				
paclobutrazol in ethanol in presence of	Estrogenicity of paclobutrazol:			
absence of 10 pM $1/\beta$ -estradiol	Results not reported for paclobutrazol			
(triplicate or quadruplicate)				
	Androgenicity of paclobutrazol:			
ER transactivation: $2 \times 10^{\circ}$ MCF-/	Paclobutrazol did not act as agonist.			
cells per well dosed at $10^{\circ}$ to $5 \times 10^{\circ}$	Paciobultazoi caused an innibilion of AK transactivation $at > 20$ uM in presence of changes of an dragen $B_{1881}$			
M paciobutrazoi în ethanoi în triplicate	$a_1 \ge 20 \ \mu \text{M}$ in presence of absence of androgen K1881			
or quadrupricate	Aromatase activity of paclobutrazol:			
AR transactivation: $5 \times 10^3$ CHO cells	No agonistic or antagonistic activity			
ner well in DMEM/F12 containing 10%	to agoinstic of anagoinstic activity			
charcoal-treated FBS dosed at $10^{-8}$ to 5				
$\times 10^{-5}$ M paclobutrazol in ethanol in				
presence or absence of synthetic				
androgen R1881 (triplicate or				
quadruplicate). Hydoxyflutamide 0.1–				
1000 nM used as positive control				
Aromatase assay: Human placental				
microsomes tested at 50 µM				
paclobutrazol.				

Study type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
4-OH ASDN at 1 µM used as positive	
control.	
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 1	
Effects of currently used pesticides in	Non-guideline
the AhR-CALUX assay: Comparison	
between the human TV101L and the rat	Paclobutrazol elicited differential responses in the two
H4IIE cell line (Long et al., 2003).	cell lines: Weak agonistic response in human cell line,
	no response in rat cell line
In vitro AhR-chemically activated	
luciferase expression (CALUX) assay	Human TV101L cell line
	LOEC: 50 µM, effect observed was 182% (agonistic
Human TV101L hepatoma cells (7 $\times$	response) of control solvent in absence of TCDD
10 <sup>4</sup> cells per plate)	MOEC: 50 μM
Rat H4IIE hepatoma cells $(2.21 \times 10^5)$	No antagonistic response was observed
cells/mL)	
	Rat H4IIE cell line
Both assays performed at 1, 2, 10, 25 or	No agonistic or antagonistic effect response
50 μM paclobutrazol in ethanol (in	
quadruplicate) in presence (antagonistic	
effects) or absence (agonistic effects) of	
positive control TCDD at 10 nM	
(TV101L) or 10 pM (H4IIE)	
PMRA No. 3428888 p. 703	

## Table 4Toxicity profile of end-use product TRIMMIT containing paclobutrazol

Effects are known or assumed to occur in both sexes unless otherwise noted; in such cases, effects observed in both sexes are presented first followed by sex-specific effects in males, then females, each separated by semi-colons.

Study	Study results		
type/Animal/PMRA #			
Acute toxicity studies			
Acute Oral (up-and-down)	$LD_{50} = 2958 \text{ mg/kg bw}$		
Rat (Wistar)	5000 mg/kg bw: all animals died within 2 days, dark red discoloration of the lungs		
PMRA No. 3116762			
	1750 mg/kg bw: decreased activity, hunched posture and incoordination, recovery by Day 1		
	Low acute oral toxicity		

Study type/Animal/PMRA #	Study results			
Acute Dermal	$LD_{50} \stackrel{<}{\bigcirc} \bigcirc > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$			
Rat (Wistar)	Low acute dermal toxicity			
PMRA No. 3116763				
Acute Inhalation	$LC_{50} ? = 3.99 \text{ mg/L}$			
Rat (Wistar)	2.67 mg/L: one female died on Day 1			
PMRA No. 3116764	≥ 2.67 mg/L: laboured respiration, increased respiratory rate, hunched posture, ataxia, decreased activity, prostration, weak and wasted condition. Animals recovered and all clinical signs ceased on Day 3 or 7. Decedent had dark red discoloration of the lungs			
Primary Eye Irritation	$MAS^{a} = 0/110$			
	$MIS^b = 2/110$ at 1 hour			
Rabbit (New Zealand				
white)	Non-irritating			
PMRA No. 3116765				
Primary Skin Irritation	$MAS^a = 0/8$			
	$MIS^b = 0/8$			
Rabbit (New Zealand				
white)	Non-irritating			
PMRA No. 3116766				
Skin Sensitization LLNA	Negative			
	Not a dermal sensitizer			
Mouse (CBA/J)				
PMRA No. 3116767				

# Table 5Toxicology reference values for use in health risk assessment for<br/>paclobutrazol

Exposure	Study	Point of departure and endpoint	CAF <sup>1</sup> or
scenario			target MOE
Acute dietary (general	Acute oral neurotoxicity study in	NOAEL = 30 mg/kg bw	100
population)	rats	Decreased number of rearings at 150 mg/kg bw.	
ARfD = 0.3 mg/kg	; bw		

Exposure scenario	Study	Point of departure and endpoint	CAF <sup>1</sup> or target MOE	
Acute dietary (females 13-49 years of age)	Oral developmental toxicity study in rats	Developmental NOAEL = 10 mg/kg bw Increased incidence of skeletal variations at 40 mg/kg bw/day in the absence of maternal toxicity	300	
ARfD = 0.03 mg/k	g bw			
Repeated dietary 2-year dietary combined carcinogenicity/chronic In toxicity study in rats (h		NOAEL = 2.1 mg/kg bw/day Increased incidence of liver lesions (hypertrophy/steatosis) and decreased body weight gain at 11 mg/kg bw/day	100	
ADI = 0.02  mg/kg	bw/day			
Short- and intermediate-term dermal (adults and youth) <sup>2</sup>	Oral developmental toxicity study in rats	Developmental NOAEL = 10 mg/kg bw/day Increased incidence of skeletal variations at 40 mg/kg bw/day in the absence of maternal toxicity.	300	
Short- and intermediate-term inhalation (adults) <sup>3</sup>	Oral developmental toxicity study in rats	Developmental NOAEL = 10 mg/kg bw/day Increased incidence of skeletal variations at 40 mg/kg bw/day in the absence of maternal toxicity	300	
Short- and intermediate term dermal28-day dietary range- finding toxicity study in ratsNO bw/ bw/Children)Incre macr incre hepa bw/d		NOAEL for liver effects = 4.6 mg/kg bw/day Increased incidence of liver midzonal macrovacuolation, increased liver weight, increased cholesterol, increased incidence of hepatocellular hypertrophy at 87 mg/kg bw/day	100	
Short- and intermediate term aggregate (adults and youth) Oral and dermal	t- and mediate term egate (adults youth) Oral and dermal: Oral developmental toxicity study in rats Oral and Dermal: Developmental NOAEL = 10 mg/kg bw/day		Oral and dermal: 300	
Short- and intermediate term aggregate (children) Oral and dermal	Oral and dermal: 28- day dietary range- finding toxicity study in rats	Common endpoint: Liver toxicity Oral and dermal: NOAEL for liver effects = 4.6 mg/kg bw/day	Oral and dermal: 100	
Cancer	Equivocal evidence of tumourigenicity in the rat at the highest dose tested. Toxicology reference values selected for non-cancer risk assessment are protective of any residual concerns regarding carcinogenic potential.			

<sup>1</sup> CAF (composite assessment factor) refers to a total of uncertainty and PCPA factors for dietary

assessments; MOE (margin of exposure) refers to a target MOE for occupational and residential assessments.

 $^2$  Since an oral NOAEL was selected, a dermal absorption factor (19.3%) was used in a route-to-route extrapolation.

<sup>3</sup> Since an oral NOAEL was selected, an inhalation absorption factor of 100% (default value) was used in route-to-route extrapolation.

	Unit exposure (µg/kg a.i. handled)					
Scenario	Worker task	Personal protective equipment	Dermal	Dermal adjusted with 19.3% dermal absorption	Inhalation	Dermal + Inhalation
AHETF e	xposure for Mixi	ng/Loading + A	pplication	(ML + A)		
А	Open mixing & loading Liquid, (AHETF)	Single layer (SL) + Chemical- resistant (CR) gloves	58.5	11.29	0.63	11.92
В	Applicator, open-cab groundboom (AHETF)	SL + CR gloves	25.4	4.90	1.68	6.58
AHETF exposures for Mixing + Loading + Application (M/L/A)						
A + B	Open mixing & loading + groundboom application	SL + CR gloves	83.9	16.19	2.31	18.50
ORETF (M/L/A)						
Turf handgun sprayer (M/L/A)		SL + CR gloves	785 (median)	151.51	4	155.51
PHED (M/L/A)						
Backpack	sprayer (M/L/A)	SL+ CR gloves	5445.85	1051.05	62.1 (moderate)	1113.15

#### Table 6 AHETF/PHED/ORETF unit exposures for chemical handler risk assessment

AHETF = Agriculture Handler Exposure Task Force

ORETF= Outdoor Residential Exposure Task Force

PHED = Pesticide Handler Exposure Database
Equipment	Task	PPE	M/L/A unit exposure (μg/kg a.i. handled) <sup>1</sup> (Dermal, adjusted for 19.3% dermal absorption +Inhalation)	Maximum rate (kg a.i./ha)	ATPD (ha/day) <sup>2</sup>	Total daily exposure (mg/kg bw/day <sup>3</sup> Dermal + Inhalation	MOE <sup>4</sup> target MOE = 300 Dermal + Inhalation
Groundboom	MLA	SL + CR gloves	18.5 (AHETF)	0.277	16	0.00103	9756
Turf handgun sprayer	MLA	SL + CR gloves	155.51 (ORETF)	0.277	16	0.00861	1161
Backpack sprayer	MLA	SL + CR gloves	1113.15 (PHED)	0.277	0.375	0.00145	6919

### Table 7Mixer/Loader/Applicator (M/L/A) and risk for TRIMMIT

SL = Singe layer of clothing, a long sleeved-shirt and long pants

CR = Chemical-resistant gloves

MLA = Mixer, loader and applicator

AHETF = Agriculture Handler Exposure Task Force

ORETF = Outdoor Residential Exposure Task Force

PHED = Pesticide Handler Exposure Database

<sup>1</sup> AHETF/ORETF/PHED total (adjusted dermal + inhalation) unit exposures from Table 6.

<sup>2</sup> Default Area Treated per day (ATPD table, 20-9-2017). For backpack sprayer, 150 L can be applied per day with a minimum of 400 litres/ha spray dilution = 0.375 ha/day

<sup>3</sup> Total daily exposure = (Combined unit exposure (dermal adjusted with 19.3% dermal absorption + inhalation)) × ATPD × rate) / (80 kg bw × 1000  $\mu$ g/mg).

<sup>4</sup> For paclobutrazol, NOAEL of 10 mg/kg bw/day from a rat development study, target MOE = 300.

#### Table 8Postapplication occupational exposure and risk for TRIMMIT on day 0 after last application

Сгор	# of total applications <sup>1</sup> ; RTI (days)	Rate (g a.i./ha)	TTR (μg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Postapplication activity	TC (cm <sup>2</sup> /hr) <sup>3</sup>	Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) <sup>4</sup>	MOE <sup>5</sup>
				Transplanting, Planting, harvesting	6700	0.0061	1635
Golf course turf	3; 7	277	0.0473	Mowing, watering, irrigation repair, cup changing, misc. grooming	3500	0.0032	3130
				Scouting, hand pruning, aerating, mechanical weeding, seeding	1000	0.0009	10954

<sup>1</sup>RTI = Retreatment Interval, the shortest re-treatment interval of 7 days supported by VRD was used to calculate TTR.

<sup>2</sup>Day zero TTR after third application on golf course turf, calculated as the standard 1% TTR after first application, 10% dissipation per day.

<sup>3</sup>ARTF Transfer coefficients from PMRA TC Table (July 11, 2018)

<sup>4</sup> Dermal Exposure = (Peak TTR × TC × 8 hr/day × 19.3% dermal absorption) / (80 kg BW × 1000  $\mu$ g/mg)

<sup>5</sup> Based on short-to intermediate-term NOAEL of 10 mg/kg bw/day, target MOE = 300

#### Table 9Postapplication residential/recreational exposure and risk for golfers

Postapplica-tion activity	Dermal absorption (DA)	TTR <sup>1</sup> (μg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Age (yrs.)	TC <sup>2</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> /hr)	ED <sup>3</sup> (hr/day)	BW <sup>4</sup> (kg)	Exposure <sup>5</sup> (mg/kg bw/day)	MOE <sup>6</sup>
Golfing	10.20/		16+	5300	4	80	0.0024	4134
	(PRVD 2013-04)	0.0473	11-<16	4400	4	57	0.0028	3548
			6-<11	2900	4	32	0.0033	1390

<sup>1</sup> Day zero TTR after third application, calculated in the default DFR calculator using the

standard 1% TTR after first application and 10% dissipation per day.

 $^{2}$  TC = Transfer coefficients from Residential SOPs

 $^{3}$  ED = Exposure duration

 $^{4}$  BW = Body weight

<sup>5</sup> Exposure = (dermal absorption value of 19.3 % × Peak TTR × TC × ED)/ (BW × 1000  $\mu$ g/mg)

<sup>6</sup> Based on the NOAEL of 10 mg/kg bw/day for adults and youth and 4.6 mg/kg bw/day for children 6-<11, target MOE = 300 for adults and youth and 100 for children.

#### Table 10 Major fate inputs for the modelling of the combined residues of paclobutrazol, CGA 149907 and NOA 457654

Fate Parameter	Value	Details
Koc	7.04 L/kg	$20^{\text{th}}$ percentile of 4 $K_{\text{oc}}$ values
Water half-life*	Stable	Single study
Sediment half-life**	3600 days	Longer of 2 values (1 study on 2 systems)
Photolysis half-life	300 days	Single value
Hydrolysis	Stable	Single study
Soil half-life	3268 days	90% upper confidence bound on the mean from 4 soils

\*Aquatic whole system

\*\* Anaerobic aquatic whole system

#### Table 11 Level 1 EECs of combined residues of paclobutrazol, CGA 149907, and NOA 457654 in potential sources of drinking water as the parent equivalent for the combined residue

Use pattern	Groun (μg a	dwater .i./L)	Surface water (µg a.i./L)		
	Peak <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Daily <sup>3</sup>	Yearly <sup>4</sup>	Overall <sup>5</sup>
1 application of 172.5 g a.i./ha plus 3 applications of 280 g a.i./ha at 7-day interval	1500	1400	54	9.5	6.6

<sup>1</sup> peak of daily concentrations

<sup>2</sup> average of post-breakthrough concentrations

<sup>3</sup> 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the highest 1-day average concentration from each year

<sup>4</sup> 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of yearly average concentrations

<sup>5</sup> Average of all yearly average concentrations

#### Refined EECs of combined residue of paclobutrazol, CGA 149907, and NOA Table 12 457654 in potential sources of drinking water as the parent equivalent

Use pattern	Groun (μg a	dwater a.i./L)	Surface water (µg a.i./L)		
	Peak <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Daily <sup>3</sup>	Yearly <sup>4</sup>	Overall <sup>5</sup>
1 application of 172.5 g a.i./ha plus 3 applications of 280 g a.i./ha at 7- day interval applied to turf	91	78	54	9.5	6.6

<sup>1</sup> peak of daily concentrations <sup>2</sup> average of post-breakthrough concentrations

<sup>3</sup> 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the highest 1-day average concentration from each year

<sup>4</sup> 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of yearly average concentrations

<sup>5</sup> Average of all yearly average concentrations

## Table 13Dietary exposure risk assessment

Dietary risk from food and drinking water							
Acute dietary exposure	Population	Estimated risk % of acute reference dose (ARfD)					
assessment, 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile		Drinking Water					
	All infants <1 year	5.5					
-ARfD = 0.3 mg/kg bw, except for females13+	Children 1–2 years	2.3					
-ARfD = 0.03  mg/kg bw for	Children 3–5 years	1.8					
females <sub>13+</sub>	Children 6–12 years	1.4					
Estimated acute drinking	Males 13–19 years	1.5					
water concentration (Refined, Level 1 ground water, peak of	Males 20–49 years	1.5					
daily concentrations) = 0.091	Adults 50+ years	1.4					
ppm	Females 13–49 years	16					

	Population	Estimated risk % of acceptable daily intake (ADI) Drinking Water
Chronic dietary exposure	All infants <1 year	29.4
assessment	Children 1–2 years	10.8
ADI = 0.02  mg/kg bw/day	Children 3–5 years	8.8
Estimated chronic drinking	Children 6–12 years	6.6
water concentration (Refined, Level 1 ground water, average	Youth 13–19 years	5.6
of post-breakthrough	Adults 20–49 years	7.8
concentrations) = 0.078 ppm	Adults 50+ years	7.6
	Females 13–49 years	7.7
	Total population	7.9

	E	xposure (mg/kg bw/d	ay)	Aggrogata	
Custom population subgroup <sup>1</sup>	Postapplication (golfing) dermal <sup>1</sup>	Postapplication (golfing) dermal <sup>1</sup> Drinking water dietary exposure (mg/kg bw/day) <sup>2</sup>		MOE <sup>3</sup> (Target = 300)	
Adults (16 <80 years old)	0.0024	0.001528	0.003928	2546	
Youth (11 – <16 years old)	0.0028	0.001049	0.003849	2598	
Children (6 – <11 years old)	0.0033	0.001369	0.00467	985	

#### Table 14 Aggregate exposure and risk for golfers

<sup>1</sup>Postapplication dermal exposure from Table 9

<sup>2</sup>Values are the mean per capita.

<sup>3</sup>Aggregate MOE = short- to intermediate-term Aggregate NOAEL of 10 mg/kg bw/day for adults and youth or 4.6 mg/kg bw/day for children 6 - <11 years of age/aggregate exposure. Target MOE is 300 for adults and youth and 100 for children 6 to <11 years of age.

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
Abiotic transfor	mation					·	•
Hydrolysis	Paclobutrazol	Sterile aqueous buffered solutions (pH 4, 7 and 9) at 25°C	Stable	N/A	None	Paclobutrazol is stable to hydrolysis.	1232571
Photo- transformation on soil	Paclobutrazol	18 Acres soil (sandy loam; pH 6.6, 4.3% OM)	$t_R/DT_{50}$ (summer sunlight equivalent at $25-30^\circ N$ ) = 172 days	SFO	None	Paclobutrazol does not undergo significant phototransformation on soil.	1232573
Aqueous photo- transformation	Paclobutrazol	Sterile pH 7 buffer water	$t_R/DT_{50}$ (summer sunlight equivalent at $30-50^\circ N$ ) = 134 days	SFO	None	Paclobutrazol does not undergo significant aqueous phototransformation.	3116818
Photo- transformation in air	Paclobutrazol is not expected to be volatile under field conditions based on its vapour pressure (0.0019 mPa at 25°C) and Henry's law constant ( $1/H \ge 10^8$ at 20°C). The AEROWIN (version 1.0) program in EPI Suite (version 4.11) predicts that 82% to 91% of paclobutrazol in the atmosphere is expected to be sorbed to atmospheric particles. The sorbed fraction may be resistant to atmospheric oxidation. Given the large fraction of paclobutrazol predicted to be sorbed to atmospheric particles, the AOPWIN program (version 1.92) in EPI Suite is not suitable for predicting the atmospheric half-life of paclobutrazol. A phototrapsformation study in air is not required						N/A
Biotransformati	on						
Biotransfor- mation in aerobic soil <sup>2</sup>	Paclobutrazol	Sarpy soil (loam; pH 6.7, 2.2% OC)	$t_R = 1573 \text{ days}$ $DT_{50} = 313$ $days$	DFOP	CGA 149907 CO <sub>2</sub> Unextracted residues	Paclobutrazol is classified as persistent in this soil.	3116820

# Table 15Fate and behaviour of paclobutrazol in the environment

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
	Paclobutrazol	18 Acres soil (loam; pH 6.6, 2.7% OC)	$t_{R} = 748 \text{ days}$ $DT_{50} = 547$ $days$	DFOP	CGA 149907 CO <sub>2</sub>	Paclobutrazol is classified as persistent in this soil.	1232582
	Paclobutrazol	18 Acres soil (sandy clay loam; pH 5.7, 2.6% OC)	$t_{\rm R}/{\rm DT}_{50} = 283$ days	SFO	Unextracted residues	Paclobutrazol is classified as persistent in this soil.	1450165
		Gartenacker soil (sandy loam; pH 7.7, 2.2% OC)	$\begin{array}{l} Paclobutrazol\\ t_R/DT_{50}=30.5\\ days \end{array}$	SFO SFO	CGA 149907 NOA 457654 (as tautomer pair with	Paclobutrazol is classified as slightly persistent in this soil.	
			$\begin{array}{l} CGA \ 149907 \\ t_{R}/DT_{50} = 101 \\ days \end{array}$	SFO	ketone) Unextracted residues	CGA 149907 is classified as moderately persistent in this soil.	
			NOA 457654 $t_R/DT_{50} = 253$ days			NOA 457654 is classified as persistent in this soil.	
		Pappelacker soil (sandy loam; pH 7.7, 1.3% OC)	$t_R/DT_{50} = 27.1$ days CGA 149907	SFO SFO	CGA149907 NOA 457654 (as tautomer pair with	Paclobutrazol is classified as slightly persistent in this soil.	
			$t_R/DT_{50} = 55.2$ days NOA 457654 $t_R/DT_{50} = 407$	SFO	Unextracted residues CO <sub>2</sub>	classified as moderately persistent in this soil.	
	CGA149907	Frensham	$\frac{t_R}{days} = 357 \text{ days}$	DFOP	The study did	NOA 457654 is classified as persistent in this soil. CGA 149907 is	3116821

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
	(synonym: R079105)	(sandy loam; pH 5.7, 1.3% OC)	$\begin{array}{c} DT_{50}=39.2\\ days \end{array}$		not attempt to identify TPs.	classified as slightly persistent in this soil.	
		18 Acres (sandy clay loam; pH 6.1, 2.3% OC)	$\begin{array}{l} t_R = 206 \ days \\ DT_{50} = 71.5 \\ days \end{array}$	DFOP		CGA 149907 is classified as moderately persistent in this soil.	
		Gartenacker (loam; pH 7.5, 1.8% OC)	$\begin{array}{c} t_R/DT_{50}=23.4\\ days \end{array}$	SFO		CGA 149907 is classified as slightly persistent in this soil.	
	NOA457654 (synonym: hydroxyl- triazole)	18 Acres (sandy clay loam; pH 5.2, 2.4% OC)	$\begin{array}{l} t_R/DT_{50}=5.8\\ days \end{array}$	SFO	Unextracted residues CO <sub>2</sub>	NOA 457654 is classified as non- persistent in these soils.	3116830
		Gartenacker (silt loam; pH 7.0, 2.6% OC)	$t_{\rm R}/{\rm DT}_{50} = 3.1$ days	SFO	Unextracted residues CO <sub>2</sub>	-	
		Borstel (loamy sand; pH 6.0, 0.85% OC)	$t_R/DT_{50} = 8.6$ days	SFO	Unextracted residues CO <sub>2</sub>		
		Pappelacker (sandy loam; pH 7.1, 1.7% OC)	$t_R/DT_{50} = 2.9$ days	SFO	Unextracted residues CO <sub>2</sub>		
Biotransfor- mation in anaerobic soil	Paclobutrazol	Sarpy (loam; pH 6.7, 2.2% OC)	Stable	N/A	None	Paclobutrazol is classified as persistent in anaerobic soil.	3116826
Biotransfor- mation in aerobic water-	Paclobutrazol	Winchester Lake (water: pH 7.7,	$t_{\rm R}/{\rm DT}_{50} = 647$ days (whole	SFO	None	Paclobutrazol is classified as persistent in these aerobic	3116827

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
sediment systems		3.1 mg/L DOC; sediment: sand,	system)			aquatic test systems. It partitioned rapidly	
5		0.6% OC)	$t_{\rm R} = 135 \text{ days};$	DFOP	-	from water to	
		,	$DT_{50} = 23.6$			sediment.	
			days (water)				
			Stable	N/A			
			(sediment)				
		Lake	Stable (whole	N/A	Unextracted		
		Okeechobee	system)		residues		
		(water: pH 7.9,	$t_{\rm R} = 7.1  {\rm days};$	IORE			
		36.7 mg/L	$DT_{50} = 1.9$				
		DOC;	days (water)		-		
		sediment:	Stable	N/A			
		sandy loam,	(sediment)				
	D 1 1 / 1	24.2% OC)	(DT 711	<u>aro</u>	CCA 140007	D 11 / 11	211(020
Biotransfor-	Paclobutrazol	Winchester	$t_{\rm R}/D1_{50} = 511$	SFO	CGA 14990/	Paclobutrazol 1s	3116829
mation in		Lake	days		Unextracted	classified as persistent	
anaerobic water		(water: $pH \delta$ ,	(whole		residues	in these anaerobic	
systems		2.4 mg/L DOC;	$t_{\rm T} = 274  \rm{days}$	DEOD	-	aquatic test systems. It	
		0.4% OC)	$t_{\rm R} = 274$ days,	Dror		from water to	
		0.470 00)	D150 - 22			sediment	
			$t_p/DT_{so} = 357$	SEO	-	seannent.	
			$\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10}$	510			
			(sediment)				
		Lake	$t_{\rm P} = 846 \text{ days}$	DFOP	Unextracted		
		Okeechobee	$DT_{50} = 723$	DIGI	residues		
		(water: pH 8.	days (whole		1		
		15.5 mg/L	system)				
		DOC;	$t_{\rm R} = 5.2$ days:	IORE	-		
		sediment:	$DT_{50} = 2.4$				
		sandy loam,	days (water)				

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
		14.1% OC)	Stable (sediment)	N/A			
Mobility	-	-	1		-	1	
Adsorption / desorption in soil	Paclobutrazol	Kagoshima (sandy loam, pH 5.8, 1.7% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 88.6$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	Paclobutrazol is classified as having high mobility in this soil.	3195716
		ERTC (sandy loam, pH 4.8, 0.3% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 237$ mL/g	N/A	n/a	Paclobutrazol is classified as having medium mobility in this soil.	
		Wisborough Green (silty clay loam, pH 4.8, 2.5% OC)	K <sub>OC</sub> = 99.7 mL/g	N/A	N/A	Paclobutrazol is classified as having high mobility in this soil.	
		Aberford (clay loam, pH 7.2, 5.1% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 33.4$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	Paclobutrazol is classified as having very high mobility in this soil.	_
	CGA 149907	NRTC (sandy loam, pH 5.1, 2.0% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 458$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	CGA 149907 is classified as having medium mobility in this soil.	3116833
		Kenny Hill (sandy loam, pH 7.4, 3.6% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 208$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	CGA 149907 is classified as having medium mobility in this soil.	
		18 Acres (silty clay, pH	$K_{\rm OC} = 265$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	CGA 149907 is classified as having	

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
		5.9, 2.9% OC) ERTC (sandy clay loam, pH 4.8, 0.3% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 577$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	medium mobility in this soil. CGA 149907 is classified as having low mobility in this soil.	
		Frensham (sandy loam, pH 5.9, 1.2% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 406$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	CGA 149907 is classified as having medium mobility in this soil.	
		Gartenacker (loam, pH 7.1, 2.3% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 213$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	CGA 149907 is classified as having medium mobility in this soil.	
	NOA 457654	Borstel (loamy sand, pH 6.4, 1.4% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 6.95$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	NOA 457654 is classified as having very high mobility in this soil.	3116831
		Gartenacker (loam, pH 7.3, 2.3% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 8.00$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	NOA 457654 is classified as having very high mobility in this soil.	
		Pappelacker (sandy loam, pH 6.9, 2.1% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 7.10$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	NOA 457654 is classified as having very high mobility in this soil.	
		18 Acres (loam, pH not reported, 2.7% OC)	$K_{\rm OC} = 11.5$ mL/g	N/A	N/A	NOA 457654 is classified as having very high mobility in this soil.	

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value Kine mod	etic Identified	Comments	PMRA No
Soil leaching	Paclobutrazol	18 Acres (sandy loam, pH 6.3, 4.7% OM) Frenshem	Soils were aged for ni weeks and were then leached for nine week During the aging perio unextracted residue (triazole-label only) an CGA 149907 were ma	ine None in the leachate od, od, nd ajor None in the	Triazole-labelled paclobutrazol was shown to have low mobility in this soil while methine- labelled paclobutrazol was immobile. Triazole-labelled	1232580
		(loamy sand, pH 5.8, 2.0% OM) Gore Hill (clay loam, pH 7.5, 14% OM)	transformation product only in Gore Hill soil. More than 85% of the total recovered radioactivity during the leaching period remain in the soil, while up to 13% was in leachate. Most of the recovered radioactivity in soil w in the top 10–15 cm.	ets, leachate he ne ned None in the leachate l vas nor	paclobutrazol was shown to be mobile in this soil while methine-labelled paclobutrazol was immobile. Triazole-labelled paclobutrazol was shown to be mobile in this soil while methine-labelled paclobutrazol was immobile.	
		Lilyfield (coarse sand, pH 5.7, 0.98% OM)	CGA 149907 were detected in leachate.	None in the leachate	Triazole-labelled paclobutrazol was shown to have low mobility in this soil while methine- labelled paclobutrazol was immobile.	

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
Volatilization	A volatilization s vapour pressure	study is not require (0.0019 mPa at 25	ed. Paclobutrazol <sup>(°</sup> C) and Henry's	is not expe law constar	cted to be volatilnt $(1/H \ge 10^8 \text{ at } 2)$	e under field conditions b $0^{\circ}$ C).	based on its
Field studies	· · ·	\$	· · ·		, <u> </u>		
Terrestrial field dissipation	Paclobutrazol formulated as 250 g a.i./L SC	Simcoe, Ontario (bare sandy loam soil, pH 7.5 and 1.99% OM in the 0– 30 cm depth range)	$\begin{array}{l} t_R = 277 \ days \\ DT_{50} = 68 \\ days \end{array}$	DFOP	None	Paclobutrazol declined to 26% of the initially applied mass in the 0 to 10 cm soil layer 364 days after treatment. Paclobutrazol is classified as moderately persistent in this soil, and is not likely to carry over from year to year. CGA 149907 was found in low amounts throughout the study, and reached a maximum concentration 281 days after treatment. Neither paclobutrazol nor CGA 149907 were detected in the 10 to 30 cm layer at any time up to 833 days after treatment. Since precipitation and	3116770

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
						not provided in the study report, it is uncertain if paclobutrazol and CGA 149907 may leach in this soil.	
		St. Davids, Ontario (bare silty clay soil, pH 7.7 and 4.28% OM in the 0–30 cm depth range)	$t_{R} = 181 \text{ days}$ $DT_{50} = 49$ $days$	DFOP	None	Paclobutrazol declined to 9% of the initially applied mass in the 0 to 10 cm soil layer 366 days after treatment. Paclobutrazol is classified as moderately persistent in this soil, and is not likely to carry over from year to year. CGA 149907 was found in low amounts until 366 days after treatment, and reached a maximum concentration 295 days after treatment.	
						nor CGA 149907 were detected in the 10 to 30 cm layer at any time up to 850 days	

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
						after treatment. Since precipitation and irrigation data were not provided in the study report, it is uncertain if paclobutrazol and CGA 149907 may leach in this soil	
	Paclobutrazol formulated as 25% SC	New York, USA (bare soil site; 0-45 cm: loam, 45-120 cm: sandy loam; pH 7.1-8.4, 0.1-2.0% OC)	$t_R = 154.6$ days DT <sub>50</sub> = 63.8 days	IORE	None	Paclobutrazol decreased to 11–12% AR in the 0 to 10 cm layer at 359 days. Paclobutrazol is classified as moderately persistent in the New York soil, and is not likely to carry over from year to year. Paclobutrazol was not specifically analyzed in soil samples collected below 10 cm of depth, because less than 1% AR was found in core sections from 10 to 40 cm depth. Thus, paclobutrazol leaching was negligible in the	3116769

Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
			model	major TPs <sup>1</sup>	New York soil. Soil solution samples collected from 30 and 40.6 cm depth below a triazole-label test plot across 11 months of a terrestrial field dissipation study in California support the conclusion of	<u>No.</u>
					triazole-label paclobutrazol.	
1	I				[ <b>[</b>	
Paclobutrazol	Bluegill sunfish ( <i>Lepomis</i> macrochirus) were exposed to paclobutrazol under flow- through conditions at a nominal concentration of 0.5 mg a.i./L for an uptake period of 14 days, followed	Whole-fish maximum BCF = 44 L/kg Elimination of paclobutrazol after three days of depuration was >95%. Residues returned to background levels after seven days of	N/A	Transforma- tion products were not measured.	Paclobutrazol does not readily bioconcentrate in fish tissue under the conditions of the study. However, paclobutrazol concentrations were highly variable during the uptake period. Steady-state concentrations during uptake could not be confirmed. Measured and modelled whole-fish	3116847 3346669
r	Test item           Paclobutrazol	Test item       Test medium         Image: Image	Test itemTest mediumValueIPaclobutrazolBluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus) were exposed to paclobutrazolWhole-fish maximum BCF = 44 L/kgElimination of paclobutrazol under flow- through concentration of 0.5 mg a.i./L for an uptake period of 14 days, followedWhole-fish maximum BCF = 44 L/kg	Test itemTest mediumValueKinetic modelImage: Second seco	Test item       Test medium       Value       Kinetic model       Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup> Image: Second	Test item         Test medium         Value         Kinetic model         Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup> Comments           New York soil.         Soil solution samples collected from 30 and 40.6 cm depth below a triazole-label test plot across 11 months of a terrestrial field dissipation study in California support the conclusion of negligible leaching of triazole-label test plot across 11 months of a terrestrial field dissipation study in California support the conclusion of negligible leaching of triazole-label test plot across 11 months of a terrestrial field dissipation study in California support the conclusion of negligible leaching of triazole-label test plot across 11 months of a terrestrial field dissipation study in California support the conclusion of negligible leaching of triazole-label test plot across 11 months of a terrestrial field dissipation study in California support the conclusion of negligible leaching of triazole-label test plot across 11 months of a terrestrial field dissipation study in California support the conclusion of negligible leaching of triazole-label test plot across 11 months of a terrestrial field dissipation study in California support the conclusion of negligible leaching of triazole-label test plot across 11 months of a terrestrial field dissipation study in conclusion of after three days of depuration was >95%. Residues returned to background levels after seven days of depuration d

Study type	Test item	Test medium	Value	Kinetic model	Identified major TPs <sup>1</sup>	Comments	PMRA No.
		period of 14 days.				triazole compounds with structural similarity and a similar mode of action to paclobutrazol support a low BCF (in other words, < 100 L/kg) for paclobutrazol.	
						Thus, paclobutrazol is unlikely to bioconcentrate or bioaccumulate in aquatic organisms.	

<sup>1</sup> Unextracted residues are presented as a major transformation product as they were formed at >10% AR; however, the composition is unknown and may represent a mixture of the parent and TPs.

<sup>2</sup> Biotransformation in aerobic soil: the 90% upper confidence level on the mean of the  $t_R$  values from the four available soils (with the 18 Acres soils averaged) is 1130 days.

Major transformation	Maximum mean concentration	Comments
product		
CGA 149907	Hydrolysis: not identified	Major
0	Phototransformation on dry soil: 4.15%	transformation
	AR (Day 33, irradiated; N/A for dark	product of the
	controls)	biotransformation
N	Aqueous phototransformation: 8.7% AR	of paclobutrazol in
	(Day 30, irradiated: N/A for the dark	aerobic soil and
	controls)	anaerobic aquatic
Synonyms: CGA 132263, R 079105,	Aerobic biotransformation in soil: 26.3%	systems.
ketone	AR (Day 35)	5,500000
IUPAC: (2RS)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-	Anaerobic biotransformation in soil:	Not considered a
4,4-	10.7% AR (Day 31)	major
dimethyl-2-(1H-1,2,4 triazol-1-yl)	Aerobic aquatic biotransformation: <3%	transformation
pentan-3-one	AR (reported as total with other minor	product in
Molecular weight: 291.8 g/mole	transformation products)	anaerobic soil as
	Anaerobic aquatic biotransformation:	test system was
	25.0% AR (Day 365)	still aerobic at the
	2010/01/lit (Duy 500)	time $>10\%$ AR
	$K_{\rm ex}$ mean of 355 (range of 208 to 577)	was observed
	mI $/\sigma$	
NOA 457654	Hydrolysis: not identified	Major
	Phototransformation on dry soil: not	transformation
он Н	identified	product of the
N O NN	Aqueous phototransformation: not	biotransformation
	identified	of paclobutrazol in
	A arabic histransformation in sail: 25.1%	or pactobuliazor in
Synonyms: NOA457654, triazolone,	ACCORDING DIOT AIISTOF MATION IN SOIL 25.170 A.P. (Day 70) as toutomor pair	
R118624	An (Day 70) as tautomet pair An acreabic biotransformation in soils not	
IUPAC: 1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-ol;	Anaerobic biotransformation in son; not	
2,4-dihydro-1,2,4-triazol-3-one	A suchia a quatia histuanaformation, not	
Molecular weight: 85.02 g/mole	Aeropic aquatic Diotransformation: not	
Molecular weight. 85.05 g/mole		
	Anaerodic aquatic diotransformation:	
	not identified	
	$K_{-}$ , mean of 8.38 (range of 6.05 to 11.5)	
	mI / $\sigma$	
Carbon dioxide	Hydrolysis: not identified	Maior
	Phototransformation on dry soil not	transformation
0=C=0	identified	product of the
Molecular weight: 44.01 g/mole	A queous phototransformation: 2 10/ AD	hiotransformation
	$(D_{av}, 30)$	of paclobutrazol in
	(Day 50) A arabic biotransformation in sail: 56 00/	or paciouurazor III
	ACTION DIVIDUATION HIATON III SOIL $30.9\%$	actoole 5011.
	An (Day 20)	Not considered a
	Anaeropic diotransformation in soil:	not considered a

## Table 16Major transformation products of paclobutrazol

Major transformation product	Maximum mean concentration	Comments
	10.6% AR (Day 90) Aerobic aquatic biotransformation: 1.6% AR (Day 120) Anaerobic aquatic biotransformation: 0.25% AR (Day 365)	major transformation product in anaerobic soil as net formation under anaerobic conditions was <10% AR.

## Table 17 Leaching assessment of paclobutrazol residues

Leaching criteria of Cohen et al. (1984) <sup>1</sup>							
	Test item <sup>2</sup>	Leaching					
Criteria	Paclobutrazol	criteria met?					
Solubility in water: >30 mg/L	23 mg/L in purified water	No					
$K_d$ (mL/g): <5 and usually <1 or 2	0.71–2.49 (4 soils)	Yes					
K <sub>oc</sub> : <300	33.43–236.8 (4 soils)	Yes					
Henry's law constant (atm m <sup>3</sup> /mol): <10 <sup>-2</sup>	$2.41 \times 10^{-10} \text{ atm m}^3/\text{mol}$	Yes					
pK <sub>a</sub> : Negatively charged (either fully or partially) at ambient pH	Paclobutrazol is not expected to dissociate under environmental conditions.	No					
Hydrolysis half-life: >20 weeks (>140 days)	Stable to hydrolysis	Yes					
Soil phototransformation half-life: >1 week (>7 days)	Half-life = 171 days (SFO) Half-life equivalent under natural sunlight = 293–317 days	Yes					
Half-life in soil: >2 to 3 weeks (>14 to 21 days)	27–547 days	Yes					
Groundwater ubiquity score (GUS) as	sessment for paclobutrazol <sup>3</sup>						
GUS distribu	tion plot	Notes					
Paclobuti	razol	The GUS					
Non-leacher 1.8 Bordertine 2.8	Leacher	distributions indicate that paclobutrazol and its transformation products are likely to be borderline leachers to leachers depending on the					
GUS score		soil type.					



Cohen et al. (1984). Potential pesticide contamination of groundwater from agricultural uses. In: R.F. Kruger and J.D., Seibor, eds. Treatment and disposal of pesticide wastes. American Chemistry Society Symposium Series No. 259, American Chemical Society: Washington, DC.

- <sup>2</sup> Sufficient information on the properties of the major transformation products were not available to assess their leaching potential using the criteria of Cohen et al. 1984.
- <sup>3</sup> Gustafson, D.I. 1989. Groundwater ubiquity score: A simple method for assessing pesticide leachability. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 8:339-357. GUS classifications are as follows: <1.8 = non-leacher; 1.8–2.8 = borderline leacher; >2.8 = leacher

Substance	EI	EC	Calculation details	Notes	
Soil: Screenin	g level ri	sk assess	ment		
Paclobutrazol	1007 g	; a.i./ha	Concentrations of paclobutrazol in soil were calculated based on: • direct spray of the application pattern resulting in the maximum FEC: 1	EECs in g a.i./ha were used to evaluate	
Paclobutrazol	0.447 dw	mg/kg soil	<ul> <li>× 172.5 g a.i./ha + 3 × 280 g a.i./ha with a 7-day re-application interval</li> <li>• a soil half-life of 1133 days (the 90% upper confidence level on the mean</li> </ul>	risks to non-target terrestrial plants	
CGA 149907	0.444 dw	mg/kg soil	<ul> <li>of the t<sub>R</sub> values from the soil aerobic biotransformation studies)</li> <li>a soil bulk density of 1.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and a soil depth of 15 cm</li> </ul>	NotesEECs in g a.i./hawere used to evaluaterisks to non-targetterrestrial plants(seedlingemergence).EECs in mg/kg dwsoil were used toevaluate risks toearthworms.Used in the refinedrisk assessment fornon-target terrestrialplants (seedlingemergence).The EECs in surfacewater at 15-cm depthwere used to evaluaterisk to amphibianswhile the 80-cmdepth EECswere used to evaluaterisks to all otheraquatic organisms.	
NOA 457654	0.129 mg/kg dw soil		54 0.129 mg/kg dw soil EECs for the major TPs were calculated considering 100% transformation of the parent on a molar basis. The conversion factor was calculated as the molecular weight of the TP divided by the molecular weight of the parent. <sup>1</sup>		EECs in mg/kg dw soil were used to evaluate risks to earthworms.
Soil: Refined	risk asse	ssment –	Spray drift		
Paclobutrazol	60.4 g a.i./ha		The paclobutrazol soil EEC in g a.i./ha (above) was adjusted to account for 6% spray drift deposition of medium sized spray droplets 1-metre downwind of the point of application (field sprayer).	Used in the refined risk assessment for non-target terrestrial plants (seedling emergence).	
Water: Screer	ning leve	l risk ass	essment (EEC in mg/L)		
Water depth:	15 cm	80 cm			
Paclobutrazol	0.671	0.126	Concentrations of paclobutrazol in water were calculated based on: • direct overspray of paclobutrazol to a one-hectare wetland with depths of 15 and 80 am	The EECs in surface water at 15-cm depth	
CGA 149907	CGA 149907       0.666       0.125         NOA 457654       0.194       0.036		<ul> <li>the same application pattern used for soil EECs</li> <li>a 1000 d water half-life (approximation for lack of whole-system decline</li> </ul>	risk to amphibians while the 80-cm	
NOA 457654			of paclobutrazol in one of the two aerobic aquatic test systems) Similar to soil, the EECs for the major TPs were calculated considering 100% transformation of the parent on a molar basis.	depth EECs were used to evaluate risks to all other aquatic organisms.	
Water: Refine	d risk as	sessmen	t – Spray drift (EEC in mg/L)		
Water depth:	15 cm	80 cm			

# Table 18EECs for paclobutrazol in the environment

Substance	EI	EC		Notes			
			The paclobutra	Used in the refined			
Paclobutrazol	0.0403	0.0076	6% spray drift	risk assessment for			
			downwind of t	he point of appli	cation (fiel	d sprayer).	aquatic organisms.
Water: Refine	ed risk as	sessmen	t – Spray drift i	to estuarine/mai	rine envir	onments	
Not required. F	Risks to e	stuarine/1	narine organism	s were negligible	e in the scr	eening level risk assessment.	
Water: Refine	ed risk as	sessmen	t – Runoff (EE)	C in mg/L)			1
Water depth:	15 cm	80 cm					
	0.130	0.076	96-h	The PWC (vers	ion 2.0) m	odel calculates the amount of	Used in the refined
	0.100	0.070	concentration	pesticide enterin	ng a 1 ha v	vater body of 15 or 80 cm depth	risk assessment for
				via runoff from	an adjacer	nt 10 ha field, and the subsequent	aquatic organisms.
				degradation of	the pesticio	de in the water and sediment. The	<b>T</b> I 0(1 101 1
				major paclobuti	razol fate 1	nputs used in the model were as	The 96-h and 21-d
				follows:			EECs were
					<b>X7</b>	D / 1	considered most
				Fate	relevant to the		
				parameter			endpoints used in the
				Koc	66.5 L /1	$20^{\text{m}}$ percentile of 4 K <sub>oc</sub> values	might account The
				XX / / *	L/Kg		nsk assessment. The
D 1 1 4 1	0 1 2 0	0.076	21 1	Water $t_R^*$	Stable	Single study	for those time
Paciobutrazoi	0.130	0.076	21-d	Sediment	846 d	Longer of 2 values (1 study on	windows were
			concentration	lR <sup>1-1</sup>	122.4	2 systems)	identical for each
				Photolysis IR	132 0 Stable	Single value	water body denth
						Single study	water body deptil.
				Soll t <sub>R</sub>	1150 a	90% upper confidence bound	
				*aarabia aquat	ia whole a	vetem **enegrabie aquetie vehele	
				system			
				system			
				Four application	n natterns v	were modelled. The application	
				nattern resulting	o in the ma	ximum FFCs was selected for use	
				in risk assessme	- nt in othe	r words the same application	
				pattern used for	· screening	level water EECs. The model was	

Substance	EEC	Calculation details	Notes				
		run for 50 years and the output was used to calculate					
		representative peak concentrations for different time					
		windows ranging from instantaneous to 90 days.					
Plant surfaces	: Screening level	and refined risk assessments (EEC in g a.i./ha)					
		In-field paclobutrazol EECs were calculated based on:	Used to evaluate in-				
	500	• direct spray of paclobutrazol to foliage	field and off-field				
	• the same application pattern used for soil EECs						
Paclobutrazol		• an assumed half-life of 10 days on plant surfaces	terrestrial plants				
		Off-field EECs were calculated by adjusting the in-field EECs by assuming	(vegetative vigor).				
	35.9	6% spray drift deposition of medium sized droplets 1-metre downwind of					
		the point of application (field sprayer application).					
Pollinators (b	ees): Oral exposu	re to pollen and/or nectar, and direct contact exposure (EEC in µg a.i./bee)					
	8.01	Estimated oral exposure for bees = application rate (0.28 kg a.i./ha) $\times$					
	(adult, oral)	adjustment factor					
		• Adult adjustment factor of 28.62 µg a.i./bee per kg a.i./ha was calculated					
		as the food consumption of 0.292 g/bee per day $\times$ 98 µg a.i./g per	Used to evaluate				
Paclobutrazol	3.40	kg a.i./ha (default tall grass residues).	risks to pollinators				
1 ucrobulluzor	(larvae, oral)	• Larvae adjustment factor of 12.15 µg a.i./bee per kg a.i./ha was	(hees)				
		calculated as the food consumption of 0.124 g/bee per day $\times$ 98 µg a.i./g	(0003).				
		per kg a.i./ha (default tall grass residues).					
	0.672	Estimated contact exposure ( $\mu g a.i./bee$ ) = 2.4 $\mu g a.i./bee/1 kg a.i./ha \times$					
	(adult, contact)	maximum single application rate (0.28 kg a.i./ha)					
Birds and man	mmals: Screenin	g level and refined risk assessments					
See Table 21 fo	or the EECs for fo	od items for birds and mammals.					
<sup>1</sup> The molec	ular weights for p	aclobutrazol, CGA 149907 and NOA 457654 are 293.8, 291.8 and 85.03 g/mol	, respectively.				

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Comments/ Degree of toxicity <sup>1</sup>	PMRA #
Terrestrial organi	sms				
Invertebrates					
Earthworm	5( 10)	Paclobutrazol SC (4 g a.i./L)	NOEC ≥ 3.92 mg a.i./kg dw soil	No effects on survival and reproduction at highest tested concentration.	3116837
(Eisenia andrei)	56-d Chronic	CGA 149907	NOEC = 171 mg/kg dw soil	Based on inhibition of reproduction.	3116862
		NOA 457654	NOEC = 165 mg/kg dw soil	Based on inhibition of reproduction.	3116863
	48-h Oral		$LD_{50} = 237$ µg a.i./bee	Practically non-toxic	3116838
	48-h Contact		LD <sub>50</sub> > 235 μg a.i./bee	Practically non-toxic	5110050
Bee ( <i>Apis mellifera</i> L.)	4-d Larval (repeated exposure)	Paclobutrazol SC	$EDD_{50}$ (adult emergence on Day 22) = 12 µg a.i./bee/day	N/A	3116840
	10-d Chronic Oral		LDD <sub>50</sub> > 161 µg a.i/bee/day NOEDD = 161 µg a.i./bee/day	N/A	3116841
Predatory arthropod, <i>Typhlodromus</i> <i>pyri</i> Parasitic arthropod, <i>Aphidius</i> <i>rhopalopsiphi</i>		Not required for	use-site catego	ory #30 (Turf)	
Birds					
Bobwhite quail ( <i>Colinus</i> <i>virginianus</i> )	5-d Dietary	Paclobutrazol	LD <sub>50</sub> > 923 mg a.i./kg bw	Practically non-toxic.	1232590

# Table 19Toxicity of paclobutrazol to non-target species

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Comments/ Degree of toxicity <sup>1</sup>	PMRA #
	One- generation (24-week) reproductive toxicity	Paclobutrazol	NOAED = 35 mg a.i./kg bw/d LOAED = 69 mg a.i./kg bw/d	The LOAED corresponded to a 26% reduction in female body weight. No significant effects on reproductive parameters at any test dose (max. dose:	2065738
				138 mg a.i./kg bw/d)	PMRA #         1         1         1         1         1         2065738         2         2         1232586         1232589         1         1         2     <
Mallard duals	Oral gavage	Paclobutrazol	LD <sub>50</sub> > 7913 mg a.i./kg bw	Practically non-toxic. No treatment- related mortalities were observed	1232586
	5-d Dietary	Paclobutrazol	LD <sub>50</sub> > 3339 mg a.i./kg bw/d	Practically non-toxic. No treatment- related mortalities were observed.	1232589
(Anas platyrhynchos)	One- generation (20-week) reproductive toxicity	Paclobutrazol	NOAED = 124 mg a.i./kg bw/d LOAED = 458 mg a.i./kg bw/d	The LOAED corresponded to: 23% reduction in hatchlings among live 3- week embryos and 20% reduction in hatchlings among eggs set and hatchling survivors among eggs	2065737

Organism	Exposure	Comments/ Degree of toxicity <sup>1</sup>	PMRA #		
Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica)	Oral gavage	Paclobutrazol	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2100 mg a.i./kg bw	set. Practically non-toxic. 20% mortality occurred at the highest dose tested.	1232587
Mammals					
Mouse (Alderley Park SPF)	Acute oral toxicity	Paclobutrazol	$mg a.i./kg$ $bw$ $LD_{50} \bigcirc =$ $1219 mg$ $a.i./kg bw$	Moderately toxic (♂) Slightly toxic (♀)	1231127
Rat (Wistar (Alpk:AP))	2-generation dietary reproductive toxicity	Paclobutrazol	$\sqrt[3]{Q}$ NOEL = 23/25 mg a.i./kg bw/d LOEL = 117/124 mg a.i./kg bw/d	The LOEL was based on $\downarrow$ in bw gain in parent F <sub>0</sub> and F <sub>1</sub> generations: over the entire test duration (F <sub>0</sub> $\Diamond$ only: 5%, F <sub>1</sub> $\heartsuit$ only: 9%); during pregnancy (F <sub>0</sub> and F <sub>1</sub> : 8%); and during lactation (F <sub>1</sub> only: 13%). No significant effect on reproductive parameters at any test dose (max. dose $\Diamond/\heartsuit$ : 117/124 mg a.i./kg bw/d).	1231142

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Comments/ Degree of toxicity <sup>1</sup>	PMRA #
Vascular plants		•			
Non-target terrestrial plants	Seedling emergence	Paclobutrazol	ER <sub>25</sub> = 13 g a.i./ha	Based on soybean shoot length. There is uncertainty with this endpoint because the soybean negative control did not meet the validity criteria for seedling emergence. However, this was not the most sensitive seedling emergence endpoint and does not affect the risk assessment.	2065739
		Paclobutrazol SC 250 (24.5% purity)	ER <sub>25</sub> = 7.07 g a.i./ha	Based on soybean shoot length.	3195745
	Vagatativa	Paclobutrazol	$ER_{25} = 57 g$ a.i./ha	Based on tomato shoot length.	2066312
	vigour	getative igour Paclobutrazol SC 250 $ER_{25} =$ (24.5% g a.5)		Based on tomato shoot length.	3195746
Freshwater organi	isms			[	
	48-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	$EC_{50} = 33.2$ mg a.i./L	Slightly toxic	1232593
Daphnia magna	22-d Chronic	Paclobutrazol	NOEC = 0.32 mg a.i./L	Based on inhibition of length and reproduction.	3116843

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Comments/ Degree of toxicity <sup>1</sup>	PMRA #
Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	LC <sub>50</sub> = 27.8 mg a.i./L	Slightly toxic	1232591
Bluegill sunfish ( <i>Lepomis</i> <i>macrochirus</i> )	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	$LC_{50} = 23.6$ mg a.i./L	Slightly toxic	1232592
Fathead minnow ( <i>Pimephales</i> <i>promelas</i> )	32-d Early life stage	Paclobutrazol	obutrazol NOEC = $0.049 \text{ mg}$ a.i./L $1\%$ inhibition of dry weight. The LOEC was based or $25\%$ inhibition of length and $41\%$		2065735
	96-h Acute (bluegill as surrogate)	Paclobutrazol	LC <sub>50</sub> = 23.6 mg a.i./L	Slightly toxic	N/A
Amphibian	21-d Amphibian metamorphosis (Xenopus laevis)	Paclobutrazol	NOEC = 0.028 mg a.i./L	The LOEC was based on 4 to 7% inhibition of hind limb length and snout-vent length	3316065
Freshwater algae ( <i>Rhaphidocelis</i> subcapitata)	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	EC <sub>50</sub> (yield) = 6.42 mg a.i./L	Moderately toxic	1232595
Freshwater algae (Anabaena flos- aquae)	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	EC <sub>50</sub> > 25 mg a.i./L	Indeterminate toxicity classification (slightly toxic, at most)	3116854
		Paclobutrazol	EC <sub>50</sub> (yield) = 0.00461 mg a.i./L	Very highly toxic	3116861
Vascular plants ( <i>Lemna gibba</i> )	7-d	CGA 144907	$\frac{\text{EC}_{50} \text{ (yield)}}{= 0.53 \text{ mg/L}}$	Highly toxic	3116859
		NOA 457654	$\frac{\text{EC}_{50} \text{ (yield)}}{= 32 \text{ mg/L}}$	Slightly toxic	3116860

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Comments/ Degree of toxicity <sup>1</sup>	PMRA #
Milfoil ( <i>Myriophyllum</i> <i>spicatum</i> L.)	4-week	Paclobutrazol	NOEC < 0.00075 mg a.i./L LOEC = 0.00075 mg a.i./L	Based on inhibition of stem length, fresh weight. Remained supressed after six-week recovery period after 1 day of exposure to 75 and 150 µg a.i./L.	3195748
Hydrilla ( <i>Hydrilla</i> <i>verticillata</i> )	4-week	Paclobutrazol	NOEC < 0.075 mg a.i./L LOEC = 0.075 mg a.i./L	Based on inhibition of stem length. Recovered to untreated control stem length after six weeks, regardless of duration of exposure to 750 µg a.i./L.	
Marine organisms					
Saltwater mysid ( <i>Americamysis</i> bahia)	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	LC <sub>50</sub> > 9.0 mg a.i./L	Indeterminate toxicity classification (moderately toxic, at most). <10% mortality at the highest concentration tested	3195725
Pacific oyster (Crassostrea gigas)	48-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	EC <sub>50</sub> > 9.9 mg a.i./L	Indeterminate toxicity classification (slightly toxic, at most). No mortality	3195726

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Comments/ Degree of toxicity <sup>1</sup>	PMRA #
				observed up to the highest concentration tested	
Sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus)	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	LC <sub>50</sub> > 24.3 mg a.i./L	Indeterminate toxicity classification (slightly toxic, at most). 10 and 100% mortality observed at 21.6 and 29.7 mg a.i./L, respectively	3195729

USEPA classification, where applicable

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	EEC	Endpoint value	UF	Effects metric	RQ	LOC	LOC exceeded?
Terrestrial	organisms	-			-	-			
Invertebrat	es								
Earthworm ( <i>Eisenia</i> andrei)	56.4	Paclobutrazol SC (4 g a.i./L)	0.447 mg a.i./kg dw soil	NOEC ≥ 3.92 mg a.i./kg dw soil	1	3.92 mg a.i./kg dw soil	≤0.11	1	No
	Chronic	CGA 149907	0.444 mg/kg dw soil	NOEC = 171 mg/kg dw soil	1	171 mg/kg dw soil	0.0026	1	No
		NOA 457654	0.129 mg/kg dw soil	NOEC = 165 mg/kg dw soil	1	165 mg/kg dw soil	< 0.001	1	No
	48-h Oral		8.01 μg a.i./bee	$LD_{50} = 237 \ \mu g$ a,i./bee	1	237 µg a,i./bee	0.034	0.4	No
Bee (Apis mellifera L.)	48-h Contact	Paclobutrazol	0.672 μg a.i./bee	LD <sub>50</sub> > 235 μg ai/bee	1	> 235 μg a,i./bee	< 0.0029	0.4	No
	4-d Larval (repeated exposure)	SC (approx. 24% purity)	3.40 μg a.i./bee/d	EDD <sub>50</sub> = 12 µg ai/bee/d	1	12 μg a,i./bee/d	0.28	0.4	No
	10-d Chronic Oral		8.01 μg a.i./bee/d	NOEDD = 161 µg a.i./bee/d	1	161 μg a,i./bee/d	0.050	1	No
Vascular pl	ants								
	Seedling emergence	Paclobutrazol	1007 g a.i./ha	$ER_{25} = 13 g$ a.i./ha	1	13	77.5	1	Yes
Non-target terrestrial plants	(soybean, shoot length)	Paclobutrazol SC 250 (24.5% purity)	1007 g a.i./ha	ER <sub>25</sub> = 7.07 g a.i./ha	1	7.07	142	1	Yes
	Vegetative vigour	Paclobutrazol	599 g a.i./ha	$ER_{25} = 57 g$ a.i./ha	1	57	10.5	1	Yes

## Table 20Screening level risk assessment for non-target terrestrial organisms (Except birds and mammals)

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	EEC	Endpoint value	UF	Effects metric	RQ	LOC	LOC exceeded?
	(tomato, shoot length)	Paclobutrazol SC 250 (24.5% purity)	599 g a.i./ha	ER <sub>25</sub> = 36.3 g a.i./ha	1	36.3	16.5	1	Yes

## Table 21Screening level risk assessment for birds and mammals

	Effects metric (mg a.i./kg bw/d) <sup>1</sup>	Feeding guild (food item)	EDE (mg a.i./kg bw) <sup>(2)</sup>	RQ	LOC	LOC exceeded?	
Small bird (0.02 k		-					
Acute	> 210.0	Insectivore	48.7	< 0.23	1	No	
Reproduction	35.0	Insectivore	48.7	1.39	1	Yes	
Medium bird (0.1	kg)						
Acute	> 210.0	Insectivore	38.0	< 0.18	1	No	
Reproduction	35.0	Insectivore	38.0	1.09	1	Yes	
Large bird (1 kg)							
Acute	> 210.0	Herbivore (short grass)	24.6	< 0.12	1	No	
Reproduction	35.0	Herbivore (short grass)	24.6	0.70	1	No	
Small mammal (0	.015 kg)						
Acute	200.0	Insectivore	28.0	0.14	1	No	
Reproduction	23.0	Insectivore	28.0	1.22	1	Yes	
Medium mammal	(0.035 kg)						
Acute	49.0	Herbivore (short grass)	54.4	1.11	1	Yes	
Reproduction	23.0	Herbivore (short grass)	54.4	2.36	1	Yes	
Large mammal (1	kg)						
Acute	49.0	Herbivore (short grass)	29.1	0.59	1	No	
Reproduction	23.0	Herbivore (short grass)	29.1	1.26	1	Yes	

The acute effect metric is the LD50 divided by an uncertainty factor of 10. 1

 $^{2}$  EDE = Estimated dietary exposure; calculated using the following formula: (FIR/BW) × EEC, where

FIR: Food Ingestion Rate (Nagy, 1987)

For generic birds with body weight less than or equal to 200 g, the "passerine" equation was used: Passerine Equation (body weight < or = 200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.398(BW in g) 0.850 For generic birds with body weight greater than 200 g, the "all birds" equation was used: All birds Equation (body weight > 200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.648(BW in g) 0.651

For mammals, the "all mammals" equation was used: FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.235(BW in g) 0.822

- BW: Generic body weight
- EEC: Concentration of pesticide on food item based on Hoerger and Kenaga (1972) and Kenaga (1973) and modified according to Fletcher et al. (1994), using the TRIMMIT application scenario resulting in the highest EECs (1 × 172.5 g a.i./ha + 3 × 280 g a.i./ha at 7-day intervals) and assuming a 10-day default half-life on food items such as vegetation. At the screening level, relevant food items representing the highest EEC for each feeding guild are used.

	Effects metric (mg a.i./kg bw/d) <sup>1</sup>	Feeding guild (food item)	EDE (mg a.i./kg bw) <sup>(2)</sup>	RQ	LOC	LOC exceeded?	
Small bird (0.02 kg)							
Reproduction	69.0	Insectivore	48.7	0.71	1	No	
Medium bird (0.1 kg)							
Reproduction	69.0	Insectivore	38.0	0.55	1	No	
Small mammal (0.015 kg)							
Reproduction	117	Insectivore	28.0	0.24	1	No	
Medium mammal (0.035 kg)							
Reproduction	117	17 Herbivore (short grass)		0.46	1	No	
Large mammal (1 kg)							
Reproduction	117	Herbivore (short grass)29.10.25		0.25	1	No	

### Table 22Refined risk assessment for birds and mammals

In the refined assessment, the reproduction effect metric is the LOEL determined for bobwhite quail in PMRA No. 2065738 for birds, and the LOEL determined for the Wistar rat in PMRA No. 1231142 for mammals.

<sup>2</sup> EDE = Estimated dietary exposure; calculated using the following formula: (FIR/BW)  $\times$  EEC, where

FIR: Food Ingestion Rate (Nagy, 1987).

1

For generic birds with body weight less than or equal to 200 g, the "passerine" equation was used: Passerine Equation (body weight < or = 200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.398(BW in g) 0.850For generic birds with body weight greater than 200 g, the "all birds" equation was used: All birds Equation (body weight > 200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.648(BW in g) 0.651

For mammals, the "all mammals" equation was used: FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.235(BW in g) 0.822

- BW: Generic body weight
- EEC: Concentration of pesticide on food item based on Hoerger and Kenaga (1972) and Kenaga (1973) and modified according to Fletcher et al. (1994), using the TRIMMIT application scenario resulting in the highest EECs (1 × 172.5 g a.i./ha + 3 × 280 g a.i./ha at 7-day intervals) and assuming a 10-day default half-life on food items such as vegetation. The relevant food items representing the highest EEC for each feeding guild are used.

### Table 23Refined risk assessment for non-target terrestrial plants

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	EEC (g a.i./ha)	UF	Effects metric (g a.i./ha)	RQ	LOC	LOC exceeded?
Non-target terrestrial plants	Seedling emergence (soybean, shoot length)	Paclobutrazol	ER <sub>25</sub> = 13 g a.i./ha	60.4	1	13	4.65	1	Yes
		Paclobutrazol SC 250 (24.5% purity)	ER <sub>25</sub> = 7.07 g a.i./ha	60.4	1	7.07	8.5	1	Yes
	Vegetative vigour (tomato, shoot length)	Paclobutrazol	ER <sub>25</sub> = 57 g a.i./ha	35.9	1	57	0.63	1	No
		Paclobutrazol SC 250 (24.5% purity)	$ER_{25} = 36.32 \text{ g}$ a.i./ha	35.9	1	36.3	0.99	1	No

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	EEC (mg/L)	Endpoint value (mg/L)	UF	Effects metric (mg/L)	RQ	LOC of 1 exceeded?	
Freshwater organisms									
Daphnia	48-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	0.126	$EC_{50} = 33.2$	2	16.6	0.008	No	
magna	22-d Chronic	Paclobutrazol	0.126	NOEC = 0.32	1	0.320	0.39	No	
Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	0.126	LC <sub>50</sub> = 27.8	10	2.78	0.045	No	
Bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus)	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	0.126	LC <sub>50</sub> = 23.6	10	2.36	0.053	No	
Fathead minnow ( <i>Pimephales</i> promelas)	32-d Early life stage	Paclobutrazol	0.126	NOEC = 0.049	1	0.049	2.57	Yes	
Amphibian	96-h Acute (bluegill surrogate)	Paclobutrazol	0.671	$LC_{50} = 23.6$	10	2.36	0.28	No	
	21-d Amphibian metamorphosis (Xenopus laevis)	Paclobutrazol	0.671	NOEC = 0.028	1	0.028	24.0	Yes	
Freshwater algae ( <i>Rhaphidocelis</i> subcapitata)	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	0.126	EC <sub>50</sub> = 6.42	2	3.21	0.039	No	
Freshwater algae (Anabaena flos-aquae)	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	0.126	EC <sub>50</sub> > 25	2	> 12.5	< 0.010	No	
Vascular		Paclobutrazol	0.126	$EC_{50} = 0.00461$	2	0.002	54.7	Yes	
plants ( <i>Lemna</i> gibba)	7-d	CGA 144907	0.125	$EC_{50} = 0.53$	2	0.265	0.47	No	
		NOA 457654	0.036	$EC_{50} = 32$	2	16.0	0.002	No	
Marine organis	sms								
Saltwater mysid (Americamysis bahia)	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	0.126	LC <sub>50</sub> > 9.0	2	> 4.5	< 0.028	No	

## Table 24Screening level risk assessment for non-target aquatic organisms

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	EEC (mg/L)	Endpoint value (mg/L)	UF	Effects metric (mg/L)	RQ	LOC of 1 exceeded?
Pacific oyster ( <i>Crassostrea</i> gigas)	48-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	0.126	EC <sub>50</sub> > 9.9	2	> 4.95	< 0.025	No
Sheepshead minnow ( <i>Cyprinodon</i> <i>variegatus</i> )	96-h Acute	Paclobutrazol	0.126	LC <sub>50</sub> > 24.3	10	> 2.43	< 0.052	No

### Table 25Refined risk assessment for non-target freshwater organisms

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	EEC (mg/L)	Endpoint value (mg/L)	UF	Effects metric (mg/L)	RQ	LOC of 1 exceeded?	
6% Spray drift									
Fathead minnow ( <i>Pimephales</i> promelas)	32-d ELS	Paclobutrazol	0.0076	NOEC = 0.049	1	0.049	0.15	No	
Amphibian (Xenopus laevis)	21-d Amphibian metamorphosis	Paclobutrazol	0.040	NOEC = 0.028	1	0.028	1.44	Yes	
Vascular plants ( <i>Lemna</i> gibba)	7-d	Paclobutrazol	0.0076	EC <sub>50</sub> = 0.00461	2	0.002	3.28	Yes	
Runoff									
Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas)	32-d ELS	Paclobutrazol	0.076	NOEC = 0.049	1	0.049	1.55	Yes	
Amphibian (Xenopus laevis)	21-d Amphibian metamorphosis	Paclobutrazol	0.130	NOEC = 0.028	1	0.028	4.64	Yes	
Vascular plants ( <i>Lemna</i> gibba)	7-d	Paclobutrazol	0.076	EC <sub>50</sub> = 0.00461	2	0.002	33.0	Yes	
Items	Label claims that are supported								
------------------	---								
Application rate	0.45–1.12 L/ha, use lower rate and more frequent applications if turf								
	discolouration cannot be tolerated.								
	Ensure that the rates are measured accurately since excessive rate may								
	cause undesirable turf growth control and discolour grass temporarily.								
Efficacy claims	Reduces the frequency of mowing by regulating the growth of turfgrass.								
	Suppresses <i>Poa annua</i> .								
Hosts and use	Turfgrass on golf course fairways, greens, and tees.								
sites									
Application	Foliar spray in water volumes of 400–800 L/ha, to ensure thorough								
method	coverage.								
	For heat manufer invite to TDIMAIT into the soil but not to the naint of								
	For best results, imigate TRINNIT into the soli but not to the point of								
	movement. For best residual activity, remove TPIMMIT from the leaf								
	surface by irrigation or rainfall prior to moving								
No. of	Multiple applications 7–21 days apart. Do not apply more than 4.05								
applications	L/ha/year.								
Application	Growth suppression: Apply in spring after green-up and turfgrass has								
timing	been mowed once or twice. Apply at least one month before onset of high								
0	air temperatures. In late summer/early fall, apply at least one month before								
	anticipated first killing frost.								
	Repeat applications can be made within the same growing season as long as								
	the turf is actively growing.								
	Suppression of <i>Poa annua</i> : Apply when the <i>Poa annua</i> is actively								
	growing. In climates with a prolonged winter dormancy, fall applications								
	can be made up to one month prior to anticipated first killing frost. Repeat								

### Table 26List of Supported Uses

# Table 27Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations-Comparison to TSMP<br/>Track 1 Criteria

TSMP Track 1 Criteria	TSMP Track 1 Criterion value	Paclobutrazol (parent)	CGA 149907 (major TP)	NOA 457654 (major TP)
CEPA toxic or CEPA toxic equivalent <sup>1</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Predominantly anthropogenic <sup>2</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

TSMP Track 1	TSMP Tr	ack 1	Paclobutrazol	CGA 149907	NOA 457654
Criteria	Criterion	value	(parent)	(major TP)	(major TP)
Persistence <sup>3</sup> :	Soil	Half-life ≥ 182 days	Aerobic soil: Yes (DT <sub>50</sub> = 27.1 to 547 days) Anaerobic soil: Yes (stable)	Aerobic soil: No $(DT_{50} = 55)$ and 101 days in parent-based study, and 23 to 72 days in TP- based study)	Aerobic soil: Yes ( $DT_{50} =$ 253 and 407 days in parent- based study, and 3 to 10 days in TP- based study)
	Water	Half-life ≥ 182 days	Yes ( $DT_{50} = 1.9$ days to stable)	Not available	Not available
	Sediment	Half-life ≥ 365 days	Yes ( $DT_{50} = 357$ days to stable)	Not available	Not available
	Air	Half-life ≥ 2 days or evidence of long- range transport	Not determined. The AOPWIN program (v1.92) in EPI Suite (v4.11) is not suited for predicting the atmospheric half-life of paclobutrazol given the large fraction expected to be sorbed to airborne particles.	Not available	Not available
Bioaccumulation <sup>4</sup>	$\log K_{\rm ow} \ge$	5	No (log $K_{ow} = 3.11$ to 3.18)	No <sup>5</sup> (log $K_{ow} =$ 2.85)	No <sup>5</sup> (log $K_{ow} = -$ 0.49 (hydroxy); -1.36 (ketone))
	$BCF \ge 500$	00	No $(BCF = 44)$	Not available	Not available
x 1 1 1 1	$ BAF \ge 500$	00	Not available	Not available	Not available
(all four criteria must be met)?		No. Does not meet all TSMP Track 1 criteria.	No. Does not meet all TSMP Track 1 criteria.	No. Does not meet all TSMP Track 1 criteria.	
<sup>1</sup> All pesticides y	vill be consi	dered CEPA	-toxic or CEPA tox	ic equivalent for th	e purpose of

All pesticides will be considered CEPA-toxic or CEPA toxic equivalent for the purpose of initially assessing a pesticide against the TSMP criteria. Assessment of the CEPA toxicity criteria may be refined if required (in other words, all other TSMP criteria are met).

- <sup>2</sup> The policy considers a substance "predominantly anthropogenic" if, based on expert judgement, its concentration in the environment medium is largely due to human activity, rather than to natural sources or releases.
- <sup>3</sup> If the pesticide and/or the transformation product(s) meet one persistence criterion identified for one media (soil, water, sediment or air), then the persistence criterion is considered to be met.
- <sup>4</sup> Field data (for example, BAFs) are preferred over laboratory data (for example, BCFs) which, in turn, are preferred over chemical properties (for example,  $\log K_{ow}$ ).
- <sup>5</sup> Estimated using the KOWWIN (version 1.68) module in EPI Suite version 4.11.

### References

- A. List of Studies/Information Submitted by Registrant
  - 1.0 Chemistry

#### **PMRA** Document Reference Number 1241949 2006, Paclobutrazol Technical: Chemical and Physical Properties, DACO: 2.14.1, 2.14.10, 2.14.11, 2.14.12, 2.14.13, 2.14.14, 2.14.2, 2.14.3, 2.14.4,2.14.5,2.14.6,2.14.7,2.14.8,2.14.9 CBI 2023, Paclobutrazol Technical (PP333) Validation of Analytical Method 3446852 [CBI Removed] for [CBI Removed], DACO: 2.13.1 CBI 2023, [CBI Removed] Determination of [CBI Removed] in Paclobutrazol 3446853 (PP333G) technical active ingredient by [CBI Removed], DACO: 2.13.1 CBI 3116813 2008, Paclobutrazol - Residue Analytical Method for the Determination of Paclobutrazol and its Ketone Metabolite (R79105) in Soil. Final Determination by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.1 3116814 2008, Paclobutrazol - Residue Analytical Method for the Determination of Paclobutrazol and its Ketone Metabolite (R79105) in Water. Final Determination by LC-MS/MS., DACO: 8.2.2.3 3116815 2018, Paclobutrazol - Independent Laboratory Validation of Residue Analytical Method GRM028.02A for the Determination of Paclobutrazol and its Ketone Metabolite (R79105) in Water, DACO: 8.2.2.3 3116760 2019, A8164F - Physico-Chemical Studies of the Formulation, DACO: 3.5.1,3.5.10,3.5.11,3.5.12,3.5.14,3.5.2,3.5.3,3.5.6,3.5.7,3.5.8,3.5.9,3.7 CBI 2019, A8164F - Document J - Confidential Information, DACO: 2.2, 3116754 3.1.2, 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.4.2, 4.8 CBI 3116757 2019, Analytical Method SF-556/1 - Paclobutrazol in Formulation SC (250) By capillary GC (fast GC), DACO: 3.4.1 CBI 3116759 2019, A8164F - Validation of Analytical Method SF-556/1, DACO: 3.4.1 CBI

#### 2.0 Human and Animal Health

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
3277879	2006, Paclobutrazol Technical - Acute Oral Up-and-Down Procedures in Rats, DACO: 4.2.1
3277880	2006, Paclobutrazol Technical - Acute Dermal Toxicity in Rats, DACO: 4 2 2
3280224	2006, Paclobutrazol Technical - 4 Hour Acute Inhalation Study in the Rat, DACO: 4.2.3
3277881	2006, Paclobutrazol Technical - Primary Eye Irritation in Rabbits, DACO: 4.2.4
3277882	2006, Paclobutrazol Technical - Primary Skin Irritation in Rabbits, DACO: 4.2.5
3277883	2006, Paclobutrazol Technical - Skin Sensitisation (Local Lymph Node Assay in the Mouse), DACO: 4.2.6
3277884	1987, Paclobutrazol - 90 Day Oral Dosing Study in Dogs, DACO: 4.3.2
3277887	2013, Paclobutrazol - Acute Oral (Gavage) Neurotoxicity Study in the Wistar Rat, DACO: 4.5.12
3277888	2007, Evaluation of Triazole Lactic Acid In An In Vitro Chromosomal Aberration Assay Utilizing Rat Lymphocytes, DACO: 4.8
3277889	2007, Evaluation of Triazole Lactic Acid in the Chinese Hamster Ovary Cell/Hypoxanthine-Guanine-Phosphoribosyl Transferase (CHO/HGPRT) Forward Mutation Assay, DACO: 4.8
3277890	2006, Triazole Lactic acid (CGA 205369): Acute oral toxicity in the rat - up and down procedure. DACO: 4.8
3277891	2006, Triazole Lactic Acid (CGA 205369):Bacterial Mutation Assay In S. Typhimurium And E. Coli, DACO: 4.8
3266383	2021, A Hershberger Assay of Paclobutrazol technical Administered Orally in Peripubertal Orchidoepididymectomized Rats, DACO: 4.8
3260413	2021, Paclobutrazol technical - Screening for the Potential to Modulate Steroidogenesis <i>in Vitro</i> using the Human H295R Adreno-Carcinoma Cell Line, DACO: 4.8
3260442	2021, Paclobutrazol technical - In Vitro Aromatase Inhibition using Human Recombinant Microsomes Final Report, DACO: 4.8
1231127	PP333: Acute Oral, Dermal & Intraperitoneal Toxicity (CTL/P748), DACO: 4.2.1,4.2.2
3396823	1984, Paclobutrazol - 4 Week Oral (Dietary Administration) Dose Range- Finding Study in the Mouse, DACO: 4.3.3
3396822	1984, Paclobutrazol - 4 Week Oral (Dietary Administration) Dose Range- Finding Study in the Rat, DACO: 4.3.3
1231115	Paclobutrazol (PP333): 90 Day Feeding Study in Rats (CTL/P/760), DACO: 4.3.1
1231122	1986, Paclobutrazol: 104 Week (Dietary Administration) Combined Toxicity & Carcinogenicity Study in the Rat with a 52 Week Interim Kill (5055-72/273) DACO: 4.4.2

1231138	1986, Paclobutrazol: 104 Week (Dietary Administration) Combined Toxicity & Carcinogenicity Study in the Mouse with a 52 Week Interim Kill (5014-72/274) DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2
1231142	Paclobutrazol: Two Generation Reproduction Study in Rats (CTL/P/1496), DACO: 4.5.1
1231134	1983, Paclobutrazol: Teratogenicity Study in the Rat (CTL/P/842), DACO: 4.5.2
1231136	1984, Paclobutrazol: Second Teratogenicity Study in the Rat (CTL/P/997), DACO: 4.5.2
1232576	Paclobutrazol: Teratogenicity Study in the Rabbit (CTL/P/861), DACO: 4.5.2
1232601	Paclobutrazol: Second Teratogenicity Study in Rabbit (CTL/P/1460), DACO: 4.5.2

#### 3.0 Environment

#### PMRA

Document	Reference
Number	
1232571	PP333: Hydrolysis in water at pH 4, 7 & 9 (RJ0316B), DACO: 8.2.1
1232573	Paclobutrazol: Photolytic stability on a soil surface (RJ0601B), DACO:
	8.2.3.3.2
1232575	PP333: Photolysis in aqueous solution (RJ0317B), DACO: 8.2.1
1232578	Paclobutrazol: Adsorption & desorption equilibria in soils (TMJ2377B),
	DACO: 8.2.4.1
1232579	PP333: Leaching in soil (RJ0244B), DACO: 8.2.4.1
1232580	Paclobutrazol: Mobility of paclobutrazol & its degradation products in
	soil columns (RJ 0495B), DACO: 8.2.4.1
1232581	PP333; Degradation in soil (RJ0256BR), DACO: 8.3.2.3
1232582	Paclobutrazol: Degradation in aerobic & flooded soils (RJ0370B),
	DACO: 8.2.3.1
1232583	Paclobutrazol: Degradation in sediment-water systems (RJ0538B),
	DACO: 8.2.3.1
1232586	The acute oral toxicity (LD50) of PP333 to the mallard duck
	(ICI/252WL/781176), DACO: 9.6.2.1
1232587	The acute oral toxicity of paclobutrazol to the Japanese quail (ISN
	147BT/871054), DACO: 9.6.2.1
1232589	The subacute dietary toxicity (LC50) of PP333 to the mallard duck (ICI
	417 WL/82629), DACO: 9.6.2.1
1232590	The subacute dietary toxicity (LC50) of PP333 to the bobwhite quail (ICI
	418/82661), DACO: 9.6.2.1
1232591	Determination of the acute toxicity of PP333 to rainbow trout
	(BL/B/1935), DACO: 9.5.5
1232592	PP333: Determination of the acute toxicity to bluegill sunfish
	(BL/B/2209), DACO: 9.5.5
1232593	PP333: Toxicity of the technical material & formulation GFU 029 to first
	instar Daphnia magna (RJ 0282B), DACO: 9.3.1

1232595	Paclobutrazol: Toxicity to the green algae (BL/B/2544), DACO: 9.8.2
1232598	PP333: Acute oral & contact toxicity to honey bees (RJ 0278B), DACO:
	9.2.4.1
1450165	2005, Paclobutrazol - rate of degradation in three soils under laboratory
	conditions, DACO: 8.2.4.2
2065735	2009, 9.5.4-1 - Paclobutrazol: Early Life-Stage Toxicity Test with
	Fathead Minnow (Pimephales promelas), DACO: 9.5.4
2065737	2002, 9.7.2-1 - Paclobutrazol: Paclobutrazol - A Reproduction Study with
	the Mallard - Final Report, DACO: 9.7.2
2065738	2010, 9.7.2-2 - Paclobutrazol - Reproductive Toxicity Test with Northern
	Bobwhite (Colinus virginianus), Following FIFRA Guideline 71-4,
	OPPTS 850.2300 and OECD 206 Final Report, DACO: 9.7.2
2065739	2009, 9.8.6-1 - Paclobutrazol Technical - Seedling Emergence Test
	Following U.S. EPA OPPTS Draft Guideline 850.4225 and
	OECD Draft Guideline 208, Part A Final Report, DACO: 9.8.6
2066312	2009, 9.8.6-2 - Paclobutrazol Technical - Vegetative Vigor Test
	Following U.S. EPA OPPTS Draft Guideline 850.4250 (Tier II) and
211(012	OECD Draft Guideline 208, Part B Final Report, DACO: 9.8.6
3116813	2008, Paclobutrazol - Residue Analytical Method for the Determination
	of Paclobutrazol and its Ketone Metabolite (R/9105) in Soil. Final
2116014	Determination by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.1
3110814	2008, Paciobutrazoi - Residue Analytical Method for the Determination
	of Paciobultazoi and its Kelone Melabolile $(R/9105)$ in water. Final Determination by LC MS/MS $DACO(8222)$
2116815	2018 Paelobutrazal Independent Laboratory Validation of Pasidua
5110815	Analytical Method GRM028 02A for the Determination of Paclobutrazol
	and its Ketone Metabolite ( $R79105$ ) in Water DACO: 8.2.2.3
3116818	2019 Paclobutrazol - Aqueous Photolysis of [14C]-Paclobutrazol
5110010	DACO: 8 2 3 3 2
3116820	2018. Paclobutrazol - Aerobic Soil Metabolism of [14C-phenyl]-
5110020	Paclobutrazol. DACO: 8.2.3.4.2
3116821	2003. Paclobutrazol (R079105) - Laboratory Degradation Study in Three
	Soil Types, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2
3116826	2018, Paclobutrazol - Anaerobic Soil Metabolism of [14C-phenyl]-
	Paclobutrazol, DACO: 8.2.3.4.4
3116827	2010, Amended - [14C]-Paclobutrazol - Aerobic Aquatic Sediment
	Metabolism, DACO: 8.2.3.5.4
3116829	2010, [14C]-Paclobutrazol - Anaerobic Aquatic Sediment Metabolism,
	DACO: 8.2.3.5.6
3116830	2007, 14C-NOA457654 - Rate of Degradation and Time-Dependant
	Sorption in Four Soils, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2,8.2.4.2
3116831	2006, NOA457654 (Degradate of Paclobutrazol) - Adsorption/Desorption
	Properties in Four Soils, DACO: 8.2.4.2
3116832	2018, Paclobutrazol - Adsorption and Desorption of 14C-PP333, DACO:
211(022	8.2.4.2
3116833	2002, Paclobutrazol - Adsorption and Desorption Properties of R79105, a
	Soil Degradate, in Six Soils, DACO: 8.2.4.2

3116837	2018, Paclobutrazol SC (A10784A) - Sublethal Effects on the Reproduction of the Earthworm <i>Eisenia andrei</i> in Artificial Soil with 5 % Peat DACO: 9.2.3.2
3116838	2012, Paclobutrazol SC (A8164F) - Acute Oral and Contact Toxicity to the Honeybee <i>Apis mellifera</i> L. in the Laboratory, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2
3116840	2018, Paclobutrazol SC (A8164F) - Repeated Exposure to the Honey Bee ( <i>Apis mellifera</i> ) Larvae under Laboratory Conditions (until Adult Emergence at Day 22), DACO: 9.2.4.3
3116841	2018, Paclobutrazol SC (A8164F) - Chronic toxicity to the honey bee <i>Apis mellifera</i> L. in a 10-day continuous laboratory feeding study, DACO: 9.2.4.4
3116843	1991, Paclobutrazol: Chronic Toxicity to Daphnia magna, DACO: 9.3.3
3116847	1983, PP333: Accumulation in Bluegill Sunfish in a Flow-Through System, DACO: 9.5.6
3116854	2012, Paclobutrazol - Toxicity to <i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i> in a 96-Hour Algal Growth Inhibition Test, DACO: 9.8.2
3116856	2019, Paclobutrazol - Statistical Re-analysis - Toxicity to the green alga Selenastrum capricornutum, DACO: 9.8.3
3116859	2003, R79105 (Paclobutrazol metabolite): Toxicity to duckweed ( <i>Lemna gibba</i> ), DACO: 9.8.5
3116860	2007, NOA457654 - Toxicity to the Aquatic Plant <i>Lemna gibba</i> in a 7- Day Static Growth Inhibition Test, DACO: 9.8.5
3116861	2002, Growth Inhibition Test of Paclobutrazol tech. (ZA0333) to the Duckweed <i>Lemna gibba</i> G3 under Static Conditions, DACO: 9.8.5
3116862	Earthworm <i>Eisenia andrei</i> in Artificial Soil with 5 % Peat, DACO: 9.2.3.2
3116863	2018, NOA457654 - Sublethal Effects on the Reproduction of the Earthworm <i>Eisenia andrei</i> in Artificial Soil with 5 % Peat, DACO: 9.2.3.2
3195707	1990, EFED DER Paclobutrazol photolysis in aqueous solution (MRID 00132699) See summary dated 1/26/90 DER 2 OF 12, DACO: 8.2.1
3195708	1990, EFED DER Paclobutrazol photolytic stability on a soil surface (MRID 40685002) See summary dated 1/26/90 DER 3 OF 12, DACO: 8.2.3.3.1
3195709	1990, EFED DER Paclobutrazol degradation in aerobic and flooded soils (MRID 40685003) Ref summary dated 1/26/90 DER 4 OF 12, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2,8.2.3.4.4
3195710	2011, EFED: Paclobutrazol: Data Evaluation Record on the Rate of Degradation and Time-Dependent Sorption of NOA457654, a Transformation Product in Soil; DP349415; MRID 47338501; Supplemental, DACO: 8.2.3.4.4,8.2.4.2
3195711	1990, EFED DER Paclobutrazol mobility of paclobutrazol and its degradation products in soil columns (MRID 40685004) See summary dated 1/26/90, DER 7 OF 12, DACO: 8.2.4.1
3195712	2011, EFED: Paclobutrazol: Data Evaluation Record on the Adsorption/Desorption of NOA457654, a Transformation Product in Soil;

	DP349415; MRID 47338502; Supplemental, DACO: 8.2.4.2
3195713	2011, EFED: Paclobutrazol: Data Evaluation Record on the
	Adsorption/Desorption of Paclobutrazol in Soil; DP349415; MRID
	47338503; Supplemental, DACO: 8.2.4.2
3195714	2011, EFED: Paclobutrazol: Data Evaluation Record on the Aerobic
	Biotransformation of Paclobutrazol In Soil; DP349415; MRID 47338504;
	Supplemental (Upgradeable), DACO: 8.2.4.2
3195715	1990, EFED DER Paclobutrazol adsorption and desorption equilibria in
	soils (MRID 40685005) See summary dated 1/26/90 DER 6 OF 12,
	DACO: 8.2.4.2
3195716	2002, Paclobutrazol - Adsorption and Desorption Properties in Four Soils,
	DACO: 8.2.4.2
3195717	1990, EFED DER Paclobutrazol leaching in soil (MRID 00132701) See
	summary dated 1/26/90 DER 8 OF 12, DACO: 8.2.4.3
3195718	2011, EFED: Paclobutrazol: Data Evaluation Record on the Terrestrial
	Field Dissipation of Paclobutrazol; DP349415; MRID 4/338505;
2105720	Supplemental, DACO: 8.3.2
3193720	2014, Data Evaluation Record for Paciobulrazof; Acute Oral and Contact Toxicity to the Heney Res. MPID 40200407, DP 418054, Classification
	Acceptable (Contact Study) and Supplemental (Oral Study) DACO:
	9 2 4 1 9 2 4 2
3195721	2020 EFED DER for Repeated Exposure to the Honey Bee (Anis
5175721	<i>mellifera</i> ) Larvae Under Laboratory Conditions (Until Adult Emergency
	at Day 22), MRID 51175602 (Acceptable), DACO: 9.2.4.3
3195722	2020, EFED DER Paclobutrazol sc (A8164F) - Chronic Toxicity to the
	Honey Bee Apis mellifera L. in a 10- Day Continuous Laboratory Feeding
	Study, MRID 51175601 (Acceptable), DP460029, DACO: 9.2.4.4
3195723	1983, EEB Paclobutrazol DER toxicity of technical material and
	formulation GFU 029 to first instar Daphnia magna MRID 00117489,
	DACO: 9.3.2
3195724	2014, Data Evaluation Record for Paclobutrazol; Chronic Toxicity to
	Daphnia Magna (freshwater invertebrate), MRID 49300406, DP 418054,
2105725	Classification Supplemental, DACO: 9.3.3
3195725	habia) DACO: 0.4.2
2105726	1085 Paolohutrazal: Determination of the Acute Toxicity to Larves of the
5195720	Pacific Oyster (Crassostrea giggs) DACO: 9.4.3
3195727	1983 FFB Paclobutrazol DFR determination of acute toxicity of PP333
5195727	rainbow trout MRID 00117487. DACO: 9.5.2.1
3195728	1983. EEB Paclobutrazol DER determination of acute toxicity to bluegill
	sunfish MRID 00117488, DACO: 9.5.2.2
3195729	1985, Paclobutrazol - Determination of Acute Toxicity to Sheepshead
	Minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus), DACO: 9.5.2.4
3195730	2010, EFED DER for Paclobutrazol - Early Life-Stage Toxicity test with
	Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas), MRID 47918901 (Acceptable),
	DACO: 9.5.3.1
3195731	1990, EFED DER Paclobutrazol accumulation in bluegill sunfish in a

	flow-through system (MRID 00133560) See summary dated 1/26/90 DER 12 OF 12, DACO: 9.5.6
3195732	1991, ICI submitting application for pesticide amendment, supplemental
	fish DACO: 9.5.6
3195733	1983 FEB Paclobutrazol review acute oral toxicity to mallard duck
5175755	MRID 00117484 DACO: 9.6.2.2
3195734	1983, EEB Paclobutrazol DER subacute dietary toxicity (LC50) to mallard duck MRID 00117485, DACO: 9.6.2.5
3195735	1983, EEB Paclobutrazol DER subacute dietary toxicity (LC50) to
2105726	2011 EEED: Dealabutrozal: Transmittal and Data Evaluation Record of
5195750	Reproductive Toxicity Test with Northern Bobwhite: MBID 48270601:
	Accentable: DP335534: GDCL125601-2756: Registration Review
	DACO: 9631
3195737	2011, EFED: Paclobutrazol: Transmittal and Data Evaluation Record of A
0190707	Reproduction Study With the Mallard: MRID 48270602: Acceptable:
	DP335534; GDCI-125601-2756; Registration Review, DACO: 9.6.3.2
3195738	2014, Data Evaluation Record for Paclobutrazol; Toxicity to Anabaena
	flos-aquae (Cyanobacteria) in a 96 Hour Algal Growth Inhibition Test
	Final Report, MRID 49300401, DP 418054, Classification Acceptable,
	DACO: 9.8.2
3195739	2014, Data Evaluation Record for Paclobutrazol; Toxicity to the Green
	Alga Selenastrum capricornutum Final report (Non-vascular Aquatic
	Plant), MRID 49300402, DP 418054, Classification Supplemental,
2105740	DACO: 9.8.2
3195/40	2016, Ecotox DER on the Acute Toxicity of Paclobutrazol to Terrestrial
	421616 DACO: 0.8.4
31057/1	421010, DACO. 9.0.4 2014 Data Evaluation Record for Paclobutrazol: Seedling Emergence
5195741	Test: Terrestrial Plant Toxicity MRID 49300408 DP 418054
	Classification Acceptable DACO: 9.8.4
3195742	2010. EFED DER for Paclobutrazol Technical - Seedling Emergence Test
	Following EPA Draft Guideline 850.4225 and OECD Draft Guideline
	208, Part A, MRID 47918902 (Supplemental), DACO: 9.8.4
3195743	2011, Data Evaluation Record for Paclobutrazol; Vegetative Vigor Test
	Following U.S. EPA OPPTS Draft Guideline 850.4250 and OECD Draft
	Guideline 208, Part B, MRID 47918903; Classification Supplemental,
	Does not Satisfy Guideline Requirements, DACO: 9.8.4
3195744	2014, Paclobutrazol Formulation - Vegetative Vigor Test, DACO: 9.8.4
3195745	2013, Paclobutrazol Formulation - Seedling Emergence Test, DACO: 9.8.4
3195746	2013, Paclobutrazol Formulation - Vegetative Vigor Test, DACO: 9.8.4
3195747	2014, Data Evaluation Record for Paclobutrazol; Growth Inhibition Test
	of Acute Toxicity to Duckweed (Aquatic Vascular Plants) Under Static
	Conditions, MRID 49300409, Classification Acceptable, DACO: 9.8.5
3195748	1990, Bioassay of Plant Growth Regulator Activity on Aquatic Plants,

	DACO: 9.9
3116769	1989, Paclobutrazol - The Fate of 14C-Paclobutrazol in Soil under Field
	Conditions, DACO: 8.3.2
3116770	1993, Paclobutrazol: Dissipation in Soil from Trials Carried out in Canada
	(1988-1990) (Final Report), DACO: 8.3.2
3116771	2005, Paclobutrazol: Field Soil Dissipation study with A8164B,
	Paclobutrazol 250 SC in Northern France, Germany and the United
	Kingdom during 2004/2005, DACO: 8.3.2
3316065	2021, Paclobutrazol - Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay with African
	Clawed Frog (Xenopus laevis), DACO: 9.9
3346669	2022, PP333 - Waiver Request for Bioconcentration or Bioaccumulation
	Study with Fish to Assess the Potential for Accumulation in Upper-
	Trophic Level Organisms, DACO: 9.5.6

#### 4.0 Value

#### PMRA

Document	
Number	Reference
3116753	2020, Credible use history - Crop tolerance and efficacy considerations, DACO: 10.2.4

#### **B.** Additional Information Considered

#### i) Published Information

1.0 Human and Animal Health

#### PMRA Reference

## Document

Number	
3428888	Andersen, H. R., Vinggaard, A. M., Rasmussen, T. H., Gjermandsen, I.
	M., & Bonefeld-Jorgensen, E. C. (2002). Effects of currently used
	pesticides in assays for estrogenicity, androgenicity, and aromatase
	activity in vitro. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 179(1): 1-12. (p. 1).
3413319	Borgert C. J., Stuchal, L. D., Mihaich, E. M, Becker, R. A., Bentley, K.
	S., Brausch, J. M., Coady, K., Geter, D. R., Gordon, E., Guiney, P. D.,
	Hess, F., Holmes, C. M., LeBaron, M. J., Levine, S., Marty, S., Mukhi,
	S., Neal, B. H., Ortego, L. S., Saltmiras, D. A., Snajdr, S., Staveley, J.,
	Tobia, A. (2014). Relevance Weighting of Tier 1 Endocrine Screening
	Endpoints by Rank Order. Birth Defects Res (Part B) 101: 90-113. (p.
	97).
3396215	Burden, R. S., Carter, G. A., Clark, T., Cooke, D. T., Croker, S. J., Deas,
	A. H. B., Hedden, P., James, C. S., Lenton, J. R. (1987). Comparative
	Activity of the Enantiomers of Triadimenol and Paclobutrazol as
	Inhibitors of Fungal Growth and Plant Sterol and Gibberellin
	Biosynthesis Pestic Sci 21(4): 253-267 (n 15)
	Dissimilation i estre Sei 21(1), 200 201. (p. 10).

3396215	Caballero, V. M. and Candiracci, M. (2018). Zebrafish as screening model for detecting toxicity and drugs efficacy. <i>J Unexplored Med Data</i> 3:4 (p. 30)
3428888	Draskau, M. K., Lardenois, A., Evrard, B., Boberg, J., Chalmel, F., Svingen, T. (2021). Transcriptome analysis of fetal rat testis following intrauterine exposure to the azole fungicides triticonazole and flusilazole reveals subtle changes despite adverse endocrine effects. <i>Chemosphere</i> 264:128468 (n 135)
3413319	Goetz, A. K., Ren, H., Schmid, J. E., Blystone, C. R., Thillainadarajah, I., Best, D. S., Nichols, H. P., Strader, L. F., Wolf, D. C., Narotsky, M. G., Rockett, J. C., Dix, D. J. (2007). Disruption of Testosterone Homeostasis as a Mode of Action for the Reproductive Toxicity of Triazole Fungicides in the Male Rat. <i>Tox Sci</i> 95(1): 227-239. (p. 497).
3428888	Goetz, A. K. and Dix, D. J. (2009). Toxicogenomic effects common to triazole antifungals and conserved between rats and humans. <i>Toxicol Appl Pharmacol</i> 238: 80–89. (p. 268).
3428888	Gridan, I. M., Ciorsac, A. A. and Isvoran, A. (2019). Prediction of ADME-Tox properties and toxicological endpoints of triazole fungicides used for cereals protection. <i>ADMET &amp; DMPK</i> 7(3): 161-173. (p. 278).
3396215	Guo, HM., Zhao, Y., Ouyang, MN., Yang, ZH. (2021). Different Degradation Patterns of Chiral Contaminant Enantiomers: Paclobutrazol as a Case Study <i>J Braz Chem Soc</i> 32(6): 1137-1142 (p. 55)
3413319	Guo, D., Luo, L., Kong, Y., Kuang, Z., Wen, S., Zhao, M., Zhang, W., Fan, J. (2022). Enantioselective neurotoxicity and oxidative stress effects of paclobutrazol in zebrafish ( <i>Danio rerio</i> ). <i>Pestic Biochem Physiol</i> 185:105136. (p. 137).
3428888	Hussain, A., Audira, G., Siregar, P., Lin, Y. C., Villalobos, O., Villaflores, O., Wang, WD., Hsiao, CD. (2020). Waterborne exposure of paclobutrazol at environmental relevant concentration induce locomotion hyperactivity in larvae and anxiolytic exploratory behavior in adult zebrafish. <i>Int J Environ Res Public Health</i> 17(13):10.3390. (p. 340).
3428888	Kjærstad, M. B., Taxvig, C., Andersen, H. R. and Nellemann, C. (2010a). Mixture effects of endocrine disrupting compounds in vitro. <i>Int J Androl</i> 33: 425–433. (p. 443).
3413319	Kjærstad, M.B., Taxvig, C., Nellemann, C., Vinggaard, A. M., Andersen, H.R. (2010b). Endocrine disrupting effects in vitro of conazole antifungals used as pesticides and pharmaceuticals. <i>Reprod Toxicol</i> 30: 573–582. (p. 577).
3428888	Kolšek, K., Mavri, J., Sollner Dolenc, M., Gobec, S., Turk, S (2014). Endocrine Disruptome – An Open Source Prediction Tool for Assessing Endocrine Disruption Potential through Nuclear Receptor Binding. <i>J</i> <i>Chem Inf Model</i> 54: 1254-1267. (p. 462).
3428888	Liu, H., Xu, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, C., Chen, J., Fan, S., Xie, L., Dong, Y., Chen, S., Zhou, W., Li, Y. (2022). Study on endocrine disruption effect of paclobutrazol and uniconazole on the thyroid of male and female rats based on lipidomics. <i>Ecotoxicol Environ Saf</i> 234:113386. (p. 693).

3428888	Long, M., Laier, P., Vinggaard, A. M., Andersen, H. R., Lynggaard, J., & Bonefeld-Jorgensen, E. C. (2003). Effects of currently used pesticides in the AhR-CALUX assay: Comparison between the human TV101L and the rat H4IIE cell line. <i>Toxicology</i> 194(1-2): 77-93. (p. 703).
3428888	Luo, Y., Lu, S., Sun, X., Gao, Y., Sun, G., Yang, M., Sun, X. (2021). Paclobutrazol exposure induces apoptosis and impairs autophagy in hepatocytes via the AMPK/mTOR signaling pathway. <i>J Biochem Mol</i> <i>Toxicol</i> 35(10):e22874. (p. 720).
3473224	McIntyre, B. S., Barlow, N. J. and Foster, P. M. (2001) Androgen- mediated development in male rat offspring exposed to flutamide in utero: permanence and correlation of early postnatal changes in anogenital distance and nipple retention with malformations in androgen-dependent tissues. <i>Toxicol Sci</i> 62: 236–249. (p. 10).
3473224	Mylchreest, E., Wallace, D. G., Cattley, R. C. and Foster, P. M. (2000) Dose-dependent alterations in androgen-regulated male reproductive development in rats exposed to Di(n-butyl) phthalate during late gestation. <i>Toxicol Sci</i> 55: 143–151. (p. 1).
3428888	Rockett, J. C., Narotsky, M. G., Thompson, K. E., Thillainadarajah, I., Blystone, C. R., Goetz, A. K., Ren, H., Best, D.S., Murrell, R. N., Nichols, H. P., Schmid, J. E., Wolf, D. C., David J Dix, D. J. (2006). Effect of conazole fungicides on reproductive development in the female rat <i>Repro Tox</i> 22: 647-658 (p. 862)
3428888	Sanderson, J. T., Boerma, J., Lansbergen, G. W., van den Berg, M. (2002). Induction and inhibition of aromatase (CYP19) activity by various classes of pesticides in H295R human adrenocortical carcinoma cells. <i>Toxicol</i> <i>Appl Pharmacol</i> 182: 44-54. (p. 908).
3428888	Sanderson, J.T. (2006). The Steroid Hormone Biosynthesis Pathway as a Target for Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals. <i>Tox Sc</i> 94(1): 3-21. (p. 889).
3413319, 3428888	Dreisig, K, Taxvig, C., Birkhøj Kjærstad, M., Nellemann, C., Hass, U., Vinggaard, A. M. (2013). Predictive value of cell assays for developmental toxicity and embryotoxicity of conazole fungicides. <i>ALTEX</i> 30(3): 319-330. (PMRA 3413319 p. 550 and Supplementary material PMRA 3428888 p. 951).
3428888	Trott, O. and Olson, A. J. (2010). AutoDock Vina: Improving the Speed and Accuracy of Docking with a New Scoring Function, Efficient Optimization, and Multithreading. <i>J Comput Chem</i> 31: 455-461. (p. 1019).
3428888	United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2011. Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program Test Guidelines. OCSPP 890.1400: Hershberger assay. Standard Evaluation Procedure. Available at: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015- 07/documents/final_890.1600_hershberger_assay_sep_10.6.11.pdf.
3488512	United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 2022. Common Triazole Metabolites: Updated Dietary (Food + Water) Exposure and Risk Assessment to Address The Establishment of a Propiconazole Tolerance and Section 3 Registrations on Vegetable, Brassica, head and

	stem, group 5-16. DP Number: D461600 and D461602. 45 pages.
3428888	Vergieva, T. (1998). Single day treatment -a feasible tool in revealing not dependent on maternal toxicity teratogenic potential. <i>Adv Exp Med Biol</i> 444: 191-199. (p. 1368).
3428888	Wang, W. D., Hsu, H. J., Li, Y. F., & Wu, C. Y. (2017). Retinoic acid protects and rescues the development of zebrafish embryonic retinal photoreceptor cells from exposure to paclobutrazol. <i>Int J Mol Sci</i> 18(1): 10.3390. (p. 1463).
3428888	Wang, W. D., Wu, C. Y., & Lonameo, B. K. (2019). Toxic effects of paclobutrazol on developing organs at different exposure times in zebrafish. <i>Toxics</i> 7(4): 10.3390. (p. 1429).
3428888	Wang, Y., Yang, G., Shen, W., Xu, C., Di, S., Wang, D., Li, X., Wang, X., Qiang Wang, Q. (2020). Synergistic effect of fenpropathrin and paclobutrazol on early life stages of zebrafish (Danio rerio). <i>Environ</i> <i>Pollut</i> 266(Pt 3): 115067. (p. 1478).
3473224	Wolf, C. J., LeBlanc, G. A. and Gray, L. E. Jr. (2004). Interactive effects of vinclozolinand testosterone propionate on pregnancy and sexual differentiation of the male and female SD rat. <i>Toxicol Sci</i> 78: 135–143. (p. 24).
3428888	Wu, S., Yu, M., Zhang, H., Han, J., & Qian, M. (2015). Enantioselective degradation of (2RS, 3RS)-paclobutrazol in rat liver microsomes. <i>Chirality</i> 27(5), 344-348. (p. 1497).
3428888	Xu, M., & Yang, F. (2020). Integrated gender-related effects of profenofos and paclobutrazol on neurotransmitters in mouse. <i>Ecotoxicol Environ Saf</i> , 190: 110085. (p.1502).
3428888	Yekti, A. P., Hsu, H. J., & Wang, W. D. (2014). The effect of paclobutrazol on the development of zebrafish (Danio rerio) embryos. <i>Zebrafish</i> 11(1): 1-9. (p.1519).
3428888	Yue, K., Liu, Zhiqiang., Pi, Z., Li, H., Wang, Y., Song, F., Liu, Zhongying. (2022). Network pharmacology combined with metabolomics approach to investigate the toxicity mechanism of paclobutrazol. <i>Chem Res Toxicol</i> 35(4): 626-635. (p.1529).
3428888	Zhou, J., Zhang, J., Li, F., Liu, J. (2016) Triazole fungicide tebuconazole disrupts human placental trophoblast cell functions. <i>J Hazard Mater</i> 308 : 294-302. (p.1612).

#### 2.0 Environment

#### PMRA

Document

Document	
Number	Reference
3382108	Petrovic AM & Cambareri TC, 2011, Technical review of test results
	and implementation of the groundwater monitoring protocol: The Bridge
	golf course, Southampton, NY, DACO: 8.6
3382131	Baris RD, Cohen SZ, Barnes L, Lam J, & Ma Q, 2010, Environmental
	Toxicology & Chemistry, Quantitative analysis of over 20 years of golf
	course monitoring studies, Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry,

Vol. 29, No. 6, pp. 1224–1236, DACO: 8.6

- 3389636 Washington State Department of Agriculture, 2021, Pesticide groundwater sampling in the Sumas-Blaine surficial aquifer, Publication No. 103-895 (N/3/21) <u>895-WhatcomSummaryReport-SumasBlaine</u> (wa.gov) DACO: 8.6
- Washington State Department of Agriculture, 2021, Ambient monitoring for pesticides in Washington state surface water (2019) Publication No. 102-629 (R/7/21) <u>WSDA Pesticides In Surface Water Technical Report</u>
  <u>August 31, 2016</u>], DACO: 8.6

#### ii) Unpublished Information

#### 1.0 Environment

#### PMRA

Reference
2022, California Department of Pesticide Regulation, Paclobutrazol
(2015-2020), DACO: 8.6
2022, Paclobutrazol water monitoring data: Ontario surface water (2013-2020), DACO: 8.6
2020), Brites i old 2022, Paclobutrazol water monitoring data: US surface water (2014- 2021) and suspended sediment (2015 2018), DACO: 8.6