

**Proposed Registration Decision** 

PRD2023-07

# Diflufenican, SC500, SC600, and SC617

(publié aussi en français)

3 August 2023

This document is published by the Health Canada Pest Management Regulatory Agency. For further information, please contact:

Publications Pest Management Regulatory Agency Health Canada 2 Constellation Drive 8<sup>th</sup> floor, A.L. 2608 A Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9 Internet: canada.ca/pesticides pmra.publications-arla@hc-sc.gc.ca

Information Service: 1-800-267-6315 pmra.info-arla@hc-sc.gc.ca



ISSN: 1925-0878 (print) 1925-0886 (online)

Catalogue number: H113-9/2023-7E (print version) H113-9/2023-7E-PDF (PDF version)

#### © His Majesty the King in Right of Canada, as represented by the Minister of Health Canada, 2023

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9.

#### **Table of Contents**

Overview		1
Proposed re	egistration decision for Diflufenican, SC500, SC600, and SC617	1
What does	Health Canada consider when making a registration decision?	1
What is Dif	flufenican?	2
Health cons	siderations	3
Science evalu	nation	8
1.0 The a	active ingredient, its properties and uses	8
1.1	Identity of the active ingredient	8
1.2	Physical and chemical properties of the active ingredient and end-use product.	9
1.3	Directions for use	. 10
1.3.1	SC500 Herbicide	. 10
1.3.2	SC600 Herbicide	. 11
1.3.3	SC617 Herbicide	. 11
1.4	Mode of action	. 12
2.0 Meth	ods of analysis	. 12
2.1	Methods for analysis of the active ingredient	. 12
2.2	Method for formulation analysis	. 12
2.3	Methods for residue analysis	. 12
3.0 Impa	ct on human and animal health	. 13
3.1	Hazard assessment	. 13
3.1.1	Toxicology summary	. 13
3.1.2	Pest Control Products Act hazard characterization	. 17
3.2	Toxicology reference values	. 18
3.2.1	Route and duration of exposure	. 18
3.2.2	Occupational toxicology reference values	. 18
3.2.3	Acute reference dose (ARfD)	. 19
3.2.4	Acceptable daily intake (ADI)	. 19
3.2.5	Cancer assessment	. 19
3.2.6	Aggregate toxicology reference values	. 19
3.3	Metabolite of toxicological concern – BCS-BT38895	. 20
3.3.1	Acute reference dose (ARfD)	. 20
3.3.2	Acceptable daily intake (ADI)	. 20
3.3.3	Cancer assessment	. 20
3.4	Dermal absorption	. 21
3.5	Occupational and residential exposure assessment	. 21
3.5.1	Acute hazards of end-use products and mitigation measures	. 21
3.5.2	Occupational exposure and risk assessment	. 22
3.5.3	Residential exposure and risk assessment	. 23
3.5.4	Bystander exposure and risk assessment	. 23
3.6	Dietary exposure and risk assessment	. 23
3.6.1	Exposure from residues in food of plant and animal origin	. 23
3.6.2	Exposure from residues in drinking water	. 24

3.6.3	Dietary risk assessment	. 26
3.7	Aggregate exposure and risk assessment	. 27
3.8	Cumulative assessment	. 27
3.9	Maximum residue limits	. 27
3.10	Health incident reports	. 28
4.0 Impac	t on the environment	. 28
4.1	Fate and behaviour in the environment	. 28
4.1.1	Terrestrial environment	. 28
4.1.2	Aquatic environment	. 29
4.1.3	Air transformation	. 29
4.1.4	Bioaccumulation	. 29
4.2	Environmental risk characterization	. 29
4.2.1	Risks to terrestrial organisms	. 30
4.2.2	Risks to aquatic organisms	. 32
4.2.3	Environmental incident reports	. 35
5.0 Value	-	. 35
5.1	Support for efficacy claims	. 36
5.1.1	SC500 Herbicide	. 36
5.1.2	SC600 Herbicide	. 36
5.1.3	SC617 Herbicide	. 37
5.2	Support for host crop claims	. 37
5.2.1	Field and seed corn	. 37
5.2.2	Soybean	. 38
5.3	Support for rotational crop claims	. 38
6.0 Pest C	Control Product policy considerations	. 38
6.1	Assessment of the active ingredient under the toxic substances management	
	policy	. 38
6.1.1	Formulants and contaminants of health or environmental concern	. 39
7.0 Propo	sed regulatory decision	. 39
List of abbre	eviations	. 41
Appendix I	Tables and figures	. 45
Table 1A	Residue analysis	. 45
Table 1B	Residue analysis in plant and animal matrices	. 45
Table 2	Identification of select metabolites of Diflufenican	. 47
Table 3	Toxicology reference values for use in health risk assessment for Diflufenican.	. 48
Table 4	Toxicology reference values for use in health risk assessment for BCS-BT3889	5
	(soybean metabolite)	. 48
Table 5	Toxicity profile of Technical Diflufenican	. 49
Table 6	Toxicity profile of end-use product(s) containing Diflufenican	. 60
Table 7	Amount of <sup>14</sup> C-Diflufenican in each matrix after a single dermal application of	
	SC500 formulation in rat in vivo study	. 62
Table 8	AHETF Unit exposure estimates for mixers/loaders and applicators handling	
	SC500, SC617 and SC600.	. 64
Table 9	Mixer/Loader/Applicator Exposure and Risk Assessment for SC500, SC617 an	d
	SC600	. 64

Table 10	Integrated food residue chemistry summary	5
Table 11	Food residue chemistry overview of metabolism studies and risk assessment 86	5
Table 12	Fate and behaviour in the environment	9
Table 13	Transformation products formed in the environment	5
Table 14	Toxicity to non-target terrestrial species	2
Table 15	Toxicity of Diflufenican and transformation products to non-target aquatic species	S
		7
Table 16	Endpoints and uncertainty factors used to establish effects metrics for the risk	
	assessment	4
Table 17	Screening level risk assessment on non-target species	9
Table 18	Risk to birds and mammals	0
Table 19	Refined avian risk assessment using maximum and mean diflufenican residue	
	values on the highest crop application rate (considering 6% drift) 121	1
Table 20	Refined risk to terrestrial plants from spray drift 122	2
Table 21	Screening level risk to aquatic organisms	3
Table 22	Refined risk to aquatic organisms from spray drift 126	5
Table 23	Refined risk to aquatic organisms from run-off 127	7
Table 24	Toxic substances management policy considerations-Comparison to TSMP	
	Track 1 criteria	9
Table 25	List of supported uses for SC500 Herbicide	)
Table 25B	List of supported uses for SC600 Herbicide	1
Table 25C	List of supported uses for SC617 Herbicide	2
Appendix II	Supplemental maximum residue limit information—International situation and	
	trade implications134	4
References .		5

#### Overview

#### Proposed registration decision for Diflufenican, SC500, SC600, and SC617

Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA), under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act*, is proposing registration for the sale and use of Diflufenican Technical and SC500 containing the technical grade active ingredient diflufenican, for pre-plant and preemergent weed control in corn and soybean; SC600, containing the technical grade active ingredients diflufenican and metribuzin for pre-plant and pre-emergent weed control in soybean; and SC617 containing the technical grade active ingredients diflufenican and isoxaflutole for pre-plant and pre-emergent weed control in field corn.

Metribuzin is currently registered as a herbicide on crops, including soybean, and shelterbelt plants. For details, see Proposed Acceptability for Continuing Registration PACR2005-07, *Reevaluation of Metribuzin*, and Re-evaluation Registration Decision RRD2006-15, *Metribuzin*.

Isoxaflutole is currently registered as a broad-spectrum herbicide for use in field corn and isoxaflutole-tolerant soybeans. For details, see Proposed Re-evaluation Decision PRVD2021-02, *Isoxaflutole and Its Associated End-use Products*, and Re-evaluation Decision Document RVD2022-04, *Isoxaflutole and Its Associated End-use Products*.

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the health and environmental risks and the value of the pest control products are acceptable.

This Overview describes the key points of the evaluation, while the Science evaluation provides detailed technical information on the human health, environmental and value assessments of diflufenican, SC500, SC600, and SC617.

#### What does Health Canada consider when making a registration decision?

The key objective of the *Pest Control Products Act* is to prevent unacceptable risks to people and the environment from the use of pest control products. Health or environmental risk is considered acceptable<sup>1</sup> if there is reasonable certainty that no harm to human health, future generations or the environment will result from use or exposure to the product under its proposed conditions of registration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Acceptable risks" as defined by subsection 2(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

The Act also requires that products have value<sup>2</sup> when used according to the label directions. Conditions of registration may include special precautionary measures on the product label to further reduce risk.

To reach its decisions, the PMRA applies modern, rigorous risk-assessment methods and policies. These methods consider the unique characteristics of sensitive subpopulations in humans (for example, children) as well as organisms in the environment. These methods and policies also consider the nature of the effects observed and the uncertainties when predicting the impact of pesticides. For more information on how the Health Canada regulates pesticides, the assessment process and risk-reduction programs, please visit the <u>Pesticides portion</u> of the Canada.ca website

Before making a final registration decision on diflufenican, SC500, SC600, and SC617, Health Canada's PMRA will consider any comments received from the public in response to this consultation document.<sup>3</sup> Health Canada will then publish a Registration Decision<sup>4</sup> on diflufenican, SC500, SC600, and SC617, which will include the decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed registration decision and Health Canada's response to these comments.

For more details on the information presented in this Overview, please refer to the Science Evaluation of this consultation document.

#### What is diflufenican?

Diflufenican is a herbicide that inhibits synthesis of phytoene desaturase, which causes degradation of chlorophyll and destruction of chloroplast membranes responsible in carotenoid production. Sensitive plants develop symptoms of stunting, discolouration, and necrosis, leading to plant death.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Value" as defined by subsection 2(1) of the *Pest Control Products Act*: "the product's actual or potential contribution to pest management, taking into account its conditions or proposed conditions of registration, and includes the product's (*a*) efficacy; (*b*) effect on host organisms in connection with which it is intended to be used; and (*c*) health, safety and environmental benefits and social and economic impact."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Consultation statement" as required by subsection 28(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Decision statement" as required by subsection 28(5) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

#### Health considerations

#### Can approved uses of diflufenican affect human health?

# SC500, SC600, and SC617, containing diflufenican, are unlikely to affect your health when used according to label directions.

Potential exposure to diflufenican may occur through the diet (food and drinking water), when handling and applying the end-use product(s), or when coming into contact with treated surfaces. When assessing health risks, two key factors are considered: the levels at which no health effects occur and the levels to which people may be exposed. The dose levels used to assess risks are selected to protect the most sensitive human population (for example, children and nursing mothers). As such, sex and gender are taken into account in the risk assessment. Only uses for which the exposure is well below levels that cause no effects in animal testing are considered acceptable for registration.

Toxicology studies in laboratory animals describe potential health effects from varying levels of exposure to a chemical and identify the dose level at which no effects are observed. The health effects noted in animals occur at dose levels more than 100-times higher (and often much higher) than levels to which humans are normally exposed when pesticide products are used according to label directions.

In laboratory animals, the technical grade active ingredient diflufenican was of low acute toxicity by the oral, dermal and inhalation routes. Diflufenican was minimally irritating to the eyes and non-irritating to the skin and did not cause an allergic skin reaction.

The end-use product, SC500, containing diflufenican, was of low acute toxicity via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure. It was minimally irritating to the eyes and skin and did not cause an allergic skin reaction.

The end-use product, SC617, containing diflufenican and isoxaflutole, was of low acute toxicity via the oral and inhalation routes of exposure and considered of low acute dermal toxicity. It was mildly irritating to the eyes and minimally irritating to the skin. It did not cause an allergic skin reaction.

The end-use product, SC600, containing diflufenican and metribuzin, was of slight acute toxicity via the oral route and low acute toxicity via the inhalation route of exposure and considered of slight acute dermal toxicity. It was minimally irritating to the eyes and skin and did not cause an allergic skin reaction.

Registrant-supplied short- and long-term (lifetime) animal toxicity tests, as well as information from the published scientific literature, were assessed for the potential of diflufenican to cause neurotoxicity, immunotoxicity, chronic toxicity, cancer, reproductive and developmental toxicity, and various other effects. The most sensitive endpoints for risk assessment were effects on body weight. There was no evidence of tumourigenicity, nor was there evidence of increased

sensitivity of the young compared to adult animals. The risk assessment protects against the effects noted above and other potential effects by ensuring that the level of exposure to humans is well below the lowest dose level at which these effects occurred in animal tests.

#### Residues in food and drinking water

#### Dietary risks from food and drinking water are not of health concern.

Studies in laboratory animals showed no acute health effects of diflufenican. Consequently, a single dose of diflufenican is not likely to cause acute health effects in the general population (including infants and children).

Aggregate chronic dietary (food plus drinking water) intake estimates for diflufenican indicated that the general population and all population subgroups are exposed to less than 6% of the acceptable daily intake, and therefore are not of health concern.

Metabolite BCS-BT38895 is a unique soybean seed metabolite for which separate dietary exposure assessments were conducted. Acute dietary (soybean seed commodities alone) intake estimates indicated that the general population and all population subgroups are exposed to less than 1% of the acute reference dose, and therefore are not of health concern. Chronic non-cancer dietary (food alone) intake estimates indicated that the general population and all population and all population subgroups are exposed to less than 1% of the acceptable daily intake, and therefore are not of health concern. The lifetime cancer risk for exposure to the metabolite BCS-BT38895 from the use of diflufenican on soybeans is not of health concern to the general population (including infants and children).

The *Food and Drugs Act* prohibits the sale of adulterated food, that is, food containing a pesticide residue that exceeds the established maximum residue limit (MRL). Pesticide MRLs are established for *Food and Drugs Act* purposes through the evaluation of scientific data under the *Pest Control Products Act*. Given that dietary risks from the consumption of foods are shown to be acceptable when diflufenican is used according to the proposed label directions, MRLs are being proposed as a result of this assessment (refer to PMRL2023-XX, *Diflufenican*).

MRLs for diflufenican determined from the acceptable residue trials conducted throughout the United States, including growing regions representative of Canada, on soybeans, field corn and seed corn can be found in the Science evaluation of this document.

Some diflufenican products are also formulated with the active ingredients metribuzin or isoxaflutole. These co-active ingredients are already registered for these uses in Canada, and residues in treated commodities will be covered under the existing MRLs for each active ingredient.

#### Occupational risks from handling SC500, SC617 and SC600

### Occupational risks are not of health concern when SC500, SC600, and SC617 are used according to the proposed label directions, which include protective measures.

Workers mixing, loading or applying SC500, SC600, and SC617, and workers entering recently treated fields can be exposed to diflufenican residues through direct skin contact or through inhalation. Therefore, the labels of SC500, SC600 and SC617 specify that anyone mixing, loading and applying these products must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, socks and shoes. In addition, the label of SC617 specifies that protective eyewear (goggles or face shield) must be worn during mixing, loading, clean-up and repair activities. The labels of SC500, SC600 and SC617 also require that workers do not enter or be allowed into treated fields during the restricted-entry interval (REI) of 12 hours. Taking into consideration the label statements, the single application permitted per season and the duration of exposure for handlers and postapplication workers, the risks to these individuals from exposure to SC500, SC600, and SC617 are not of health concern when the end-use products are used according to the proposed label directions.

#### Health risks to bystanders

# Bystander risks are not of health concern when SC500, SC600, and SC617 are used according to the proposed label directions and spray drift restrictions are observed.

A standard label statement to protect against drift during application is on the labels. Therefore, health risks to bystanders are not of concern when the end-use products are used according to the proposed label directions.

#### **Environmental considerations**

#### What happens when diflufenican is introduced into the environment?

Diflufenican can enter the environment when it is applied as a pre-plant surface or preemergence spray to corn or soybean for the control of redroot pigweed, green pigweed, tall waterhemp and palmer amaranth. Diflufenican is slightly persistent to persistent under most terrestrial and aquatic conditions. Diflufenican can be broken down by microbes in soil but is not broken down by water or sunlight. Diflufenican binds to soil, thus, it is expected to have limited mobility to groundwater. In surface water, diflufenican will move into sediments where it can persist. Diflufenican is not likely to accumulate in tissues of organisms. It is not expected to travel long distances from where it was applied.

Diflufenican presents a negligible risk to earthworms, beneficial arthropods, bees, birds and mammals. Diflufenican may, however, present a risk to non-target terrestrial plants adjacent to treated fields, which could also affect wildlife habitat. In waterbodies, diflufenican may pose a risk to aquatic organisms, such as invertebrates, fish, plants, and amphibians. Spray buffer zones and precautionary label statements, are thus required to minimize the exposure to non-target

terrestrial plants and aquatic habitats. When diflufenican is used in accordance with label directions, and when the required risk reduction measures are applied, the risks to the environment are considered to be acceptable.

#### Value considerations

What is the value of SC500 Herbicide, SC600 Herbicide, and SC617 Herbicide?

SC500 Herbicide provides early-season and season-long residual control of *Amaranthus* species, which are problematic and highly resistant to many herbicide modes of action in corn (field and seed) and soybean. SC600 Herbicide and SC617 Herbicide, co-formulations of diflufenican with other registered herbicides, control a broader spectrum of weeds with soil residual activity and also aim to manage existing and the future evolution of herbicide resistant weeds in corn (field and seed) and soybean.

SC500 Herbicide is formulated with diflufenican for pre-plant surface and pre-emergent application to corn (field and seed) and soybean. It provides early-season control of redroot pigweed, green pigweed, tall waterhemp, and palmer amaranth, including biotypes resistant to many herbicide modes of action, at 120–180 mL/ha and season-long control of these weeds at 180–360 mL/ha.

SC600 Herbicide is a co-formulation of diflufenican with metribuzin for pre-plant surface and pre-emergent application to soybean. It provides early-season or season-long control of weeds controlled by SC500 Herbicide and weeds controlled by registered metribuzin-based herbicides, applied at similar active ingredient rates.

SC617 Herbicide is a co-formulation of diflufenican with isoxaflutole for pre-plant surface and pre-emergent application to corn (field and seed) in Eastern Canada and British Columbia. It provides early-season or season-long control of weeds controlled by SC500 Herbicide and weeds controlled by registered isoxaflutole-based herbicides, applied at similar active ingredient rates.

Registrations of these herbicides provide users with options for pre-plant or pre-emergent residual control of broadleaf weeds, including *Amaranthus* species that are problematic and highly resistant to many herbicide modes of action, in corn (field and seed) and/or soybean. The application of these herbicides reduces early-season weed competition to the emerging crop allowing the crop to benefit from additional moisture, nutrients, and light that would otherwise be captured by the weeds. Weed management at this time is critical as the crop does not compete well with weeds until crop canopy closure. As all three end-use products have soil residual activity, the reduction in weed competition with the crop is extended.

#### Measures to minimize risk

Labels of registered pesticide products include specific instructions for use. Directions include risk-reduction measures to protect human and environmental health. These directions must be followed by law.

The key risk-reduction measures being proposed on the labels of Diflufenican Technical, SC500, SC600 and SC617 to address the potential risks identified in this assessment are as follows.

#### Key risk-reduction measures

#### Human health

To reduce the potential exposure of workers to diflufenican through direct skin contact or inhalation of sprays, workers mixing, loading, and applying SC500, SC617 or SC600 and performing cleaning and repair activities must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, socks and shoes. The label of SC617 also requires workers to wear protective eyewear (goggles or face shield) during mixing, loading, clean-up and repair activities. In addition, the labels of SC500, SC617 or SC600 require that workers do not enter or be allowed entry into treated fields during the REI of 12 hours. Furthermore, a standard label statement to protect against drift during application is on the labels.

#### Environment

The following risk reduction measures are required to be added to the label:

- Environmental precautionary statements for non-target terrestrial plants and aquatic organisms;
- Spray buffer zones to protect aquatic and non-target terrestrial habitats;
- Standard runoff statements

#### Next steps

Before making a final registration decision on diflufenican, SC500, SC600, and SC617, Health Canada's PMRA will consider any comments received from the public in response to this consultation document. Health Canada will accept written comments on this proposal up to 45 days from the date of publication of this document. Please note that, to comply with Canada's international trade obligations, consultation on the proposed MRLs will also be conducted internationally via a notification to the World Trade Organization. Please forward all comments to Publications (contact information on the cover page of this document). Health Canada will then publish a Registration Decision, which will include its decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed decision and Health Canada's response to these comments.

#### **Other information**

When the Health Canada makes its registration decision, it will publish a Registration Decision on diflufenican, SC500, SC600, and SC617 (based on the Science evaluation of this consultation document). In addition, the test data referenced in this consultation document will be available for public inspection, upon application, in the PMRA's Reading Room. For more information, please contact the PMRA's <u>Pest Management Information Service</u>.

#### **Science evaluation**

#### Diflufenican

#### **1.0** The active ingredient, its properties and uses

#### **1.1 Identity of the active ingredient**

Ac	tive substance	Diflufenican
Fu	nction	Herbicide
Ch	emical name	
1.	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC)	2',4'-difluoro-2-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy]pyridine- 3-carboxanilide
2.	Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS)	<i>N</i> -(2,4-difluorophenyl)-2-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy]- 3-pyridinecarboxamide
CA	AS number	83164-33-4
Mo	olecular formula	$C_{19}H_{11}F_5N_2O_2$
Mo	olecular weight	394.298
Stı	uctural formula	F F

H N

0

**Purity of the active ingredient** 99%

#### **1.2** Physical and chemical properties of the active ingredient and end-use product

#### Technical product—Diflufenican Technical

Property		Result
Colour and physical state	Beige solid	
Odour	Weak	
Melting point	Pure active: 159.5°C	
Boiling point	N/A	
Density	$1.585 \text{ g/cm}^3$	
Vapour pressure	Temp (°C) v.p. (Pa	)
1 1	25 4.25 × 1	0-6
	35 8.19 × 1	10-6
	50 3.52 × 1	10-5
Ultraviolet (UV)-visible	Not expected to absorb	b at $\lambda > 300 \text{ nm}$
spectrum	-	
Solubility in water at 20°C	0.05 mg/L	
Solubility in organic solvents at	Solvent	<u>Solubility (g/L)</u>
20°C	n-heptane	0.75
	toluene	35.7
	dichloromethane	114.0
	acetone	72.2
	methanol	4.7
	ethyl acetate	65.3
	acetonitrile	17.6
	n-octanol	1.9
<i>n</i> -Octanol-water partition	$\log K_{ow} = 4.2$	
coefficient ( $K_{ow}$ )		
Dissociation constant (pKa)	Does not dissociate in	environmental pH range
Stability (temperature, metal)	Stable to elevated tem	peratures (54°C) and to metals and metal
	ions.	

#### End-use product—SC500

Property	SC500
Colour	Light cream
Odour	Unpleasant
Physical state	Liquid
Formulation type	Suspension
Label concentration	diflufenican 500 g/L

Property	SC500
Container material and	HDPE
description	
Density	1.170–1.210 g/mL
pH of 1% dispersion in water	6.5-8.5
Oxidizing or reducing action	Not oxidizing or reducing
Storage stability	Stable for 14 days at 54°C
Corrosion characteristics	Not corrosive to HDPE packaging
Explodability	Not explosive

#### End-use products—SC617 and SC600

Property	SC617	SC600	
Colour	Beige	Light brown	
Odour	Odourless	Weak musty	
Physical state	Liquid	Liquid	
Formulation type	Suspension	Suspension	
Label concentration	diflufenican 257 g/L isoxaflutole 180 g/L	diflufenican 200 g/L metribuzin 400 g/L	
Container material and description	HDPE	HDPE	
Density	1.24–1.28 g/mL	1.16–1.20 g/mL	
pH of 1% dispersion in water	4.0-6.0	4.5–5.5	
Oxidizing or reducing action	Not oxidizing or reducing	Not oxidizing or reducing	
Storage stability	Stable for 14 days at 54°C	Stable for 14 days at 54°C	
Corrosion characteristics	Not corrosive to HDPE packaging	Not corrosive to HDPE packaging	
Explodability	Not explosive	Not explosive	

#### **1.3** Directions for use

#### 1.3.1 SC500 Herbicide

The application of SC500 Herbicide provides early-season control of redroot pigweed, green pigweed, tall waterhemp, and palmer amaranth at 120–180 mL/ha and season-long control of these weeds at 180–360 mL/ha, based on the weed spectrum. SC500 Herbicide only controls non-emerged weeds and emerged weeds up to 5 cm in height. Efficacy of SC500 Herbicide is maximized when adequate rainfall is received within 14 days after application.

SC500 Herbicide may be applied alone or in combination with listed tank mixes as a broadcast spray up to 14 days before planting or after planting but prior to crop emergence in field and seed corn and soybean in all tillage systems. The maximum annual application rate is 300 mL/ha for corn and 360 mL/ha for soybean.

SC500 Herbicide can only be applied using ground equipment in a minimum of 100 L/ha of spray volume. Sprayable fluid nitrogen fertilizer may replace all or part of the water as a carrier.

#### 1.3.2 SC600 Herbicide

The application of SC600 Herbicide provides early-season or season-long control of weeds controlled by SC500 Herbicide and a cited end-use product containing metribuzin applied at similar active ingredient rates. SC600 Herbicide controls non-emerged weeds and emerged weeds up to 4 cm in height.

SC600 Herbicide is recommended for application at 375–900 mL/ha, based on the efficacy claims (early-season versus season-long) and weed spectrum and pressure, or in combination with listed tank mixes as a broadcast spray up to 14 days before planting or within three days after planting but prior to emergence of soybean in all tillage systems. Use higher rates within the labelled rate range for more consistent weed control. Efficacy of SC600 Herbicide is maximized when adequate rainfall is received within 14 days after application.

SC600 Herbicide can only be applied using ground equipment in 100–300 L/ha of spray volume.

#### 1.3.3 SC617 Herbicide

The application of SC617 Herbicide provides early-season or season-long control of weeds controlled by SC500 Herbicide and a cited end-use product containing isoxaflutole applied at similar active ingredient rates in Eastern Canada and British Columbia. SC617 Herbicide controls non-emerged weeds and emerged weeds up to 5 cm in height.

SC617 Herbicide is recommended for application alone at 292–585 mL/ha, based on efficacy claims and weed spectrum and pressure, or in combination with listed tank mixes as a broadcast spray up to 14 days before planting or within three days after planning but prior to emergence of field and seed corn in all tillage systems. Use the higher rates within the labelled rate range for more consistent and longer residual control. Efficacy of SC617 Herbicide is maximized when adequate rainfall is received within 14 days after application. Use of SC617 Herbicide on seed corn must be approved by the contracting seed corn company and comply with the directions given by the contractor.

SC617 Herbicide can only be applied using ground equipment in a minimum of 150 L/ha of spray volume. Sprayable fluid nitrogen fertilizer may replace all or part of the water as a carrier.

#### 1.4 Mode of action

Diflufenican is an inhibitor of phytoene desaturase belonging to the anilide chemical family. It causes degradation of chlorophyll and destruction of chloroplast membranes responsible in carotenoid production. Selectivity in crops is due to rapid metabolism of the herbicide, whereas sensitive plants develop symptoms of stunting, discolouration, and necrosis, leading to plant death.

Diflufenican is classified as a Group 12 herbicide by the Weed Science Society of America and mainly controls *Amaranthus* weed species that have developed resistance to many other herbicide modes of action.

#### 2.0 Methods of analysis

#### 2.1 Methods for analysis of the active ingredient

The methods provided for the analysis of the active ingredient and impurities in the technical product have been validated and assessed to be acceptable.

#### 2.2 Method for formulation analysis

The methods provided for the analysis of the active ingredients in the formulations have been validated and assessed to be acceptable for use as enforcement analytical methods.

#### 2.3 Methods for residue analysis

High performance liquid chromatography methods with tandem mass spectrometric detection (HPLC-MS/MS; Method DC-003-P18-02 in plant matrices and Method DC-005-A19-02 in animal matrices) were developed and proposed for data generation and enforcement purposes. These methods fulfilled the requirements with regards to specificity, accuracy and precision at the respective method limit of quantitation. Acceptable recoveries (70–120%) were obtained in plant and animal matrices. The proposed enforcement methods were successfully validated in plant and animal matrices by an independent laboratory. Adequate extraction efficiencies were demonstrated for plant enforcement Method DC-003-P18-02 using radiolabelled soybean forage, hay and seed analyzed with the enforcement method. Extraction solvents used in livestock enforcement Method DC-005-A19-02 were similar to those used in the metabolism studies; thus, further demonstration of extraction efficiency with radiolabelled animal tissues was not required for the livestock enforcement method. Methods for residue analysis in plant and animal matrices are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 1A and 1B.

#### 3.0 Impact on human and animal health

#### 3.1 Hazard assessment

#### 3.1.1 Toxicology summary

Diflufenican is a new phytoene desaturase inhibitor (PDS Inhibitor) herbicide. It is currently registered in Europe, Latin America, and Asian Pacific countries for selective weed control in cereal grains.

A detailed review of the toxicology database for diflufenican was conducted. The database is complete, consisting of the full array of toxicity studies currently required for hazard assessment purposes and includes studies, high-throughput screening and in silico read-across assessments, performed on diflufenican and select metabolites of diflufenican. These studies were carried out in accordance with Good Laboratory Practices. The human health risk assessment also considered any relevant information found in the published literature. The scientific quality of the data is acceptable, and the database is considered adequate to characterize the potential health hazards associated with diflufenican.

In a series of metabolism and toxicokinetic studies, diflufenican was administered to Wistar and Sprague-Dawley rats as either unlabelled test substance or test substance radiolabelled on the pyridine, difluorophenyl or trifluoromethylphenyl rings. Diflufenican was rapidly absorbed, especially at low doses. Although some estimates of systemic exposure were slightly lower in females than males, as evidenced by lower maximum plasma concentrations, shorter elimination half-lives and lower area under the curve values in females, not all of the differences were observed in whole blood. Therefore, these differences between the sexes were not considered biologically significant. Diflufenican was widely distributed with highest tissue concentrations in the fat, ovaries, uterus, liver, and intestine and intestinal contents. Recovery of radioactivity was high at 168 hrs and the majority of the administered dose (AD) was excreted in the feces. Biliary excretion represented 45% of the total excretion in males, but up to 80% of the total excretion in females. Urinary excretion was less than 10% of the excreted radioactivity. Over 75% of the recovered dose was excreted within the first 72 hrs. The most common fraction in the faeces was unchanged diflufenican, which was also found at low concentrations in the urine, but was not found in the bile. The metabolite RPA 312546 was found in all three matrices, as was diflufenican hydroxide. There were three identified metabolites that only occurred in the feces, two that only occurred in the urine and one that only occurred in the bile. The metabolite only found in bile represented 10-12% of the AD. Evidence from studies with radiolabels on the difluorophenyl or trifluoromethylphenyl rings indicates that the diflufenican molecule is not cleaved during the metabolic pathway.

Diflufenican was of low acute oral, dermal and inhalation toxicity in rats. It was minimally irritating to the eyes and non-irritating to the skin of rabbits. It was not a dermal sensitizer in guinea pigs in a Maximization Test.

The end-use product, SC500, containing diflufenican, was of low acute oral, dermal and inhalation toxicity in rats. It was minimally irritating to the eyes and skin of rabbits and was not a dermal sensitizer in a Local Lymph Node Assay (LLNA) in mice.

The end-use product, SC617, containing diflufenican and isoxaflutole, was of low acute oral and inhalation toxicity in rats and considered of low acute dermal toxicity. It was mildly irritating to the eyes and minimally irritating to the skin of rabbits. It was not a dermal sensitizer in a LLNA in mice.

The end-use product, SC600, containing diflufenican and metribuzin, was of slight acute toxicity via the oral route and of low acute inhalation toxicity in rats and considered of slight acute dermal toxicity. It was minimally irritating to the eyes and skin of rabbits and was not a dermal sensitizer in a LLNA in mice.

Repeat-dose dietary toxicity studies with diflufenican were available in mice and rats, and diflufenican was administered via gavage or capsule in repeat-dose oral toxicity studies in dogs. Body weight and body weight gain were the most commonly affected endpoints in the short- and long-term, and reproductive toxicity studies. Short-term studies were conducted in many strains of rats and body weight results were inconsistent across strains and within each strain in different studies of the same duration. A weight of evidence approach was used to determine the overall point of departure for body weight and body weight gain effects following short-term exposure across the various studies. There were no other treatment-related, adverse changes below the limit dose in the short-term rat studies. In the 2-year toxicity study in the rat body weight and body weight gain effects were observed in the first 13 weeks and food consumption was decreased at the mid-dose level. Body weight effects in adults and offspring in the reproductive toxicity study, including effects on birth weights, were present at similar dose levels as those eliciting body weight effects in the long-term rat study. Body weight gain was decreased in maternal animals in the rat and rabbit developmental toxicity studies. In the 90-day dietary mouse toxicity study, body weight and body weight gain were decreased starting at the lowest dose tested in males and at the highest dose tested in females; decreased food efficiency was also observed in both sexes at the highest dose tested. In the 2-year combined chronic toxicity and oncogenicity study in mice, treatment-related, adverse changes were limited to decreased body weight and body weight gain at the mid-dose level and above. In short-term toxicity studies in dogs, the first signs of toxicity were an increase in vomiting in the 90-day study, along with decreased body weight and body weight gain at the high-dose level.

Effects on the liver were observed in the 90-day mouse and 1-year dog oral toxicity studies. In the mouse, changes in the liver occurred in males at a dose level close to the limit dose and consisted of periacinar hepatocytic hypertrophy and focal necrosis with inflammatory infiltrate. In the dog at the mid-dose level and above, liver weight was increased in males and females, cholesterol was increased in males, and alkaline phosphatase (AP) values were increased in females. Cholesterol was also increased in female dogs at the high-dose level.

Changes to the hematopoietic system consisted of effects on the spleen in males at the high-dose level in the 2-year combined chronic toxicity and oncogenicity study in the rat and decreased thymus weights at the mid-dose level and above in parental females in the reproductive toxicity study. A waiver rationale was submitted for the immunotoxicity study and based on a lack of consistent findings in the spleen or thymus and lack of effects on immune system function in the rest of the database, the waiver was considered acceptable.

A two-generation dietary reproductive toxicity study was performed in Sprague Dawley rats and was conducted according to the test guideline in place at the time, which lacked evaluation of certain parameters that are included in the current test guideline. A weight of evidence document was submitted to justify the acceptance of the reproductive toxicity and developmental toxicity studies performed to their contemporary guidelines and was supplemented with a high-throughput screening evaluation to assess the endocrine toxicity potential of diflufenican. The changes observed in the reproductive toxicity study included the body weight effects observed in both adults and offspring noted above at the mid-dose level, as well as increased mortality in adult females as a result of dystocia, decreased birth weight in pups, and decreased offspring viability at the high dose level. As the dystocia and effects on pup viability and birth weights occurred at or above the limit dose, there is no evidence of effects in the published literature for diflufenican, the study was considered sufficient for risk assessment purposes. Although there were serious effects noted above the limit dose, effects were noted at the same doses in offspring and parental animals.

There was no evidence of increased sensitivity of the young when compared to adult animals in the gavage developmental toxicity studies in the rat or rabbit. Two developmental toxicity studies were performed in different strains of rats. In Sprague Dawley rats, effects were limited to decreased body weight gain in the dams. There were no maternal or developmental effects observed up to the highest dose tested in Wistar rats. In rabbits given doses above the limit dose, maternal animals exhibited pale feces and red discoloured urine, along with decreased body weight gain and decreased food consumption; however, there were no effects observed in the fetuses.

A waiver for the short-term dermal toxicity study was submitted and considered acceptable based on the low acute oral and dermal toxicity of diflufenican and available dermal absorption studies. A waiver for a study to assess the neurotoxic potential of diflufenican was also submitted and considered acceptable based on the lack of evidence of neurotoxicity observed in the toxicology database.

Genotoxicity studies conducted with diflufenican were negative and there was no evidence of tumourigenicity in the mouse or rat long-term studies.

Toxicity studies were submitted on the metabolites and transformation products BCS-BT38895 (a malonic acid conjugated aniline soybean seed metabolite), M&B 38,181 (rat metabolite), and 2,4-difluoroaniline (environmental transformation product). Additionally, in silico read-across reports were submitted for 2,4-difluoroaniline and BCS-BT38895 as well as for diflufenican. The

in silico modelling for diflufenican was performed for comparison to that of the metabolites and predicted a positive chromosomal aberration alert and plausible alerts for methaemoglobinaemia for anilines or precursors and equivocal alerts for nephrotoxicity for halogenated benzenes. However, these effects were not observed in the database.

The soybean seed metabolite, BCS-BT38895, was of low acute oral toxicity in rats. The bacterial reverse mutation assays, in vitro mammalian cell mutation test, and in vitro micronucleus test were negative. In a 14-day oral toxicity study in rats, there was no NOAEL established. At the lowest dose tested, there were slight increases in methaemoglobin; more pronounced increases in methaemoglobin and effects on the spleen were observed at the high-dose level. In a 28-day oral toxicity study in rats, no NOAEL was established. Methaemoglobin was slightly increased at the lowest dose tested along with changes to the spleen and increased reticulocytes. Increased spleen weight and hematology changes indicative of regenerative anaemia were observed at higher dose levels. In silico predictions for BCS-BT38895 flagged a positive alert for methaemoglobinaemia, a positive bacterial mutation alert for aromatic amines and a chromosomal aberration alert, plausible alerts for hepatotoxicity and an equivocal alert for nephrotoxicity for halogenated benzenes was also seen in the read-across studies on diflufenican and were considered of lesser concern due to the lack of nephrotoxicity in the main database.

Based on the in vivo study and in silico reports, BCS-BT38895 was considered to cause methaemoglobinaemia. BCS-BT38895 was not tested for carcinogenicity; however, it may have carcinogenic properties because it is a structural analog of p-chloroaniline, which was found to be carcinogenic in male rats (PMRA No. 1819485). The carcinogenic potential of all chloroanilines is assumed to be the same as that of p-chloroaniline unless there is sufficient evidence that the chloroaniline in question is either not carcinogenic or is of a different potency than p-chloroaniline. A read-across review confirmed that p-chloroaniline was the appropriate surrogate. It was determined that there could potentially be dietary exposure to BSC-BT38895 through the consumption of soybean seeds from treated plants (see section 3.6.3 for more details). As the endpoint of methaemoglobinaemia occurred at lower doses than those at which the most sensitive effects for diflufenican were observed and carcinogenicity could not be ruled out, separate reference values for the metabolite were established and the cancer potency of p-chloroaniline was used as a surrogate for BCS-BT38895 (see Section 3.3).

Reports in the published literature indicate that infants are more susceptible to methaemoglobinaemia than adults due to a normal transient deficiency in methaemoglobinaemia reductase in neonatal erythrocytes.<sup>5</sup> Given that there were no specific studies that assessed methaemoglobinaemia in the young following exposure to BCS-BT38895 and the lack of a NOAEL in either of the two repeat-dose studies, an additional threefold uncertainty factor was applied to the human health reference values (HHRVs) selected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>Methaemoglobinaemia in the Newborn Infant - ScienceDirect</u> [accessed Dec 07, 2022]

A separate 3-fold factor for the use of a LOAEL was not considered necessary due to the very small magnitude of the change at the lowest doses tested.

M&B 38,181, a metabolite identified in rats, was of low acute oral and slight acute dermal toxicity in rats. It was negative in a bacterial reverse mutation assay, negative in a mammalian micronucleus test and weakly positive in a chromosome aberration test.

2,4-Difluoroaniline, an environmental transformation product, did not induce mutations in a supplemental bacterial reverse mutation assay. The in silico predictions for this environmental transformation product were positive for bacterial mutation for aromatic amines, chromosomal aberration, bacterial and salmonella mutation, and flagged plausible alerts for hepatotoxicity and methaemoglobinaemia and equivocal alerts for bone marrow toxicity, carcinogenicity, nephrotoxicity, skin sensitization and splenotoxicity for anilines or precursors. However, as noted in Section 4.1.1, 2,4-difluoroaniline is not likely to leach into the groundwater as it is volatile, non-persistent, and expected to dissipate very rapidly under field conditions and, thus, would not be a residue of concern.

The identification of select metabolites and transformation products is presented in Appendix I, Table 2. The toxicology reference values (TRVs) for use in the human health risk assessment are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 3 and 4. Results of the toxicology studies conducted on laboratory animals with diflufenican and relevant metabolites, and with its associated end-use products, are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 5 and 6, respectively.

#### 3.1.2 Pest Control Products Act hazard characterization

For assessing risks from potential residues in food or from products used in or around homes or schools, the *Pest Control Products Act* requires the application of an additional 10-fold factor to threshold effects to take into account completeness of the data with respect to the exposure of, and toxicity to, infants and children, and potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity. A different factor may be determined to be appropriate on the basis of reliable scientific data.<sup>6</sup>

With respect to the completeness of the toxicity database as it pertains to the toxicity of diflufenican to infants and children, the database contains the full complement of required studies including oral gavage developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits and a dietary 2-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats.

With respect to concerns regarding potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity, no evidence of increased sensitivity of the young was observed in the dietary 2-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats. Both parents and offspring demonstrated effects on body weight at the same dose levels which was the LOAEL. Decreased pup viability was observed at the high-dose level. Although a serious effect, this dose represented a limit dose which also resulted in significant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> SPN2008-01. The Application of Uncertainty Factors and the Pest Control Products Act Factor in the Human Health Risk Assessment of Pesticides.

toxicity in the maternal animals, namely deaths due to dystocia. Furthermore, the established toxicology reference values provide sufficient margins to this effect. No developmental effects were observed in the rat or rabbit developmental toxicity studies.

Overall, the database is adequate for determining the sensitivity of the young. There is a low level of concern for sensitivity of the young as effects in the young are well-characterized and, as noted above, sufficient margins exist between the serious effect observed in the reproductive toxicity study and the established toxicology reference values. On the basis of this information, the *Pest Control Products Act* factor (PCPA factor) for diflufenican was reduced to 1-fold.

For the soybean seed metabolite, BCS-BT38895, there were no specific studies that would identify potential sensitivity of the young. The available dietary toxicity studies for BCS-BT38895 indicate methaemoglobinaemia as the critical endpoint. Published literature indicates that infants are more susceptible to methaemoglobinaemia than adults due to a normal transient deficiency in methaemoglobinaemia reductase in neonatal erythrocytes.<sup>7</sup> As stated above, concerns relating to this were addressed by the application of an additional 3-fold database uncertainty factor. The requirement to retain a PCPA factor of 10-fold is therefore subsumed by the use of this uncertainty factor. On the basis of this information, the PCPA factor for BCS-BT38895 was reduced to 1-fold.

#### **3.2** Toxicology reference values

Separate toxicology reference values were derived for Diflufenican and the BCS-BT38895 metabolite.

#### 3.2.1 Route and duration of exposure

For mixers, loaders and applicators, occupational exposure to SC500, SC617 and SC600 is characterized as short-term in duration and is predominantly by the dermal and inhalation routes. For postapplication workers, occupational exposure, which is predominantly by the dermal route, is expected to be low due to the preemergence timing of application resulting in negligible foliar residues.

#### 3.2.2 Occupational toxicology reference values

For the short- and intermediate-term dermal and inhalation occupational risk assessments, the NOAEL of 23 mg/kg bw/day from the 2-year chronic toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats was selected, based on decreased body weight and body weight gains observed during the first 13 weeks of the study. As repeat-dose dermal and inhalation toxicity studies were not available, the use of a study conducted via the oral route was considered appropriate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>Methaemoglobinaemia in the Newborn Infant - ScienceDirect</u> [accessed Dec 07, 2022]

The target margin of exposure (MOE) for these scenarios is 100, which includes standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability. The selection of this study and target MOE is considered to be protective of all populations, including nursing infants and unborn children of exposed female workers.

#### **3.2.3** Acute reference dose

Establishment of an acute reference dose (ARfD) is not required, as an endpoint of concern attributable to a single exposure was not identified in the oral toxicity studies.

#### 3.2.4 Acceptable daily intake

To estimate risk following repeated dietary exposure, the NOAEL of 23 mg/kg bw/day from the 2-year dietary chronic toxicity and oncogenicity study in the rat was selected. At the LOAEL of 120 mg/kg bw/day, reductions in body weight, body weight gain and food consumption were observed. This study provides the lowest NOAEL in the database. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability were applied. As discussed in the *Pest Control Products Act* hazard characterization section, the PCPA factor was reduced to 1-fold. The composite assessment factor (CAF) is thus 100.

The acceptable daily dose (ADI) is calculated according to the following formula:

$$ADI = \frac{NOAEL}{CAF} = \frac{23 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}{100} = 0.2 \text{ mg/kg bw/day of diflutenican}$$

The ADI provides a margin of 5200 to the dose level at which effects on pup viability and maternal dystocia were observed in the reproductive toxicity study.

#### 3.2.5 Cancer assessment

There was no evidence of tumourigenicity and therefore, a cancer risk assessment is not necessary.

#### 3.2.6 Aggregate toxicology reference values

Aggregate exposure is the total exposure to a single pesticide that may occur from dietary (food and drinking water), residential and other non-occupational sources, and from all known or plausible exposure routes (oral, dermal and inhalation). For diflufenican, the aggregate assessment consisted of combining food and drinking water exposure only, since residential exposure is not expected. The most relevant toxicology endpoints and assessment factors for acute and chronic oral aggregate exposure are the same as those selected for the ADI (see Section 3.2.4).

#### 3.3 Metabolite of toxicological concern – BCS-BT38895

#### **3.3.1** Acute reference dose

To estimate acute dietary risk, the LOAEL of 16 mg/kg bw/day from the 14-day oral toxicity study in the rat was selected. At the LOAEL there was a slight increase in blood methaemoglobin in males and females. There was no NOAEL established for the study. The effect on methaemoglobin was considered to potentially result from a single exposure and was therefore relevant to an acute risk assessment. Since there is concern that the critical endpoint in adults may not be adequate for assessment of the young, a 3-fold database uncertainty factor was applied for risk assessment purposes. Consequently, the PCPA factor was reduced to 1-fold as discussed in the *Pest Control Products Act* hazard considerations section. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability were also applied, resulting in a composite assessment factor (CAF) of 300.

The ARfD is calculated according to the following formula:

$$ARfD = \underline{LOAEL} = \underline{16 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}} = 0.05 \text{ mg/kg bw of BCS-BT38895}$$
$$CAF = \underline{300}$$

#### 3.3.2 Acceptable daily intake

To estimate risk following repeated dietary exposure, the LOAEL of 4 mg/kg bw/day from the 28-day oral toxicity study in the rat was selected. At the LOAEL there was an increase in blood methaemoglobin in males and females. There was no NOAEL established for the study. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability were applied. Since there is concern that the critical endpoint in adults may not be adequate for assessment of the young, a 3-fold database uncertainty factor was applied for risk assessment purposes. Consequently, the PCPA factor was reduced to 1-fold as discussed in the *Pest Control Products Act* hazard considerations section. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for intraspecies variability were also applied, resulting in a composite assessment factor (CAF) of 300.

The ADI is calculated according to the following formula:

$$ADI = \underline{LOAEL} = \underline{4 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}} = 0.01 \text{ mg/kg bw/day of BCS-BT38895}$$
$$CAF = \underline{300}$$

#### 3.3.3 Cancer assessment

Due to a lack of long-term studies on the soybean seed metabolite, in silico predictions were performed. The most appropriate surrogate compound was p-chloroaniline which has an established  $q_1^*$  of  $6.38 \times 10^{-2}$  mg/kg bw/day<sup>-1</sup> based on an increased incidence of hemangiosarcomas (spleen) in rats (PMRA No. 1819485).

#### 3.4 Dermal absorption

An in vivo dermal absorption study in rats and in vitro dermal absorption studies in rat and human skin were reviewed. Based on the data presented in the rat in vivo study, a dermal absorption value of 44% (from the mid-dose group sacrificed at 120 hours) was selected for the risk assessment of diflufenican and this is considered not to underestimate exposure as all tape strips were included (Appendix I, Table 7). The amounts recovered in all skin strips decreased with increasing sacrifice time from 8 hours to 120 hours in the mid- and low-dose groups. Therefore, these amounts are considered bioavailable over time and were included in the calculation of the potentially absorbable dose.

The in vitro results were not used to select a dermal absorption value as there were uncertainties and limitations identified in the studies, with the main one being the use of a Geiger counter to determine remaining skin residues following extensive skin washes. This is not representative of a worker taking a shower at the end of the day. With this procedure, the potential amount of test material absorbed may be underestimated, therefore, the highest dermal absorption value observed in the rat in vivo study was chosen.

#### **3.5** Occupational and residential exposure assessment

#### 3.5.1 Acute hazards of end-use products and mitigation measures

#### 3.5.1.1 SC500

The acute hazard assessment indicated that SC500 has low acute oral, dermal and inhalation toxicity. SC500 is minimally irritating to the eyes and skin and is not a dermal sensitizer.

Based on these low acute hazards, no hazard signal word is required on the label and no additional PPE is triggered for workers during mixing, loading, application, clean-up and repair. The PPE on the proposed label is considered acceptable to protect against the acute hazard of SC500.

#### 3.5.1.2 SC617

The acute hazard assessment indicated that SC617 has low acute oral, dermal and inhalation toxicity. SC617 is mildly irritating to the eyes and minimally irritating to the skin and is not a dermal sensitizer. Based on these acute hazards, the signal words "Caution Eye irritant" are required on the label, however, no additional PPE is triggered for workers during mixing, loading, application, clean-up and repair. The PPE on the proposed label is considered acceptable to protect against the acute hazard of SC617.

#### 3.5.1.3 SC600

The acute hazard assessment indicated that SC600 causes slight acute oral toxicity. SC600 has low acute dermal and inhalation toxicity. SC600 is minimally irritating to the eyes and skin and is not a dermal sensitizer. Based on these acute hazards, the hazard signal words "Caution –

Poison" are required on the label, and no additional PPE is triggered for workers during mixing, loading, application, clean-up, and repair. The PPE on the proposed label is considered acceptable to protect against the acute hazard of SC600.

#### 3.5.2 Occupational exposure and risk assessment

#### 3.5.2.1 Mixer/loader/applicator exposure and risk assessment

Individuals have potential for exposure to diflufenican during mixing, loading, application, clean-up and repair. Dermal and inhalation exposure estimates were generated from the Agricultural Handlers Exposure Task Force (AHETF) database for workers mixing and loading a liquid with an open-transfer system and applying SC500, SC617 or SC600 field corn, seed corn or soybeans using groundboom sprayer equipment. The unit exposure values in the risk assessment are based on handlers wearing a single layer of clothing and chemical-resistant gloves during mixing, loading and applying (no gloves within a closed-cab tractor) (Appendix I, Table 8).

Dermal exposure was estimated by coupling the unit exposure values adjusted for the dermal absorption value of 44% with the amount of product handled per day, which was derived from the maximum application rate of diflufenican on field or seed corn and soybeans, and the standard area treated per day values with groundboom sprayer for farmers and custom applicators. Inhalation exposure was estimated by coupling the unit exposure values with the amount of product handled per day and 100% inhalation absorption. Exposure was normalized to mg/kg bw/day by using 80 kg adult body weight.

The estimated dermal and inhalation exposure values were combined since the dermal and inhalation reference values are based on the same study, and the same toxicological adverse effects are observed. Total daily exposure estimates were compared to the selected toxicology reference value to obtain the margin of exposure (MOE); the target MOE is 100. All calculated MOEs were above the target MOE of 100 for all chemical handler scenarios for field or seed corn and soybeans and are therefore not of health concern (Appendix I, Table 9).

Considering both the acute toxicity of the end-use products and the results of risk assessment for diflufenican, the PPE on the proposed SC500, SC617 and SC600 labels is adequate to protect workers while mixing, loading and applying the end-use products when used according to the proposed label directions.

#### 3.5.2.2 Postapplication exposure and risk assessment

There is a restriction on the SC500, SC617 and SC600 labels: "Do not apply to emerged crop." Therefore, as crops are not emerged at the time of the preseed or preemergence application, negligible foliar residues are expected following a single application in fields of corn (field and seed) and soybeans.

As a result, the postapplication exposure potential for workers entering treated fields to conduct agronomic activities is low and a quantitative postapplication dermal exposure risk assessment is not required. Dermal risk is not of health concern for postapplication workers when the end-use products are used according to the proposed label directions.

Inhalation exposure is not expected as diflufenican is considered non-volatile with a vapour pressure of  $4.25 \times 10^{-9}$  kPa at 25°C, which is less than the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) criterion for a non-volatile product for outdoor scenarios  $[1 \times 10^{-4}$  kPa at 20–30°C]. As such, a quantitative inhalation risk assessment is not required. Inhalation risk is not of health concern for postapplication workers as diflufenican is considered to be non-volatile and the restricted-entry interval of 12 hours will allow residues to dry, suspended particles to settle and vapours to dissipate.

#### 3.5.3 Residential exposure and risk assessment

#### 3.5.3.1 Handler exposure and risk assessment

SC500, SC617 and SC600 are not domestic class products and are not permitted for use in residential settings; therefore, a residential handler exposure assessment is not required.

#### 3.5.3.2 Postapplication exposure and risk assessment

SC500, SC617 and SC600 are not domestic class products and are not permitted for use in residential settings; therefore, a residential postapplication exposure assessment is not required.

#### 3.5.4 Bystander exposure and risk assessment

Bystander exposure is considered negligible as application is limited to agricultural crops only when there is low risk of drift to areas of human habitation or activity such as houses, cottages, schools and recreational areas, taking into consideration wind speed, wind direction, temperature inversions, application equipment and sprayer settings.

A standard label statement to protect against drift during application is on the labels. Therefore, bystander exposure and risk are not of health concern since the potential for drift is expected to be minimal.

#### **3.6** Dietary exposure and risk assessment

#### 3.6.1 Exposure from residues in food of plant and animal origin

The residue definition for enforcement in cereal grains, pulses/oilseeds, rotational crops and edible livestock commodities is diflufenican. The residue definition for risk assessment in primary and rotational crops and in edible animal commodities is diflufenican. The data gathering and enforcement analytical methods are valid for the quantitation of diflufenican residues in crop and livestock matrices. Residues of diflufenican are stable in representative matrices from the five commodity categories (high water, high oil, high protein, high starch and

high acid content) for up to 24 months when stored frozen at -18°C. Therefore, diflufenican residues are considered stable in all raw agricultural commodities and processed commodities for up to 24 months. Residues of diflufenican did not concentrate in processed field corn and soybean commodities. Quantifiable residues are not expected to occur in edible livestock commodities with the current use pattern. Crop field trials conducted throughout the United States including regions representative of Canada using end-use products containing diflufenican at proposed rates in or on soybeans and field corn are sufficient to support the proposed maximum residue limits. Field rotational crop studies were conducted in/on mustard greens (a leafy commodity), turnips, carrots, potatoes and sugar beets (root and tuber vegetables), and wheat (a cereal grain). The data are adequate to demonstrate that a 30-day plantback interval is appropriate for non-labelled crops.

For soybean seed, the residue definition for risk assessment purposes also includes the metabolite BCS-BT38895, for which separate risk assessments were conducted. A validated analytical method is available for the quantitation of BCS-BT38895 for data gathering purposes in soybean seed. Residues of BCS-BT38895 are stable in frozen storage in soybean seed for up to 18 months and concentrate (1.3-fold) in soybean flour only. Quantifiable residues of BCS-BT38895 are not expected to occur in edible livestock commodities with the current use pattern. Crop field trials conducted throughout the United States including growing regions representative of Canada using end-use products containing diflufenican at proposed rates in or on soybeans are sufficient to demonstrate anticipated levels of the BCS-BT38895 metabolite in soybean seed for consideration in dietary exposure assessments.

#### 3.6.2 Exposure from residues in drinking water

Estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) in potential drinking water sources are calculated for both groundwater and surface water.

For drinking water, diflufenican was modelled as a combined residue with its transformation products diflufenican-acid (DFF-acid) and diflufenican-amide (DFF-amide) (Table 3.6.2-1).

Estimated environmental concentrations in water for the combined residues were calculated for use in human health risk assessments using the Pesticide Water Calculator (PWC; version 2.0).

For surface water, PWC calculates the amount of pesticide entering the water body by runoff and drift, and the subsequent degradation of the pesticide in the water system. EECs are calculated by modelling a single standard scenario for 50 years, where a total land area of 173 ha drains into a 5.3 ha reservoir with a depth of 2.7 m.

Groundwater EECs are calculated by simulating leaching through a layered soil profile and reporting the average concentration in the top 1m of a water table. EECs in groundwater were calculated for several scenarios representing different regions of Canada; only the highest EECs from across these scenarios are reported. All scenarios were run for 50 years.

Drinking water modelling follows a tiered approach consisting of progressive levels of refinement. Level 1 EECs are conservative values intended to screen out pesticides that are not expected to pose any concern related to drinking water. These are calculated using conservative inputs with respect to application rate, application timing, and geographic scenario.

Level 2 EECs are based on a narrower range application timing, methods, and geographic scenarios. Level 2 EECs are not considered conservative values that cover all regions of Canada and are only calculated when the dietary risk assessment requires further refinement.

Modelling was performed at Level 1 and EECs, expressed as parent equivalent, are reported in Table 3.6.2-2, below.]

Fate parameter	Drinking	Ecological	Details
	water <sup>1</sup>	water <sup>2</sup>	
$K_{\rm oc}$ (L/kg)	6.1	5429.7	Drinking water: The 20 <sup>th</sup>
			percentile (P20) of 4 values for
			DFF-acid (the lowest $K_{oc}$ among
			all compounds of concern).
			<b>Ecological:</b> The P20 of $6 K_{oc}$
			values for diflufenican.
Water column	680.76	394.7	80 <sup>th</sup> percentile of 6 aerobic
metabolism half-life <sup>3</sup>			water/sediment systems.
(day) at 20°C			
Benthic metabolism	805.6	475.7	80 <sup>th</sup> percentile of 3 values.
half-life <sup>4</sup> (day) at 20°C			_
Aqueous Photolysis half-	201	201.4	Single study
life (day) at 40°N			
Hydrolysis (day)	Stable	Stable	Single study
Soil half-life <sup>5</sup> (day) at	221	171	90% upper confidence bound on
20°C			the mean from 9 soils.

Table 3.6.2-1 Major fate inputs for the modelling

• <sup>1</sup>Diflufenican and two transformation products (DFF-acid and DFF-amide)

• <sup>2</sup> Diflufenican alone

• <sup>3</sup> Aerobic aquatic whole system

• <sup>4</sup> Anaerobic aquatic whole system/soil

• <sup>5</sup> Aerobic soil metabolism

# Table 3.6.2-2 Level 1 Estimated environmental concentrations of combined residue ofdiflufenican and its two transformation products (DFF-acid and DFF-amide) in potentialsources of drinking water

Use pattern	Groui (µg	Groundwater Surface Wat (µg a.i./L) (µg a.i./L)		nter )	
	Peak <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Daily <sup>3</sup>	Yearly <sup>4</sup>	Overall <sup>5</sup>
1 application at 180 g a.i./ha annually	159.0	149.1	14.4	2.22	0.88

<sup>1</sup> Peak of daily concentrations.

- <sup>2</sup> Average of post-breakthrough concentrations.
- <sup>3</sup> 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the highest 1-day average concentration from each year.
- <sup>4</sup> 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of yearly average concentrations.
- <sup>5</sup> Average of all yearly average concentrations.

#### 3.6.3 Dietary risk assessment

A chronic dietary risk assessment for diflufenican and, acute, chronic (non-cancer) and cancer dietary risk assessments for metabolite BCS-BT38895 were conducted using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model (DEEM–FCID<sup>™</sup>, Version 4.02, 05-10-c), which incorporates consumption data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey/What We Eat in America (NHANES/WWEIA) for the year 2005-2010.

#### 3.6.3.1 Acute dietary exposure results and characterization

No appropriate toxicological reference value attributable to a single dose for the general population (including children and infants) was identified for diflufenican.

For BCS-BT38895, a unique metabolite in soybean seed only, the following assumptions were applied in the intermediate level of refinement of the acute analysis: 100% soybean crop treated, the highest average field trial (HAFT) residue from the soybean field trials, and default and experimental (where available) processing factors. The acute dietary exposure from soybean alone is estimated to be less than 1% (3 ×  $10^{-5}$  mg/kg bw/day) of the ARfD for the general population (95<sup>th</sup> percentile, deterministic).

#### 3.6.3.2 Chronic dietary exposure results and characterization

The following criteria were applied to the basic chronic analysis for diflufenican: 100% crop treated, default and experimental processing factors (where available), and proposed MRLs for field corn, dry soybeans and all animal commodities. The basic (i.e., most conservative) chronic dietary exposure (food alone) from all supported diflufenican food commodities for the total population, including infants and children, and all representative population subgroups is less than 1% of the acceptable daily intake (ADI). Aggregate exposure from food and drinking water is considered acceptable.

The PMRA estimates that chronic dietary exposure to diflufenican from food and drinking water is 1.6% (3 × 10<sup>-3</sup> mg/kg bw/day) of the ADI for the total population. The highest exposure and risk estimate is for all infants (< 1 year) at 5.7% (1 × 10<sup>-2</sup> mg/kg bw/day) of the ADI.

For metabolite BCS-BT38895, the following criteria were applied to the intermediate level of refinement of the chronic non-cancer analysis: 100% crop treated, the supervised trial median residue (STMdR) value from the soybean field trials and default and experimental processing factors (where available) for soybean processed commodities. The chronic non-cancer dietary exposure (food alone) from the consumption of soybeans for the total population, including infants and children, and all representative population subgroups is less than 1% of the ADI.

The intermediate level of refinement of the chronic cancer risk assessment was conducted for metabolite BCS-BT38895 with the same criteria used for the chronic non-cancer assessment. The lifetime cancer risk from exposure to BCS-BT38895 from the consumption of soybean seed and derived processed commodities was estimated to be  $4 \times 10^{-7}$  for the general population (including infants and children), which is not of health concern.

#### 3.7 Aggregate exposure and risk assessment

For diflufenican, the aggregate exposure assessment consisted of combining food and drinking water exposure only, since residential exposure is not expected.

#### 3.8 Cumulative assessment

The *Pest Control Products Act* requires the Agency to consider the cumulative effects of pest control products that have a common mechanism of mammalian toxicity. Accordingly, an assessment of a potential common mechanism of toxicity with other pesticides was undertaken for diflufenican. Diflufenican is a phytoene desaturase (PDS) inhibitor (pesticidal mode of action), and only one other PDS inhibitor is registered for use in Canada, picolinafen. Diflufenican and picolinafen do not have similar mammalian toxicity profiles, and as a result, no common mechanism of toxicity was identified. Other PDS inhibitor pesticides not registered for use in Canada include norflurazon, fluridone, and flurtamone, none of which share a common mechanism of mammalian toxicity with diflufenican. Overall, for the current evaluation, the PMRA did not identify information indicating that diflufenican shares a common mechanism of mammalian toxicity with other pest control products. Therefore, no cumulative health risk assessment is required at this time.

#### 3.9 Maximum residue limits

Dietary risks from the consumption of food commodities listed in Table 3.9.1 were shown to be acceptable when diflufenican is used according to the supported label directions. Therefore, foods containing residues at these levels are safe to eat, and the PMRA recommends that the following MRLs be specified for residues of diflufenican.

 Table 3.9.1
 Recommended maximum residue limits

MRL (ppm)	Food Commodity
0.01	Dry soybeans; eggs; fat, meat and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, and sheep; field corn; milk

For additional information on Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) in terms of the international situation and trade implications, refer to Appendix II.

The nature of the residues in animal and plant matrices, analytical methodologies, field trial data, and acute and chronic dietary risk estimates are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 1B, 10 and 11.

#### 3.10 Health incident reports

Diflufenican is a new active ingredient pending registration for use in Canada and as of 23 June 2022, no human or domestic animal incidents have been submitted to the PMRA.

#### 4.0 Impact on the environment

#### 4.1 Fate and behaviour in the environment

#### 4.1.1 Terrestrial environment

A summary of the environmental fate properties of diflufenican and its transformation products are found in Appendix I; Tables 12 and 13.

In the terrestrial environment, abiotic transformation processes are not expected to contribute significantly to the dissipation of diflufenican in soil, as this compound was stable to hydrolysis and photolysis, under acidic and neutral conditions.

Biotransformation was an important route of dissipation for diflufenican in the terrestrial environment. Diflufenican was slightly persistent to persistent in both laboratory and field studies. In aerobic soils, diflufenican transformed to the major products DFF-amide, DFF-acid and CO<sub>2</sub>; while in anaerobic soil conditions, 2,4-difluoroaniline (2,4-DFA) was predominantly formed. Additional studies with transformation products DFF-amide, DFF-acid and 2,4-DFA showed that they were non-persistent to moderately persistent under aerobic soil conditions.

The weight of evidence suggests that diflufenican is not expected to leach based on the criteria of Cohen et al. (1981) and the groundwater ubiquity score of Gustafson (1989); diflufenican is immobile in soil, slightly persistent to persistent, and it was not detected below 30 cm in the terrestrial field dissipation studies. Transformation products DDF-acid and DDF-amide have the potential to leach based on the criteria of Cohen et al. (1981) and the groundwater ubiquity score of Gustafson (1989). Laboratory studies indicated that transformation products, DDF-acid and

DDF-amide, were mobile and slightly persistent in soil; however, in terrestrial field studies these transformation products were not detected below 15 cm, suggesting that they would not reach groundwater. Transformation product 2,4-DFA is not likely to leach as it is volatile, non-persistent and, thus, expected to dissipate very rapidly under field conditions.

Diflufenican is not expected to carry over to the next growing season.

#### 4.1.2 Aquatic environment

In the aquatic environment, abiotic transformation is not expected to contribute significantly to the dissipation of diflufenican as it is stable to hydrolysis and photolysis under basic and neutral or acid conditions.

Biotransformation was a major route of dissipation for diflufenican in the water system and large amounts of diflufenican were shown to partition into sediment prior to transformation. Diflufenican dissipated rapidly from the water phase and was persistent in the sediment phases in clay and sand. Transformation products DFF-acid and CO<sub>2</sub> were major products identified in aquatic systems.

#### 4.1.3 Air transformation

Diflufenican has low solubility in water, low vapour pressure, and a low Henry's Law Constant, suggesting diflufenican is not likely to volatilize from moist soil or water surfaces under field conditions. All these physico-chemical properties, combined with a high absorptive capacity to organic matter in soil and water, indicate diflufenican would have a low potential for transport in the atmosphere. Transformation products DFF-acid and DFF-amide are also not expected to be found in air based on their low vapour pressure. While 2,4-DFA is highly volatile, it is expected to dissipate / transform very rapidly in soil and was not detected in field dissipation studies.

#### 4.1.4 Bioaccumulation

The potential for bioaccumulation of diflufenican in fish is low. Diflufenican is, therefore, not expected to bioaccumulate.

#### 4.2 Environmental risk characterization

The environmental risk assessment integrates the environmental exposure and ecotoxicology information to estimate the potential for adverse effects on non-target species. This integration is achieved by comparing exposure concentrations with concentrations at which adverse effects occur. Estimated environmental exposure concentrations (EECs) are concentrations of pesticide in various environmental media, such as food, water, soil and air. The EECs are estimated using standard models which take into consideration the application rate(s), chemical properties and environmental fate properties, including the dissipation of the pesticide between applications. Ecotoxicology information includes acute and chronic toxicity data for various organisms or groups of organisms from both terrestrial and aquatic habitats including invertebrates,

vertebrates, and plants. Toxicity endpoints used in risk assessments may be adjusted to account for potential differences in species sensitivity as well as varying protection goals (i.e. protection at the community, population, or individual level). A summary of the terrestrial and aquatic endpoints and the effects metrics used in the risk assessment are presented Appendix I, Tables 14, 15 and 16, respectively.

Initially, a screening level risk assessment is performed to identify pesticides and/or specific uses that do not pose a risk to non-target organisms, and to identify groups of organisms for which there may be a potential risk. The screening level risk assessment uses simple methods, conservative exposure scenarios (for example, direct application at a maximum cumulative application rate) and sensitive toxicity endpoints. A risk quotient (RQ) is calculated by dividing the exposure estimate by an appropriate toxicity value (RQ = exposure/toxicity), and the risk quotient is then compared to the level of concern (LOC). If the screening level risk quotient is below the level of concern, the risk is considered negligible, and no further risk characterization is necessary. If the screening level risk quotient is equal to or greater than the level of concern, then a refined risk assessment is performed to further characterize the risk. A refined assessment takes into consideration more realistic exposure scenarios (such as drift to non-target habitats) and might consider different toxicity endpoints. Refinements may include further characterization of risk based on exposure modelling, monitoring data, results from field or mesocosm studies, and probabilistic risk assessment methods. Refinements to the risk assessment may continue until the risk is adequately characterized or no further refinements are possible.

#### 4.2.1 Risks to terrestrial organisms

Terrestrial organisms, such as earthworms, honeybees, beneficial arthropods, birds, small mammals, and terrestrial non-target vascular plants can be exposed to diflufenican through direct contact with spray, spray drift, run-off, contact with sprayed surfaces, or from ingestion of contaminated food. A risk assessment of diflufenican, its transformation products, and the associated end-use product and co-formulations was undertaken based on available toxicity data for earthworms, honeybees and other beneficial arthropods, birds, small wild mammals, and terrestrial plants. A summary of the toxicity of diflufenican to terrestrial organisms is provided in Appendix I, Table 14. The most sensitive endpoints used in the risk assessment are provided in Appendix I, Table 16. Results of the accompanying risk assessment are presented in Appendix I, Table 17, 18, 19, and 20.

#### Earthworms and soil-dwelling arthropods

Earthworms and soil-dwelling arthropods may be exposed to diflufenican through contact with residues in soil. Soil EECs were calculated based on a direct overspray, considering the maximum seasonal application rate of 180 g a.i./ha. Effects metrics were compared to the screening level soil EEC of 0.08 mg a.i./kg. The resulting RQs did not exceed the LOC for diflufenican technical and the end-use product SC500, indicating that risks to earthworms and soil-dwelling arthropods are acceptable when diflufenican is used according to the label.

#### Foliar-dwelling beneficial arthropods

The main route of exposure of diflufenican to foliar-dwelling beneficial arthropods is via contact to surface residues as a result of a spray application. For direct overspray to plant surfaces in the field, the maximum seasonal application rate of 180 g a.i./ha was used as the screening level foliar EEC. Effects metrics were compared to the foliar EEC. The RQs did not exceed the LOC for the end-use product, SC500, indicating that risks to foliar-dwelling arthropods are acceptable when diflufenican is used according to the label.

#### Bees

Foraging bees could be exposed directly to diflufenican via spray droplets during application, to residues on the surface of leaves (acute contact exposure), and through the ingestion of contaminated pollen and nectar (oral exposure). In addition, brood may be exposed to diflufenican as foraging bees bring contaminated pollen and nectar back to the hive. For the screening level risk assessment, it was assumed that diflufenican is systemic and expected to move through plants to the pollen and nectar. The estimated contact and oral exposure for bees is compared to the toxicity endpoints (expressed in  $\mu g a.i./bee$ ) derived from laboratory studies. As such, a conversion of the application rate from kg a.i./ha to  $\mu g a.i./bee$  is required for both contact and oral studies.

The LOC was not exceeded for all bee studies with either diflufenican or the end-use product, SC500, except for chronic oral exposure to adult bees, where the LOC was marginally exceeded for the end-use product, SC500 (RQ = 1.1). The chronic oral exposure to adult bees is conservative because the calculation is based on the estimated residues directly in/on the plant and thus overestimates the residues in diet as the residues in the diet would be lower than those directly in/on the plant. Thus, risks to pollinators are acceptable when diflufenican is used according to the label and no mitigation measures are required.

#### **Terrestrial vertebrates**

Birds and mammals could be exposed directly to diflufenican via spray droplets during application or to residues on the surface of leaves (acute contact exposure). Foraging birds and small mammals could also be exposed to diflufenican through the ingestion of a contaminated diet (oral exposure). To assess the risk to birds and mammals, the estimated concentration of diflufenican on various food items was used to determine the amount of pesticide in the diet (the estimated daily exposure (EDE).

The LOC was not exceeded for all feeding guilds of mammals. The LOC was exceeded for insectivorous small and medium sized birds, on a chronic basis (RQs >1.7).

The risk to birds was further characterized considering feeding guilds, maximum and mean residue levels, and on-field and off-field exposures (Appendix I, Table 19). When considering the maximum residue values, the feeding preference and food items contaminated from spray drift on the treated field, the maximum risk quotients were for insectivorous small and medium
sized birds (RQ >1.7 and >1.3, respectively, for reproductive effects). When considering mean on-field residues of diflufenican in food items, risk quotients exceeded the level of concern for small and medium sized insectivorous small and medium sized birds (RQ >1.2 and >0.9, respectively). No risk is expected for all birds exposed to off-field drift residues estimated by assuming a 6% spray drift factor for ground application.

#### Overall conclusion about potential risks to birds

The overall potential risk to birds is low given that the risk assessment is conservative (assumes 100% diet is comprised of insects or plants from the treated field) and the RQs are low.

It should be noted that three avian reproduction studies were available for review; the most sensitive no observed effect dietary doses (NOEDDs) were <8.6 and 162 mg a.i./kg bw/day (Mallard duck) and 9.42 mg a.i./kg bw/day (Bobwhite quail). The lowest NOEDD used in risk assessment was unbounded (<8.6 mg a.i./kg bw/day), resulting in some uncertainty. However, it is the opinion of the PMRA that when all three NOEDDs are considered, they offer sufficient weight of evidence that risks to birds are expected to be low. Therefore, the risks are considered acceptable and no mitigation measures are required.

#### Non-target terrestrial plants

Non-target plants may be exposed to diflufenican through direct overspray and spray drift of SC500 and co-formulations SC600 and SC617. The EECs were equal to the maximum seasonal application rate of the diflufenican component (180 g a.i./ha) in all co-formulations, except for SC617 (150 g a.i./ha). Based on EECs and the most sensitive ER<sub>25</sub> for seedling emergence and for vegetative vigour, the calculated risk quotients exceeded the LOC at the screening level (RQs: 3.5 to 555.5), indicating a potential risk to non-target terrestrial plants. The risk was thus further characterized.

#### Further risk characterization

#### Spray drift

Further characterization of exposure was conducted considering off-field EECs using a 6% spray drift factor for ground application of SC500 and co-formulations SC600 and SC617 (Appendix I, Table 19). The level of concern for non-target terrestrial vascular plants was still exceeded for ground application (RQs: 1.7 to 33.3). The use of diflufenican is expected to pose a risk to non-target terrestrial plants. The risk will be mitigated by terrestrial spray buffer zones and precautionary label statements.

#### 4.2.2 Risks to aquatic organisms

Aquatic organisms, such as invertebrates, fish, amphibians, and aquatic plants can be exposed to diflufenican via spray drift or through runoff entering aquatic habitats. The aquatic risk assessment was conducted following a tiered approach, with a conservative screening assessment

followed by refinements for spray drift and runoff if concerns were identified at the screening level. A summary of the effects on aquatic organisms considered in the selection of toxicity endpoints is provided in Appendix I, Table 15. The most sensitive aquatic endpoints used in the risk assessment are provided in Appendix I, Table 16. Results of the accompanying risk assessment are presented in Appendix I, Tables 21–23.

#### Aquatic invertebrates

#### Freshwater

In the screening level risk assessment, the LOC was exceeded for both acute (RQ < 1.1) and chronic (RQ = 1.0) diflutenican exposure to the water flea, *Daphnia magna*. Risks to *Daphnia* were further characterized (see section Further risk characterization below). Acute and chronic exposures to SC500 and the transformation products DFF-acid, DFF-amide and 2,4-DFA did not exceed the LOC.

The concentration of diflufenican in sediment did not pose a chronic risk to the sedimentdwelling amphipod, *Hyalella Azteca*, nor did diflufenican and the transformation product DFFacid pose a risk to the sediment-dwelling midge, *Chironomus dilutus*.

#### Marine

The risk quotients for marine invertebrates resulting from acute and chronic exposures to diflufenican exceeded the LOC in two cases; diflufenican poses an acute risk to eastern oysters, *Crassostrea virginica* (RQ < 1.1), and is expected to pose a chronic risk to saltwater mysids, *Americamysis bahia* (RQ = 3.8), but not to the sediment dwelling amphipod, *Leptocheirus plumulosus*, based on sediment concentration. Risks to marine invertebrates were further characterized (see Section Further risk characterization below).

#### Fish

#### Freshwater

The risk quotients for rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* and fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas*, resulting from acute exposure to diflufenican (RQ < 6.9), and fathead minnow resulting from chronic exposure to diflufenican (RQ = 7.4) exceeded the LOC. There were no effects of acute exposure to the transformation products on freshwater fish. Risks to freshwater fish were further characterized (see Section Further risk characterization below).

#### Marine

The risk quotients for the marine fish sheepshead minnow, *Cyprinodon variegatus*, resulting from acute and chronic exposures to diflufenican, exceeded the LOC (RQs of 6.4 and 4.9, respectively). Risks to marine fish were further characterized (see Section Further risk characterization below).

#### Amphibians

The risk quotients for African clawed frog, *Xenopus laevis*, resulting from acute exposure to diflufenican exceeded the LOC (RQ = 17.0). When fathead minnows were used as surrogates for amphibians, the LOC was exceeded with RQs of 39.3 for chronic exposure to diflufenican. Risks to amphibians were further characterized (see Section Further risk characterization below).

#### Algae and vascular plants

#### Freshwater

The LOC was exceeded for green algae, *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*, exposed to diflufenican (RQ < 204.5) and for green alga, *Desmodesmus subspicatus*, exposed to SC500 (RQ = 25). The LOC was not exceeded for freshwater algae and vascular plants exposed to the transformation products DFF-acid, DFF-amide and 2,4-DFA. The LOC was exceeded for duckweed, *Lemna gibba*, exposed to diflufenican (RQ = 1.1). Risks to freshwater plants were further characterized (see Section Further risk characterization below).

#### Marine

The LOC was exceeded for the marine diatom, *Skeletonema costatum* exposed to diflufenican (RQ = 12.2). Risks to marine plants were further characterized (see Section Further risk characterization below).

#### Further risk characterization

#### Spray drift

Non-target aquatic organisms can also be exposed to diflufenican via spray drift. The refinement parameters for freshwater organisms and amphibians were the same as for the terrestrial spray drift refinement. For marine organisms, spray buffer zones are determined based on acute endpoints and the maximum single application rate only to reflect the lower potential of chronic exposure due to higher water renewal rates in tidal/estuarine areas.

The further risk characterization resulted in RQs of 0.1 to <12.3 (Appendix I, Table 22). Only acute and chronic exposure of diflufenican to amphibians (RQs of < 1 and 2.4, respectively), and acute exposures of diflufenican to green algae, *P. subcapitata* and *D. subspicatus* (RQs: <12.4 and 1.5, respectively) resulted in an exceedance of the LOC. The LOC for all other aquatic organisms was not exceeded (Appendix I, Table 22). The risk will be mitigated by aquatic spray buffer zones and precautionary label statements.

#### Runoff

The screening level risk quotients for amphibian, fish, aquatic invertebrates, algae and aquatic vascular plants exposed to diflufenican exceeded the level of concern. The EEC used for the screening level assumes a direct application to a water body. In order to better characterize the

risk, the risk from exposure to runoff into a body of water directly adjacent to the application field was determined using the runoff 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the EECs predicted by PRZM-EXAMS for an appropriate time-frame.

The risk quotients for exposure to diflufenican through runoff are provided in Appendix I, Table 23. The risk quotients presented were calculated using toxicity endpoints and EECs representing the 90th percentile of 24-hour and 96-hour concentrations (acute assessment) and 21-day concentration (chronic assessment). Although diflufenican poses potential risk to algae through runoff (RQ <17.3), studies have shown diflufenican to have algistatic effects (inhibition of growth) rather than algicidal effects (killing of algal cells). Algae are expected to regenerate following runoff exposure. Standard precautionary runoff statements are required on all diflufenican product labels.

#### 4.2.3 Environmental incident reports

As of 23 June 2022, no environmental incidents involving diflufenican have been submitted to the PMRA.

## 5.0 Value

Diflufenican, the active ingredient of SC500 Herbicide, is a herbicide with soil residual activity. It provides early-season or season-long control of *Amaranthus* weed species in corn (field and seed) and soybean when it is applied as a broadcast spray up to 14 days before planting or within three days after planting but prior to emergence of the crop in all tillage systems.

There are many herbicides registered for the control of *Amaranthus* species in corn and soybean. However, *Amaranthus* species have been identified to be highly resistant to many herbicide modes of action, including Groups 2, 3, 5, 9, 14, 15, and/or 27, in North America. Diflufenican provides control of *Amaranthus* species, including biotypes resistant to many other herbicide modes of action.

SC600 Herbicide and SC617 Herbicide, which are co-formulations of diflufenican with the registered active ingredients metribuzin or isoxaflutole, provide additional control of the weeds controlled by these active ingredients in corn (field and seed) or soybean. These products contain two active ingredients from different modes of action that may help users to manage herbicide resistant weeds, especially *Amaranthus* species, and to delay the evolution of future herbicide resistant weeds in corn and soybean fields.

Pre-plant and pre-emergent applications of diflufenican and its co-formulations fit well into Integrated Pest Management (IPM) programs, which may include the use of cultural practices, crop rotation, biological control agents, pest scouting and pest forecasting systems aimed at preventing economic pest damage. It also does not preclude the use of sequential applications of other herbicides with different modes of action for post-emergent weed control. The applications of these herbicides reduce early-season weed competition to the emerging crop allowing the crop to benefit from additional moisture, nutrients, and light that would otherwise be captured by weeds. Weed management at this time is critical as the crop does not compete well with weeds until crop canopy closure. As all three end-use products have soil residual activity, the reduction in weed competition with the crop is extended.

#### 5.1 Support for efficacy claims

Efficacy information submitted for review included scientific rationales, registrations of the cited end-use products containing metribuzin or isoxaflutole, and data from 52 field research trials, which were conducted in field corn, soybean, and non-cropland in Canada and the United States in the common corn and soybean growing regions between 2017 and 2020.

### 5.1.1 SC500 Herbicide

In the 23 trials in which efficacy of SC500 Herbicide was evaluated, it was demonstrated that a pre-plant surface or pre-emergent application of SC500 Herbicide at 120–360 mL/ha provided acceptable early-season or seasonal-long control of green pigweed, redroot pigweed, tall waterhemp, and palmer amaranth, including weed biotypes resistance to Group 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 14, 15, and 27 herbicides.

The following tank mixtures are supported for labelling:

Pre-emergence to field corn: Aatrex Liquid 480, XtendiMax with VaporGrip Technology, and XtendiMax 2 with VaporGrip Technology.

Pre-plant surface and pre-emergence to field corn and seed corn: Converge Flexx and Roundup WeatherMax with Transorb 2 Technology.

Pre-plant surface and pre-emergence to field corn: Roundup Transorb HC, R/T 540 Liquid, Coop Vector 540 Liquid, Roundup Xtend with VaporGrip Technology, and Roundup Xtend 2 with VaporGrip Technology.

Pre-plant surface and pre-emergence to soybean: Sencor 75 DF, Sencor 480 F, Roundup WeatherMax with Transorb 2 Technology, and Roundup Transorb HC.

Pre-plant surface and pre-emergence to Roundup Ready 2 Xtend soybean: Roundup Xtend with VaporGrip Technology, Roundup Xtend 2 with VaporGrip Technology, XtendiMax with VaporGrip Technology, and XtendiMax 2 with VaporGrip Technology.

#### 5.1.2 SC600 Herbicide

In the 17 field trials in which efficacy of SC600 Herbicide was evaluated, it was demonstrated that a pre-plant surface or pre-emergent application of SC600 Herbicide at 375–900 mL/ha provided acceptable early-season or season-long control of the weeds controlled by SC500 Herbicide and the weeds listed on the cited metribuzin end-use product label at the similar active ingredient rates. In addition, value information also supported the claims for early-season control of barnyard grass, large crabgrass, smooth crabgrass, green foxtail, giant foxtail, yellow foxtail, fall panicum, and witchgrass with SC600 Herbicide at 900 mL/ha.

The following tank mixtures are supported:

Pre-plant surface and pre-emergence to soybean: Roundup WeatherMax with Transorb 2 Technology and Roundup Transorb HC.

Pre-plant surface and pre-emergence to Roundup Ready 2 Xtend soybean: Roundup Xtend with VaporGrip Technology, Roundup Xtend 2 with VaporGrip Technology, XtendiMax with VaporGrip Technology, and XtendiMax 2 with VaporGrip Technology.

#### 5.1.3 SC617 Herbicide

In the 12 field trials in which efficacy of SC617 Herbicide was evaluated, it was demonstrated that a pre-plant surface or pre-emergent application of SC617 Herbicide at 292–585 mL/ha provided acceptable early-season or season-long control of the weeds controlled by SC500 Herbicide and the cited end-use product containing isoxaflutole at the similar active ingredient rates.

The following tank mixtures are supported:

Pre-plant surface and pre-emergence to field and seed corn: Roundup WeatherMax with Transorb 2 Technology.

Pre-plant surface and pre-emergence to field corn: Roundup Transorb HC, R/T 540 Liquid, Coop Vector 540 Liquid, Roundup Xtend with VaporGrip Technology, and Roundup Xtend 2 with VaporGrip Technology.

Pre-emergence to field corn: Aatrex Liquid 480, XtendiMax with VaporGrip Technology, and XtendiMax 2 with VaporGrip Technology.

#### 5.2 Support for host crop claims

Crop tolerance information submitted for review consisted of scientific rationales, registrations of the cited end-use products containing metribuzin or isoxaflutole, and data from 46 combined efficacy and crop tolerance trials and 30 dedicated crop tolerance trials, conducted in Canada and the United States between 2017 and 2020.

#### 5.2.1 Field and seed corn

In 19 combined efficacy and crop tolerance trials and 16 dedicated crop tolerance trials, it was demonstrated that 25 field corn hybrids exhibited adequate margins of tolerance to both SC500 Herbicide and SC617 Herbicide when they were applied as per their label instructions. Yield data from 11 trials corroborated the crop injury observations. The scientific rationales justifying extrapolation of observed field grain corn tolerance to field silage corn was reviewed and considered acceptable.

Seed corn as a host crop is also supported based on the crop tolerance observed in field corn, and herbicide screening systems that are in place for seed corn production in Canada.

#### 5.2.2 Soybean

In 22 combined efficacy and crop tolerance trials and 14 dedicated crop tolerance trials, it was demonstrated that 29 soybean varieties exhibited adequate margins of tolerance to SC500 Herbicide and SC600 Herbicide when they were applied as per their label instructions. Yield data from 29 trials corroborated the crop injury observations.

#### 5.3 Support for rotational crop claims

Rotational crop tolerance information submitted included registrations of the cited end-use products containing metribuzin or isoxaflutole, use history information from Australia, Argentina, and several European countries, scientific rationales, and data from 23 field trials, which were conducted in Manitoba, Alberta, and Ontario between 2018 and 2020.

Based on the weight of evidence, the following rotational crops are supported for labelling:

Field corn and soybean can be planted as rescue crops if initial planting of the host crop fails in fields treated with SC500 Herbicide at 300 and 360 mL/ha, respectively. Field corn and winter wheat as rotational crops can be safely planted 30 days and four months, respectively, after the application of SC500 Herbicide at 360 mL/ha. Other listed small grain cereals, grasses, pulse crops, potato, and tomato as rotational crops can be safely planted anytime in the year following the application of SC500 Herbicide at 360 mL/ha. Canola and sugar beet as rotational crops can be safely planted anytime in the year following the application of SC500 Herbicide at 240 mL/ha.

Rotational crops supported for SC600 Herbicide and SC617 Herbicide are based on the most restrictive rotational crop restrictions supported for SC500 Herbicide and registered on the cited end-use products containing metribuzin or isoxaflutole.

## 6.0 Pest control product policy considerations

# 6.1 Assessment of the active ingredient under the toxic substances management policy

The *Toxic Substances Management Policy* (TSMP) is a federal government policy developed to provide direction on the management of substances of concern that are released into the environment. The TSMP calls for the virtual elimination of Track 1 substances, in other words, those that meet all four criteria outlined in the policy: persistent (in air, soil, water and/or sediment), bio-accumulative, primarily a result of human activity and toxic as defined by the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*. The *Pest Control Products Act* requires that the TSMP be given effect in evaluating the risks of a product.

During the review process, diflufenican and its transformation products were assessed in accordance with the PMRA Regulatory Directive DIR99-03<sup>8</sup> and evaluated against the Track 1 criteria. The PMRA has reached the following conclusions:

- Diflufenican does not meet all Track 1 criteria, and is not considered a Track 1 substance.
- Diflufenican does not form any transformation products that meet all Track 1 criteria.

Please refer to Appendix I, Table 24 for further information on the TSMP assessment.

#### 6.1.1 Formulants and contaminants of health or environmental concern

During the review process, contaminants in the active ingredient as well as formulants and contaminants in the end-use products are compared against Parts 1 and 3 of the *List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern.*<sup>9</sup> The list is used as described in the PMRA Science Policy Note SPN2020-01<sup>10</sup> and is based on existing policies and regulations, including the *Toxic Substance Management Policy* and *Formulants Policy*,<sup>11</sup> and taking into consideration the *Ozone-depleting Substances and Halocarbon Alternatives Regulations* under the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999*, (substances designated under the Montreal Protocol).

The PMRA has reached the conclusion that:

• Diflufenican Technical, the end-use product SC500 and co-formulations SC600 and SC617 do not contain any formulants or contaminants that require environmental risk management.

The use of formulants in registered pest control products is assessed on an ongoing basis through PMRA formulant initiatives and Regulatory Directive DIR2006-02.

## 7.0 Proposed regulatory decision

Health Canada's PMRA, under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act*, is proposing registration for the sale and use of Diflufenican Technical and SC500 containing the technical grade active ingredient diflufenican, for pre-plant and pre-emergent weed control in corn and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> DIR99-03, The Pest Management Regulatory Agency's Strategy for Implementing the Toxic Substances Management Policy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> SI/2005-114, last amended on June 24, 2020. See Justice Laws website, Consolidated Regulations, *List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> PMRA's Science Policy Note SPN2020-01, Policy on the List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern under paragraph 43(5)(b) of the Pest Control Products Act

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> DIR2006-02, Formulants Policy and Implementation Guidance Document

soybean; SC600, containing the technical grade active ingredients diflufenican and metribuzin for pre-plant and pre-emergent weed control in soybean; and SC617 containing the technical grade active ingredients diflufenican and Isoxaflutole for pre-plant and pre-emergent weed control in field corn.

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the health and environmental risks and the value of the pest control products are acceptable.

## List of abbreviations

1	increased
ļ	decreased
ð	male
Ŷ	female
°C	degrees Celsius
μg	micrograms
μm	micrometre
1/n	exponent for the Freundlich isotherm
a.i.	active ingredient
AD	administered dose
ADI	acceptable daily intake
ADME	absorption, distribution, metabolism and elimination
AHETE	Agricultural Handlers Exposure Task Force
AP	alkaline phosphatase
AR	applied radioactivity
ARfD	acute reference dose
atm	atmosphere
ATPD	area treated per day
AUC	area under the growth curve
BAF	bioaccumulation factor
BAX	active code for metribuzin
BRCH	Biologishe Bundesanstalt Bundessortenamt and Chemical industry
BCE	bioconcentration factor
Ba	becauerel
by	beequerer body weight
bwa	body weight gain
CAE	composite assessment factor
CAS	Chamical Abstracts Service
CEDA	Canadian Environmental Protection Act
CLFA	canadian Environmental Frotection Act
cm <sup>2</sup>	centimetre
cm <sup>3</sup>	square centimetre
C	cubic centimetre
Cmax	maximal concentration
$CO_2$	carbon dioxide
CK	chemical-resistant
	day(s)
DALA	days after last application
DAN DEEM ECID	active code for diffutenican
DEEM-FCID	Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model
DFA	difluoroaniline
DFF	diffutenican
DFF-acid	diflutenican acid
DFF-amide	diflutenican amide

DFOP	double first-order in parallel
DIR	directive
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DT <sub>50</sub>	dissipation time 50% (the dose required to observe a 50% decline in
	concentration)
DT90	dissipation time 90% (the dose required to observe a 90% decline in
2 1 )0	concentration)
dw	dry weight
$E_{h}C_{50}$	effective concentration on 50% of the population (algae biomass)
EC so	effective concentration on 50% of the population
EC30 EDE	estimated daily exposure
EDE	estimated any exposure concentration
ELC	estimated environmental exposure concentration
	early file stage
ER25	effective rate on 50% of the newslation
$EK_{50}$	effective rate on $50\%$ of the population
$E_rC_{50}$	effective concentration on 50% of the population (algae growth rate)
EU	European Union
EXAMS	Exposure Analysis Modeling System
Fl	first filial generation
FC	food consumption
FDA	Food and Drugs Act
FIR	food ingestion rate
FOB	functional observational battery
g	gram(s)
GD	gestation day
h	hour(s)
ha	hectare(s)
HAFT	highest average field trial
HDPE	high-density polyethylene
HHRV	human health reference value
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
HPLC-MS/MS	high performance liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry
IC 50	inhibitory concentration 50%
II V	independent laboratory validation
IORE	indeterminate order rate equation
	International Union of Dura and Applied Chamistry
IUFAC	active and for isoveflutele
Kg V	kilogram
K <sub>d</sub>	soil-water partition coefficient
K <sub>F(ads)</sub>	Freundlich adsorption coefficient
K <sub>F(des)</sub>	Freundlich desorption coefficient
K <sub>F,OC(ads)</sub>	Freundlich adsorption coefficient normalized to organic carbon
Koc	organic-carbon partition coefficient
$K_{ m ow}$	octanol-water partition coefficient
kPa	kilopascal
L	litre

LAFT	lowest average field trial
LC-MS/MS	liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry
$LC_{50}$	lethal concentration 50%
$LD_{50}$	lethal dose 50%
LDD <sub>50</sub>	lethal daily dose 50%
LLNA	local lymph node assay
LOAEL	lowest observed adverse effect level
LOC	level of concern
LOD	limit of detection
LOQ	limit of quantitation
LR50	lethal rate 50%
LS	loamy sand
mg	milligram
mĽ	millilitre
mm	millimetre
М	Molar
M/L/A	mixer/loader/applicator
M&B	initials for diflufenical products
MAS	maximum average score
MEA	method efficiency adjustment
MOE	margin of exposure
MRL	maximum residue limit
MRM	multiresidue method
MS	mass spectrometry
NA	not applicable
NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
ND	not detected
NHANES/WWEIA	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey/What We Eat in
	America
NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
NOEC	no observed effect concentration
NOED	no observed effect dose
NOEDD	no observed effect dietary dose
OC	organic carbon content
OM	organic matter content
Р	parental generation
Pa	pascal
PBI	plantback interval
PCPA	Pest Control Products Act
PES	post extraction solids
PHI	preharvest interval
nKa	dissociation constant
PMRA	Pest Management Regulatory Agency
PPE	personal protective equipment
npm	parts per million
PRZM	Pesticide Root Zone Model
1 1.2/191	

PWC	Pesticide Water Calculator
<b>q</b> 1*	cancer potency factor
QSAR	quantitative structure-activity relationship
REI	restricted-entry interval
RQ	risk quotient
S9	mammalian metabolic activation system
SC	suspension concentrate
SDEV	standard deviation
SFO	single first order
SiL	silt loam
SL	sandy loam
SLS	silt loam from Aventis CropScience
SLV	sandy loam from Aventis CropScience
SMILES	simplified molecular-input line-entry system
SPN	science policy note
SSi	sandy silt
STMdR	supervised trial median residue
t <sub>1/2</sub>	half-life
TFMP-NA	company code for 2-(3-trifluoromethyl-phenoxy)-nicotinic acid
T <sub>max</sub>	time of maximal concentration
T <sub>R</sub>	representative half-life
TRR	total radioactive residue
TRV	toxicity reference value
TSMP	Toxic Substances Management Policy
TWA	time-weighted average
UF	uncertainty factor
UK	United Kingdom
UR	unextracted residue
US	United States
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
UV	ultraviolet
W	week
wt	weight
w/w	weight by weight
v.p.	vapour pressure
v/v	volume per volume dilution

## Appendix I Tables and figures

Table 1	1A	Residue	analysis
I GOIC		Itestate	<b>wine</b> , 515

Matrix	Method ID	Analyte	Method Type	LOQ	Reference
Soil	-	diflufenican	HPLC-MS-MS	1.5 ng/g	PMRA No.
		AE 0542291			3201068,
		AE B107137			3201069, 32010/0
Sediment	-	diflufenican	HPLC-MS-MS	1.5 ng/g	PMRA No.
		AE 0542291			3201068,
		AE B107137			3201069, 3201070
Water	-	diflufenican	HPLC-MS-MS	0.05 ng/mL	PMRA No.
		AE 0542291			3201071,
		AE B107137			3201072, 3201073

#### Table 1B Residue analysis in plant and animal matrices

Analytical methods	Matrix	Analytes	Method ID/ Type	LOQ	Reference
Livestock comm	nodities				
Enforcement Method	Poultry breast,		Method DC-	0.01 ppm	
Data-Gathering Method	liver and milk	Diflufenican	005-A19-02/ LC-MS/MS	in all matrices	201074/3200136
ILV of Enforcement Method	DC- 005- A19-01: Poultry breast DC- 005- A19-02: Bovine liver and milk	Diflufenican	Methods DC- 005-A19-01 and DC-005- A19-02/ LC-MS/MS	0.01 ppm in all matrices	PMRA No. 3200141, 3201080

Analytical methods	Matrix	Analytes	Method ID/ Type	LOQ	Reference
	Bovine liver, bovine milk and chicken muscle	Diflufenican	Method-No. DC-005-A19- 02/ LC-MS/MS	0.01 ppm in all matrices	PMRA No. 3200140, 3200141
Radiovalidation	None submitted. However, the extraction procedure with enforcement Method DC-005-A19-02 is comparable to the extraction procedures used in the livestock metabolism studies. Therefore, radiovalidation of the enforcement method is not required.				enforcement procedures used in on of the
Plant commodit	ies				-
Enforcement Method	Corn (forage, grain and stover) Soybean (forage, hay, and seed)	Diflufenican	Method DC- 003-P18-02/ HPLC- MS/MS	0.01 ppm in all matrices	PMRA No. 3200137
Data Gathering Methods	Corn (forage, grain and stover) Soybean (forage, hay, and seed)	Diflufenican BCS-BT38895 (soybean seed metabolite)	Method DC- 003-P18-02/ HPLC- MS/MS	0.01 ppm in all matrices	PMRA No. 3200137

Analytical methods	Matrix	Analytes	Method ID/ Type	LOQ	Reference
	Mustard Greens (leaves) Turnips (roots and tops) Wheat (forage, grain, hay and straw)	Diflufenican	Method DC- 003-P18- 01/HPLC- MS/MS	0.01 ppm in all matrices	PMRA No. 3200135
	Potato (tubers) Sugar Beets (roots and tops)	Diflufenican	Method 01143/HPLC- MS/MS	0.01 ppm in all matrices	PMRA No. 3200134
ILV of Enforcement Method	Soybean (forage, hay, and seed)	Diflufenican	Method DC- 003-P18- 02/HPLC- MS/MS	0.01 ppm in all matrices	PMRA No. 3200139
Radiovalidation	Soybean (forage, hay, and seed)	Diflufenican	N/A	N/A	PMRA No. 3200138

#### Table 2 Identification of select metabolites of Diflufenican

Code	Chemical Name	Source
BCS-BT38895	3-(2,4-difluoroanilino)-3-	Soybean seed metabolite
BCS-BS35087 (M&B 40,401)	2,4-difluoroaniline	Environmental metabolite
M&B 38,181	2-3' -trifluoromethylphenoxy- nicotinic acid	Rat metabolite

Exposure Scenario	Study	Point of Departure and Endpoint	CAF <sup>1</sup> or Target MOE	
Acute dietary general Establishment of an acute reference dose is not required, as an endpo- concern attributable to a single exposure was not identified in the or studies.				
Repeated (chronic) dietary	Combined chronic toxicity/oncogenicity dietary study in rats	NOAEL = 23 mg/kg bw/day ↓ bw, bwg	100	
ADI = 0.2  mg/kg Short- and intermediate- term dermal <sup>2</sup>	; bw/day Combined chronic toxicity/oncogenicity dietary study in rats	NOAEL = 23 mg/kg bw/day ↓ bw, bwg during first 13 weeks of the study	100	
Short- and intermediate- term inhalation <sup>3</sup>	Combined chronic toxicity/oncogenicity dietary study in rats	NOAEL = 23 mg/kg bw/day ↓ bw, bwg during first 13 weeks of the study	100	
Cancer	No evidence of tumourigenicity, therefore a cancer risk assessment is not required.			

#### Table 3 Toxicology reference values for use in health risk assessment for Diflufenican

<sup>1</sup> CAF (composite assessment factor) refers to a total of uncertainty and PCPA factors for dietary assessments; MOE (margin of exposure) refers to a target MOE for occupational assessments.

<sup>2</sup> Since an oral NOAEL was selected, a dermal absorption factor of 44% was used in a route-to-route extrapolation

<sup>3</sup> Since an oral NOAEL was selected, an inhalation absorption factor of 100% (default value) was used in route-to-route extrapolation.

## Table 4Toxicology reference values for use in health risk assessment for BCS-BT38895<br/>(soybean seed metabolite)

Exposure scenario	Study	Point of departure and endpoint	CAF <sup>1</sup>
Acute dietary general population	14-day dietary toxicity study in rats	LOAEL = 16 mg/kg bw/day ↑ MetHb	300
ARfD = 0.05 mg	/kg bw		
Repeated (chronic) dietary	28-day dietary toxicity study in rats	LOAEL = 4 mg/kg bw/day ↑ MetHb	300

Exposure scenario	Study	Point of departure and endpoint	CAF <sup>1</sup>
ADI = 0.01  mg/k	ag bw/day		
Cancer	q1* based on p-chloroaniline of $6.38 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/kg bw/day <sup>-1</sup> for male rat hemangiosarcomas (spleen).		

<sup>1</sup> CAF (composite assessment factor) refers to a total of uncertainty and PCPA factors for dietary assessments.

#### Table 5 Toxicity profile of Technical Diflufenican

Effects observed in both sexes are presented first followed by sex-specific effects in males, then females, each separated by semi-colons. Organ weight effects reflect both absolute organ weights and relative organ to body weights unless otherwise noted. Effects seen above the LOAEL(s) have not been reported in this table for most studies for reasons of brevity.

Study type/ Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
<b>Toxicokinetic Studies</b>	
Tissue distribution	In a series of metabolism studies performed between 1984 and 2001, diflufenican (-96.6 - $>$ 99%) unlabelled or radiolabelled
PMRA No. 3201030	was administered to Wistar and Sprague-Dawley rats. Early studies (1984–1994) were performed with diflufenican labelled
Repeat oral low dose	on the pyridine ring. Studies from 2001 were performed with diflufenican radiolabelled on either the difluorophenyl or
PMRA No. 3201031	trifluoromethylphenyl rings.
ADME	<b>Absorption:</b> Diflutenican was rapidly but incompletely absorbed, especially at low doses, with $T_{max}$ between 5 and 11 hours
PMRA No. 3201032	depending on matrix and label position at 5 mg/kg bw/d. The $T_{max}$ at 250 mg/kg bw/d ranged between 6 and 19 hours. Absorption
Metabolite	was between 46 and 58% at low doses and 16 and 18% at high
characterization	doses. Dose normalized $C_{max}$ values ranged from 0.079–0.130 mg/mL in females to 0.141–0.180 mg/mL in males at the low
PMRA No. 3201033	dose. At the high dose, C <sub>max</sub> values were 1.35 mg/mL and 1.482
Bile cannulation	mg/mL in the plasma and whole blood, respectively in males; however, they were 0.77 mg/mL and 1.532 mg/mL in plasma and
PMRA No. 3201034	whole blood, respectively, in females. Half lives of elimination varied the most widely, ranging from 14 hours to 62 hours. There
Modified repeat dose	was no consistency within matrices or dose groups between the studies; however, half lives in females were generally shorter with
PMRA No. 3201035	the exception of the low-dose assay in whole blood performed in 2001. The AUC was generally lower in females than males;
ADME and Kinetics	however, there were no large differences between the sexes.
Study	

Study type/ Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
PMRA No. 3201036	<b>Distribution:</b> Diflufenican was widely distributed. The amounts found in the tissues following 168 hrs were less than 0.5% of the recovered dose; however, the percentage increased following repeat dosing. It is lipophilic and the highest tissue concentrations were in the fat, ovaries, uterus, liver, and intestine and contents.
	<b>Excretion:</b> Recovery was high at 168 hrs and the majority of the administered dose (AD) was excreted in the faeces. Biliary excretion represented 45% of the total excretion in males, but up to 80% of the total excretion in females. Urinary excretion was less than 10%. Over 75% of the recovered dose was excreted within the first 72 hrs.
	Metabolism: The most common fraction in the faeces was unchanged diflufenican, which was also found at low concentrations in the urine, but was not found in the bile. RPA 312546 was found in all three matrices, as was diflufenican+OH. There were three identified metabolites that only occurred in the faeces, two that only occurred in the urine and one that only occurred in the bile. The biliary metabolite was 10–12% of the AD. Evidence from studies with labels on the difluorophenyl or trifluoromethylphenyl rings indicates that diflufenican is not cleaved during the metabolic pathway.
Acute Toxicity Studies	
Acute oral toxicity	$LD_{50} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw} (3/2)$
Sprague-Dawley rats	Low acute toxicity
PMRA No. 3200965 (3200966 analysis)	
Acute oral and dermal toxicity and eye and skin irritancy	Rat: $LD_{50} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw} - (3/2)$ Low acute toxicity.
Sprague-Dawley rats New Zealand rabbits	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw} - (\bigcirc/\uparrow \uparrow)$ Low acute toxicity.
PMRA No. 3209968	New Zealand Rabbit: MAS = 0.2/110 MIS = 3.7/110 at 1h Minimally irritating based on non-zero scores at 24 hrs MAS = 0/8

Study type/ Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	MIS = 0/8
	Not irritating
Acute dermal toxicity	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw} - (3/2)$
Sprague-Dawley rats	Low acute toxicity
PMRA No. 3200971 (3200972 analysis)	
Acute inhalation toxicity	$LC_{50} > 2.26 \text{ mg/L}$
Sprague-Dawley rats	Low acute toxicity
PMRA No. 3200973	MMAD 4.25 μm
Dermal Sensitization – Magnusson-Kligman Maximization Test	Negative
Guinea pig	
PMRA No. 3200974	
Short-term toxicity studie	\$
90-day oral toxicity study	NOAEL = Not established ( $\mathcal{E}$ ); 104 mg/kg bw/day ( $\mathcal{E}$ )
(diet)	$LOAEL = 79 \text{ mg/kg bw/day } \bigcirc; 1024 \text{ mg/kg bw/day } \bigcirc$
B6C3F1 mice	Effects at LOAEL (♂):↓ bw/bwg (♂)
PMRA No. 3200976	Effects at LOAEL ( $\mathcal{Q}$ ): $\downarrow$ food efficiency, $\uparrow$ liver wt., $\uparrow$ periacinar hepatocytic hypertrophy, $\uparrow$ focal necrosis with inflammatory infiltrate ( $\mathcal{A}$ ): $\downarrow$ bw/bwg, $\downarrow$ food efficiency ( $\mathcal{Q}$ )
28-day oral toxicity	Supplemental Range-finding
range-finding study (diet)	≥27 mg/kg bw/day: $\downarrow$ thymus wt ( $\partial/\Box$ )
Wistar rats	>129/134 mg/kg bw/day:   bw/bwg,   FC,   food efficiency ( $\circ$ )
PMRA No. 3200989	$\frac{1}{674/669} \text{ mg/kg hw/dav} + \text{hw/hwg} \left(\frac{3}{9}\right)$
90-day oral toxicity study	NOAFL = $57/64 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} (3/9)$
with high dose recovery	LOAEL = 280/312  mg/kg bw/day (3/2)
group (diet)	Effects at LOAFL: $\downarrow$ bw/bwg $(2^{1/2})$
Wistar rats	
FOB	Recovery group: evidence of recovery

Study type/	Study results
Animal/PIVIKA No.	
PMRA No. 3200975	
90-day oral toxicity study	NOAEL = $33/38 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)$
(diet)	$LOAEL = 335/383 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} (\bigcirc / \bigcirc)$
CD rats	Effects at LOAEL: $\downarrow$ bw/bwg, $\downarrow$ FC ( $\Diamond/\Diamond$ )
DMD A NL 2200077	
PMRA No. 52009//	NOAEL = 28 1/44.2 mg/kg hw/day $(\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{O})$
90-day oral toxicity study	$IOAEL = 56.1/44.5 \text{ mg/kg ow/day } (0/\mp)$
CD rats	
	No treatment-related effects observed
PMRA No. 3200978	
90-day oral toxicity study	NOAEL = 19/21 mg/kg bw/day ( $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}$ )
with 2 recovery groups	LOAEL = 185/208  mg/kg bw/day (3/2)
for each dose (diet)	
<b>D</b> 244	Effects at LOAEL: $\downarrow$ bw/bwg ( $\circlearrowright \downarrow$ )
F-344 rats	Deservery Effects on hur/hurs recovered often 4 wester
PMPA No. 3200070 and	Recovery: Effects on bw/bwg recovered after 4 weeks
3200908 (electron	
microscopy results)	
90-day oral toxicity study	NOAEL = 250 mg/kg bw/day ( $3/2$ )
(gavage)	LOAEL = 500  mg/kg bw/day (3/2)
Beagle dogs	Effects at LOAEL: $\uparrow$ vomiting ( $\partial/\Box$ ); $\downarrow$ bw/bwg, food efficiency
	(\$)
PMRA No. 3200982	Limitations, and the Implexical regults were not reported
	enididymis and uterus not weighed
	epierdynns and dierus not weighed
1-yr oral toxicity study	
(capsule)	NOAEL = 100 mg/kg bw/day ( $\partial/\varphi$ )
	LOAEL = 300  mg/kg bw/day (3/2)
Beagle dogs	
PMRA No. 3200083 and	Effects at LOAEL:   liver wt $\bigcirc/\uparrow$ ;   choicsterol $\bigcirc$ ;   AP,
3200984 (impurity	$\downarrow$ secretory activity in caudal and crainal manimary grand $\downarrow$
analysis)	
Waiver request for dermal	Submitted waiver request based on low acute oral and dermal
toxicity study	toxicity of the active ingredient and dermal absorption studies that
	indicate that the potential for dermal absorption being low and not

Study type/	Study results	
Animal/PMRA No.		
PMRA No. 3200991	rapid.	
	Waiver considered acceptable and dermal study not required	
Chronic toxicity/Oncogen	icity studies	
2-year oral combined	$NOAEL = 62/74 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} (2^{1/2})$	
chronic and oncogenicity	$I OAEL = \frac{322}{384} \text{ mg/kg bw/day} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$	
study (diet)	= 101  MDL = 522750 + 1116  Mg own any  (07 + 7)	
	Effects at LOAEL: ⊥ bw/bwg ♂♀	
B6C3F1 mice	¥ C · I	
	No evidence of tumourigenicity	
PMRA No. 3201002		
2-yr oral combined	NOAEL 23/28 mg/kg bw/day ( $3/2$ )	
chronic and oncogenicity	LOAEL 120/143 mg/kg bw/day ( $\Im/\Im$ )	
study		
<b>F</b> 244	Effects at LOAEL: $\downarrow$ bw/bwg ( $\Diamond / \Diamond$ ); $\downarrow$ FC ( $\Diamond$ )	
F-344 rats		
DMD A No. 2200005	No evidence of tumourigenicity	
PMRA No. 3200995		
	$\mathbf{D} = (1) \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} = 2(42) - (1 - 1) (1 - (2/0))$	
2-generation reproductive	Parental NOAEL = $36/42 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} (0/2)$	
toxicity study (diet)	Parental LOAEL = $1/6/206$ mg/kg bw/day ( $0/1$ )	
Sprague Dawley rats	Effects at LOAEL: $\downarrow$ bw/bwg, $\downarrow$ FC ( $\bigcirc^{/} \uparrow \bigcirc$ ); $\downarrow$ thymus wt ( $\bigcirc$ )	
PMRA No. 3201004	Reproductive NOAEL = 888/206 mg/kg bw/day ( $\Im/\Im$ ) Reproductive LOAEL = Not determined/1042 mg/kg bw/day ( $\Im/\Im$ )	
	Effects at LOAEL: $\uparrow$ Dystocia and mortality, $\downarrow$ birth wt all generations	
	Offspring NOAEL = 42 mg/kg bw/day Offspring LOAEL = 206 mg/kg bw/day	
	Effects at LOAEL: ↓ mean pup wts	
	No evidence of sensitivity of the young	
	Study acceptable according to the guidelines of the time, however, missing parameters according to current guidelines such as various histopathological parameters, pup parameters including weight, anogenital distance and sexual maturity, food consumption, estrus cyclicity.	

Study type/ Animal/PMRA No	Study results
Pat developmental	Maternal NOAFI — 50 mg/kg hw/day
taviaity at dy (across)	Material I O A EL = 500 mg/kg bw/day
toxicity study (gavage)	Matemai LOAEL= 500 mg/kg bw/day
Sprague Dawley rats	Effects at LOAEL: ↓bwg GD 6–14
PMP A No. 3201000	Developmental NOAEI $-500 \text{ mg/kg}$ hw/day
1 WIKA NO. 5201009	Developmental I O A EL = not determined
	Developmental LOAEL- not determined
	No fetal findings at the highest dose tested.
	No evidence of sensitivity of the young
Rat developmental	Maternal NOAFL = $1000 \text{ mg/kg}$ bw/day
toxicity study	Maternal I OAEL = not determined
toxicity study	
W. dan water	Derel and the NOAEL 1000 may / the 1-m/ 1-m
wistar rats	Developmental NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day
	Developmental LOAEL = not determined
PMRA No. 3201011	
	No findings at the highest dose tested.
	No evidence of sensitivity of the young.
Rabbit developmental	Maternal NOAEL = $350 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}$
toxicity study	Maternal LOAEL = $2500 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}$
5 5	
New Zealand White	Effects at the LOAEL: pale faeces and red discoloured urine.
rabbit	hwg   FC
140011	
PMPA No. 3201010	Developmental NOAEL $= 2500 \text{ mg/kg}$ by/day
FINIKA NO. 3201010	Developmental I OAEL – 2500 mg/kg 0w/day
	Developmental LOALL – Not established
	No fetal findings at the highest dose tested.
	No evidence of sensitivity of the young.
Waiver request	Authors proposed that studies were adequate as the effects have
Evaluation of potential	been characterized and that there would be no information gained
reproductive toxicity	from further investigations into and modernization of the
PMRA No. 3201005	reproductive and developmental toxicity studies
PMRA No. 3201005	reproductive and developmental toxicity studies.
1 IVIIXA ING. 3201000	The reviewer agrees with the outhor's conclusions. Decreased and
	rich it is indicated and in an and many death and a structure in the
	viability indices and increased pup deaths occurred in the
	presence of maternal toxicity up to and including dystocia.
	Additionally, the changes in the offspring, other than decreased
	mean pup weights, did not occur at the LOAEL. Further study
	into sperm counts and implantation sites would not be required at
	this time.

Study type/ Animal/PMRA No.	Study results
	It was concluded that, as apparent uterine and testicular effects were not treatment-related and there were no flags for endocrine effects in the published literature, there was sufficient evidence to determine that further investigations into endocrine effects would not be required at this time to characterize the most appropriate endpoints in the database. Additional reproductive toxicity and developmental toxicity studies would not be required.
Genotoxicity Studies	L
Bacterial reverse mutation	Negative $\pm$ metabolic activation
assay	Tested we to the limit our contration
C T1	Tested up to the limit concentration.
S. Typnimurium	
PMRA No. 3201012	
Bacterial reverse	Supplemental
mutation assay	
	Negative $\pm$ metabolic activation
S. Typhimurium	Test up to the limit concentration.
DMD A No. 2201014	Limitations: Only one strain was used
PMRA No. 3201014	Nagativa   matabalia activation
mutation assay	Negative $\pm$ inetabolic activation
indiation assay	Tested up to the limit concentration.
S. Typhimurium	
PMRA No. 3201015	
In vitro forward mutation	Negative $\pm$ metabolic activation
assay in mammalian cells	
Manaa lamahama	No increase in mutations in the presence of metabolic activation
L 5178V cells	Increase in mutations without metabolic activation only at
	cytotoxic doses
PMRA No. 3201019	cytotonic dobes.
In vitro forward mutation	Negative $\pm$ metabolic activation
assay in mammalian cells	
	Tested up to precipitating concentration.
Chinese Hamster V78 cell	
DMD A NE 2001001 1	
2201023	
3201023	

Study type/	Study results
Animal/PMRA No.	
In vitro forward mutation	Negative $\pm$ metabolic activation
assay in mammalian cells	
	Tested up to cytotoxic concentration.
Mouse lymphoma	
LJ1/81 Cells	
PMRA No. 3201022	
In vitro chromosomal	Supplemental
aberrations assay	
	No evidence of chromosomal aberrations above threshold.
Human lymphocytes	
	Tested up to precipitating concentration.
PMRA No. 3201025	
	Limitations: purity not provided, no positive control for S9
In vivo Chromosomal	Supplemental
Sprague Dawley rats	No evidence of chromosomal aberrations above threshold
Sprugue Duwley luis	
PMRA No. 3201026	Clinical signs included piloerection, hunched posture, lethargy,
	decreased respiratory rate, and increased lacrimation.
	Limitations: Purity of test substance not provided.
In vivo Micronucleus	Negative
Assay	Tested up to a limit days. No aligical signs of terrisity
NMPI mice	Tested up to a limit dose. No clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA No. 3201027	
In vitro unscheduled	Supplemental
DNA synthesis	
	Tested up to cytotoxic concentrations. No evidence of
Rat primary hepatocyte	unscheduled DNA synthesis.
DMD A No. 2201020	Limitations, purity of test substance not provided
Neurotoxicity studies	Elimitations, purity of test substance not provided.
Weiver request	Weiver submitted based on last of nounctorisity findings in the
walver request	rest of the submitted toxicology database or in the available
PMRA No. 3201007	literature in the 30 plus years since the initial registration of
1 111111111111101 5201007	diflufenican in Europe.
	1
	Waiver considered acceptable on the basis of the lack of
	neurotoxicity flags and an additional study is not required.

Study type/ Animal/PMRA No	Study results
Special studies (non-guideline)	
Immunotoxicity study Waiver request PMRA No. 3201008	Waiver submitted based on lack of immunotoxicity findings in the rest of the database or in the available literature in the 30 plus years since the initial registration of diflufenican in Europe.
High-throughput evaluation of endocrine toxicity PMRA No. 3201038	Waiver considered acceptable on the basis of the lack of immunotoxicity flags, and an additional study is not required. In a review of the ToxCast and Tox21 assays with diflufenican, there were no positive results in estrogen receptor assays, androgen receptor assays, thyroid-related assays, or steroidogenesis assays.
Diflufenican – In silico m	odelling
In silico reports and discussion document PMRA No. 3201041 PMRA No. 3201049	Study contains in silico predictions for diflufenican, BCS- BT38895 and 2,4-difluoroaniline. Results for metabolites are in the following table entries.
PMRA No. 3201050 PMRA No. 3201051	Diflufenican had no in silico alerts for genotoxicity, bacterial mutation or gene mutations, but a positive alert for methaemoglobinaemia based on the simple anilines. Plausible alerts for methaemoglobinaemia for anilines or precursors and equivocal for nephrotoxicity for halogenated benzenes. No matching alerts for bacterial mutation. No evidence of chromosomal aberrations above threshold.
Metabolite - BCS-BT3889	95 (soybean seed metabolite)
Acute oral toxicity Sprague-Dawley rats	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw} - (\bigcirc)$ Low acute toxicity
PMRA No. 3200964	
14-day oral toxicity study (diet)	NOAEL = Not determined $(\partial/\varphi)$ LOAEL = 17/16 mg/kg bw/day $(\partial/\varphi)$
Han Wistar rats	Effects at LOAEL: ↑ methemoglobin (♂♀)
PMRA No. 3201037	
28-day oral toxicity study (diet)	NOAEL = Not determined $(3/2)$ LOAEL = 4.7/4.0 mg/kg bw/day $(3/2)$
Han Wistar rats PMRA No. 3200986	Effects at LOAEL: $\uparrow$ methemoglobin ( $\mathscr{F}$ ); $\uparrow$ spleen wt, $\uparrow$ spleen extramedullary hemopoiesis ( $\mathscr{F}$ )

Study type/	Study results
Animal/PMRA No.	
Bacterial reverse mutation	Negative ± metabolic activation
assay	
C. T	Tested up to the limit concentration
S. Typnimurium and E.	
PMRA No. 3201013	
In vitro forward mutation	Negative $\pm$ metabolic activation
assay in mammalian cells	
Clines Userster Original	Tested up to cytotoxic concentration.
Chinese Hamster Ovary	
Cells	
PMRA No. 3201020	
In vitro micronucleus test	Negative ± metabolic activation
··· · · ·	
Human lymphocytes	Tested up to limit concentration.
PMRA No. 3201039	
In vitro micronucleus test	Negative $\pm$ metabolic activation
Human lymphocytes	Tested up to limit concentration.
PMRA No. 3201039	No clouts identified for bostorial mutation, however, there are
In sinco moderning report	no alerts identified for bacterial mutation, however, there are
PMRA No. 3201045	hepatotoxicity and methaemoglobinaemia for anilines or
PMRA No. 3201046	precusors and equivocal alerts for nephrotoxicity for halogenated
PMRA No. 3201048	benzenes.
Metabolite - M&B 38,181	
Acute oral and dermal	Supplemental
toxicity	
Course Developments	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw} - 2/3$
sprague-Dawley rats	Low acute toxicity LD <sub>50</sub> > 1000 mg/kg bw - $2/2$
PMRA No. 3200967	Slight dermal toxicity
	Limitations: no fasting in the oral portion, no top dose and use of
	aluminium jacket to hold test item in place in the dermal portion

Study type/	Study results
Bacterial reverse mutation	Negative± metabolic activation
assay	Tostad up to the limit concentration
S. Typhimurium	rested up to the mint concentration
PMRA No. 3201017	
DACO 4.5.4	
In vitro chromosome	
Aberration	Weakly positive $\pm$ metabolic activation
Human lymphocytes	
PMRA No. 3201024	
DACO 4.5.6	
Mammalian micronucleus test	Negative
	Clinical signs of toxicity at $\geq$ 500 mg/kg bw included reduced
NMRI mice - Mouse peripheral blood cells	spontaneous activity, recubency, ataxia, piloerection, bradykinesia and half eye lid closure.
PMRA No. 3201028	
DACO 4.5.7	
Metabolite - 2,4-difluoroaniline, M&B 40,401	
Bacterial reverse mutation	Supplemental
assay	No increase in mutations $\pm$ metabolic activation Tested up to cytotoxic dose.
S. Typhimurium	Limitations: Batch details in PMRA No. 3201018, but no purity
PMRA No. 3201016	
DACO 4.5.4	
In silico modelling report	Positive bacterial mutation alert for aromatic amines and positive
	chromosomal aberration, bacterial mutation and salmonella
PMRA No. 3201043	mutation alerts. Plausible alerts for hepatotoxicity and
1 WINA INO. 3201044	carcinogenicity, nephrotoxicity, skin sensitization and
	splenotoxicity for anilines or precursors.

#### Table 6 Toxicity profile of end-use product(s) containing Diflufenican

Effects are known or assumed to occur in both sexes unless otherwise noted; in such cases, effects observed in both sexes are presented first followed by sex-specific effects in males, then females, each separated by semi-colons.

Study Type/Animal/PMRA No.	Study Results					
SC500 - AE F088657 00 SC42 A2	(2021-0639)					
Acute oral toxicity	$LD_{50} \ge 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw} - (\bigcirc)$					
Wistar rats	Low acute toxicity					
PMRA No. 3200125						
Acute dermal toxicity	LD <sub>50</sub> > 4000 mg/kg bw - (♂♀)					
Wistar rats	T and a state to a since the					
PMRA No. 3200126	Low acute toxicity					
Acute inhalation toxicity	$LC_{50} > 5.05 \text{ mg/L} - (2^{\circ} \text{P})$					
Sprague-Dawley derived, albino rats	Low acute toxicity					
PMRA No. 3200127						
Eye Irritation	MAS 2/110					
New Zealand White Pabhits	MIS 6/110 at 1 hour					
New Zealand White Rabbits	Minimally irritating					
PMRA No. 3200128	, ,					
	Note: Did not score for discharge					
Skin Irritation	MAS = 0.2/8					
	MIS = 0.7/8 at 1 and 24 hours					
New Zealand White Rabbits	Minimalla instanting					
DACO 4 6 5	Minimally irritating					
Skin sensitization - LLNA	Negative					
CBA/J mice						
PMRA No. 3200130						

SC617 - DFF+IFT+CSA SC617 (2021-0695)						
Acute oral toxicity	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw} - (\bigcirc)$					
Sprague-Dawley derived, albino rats	Low acute toxicity					
PMRA No. 3201640						
Acute dermal toxicity	Waiver submitted based on low acute oral and dermal					
PMRA No. 3210641	toxicity.					
	Waiver considered acceptable and dermal study not required.					
Acute inhalation toxicity	$LC_{50} > 2.19 \text{ mg/L} - (2\%)$					
Sprague-Dawley derived, albino rats	Low acute toxicity					
PMRA No. 3201642						
Eye irritation	MAS = 13.1/110					
New Zealand White rabbits	MIS = 25.3/110 at 24hrs Non-zero scores at 72 hrs					
PMRA No. 3201643	Mildly irritating					
Skin irritation	MAS = 0.2/8 MIS = 1/8 at 1h					
New Zealand White rabbits	MIS = 1/8 at $III$					
DMD A No. 2201644	Minimally irritating					
Skin sensitization - LLNA	Negative					
CBA/J mice						
PMRA No. 3201645						
DACO 4.6.6						
SC600 - AE F088657 + metribuzi	n SC600 (200 + 400 g/L) (2021-0697)					
Acute oral toxicity	$LD_{50} = 1098 \text{ mg/kg bw} (\bigcirc)$					
Sprague-Dawley derived, albino rats	Slightly acutely toxic					
DMD A No. 2201927	Clinical signs included: hypoactivity, irregular					
rivina ino. 3201827	respiration, nunctied posture and phoerection					

Acute dermal toxicity	Waiver submitted based on slight acute oral toxicity and
	minimal dermal irritation of the end-use product.
PMRA No. 3201828	
	Waiver considered acceptable and dermal study not
DACO 4.6.2	required.
Acute inhalation toxicity	$LC_{50} > 2.71 \text{ mg/L} - (0^{\circ} \Omega)$
Sprague-Dawley derived, albino	Low acute toxicity
rats	
1465	
PMRA No. 3201829	
Fye irritation	MAS = 0.9/110
	MIS = 6/110 at 1h
New Zealand White rabbits	Non zero scores at $24$ hrs
New Zealand White labous	
DMD A No. 2201820	Minimally irritating
PMRA No. 5201650	
Skin irritation	MAS = 0.3/8
	MIS = 2/8  at  1h
New Zealand White rabbits	
	Minimally irritating
PMRA No. 3201831	
Skin sensitization - LLNA	Negative
CBA/J mice	
PMRA No. 3201832	
DACO 466	

# Table 7Amount of <sup>14</sup>C-Diflufenican in each matrix after a single dermal application of<br/>SC500 formulation in rat in vivo study.

	Mean (n=4) Residues in Matrix (% of applied dose) <sup>1</sup>									
	50	00 μg/ci	m <sup>2</sup>	2	0 μg/cm	1 <sup>2</sup>	8 μg/cm <sup>2</sup>			
	8-hour Exposure			8-ho	ur Expo	osure	8-hour Exposure			
Matrix Analysed	Sacrifice time			Sacrifice time			Sacrifice time			
	8	24	120	8	24	120	8	24	120	
	hours	hours	hours	hours	hours	hours	hours	hours	hours	
Urine	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.4	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.5	
Cage wash	< 0.1	ND	ND	< 0.1	ND	< 0.1	ND	ND	< 0.1	
Feces	< 0.1	ND	ND	< 0.1	0.3	4.2	ND	0.7	7.3	
Carcass including	0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.7	1.3	0.4	1.1	2.2	

	Mean (n=4) Residues in Matrix (% of applied dose) <sup>1</sup>									
	5000 μg/cm <sup>2</sup>			20 μg/cm <sup>2</sup>			8 μg/cm <sup>2</sup>			
	8-ho	ur Expo	sure	8-ho	8-hour Exposure			8-hour Exposure		
Matrix Analysed	Sacrifice time			Sacrifice time			Sacrifice time			
	Q	24	120	Q	24	120	Q	24	120	
	hours	hours	hours	hours	hours	hours	hours	hours	hours	
blood (cells +										
plasma)										
Untreated skin	ND	ND	ND	ND	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
Application site										
skin (treated skin	0.3	< 0.1	< 0.1	1	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.3	0.4	
minus skin strips)										
Surrounding skin	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	1.5	0.7	0.3	1.1	
Percent absorbed										
(based on sum of										
urine + cage wash										
+ feces $+$ blood $+$	0.5	0.2	0.2	1.6	1.5	7.6	2	2.4	11.5	
carcass +										
surrounding skin +										
application site)										
Skin strips (1-2)	1	2.6	1.5	5.6	11.6	16.5	8.2	14.6	7.9	
Skin strips (3-17)	4.2	5.5	4.7	35.3	27.5	20.1	33.4	24.8	15.3	
All skin strips (1-	5.2	8.1	6.2	40.9	39.1	36.6	41.6	39.4	23.2	
Absorbable										
(biological samples										
including	57	0 2	6.4	12 5	40 C	44.2	12 6	11 0	347	
surrounding skin +	5.7	0.5	0.4	42.5	40.0	44.2	45.0	41.0	34.7	
skin + all skin										
strins)										
First skin wash										
(radioactivity in	89.1	89.2	93.1	54.1	55	53.6	53.3	55.7	58.7	
skin swabs)				-						
Non-occlusive										
protective cover										
(saddle and tape	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.4	2.4	
extracts) at										
termination.										
Total non-absorbed	89.4	89.6	93.7	54.7	55.4	54.3	53.8	56.1	61.1	
Recovery (sum of	05 1	97 9	100 1	97 2	96	08 5	97 /	97 9	05 8	
all matrices above)	23.1	71.7	100.1	71.4	70	20.3	7/.4	71.7	73.0	

Limit of detection (LOD) is twice the background radioactivity level in each sample type.

ND = Not detected (<LOD).

ND and <0.1% values were considered zero for calculation of means.

## Table 8AHETF Unit exposure estimates for mixers/loaders and applicators handling<br/>SC500, SC617 and SC600.

Scenario		AHETF unit exposure (µg/kg a.i. handled) <sup>1</sup>							
		Dermal	Dermal absorbed <sup>2</sup>	Inhalation <sup>3</sup>	Total unit exposure <sup>4</sup>				
PPE: Single layer clothing and chemical-resistant gloves									
Mixer/Loader AHETF estimates									
А	Open Mix/Load (M/L) Liquid	58.5	25.74	0.63	26.37				
Appli	cator AHETF estimates								
В	Open-cab groundboom application	25.4	11.18	1.68	12.86				
Mixer/Loader + Applicator AHETF estimates									
A + B	Open M/L + Open- cab groundboom application	83.9	36.92	2.31	39.23				

<sup>1</sup> No MEA adjustment

<sup>2</sup> Adjusted with dermal absorption factor 44%

<sup>3</sup>Light inhalation rate and 100% inhalation absorption

<sup>4</sup> Total unit exposure = Dermal unit exposure + inhalation unit exposure

## Table 9Mixer/Loader/Applicator exposure and risk assessment for SC500, SC617 and<br/>SC600

Сгор	Worker type and Task	PPE <sup>1</sup>	Total unit exposure (μg/kg a.i. handled) <sup>2</sup> Dermal + inhalation	Maximum rate (kg a.i./ha)	ATPD (ha/day) <sup>3</sup>	Total daily exposure (mg/kg bw/day) <sup>4</sup>	Combined MOE <sup>5</sup>
Corn	Farmer M/L/A	SL + CR gloves	39.23	0.15	107	0.0079	2923
(SC300, SC617)	Custom M/L/A	SL + CR gloves	39.23	0.15	360	0.0265	869
Soybean	Farmer M/L/A	SL + CR gloves	39.23	0.18	107	0.0094	2436
(SC500, SC600)	Custom M/L/A	SL + CR gloves	39.23	0.18	360	0.0318	724

<sup>1</sup> Personal Protective Equipment (PPE): SL = Single layer of clothing: long-sleeved shirt and long pants. CR = Chemical-resistant

<sup>2</sup> Total AHETF unit exposures (dermal + inhalation) from Table 8.

<sup>3</sup> Standard Area Treated per day (ATPD table, 20-9-2017)

<sup>4</sup> Total Daily Exposure = [(total unit exposure, dermal adjusted for 44% dermal absorption + inhalation) × ATPD × rate)]/(80 kg bw × 1000  $\mu$ g/mg).

 $^{5}$  For diflufenican, a NOAEL of 23 mg/kg bw/day based on decreased body weight and body weight gain in rat, target MOE = 100.

Nature of the	residue in 1	aying he	n		I	PMRA	No. 3201058	
Species and m	umbers	16 layin	g hen (Gall	us gallus do	omestic	us)		
Radiolabel po	sition	[Difluor	ophenyl-UI	L-14C]-difl	ufenica	n (Spe	cific activity: 3	.2 MBq/mg)
Average dose		0.68 and	l 14.3 mg/k	g feed (ppn	1)			
Treatment Reg	gimen	A single	oral dose v	via capsule i	in the n	norning	g at 24-hour int	ervals.
Study period		14 conse	ecutive days	8				
Collection tim	e	Eggs: 2/	day (morni	ng and ever	ning); E	Excreta	: 1/day	
Tissues/Samp	les	Whole b	lood, plasn	na, liver, kio	dneys, s	skin wi	th fat, abdomin	al fat pad,
collected		thigh mu	uscle, breas	t muscle an	d pre-la	ay eggs	5.	
Interval from to sacrifice	last dose	23 hours						
Plateau of resi eggs	dues in	240 h fo egg yolk 96 h afte	llowing adı cs. er the first d	ninistration	of the	first do	egg whites.	ntrations in
Matrices:		Extractio	on solvents	:		)	60	
Fat, skin with fat and muscle       Acetonitrile followed by hexane partitioning.								
Liver and kidr	ney	Sequent	ial extractio	on using aqu	ieous n	nethand	ol.	
Egg yolks	•	Methano	ol then acete	onitrile, foll	lowed b	oy hexa	ne partitioning	
Excreta		Acetone	:water					
Post-extraction (PES)	n solids	Liver, kidney and egg yolk PES samples were treated with pepsin enzyme extraction; liver and kidney PES were further treated with hydrochloric acid (HCl) reflux.						
	[Difluoro]	ohenyl-U	J <b>L-14C]-di</b>	flufenican				
	Low dose	Low dose (0.7 ppm)High dose (14.3 ppm)						
Matrices	TRRs (pp	m) Adn dose	f ninistered	Transfer factor, TF <sup>1</sup>	TRRs (ppm)	)	% of Administered dose	Transfer factor, TF <sup>1</sup>
Excreta (0– 335 hr)	6.13	86.0	5	-	6.04		83.84	-
Cage Wash (0–335 hr)	-	1.49		-	-		1.41	-
Pooled Egg Yolk (0-335 hr)	0.030	0.20		0.032	0.342		0.10	0.015
Pooled Egg White (0–335 hr)	<0.001	<0.0	01	<0.001	0.003		0.00	<0.001

#### Table 10 Integrated food residue chemistry summary

Eggs from ovary/oviduct	0.026	0.07	-	0.265	0.04	-			
Liver	0.033	0.06	0.048	0.306	0.03	0.021			
Kidney	0.006	< 0.01	0.009	0.070	< 0.01	0.005			
Abdominal Fat	0.053	0.13	0.078	0.727	0.10	0.051			
Skin with fat	0.024	0.04	0.036	0.375	0.04	0.026			
Muscle, breast	0.002	0.01	0.004	0.011	< 0.01	0.002 (total)			
Muscle, thigh	0.004	0.01	(total)	0.069	0.01	( )			
Total recovery	-	87.87		-	85.42				
Total of organs/tissues	-	0.76		-	0.46				
Summary of n	najor identif	fied metabolites	s in hen matri	ces	•				
Radiolabel por	sition	[Difluoropheny	vl-UL-14C]-d	iflufenican					
Metabolites id	entified	Major metaboli	ites						
Liver		5							
Breast muscle									
Thigh muscle		Diflufenican							
Abdominal fat	ţ								
Egg yolk									
Nature of the	residue in la	ctating goat			PMRA No. 32	01059			
Species and nu	umbers	Two goats (Sa	anen)						
Radiolabel po	sition	[Pyridine-2-14C]-diflufenican (PY-label; Specific activity: 6.1 MBq/mg) [Difluorophenyl-UL-14C]-diflufenican (DFP-label; Specific activity: 6.8 MBq/mg)							
Average dose		10.9 (PY-label) and 8.9 (DFP-label) mg/kg feed (ppm)							
Treatment reg	imen	Once, orally, at 24 hour intervals via gelatin capsule							
Study period		5 consecutive days							
Collection tim	e	<ul><li>Urine and faeces samples: 24 hours preceding the first dose and then at 24-hour intervals afterwards, up to 23 hours after the last dose.</li><li>Milk samples: in the morning immediately prior to each administration, about six hours later in the afternoon, twice a day during the dosing phase, and before sacrifice.</li><li>Cage wash: collected at the end of each 24-hour collection period, immediately prior to dosing.</li></ul>							
Tissues collec	ted	Muscle (forele peritoneal/per	eg and rump irenal), liver	muscle), fat and kidneys	(omental, subc	eutaneous and			
Interval from sacrifice	last dose to	23 hours							

Plateau of residu milk	ies in	Plateau concentrations of 0.003 ppm (PY-label, corresponding to 0.007-0.008% of the AD) and 0.004–0.005 ppm (DFP-label, corresponding to 0.012 to 0.015% of the AD) by Days 2–3 after administration.							
Extraction solvents		Urine and faece	Urine v Faeces followe	Urine was analyzed directly without work-up. Faeces were extracted 3-fold with acetonitrile followed by 2–3-fold with acetonitrile/water.					
		Liver	Aceton extracti	itrile (three ons with ac	times) followed b etonitrile:water (1	y two or three :1, v/v).			
		Omental fat	Hexane	followed a	cetonitrile	· · · · ·			
		Peritoneal fat	Hexane	followed b	y acetonitrile				
PES		Liver	Post-extracted liver residu mixture of proteases in 0.0 pH 7.5 for 18 hours at 37° samples were extracted 3- the extract was subsequen centrifuged, and samples of taken for radioassay. Protease-treated liver reside M HCl for 18 hours at 37° centrifuged. Supernatant s with base (1 M NaOH for 37°C), centrifuged and ho acetone, prior to radioassa		r residues were indes es in 0.01 M phosp is at 37°C. Followin acted 3-fold with a psequently sonicate imples of the super by. wer residues were in rs at 37°C and sub- natant samples were OH for approxima- and homogenized idioassay.	dues were incubated with a 0.01 M phosphate buffer at 57°C. Following incubation, 3-fold with acetonitrile and ently sonicated and es of the supernatant were esidues were incubated with 1 37°C and subsequently at samples were neutralized for approximately 18 hours at homogenized twice with ssay.			
	[Pyridin	e-2-14C] (10.9 ]	opm)	m) [Difluorophenyl-UL-14C] (8.9 ppm)					
Matrices	TRRs (ppm)	% of Administered Dose	Transfer factor, TF <sup>1</sup>	TRRs (ppm)	% of Administered Dose	Transfer factor, TF <sup>1</sup>			
Faeces (0–119 hr)	-	1.9	-	-	0.68	-			
Urine (0–119 hr)	-	67.0	-	-	89.4	-			
Cage wash (0– 119 hr)	-	<0.1	-	-	<0.1	-			
Liver	0.058	0.08	0.005	0.080	0.11	0.009			
Kidney	0.003	< 0.01	< 0.001	0.006	< 0.01	< 0.001			
Foreleg muscle	0.001	-	<0.001	0.002	-				
Thigh/rump muscle	< 0.001	-	(total)	< 0.002	-	<0.001 (total)			
Omental fat	0.010	-		0.010	-				
Subcutaneous fat	0.005	-	<0.001 (total)	0.005	-	0.001 (total)			
Peritoneal fat	0.007	-		0.010	-				
Milk, total	0.003	<0.1	< 0.001	0.004	<0.1	< 0.001			
<sup>1</sup> The transfer factors	s were	calculated by divi	ding the mean TRR	R value of the respective group by					
--	--	----------------------	---	---------------------------------------	--	--	--		
the feeding level (m	illigrar	ns of active ingred	dient per kilograms	feed per day).					
Summary of major identified metabolites in goat matrices									
Radiolabel position		[Pyridine-2-14C]	-diflufenican, [Difl	uorophenyl-UL-14C]-diflufenican					
Metabolites identifie	ed	Major metabolite	S						
Faeces									
Omental fat		Diflufenican							
Peritoneal fat									
Liver, kidney, musc	le,	Nono							
milk, subcutaneous	fat	None							
Nature of the residu	e in lac	ctating cattle - 200	0 Study	PMRA No. 3201056					
Species and numbers	Two c	ows (Holstein/Fri	esian)						
Radiolabel position	[Diflu	orophenyl-UL-14	C]-diflufenican (Sp	ecific activity: 3.28 MBq/mg)					
Average dose	1 and $2$	20.7  mg/kg feed ()	ppm)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Treatment		<u> </u>		101					
Regimen	A sing	gle gelatin capsule,	, via bolus gun, eve	ery 12 hours (twice daily)					
Study period	7 cons	ecutive days							
	Urine	and faeces sample	es: 24 hours preced	ing the first dose and then during					
	24-hour intervals afterwards, up to 23 hours after the last dose.								
Collection time									
	Milk samples: in the morning prior to administration of the first dose and twice								
	daily, immediately prior to the morning and afternoon doses. The final								
	collect	tion was made im	mediately prior to s	acrifice.					
Tissues collected	Liver, kidneys, omental and renal fat, and skeletal muscle from the hind and								
	fore qu	uarters							
Interval from last	23 hou	ırs							
dose to sacrifice	25 1100	***							
Plateau of residues	High-	and low-dose cow	v: reached at the end	d of the dosing period					
in milk	0		<b></b>						
	Urine	and faeces	Urine was analyzed directly without work-up.						
	<b>.</b> .	11.1	Faeces were extracted three times with acetone.						
Extraction solvents	Liver a	and kidney	Distilled water and	d methanol					
	Oment	tal and renal fat	Warmed acetonitr	ile (three times)					
	Milk		5% (w/v) potassiu	m oxalate, ethanol, diethyl ether					
			with partitioning a	against hexane					
			The PES (PES I)	was processed by enzyme					
			hydrolysis, macera	ated with 0.1 M HCl and pepsin.					
			The sample was th	nen neutralized with 6 M NaOH,					
DEC	т ·	11.1	methanol was add	ed, and the sample was frozen					
PES	Liver a	and kidney	overnight. Follow:	ing centrifugation, the PES was					
			retained (PES 2), 1	the extract was concentrated and					
			Turther purified (m	netnanol, overnight frozen storage).					
			Additional centrifugation generated a final pellet (PES						
			3) that was subjected to HPLC analysis.						

	[Difluorophenyl-UL-14C]-Diflufenican							
	1 ppm	1	I	20.7 ppm				
Matrices	TRRs	% of	Transfer	TRRs	% of	Transfer factor		
	(nnm)	Administered	factor TF <sup>1</sup>	(nnm)	Administered	TF <sup>1</sup>		
	(ppm)	dose		(ppm)	dose	11		
Urine, 0–176 h	-	0.70	-	-	0.70	-		
Faeces, 0–176 h	-	73.5	-	-	71.5	-		
Cage wash, 176 h	-	0.73	-	-	0.40	-		
Kidneys	0.002	< 0.01	0.002	0.026	< 0.01	0.001		
Liver	0.019	0.12	0.019	0.259	0.07	0.013		
Muscle	0.001		0.001	0.002		-0.001		
(composite)	0.001	-	0.001	0.003	-	<0.001		
Renal fat	0.007	-	0.007	0.084	-	0.004		
Omental fat	0.006	-	(composite)	0.071	-	(composite)		
Milk, total (0– 176 hr)	-	0.09	0.013	-	0.02	0.006		
<sup>1</sup> The transfer fac	tors were	calculated by div	viding the mean	n TRR va	lue of the respe	ective group by		
the feeding level	(milligra	ms of ai per kilog	grams feed per	day).	1			
Summary of maj	or identif	ied metabolites in	n cattle matrice	es				
Radiolabel positi	ion	[Difluoropheny	l-UL-14C]-dif	lufenican	(1 and 20.7 pp	om)		
Metabolites iden	tified	Major metabolit	es		` <b>*</b> *	ł		
Faeces		Diflufenican						
Urine		2,4-Difluoroanil	line					
All other matrice	es	None						
Nature of the res	idue in la	ctating cattle - 19	89 Study	PM	RA No. 320105	57		
Species and	T		• `					
numbers	I wo co	ows (Holstein/Fri	esian)					
Radiolabel	[D · 1'	2 1401 1.0 0	· (6 · 6	·	2.04.10	<b>`</b>		
position	[Pyridi	ne-2-14CJ-diflute	enican (Specifi	c activity	r: 2.04 MBq/mg	g)		
Average dose	5 and 5	0 mg/kg feed (pr	om)					
Treatment	<b>A</b>					1 - (1)		
regimen	A sing	le gelatin capsule	, via bolus gur	i, every 1.	2 nours (twice)	dally)		
Study period	7 conse	ecutive days						
	Urine a	and faeces sample	es: 24 hours pr	eceding t	he first dose an	d then during 24-		
	hour in	tervals afterward	s, up to 18 hou	ırs after tl	ne last dose.			
Collection time								
	Milk sa	amples: collected	in the morning	g prior to	administration	of the first dose		
	and tw	ice daily, immedi	ately prior to t	he morni	ng and afternoo	on doses. The		
	final m	ilk collection wa	s made immed	iately pri-	or to sacrifice.			
Tissues collected	l Liver,	kidneys, skeletal	muscle (fore a	nd hind),	renal fat, and s	ubcutaneous fat		
Interval from las	t 18 hour							
dose to sacrifice	10 1100							

TRRs in milk from the high-dose cow were low, with an increase in						ease in			
Plateau of	conce	entration from 0.0	tration from 0.001 ppm at 6 h post first dose to a maximum 0.031 ppm at						
residues in milk	: 78 h	post first dose. Le	st first dose. Levels declined until the end of the study period, indicating						
	plate	plateau levels (~0.018 ppm) had been reached.							
	Urin	e and faeces	Urine was a	inalyzed (	directly without	work-up.			
			Faeces were	e extracte	d with methano	1.			
Extraction	Live	r	Twice with	methano	l and twice with	1 methanol			
solvents			containing :	$\frac{5\%}{100}$ acetic	acid				
	Rena	l fat	Hexane soa	<u>k followe</u>	d by methanol	extraction			
	Milk		5% (w/v) po	otassium	oxalate, ethanol	l, diethyl ether with			
			partitioning	, against h	iexane				
	[Pyridin	ie-2-14C]-difluter	nican	50					
	5 ppm			50 ppm					
Matrices	TRRs	% of	Transfer	TRRs	% of	Transfer factor,			
	(ppm)	Administered	factor, TF <sup>1</sup>	(ppm)	Administered	$TF^1$			
Eason 0, 169									
Faeces, U-100	-	69.75	-	-	83.84	-			
II Uring 0, 168 h	+	0.20	-		0.20				
NA:11-	-	0.39		-	0.30	-			
	0.002	0.02		0.010	0.02	<u>\0.001</u>			
LIVEI Vidnov	<u>0.05</u> -0.01	-0.01	0.003	0.40	-0.01	0.008			
Musele	<0.01	<0.01	0.002	0.04	<0.01	0.001			
Muscle (composite)	< 0.01	0.21	0.002	0.003	-	< 0.001			
Renal fat	0.04			0.06					
Subcutaneous		+	-0.005		+	0.001			
fat	0.02	-	(total)	0.07	-				
<sup>1</sup> The transfer fa	ctors we	re calculated by d	lividing the me	ean TRR	value of the resp	pective group by			
the feeding leve	el (millig	rams of ai per kil	ograms feed p	er day).	-				
Summary of ma	ajor ident	tified metabolites	in cattle matri	ices					
Radiolabel posi	tion	[Pyridine-2-14	C]-diflufenica	an (5 and	50 ppm)				
Metabolites identified Major metabo			lites						
Faeces									
Renal fat		Diflufenican							
Milk		_							
All other matric	ces	None							



Fat	_							
Liver	_							
Muscle								
<sup>1</sup> The hen metabolism study v <sup>2</sup> Difluteniaan is the residue of $\frac{1}{2}$	was used to estim	ate the anticipated residence and distance of the second sec	dues in the relevant poultry matrices.					
Anticipated residues in anim	al matrices	Sicement and dietary es	cosure purposes.					
Matrices	Residue definiti	ion Dietary burd	len Anticipated residues					
Dairy cattle		(ppm)	(ppm)					
Whole milk								
Fat	-							
Liver	Diflufenican	0.02	<0.01					
Kidney		0.02	200					
Muscle	-							
Swine								
Fat								
Liver								
Kidney	Diflufenican	0.01	<loq< td=""></loq<>					
Muscle	-							
The cattle metabolism studies were used to estimate the anticipated residues in the relevant livestock matrices.								
Nature of the residue in soyb	eans – Preemerge	ent application	PMRA No. 3201060, 3201061					
Radiolabel position	Radiolabel position [Pyridine-2-14C]-diflufenican (specific activity: 3.31 Bq/mg) [Difluorophenyl-UL-14C]-diflufenican (specific activity: 3.07 Bq/mg)							
Treatment								
Test site	Metal tubs located ou	Metal tubs containing gravel in the bottom followed by sandy loam soil located outdoors at the test facility						
Treatment	A single p	A single preemergent soil application one day after planting soybean seed						
Total rate	[Pyridine-2 [Difluorop	[Pyridine-2-14C]-label: 170.2 g a.i./ha [Difluorophenyl-UL-14C]-label: 166.2 g a.i./ha						
Formulation	Both label	Both labels: Suspension concentrate (SC) formulation (guarantee: 500 g/L)						
Harvest	Soybean fo was allowe Soybean se Soybean e	Soybean forage and hay: BBCH growth stages 65-69, 101-102 day PHI. Hay was allowed to dry outdoors for up to 6 days prior to collection. Soybean seed: BBCH growth stage 89, 181-182 day PHI. Soybean early forage: BBCH growth stages 18-49, 80-81 day PHI.						
Extraction solvents Early forage: acetonitrile (ACN)/H2O (4:1) (4 times) Forage, hay and seed: ACN/H2O (4:1) (four times) followed by ACN (two times)								
Matrices	PHI	[Pyridine-2-14C]- Diflufenican	[Difluorophenyl-UL-14C] - Diflufenican					
	(days)	TRR (ppm)	TRR (ppm)					
Early Forage	80-81	0.026	0.017					
Forage	101 102	0.023	0.016					
Нау	101-102	0.050	0.040					

Seed	181–182 0.013 0.017								
Summary of major identified metal	polites in soybean matrices – Preemergent treatment								
Radiolabel position	[Pyridine-2-14C]-Diflufenican [PY] and [Difluorophenyl-UL-14C]- Diflufenican [DFP]								
Metabolites identified	Major metabolites								
Early forage									
Forage	Diffutencan (PY, DFP)								
Hay	Dilutenican amide (PY)								
Seed	Malonyl adduct of 2,4-difluoroaniline (DFP)								
Nature of the residue in wheat – Ea	rly postemergent application	PMRA No. 3201062, 3201063							
Radiolabel position	[Pyridine-2-14C]-diflufenican (specific activity: 1.82 Bq/mg) (PY-label) [Difluorophenyl-UL-14C]-diflufenican (specific activity: 1.3 Bq/mg) (DFP-label) [Trifluoromethylphenyl-UL-14C]-diflufenican (specific activity: 1.5 Ba/mmal) (TEP label)								
Treatment									
Test site	Vessels with bases buried in the ground and filled with loam soil and fitted with a plastic tube connected to the base of the vessel to facilitate drainage and leachate removal at the test facility in the LIK								
Treatment	Single early postemergence foliar spray application to BBCH13–14	o winter wheat crop at							
Total rate	[Pyridine-2-14C]-label: 172.5 g a.i./ha [Difluorophenyl-UL-14C] and [Trifluoromethylphenyl-UL-14C]-labels: Low rate: 191.4 [DFP-label] and 182.4 [TFP-label] g a.i./ha High rate: nominal 400 g a i /ha (both labels: actual rate was not reported)								
Formulation	Not indicated								
Harvest	[Pyridine-2-14C]-label: Immature hay samples: BBCH41–43 (116 days after the last application [DALA]). Wheat straw, chaff and grain samples: BBCH92 (251 DALA).								
	Immature wheat plants: BBCH57 (134 DALA). Wheat straw, chaff and grain samples: BBCH92 (201 DALA).								

	[Pyridine-2	2-14C]-label: '	TRR were	too low in in	mmature ha	y and grain to			
	characterize further.								
	Wheat straw: sequential extraction using acetonitrile, methanol and acetone								
	following	72 hours of so	aking in w	vater.					
Extraction solvents	[Difluorop	henyl-UL-140	C] and [Tri	ifluoromethy	lphenyl-UL	-14C]-labels:			
	Immature	plant material	: sequentia	l extraction	with acetoni	itrile and methanol.			
	Grain: soa	ked overnight	in 1% Na	Cl.					
	Straw: sequential extraction with acetonitrile/water, acetonitrile and								
	methanol.				T				
	PHI	[Pyridine-2- 14C]- Diflufenican	[Difluorophenyl-UL- 14C]-Diflufenican		[Trifluoron 14C]-Diflu	[Trifluoromethylphenyl-UL- 14C]-Diflufenican			
Matrices	(days)	172.5 g	191.4 g	400 g	182.4 g	100 11			
	()	a.i./ha	a.i./ha	a.i./ha	a.i./ha	400 g a.1./ha			
		TRR (ppm)							
Immature hay	116-134	0.002	< 0.001	0.004	0.0015	0.011			
Straw		0.012	0.007	0.035	0.010	0.047			
Chaff	201-251	0.006	0.003	0.017	0.011	0.055			
Grain		0.003	< 0.001	0.002	0.003	0.011			
Summary of major identified metal	Summary of major identified metabolites in wheat matrices – Early postemergent treatment								
Radiolabel position	[Pyridine-	2-14C]- / [Dif	luorophen	yl-UL-14C]-	- / [Trifluoro	omethylphenyl-			
	UL-14C]-	Diflufenican							
Metabolites identified	Major met	abolites							
Immature hay									
Grain	None								
Chaff									
Straw	Diflufenic	an (all labels)							
Nature of the residue in winter whe	eat – Preem	ergent applica	tion		PMRA No.	3201064			
	[Pyridine-	2-14C]-diflufe	enican (spe	ecific activity	y: 5.20 Bq/n	ng)			
Radiolabel position	[Difluorophenyl-UL-14C]-diflufenican (specific activity: 3.28 Bq/mg)								
	[Trifluoromethylphenyl-UL-14C]-diflufenican (specific activity: 3.74								
	Bq/mmol)								
Treatment									
	Cylindrica	l containment	vessels w	ith bases we	re buried in	the ground, filled			
Test site	with loam	soil and fitted	with a pla	istic tube coi	nnected to the	he base of the			
	vessel to t	acilitate draina	age and lea	achate remov	val at the Uk	test facility.			
Treatment	A single p	reemergent so	11 appl1cat	ion 5 days at	tter planting	wheat seed.			
	Low Rate:		100 6	. /1					
	[Pyridine-	2-14C - label:	188.6 g a.1	1./ha					
Total vota	[Diffuorop	nenyl-UL-14	$\Box$ - label: 1	83. / g a.1./h	a				
1 Otal Fate	[1 muoro]	phenyi-UL-14		i / 5.9 g a.1./h	ia				
	High Rate								
	All labels	- 937.5 g я і /l	na						
	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	, ., 5 g u.i./1	<b>v</b>						

Formulation	Not indi	icated						
	Immature plants: BBCH65 (215 DALA).							
Harvest	Mature harvest samples: BBCH92 (272 DALA), separated into bottom portion of the straw and top straw. Ears were threshed into grain and chaff. The chaff and ear stalks were combined and identified as chaff.							
	Grain (trifluoromethylphenyl-label) - high rate treatment: methanol/water, centrifuged and soaked in 1% NaCl. Chaff (trifluoromethylphenyl-label) - low and high rate treatments:							
Extraction solvents	Top straw (trifluoromethylphenyl- and pyridine-labels - low and high rate treatments: sequential extraction with acetonitrile/water, acetonitrile, and methanol.							
	Bollom	straw (all r on with ace	tonitrile	water acetor	nign rate tre	itments: sequential		
		[Pyridine-2-14C] -Diflufenican		[Difluorophenyl-UL- 14C] -Diflufenican		Trifluorophenyl-UL- 14C]-Diflufenican		
Matrices	PHI (days)	188.6 g a.i./ha	937.5 g a.i./ha	183.7 g a.i./ha	937.5 g a.i./ha	173.9 g a.i./ha	937.5 g a.i./ha	
	TRR (ppm)							
Immature plants	215	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.008	0.003	0.018	
Grain		0.002	0.008	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002	0.101	
Chaff	272	0.004	0.021	0.003	0.019	0.008	0.157	
Top straw	212	0.010	0.046	0.006	0.026	0.009	0.141	
Bottom straw		0.024	0.102	0.012	0.088	0.015	0.137	
Summary of major identified metab a.i./ha	olites in	winter who	eat matri	ces – Preeme	ergent treatm	ent; 173	.9-188.6 g	
Radiolabel position	[Pyridin [DFP], [	e-2-14C]-I Trifluorop	Diflufeni henyl-U	can [PY], [D L-14C]-Diflu	ifluoropheny fenican [DF]	1-UL-14 P]	C]-Diflufenican	
Metabolites identified	Major n	netabolites	•					
Grain	~~~~~							
Chaff	None							
Top straw								
Bottom straw	Diflufer	nican (PY-l	abel)					
Nature of the residue in spring whe	at – Pree	mergent ap	plication	n		PMRA	No. 3201065	
Radiolabel position	[Pyridin	e-2-14C]-d	iflufenic	an (specific a	activity: 20.3	9 mCi/n	nmol)	
Treatment	-	-			-			

Plastic pots standing in individual plastic tr	Plastic pots standing in individual plastic trays, filled with soil. After dosing,					
the pots in their trays were placed in a glas	the pots in their trays were placed in a glasshouse directly on the concrete					
slabbed floor. The glasshouse was not equi	pped with either heating or					
lighting, but temperature fluctuations were	moderated by automatically					
adjusting roof vents.						
Soil surface using a Hamilton syringe, pree	emergent to wheat.					
499.7 g a.i./ha						
Not indicated						
At maturity 129 days after sowing						
Sequentially with hexane, chloroform, acet	tone, methanol, methanol/water					
(50:50  v/v), water, methanol/water $(50:50  v/v)$	(50:50 v/v), water, methanol/water (50:50 v/v), methanol/water/glacial acetic					
acid (47.5:47.5:5 v/v), and methanol/water	acid (47.5:47.5:5 v/v), and methanol/water (50:60 v/v).					
[Pyridine-2-14C]-Diflufenican						
499.7 g a.i./ha						
(days) TRR (ppm)						
Not						
reported;						
129 days 0.07						
after						
sowing						
[Pyridine-2-14C]-Diflufenican [PY						
d Major metabolites						
NT .	None					
(50:50 v/v), water, methanol/water (50:50 v/v), and methanol/water         acid (47.5:47.5:5 v/v), and methanol/water         PHI (days)       [Pyridine-2-14C]-Diflufenican         499.7 g a.i./ha         TRR (ppm)         Not reported; 129 days after sowing         [Pyridine-2-14C]-Diflufenican [PY         d       Major metabolites	v/v), methanol/water/glac (50:60 v/v).					



			(	0 28 8	2				
Granes				174 35	2, 1	712		н	igh-acid
Grapes			4	536 and	712	/12		11	light dold
Crop field trials o	Crop field trials on soybeans PMRA No. 3200373							0373	
Field trials on sov	beans were	conduc	ted in 2017 in	n North	Ameri	can growing	regions 2	2 (2 trials).	4 (3 trials) and 5
(17 trials) for a to	tal of 22 tria	ls. SC:	500 (a suspen	sion co	ncentrat	e [SC] form	ulation) v	vas applied	as a single
preplant or postpl	ant, preeme	rgent b	roadcast sprav	y at a ra	te of 17	'0-190 g a.i.	/ha/applic	ation. Sam	ples of forage
and hay, and soyb	bean seed we	ere harv	vested at $41-7$	, 78 day l	PHIs and	d 112–164 I	PHIs, resp	ectively. H	ay samples
were cut and dried	d in the field	l or und	ler cover if ra	in was	expecte	d for 1–12 d	lays prior	to being pl	aced in frozen
storage. The num	ber and geog	graphic	distribution of	of trials	were in	accordance	e with He	alth Canada	a's SPN2017-02
for Joint Canada/	United State	s Field	Trial Require	ements.	Indepe	ndence of tr	ials was a	ssessed. A	dequate storage
stability data are a	available on	diverse	e crop types to	o suppo	rt the st	orage interv	als of the	soybean fi	eld trials.
Samples were ana	lyzed using	a valid	lated analytic	al meth	od.	-		-	
	Total			Dog	idua lar	vala (nnm)			
Commodity	application	PHI	Analyte	Res	Idue lev	eis (ppiii)			
Commonly	rate	(days)	days) Analyte		LAFT	ПЛЕТ	Madian	Moon	SDEV
	(g a.i./ha)			11	LAFI	IIAFI	Wieulali	Ivicali	SDEV
Soybean forage		41 - 78	3	22	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
Soybean hay	170 190	40–78	Diflufenicar	22	< 0.010	0.015	0.010	0.010	0.0011
Soybean seed	170-190	112– 164	Diffutencear	22	< 0.010	< 0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0
n = number of ind	lependent tri	ials. Va	lues based or	n per tri	al avera	ges. HAFT	= Highes	t Average l	Field Trial,
SDEV = Standard	l Deviation.	For con	mputation of	the HA	FT, mee	dian, mean a	and standa	ard deviation	on, values <loq< td=""></loq<>
are assumed to be	at the LOQ	•							
Crop field trials o	n field corn						PMR	A No. 320	0373
Field trials on fiel	d corn were	condu	cted in 2018 i	in Nortl	n Ameri	can growin	g regions	1 (1 trial), 1	2 (1 trial), 5 (18
trials), 7 (1 trial) a	and 8 (1 trial	l) for a	total of 22 tri	als. SC	500 (SC	c formulatio	n) was ap	plied as a s	ingle preplant or
postplant, preeme	rgent broade	cast spr	ay at a rate of	f 150–1	60 g a.i	./ha/applica	tion. Fora	ge samples	were collected
at 75- to 114-day	PHIs, and g	rain an	d stover samp	oles wei	e harve	sted at 110-	to 160-da	ay PHIs for	. At one trial,
grain samples we	re not collec	ted due	e to wildlife d	lamage.	The nu	mber and g	eographic	distributio	n of trials were
in accordance wit	h Health Ca	nada's	SPN2017-02	for Joi	nt Cana	da/United S	tates Field	d Trial Req	uirements.
Independence of t	rials was as	sessed.	Adequate sto	orage st	ability c	lata are avai	lable on c	liverse crop	o types to
support the storag	e intervals o	of the fi	eld corn field	l trials.	Sample	s were analy	yzed using	g a validate	d analytical
method.		1		T					
	Total			Residu	e levels	(ppm)			
Commodity	application	on PHI Analyte							1
	rate	(days)		n	LAFT	HAFT	Median	Mean	SDEV
	(g a.1./ha)			-					
Corn forage		114		21	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
$\sim$	150-160	114	Diflufenican	20	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	
Corn grain'		110-		20	< 0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	U
Corn stover		160		21	< 0.010	0.010	<0.010	< 0.010	0

n = number of independent trials. Values based on per trial averages. HAFT = Highest Average Field Trial, SDEV = Standard Deviation. For computation of the HAFT, median, mean and standard deviation, values <LOQ are assumed to be at the LOQ.

<sup>1</sup> Although 18 trials were conducted in region 5, grain samples at one of the trials were not collected due to excessive wildlife damage to the plot. However, forage and stover were collected from this plot for residue analysis.

Processed food and feed – Soybeans

PMRA No. 3200384

Processing studies were conducted in 2 distinct North American growing regions using SC500 (SC formulation) at rates of 900–930 g a.i./ha (equivalent to 5-fold the supported maximum single seasonal rate) in/on soybeans. Adequate storage stability data are available on diverse crop types to support the storage intervals of the processed commodities. Samples were analyzed using a validated analytical method.

Residues of diflufenican were all <LOQ (<0.01 ppm) in the bulk soybean seed and all processed commodities. Therefore, processing factors for diflufenican could not be calculated.

Processed food and feed – Field cornPMRA No. 3200385Processing studies were conducted in 2 distinct North American growing regions using SC500 (SC<br/>formulation) at 750 g a.i./ha (5-fold the maximum single seasonal use rate) in/on field corn. Adequate<br/>storage stability data are available on diverse crop types to support the storage intervals of the<br/>processed food and feed. Samples were analyzed using a validated analytical method.

Residues of diflufenican were all <LOQ (<0.01 ppm) in the bulk field corn grain commodities. Therefore, further analyses were not conducted on the processed commodities.

Confined accumulation in r	DMD A No. 2200275					
Cabbage, spring wheat and	F MIKA NO: 3200373					
	[Pyridine-2-14C]-diflufenican (specific radioac	tivity: 1.011 Bq/mmol)				
Dediclohal resition	[Difluorophenyl-UL-14C]-diflufenican (specifi	c radioactivity: 1.215				
Radiolabel position	Bq/mmol) [Trifluoromethylphenyl-UL-14C]-di	flufenican (specific				
	radioactivity: 1.391 Bq/mmol)					
Treatment						
	Outdoor plots located in the UK consisting of c	ppen top, sealed bottom,				
Test site	containers buried so that the soil surface was flush with the outside soil					
	surface.					
Soil type	Sandy silt loam					
	Bare soil (surface) was treated at application rates of 387, 350 and 401 g					
	a.i./ha, respectively, for each of [pyridine-2-14C]-, [difluorophenyl-UL-					
	14C]- and [trifluoromethylphenyl-UL-14C]-diflufenican, and at					
Treatment	exaggerated rates of 668 and 680 g a.i./ha for the difluorophenyl-UL- and					
	the 3-trifluoromethyl phenyl-labelled rings only. The soil was					
	subsequently aged for 12 weeks (~84 days) prior to planting cabbage,					
	spring wheat and sugar beet seeds.					
Formulation	Not reported.					

Extraction solvents	Immature and mature cabbage samples, immature sugar beet plants and mature sugar beet leaves and roots, and immature wheat plant samples: acetonitrile and acetonitrile/water (1:1, v/v). Extracted residue from mature cabbage, mature sugar beet leaves and roots and immature wheat plants were further subjected to Soxhlet extraction with acetonitrile/water (80:20, v/v). Wheat grain: soaking in 1% NaCl for two days, sonicated, and centrifuged. The solid grain residue was extracted with acetonitrile/water (1:1, v/v) and the extract was subjected to Soxhlet extraction with acetonitrile/water (80:20 v/v).							
	Wheat straw samples: sequential extraction with acetonitrile and acetonitrile/water (1:1 v/v) followed by Soxhlet extraction with acetonitrile/water (80:20 v/v)							
		[Pyridine-2-	[Difluorophen	yl-UL-	[Trifluorometl	nylphenyl-		
	PBI	14C]	14C]		UL-14C]			
Matrices	(davs)	386.98	349.68	668.13	400.92	679.88		
		g a.1./ha	g a.1./ha	g a.1./ha	g a.1./ha	g a.1./ha		
T ( 11		TRR (ppm)	0.000	0.007	0.010	0.020		
Immature cabbage		0.011	0.003	0.005	0.018	0.028		
Immature sugar beet		0.014	0.009	0.014	0.023	0.041		
Immature wheat		0.034	0.031	0.031	0.028	0.091		
Mature cabbage		0.010	0.003	0.004	0.012	0.025		
Mature sugar beet leaves	84	0.040	0.016	0.020	0.050	0.084		
Mature sugar beet roots	0.	0.049	0.014	0.016	0.055	0.085		
Mature wheat grain		0.035	0.012	0.018	0.037	0.084		
Mature wheat straw		0.174	0.152	0.156	0.081	0.313		
Mature wheat chaff		0.081	0.046	0.050	0.077	0.235		
Mature wheat stubble		0.181	0.172	0.263	0.126	0.360		
Summary of major identifie	d metal	polites in rotate	ed crops					
Plantback intervals (PBI)	84 day	'S						
Radiolabel position	[Pyrid	ine-2-14C]-	[Difluorophen	yl-UL-	[Trifluorometh	[Trifluoromethylphenyl-		
	diflufe	nican	[14C]- diflufen	ican	UL-14C]-diflufenican			
Matrix	Major	metabolites id	entified		1			
Immature cabbage	Diflufe DFF-a DFF-a	enican cid mide	None	None		DFF-acid		
Mature cabbage	Diflufe DFF-a	enican cid	None		DFF-acid			
Immature sugar beet plants	Diflufe DFF-a DFF-a	enican cid mide	Diflufenican		Diflufenican DFF-amide			
Sugar beet leaves	Difluf	enican	Diflufenican		None			
Sugar beet roots	None		None		None			

Immature wheat plants	DFF-amide	None	None					
Wheat grain	None	None	None					
Wheat straw	None	None	None					
Confined accumulation in ro	otational crops –		PMRA No. 3200376					
Winter wheat, spring barley	, cabbage, onions and	sugarbeets						
Radiolabel position	[Pyridine-2-14C]-diflufenican (specific activity: 718.3 MBq/mmol)							
Treatment	1							
Test site	Outdoor contained circular plots located in the UK (Essex).							
Soil type	Sandy loam.							
Treatment	<ul> <li>Outdoor contained circular plots located in the UK (Essex).</li> <li>Sandy loam.</li> <li>Winter wheat seeds were planted 30 days after application in soil treater at 206 g a.i./ha. After the wheat was harvested, autumn sown onions (winter onions) were planted at 330 days after the application.</li> <li>Spring barley seeds were planted 140 days (20 weeks) after a single so application at 206 g a.i./ha. After the barley was harvested, spring gree (oxheart cabbage) seeds were planted 330 days after application.</li> <li>Cabbage seeds were planted 140 days after a single application to soil 206 g a.i./ha. After the cabbage was harvested, winter wheat seeds were planted 330 days after a soil application of 206 a.i./ha. After the cabbage was harvested, winter wheat seeds were planted 330 days after application.</li> <li>Sugar beets seeds were planted 140 days after a soil application of 206 a.i./ha. After sugar beet harvest, winter wheat seeds were planted 330 days after the soil application.</li> <li>Sugar beets seeds were planted 140 days after a soil application of 206 a.i./ha. After sugar beet harvest, winter wheat seeds were planted 330 days after the soil application.</li> <li>Sugar beets seeds were planted 140 days after a soil application of 206 a.i./ha. After sugar beet harvest, winter wheat seeds were planted 330 days after the soil application.</li> </ul>							
Formulation	Not reported.							

	Wheat straw: acetonitrile and acetonitrile/water (1:1 v/v). Selected remaining straw residue was further extracted with 0.5 M NaOH at 70°C.				
	Wheat grain: water and acetonitrile				
Extraction solvents	Barley straw: sequentially with acetonitrile, acetonitrile/water (1:1 v/v), water, and acetonitrile. Selected barley straw samples were further extracted sequentially with 1% NaCl, water, 1 M HCl at 70°C, and 1 M NaOH.				
	Barley grain: sequen	tially with acetonitrile, water, and acetonitrile			
	Selected sugar beet top and root samples: acetonitrile and acetonitrile/water (1:1 v/v)				
	Selected onion peel s	samples: acetonitrile and acetonitrile/water (1:1 v/v)			
Matulaan	[Pyridine-2-14C]				
Matrices	PBI (days)	TRR (ppm)			
Immature wheat plants	31	0.014			
Mature wheat grain	31	0.007			
Mature wheat chaff	31	0.028			
Mature wheat straw	31	0.050			
Immature barley plants	140	0.007			
Mature barley grain	140	0.016			
Mature barley chaff	140	0.034			
Mature barley straw	140	0.036			
Immature cabbage plants	140	0.004			
Mature cabbage outer leaves	140	0.004			
Mature cabbage heart	140	0.004			
Immature sugar beet leaves	140	0.006			
Immature sugar beet roots	140	0.018			
Mature sugar beet leaves	140	0.016			
Mature sugar beet roots	140	0.019			
Immature onion leaves	330	0.002			
Immature onion peel	330	0.020			
Immature onion bulb	330	0.001			
Mature onion leaves	330	0.002			
Mature onion peel	330	0.009			
Mature onion bulb	330	0.002			
Immature greens plants	330	0.002			
Mature greens plants	330	0.002			
Immature wheat plants	330	0.014			
Mature wheat grain	330	0.007			
Mature wheat chaff	330	0.031			

Mature wheat straw	330	0.0499					
Immature wheat plants <sup>1</sup>	330/-2	0.0291					
Mature wheat grain <sup>1</sup>	330/-2	0.0167					
Mature wheat chaff <sup>1</sup>	330/-2	0.0504					
Mature wheat straw <sup>1</sup>	330/-2	0.1025					
1 Planted 330 days after first	st treatment at 206 g a.	i./ha and two days	prior to second tre	atment at 283 g			
a.i./ha.							
Summary of major identifie	d metabolites in rotate	d crops					
Matrix	PBI (days)	Major metabolites	identified				
Sugar beet roots	140	Diflufenican					
Confined accumulation in re-	otational crops – Winte	er wheat PM	RA No. 3200377				
Radiolabel position	[Pyridine-2-14C]-difl	ufenican (specific a	activity: 2056.1 M	Bq/mmol)			
Treatment							
Test site	Outdoor confined plot	ts located in the UI	K (Essex).				
Soil type	Sandy silt loam						
	A rate of 187.5 g a.i./	ha was applied to F	Plots 1 and 2; two	applications of			
	187.5 g a.i./ha, one ye	ear apart, were mad	le to Plot 3 for a to	total rate of 375			
	g a.i./ha, and a single	exaggerated rate of	f 937.5 g a.i./ha w	as made to Plot			
	4.						
	Winter wheat seeds were planted to the test plots as follows:						
	Plot 1: 30 days postar	plication					
Treatment	Dist 2. 1 year postann	liantian					
Ireatment	Piot 2: 1 year postapp	lication					
	Plot 3: 1 year after the first application one day before the 2nd						
	application						
	approation						
	Plot 4: 1 year postapplication.						
	roe in r jour possipphonion.						
	Prior to planting the winter wheat, a cover crop was grown in Plots 2. 3						
	and 4 postapplication, during the ageing period between test substance						
	application until harvest. The cover crop was not analysed for residues.						
Formulation	Not reported.	•	•				
F	Wheat straw and stub	ble only: acetonitri	le/water (3:1, v/v)	and twice with			
Extraction solvents	acetonitrile/ water (1:	3, v/v).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	[Pyridine-2-14C]-difl	ufenican					
	TRR [ppm]						
			Treatment 3:				
Matrix	Traatmont 1.	Trootmont 2.	2x 187.5 g	Trootmont 4.			
IVIAUIA	1875 gai/ba	$1875 \text{ g o } \frac{1}{2}$	a.i./ha	037.5  a s i /hs			
	10/.3 g a.1./11a	107.5 g a.1./11a	[Total: 375 g	957.5 g a.1./11a			
			a.i./ha]				
	30 day PBI	342 day PBI	$342/-1^1$ day PBI	342 day PBI			

Wheat straw	0.016	0.009	0.018	0.068					
Wheat stubble	0.026	0.013	0.036	0.106					
<sup>1</sup> Winter wheat seeds at th	<sup>1</sup> Winter wheat seeds at this plot were planted 1 year after the first application and one day before the								
second application.									
Summary of major identif	ied metabolites i	n rotated crops							
No major metabolites wer	e observed in an	y wheat sample.							
Proposed metabolic schen	ne in rotational c	rops							
	F F F	ОН .							
CF3		CF3							
DFF-amide		DFF-acid							
Pola	r material								
desidue data in rotational cr	ops – Mustard g	reens, turnips and	PMRA No. 32003	78, 3200379,					
vheat (spring)	-	-	3200380						

Nine trials (three each for mustard greens, turnips and spring wheat) were conducted during the 2017-2018 growing season in North American growing regions 2 (1 mustard green trial), 3 (1 turnip trial), 5 (1 mustard green, 1 turnip and 1 spring wheat trial), 7 (1 spring wheat trial), 8 (1 spring wheat trial) and 10 (1 mustard green and 1 turnip trial). One broadcast application was made to bare soil with Diflufenican SC500 (500 g a.i./L) at a rate of 180–190 g a.i./ha. No adjuvants were used at any trial sites. Adequate storage stability data are available on diverse commodity categories to support the storage intervals of the rotational crop field trials. Samples were analyzed using a validated analytical method.

	Total		Residue levels (ppm)						
Commo- dity	applica- tion rate (g a.i./ha)	PBI (days)	n	LAFT	HAFT	Median	Mean	SDEV	
Diflufenicar	1								
		25-30	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
Mustard greens 180	180	113– 138	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
		336-	Due to res	Due to residues being <loq at="" earlier="" pbis,="" samples="" td="" the="" these="" were<=""></loq>					
		358	not analyz	zed.	-			_	
		29–30	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
Turnip -	180	110– 120	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
tops		339–	Due to res	sidues beir	ng <loq a<="" td=""><td>t the earlie</td><td>r PBIs, the</td><td>se samples were</td></loq>	t the earlie	r PBIs, the	se samples were	
		365	not analyz	zed.	-			-	
		29–30	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
Turnip -	180	110– 120	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
roots		339–	Due to res	sidues beir	ng <loq a<="" td=""><td>t the earlie</td><td>r PBIs, the</td><td>se samples were</td></loq>	t the earlie	r PBIs, the	se samples were	
		365	not analyzed.						
		23-29	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
Wheat -	180-190	105–- 115	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
Iorage		339–	Due to res	Due to residues being <loo at="" earlier="" pbis.="" samples="" td="" the="" these="" were<=""></loo>					
		364	not analyz	not analyzed.					
		23–29	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
Wheet		105-	2	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0	
wheat -	180-190	115	3	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0	
gram		339–	Due to res	sidues beir	ng <loq a<="" td=""><td>t the earlie</td><td>r PBIs, the</td><td>ese samples were</td></loq>	t the earlie	r PBIs, the	ese samples were	
		364	not analyz	zed.					
		23–29	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
Wheat -	180-190	105– 115	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
hay		339–	Due to res	sidues beir	ng <loq a<="" td=""><td>t the earlie</td><td>r PBIs, the</td><td>se samples were</td></loq>	t the earlie	r PBIs, the	se samples were	
		364	not analyz	zed.	0		,	Ĩ	
		23–29	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
Wheet		105–	2	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0	
wheat -	180-190	115	3	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0	
suaw		339–	Due to res	sidues beir	ng <loq a<="" td=""><td>t the earlie</td><td>r PBIs, the</td><td>se samples were</td></loq>	t the earlie	r PBIs, the	se samples were	
		364	not analyz	zed.					
Values base	d on per-tr	rial avera	uges. For $\overline{cc}$	omputation	n, values <	LOQ are a	ssumed to	be at the LOQ.	
n = number of independent field trials.									

Residue data in rotational crops – Carrots, potatoes and sugar beets (EU PMRA No. 3200381,								
Trials) 3200382, 3200383								
Three trials	each for car	rots, potato	bes and su	gar beets we	ere conducte	d during the	2009–2010	growing
season in Eu	urope (North	ern France	e, Spain ar	nd the Nethe	rlands). One	broadcast a	pplication w	as made
to bare soil	with Diflufe	nican SC5	00 (500 g	a.i./L) at a r	ate of 150 g	a.i./ha. No a	idjuvants we	re used
at any trial sites. Adequate storage stability data are available on diverse commodity categories to								
support the	storage inter	vals of the	rotationa	l crop field t	rials. Sampl	es were anal	yzed using a	ı
validated an	alytical met	hod.						
	Total		Residue	Levels (ppm	n)			
Commo-	applica-	PBI						
dity	tion Rate	(days)	n	LAFT	HAFT	Median	Mean	SDEV
	(g a.i./ha)							
Diflufenicar	1							
Correct		89–92	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
(root)	150	357– 378	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
Courset	150	89–92	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
(tops)		357– 378	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
Dotato		89–91	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
(tuber)	150	359– 379	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
Sugar haat		89–91	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
root	150	356– 379	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
Sugarbaat		89–91	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
tops	150	356– 379	3	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	<0.010	0
Based on the results of the field accumulation studies, a plantback interval of 30 days is adequate for								

Based on the results of the field accumulation studies, a plantback interval of 30 days is adequate for all unlabelled crops (in other words, all crops except field corn and soybeans).

# Table 11 Food residue chemistry overview of metabolism studies and risk assessment

Plant studies					
Residue definition for enforcement Primary crops (cereal grains [wheat] and pulses/oilseeds [soybean]) Rotational crops (Wheat, barley, cabbage, sugar beet, onions, potato)	Diflufenican				

#### Appendix I

Human food and animal feed commodities:Primary food crops -Cer grains (wheat), pulses/oilseeds (soybeanResidue definition for risk assessmentRotational crops - Whea barley, cabbage, sugar b onions, potatoPulses/oilseeds (soybean		Difl Metabolite	ufenican BCS-BT38895		
	seed	(Unique soybea) The profile in div	an seed metabolite) verse crops cannot be		
Metabolic profile in c	liverse crops	determined, because only 2 crop categories (wheat [cereal grain] and soybean [pulses and oilseeds]) were investigated and a unique metabolite was observed in soybean seed.			
Animal studies					
Animals		Ruminan	t and poultry		
Residue definition for	r enforcement	Diflufenican			
Residue definition for	r risk assessment				
Metabolic profile in a (goat, hen, cattle, rat)	nnimals )	The metabolic profile is similar in the animals investigated.			
Fat soluble residue		Yes			
Dietary risk from foo	d and drinking water - Diflufe	nican			
Basic chronic	Population	Estimated risk % of acceptable daily intake (ADI)			
dietary exposure analysis		Food alone	Food and drinking water		
ADI = 0.2 mg/kg	All infants <1 year	0.1	5.7		
bw/day	Children 1–2 years	0.3	2.3		
Estimated chronic	Children 3–5 years	0.2	1.8		
drinking water	Children 6–12 years	0.1	1.3		
concentration =	Youth 13–19 years	0.1	1.1		
ours bhu	Adults 20–49 years	0.1	1.5		
	Adults 50+ years	0.1	1.5		

	Females 13–49 years	0.1	1.5	
	Total population	0.1	1.6	
Dietary risk from foo	d – Metabolite BCS-BT38895 (	Unique soybean seed	metabolite)	
	Population	Estimated risk % of acute reference dose (ARfD)		
		Soybean	Seed Alone	
Defined coute	All infants <1 year	0.2		
Refined acute dietary exposure	Children 1–2 years		0.1	
analysis, 95 <sup>th</sup>	Children 3–5 years		0.1	
percentile	Children 6–12 years		0.1	
ARfD = 0.05 mg/kg	Youth 13–19 years		0.05	
bw	Adults 20–49 years	0.05		
	Adults 50+ years	0.04		
	Females 13–49 years		0.04	
	Total population		0.06	
	Population	Estimated risk % of acceptable daily intake (ADI)		
	-	Soybear	n seed alone	
	All infants <1 year	0.1		
Refined chronic	Children 1–2 years	0.2		
exposure analysis	Children 3–5 years	0.1		
ADI = 0.01 mg/kg	Children 6–12 years		0.1	
bw/day	Youth 13–19 years		0.1	
·		0.1		
	Adults 20–49 years		0.1	
	Adults 20–49 years Adults 50+ years		0.1	
	Adults 20–49 years Adults 50+ years Females 13–49 years		0.1 0.1 0.1	
	Adults 20–49 years Adults 50+ years Females 13–49 years Total population		0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	
Refined cancer	Adults 20–49 years Adults 50+ years Females 13–49 years Total population	Estimated life	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 etime cancer risk	

analysis		4 107
q1 <sup>*</sup> = 0.0638 (mg/kg bw/day) <sup>-1</sup>	Total population	$4 \times 10^{-7}$

### Table 12 Fate and behaviour in the environment

Study	Test substance	System	Value <sup>1</sup>	Transformat	Comments	PMRA
				ion products		No.
Abiotic transf	formation			1		
Hydrolysis	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C] diflufenican	pH 5, 7 and 9 at 50 and 70 °C	Stable	None	Not a route of dissipation for diflufenican	3201085
	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C] DFF-acid	pH 4, 5, 7 and 9 at 25 and 50 °C	Stable	None	Not a route of dissipation for DFF-acid	3201086
Aqueous Photolysis	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C] diflufenican	pH 7 buffer, 25 °C, Equivalent time at 50 °N	Stable	Minor, pH 7 DFF-acid; M&B 44085; DFF-amide; Unknowns	Not a route of dissipation for diflufenican	3201091
	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C] DFF-acid	pH 7 buffer, 25 °C, Equivalent time at 50 °N	Stable	None	Not a route of dissipation for DFF-acid	3201090
Soil Photolysis	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C] diflufenican	Suffolk, UK Sandy loam soil (23 °C, pH 6.67)	Stable	Minor Unknowns; UR	Not a route of dissipation for diflufenican	3201087
	[trifluoromethyl phenyl-UL- <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenican	Derbyshire, UK Sandy loam soil (20 °C, pH 5.2)	Stable	Minor DFF-acid; DFF-amide; CO <sub>2</sub> ; Unknowns; UR	Not a route of dissipation for diflufenican	3201088
Biotransform	ation					
Aerobic Soil	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C] diflufenican	Essex, UK Loam soil (20 °C, pH 6.9) %OM: 3.1	All labels combined: DT <sub>50</sub> : 235 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 782 d (SFO)	Major DFF-amide; UR; CO <sub>2</sub> Minor DFF-acid; Unknowns	Diflufenican is persistent	3201092

Study	Test substance	System	Value <sup>1</sup>	<b>Transformat</b>	Comments	PMRA
	[difluorophenyl UL <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenican and [trifluoromethyl phenyl UL <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenic an	Essex, UK Loam soil (20 °C, pH 6.9) %OM: 3.1		Major UR; CO <sub>2</sub> Minor DFF-acid; DFF-amide; Unknowns		3201093
	[trifluoromethyl phenyl UL <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenic an	Hertfordshire, UK Clay loam soil (20 °C, pH 8.1) %OM: 5.3	$DT_{50}: 40.3 d; DT_{90}: 241.8 d (IORE) T_{R IORE} = 72.8 d$	Major DFF-acid; UR; CO <sub>2;</sub> Minor DFF-amide; Unknowns	Diflufenican is slightly persistent	3201094
		Suffolk, UK Loamy sand soil (20°C, pH 5.9) %OM: 3.4	$DT_{50}: 110d; DT_{90}:668.6 d(DFOP)Slow t_{1/2} =258.8 d$	Major DFF-acid; DFF-amide; UR; CO <sub>2;</sub> Minor Unknowns	Diflufenican is moderately persistent	
		Suffolk, UK Sandy loam soil (20°C, pH 7.4) %OM: 5.5	$DT_{50}: 79.9 d; DT_{90}: 488.6 d (IORE) T_{R IORE} = 147d$	Major UR; CO <sub>2;</sub> Minor DFF-amide; DFF-acid; Unknowns	Diflufenican is moderately persistent	
		Suffolk, UK Sandy loam soil (10°C, pH 7.4) %OM: 5.5	DT <sub>50</sub> : 191.9 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 637.5 d (SFO)	Major DFF-acid; DFF-amide; UR; CO <sub>2;</sub> Minor Unknowns	Diflufenican is persistent	
	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C] diflufenican	Porterville, California Sandy loam soil (20°C, pH 7.8) %OM: 1.2	DT <sub>50</sub> : 85 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 282.3 d (SFO)	Major UR; CO <sub>2;</sub>	Diflufenican is moderately persistent	3201098
		Louisville, Nebraska Silt loam soil (20°C, pH 6.7) %OM: 4.4	$DT_{50}: 26.38$ d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 104.6 d (IORE) T <sub>R IORE</sub> = 31.5 d	Major UR; CO <sub>2;</sub>	Diflufenican is slightly persistent	
	[difluorophenyl UL <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenican	Porterville, California Sandy loam soil	DT <sub>50</sub> : 145.8 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 484.3 d (SFO)	Major UR; CO <sub>2;</sub>	Diflufenican is moderately persistent	3201099

Study	Test substance	System	Value <sup>1</sup>	Transformat ion products	Comments	PMRA No.
		(20°C, pH 7.6) %OM: 1.2				
		Louisville, Nebraska Silt loam soil (20°C, pH 6.9) %OM: 5.3	$DT_{50}: 15.8 d; DT_{90}: 70.4 d (IORE) T_{R IORE} = 21.2 d$	Major UR; CO <sub>2</sub>	Diflufenican is slightly persistent	
Aerobic Soil	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C]DFF-acid	Hattersheim, Germany Silt loam soil (SLS) (20°C, pH 7.03) %OM: 2.84	DT <sub>50</sub> : 9.1d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 30.28 d (SFO)	Major UR; CO <sub>2</sub> Minor Unknown Others	DFF-acid is non persistent	3201095
		Frankfurt, Germany Sandy loam soil (SLV) (20°C, pH 6.16) %OM: 1.4	DT <sub>50</sub> : 17.2d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 57.2 d (SFO)	Major UR; CO <sub>2</sub> Minor Unknown Others	DFF-acid is slightly persistent	
		Royston, UK Silt loam soil (Flint Hall) (20°C, pH 7.35) %OM: 4.74	DT <sub>50</sub> : 14.6 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 48.5 d (SFO)	Major UR; CO <sub>2</sub> Minor Unknown Others	DFF-acid is non persistent	
Aerobic Soil	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C]DFF- amide	Hattersheim, Germany Silt loam soil (SLS) (20°C, pH 7.03) %OM: 2.84	DT <sub>50</sub> : 9.5 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 31.58 d (SFO)	Major DFF-acid; UR; CO <sub>2</sub> Minor Others	DFF-amide is non persistent	3201096
		Frankfurt, Germany Sandy loam soil (SLV) (20°C, pH 6.16) %OM: 1.4	$DT_{50}$ : 60 d; $DT_{90}$ : 290 d (IORE) $T_{R \text{ IORE}} =$ 87.4 d	Major UR; CO <sub>2</sub> Minor DFF-acid; Others	DFF-amide is moderately persistent	
		Royston, UK Silt loam soil (Flint Hall) (20°C, pH 7.35) %OM: 4.74	$DT_{50}: 21.8 d; DT_{90}: 111.3 d (IORE) T_{R IORE} = 33.5 d$	Major UR; CO <sub>2</sub> Minor DFF-acid; Others	DFF-amide is slightly persistent	

Study	Test substance	System	Value <sup>1</sup>	Transformat	Comments	PMRA No
Aerobic Soil	[difluorophenyl- UL- <sup>14</sup> C]2,4- DFA	Monheim, Germany Sandy loam soil (20°C, pH 5.9)	$DT_{50}: 0.03d; DT_{90}:0.26 d(IORE)T_{R IORE} =$	Major UR; sum of unidentified Minor CO <sub>2</sub>	2,4-DFA is non persistent	3201097
		Burscheid, Germany Silt loam soil (20°C, pH 6.4) %OM: 2.9	$\begin{array}{c} 0.079 \text{ d} \\ DT_{50}: \ 0.047 \\ \text{d}; \ DT_{90}: \\ 0.22 \text{ d} \\ (\text{IORE}) \\ T_{\text{R IORE}} = \\ 0.065 \text{ d} \end{array}$	Major UR; CO <sub>2</sub> ; sum of unidentified	2,4-DFA is non persistent	
		Blankenheim, Germany Clay loam soil (20°C, pH 7.5) %OM: 8.6	$DT_{50}: 0.045 d; DT_{90}: 0.29 d (IORE) T_{R IORE} = 0.087 d$	Major UR; sum of unidentified Minor CO <sub>2</sub>	2,4-DFA is non persistent	
		Monheim, Germany Loam soil (20°C, pH 5.8) %OM: 2.8	$DT_{50}: 0.038 d; DT_{90}: 0.30 d (IORE) T_{R IORE} = 0.089 d$	Major UR; sum of unidentified Minor CO <sub>2</sub>	2,4-DFA is non persistent	
Anaerobic Soil	[difluorophenyl- UL- <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenican and [trifluoromethyl phenyl-UL- <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenican	Essex, United Kingdom Loam soil (20°C, pH 6.9)	Not determined	Major UR; 2,4- DFA; DFF- acid (trifluoro label) Minor Unknown	NA: study was acceptable with restriction	3201101
Anaerobic Soil	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C]DFF- acid	Essex, United Kingdom Loam soil (20°C, pH 7.2)	Not determined	Major UR	NA: study was acceptable with restriction	3201102
Aerobic aquatic systems	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C] diflufenican	Bickenbach Germany Water:sand sediment (20°C, water pH 8.2, sediment pH 7.8)	DT <sub>50</sub> : 223 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 25470 d (IORE) T <sub>R IORE</sub> = 7747 d	Major DFF-acid Minor UR; CO <sub>2</sub>	Persistent Partition 74.8% in sediment	3201103
		Unter Widdersheim Germany Water:sandy	$DT_{50}$ : 79 d; $DT_{90}$ : 541 d (DFOP) Slow $t_{1/2} =$	Major UR; DFF- acid Minor	Moderately persistent Partition	

Study	Test substance	System	Value <sup>1</sup>	Transformat ion products	Comments	PMRA No.
		silt sediment (20°C, water pH 8.2, sediment pH 7.5)	200 d	CO <sub>2</sub>	59.2% in sediment	
	[difluorophenyl- UL- <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenican	Row Pond United Kingdom Water:clay sediment (20°C, water pH 7.84, sediment pH 6.3)	$\begin{array}{c} DT_{50}: \ 606 \\ d; \ DT_{90}: \\ 3505 \ d \\ (DFOP) \\ Slow \ t_{1/2} = \\ 1248 \ d \end{array}$	Major UR Minor 2,4-DFA; CO <sub>2</sub>	Persistent Partition 56.2% in sediment	3201104
		Swiss Lake United Kingdom Water:sand sediment (20°C, water pH 6.75, sediment pH 5.4)	DT <sub>50</sub> : 187 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 2167 d (IORE) $T_{R IORE} =$ 652 d	Major UR Minor 2,4-DFA; CO <sub>2</sub>	Persistent Partition 56.2% in sediment	
	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenican	Hatton Lake North Dakota Water:sand sediment (19.3°C, water pH 8.5, sediment pH 8.1)	DT <sub>50</sub> : 126 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 420 d (SFO)	Major DFF-acid; UR Minor Sum of unidentified; CO <sub>2</sub>	Moderately persistent Partition 53.8% in sediment	3201106
		Northwood River North Dakota Water:silt loam sediment (19.3°C, water pH 8.3, sediment pH 7.8)	$\begin{array}{c} DT_{50}: 135 \\ d; DT_{90}: \\ 590 \ d \\ (DFOP) \\ Slow \ t_{1/2} = \\ 196 \ d \end{array}$	Major DFF-acid; UR Minor CO <sub>2</sub>	Moderately persistent Partition 58.1 % in sediment	
	[Difluorophenyl- UL- <sup>14</sup> C] diflufenican	Louisburg Pond North Carolina Water:loamy sand sediment (19.4°C, water pH 7.1, sediment pH	DT <sub>50</sub> : 407 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 1353 d (SFO)	Major UR Minor CO <sub>2</sub>	Persistent Partition 77.5 % in sediment	3201105

Study	Test substance	System	Value <sup>1</sup>	Transformat ion products	Comments	PMRA No.
		5.9)		ion products		1.00
		Northwood River North Dakota Water:silt loam sediment (19.4°C, water pH 8.3, sediment pH 8.1)	DT <sub>50</sub> : 145 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 605 d (DFOP) Slow $t_{1/2} =$ 198 d	Major UR Minor CO <sub>2</sub>	Moderately persistent Partition 59.9% in sediment	
Anaerobic Aquatic	[Difluorophenyl- UL- <sup>14</sup> C] diflufenican	Goose River North Dakota Water:silt loam sediment (19.8 °C, water pH 8.3, sediment pH 8.1)	DT <sub>50</sub> : 1065 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : >1000 d (IORE) T <sub>R IORE</sub> : >1000 d	Major UR Minor Sum of unidentified CO <sub>2</sub>	Persistent 76.6% partition in sediment	3201107
	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenican	Golden Lake North Dakota Water:loamy sand sediment (19.8 °C, water pH 8.6, sediment pH 8.1)	DT <sub>50</sub> : 517 d; DT <sub>90</sub> : 1716 d (SFO)	Major Minor UR DFF-acid Sum of unidentified	Persistent 57.2 % partition in sediment	3201108
		Goose River North Dakota Water:silt loam sediment (19.8 °C, water pH 8.5, sediment pH 8.1)	$\begin{array}{c} DT_{50}: 156 \\ d; DT_{90}: \\ 766 \ d \\ (DFOP) \\ Slow \ t_{1/2} = \\ 203 \ d \end{array}$	Major UR Minor DFF-acid Sum of unidentified	Moderately persistent 57.8 % partition in sediment	
Mobility	1	1			1	T
Adsorption/d esorption in soil (6 soils)	[difluorophenyl- UL- <sup>14</sup> C]diflufenican	$ \begin{array}{l} K_{d} = 50.4 - 259.1 \\ K_{oc} = 4732 - 859 \\ K_{F(ads)} = 39.7 - 26 \\ K_{F, \ OC(ads)} = 3045 \\ 1/n = 0.88 - 0.99 \\ \\ K_{F(des)} = 6.9 - 11.3 \\ 1/n = 0.37 - 0.54 \end{array} $	mL/g 1 mL/g 4.8 (mL/g-soil -7354 (mL/g- (mL/g-soil) <sup>-1/n</sup>	Diflufenican is immobile based on McCall et al., (1981) classification	3201111	
4 soils	[pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C]DFF-acid	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	mL/g 8 mL/g 43 (mL/g-soil) (mL/g-OC) <sup>-l/n</sup>	-l/n	DFF-acid is very highly mobile based on McCall et	3201109

Study	Test substance	System	Value <sup>1</sup>	Transformat ion products	Comments	PMRA No.
		1/n = 0.54–0.72			al., (1981) classification	
		K <sub>F(des)</sub> = 0.13–0.9	3 (mL/g-soil) <sup>-I/I</sup>	n		
		K <sub>F, OC(des)</sub> = 8-274	18 (mL/g-OC) <sup>-1/r</sup>	1		
		1/n = 0.22 - 1.01				
4 soils	[pyridine-2-	$K_d = 0.54 - 3.63$	mL/g		DFF-amide	3201110
	<sup>14</sup> C]DFF-amide	$K_{oc} = 6/.36 - 116$	0.49  mL/g	-l/n	is highly	
		$K_{F(ads)} = 1.09 - 4.0$	138 (mL/g-soll)	')-l/n	on McCall et	
		1/n = 0.74 - 0.85	150 (IIIL/g-00	al. $(1981)$		
		2,11 017 1 0100		classification		
		$K_{E(des)} = 2.27 - 6.8$	8 (mL/g-soil) <sup>-I/I</sup>			
		1/n = 0.27 - 0.42	- (, 8,			
4 soils	[difluorophenyl-				2,4-DFA has	3201112
	UL- <sup>14</sup> C]2,4-	$K_1 = 2.25 + 7.64$	mI /a		medium to	
	DFA	$K_{d} = 2.23 = 7.041$ $K_{m} = 118.15 = 18$	$\frac{1112}{9}$		high	
		$K_{F(ads)} = 2.10 - 6.4$	$43 (\text{mL/g-soil})^{-1}$	-l/n	mobility	
		$K_{F, OC(ads)} = 110.4$	4–179.6 (mL/g	-OC) <sup>-1/n</sup>	based on	
		1/n = 0.89 - 0.97		,	McCall et $(1081)$	
				al., (1981)		
Volatilizatio	Not required Dif	ufenican is not ex	nected to be vo	latile under field	conditions base	ed on its
n	low vapour pressu	ire.	P			
Field dissipati	ion studies					
New York	SC500 (	Silt loam	DT <sub>50</sub> : 35 d;	Minor TPs	Slightly	3200390
Bare ground	AE F088657	(180 g a.i. /ha)	DT <sub>90</sub> : 629 d	AE 0542291	persistent.	
soil (510	500 g/L42.10 %	pH: 4.5	(IORE)	(1.2%, 267 d)	No residues	
days)	a.1.		$T_{R \text{ IORE}}$ : 189	AE BI0/13/	below 30 cm	
			a	(1.0%, 207 d)	for parent	
					and below	
					15 cm soil	
					depth for TP	
Iowa	SC500 (		DT <sub>50</sub> : 110	Minor TPs	Persistent.	3200392
Bare ground	AE F088657		d; DT <sub>90</sub> :	AE 0542291	No residues	
soil	500 g/L)	Silty clay loam	366 d	(1.96%, 27 d)	below 30 cm	
(468 days)	42.10 % a.i.	(180  g a i/ha)	(SFO)	AE B107137	soil depth	
		pH: 6.2		( <lod 0.4<="" of="" td=""><td>for parent,</td><td></td></lod>	for parent,	
		I		ppb)	and below	
					15 cm soll	
Washington	SC500 (		DT.:: 15.6	Minor TPs	Slightly	3200303
Bare ground	ΔF F088657		$d \cdot DT_{50}$	$\Delta = 0542291$	persistent	3200393
soil	500 g/L)	Sand	163.7 d	(2.23% 122)	No residues	
(269-364	42.10 % a.i.)	(180 g a.i. /ha)	(DFOP)	d)	below 30 cm	
days)		рН 7.6	Slow $t_{1/2}$ :	ÁE B107137	soil depth	
- /			77.9 d	(0.96%, 14 d)	for parent,	

Study	Test substance	System	Value <sup>1</sup>	Transformat	Comments	PMRA	
				ion products		No.	
					and below		
					15 cm soil		
					depth for TP		
Bioaccumulation/Bioconcentration							
Bioconcentra	[Pyridine-2-			No other	Depuration	3201185	
tion and	<sup>14</sup> C]diflufenican			major	half-life for		
Metabolism		Whole body BC	F=1571 -	radioactive	the total		
with rainbow		1772		component	radioactive		
trout				was found in	residues 2.4		
(Oncorhynch				both water	-3.0 days		
us mykiss)				and fish			
<sup>1</sup> Kinetics mode	els: SFO = single fir	st-order; IORE =	indeterminate	order rate equati	on; DFOP = dou	uble first	
order in paralle	order in parallel; $T_R$ = representative half-life (IORE); Slow t <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> = representative half-life (DFOP);						
Legends: UR,	unextracted residue	s; AE 0542291, D	FF-acid; AE E	3107137, DFF-ar	nide		

# Table 13 Transformation products formed in the environment

Chemical name/ Synonym and properties	Chemical structure	Study type	PMRA	System	Maximum %AR (day)	Final %AR (day)
Parent						
Chemical Name: Diflufenican (M&B		The 1 - 1		рН 5.0	102.0% (14 d)	<b>100.1%</b> (30 d)
<b>38544; AE F088657)</b> <b>IUPAC:</b> 2',4'-Difluoro-		(50 and	3201085	рН 7.0	101.5% (30 d)	<b>101.5%</b> (30 d)
2-[ $(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha$ -trifluoro-m- tolyl)oxy]nicotinanilide		70°C)		рН 9.0	105.2% (30 d)	<b>105.2%</b> (30 d)
CAS: N-(2,4- difluorophenyl)-2-[3-		Aqueous Photolysis	3201091	Buffer pH 7.0	99.7% (1 d)	<b>92.1%</b> (17 d)
[trifluoromethy])phenoxy ]- 3-pyridinecarboxamide		Soil Photolysis	3201087	SL, pH 6.67	99.84% (1 d)	<b>95.50%</b> (31 d)
<b>Formula:</b> C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>11</sub> F <sub>5</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>			3201088	SL, pH 5.7	103.0 (0 d)	<b>90.0%</b> (10 d)
MW: 394.3 g/mol SMILES:			3201092	Loam, pH 6.9	92.2% (7 d)	<b>37.12%</b> (365 d)
n1c(Oc2cc(C(F)(F)F)ccc2) )c(C(=O)Nc3c(F)cc(F)cc	F		3201093	Loam, pH 6.9	97.04% (7 d)	<b>41.72%</b> (269 d)
3)ccc1				CL, pH 8.1	95.83% (0 d)	6.85% (365 d)
		Aerobic soil	2201004	LS, pH 5.9	100.21% (0 d)	<b>25.05%</b> (365 d)
			5201094	SL, pH 7.4 (20°C)	94.75% (0 d)	<b>14.80%</b> (365 d)
				SL, pH 7.4 (10°C)	100.46% (0 d)	<b>33.42%</b> (365 d)
			3201098	SL, pH 7.8	100.3% (3	<b>34.3%</b> (120

Chemical name/ Synonym and properties	Chemical structure	Study type	PMRA	System	Maximum %AR (day)	Final %AR (day)	
					d)	d)	
				SiL, pH 6.7	97.5% (0 d)	<b>9.9%</b> (120 d)	
			2201000	SiL, pH 6.9	100.6% (0 d)	5.54% (120 d)	
			5201099	SL, pH 7.6	94.0% (2 d)	<b>52.45%</b> (120 d)	
		Anaerobic soil <sup>1</sup>	3201101	Loam, pH 6.9	97.67% (0 d)	<b>36.48%</b> (272 d)	
			3201103	Brook: Sand, pH 7.8	95.7% (3 d)	<b>62.3%</b> (121 d)	
				Brook: SSi, pH 7.5	96.3% (3 d)	<b>38.8%</b> (121 d)	
			3201104	Pond: Clay, pH 7.8	99.3% (0 d)	<b>56.2%</b> (365 d)	
		Aerobic aquatic	Aerobic	5201104	Lake: Sand, pH 6.7	100.5% (0 d)	<b>34.9%</b> (365 d)
			3201106-	Lake: Sand, pH 7.8	95.4% (0 d)	<b>51.2%</b> (105 d)	
				River: SiL, pH 7.6	94.9% (0 d)	<b>51.5%</b> (105 d)	
			3201105	Pond: LS, pH 5.3	97.6% (0 d)	<b>81.3%</b> (100 d)	
			3201105	River: SiL, pH 7.9	99.7% (0 d)	<b>62.0%</b> (100 d)	
			3201107	River: SiL, pH 7.9	99.2% (0 d)	<b>76.9%</b> (100 d)	
		Anaerobic aquatic	2201108	Lake: LS, pH 7.9	96.3% (3 d)	<b>80.9%</b> (100 d)	
			5201108	River: SiL, pH 7.9	99.6% (1, 3 d)	<b>57.8%</b> (100 d)	
		Terrestrial	3200390	New York	78.1 (3 d)	<b>12.7%</b> (510 d)	
		Field Dissipation	3200392	Iowa	39.96 (0 d)	4.86% (468 d)	
			3200393	Washington	70.93 (0 d)	0.0% (269 d)	
Major (>10% AR) trans	formation products			D CC II			
Cnemical Name: M&B 38181 (AE B107137; AE		Aqueous Photolysis	3201091	Buffer pH 7.0	2.0% (7 d)	ND (17 d)	
acid)		Soil Photolysis	3201088	SL, pH 5.7	2.6% (10 d)	2.6% (10 d)	
(Trifluoromethyl)phenox		Aerobic soil	3201092	Loam, pH 6.9	8.79% (286 d)	8.5% (365 d)	

Chemical name/ Synonym and properties	Chemical structure	Study type	PMRA	System	Maximum %AR (day)	Final %AR (day)
y]nicotinic acid CAS: 2-(3-			3201093	Loam, pH 6.9	<b>11.32%</b> (60 d)	5.82% (269 d)
Trifluoromethyl)phenoxy -3-pyridinecarboxylic	OH OH			CL, pH 8.1	<b>11.38%</b> (14 d)	ND (365 d)
acid <b>CAS No.:</b> 36701-89- 0 <b>Formula:</b> C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>8</sub> F <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>		F F	2201004	LS, pH 5.9	<b>14.06%</b> (120 d)	8.29% (365 d)
MW: 283.2 g/mol SMILES:	F		5201094	SL, pH 7.4 (20°C)	6.79% (56 d)	1.36% (365 d)
=CC=CC(C(F)(F)F)=C2)	Ė			SL, pH 7.4 (10°C)	<b>16.78%</b> (180 d)	1.09% (365 d)
			3201098	SL, pH 7.8	7.75% (58 d)	4.95% (120 d)
				SiL, pH 6.7	8.3% (29 d)	0.4% (120 d)
		Anaerobic soil <sup>1</sup>	3201101	Loam, pH 6.9	<b>70.94%</b> (272 d)	<b>70.94%</b> (272 d)
			3201103	Brook: Sand, pH 7.8	<b>28.8%</b> (89 d)	<b>25.6%</b> (121 d)
		Aerobic aquatic		Brook: SSi, pH 7.5	<b>45.9%</b> (30 d)	<b>35.7%</b> (121 d)
			3201106	Lake: Sand, pH 7.8	<b>32.2%</b> (105 d)	<b>32.2%</b> (105 d)
				River: SiL, pH 7.6	<b>15.7%</b> (105 d)	<b>15.7%</b> (105 d)
		Anaerobic	2201100	Lake: LS, pH 7.9	3.3% (100 d)	3.3% (100 d)
		aquatic	5201100	River: SiL, pH 7.9	9.1% (100 d)	9.1% (100 d)
		Terrestrial	3200390	New York	1.6% (267 d)	0.6% (510 d)
		Field	3200392	Iowa	0.0%	0.0 (468 d)
		Dissipation	3200393	Washington	0.96% (14 d)	0.0% (269 d)
Chemical Name: M&B 43625 (AE 0542291;	o II	Aqueous Photolysis	3201091	Buffer pH 7.0	0.3% (4 d)	ND (17 d)
Diflufenican amide) IUPAC: 2-(3-	NH <sub>2</sub>	Soil Photolysis	3201088	SL, pH 5.7	1.9% (5, 10 d)	1.9% (10 d)
nicotinamide	N O		3201092	Loam, pH 6.9	<b>15.69%</b> (286 d)	<b>14.53%</b> (365 d)
CAS: 2-(3- Trifluoromethyl)phenoxy -3-pyridinecarboxamide	F	Aerobic soil	3201093	Loam, pH 6.9	4.45% (119 d)	4.34% (269 d)
Formula: C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>9</sub> F <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	`F   		3201094	CL, pH 8.1	0.62% (253 d)	ND (365 d)

Chemical name/ Synonym and properties	Chemical structure	Study type	PMRA	System	Maximum %AR (day)	Final %AR (day)
MW: 282.2 g/mol SMILES:				LS, pH 5.9	<b>26.26%</b> (320 d)	<b>15.67%</b> (365 d)
NC(C1=C(OC2=CC=CC( C(F)(F)F)=C2)N=CC=C1				SL, pH 7.4 (20°C)	0.83% (253 d)	ND (365 d)
)=0				SL, pH 7.4 (10°C)	15.55% (320 d)	0.80% (365 d)
		Terrestrial	3200390	New York	1.2% (267 d)	0.0% (510 d)
		Field	3200392	Iowa	1.96% (27 d)	0.0% (468 d)
		Dissipation	3200393	Washington	2.23% (122 d)	0.0 (269 d)
Chemical Name: 2,4- Difluoroaniline (M&B 40401)	F	Anaerobic soil <sup>1</sup>	3201101	Loam, pH 6.9	<b>12.28%</b> (90 d)	4.03% (272 d)
IUPAC: 2,4- Difluoroaniline CAS No.: 367-25-9	H <sub>2</sub> N F A	Aerobic aquatic	3201104	Pond: Clay, pH 7.8	2.5% (59 d)	0.3% (365 d)
<b>Formula:</b> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> F <sub>2</sub> N <b>MW:</b> 129.1 g/mol <b>SMILES:</b> FC1=CC=C(N)C(F)=C1				Lake: Sand, pH 6.7	6.8% (30 d)	ND (365 d)
Chemical Name: Carbon dioxide		Soil Photolysis	3201088	SL, pH 5.7	4.9% (10 d)	4.9% (10 d)
IUPAC: Carbon dioxide CAS No.: 124-38-9			3201092	Loam, pH 6.9	<b>26.48%</b> (365 d)	<b>26.48%</b> (365 d)
Formula: CO <sub>2</sub> MW: 44 g/mol			3201093	Loam, pH 6.9	<b>25.87%</b> (269 d)	<b>25.87%</b> (269 d)
SMILES: C(=0)=0				CL, pH 8.1	<b>50.49%</b> (253 d)	<b>46.31%</b> (365 d)
			3201094	LS, pH 5.9	14.29% (365 d)	<b>14.29%</b> (365 d)
	o <u> </u>	Aerobic soil	5201071	SL, pH 7.4 (20°C)	<b>35.80%</b> (180 d)	<b>34.96%</b> (365 d)
				SL, pH 7.4 (10°C)	<b>16.88%</b> (365 d)	<b>16.88%</b> (365 d)
			3201098	SL, pH 7.8	<b>31.1%</b> (120 d)	<b>31.1%</b> (120 d)
		3	5201098	SiL, pH 6.7	<b>68.0%</b> (120 d)	<b>68.0%</b> (120 d)
			2201000	SiL, pH 6.9	<b>33.0%</b> (120 d)	<b>33.0%</b> (120 d)
			5201099	SL, pH 7.6	<b>10.1%</b> (120 d)	<b>10.1%</b> (120 d)

Chemical name/ Synonym and properties	Chemical structure	Study type	PMRA	System	Maximum %AR (day)	Final %AR (day)	
			3201103	Brook: Sand, pH 7.8	0.2% (121 d)	0.2% (121 d)	
		Bro	Brook: SSi, pH 7.5	0.6% (121 d)	0.6% (121 d)		
			3201104-	Pond: Clay, pH 7.8	0.8% (365 d)	0.8% (365 d)	
		Aerobic		Lake: Sand, pH 6.7	6.8% (365 d)	6.8% (365 d)	
		aquatic	3201106-	Lake: Sand, pH 7.8	0.8% (105 d)	0.8% (105 d)	
				River: SiL, pH 7.6	1.5% (105 d)	1.5% (105 d)	
			3201105	Pond: LS, pH 5.3	1.9% (100 d)	1.9% (100 d)	
				River: SiL, pH 7.9	1.6% (100 d)	1.6% (100 d)	
		Anaerobic aquatic	3201107	River: SiL, pH 7.9	0.1% (22, 42 d)	0.0% (100 d)	
			3201108	Lake: LS, pH 7.9	0.0% (100 d)	0.0% (100 d)	
Volatile organics	NA	Anaerobic soil (acceptable with restriction)	3201101	Loam, pH 6.9	<b>29.42%</b> (272 d)	<b>29.42%</b> (272 d)	
Unextracted residues			3201092	Loam, pH 6.9	<b>9.97%</b> (286 d)	<b>9.97%</b> (365 d)	
			3201093	Loam, pH 6.9	<b>21.61%</b> (269 d)	<b>21.61%</b> (269 d)	
				CL, pH 8.1	<b>32.30%</b> (320 d)	<b>31.20%</b> (365 d)	
	NTA		3201004	LS, pH 5.9	<b>24.41%</b> (365 d)	<b>24.41%</b> (365 d)	
	NA	Aerobic soil	5201094	SL, pH 7.4 (20°C)	<b>31.50%</b> (365 d)	<b>31.50%</b> (365 d)	
				SL, pH 7.4 (10°C)	<b>30.30%</b> (365 d)	<b>30.30%</b> (365 d)	
			SL, pH 7.8	<b>13.3%</b> (90 d)	<b>13.0%</b> (120 d)		
			3	3201098	SiL, pH 6.7	<b>19.8%</b> (58 d)	<b>19.1%</b> (120 d)
			3201099	SiL, pH 6.9	<b>58.3%</b> (63,	<b>58.3%</b> (120	

Chemical name/ Synonym and properties	Chemical structure	Study type	PMRA	System	Maximum %AR (day)	Final %AR (day)
					120 d)	(b
				SL, pH 7.6	<b>31.3%</b> (120 d)	<b>31.3%</b> (120 d)
		Anaerobic soil (acceptable with restriction)	3201101	Loam, pH 6.9	<b>19.39%</b> (272 d)	<b>19.39%</b> (272 d)
			3201103	Brook: Sand, pH 7.8	<b>9.0%</b> (61 d)	8.6% (121 d)
				Brook: SSi, pH 7.5	<b>11.1%</b> (121 d)	<b>11.1%</b> (121 d)
			3201104	Pond: Clay, pH 7.8	<b>35.2%</b> (365 d)	<b>35.2%</b> (365 d)
		Aerobic aquatic	3201104	Lake: Sand, pH 6.7	<b>27.4%</b> (212 d)	<b>22.7%</b> (365 d)
			3201106 3201105	Lake: Sand, pH 7.8	<b>10.3%</b> (105 d)	<b>10.3%</b> (105 d)
				River: SiL, pH 7.6	<b>22.4%</b> (105 d)	<b>22.4%</b> (105 d)
				Pond: LS, pH 5.3	<b>17.9%</b> (100 d)	<b>17.9%</b> (100 d)
				River: SiL, pH 7.9	<b>35.6%</b> (100 d)	<b>35.6%</b> (100 d)
			3201107	River: SiL, pH 7.9	<b>25.2%</b> (100 d)	<b>25.2%</b> (100 d)
		Anaerobic aquatic	2201108	Lake: LS, pH 7.9	8.9% (70 d)	8.5% (100 d)
			5201108	River: SiL, pH 7.9	<b>32.7%</b> (100 d)	<b>32.7%</b> (100 d)
Minor (<10% AR) trans	formation products	1			l	Γ
Chemical Name: M&B 44085 IUPAC: N-(2,4- difluorophenyl)-2- hydroxy-N-(3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)n icotinamide Formula: C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>11</sub> F <sub>5</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> MW: 394.3 g/mol SMU FS:		Aqueous Photolysis	3201091	Buffer pH 7.0	2.1% (14 d)	0.9% (17 d)
$\begin{array}{c} O = C(N(C1 = CC = CC(C(F) \\ (F)F) = C1)C2 = CC = C(F)C \end{array}$						

Chemical name/ Synonym and properties	Chemical structure	Study type	PMRA	System	Maximum %AR (day)	Final %AR (day)
=C2F)C3=CC=CN=C3O						

CL, clay loam; SL, sandy loam; SiL, silt loam; LS, loamy sand; SSi, sandy silt; NA, not available; ND, not detected.

## Table 14 Toxicity to non-target terrestrial species

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity <sup>a</sup>	PMRA No.				
Invertebrates									
Earthworm <i>Eisenia fetida</i>	14-d Acute, artificial soil (mortality, body weight and behavioural abnormality)	SC500 G 43.8 % w/w	LC <sub>50</sub> >438 mg a.i./kg dw soil (nominal), the highest concentration tested	NA	3200395				
	56-d Chronic, artificial soil (growth and reproduction)	Diflufenican Technical 96.8 % w/w	NOEC = 1000 mg a.i./kg dw soil	NA	3201138				
	56-d Chronic, artificial soil (survival, growth and reproduction)	SC500 G; 502.6 g/L 42.6 % w/w	NOEC = 426 mg a.i./kg dw soil (nominal), the highest concentration tested	NA	3200396				
Collembolan Folsomia candida	28-d Chronic, artificial soil (reproduction)	Diflufenican Technical 99.6 % w/w	NOEC = 10,000 mg a.i./kg dw soil	NA	3201147				
Aphid parasitoid <i>Aphidius</i> <i>rhopalosiphi</i>	48-h and 14-d Acute contact, Glass plates (mortality and fecundity)	SC500 (500 g/L) (43.8 % w/w)	LR <sub>50</sub> and 14-d ER <sub>50</sub> > 606.01 g a.i./ha (nominal)	NA	3200402				
Predatory mite Typhlodromus pyri			LR <sub>50</sub> /ER <sub>50</sub> > 606.01 g a.i./ha (nominal)	NA	3200400				

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity <sup>a</sup>	PMRA No.			
Predatory mite <i>Hypoaspis</i> <i>aculeifer</i>	14-d Chronic, artificial soil (adult mortality and reproduction)	Diflufenican Technical 97.3 % w/w	NOEC= 1000 mg a.i./kg dw soil (nominal)	NA	3201145			
		SC500 G; 502.6 g/L (42.6 % w/w)	NOEC = 438 mg a.i./kg dw soil (nominal)	NA	3200401			
Pollinators								
Honey bee Apis mellifera L.	48-h Acute Oral 48-h Acute Contact	Diflufenican Technical 97.3 % w/w	LD <sub>50</sub> > 107.4 μg a.i./bee (measured). LD <sub>50</sub> > 100 μg a.i./bee (nominal).	Relativel y non- toxic	3201139			
	72-h Acute larva	Diflufenican Technical 98.74 % w/w	LD <sub>50</sub> = 63.36 µg a.i./larva	Relativel y non- toxic	3202661			
	22-d Chronic larva (adult emergence)	Diflufenican Technical 97.3 % w/w	NOEDD = 6.75 μg a.i./larva/day	NA	3201140			
	10-d Chronic Oral	Diflufenican Technical 98.74 % w/w	NOEDD = 113.0 μg a.i./bee/ day	NA	3202662			
	48-h Acute Oral 48-h Acute Contact	SC500 G 43.8 % w/w	LD <sub>50</sub> > 98.0 μg a.i./bee (measured). LD <sub>50</sub> > 87.6 μg a.i./bee (measured).	Relativel y non- toxic	3200397			
	21-d Chronic brood, treated sugar solution (adult bee mortality, bee brood development, abnormal behaviour)	SC500A G (500 g/L) 42.2% w/w	No effect on bee brood development at a rate of 0.30 g a.i./L	NA	3200398			
	10-d Chronic Oral (mortality, sub-lethal effects, abnormal behaviour)	SC500A G (500 g/L) 41.9% w/w; 493.8 g /L (analysed)	NOEDD = 0.46 µg a.i./bee/day	NA	3200399			
Bumble bee Bombus terrestris L.	48-h Acute Contact	Diflufenican Technical 97.3 % w/w	LD <sub>50</sub> > 100 μg a.i./bumble bee.	Relativel y non- toxic	3201143			
Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity <sup>a</sup>	PMRA No.			
--	--	--	---	---	-------------			
	48-h Acute Oral	Diflufenican Technical 97.3 % w/w	LD <sub>50</sub> >122.0 μg a.i./bumble bee.	Relativel y non- toxic	3201144			
Birds	Ι	1	1	1	1			
Bobwhite quail Colinus virginianus	14-d Acute Oral (mortality and toxicological signs)	SC500 (500 g/L) 41.7 % w/w (492.3 g/L)	<b>14-d LD</b> <sub>50</sub> > <b>834</b> <b>mg a.i./kg bw</b> (nominal), highest dose tested	No adverse effects up to the highest dose tested.	3200406			
		Diflufenican M&B 38 544 technical (purity not reported)	$LD_{50} > 2150 \text{ mg}$ a.i./kg bw, the highest dose tested	Practical ly non- toxic	3201187			
Mallard duck Anas platyrhynchos	14-d Acute Oral (mortalities, clinical observations, individual bodyweights, group mean food consumption, gross macroscopic <i>post</i> <i>mortem</i> examination)	Diflufenican M&B 38 544 technical (purity not reported)	LD <sub>50</sub> > 4000 mg a.i./kg bw, the highest dose tested	Practical ly non- toxic	3201188			
Canary Serinus canaria	5-d Acute Dietary (mortality, body weight, feed	Diflufenican Technical 99.2 % w/w	14-d LDD <sub>50</sub> > 724.4 mg a.i./kg bw/day (measured), the highest dose tested	No adverse effects up to the highest dose tested.	3201193			
Bobwhite quail <i>Colinus</i>	consumption, clinical signs and necropsy)	Diflufenican (AE F088657), 99.2 % w/w	14-d LDD <sub>50</sub> > 1083 mg a.i./kg bw/day (measured), the highest dose tested	Practical ly non- toxic	3201190			
Colinus virginianus	20-w Reproduction (survival, eggs laid, eggs damaged, egg shell thickness, viable embryos, normal	Diflufenican Technical, 98– 98.5 % w/w)	NOEDD = 9.42 mg a.i./kg bw/day (measured), (body weight)	NA	3201195			

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity <sup>a</sup>	PMRA No.
	hatchlings)		NOEDD = 93.28 mg a.i./kg bw/day (measured), (reproduction)		
	5-d Acute Dietary (mortality, body weight, food consumption and behaviour)	Diflufenican (AE-F088657- 01-16), 99.2 % w/w	14-d LDD <sub>50</sub> > 1205.2 mg a.i./kg bw/day (measured), the highest dose tested	Practical ly non- toxic	3201191
Mallard duck Anas platyrhynchos	21-w Reproduction (number of eggs laid, fertility of the eggs, viability and survival of the embryos, hatchability, offspring survival and egg shell thickness)	Diflufenican Technical, 99.5 % w/w)	NOEDD = 162 mg a.i./kg bw/day (measured), the highest dose tested (reproduction)	NA	3201200
	23-w Reproduction (number of eggs laid, eggshell thickness and egg fertility, embryo viability, hatch rates, offspring survival, offspring weight, and signs of toxicosis among offspring)	Diflufenican Technical, 99.2 % w/w	NOEDD <8.6 mg a.i./kg bw/day (measured), the lowest dose tested (eggs laid per hen)	NA	3201197
Mammals		1		1	
Rat, Sprague-	Acute	Diflufenican	LD <sub>50</sub> > 5000 mg/kg bw	Practical ly non- toxic	3200965
Dawley	Reproduction	technical	NOAEL body weight = 41.9 mg/kg bw/day	NA	3201005
Vascular plants	8		1	T	T
Vascular plants: 10 species	21-d Seedling emergence (emergence, plant survival, visual symptoms of phytotoxicity, plant	SC500 (500 g/L) 41.7 % w/w (492.3 g/L)	ER <sub>25</sub> = 4.18 g a.i./ha (onion: shoot dry weight)	NA	3200411

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity <sup>a</sup>	PMRA No.
	growth stage, shoot length and shoot dry weight)				
	21-d Vegetative vigour (plant survival, shoot height, shoot dry weight, visual symptoms of phytotoxicity and plant growth stage)	SC500 (500 g/L) 41.7 % w/w (492.3 g/L)	ER <sub>25</sub> = 51.66 g a.i./ha (tomato: shoot dry weight)	NA	3200410
	21-d Seedling emergence (plant emergence, survival and visual phytotoxicity symptoms)	SC600: Diflufenican (DAN) +	$ER_{25} = 1.3 g$ DAN + 2.6 g BAX/ha (sugar beet: shoot dry weight)	NA	3201836
	21-d Vegetative vigour (plant survival, shoot height, shoot dry weight and visual symptoms of phytotoxicity)	Metribuzin (BAX) (200 + 400 g/L)	$ER_{25} = 6.2 g$ DAN + 12.4 g BAX/ha (sugar beet: shoot dry weight)	NA	3201833
	21-d Seedling emergence (emergence, plant survival, visual symptoms of phytotoxicity, plant growth stage and shoot dry weight)	SC617: Diflufenican	ER <sub>25</sub> = 1.05 g DAN + 0.74 g IXF/ha (sugar beet: survival)	NA	3201648
2 Species	21-d Seedling emergence (emergence, plant survival, visual injuries, plant growth stage, plant height and shoot dry weight)	Diflutenican (DAN) + Isoxaflutole (IXF) + Cyprosulfamide (CSA) (257 + 182 + 181 g/L)	$ER_{25} = 0.53 g$ DAN + 0.47 g IXF/ha (butterhead lettuce: survival)	NA	3201649
10 Species	21-d Vegetative vigour (plant survival, shoot height, shoot dry weight, visual symptoms of		$ER_{25} = 0.27 g$ DAN + 0.236 g IXF/ha (butter head lettuce: shoot dry	NA	3201647

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity <sup>a</sup>	PMRA No.
	phytotoxicity and plant growth stage)		weight)		

<sup>a</sup> Atkins et al.(1981) for bees and USEPA classification for others, where applicable; NA, not applicable

## Table 15 Toxicity of Diflufenican and transformation products to non-target aquatic species

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity <sup>a</sup>	PMRA No.
Freshwater specie	es				
Invertebrates					
Daphnia magna	48-h Acute	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	EC <sub>50</sub> > 42.0 μg a.i./L (geomean measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320114 9
	48-h Acute	DFF-acid (TFMP-NA (acid)) 99.5% w/w	EC <sub>50</sub> > 85.5 mg DFF-acid /L (measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320115 0
	48-h Acute	DFF-amide (M&B 43,625) > 98 % w/w	EC <sub>50</sub> > 10 mg DFF-amide /L (nominal), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320114 8
	48-h Acute	2,4- difluoroaniline 97.8 % w/w	EC <sub>50</sub> = 198.8 μg 2,4-DFA /L (measured)	Highly toxic	320115 1
	48-h Acute	SC500, 41.7 % w/w; 492.3 g/L (analytised)	EC <sub>50</sub> > 4.46 mg a.i./L (geomean measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320040

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Degree of	PMRA
			value	toxicity <sup>a</sup>	No.
		968 g/kg	a.i./L.		3
		(analysed)	(measured		
			TWA)		
	21-d Chronic	Diflutenican	NOEC = 22.2	NA	320115
		98.8 % w/w	μg a.i./L.		4
			(geometric		
			mean (mean)		
Midaa	12 d Chronia	Diflufonicon	$\frac{1}{1}$	ΝA	220116
Chironomus	42-u Chronic	00.2 % w/w	$\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} $	INA	0
dilutus	(development	99.2 /0 W/W	nig a.i./kg uw		0
ununs	()		(measured)		
			NOEC in		
			overlying and		
			pore water (not		
			determined)		
Freshwater	42-d Chronic	Diflufenican	NOEC = 88.5	NA	320115
amphipod	(life cyle)	99.2 % w/w	mg a.i./kg dw		6
Hyalella azteca	survival,		sediment		
	growth and		(measured)		
	reproduction		NOEC in		
			overlying and		
			pore water not		
			determined		
Midge	28-d Chronic	DFF-acid	NOEC = 100	NA	320115
Chironomus	(emergence)	(TFMP-NA)	mg DFF-acid		5
riparius		99.5 ± 0.5 %	/kg dw		
			sealment;		
			ingliest		
			tested		
			equivalent to		
			22.7 mg DFF-		
			acid / L pore		
			water and		
			21.84 mg		
			DFF-acid / L		
			overlying		
			water		
Fish					
Rainbow trout	96-h Acute	Diflufenican	$LC_{50} > 32.8$	No adverse	320117
Oncorhynchus		Technical	μg a.i./L	effects up to	0
mykiss		98.8 % w/w	(geometric	the highest	

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Degree of	PMRA
			value	toxicity <sup>a</sup>	No.
			mean measured), highest concentration	concentratio n tested.	
	28-d Chronic (sublethal effect)	Diflufenican 967 g/kg (analysed)	NOEC = 19.2 $\mu$ g a.i/L (measured)	NA	320118 4
	96-h Acute	M&B 38544 ~ 98% diflufenican	$LC_{50} = 73.5$ mg a.i./L (nominal)	Slighty toxic	320040 5
	96-h Acute	DFF- acid (TFMP-NA acid) 99.5 ±0.5 %)	LC <sub>50</sub> >89.1 mg DFF-acid /L (measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320117 1
	96-h Acute	DFF- amide (AE 0542291) 99.0 % w/w	LC <sub>50</sub> >8.46 mg DFF- amide /L (measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320117 2
Fathead minnow Pimephales promelas	96-h Acute	Diflufenican Technical 98.8 % w/w	LC <sub>50</sub> > 39.8 µg a.i./L (geometric mean measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320117 4
	35-d Chronic (ELS) - growth	Diflufenican 968 g /kg (analysed)	NOEC = $15 \mu g$ a.i./L (measured)	NA	320118 0
	34-d Chronic (ELS)-body length	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	$\overline{NOEC} = 3.05$ $\mu g a.i./L.$ (geometric mean measured)	NA	320118 1
	96-h Acute	DFF- acid 99.5 %	LC <sub>50</sub> >88.5 mg DFF-acid	No adverse effects up to	320117 5

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Degree of	PMRA
	-		value	toxicity <sup>a</sup>	No.
			/L (measured),	the highest	
			highest	concentratio	
			concentration	n tested.	
			tested.		
	96-h Acute	2,4-	$LC_{50} = 40.0$	Slightly	320117
		diffuoroaniline $(A = C_{5}^{2} + C_{5}^{2})$	mg 2,4-DFA	tox1c	6
		(AE C322392)	/L (nominal)		
A frican clawed	18-h Acute	Diflufenican	$I_{C_{10}} > 70.5$	No adverse	320123
frog	40-11 Acute	99.2 %  w/w	$LC_{50} > 70.3$	effects up to	2
Xenonus laevis		<i>)).2</i> /0 W/W	(measured)	the highest	2
Menopus lucvis			highest	concentratio	
			concentration	n tested.	
			tested.		
Algae					
Green alga	96-h Acute	Diflufenican	$E_bC_{50} = 0.25$	Very highly	320120
Raphidocelis	(AUC,	99.2 % w/w	μg a.i./L	toxic	4
subcapitata	growth rate		(TWA)		
	and yield)				
Freshwater	96-h Acute	Diflufenican	$E_bC_{50} = 1.2 \ \mu g$	Very highly	320120
diatom, <i>Navicula</i>	(AUC,	99.2 % w/w	a.1./L (TWA)	tox1c	6
pelliculosa	growth rate				
Crean alaa	and yield) $72 h A outo$	Diflufaniaan	$E_{\rm r} C_{\rm rr} = 0.25$	Varyhighty	220121
Desmodesmus	(AUC and	06.7 % w/w	$E_{b}C_{50} = 0.23$	toxic	8 8
subspicatus	(AUC and growth rate)	<b>JU.7</b> 70 W/W	(measured)	ισχις	0
Freshwater alga	72-h Acute	Diflufenican	$E_b C_{50} = 0.27$	Very highly	320121
Selenastrum	(AUC and	96.7 % w/w	ug a.i./L	toxic	9
capricornutum	growth rate)		(measured)		-
Green alga,	72-h Acute	Diflufenican	$E_bC_{50} = 0.22$	Very highly	320121
Pseudokirchnere	(AUC and	98.8 % w/w	μg a.i./L	toxic	4
lla subcapitata	growth rate)		(measured);		
			algistatic effect		
			up to 1.0 µg		
			a.i. /L.		
Freshwater	72-h Acute	Diflufenican	$E_b C_{50} = 3.5 \ \mu g$	Very highly	320121
diatom, Navicula	(AUC and	96.7 % w/w	a.i./L	toxic	1
pelliculosa	growth rate)	D'9 C '	(measured)	xy 1·11	220121
		Diflutenican	$E_bC_{50} = 2.59$	Very highly	320121
		98.8 % W/W	$\mu g a.1./L$	tox1c	6
			(incasured) -		
			up to 10 up		
			μμιο το μg		

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Degree of	PMRA
				toxicity <sup>a</sup>	INO.
Green alga, Desmodesmus subspicatus	72-h Acute (AUC and growth rate)	Diflufenican 96.8 % w/w	$E_bC_{50} = 0.46$ $\mu g \text{ a.i./L}$ (measured) - algistatic effect $up \text{ to } 3.9 \ \mu g$ a.i./L	Very highly toxic	320122 0
	72-h Acute (AUC and growth rate) - sediment- water system	Diflufenican 96.8 % w/w	$E_bC_{50} = 2.44$ $\mu g a.i./L$ (nominal) - overlying water	Very highly toxic	320122 1
Freshwater blue- green alga (Cyanobacteria), <i>Anabaena flos-</i> <i>aquae</i>	96-h Acute (AUC, growth rate and yield)	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	$E_bC_{50} > 41 \ \mu g$ a.i./L (TWA), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320120 8
	72-h Acute (AUC and growth rate)	Diflufenican 96.8 % w/w	$E_bC_{50} = 51 \ \mu g$ a.i./L (measured)	Very highly toxic	320121 2
Blue-green alga (Cyanobacteria), <i>Microcystis</i> <i>aeruginosa</i>	72-h Acute (AUC and growth rate)	Diflufenican 96.8 % w/w	$E_bC_{50} = 51 \ \mu g$ a.i./L (measured)	Very highly toxic	320121 3
Blue- green alga (Cyanobacteria) Anabaena sp.	72-h Acute (AUC and growth rate)	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	$E_rC_{50} > 43.7$ µg a.i./L (geomean measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320121 5
Green alga, Pseudokirchneri ella supspicatus	72-h (AUC and growth rate)	DFF-acid 99.5 ± 0.5 % w/w	ErC <sub>50</sub> > 90.3 mg/L (measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320121 7
Green alga, Desmodesmus subspicatus	72-h Acute (AUC and growth rate)	DFF-amide 99.4 % w/w	$EbC_{50} = 36$ mg/L (nominal)	Slightly toxic	320120 2
Green alga, Pseudokirchneri ella supspicatus	72-h Acute (cell densities,	2,4- difluoroaniline (2,4-DFA, AE	$EC_{50} = 2.9$ mg/L (measured)	Moderately toxic	320120 3

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Degree of	<b>PMRA</b>
		((5222202)) 00.2	value	toxicity <sup>a</sup>	N0.
	AUC and	C522392) 98.3			
Crean algo	growin rate)	% W/W	$E_{1}C50 = 1.9$	Varyhighly	220040
Degmodegmug	/2-II Acute	SC300(300)	$E_{b}C_{50} = 1.0$	very mgmy	0 0
Desmouesmus	(AUC allu growth rota)	g/L) 45.8 70	$\mu g a.i./L$	loxic	0
subspiculus	$\frac{g10wtill fate}{72 \text{ h A cute}}$	SC 500 (500	(10111111) E <sub>1</sub> C50 - 2.80	Very highly	320040
	(AUC and	g/I) $13.8%$	$L_{b}C_{50} = 2.09$	toxic	0
	(AUC and growth rate)	g/L) 45.8 70	(measured)	ισχις	9
Vascular nlant	growin rute)	•••	(incusured)		
Duckweed	14-d growth	Diflufenican	$EC_{50} = 39  \mu\sigma$	Very highly	320122
Lemna oihha	inhibition	Technical	1.030 57 μg	toxic	4
Lenning Stood	(frond	96.8 % w/w	(measured)	<i>come</i>	•
	number.		(		
	frond				
	biomass)				
	7-d growth	Diflufenican	$EC_{50} > 45.4 \ \mu g$	No adverse	320122
	inhibition	Technical	a.i./L	effects up to	5
	(frond	98.8 % w/w	(geomean	the highest	
	number,		measured),	concentratio	
	biomass and		highest	n tested.	
	AUC)		concentration		
			tested.		
	7-d growth	DFF-acid (AE	$EC_{50} > 100$	Practically	320122
	inhibition	B107137) 97.6	mg/L	non toxic	7
	(frond	% w/w	(nominal),		
	number,		highest		
	frond area)		concentration		
			tested.		
	7-d growth	DFF-amide	$E_y C_{50} = 63.5$	Slightly	320122
	inhibition	(AE 0542291)	mg/L	toxic	6
	(frond	99.0 % w/w	(nominal)		
	number)	2.4	FC > 100	D (* 11	220122
	/-d growth	2,4-	$EC_{50} > 100$	Practically	320122
	inhibition	diffuoroaniline	mg/L	non toxic	8
	(Irond	(AE C522392;	(nominal),		
	frond cros	2,4-DFA)9/.8	ingnest		
	and vield)	/0 W/W	tested		
Murionhullum	$1/_{-d}$ growth		$EC_{co} > 101 \text{ mm}$	No adverso	320122
spicatum	inhibition -	Diflufenican	$100.50 \times 101 \ \mu g$	effects up to	9
spicaiam	water_	Technical (AF	a.i./ L, (gc0	the highest	
	sediment	F088657)	measured	concentratio	
	system with	97.3% w/w	highest	n tested	
	system with	71.3 /0 W/W	inglicat	n usicu.	

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint	Degree of	PMRA No
	spiked water (growth and yield based on shoot length, fresh weight and dry weight)		concentration tested.		
Marine species	0(1)	D:0 C :	10 10	NT 1	220116
Eastern Oyster Crassostrea virginica	(shell deposition)	99.2 % w/w	<b>iC</b> <sub>50</sub> > 42 μg <b>a.i./L</b> (measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320116
Saltwater mysid Americamysis bahia	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	$LC_{50} > 43 \ \mu g$ a.i./L. (measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320116 5
	28-d Chronic (reproductio n, number of young produced per reproductive day)	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 5.9 µg a.i./L (measured)	NA	320116 7
Marine amphipod <i>Leptocheirus</i> <i>plumulosus</i>	28-d Chronic (growth, survival and reproduction )	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 75.1 mg a.i./kg dw sediment (measured), highest concentration tested.	NA	320116 6
Sheepshead minnow <i>Cyprinodon</i> variegatus	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	LC <sub>50</sub> > 35.2 µg a.i./L. (measured), highest concentration tested.	No adverse effects up to the highest concentratio n tested.	320117 8
	34-d Chronic (ELS) - time to hatch and	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = $4.6$ $\mu$ g a.i./L. (measured)	NA	320118 2

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity <sup>a</sup>	PMRA No.
	survival				
Marine diatom,	96-h Acute	Diflufenican	$E_bC_{50} = 3.7 \ \mu g$	Very highly	320122
Skeletonema costatum	(AUC, growth rate	99.2 % w/w	<b>a.i./L</b> (TWA)	toxic	2

<sup>a</sup> USEPA classification, where applicable; AUC, area under the growth curve. Bolded values were carried forward to the risk assessment.

## Table 16 Endpoints and uncertainty factors used to establish effects metrics for the risk assessment

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	UF applied <sup>1</sup>	Effect metric	LOC <sup>2</sup>
Terrestrial organis	ms	-	-	-	-	
Invertebrates						
Earthworm,	56-d Chronic	DiflufenicanNOEC = 1000Technicalmg a.i./kg dw96.8 % w/wsoil		1	1000 mg a.i./kg dw soil	1.0
Eisenia fetida	56-d Chronic	SC500 G; 502.6 g/L 42.6 % w/w	NOEC = 426 mg a.i./kg dw soil	1	426 mg a.i./kg dw soil	1.0
	48 h Oral	Diflufenican Technical $97.3 \% \text{ w/w}$ $LD_{50} > 107.4$ $\mu g \text{ a.i./bee}$		1	>0.1074 mg a.i./bee	0.4
	40-11 0141	$\begin{array}{c c} SC500 \ G \ 43.8 \ \% \\ w/w \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} LD_{50} > 98.0 \ \mu g \\ a.i./bee \end{array}$		1	>0.098 mg a.i./bee	0.4
Honeybee,		Diflufenican Technical 97.3 % w/w	LD <sub>50</sub> >100 µg a.i./bee	1	>0.100 mg a.i./bee	0.4
Apis mellifera	48-11-Contact	SC500 G 43.8 % w/w	LD <sub>50</sub> > 87.6 μg a.i./bee	1	>0.0876 mg a.i./bee	0.4
	Adult 10-d Chronic Oral	SC500A G (500 g/L) 41.9% w/w; 493.8 g /L	NOED = 4.6 µg a.i./bee	1	0.0046 mg a.i./bee	1.0
	Adult 10-d Chronic Oral	Diflufenican Technical 98.74 % w/w	NOED = 113 µg a.i./bee	1	0.003 mg a.i./bee	1.0

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	UF applied <sup>1</sup>	Effect metric	LOC <sup>2</sup>
	72-h Oral – larva single exposure	Diflufenican Technical 98.74 % w/w	LD <sub>50</sub> = 63.36 µg a.i./larva	1	0.06336 mg a.i./larva	0.4
	22-d Chronic larva	Diflufenican Technical 97.3 % w/w	NOEDD = 6.75 µg a.i./larva/day	1	0.00675 mg a.i./larva/day	1.0
Aphid parasitoid, Aphidius rhopalosiphi	48-d Contact	SC500 (500 g/L) (43.8 % w/w)	LR <sub>50</sub> > 606.01 g a.i./ha	1	>606,010 mg a.i./ha	2.0
Predatory mite Hypoaspis aculeifer	14-d Chronic	SC500 G; 502.6 g/L (42.6 % w/w)			438 mg a.i./kg dw	1.0
Birds						
Bobwhite quail,	Bobwhite quail,		LD <sub>50</sub> > 834 mg a.i./kg bw	10	>83.4 mg a.i./kg bw	1.0
virginianus	20-w Reproduction	Diflufenican Technical, purity: 98–98.5 % w/w)	NOEDD = 9.42 mg a.i./kg bw/day	1	9.42 mg a.i./kg bw/day	1.0
Mammals	-				-	
	Acute-Oral	Diflufenican	LD <sub>50</sub> > 5000 mg a.i./kg bw/day	10	>500 mg a.i./kg bw/day	1.0
	Reproduction	Technical	NOAEL= 41.9 mg a.i./kg bw/day	1	41.9 mg a.i./kg bw/day	1.0
Vascular plants			1		1	
	21-d Seedling emergence	SC500 (500 g/L)	ER <sub>25</sub> = 4.18 g a.i./ha	1	4180 mg a.i./ha	1.0
Veccular glants	21-d Vegetative vigour	41.7 % w/w (492.3 g/L)	ER <sub>25</sub> = 51.66 g a.i./ha	1	51 660 mg a.i./ha	1.0
10 species	21-d Seedling emergence	SC600: Diflufenican (DAN) +	ER <sub>25</sub> = 1.3 g DAN/ha	1	1300 mg a.i./ha	1.0
	21-d Vegetative vigour	Metribuzin (BAX) (200 + 400 g/L)	ER <sub>25</sub> = 6.2 g DAN/ha	1	6200 mg a.i./ha	1.0
2 species	21-d Seedling emergence	SC617: Diflufenican (DAN) +	ER <sub>25</sub> = 0.53 g DAN/ha	1	530 mg a.i./ha	1.0

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	UF applied <sup>1</sup>	Effect metric	LOC <sup>2</sup>
10 species	21-d Vegetative vigour	Isoxaflutole (IFT) + Cyprosulfamide (CSA) (257 + 182 + 181 g/L)	ER <sub>25</sub> = 0.27 g DAN/ha	1	270 mg a.i./ha	1.0
Freshwater organis	sms					
Invertebrates	I	Γ	Γ	I	Γ	
		Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	EC <sub>50</sub> > 42.0 μg a.i./L	2	>0.021 mg a.i./L	1.0
		DFF-acid (TFMP-NA (acid)) 99.5% w/w	EC <sub>50</sub> > 85.5 mg DFF-acid /L	2	>42.75 mg /L	1.0
Daphnia magna	48-h Acute	DFF-amide (M&B 43,625) > 98 % w/w	EC50> 10 mg DFF-amide /L	2	>5 mg/L	1.0
Daphnia magna		2,4- difluoroaniline 97.8 % w/w	EC <sub>50</sub> = 198.8 μg 2,4-DFA /L	2	0.0994 mg/L	1.0
		SC500, 41.7 % w/w; 492.3 g/L (analytised)	EC <sub>50</sub> > 4.46 mg a.i./L	2	>2.23 mg a.i./L	1.0
	21-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	NOEC = 22.2 μg a.i./L.	1	0.0222 mg/L	1.0
Midge Chironomus dilutus	42-d Chronic – spiked sediment: sediment*	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 44.1 mg a.i./kg dw	1	44.1 mg a.i./kg dw	1.0
Amphipod <i>Hyalella azteca</i>	42-d Chronic (life cycle)– spiked sediment: sediment*	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 88.5 mg a.i./kg dw	1	88.5 mg a.i./kg dw	1.0
Midge	28-d Chronic – spiked sediment: sediment	DFF -acid (TFMP-NA) 99.5 ±0.5 %	NOEC emergence = 100 mg DFF- acid /kg dw	1	100 mg /kg dw	1.0
riparius	28-d Chronic – spiked sediment: pore water	DFF -acid (TFMP-NA) 99.5 ±0.5 %	NOEC <sub>emergence</sub> = 22.7 mg DFF-acid / L	1	22.7 mg / L	1.0

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	UF applied <sup>1</sup>	Effect metric	LOC <sup>2</sup>
	28-d Chronic – spiked sediment: overlying water	DFF -acid (TFMP-NA) 99.5 ±0.5 %	NOEC emergence = 21.84 mg DFF-acid / L	1	21.84 mg / L	1.0
Fish						
		Diflufenican Technical 98.8 % w/w	LC <sub>50</sub> > 32.8 μg a.i./L	10	>0.00328 mg a.i./L	1.0
Rainbow trout,	96-h Acute	DFF- acid (TFMP-NA acid) 99.5 %	LC <sub>50</sub> >89.1 mg /L	10	>8.91 mg /L	1.0
Oncorhynchus mykiss		DFF- amide (AE 0542291) 99.0 % w/w	LC <sub>50</sub> >8.46 mg /L	10	>0.846 mg /L	1.0
	28-d Chronic	Diflufenican 967 g/kg	Diflufenican NOEC = 19.2 967 g/kg μg a.i/L		0.0192 mg a.i./L	1.0
	96-h Acute	Diflufenican Technical 98.8 % w/w	LC <sub>50</sub> > 39.8 $\mu$ g a.i./L 10		>0.00398 mg a.i./L	1.0
Fathead minnow,	34-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	$\begin{split} NOEC_{body \ length} \\ = 3.05 \ \mu g \\ a.i./L. \end{split}$	1	0.00305 mg a.i./L	1.0
Pimephales promelas	96-h Acute	DFF- acid 99.5 %	LC <sub>50</sub> >88.5 mg /L	10	>8.85 mg /L	1.0
	96- h Acute	2,4- difluoroaniline (AE C522392) 97.8 % w/w	$LC_{50} = 40.0 \text{ mg}$ /L	10	4.0 mg /L	1.0
Amphibians				1		
African clawed frog <i>Xenopus laevis</i>	48-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	LC <sub>50</sub> > 70.5 μg a.i./L	10	>0.00705 mg a.i./L	1.0
Fathead minnow, Pimephales promelas <sup>3</sup>	34-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	$NOEC_{body length} = 3.05 \ \mu g$ a.i./L.	1	0.00305 mg a.i./L	1.0

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	UF applied <sup>1</sup>	Effect metric	LOC <sup>2</sup>
Plants				-		
		Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	EbC50 = 0.22 μg a.i./L	2	0.00011 mg a.i./L	1.0
Green alga, Pseudokirchnerella subcapitata	72-h Acute	DFF-acid 99.5 ± 0.5 % w/w	E <sub>r</sub> C <sub>50</sub> > 90.3 mg/L	2	45.15 mg/L	1.0
		2,4- difluoroaniline (2,4-DFA, AE C522392) 98.3 % w/w	EC <sub>50</sub> = 2.9 mg/L	2	1.45 mg/L	1.0
Green alga,	72 1 4	DFF- amide 99.4 % w/w	$\begin{array}{c} E_b C_{50} = 36\\ mg/L \end{array}$	2	18 mg/L	1.0
Desmodesmus subspicatus	72-h Acute	SC500 (500 g/L) 43.8 % w/w	E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub> = 1.8 μg a.i./L	2	0.0009 mg a.i./L	1.0
	14-d Dissolved	Diflufenican Technical 96.8 % w/w	$EC_{50} = 39 \ \mu g$ a.i./L	2	0.0195 mg a.i./L	1.0
	7-d	DFF-acid (AE B107137) 97.6 % w/w	EC <sub>50</sub> >100 mg /L	2	>50 mg /L	1.0
Duckweed, Lemna gibba		DFF-amide (AE 0542291) 99.0 % w/w	$E_y C_{50} = 63.5$ mg /L	2	31.75 mg /L	1.0
	Dissolved	2,4- difluoroaniline (AE C522392; 2,4-DFA) 97.8 % w/w	EC <sub>50</sub> >100 mg /L	2	>50 mg /L	1.0
Marine organisms						
Invertebrates						
Eastern oyster, Crassostrea virginica	96- h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	IC <sub>50</sub> >42 μg a.i./L	2	>0.021 mg a.i./L	1.0
Saltwater mysid, Americamysis 28-d Chronic bahia		Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 5.9 µg a.i./L	1	0.0059 mg a.i./ L	1.0
Amphipods, Leptocheirus plumulosus	28-d Chronic – spiked sediment: sediment*	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 75.1 mg a.i./kg dw	1	75.1 mg a.i./kg dw	1.0

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	UF applied <sup>1</sup>	Effect metric	LOC <sup>2</sup>
Fish						
Sheepshead	96-h Acute	5-h Acute Diflufenican $LC_{50} > 35.2 \ \mu g$ $99.2 \ \% \ w/w$ $a.i./L.$		10	>0.00352 mg a.i./L.	1.0
Cyprinodon variegatus	34-d Chronic	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 4.6 µg a.i./L	1	0.0046 mg a.i./L	1.0
Plants	·					
Marine diatom, Skeletonema costatum	96- h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	$E_bC_{50}=3.7 \ \mu g$ a.i./L	2	0.00185 mg a.i./L	1.0

<sup>1</sup> UF = uncertainty factor; as per the Guidance Manual;  $^{2}LOC =$  Level of Concern;  $^{3}$ used as a surrogate for amphibians; \* NOEC in overlying and pore water (not determined).

#### Table 17 Screening level risk assessment on non-target species

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Effect metric	EEC <sup>1</sup>	RQ <sup>2</sup>	Level of Concern exeeded
Invertebrates						
Earthworm	56-d chronic	-d chronic Diflufenican Technical NOEC = 100 a.i./kg dw		0.08 mg	0.0	No
Eisenia jeitaa		SC500	NOEC = 426 mg a.i./kg dw soil	a.i./kg dw soli	0.0	No
	48-h contact	Diflufenican Technical	LD <sub>50</sub> >100 µg a.i./bee	0.432 μg	<0.0	No
		SC500	LD <sub>50</sub> >87.6 µg a.i./bee	a.i./Dee	< 0.0	No
	48-h oral	Diflufenican Technical	LD <sub>50</sub> >107.4 µg a.i./bee	5.15 µg	<0.0	No
Dec edult		SC500	LD <sub>50</sub> >98 µg a.i./bee	a.1./bee	< 0.0	No
Apis mellifera	10-d chronic	SC500	NOED = 4.6 µg a.i./bee	5.15 μg a.i./bee	1.1	Yes
		Diflufenican Technical	NOED = 113 μg a.i./bee	5.15 μg a.i./bee	0.0	No
Dec larra	72-h acute	Diflufenican Technical	LD <sub>50</sub> = 63.36 µg a.i./larva	2.187 µg a.i./bee	0.0	No
Apis mellifera	22-d chronic (adult emergence)	ic biflufenican Technical NOEDD = $6.75 \ \mu g$ a.i./larva/day		2.187 µg a.i./bee	0.3	No
Aphid parasitoid, <i>Aphidius</i> rhopalosiphi	48-d acute contact, glass plate	SC500	LR <sub>50</sub> > 606.01 g a.i./ha	180 g a.i./ha	<0.3	No

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Effect metric	EEC <sup>1</sup>	RQ <sup>2</sup>	Level of Concern exeeded
Predatory mite Hypoaspis aculeifer	14-d Chronic	SC500	NOEC = 438 mg a.i./kg dw soil	0.08 mg a.i./kg dw soil	0.2	No
Vascular plants	r plants					
Vascular plants: 10 species	21-d seedling	SC500	ER <sub>25</sub> = 4.8 g a.i./ha	180 g a.i./ha	43.1	Yes
10 species	emergence	SC600	ER <sub>25</sub> = 1.3 g a.i./ha	180 g a.i./ha	138.5	Vas
2 species		SC617	ER <sub>25</sub> = 0.53 g a.i./ha	150 g a.i./ha	283.0	105
	01.1	SC500	ER <sub>2 5</sub> = 51.66 g a.i./ha	180 g a.i./ha	3.5	Yes
10 species	21-d vegetative	SC600	ER <sub>25</sub> = 6.2 g a.i./ha	180 g a.i./ha	29.0	V
	vigour	SC617	ER <sub>25</sub> = 0.27 g a.i./ha	150 g a.i./ha	555.5	r es

 $^{1}$ EEC = Estimated Environmental concentration. The soil EEC of 0.08 mg a.i./kg dw soil was calculated based on the maximum proposed foliar rate of maximum single application of 180 g a.i./ha was used for soil dwelling organisms effects metrics. This concentration was calculated assuming that the product is evenly distributed in the top 0 to 15 cm depth of soil with a bulk density of 1.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

The terrestrial plants EECs were equal to a single ground application at 180 g a.i./ha, except for Diflufenican SC617 (150 g a.i./ha).

The pollinator EECs were calculated using the single maximum application rate of 180 g a.i./ha as follows:

Estimated contact exposure =  $2.4 \ \mu g \ a.i./bee \times 0.18 \ g \ a.i./ha;$ 

Estimated dietary exposure  $= 98 \ \mu g \ a.i./g \times 0.292 \ g/day \times 0.18 \ g \ a.i./ha;$  and

Estimated brood exposure =  $98 \ \mu g \ a.i./g \times 0.124 \ g/day \times 0.18 \ g \ a.i./ha$ .

 ${}^{2}RQ = Risk$  Quotient. The RQ is calculated by dividing the EEC by the effect metric (RQ = EEC/effect metric). The RQ is then compared to the level of concern (LOC = 2.0 for beneficial arthropods, 0.4 for acute exposures to bees, and 1.0 for everything else). If the screening level RQ is below the LOC, the risk is considered acceptable and no further risk characterization is necessary. For groups where the LOC is exceeded (RQ > 1), further characterization of the risk is conducted.

#### Table 18 Risk to birds and mammals

Organisms	Toxicity (mg a.i./kg bw/d)	Feeding Guild (food item)	EDE (mg a.i./kg bw) <sup>1</sup>	RQ	LOC	LOC Exceeded
Small Bird (0.02 kg)						
Acute	83.40	Insectivore	14.65	0.2	1	No
Reproduction	<8.6	Insectivore	14.65	>1.7	1	Yes
Medium-Size	d Bird (0.1 kg)					
Acute	83.40	Insectivore	11.43	0.1	1	No
Reproduction	<8.6	Insectivore	11.43	>1.3	1	Yes
Large-Sized B	Bird (1 kg)					
Acute	83.40	Herbivore (short grass)	7.39	0.1	1	No

Organisms	Toxicity (mg a.i./kg bw/d)	Feeding Guild (food item)	EDE (mg a.i./kg bw) <sup>1</sup>	RQ	LOC	LOC Exceeded		
Reproduction	<8.6	Herbivore (short grass)	7.39	>0.9	1	_*		
Small Mamm	al (0.015 kg)							
Acute	>500.00	Insectivore	8.43	< 0.0	1	No		
Reproduction	41.9	Insectivore	8.43	0.2	1	No		
Medium-Size	Medium-Sized Mammal (0.035 kg)							
Acute	>500.00	Insectivore	16.34	< 0.0	1	No		
Reproduction	41.9	Herbivore (short grass)	16.34	0.4	1	No		
Large-Sized N	/Iammal (1 kg)							
Acute	>500.00	Herbivore (short grass)	8.73	<0.0	1	No		
Reproduction	41.9	Herbivore (short grass)	8.73	0.2	1	No		
( <sup>1</sup> ) EDE = Estimate FIR: Food Ingestion equation was used; Passerine Equation All birds Equation For mammals, the ' EEC: Concentration according to Fletch feeding guild are us *Not determined	d daily exposure; is calcul n Rate (Nagy, 1987). For g for generic birds with boo (body weight < or =200 g (body weight > 200 g): FI fall mammals" equation w n of pesticide on food iten er et al. (1994). At the scr sed.	lated using the following for generic birds with body wei ly weight greater than 200 g ): FIR (g dry weight/day) = R (g dry weight/day) = 0.64 (as used: FIR (g dry weight/ n based on Hoerger and Ker eening level, relevant food i	rmula: (FIR/bw) > ght less than or ec g, the "all birds" e (0.398(bw in g) 0.651. (bw in g) 0.651. (day) = 0.235(bw s) haga (1972) and K items representing	< EEC, whe qual to 200 g quation was 850. in g) 0.822. cenaga (197) g the most co	re: g, the "pass used: 3) and moo onservative	serine" lified e EEC for each		

# Table 19Refined avian risk assessment using maximum and mean diflufenican residue<br/>values on the highest crop application rate (considering 6% drift)

				Maximum nomogram residues On-field Off Field			Mean nomog residues ld On-field			gram Off Field	
	Toxicity (mg a.i./kg bw/d)	Food Guild (food item)	EDE (mg a.i./kg bw)	RQ	EDE (mg a.i./k g bw)	RQ	EDE (mg a.i./k g bw)	RQ	ED E (mg a.i./ kg bw)	RQ	
Small Bird (0.0	)2 kg)										
Reproduction	<8.60	Insectivore	14.65	>1.7	1.61	>0.2	10.1 2	>1. 2	1.11	>0. 1	
		Granivore (grain and seeds)	2.27	>0.3	0.25	>0.0	1.08	>0. 1	0.12	>0. 0	
		Frugivore (fruit)	4.53	>0.5	0.50	>0.1	2.16	>0. 2	0.24	>0. 0	

			Maximum nomogram residues				Mean nomogram residues			
			On-field		Off Field		On-field		n-field Off Fi	
Medium-Sized	Bird (0.1 kg	)								
Reproduction	<8.60	Insectivore	11.43	>1.3	1.26	>0.1	7.89	>0. 9	0.87	>0. 1
		Granivore (grain and seeds)	1.77	>0.2	0.19	>0.0	0.84	>0. 1	0.09	>0. 0
		Frugivore (fruit)	3.54	>0.4	0.39	>0.0	1.69	>0. 2	0.19	>0. 0
Large-Sized Bi	ird (1 kg)									
Reproduction	<8.60	Insectivore	3.34	>0.4	0.37	>0.0	2.30	>0. 3	0.25	>0. 0
		Granivore (grain and seeds)	0.52	>0.1	0.06	>0.0	0.25	>0. 0	0.03	>0. 0
		Frugivore (fruit)	1.03	>0.1	0.11	>0.0	0.49	>0. 1	0.05	>0. 0
		Herbivore (short grass)	7.39	>0.9	0.81	>0.1	2.62	>0. 3	0.29	>0. 0
		Herbivore (long grass)	4.51	>0.5	0.50	>0.1	1.47	>0. 2	0.16	>0. 0
		Herbivore (Broadleaf plants)	6.83	>0.8	0.75	>0.1	2.26	>0. 3	0.25	>0. 0

#### Table 20 Refined risk to terrestrial plants from spray drift

Organism	Exposur e	Test substance	Effect metric	EEC <sup>1</sup>	RQ <sup>2</sup>	Level of Concern exeeded			
Vascular plants									
Vascular plants: 10 species	21-d seedling	SC500	ER <sub>25</sub> = 4.8 g a.i./ha	10.8 g a.i./ha	2.6	Yes			
10 species	emergenc	SC600	ER <sub>25</sub> = 1.3 g a.i./ha	10.8 g a.i./ha	8.3	Yes			
2 species		SC617	ER <sub>25</sub> =0.53 g a.i./ha	9 g a.i./ha	17				
	21 4	SC500	ER <sub>2 5</sub> = 51.66 g a.i./ha	10.8 g a.i./ha	0.2	No			
10 species	vegetativ	SC600	ER <sub>25</sub> = 6.2 g a.i./ha	10.8 g a.i./ha	1.7	Vaa			
	evigoui	SC617	ER <sub>25</sub> =0.27 g a.i./ha	9 g a.i./ha	33.3	i es			

<sup>1</sup>EEC (Estimated Environmental Concentration) was calculated based on 6% spray drift factor for ground application.

 ${}^{2}RQ = Risk$  Quotient. The RQ is calculated by dividing the EEC by the effect metric (RQ = EEC/effect metric).

T 11 A1	<b>n</b> • 1			•
I able 21	Screening	level risk	to aquatic	organisms
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		······································	- <b>B</b>

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Effect metric	EEC <sup>1</sup> (mg a.i./L)	RQ <sup>3</sup>	LOC exceeded
Freshwater Organis	SMS					
Invertebrates		r	1			
		Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> EC <sub>50</sub> >0.021 mg a.i./L	0.0225	<1.1	Yes
		DFF-acid (TFMP- NA (acid)) 99.5% w/w	<sup>1</sup> ⁄ <sub>2</sub> EC <sub>50</sub> > 42.75 mg DFF- acid /L	0.0162	<0.0	No
	48-h Acute	DFF-amide (M&B 43,625) > 98 % w/w	$\frac{1}{2}$ EC <sub>50</sub> > 5 mg DFF-amide /L	0.0161	<0.0	No
Daphnia magna		2,4-difluoroaniline 97.8 % w/w	$\frac{1}{2} \text{ EC}_{50} =$ 0.0994 mg 2,4- DFA /L	0.0074	0.0	No
		SC500, 41.7 % w/w; 492.3 g/L (analytised)	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> EC <sub>50</sub> > 2.23mg a.i./L	0.0225	<0.0	No
	21-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	NOEC =: 0.0222 mg a.i./L	0.0225	1.0	Yes
	42-d Chronic – spiked sediment: sediment	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 44.1 mg a.i./kg dw	0.08	0.0	No
Midaa	28-d Chronic – spiked sediment: sediment	DFF -acid (TFMP- NA) 99.5 ±0.5 %	NOEC emergence = 100 mg DFF-acid /kg dw	0.057	0.0	No
Midge Chironomus dilutus	28-d Chronic – spiked sediment: pore water	DFF -acid (TFMP- NA) 99.5 ±0.5 %	NOEC emergence = 22.7 mg DFF-acid / L	0.0162	0.0	No
	28-d Chronic – spiked sediment: overlying water	DFF -acid (TFMP- NA) 99.5 ±0.5 %	NOEC emergence = 21.84 mg DFF-acid / L	0.0162	0.0	No
Amphipod, Hyalella Azteca	42-d Chronic (life cycle)– spiked	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 88.5 mg a.i./kg dw	0.08	0.0	No

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Effect metric	EEC <sup>1</sup> (mg a.i./L)	RQ <sup>3</sup>	LOC exceeded
	sediment: sediment <sup>2</sup>					
Fish				•		
Dei lan trant		Diflufenican Technical 98.8 % w/w	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> >0.00328 mg a.i./L	0.0225	<6.9	Yes
<i>Oncorhynchus</i>	96-h Acute	DFF- acid (TFMP- NA acid) 99.5 %	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> >8.91 mg /L	0.0162	<0.0	No
тукізз		DFF- amide (AE 0542291) 99.0 % w/w	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> > 0.846 mg /L	0.0161	<0.0	No
	96-h Acute	Diflufenican Technical 98.8 % w/w	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> > 0.00398 mg a.i./L	0.0225	<5.6	Yes
Fathead minnow, <i>Pimephales</i>	34-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w		0.0225	7.4	Yes
promelas	96-h Acute	DFF- acid, 99.5 %	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> >8.85 mg /L	0.0162	<0.0	No
	96-h Acute	2,4-difluoroaniline (AE C522392) 97.8 % w/w	$1/10 \text{ LC}_{50} = 4.0 \text{ mg/L}$	0.0074	0.0	No
Amphibians						•
African clawed frog, <i>Xenopus laevis</i>	48-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	$1/10 \text{ LC}_{50} = 0.00705 \text{ mg}$ a.i./L	0.12	17.0	Yes
Fathead minnow, Pimephales promelas <sup>4</sup>	34-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	$NOEC_{growth} = 0.00305 \text{ mg}$ a.i./L	0.12	39.3	Yes
Plants			Γ	1		
		Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	$\frac{1}{2} E_b C_{50} > 0.00011 \text{ mg}}{a.i./L}$	0.0225	<204.5	Yes
Green alga, Pseudokirchneriella	72-h Acute	DFF-acid 99.5 ± 0.5 % w/w	$\frac{1}{2} E_r C_{50} > 45.15 \text{ mg/L}$	0.0162	<0.0	No
subcapitata		2,4-difluoroaniline (2,4-DFA, AE C522392) 98.3 % w/w	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> EC <sub>50</sub> = 1.45 mg/L	0.0074	0.0	No

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Effect metric	EEC <sup>1</sup> (mg a.i./L)	RQ <sup>3</sup>	LOC exceeded
Green alga,	72 h A outo	DFF- amide 99.4 % w/w	$\frac{1}{2} E_b C_{50} = 18$ mg/L	0.0161	0.0	No
subspicatus	/2-fi Acute	SC500 (500 g/L) 43.8 % w/w	$^{1/2} E_b C_{50} = 0.0009 \text{ mg/L}$	0.0225	25.0	Yes
	14-d Dissolved	Diflufenican Technical 96.8 % w/w	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> EC <sub>50</sub> = 0.0195 mg a.i./L	0.0225	1.1	Yes
Duckweed,		DFF-acid (AE B107137) 97.6 % w/w	$^{1/2} EC_{50} > 50$ mg /L	0.0225	<0.0	No
Lemna gibba	7-d Dissolved	DFF-amide (AE 0542291) 99.0 % w/w	$^{1/2} E_y C_{50} =$ 31.75 mg /L	0.0161	0.0	No
		2,4-difluoroaniline (AE C522392; 2,4- DFA) 97.8 % w/w	$^{1/2} EC_{50} > 50$ mg /L	0.0074	<0.0	No
Marine Organisms						
Invertebrates			1			1
Eastern oyster, Crassostrea virginica	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	$^{1/2}$ IC <sub>50</sub> > 0.021 mg a.i./L	0.0225	<1.1	Yes
Saltwater mysid, Americamysis bahia	28-d Chronic	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 0.0059 mg a.i./ L	0.022	3.8	Yes
Amphipods, Leptocheirus plumulosus	28-d Chronic – spiked sediment: sediment	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 75.1 mg a.i./kg dw	0.08	0.0	No
Fish	1		1	1		1
Sheepshead minnow,	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	$\frac{1/10 \text{ LC}_{50}}{0.00352 \text{ mg}}$ a.i./L	0.0225	6.4	Yes
Cyprinodon variegatus	34-d Chronic	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 0.0046 mg a.i./L	0.0225	4.9	Yes
Plants						
Marine diatom, Skeletonema costatum	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	$\begin{array}{c} {}^{1\!\!/_2} E_b C_{50} = \\ 0.00185 \text{ mg} \\ a.i./L \end{array}$	0.0225	12.2	Yes
1				·		·

 $^{1}$ EEC = Estimated Environmental Concentration. An EEC of 0.0225 mg a.i./L for a waterbody at a depth of 80 cm was used to evaluate risks to all organisms except amphibians, where an EEC of 0.12 mg a.i./L for a waterbody at a depth of 15 cm was used. <sup>2</sup>The sediment and pore water concentrations were conservatively assumed to be equal to the soil and 80 cm water EECs of 0.08

mg a.i./kg dry weight soil and 0.0225 mg a.i./L, respectively. EECs for transformation products were calculated conservatively assuming 100% of the applied diflufenican was instantly transformed into the transformation product on a molecular weight/weight basis.

 ${}^{3}RQ = Risk$  Quotient. The RQ is calculated by dividing the EEC by the effect metric (RQ = EEC/effect metric). The RQ is then compared to the level of concern (LOC = 1.0 for all aquatic organisms). If the screening level RQ is below the LOC, the risk is considered acceptable and no further risk characterization is necessary. For groups where the LOC is exceeded (RQ > 1), further characterization of the risk is conducted.

<sup>4</sup>used as a surrogate for amphibians.

Desmodesmus

subspicatus

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Effect metric	EEC <sup>1</sup> (mg a.i./L)	RQ <sup>2</sup>	LOC exceeded
Freshwater Organisms	-	-	-	-	-	
Invertebrates					_	
	48-h Acute	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> EC <sub>50</sub> >0.021 mg a.i./L	0.00135	<0.1	No
Daphnia magna	21-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	NOEC =: 0.0222 mg a.i./L	0.00135	0.1	No
Fish						
Rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss	96-h Acute	Diflufenican Technical 98.8 % w/w	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> >0.00328 mg a.i./L	0.00135	<0.4	No
Fathead minnow,	96- h Acute	Diflufenican Technical 98.8 % w/w	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> > 0.00398 mg a.i./L	0.00135	<0.3	No
Pimephales promelas	34-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	$\frac{\text{NOEC}_{\text{body length}}}{= 0.00305 \text{ mg}}$ $a.i./L$	0.00135	0.4	No
Amphibians						
African clawed frog Xenopus laevis	48-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> = 0.00705 mg a.i./L	0.0072	1.0	Yes
Fathead minnow, <i>Pimephales promelas</i> <sup>3</sup>	34-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	NOEC <sub>growth</sub> = 0.00305 mg a.i./L	0.0072	2.4	Yes
Plants						
Green alga, Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	72-h Acute	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub> > 0.00011 mg a.i./L	0.00135	<12.3	Yes
Green alga,	72-h	SC500 (500	$\frac{1}{2} E_b C_{50} =$	0.00125	1.5	V

#### Table 22 Refined risk to aquatic organisms from spray drift

g/L) 43.8 %

w/w

Acute

0.00135

0.0009 mg/L

1.5

Yes

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Effect metric	EEC <sup>1</sup> (mg a.i./L)	RQ <sup>2</sup>	LOC exceeded
Duckweed, <i>Lemna gibba</i>	14-d Dissolved	Diflufenican Technical 96.8 % w/w	$\frac{1}{2} EC_{50} = 0.0195 mg a.i./L$	0.00135	0.1	No
Marine Organisms						
Invertebrates		1				
Eastern oyster, Crassostrea virginica	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	$^{1/2}$ IC <sub>50</sub> > 0.021 mg a.i./L	0.00135	<0.1	No
Saltwater mysid, Americamysis bahia	28-d Chronic	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 0.0059 mg a.i./ L	0.00135	0.2	No
Fish	•					
Sheepshead minnow, Cyprinodon variegatus	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	$\frac{1/10 \text{ LC}_{50}}{0.00352 \text{ mg}}$ a.i./L	0.00135	0.4	No
Plants						
Marine diatom, Skeletonema costatum	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> EbC50 = 0.00185 mg a.i./L	0.00135	0.7	No

<sup>1</sup>EEC (Estimated Environmental Concentration) was calculated based on 6% spray drift factor for ground application. <sup>2</sup>RQ = Risk Quotient. The RQ is calculated by dividing the EEC by the effect metric (RQ = EEC/effect metric).

Table 23	<b>Refined risk</b>	to aquatic	organisms	from run-off
I abic 20	Iterinea 115K	to aquatic	or Samonino	nom run on

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Effect metric	EEC <sup>1</sup> (mg a.i./L)	RQ <sup>2</sup>	LOC exceeded
Freshwater Organisms	-	-	-	-	-	-
Invertebrates						
	48-h Acute	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> EC <sub>50</sub> >0.021 mg a.i./L	0.0019	<0.1	No
Daphnia magna	21-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	NOEC =: 0.0222 mg a.i./L	0.00136	0.1	No
Fish						
Rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss	96-h Acute	Diflufenican Technical 98.8 % w/w	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> >0.00328 mg a.i./L	0.0017	<0.5	No
Fathead minnow, Pimephales promelas	96- h Acute	Diflufenican Technical 98.8 % w/w	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> > 0.00398 mg a.i./L	0.0017	<0.4	No

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Effect metric	EEC <sup>1</sup> (mg a.i./L)	RQ <sup>2</sup>	LOC exceeded
	34-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w		0.00136	0.4	No
Amphibians		1				
African clawed frog Xenopus laevis	48-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> = 0.00705 mg a.i./L	0.00325	0.5	No
Fathead minnow, <i>Pimephales promelas</i> <sup>3</sup>	34-d Chronic	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	$NOEC_{growth} = 0.00305 mg$ a.i./L	0.00143	0.5	No
Plants	•					
Green alga, Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	72-h Acute	Diflufenican 98.8 % w/w	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub> > 0.00011 mg a.i./L	0.0019	<17.3	Yes
Green alga, Desmodesmus subspicatus	72-h Acute	SC500 (500 g/L) 43.8 % w/w	$^{1/2}$ E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub> = 0.0009 mg/L	0.0019	2.1	Yes
Duckweed, Lemna gibba	14-d Dissolved	Diflufenican Technical 96.8 % w/w	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> EC <sub>50</sub> = 0.0185 mg a.i./L	0.0017	0.9	No
Marine Organisms						
Invertebrates						
Eastern oyster, Crassostrea virginica	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> IC <sub>50</sub> > 0.021 mg a.i./L	0.0017	<0.1	No
Saltwater mysid, Americamysis bahia	28-d Chronic	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	NOEC = 0.0059 mg a.i./ L	0.00136	0.2	No
Fish	-				_	
Sheepshead minnow, Cyprinodon variegatus	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	1/10 LC <sub>50</sub> = 0.00352 mg a.i./L	0.0017	0.5	No
Plants	-					
Marine diatom, Skeletonema costatum	96-h Acute	Diflufenican 99.2 % w/w	$\frac{1}{2} E_b C_{50} = 0.00185 \text{ mg}}{a.i./L}$	0.0017	0.9	No

\*EECs representing the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of 96-hour concentration (acute assessment) and 21-day concentration (chronic assessment) as predicted by PRZM-EXAMS.

 ${}^{2}RQ = Risk$  Quotient. The RQ is calculated by dividing the EEC by the effect metric (RQ = EEC/effect metric).

TSMP Track 1 Criteria	TSMP Track 1 Criterion value		Active Ingredient Endpoints	Transformation Products Endpoints
CEPA toxic or	Y	es	Yes	Yes
CEPA toxic				
equivalent		-	×7	¥7.
anthropogenic <sup>2</sup>	Y	es	Yes	Yes
Persistence <sup>3</sup> :	Soil	Half-life ≥182 days	Yes t <sub>1/2:</sub> 21.2 – 256 days	No. DFF-acid: 9.1 – 17.2 days DFF-amide: 9.5 – 87.4 days 2,4-DFA: 0.065 – 0.089 days
	Water	Half-life ≥182 days	Not applicable, diflufenican is insoluble	Not available
	Whole system (water + sediment)	Half-life ≥ 365 days	Yes t <sub>1/2:</sub> 126 ->1000 days	Not available
	Air	Half-life ≥ 2 days or evidence of long- range transport	Volatilisation is not an important route of dissipation and long- range atmospheric transport is unlikely to occur based on the vapour pressure (4.25 $\times$ 10 <sup>-6</sup> Pa, at 25°C) and Henry's Law Constant (3.3 $\times$ 10 <sup>-7</sup> atm m <sup>3</sup> /mol, at 20°C).	<ul> <li>Volatilization and long-range atmospheric transport are unlikely to occur for DFF-acid and DFF-amide based on the vapour pressure (3.5 × 10<sup>-4</sup> and 8.9 × 10<sup>-7</sup> Pa, at 20°C respectively) and Henry's Law Constant (6.99 × 10<sup>-9</sup> and 2.81 × 10<sup>-11</sup> atm m<sup>3</sup>/mol, at 20°C, respectively).</li> <li>Volatilization and long-range atmospheric transport are likely to occur for 2,4-DFA based on the vapour pressure (125 Pa, at 25°C) and Henry's Law Constant (9.05 × 10<sup>-6</sup> atm m<sup>3</sup>/mol, at 25°C).</li> </ul>

# Table 24Toxic substances management policy considerations-Comparison to TSMPTrack 1 criteria

TSMP Track 1 Criteria	TSMP Track 1 Criterion value	Active Ingredient Endpoints	Transformation Products Endpoints
Bioaccumulation <sup>4</sup>	$Log K_{OW} \ge 5$	4.2	2.5 (DFF-acid); 1.7
			(DFF-amide); 1.7 (2,4-
			DFA)
	$BCF \ge 5000$	1571 - 1772	Not available
	$BAF \ge 5000$	Not available	Not available
Is the chemical a T	SMP Track 1 substance	No, does not meet	No, does not meet
(all four criteria mu	st be met)?	TSMP Track 1	TSMP Track 1 criteria.
		criteria.	

<sup>1</sup>All pesticides will be considered CEPA-toxic or CEPA toxic equivalent for the purpose of initially assessing a pesticide against the TSMP criteria. Assessment of the CEPA toxicity criteria may be refined if required (i.e., all other TSMP criteria are met).

<sup>2</sup>The policy considers a substance "predominantly anthropogenic" if, based on expert judgement, its concentration in the environment medium is largely due to human activity, rather than to natural sources or releases.

<sup>3</sup> If the pesticide and/or the transformation product(s) meet one persistence criterion identified for one media (soil, water, sediment or air) than the criterion for persistence is considered to be met.

<sup>4</sup>Field data (e.g., BAFs) are preferred over laboratory data (e.g., BCFs) which, in turn, are preferred over chemical properties (e.g., log K<sub>OW</sub>).

#### Table 25A List of supported uses for SC500 Herbicide

Items	Label claims that are supported	
Region	National	
Rates and efficacy	<ul> <li>120 mL/ha: early-season control of redroot pigweed and green pigweed</li> <li>180 mL/ha: early season control of tall waterhemp and palmer amoranth and</li> </ul>	
Claims	season-long control of redroot pigweed and green pigweed	
	> 240 mL/ha: season-long control of tall waterhemp	
	> 360 mL/ha: season-long control of palmer amaranth	
	Only controls non-emerged weeds and emerged green and redroot pigweeds up to	
	5 cm in height.	
Host crops	Field corn, seed corn, and soybean.	
Application	Pre-plant surface or pre-emergence to the crops in all tillage systems	
timing		
Tank mixtures	Pre-emergence in field corn:	
	> Aatrex Liquid 480	
	<ul> <li>XtendiMax with VaporGrip Technology</li> </ul>	
	XtendiMax 2 with VaporGrip Technology	
	Pre-plant surface and pre-emergence in field corn and seed corn:	
	> Converge Flexx	
	Roundup WeatherMax with Transorb 2 Technology	
	Pre-plant surface and pre-emergence in field corn:	
	<ul> <li>Roundup Transorb HC</li> </ul>	
	> R/T 540 Liquid	
	Co-op Vector 540 Liquid	

Items	Label claims that	t are supported
	<ul> <li>Roundup Xten</li> </ul>	d with VaporGrip Technology
	<ul> <li>Roundup Xten</li> </ul>	d 2 with VaporGrip Technology
	Pre-plant surface a	and pre-emergence in soybean:
	➢ Sencor 75 DF	or Sencor 480 F
	<ul> <li>Roundup Wea</li> </ul>	therMax with Transorb 2 Technology
	<ul> <li>Roundup Tran</li> </ul>	sorb HC
	Pre-plant surface a	and pre-emergence in Roundup Ready 2 Xtend soybean:
	<ul> <li>Roundup Xten</li> </ul>	d with VaporGrip Technology
	<ul> <li>Roundup Xten</li> </ul>	d 2 with VaporGrip Technology
	<ul> <li>XtendiMax wi</li> </ul>	th VaporGrip Technology
	XtendiMax 2 v	with VaporGrip Technology
Application	Ground application only in a minimum of 100 L/ha of total spray volume.	
method	Sprayable fluid nitrogen fertilizer may replace all or part of the water as a carrier.	
Rotational crops	Up to 360	Immediate plant back: soybean
	mL/ha	30 days: field corn
		4 months: winter wheat
		Following year: wheat (spring and durum), triticale, barley,
		oat, rye, timothy, red fescue, bromegrass, field pea, processing
		pea, lentil, lupin, clover, potato, and tomato (transplanted)
	Up to 300	Immediate plant back: field corn
	mL/ha	
	Up to 240	The following year: canola and sugar beet
	mL/ha	

## Table 25B List of supported uses for SC600 Herbicide

Items	Label claims that are supported
Region	National
Rates and efficacy	Early-season control:
claims	> 375 mL/ha: ball mustard, carpetweed <sup>†</sup> , cocklebur, common chickweed,
	common ragweed, dandelion (seedling), green pigweed, green smartweed,
	jimsonweed <sup>†</sup> , lady's-thumb, lamb's-quarter, prickly mallow <sup>†</sup> , prostrate pigweed,
	redroot pigweed, Russian thistle, shepherd's purse, stinkweed, tartary buckwheat,
	velvetleaf, volunteer non-triazine tolerant canola, wild buckwheat, wild mustard,
	wild potato vine, and yellow woodsorrel <sup>†</sup> .
	> 450 mL/ha: the above weeds plus tall waterhemp and palmer amaranth.
	> 600 mL/ha: the above weeds plus corn spurry, common groundsel, and
	hempnettle.
	> 750 mL/ha: the above weeds plus henbit and scentless chamomile.
	> 900 mL/ha: the above weeds plus barnyard grass, crabgrass (smooth and
	large), foxtail (giant, green, and yellow), fall panicum, and witchgrass.
	Season-long control:
	> 450 mL/ha: green pigweed, lamb's-quarters, and redroot pigweed.
	> 600 mL/ha: the above listed weeds plus tall waterhemp <sup>†</sup> .
	> 900 mL/ha: the above listed weeds plus palmer amaranth <sup>†</sup> .
	† Non-emerged weeds only.
	Use higher rates within the labelled rate range for more consistent control and

Items	Label claims that	t are supported
	when there are hig	gher weed pressures. Only controls non-emerged weeds at the
	application and en	nerged weeds up to 4 cm in height.
Timing and crop	Pre-plant surface a	and pre-emergence to soybean in all tillage systems
Tank mixtures	Pre-plant surface a	and pre-emergence to soybean:
	<ul> <li>Roundup Wea</li> </ul>	therMax with Transorb 2 Technology
	<ul> <li>Roundup Tran</li> </ul>	sorb HC
	Pre-plant surface	and pre-emergence to Roundup Ready 2 Xtend soybean:
	<ul> <li>Roundup Xten</li> </ul>	d with VaporGrip Technology
	<ul> <li>Roundup Xten</li> </ul>	d 2 with VaporGrip Technology
	<ul> <li>XtendiMax wi</li> </ul>	th VaporGrip Technology
	> XtendiMax 2	with VaporGrip Technology
Application	Ground applicatio	n in 100 – 300 L/ha of total spray volume.
method		
Rotational crops	Up to 900	Immediate plant back: soybean
_	mL/ha	30 days: field corn
		4 months: winter wheat
		Following year: wheat (spring), triticale, barley, oat, timothy,
		field pea, processing pea, lentil, lupin, potato, and tomato
		(transplanted)
	Up to 750	Immediately plant back: field corn
	mL/ha	
	Up to 600	The following year: canola
	mL/ha	

## Table 25C List of supported uses for SC617 Herbicide

Items	Label claims that are supported	
Region	Eastern Canada and British Columbia	
Rates and efficacy claims	<ul> <li>292 mL/ha: early-season control of barnyard grass, large crabgrass, smooth crabgrass, green foxtail, (suppression), witchgrass, lamb's-quarters, common ragweed, dandelion (seedling), eastern black nightshade, plantain (seedling), annual sowthistle, spiny annual sowthistle, velvetleaf, wild mustard, wormseed mustard, redroot pigweed, green pigweed, tall waterhemp, and palmer amaranth.</li> <li>&gt; 438 mL/ha: season-long control of the above weeds except for barnyard grass and green foxtail.</li> <li>&gt; 585 mL/ha: season-long control of the above weeds plus barnyard grass and green foxtail.</li> </ul>	
	longer residual control. Only controls non-emerged weeds at the application and emerged weeds up to 5 cm in height.	
Host crops	Field corn and seed corn	
Timing	Pre-plant surface or pre-emergence to the crop in all tillage systems	
Tank mixtures	A minimum 292 mL/ha SC617 Herbicide + 1.1 L/ha Aatrex Liquid 480: early- season control of weeds listed for SC617 Herbicide plus fall panicum, lady's thumb, proso millet, wild buckwheat, and yellow foxtail.	
	A minimum 438 mL/ha SC617 Herbicide + 1.67 L/ha Aatrex Liquid 480: season- long control of weeds listed for SC617 Herbicide plus lady's thumb, wild	

Items	Label claims that	t are supported	
	buckwheat, and ye	ellow foxtail.	
585 mL/ha SC617 Herbicide + 2.21 L/ha Aatr		Herbicide + 2.21 L/ha Aatrex Liquid 480: season-long control	
	of weeds listed for SC617 Herbicide plus fall panicum, lady's thumb, proso millet,		
	wild buckwheat, y	rellow foxtail, giant ragweed, and Canada fleabane.	
	Pre-plant surface a	and pre-emergence to field and seed corn:	
	<ul> <li>Roundup Wear</li> </ul>	therMax with Transorb 2 Technology	
	Pre-plant surface a	and pre-emergence to field corn:	
	<ul><li>Roundup Tran</li></ul>	sorb HC	
	➢ R/T 540 Liqui	d	
	<ul> <li>Co-op Vector</li> </ul>	540 Liquid	
	<ul> <li>Roundup Xtend with VaporGrip Technology</li> </ul>		
	Roundup Xtend 2 with VaporGrip Technology		
	Pre-emergence to field corn:		
	> Aatrex Liquid 480		
	<ul> <li>XtendiMax with VaporGrip Technology</li> </ul>		
	XtendiMax 2 y	with VaporGrip Technology	
Application	Ground application only in a minimum of 150 L/ha of total spray volume.		
method	Sprayable fluid ni	trogen fertilizer may replace all or part of the water as a carrier	
Rotational crops	Up to 585	Immediate plant back: field corn	
	mL/ha	4 months: winter wheat	
		Following year: wheat (spring), barley, oat, timothy, field pea,	
		processing pea, potato, soybean, and tomato (transplanted)	
	Up to 467	The following year: canola	
	mL/ha		

## Appendix II Supplemental maximum residue limit information— International situation and trade implications

Diflufenican is an active ingredient that is concurrently being registered in Canada and the United States for use on field corn and soybeans. The MRLs proposed for diflufenican in Canada are the same as corresponding tolerances to be promulgated in the United States, except for livestock commodities, for which an exemption from a tolerance exists.

Once established, the American tolerances for diflufenican will be listed in the <u>Electronic Code</u> <u>of Federal Regulations</u>, 40 CFR Part 180, by pesticide.

Currently, there are no Codex MRLs<sup>12</sup> listed for diflufenican in or on any commodity on the Codex Alimentarius <u>Pesticide Index</u> website.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The <u>Codex Alimentarius Commission</u> is an international organization under the auspices of the United Nations that develops international food standards, including MRLs.

## References

## A. List of studies/Information submitted by registrant

## 1.0 Chemistry

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3200936	2021, Tier II summary: Diflufenican TC - Product chemistry evaluation (based on OECD dossier numbering) - Identity, physical and chemical properties, analytical methods - Confidential information, DACO: 2.1, 2.10, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9,8.2.1 CBI
3200937	2020, Diflufenican - Description of the manufacturing process of the technical grade active substance, DACO: 2.11.1, 2.11.2, 2.11.3 CBI
3200938	2020, Diflufenican - Description of the manufacturing process of the technical grade active substance, DACO: 2.11.1, 2.11.2, 2.11.3 CBI
3200939	2020, Diflufenican (AE F088657) - Technical grade active substance - Discussion on the formation of impurities, DACO: 2.11.4 CBI
3200940	2020, Diflufenican Technical grade active substance - Justification of certified limits for USA and Canada, DACO: 2.12.1 CBI
3200941	2000, Technical diflufenican: HPLC determination of active ingredient, DACO: 2.13.1 CBI
3200942	2012, Validation of the HPLC analytical method AM035412FP1 - Determination of by-products in technical grade and pure diflufenican (AE F088657) by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), DACO: 2.13.1 CBI
3200943	2012, Determination of by-products in technical grade and pure diflufenican (AE F088657) by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), DACO: 2.13.1 CBI
3200944	2016, Validation of the GC analytical method AM046116FP1 - Determination of [CBI REMOVED] in technical grade and pure AE F088657 (Diflufenican) by gas chromatography (GC), DACO: 2.13.1 CBI
3200945	2016, Determination of [CBI REMOVED] in technical grade and pure AE F088657 (diflufenican) by gas chromatography (GC), DACO: 2.13.1 CBI
3200946	2015, Material accountability of technical diflufenican (AE F088657), DACO: 2.13.2, 2.13.3 CBI

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3200947	2016, Five batch analysis of technical diflufenican (AE F088657) - Analysis of [CBI REMOVED], DACO: 2.13.2, 2.13.4 CBI
3200948	2015, Diflufenican (AE F088657), technical substance: Physical characteristics colour, physical state and odour, DACO: 2.14.1, 2.14.2, 2.14.3 CBI
3200949	2002, Statement to the dissociation constant - Diflufenican (AE F088657), DACO: 2.14.10 CBI
3200950	2002, Partition coefficient 1-octanol / water (HPLC-method) - Diflufenican - AE F088657 00 1B99 0001, DACO: 2.14.11 CBI
3200951	1998, Diflufenican: NMR, IR, MS and UV-visible spectra, DACO: 2.13.2, 2.14.12 CBI
3200952	2014, Stability to elevated temperature, metals, and metal ions and corrosion characteristics to plastic containers of diflufenican (AE F088657) according to OCSPP 830.6313 and 830.6320, DACO: 2.14.13, 2.14.14 CBI
3200953	2020, Diflufenican (AE F088657), pure substance: Determination of the pH-value in distilled water, DACO: 2.14.15,830.7000 CBI
3200954	1998, Diflufenican: Physical characteristics, DACO: 2.14.4, 2.14.5, 2.14.6 CBI
3200955	2015, Diflufenican (AE F088657), pure substance: Solubility in distilled water (column elution method), DACO: 2.14.7 CBI
3200956	1998, Diflufenican - Water and solvent solubility, DACO: 2.14.7, 2.14.8 CBI
3200957	1992, Determination of the vapour pressure of diflufenican in accordance with USEPA 63-9 and OECD 104/EEC A.4 guidelines, DACO: 2.14.9 CBI
3201068	2019, Amendment no. 01: Independent laboratory validation of analytical method DC-002-S18-01 for the determination of residues of diflufenican and its metabolites AE 0542291 and AE B107137 in soil, DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2
3201069	2018, An analytical method for the determination of residues of diflufenican and its metabolites AE 0542291 and AE B107137 in soil and sediment using LC/MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2
3201070	2019, In house laboratory validation of analytical method for the determination of AE F088657 and its metabolites: AE 0542291 and AE B107137 in soil and sediment by LC/MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2
3201071	2019, An analytical method for the determination of residues of AE F088657 and its metabolites AE 0542291 and AE B107137 in water using LC/MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.3

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3201072	2019, In house laboratory validation of an analytical method for the determination of residues of AE F088657 and its metabolites AE 0542291 and AE B107137 in water using LC/MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.3
3201073	2019, Independent laboratory validation of analytical method DC-004-W19-01 for the determination of residues of AE F088657 and its metabolites AE 0542291 and AE B107137 in water, DACO: 8.2.2.3
3200116	2021, Product chemistry data to support the registration of diflufenican SC500 herbicide (Product identity and composition), DACO: 3.2.1, 3.2.3, 3.3.1 CBI
3200117	2020, Manufacturing procedure - Plant protection product for USA - Diflufenican SC500 (500 g/L) - Brodal, DACO: 3.2.2 CBI
3200118	2005, Determination of diflufenican in formulations HPLC-UV, external standard, DACO: 3.4.1 CBI
3200119	2020, Validation of analytical method AM005305FF2 - Determination of diflufenican in the formulation diflufenican SC500 (500 g/L), DACO: 3.4.1 CBI
3200120	2005, Physical, chemical and technical properties of diflufenican suspension concentrate 500 g/litre - Identification Code: AE F088657 00 SC42 A203, DACO: 3.5.1, 3.5.2, 3.5.3, 3.5.4, 3.5.6, 3.5.7, 3.5.9 CBI
3200121	2005, 2. Amendment - Storage stability at elevated temperature and cold stability of diflufenican SC500 (500 g/L) - Packaging material: HDPE, DACO: 3.5.10, 3.5.14, 3.5.5 CBI
3200122	2005, Safety relevant technical properties of diflufenican suspension concentrate 500 g/litre - Identification code: AE F088657 00 SC42 A203, DACO: 3.5.11, 3.5.12, 3.5.8 CBI
3200123	2020, Waiver summary report for diflufenican SC500, DACO: 3.5.13, 3.5.15, 3.5.8 CBI
3200124	2020, Waiver summary report for diflufenican SC500, DACO: 3.5.13, 3.5.15, 3.5.8 CBI
3201632	2021, Product chemistry data to support the registration of diflufenican SC617 herbicide (Product identity and composition), DACO: 3.2.1, 3.2.3, 3.3.1 CBI
3201633	2020, Manufacturing procedure - Plant protection product for USA - Diflufenican + isoxaflutole [CBI REMOVED] SC617 (257+180+180g/L) - Convintro Xtron, DACO: 3.2.2 CBI
3201634	2019, Determination of diflufenican, isoxaflutole [CBI REMOVED] in formulations - HPLC-UV, external standard, DACO: 3.4.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3201635	2020, Validation of analytical method AM034319MF1 - Determination of diflufenican, isoxaflutole [CBI REMOVED] in the formulation diflufenican + isoxaflutole [CBI REMOVED] SC617 (257+180+180 g/L), DACO: 3.4.1 CBI
3201636	2019, Characterization of the formulation diflufenican + isoxaflutole [CBI REMOVED] SC617 (257+180+180 g/L), DACO: 3.5.1, 3.5.2, 3.5.6, 3.5.7 CBI
3201637	2020, Storage stability at elevated temperature and corrosion characteristics of diflufenican + isoxaflutole [CBI REMOVED] SC617 (257+180+180 g/L) - Packaging material: HDPE - Final report (14 days), DACO: 3.5.10, 3.5.14, 3.5.3, 3.5.4, 3.5.5, 3.5.6, 3.5.7, 3.5.9 CBI
3201638	2020, Safety-relevant data of diflufenican + isoxaflutole [CBI REMOVED] SC617 (257+180+180 g/L), DACO: 3.5.11, 3.5.12, 3.5.8 CBI
3201639	2020, Waiver summary report for diflufenican + isoxaflutole [CBI REMOVED] SC617, DACO: 3.5.13, 3.5.15, 3.5.8 CBI
3201820	2021, Product chemistry data to support the registration of diflufenican SC600 herbicide (Product identity and composition), DACO: 3.2.1, 3.2.3, 3.3.1 CBI
3201821	2020, Manufacturing procedure - Plant protection product for USA - Diflufenican + metribuzin SC600 (200+400 g/L), DACO: 3.2.2 CBI
3201822	2019, Validation of analytical method AM031117MF1 - Determination of diflufenican and metribuzin in the formulation diflufenican + metribuzin SC600 (200+400 g/L), DACO: 3.4.1 CBI
3201823	2017, Determination of diflufenican and metribuzin in formulations - HPLC-UV, external standard, DACO: 3.4.1 CBI
3201824	2018, Storage stability (14 days) at elevated temperature and corrosion characteristics of diflufenican + metribuzin SC600 (200+400 g/L) - Packaging material: HDPE, DACO: 3.5.1, 3.5.10, 3.5.14, 3.5.2, 3.5.3, 3.5.4, 3.5.5, 3.5.6, 3.5.7, 3.5.9 CBI
3201825	2018, Safety-relevant data of diflufenican+metribuzin SC600 (200+400 g/L), DACO: 3.5.11, 3.5.12, 3.5.8 CBI
3201826	2020, Waiver summary report for diflufenican + metribuzin SC600, DACO: 3.5.13, 3.5.15, 3.5.8 CBI

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3200125	2005, AE F088657 00 SC42 A2 - Acute toxicity in the rat after oral administration, DACO: 4.6.1
3200126	2005, AE F088657 00 SC42 A2 - Acute toxicity in the rat after dermal application, DACO: 4.6.2
3200127	2018, AE F088657 SC500: Acute inhalation toxicity in rats, DACO: 4.6.3
3200128	2005, AE F088657 00 SC42 A2 - Acute eye irritation on rabbits, DACO: 4.6.4
3200129	2005, AE F088657 00 SC42 A2 - Acute skin irritation/corrosion on rabbits, DACO: 4.6.5
3200130	2005, AE F088657 00 SC42 A203 - (Diflufenican 500 g/l, new brodal-NPE- free) - Evaluation of potential dermal sensitization in the local lymph node assay in the mouse, DACO: 4.6.6
3200964	2020, BCS-BT38895: Acute oral toxicity - Up-and-down procedure in rats, DACO: 4.2.1
3200965	1992, Diflufenican: Acute oral toxicity (Limit test) in the rat, DACO: 4.2.1
3200967	1985, Herbicides: Diflufenican (M&B 38,544): Metabolite M&B 38,181: Acute toxicity studies in the rat, DACO: 4.2.1,4.2.2
3200968	1988, Diflufenican - Acute toxicity and primary irritation studies, DACO: 4.2.1,4.2.2,4.2.4,4.2.5
3200969	1985, Herbicides: M&B 38,544: Acute toxicity and local tolerance studies in various species, DACO: 4.2.1,4.2.2,4.2.4,4.2.5,4.2.6
3200971	1992, Diflufenican: Acute dermal toxicity (limit test) in the rat, DACO: 4.2.2
3200973	2018, Amendment no. 1: AE F088657: Acute inhalation toxicity in rats, DACO: 4.2.3
3200974	1997, Diflufenican: Magnusson-Kligman maximisation test in guinea pigs, DACO: 4.2.6
3200975	2002, Diflufenican Technical: Repeated dose 90 day oral toxicity study in Wistar rats, DACO: 4.3.1
3200976	1993, Diflufenican: Toxicity study by dietary administration to B6C3F1 mice for 13 weeks, DACO: 4.3.1

### 2.0 Human and Animal Health
PMRA Document Number	Reference
3200977	1984, M&B 38,544: 13 week toxicity study in rats by the dietary route, DACO: 4.3.1
3200978	1985, M&B 38,544: Repeat 13 week dietary toxicity study in rats., DACO: 4.3.1
3200979	1987, M&B 38544: Toxicity study by dietary administration to F-344 rats for 13 weeks followed by 4 and 8 week reversibility periods, DACO: 4.3.1
3200980	1987, M&B38544: Toxicity study by dietary administration to F-344 rats followed by 4 and 8 weeks reversibility periods First supplement to LSR Report 87/MBL/026/708 - Electron microscopy report of selected hepatic tissues from the 13 week sacrifice, DACO: 4.3.1
3200982	1984, Herbicide - M&B 38544: 13-week oral toxicity in dogs, DACO: 4.3.2
3200983	1987, M+B 38544: 52-week toxicity study in oral administration to beagle dogs, DACO: 4.3.2,4.4.5
3200986	2021, BCS-BT38895: Toxicity study by dietary administration to Han Wistar rats for 4 weeks, DACO: 4.3.3
3200989	2001, Diflufenican Technical: 28 day dietary range finding study in Wistar rats, DACO: 4.3.3
3200991	2020, Diflufenican - Waiver request of the data requirements for a repeat dose dermal toxicity study, DACO: 4.3.5
3200993	2021, Diflufenican: Combined chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity (OCSPP 870.4300) studies cover OCSPP data requirement 870.4200: Carcinogenicity, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4
3200995	1987, M&B 38,544: Combined oncogenicity and toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4
3201002	1987, MB38544: Combined oncogenicity and toxicity study in mice, DACO: 4.4.4
3201003	1987, Addendum to M&B198/851080 - Effect of M&B38544 on reproductive function of multiple generations in the rat - Histopathology of the reproductive tract in F0 and F1A adult rats, DACO: 4.5.1
3201004	1985, Effect of M+B 38544 on reproductive function of multiple generations in the rat, DACO: 4.5.1
3201005	2019, Evaluation of the potential reproductive toxicity of diflufenican in males and females, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3201006	2017, Weight of evidence to support utilization of reproductive toxicity and developmental toxicity studies run in accordance with 1982 guidelines, DACO: 4.5.1,4.5.2
3201007	2020, Waiver request of the data requirements for acute, subchronic and developmental neurotoxicity studies - Diflufenican, DACO: 4.5.10,4.5.11,4.5.12,4.5.13,4.5.14
3201008	2020, Waiver request of the data requirements for an immunotoxicity study - Diflufenican, DACO: 4.5.15,870.78
3201009	1984, Effect of M&B38544 on pregnancy of the rat, DACO: 4.5.2
3201010	1984, MB 38544 - Effect of pregnancy of the rabbit, DACO: 4.5.3
3201011	2002, Teratogenicity study in Wistar rats with diflufenican technical, DACO: 4.5.2
3201012	1984, Ames metabolic activation test to assess the potential mutagenic effect of M&B 38,544, DACO: 4.5.4
3201013	2020, BCS-BT38895: Bacterial reverse mutation test, DACO: 4.5.4
3201014	2006, Diflufenican (tested as AE F088657 techn. getrocknet) (Project: Diflufenican) - <i>Salmonella</i> /microsome test - Plate incorporation and preincubation method using <i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium TA 102, DACO: 4.5.4
3201015	2006, Diflufenican (tested as diflufenican TGAS) - <i>Salmonella</i> /microsome test - Plate incorporation and preincubation method, DACO: 4.5.4
3201016	1985, M&B 40,401 (42 083 R.P.) In vitro mutagenic activity on five Ames tester strains of <i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium, using the mammalian-microsomes / plate incorporation assay, DACO: 4.5.4
3201017	2003, MB38181: Reverse mutation assay "Ames test" using <i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium, DACO: 4.5.4
3201019	1984, An assessment of the mutagenic potential of M& B 38,544 using an in vitro mammalian cell test system, DACO: 4.5.5
3201020	2020, BCS-BT38895: In vitro HPRT mutation test using Chinese hamster ovary cells, DACO: 4.5.5
3201021	1990, Diflufenican: Investigation of mutagenic activity at the HGPRT locus in a Chinese hamster V79 cell mutation system, DACO: 4.5.5
3201022	2007, Forward cell mutation assay with diflufenican at the thymidine kinase locus (TK+-) in mouse lymphoma L5178Y cells, DACO: 4.5.5

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3201024	2004, MB38181: Chromosome aberration test in human lymphocytes in vitro, DACO: 4.5.6
3201025	1984, Metaphase analysis of human lymphocytes treated with M & B 38,544, DACO: 4.5.6
3201026	1984, Analysis of metaphase chromosomes obtained from bone marrow of rats treated with M&B 38,544, DACO: 4.5.7
3201027	2014, Diflufenican: Micronucleus assay in bone marrow cells of the mouse, DACO: 4.5.7
3201028	2013, Mammalian micronucleus test of murine peripheral blood cells with 2- (3-trifluoromethyl-phenoxy)-nicotinic acid, DACO: 4.5.7
3201029	1984, Evaluation of M&B 38,544 in the rat primary hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis assay, DACO: 4.5.8
3201030	1994, (14C)-diflufenican: Tissue distribution study in the rat, DACO: 4.5.9
3201031	2001, (2,4-difluorophenyl-U-14C)-diflufenican - Repeat oral low dose A.D.M.E. study in the rat, DACO: 4.5.9
3201032	1984, Diflufenican - Absorption, distribution and elimination in the male and female rat following a single oral dose, DACO: 4.5.9
3201033	1985, Diflufenican - Metabolism in the male and female rat following a single oral dose, DACO: 4.5.9
3201034	1985, Diflufenican-(14C) - Elimination in the bile of rats after a single oral dose, DACO: 4.5.9
3201035	1984, Diflufenican-14C - Absorption in control and pre-treated male and female rats, DACO: 4.5.9
3201036	2001, Diflufenican: Rat A.D.M.E. and kinetics study using (difluorophenyl-U-14C)-DFF and [trifluoromethylphenyl-U-14C]-DFF, DACO: 4.5.9
3201037	2020, Amendment no. 01: BCS-BT38895: Preliminary toxicity study by dietary administration to Han Wistar rats for 2 weeks, DACO: 4.8
3201038	2020, An evaluation of endocrine-associated ToxCast and Tox21 data for diflufenican, DACO: 4.8
3201039	2020, BCS-BT38895: In vitro micronucleus test in human lymphocytes, DACO: 4.8
3201041	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3201042	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201043	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201044	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201045	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201046	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201047	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201048	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201049	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201050	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201051	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201052	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201053	2021, Toxicology profile for diflufenican soybean seed metabolite, BCS- BT38895, DACO: 4.8
3201640	2020, DFF+IFT+CSA SC617: Acute oral toxicity - Up-and-down procedure in rats, DACO: 4.6.1
3201641	2020, Waiver for an acute dermal toxicity study on the mixture formulation diflufenican + isoxaflutole + cyprosulfamide SC617, DACO: 4.6.2
3201642	2020, DFF+IFT+CSA SC617: Acute inhalation toxicity in rats, DACO: 4.6.3
3201643	2020, DFF+IFT+CSA SC617: Primary eye irritation in rabbits, DACO: 4.6.4
3201644	2020, DFF+IFT+CSA SC617: Primary skin irritation in rabbits, DACO: 4.6.5

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3201645	2020, DFF+IFT+CSA SC617: Local lymph node assay (LLNA) in mice, DACO: 4.6.6
3201827	2018, AE F088657 + metribuzin SC600 (200 + 400 g/L): Acute oral toxicity - Up-and-down procedure in rats, DACO: 4.6.1
3201828	2020, Waiver for an acute dermal toxicity study on the mixture formulation AE F088657 + metribuzin SC600, DACO: 4.6.2
3201829	2018, AE F088657 + metribuzin SC600 (200 + 400 g/L): Acute inhalation toxicity in rats, DACO: 4.6.3
3201830	2018, AE F088657 + metribuzin SC600 (200 + 400 g/L): Primary eye irritation in rabbits, DACO: 4.6.4
3201831	2018, AE F088657 + metribuzin SC600 (200 + 400 g/L): Primary skin irritation in rabbits, DACO: 4.6.5
3201832	2018, AE F088657 + metribuzin SC600 (200 + 400 g/L): Local lymph node assay (LLNA) in mice, DACO: 4.6.6
3243511	2021, Waiver request of the data requirement for a 90-day inhalation study - Diflufenican, DACO: 4.3.6
3302089	2021, Historical histopathology data - B6C3F1 mice - long term studies - studies starting between January 1985 and Sep 1997, DACO: 4.4.4
3302092	2021, Regulatory response to the toxicology 90-day technical screen for diflufenican, DACO: 4.8
3200132	2019, Diflufenican: In vitro dermal absorption of diflufenican in the DFF SC500 formulation using human and rat skin, DACO: 5.8 (B)
3200133	2019, Diflufenican: In vivo dermal absorption of diflufenican in the DFF SC500 formulation in the rat, DACO: 5.8 (A)
3201056	2000, The distribution and metabolism of $(^{14}C)$ -diflutenican in the lactating cow. DACO 6.2.
3201057	1989, ( <sup>14</sup> C)-diflufenican - Absorption, tissue retention, metabolism, and excretion in lactating cow. DACO 6.2.
3201058	2000, The distribution and metabolism of $(^{14}C)$ -diflutenican in the laying hen. DACO 6.2.
3201059	2008, Diflufenican - Metabolism in the lactating goat. DACO 6.2.
3201060	2019, The metabolism of [pyridine-2- <sup>14</sup> C] AE F088657 in soybean. DACO 6.3.

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3201061	2019, The metabolism of [difluorophenyl-UL- <sup>14</sup> C] AE F088657 in soybean. DACO 6.3.
3201062	2000, ( <sup>14</sup> C)-Pyridine labelled diflufenican: Metabolism in post emergence treated wheat. DACO 6.3.
3201063	2003, ( <sup>14</sup> C)-Diflufenican: Metabolism, distribution and expression of residues in winter wheat, with 2,4-difluorophenyl-ring-labelled and 3- trifluoromethylphenyl-ring-labelled compound following post-emergence treatment. DACO 6.3.
3201064	2003, Metabolism, distribution and expression of residues in winter wheat, with compound labelled in each of the three rings: following preemergence application ( <sup>14</sup> C)-Diflufenican. DACO 6.3.
3201065	1987, Diflufenican: Metabolites in wheat grain at harvest following preemergence application. DACO 6.3.
3201074 & 3200136	2019, An analytical method for the determination of residues of AE F088657 in animal matrices using LC/MS/MS. DACO 7.2.1/7.2.2/7.2.3.
3200140 & 3201075	2020, Independent laboratory validation of an analytical method for the determination of residues of AE F088657 in animal matrices using LC/MS/MS. DACO 7.2.1/7.2.2/7.2.3.
3200141 & 3201080	2020, Amendment 01 to final report of RADC0107 - Validation of an analytical method DC-005-A19-01 for the determination of residues of AE F088657 in poultry and DC-005-A19-02 for the determination of residues of AE F088657 in beef liver and milk using LC/MS/MS. DACO 7.2.1/7.2.2/7.2.3.
3200134	2010, Analytical method 01143 for the determination of residues of diflufenican and its metabolite MB 38181 and the glycerol conjugates of MB 38181 in/on plant material. DACO 7.2.1/7.2.2/7.2.3.
3200139	2020, Independent laboratory validation of analytical method DC-003-P18-02 for the determination of residues of AE F088657 and its metabolites BCS-BT 38895, AE 0542291 and AE B107137 and the glycerol conjugates of AE B107137 in soybean seed. DACO 7.2.1/7.2.2/7.2.3.
3200138	2020, Extraction efficiency testing of Bayer CropScience residue analytical method DC-003-P18-02 for the determination of residue of AE F088657 and its metabolites AE B107137, AE 0542291 and BCS-BT38895 in soybean seed, forage and hay and storage stability of BCS-BT38895 in soybean seed with metabolism procedure of MEDC0005 using aged radioactive residues. DACO 7.2.1/7.2.2/7.2.3.

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3200137	2019, An analytical method for the determination of residues of AE F088657 and its metabolites BCS-BT 38895, AE 0542291 and AE B107137 and the glycerol conjugates of AE B107137 in/on plant material using LC/MS/MS. DACO 7.2.1/7.2.2/7.2.3.
3200135	2018, An analytical method for the determination of residues of AE F088657 and its metabolites AE 0542291 and AE B107137 and the glycerol conjugates of AE B107137 in/on plant material using LC/MS/MS. DACO 7.2.1/7.2.2/7.2.3.
3200142	2020, Independent laboratory validation (ILV) Study of DC-003-P18-01: An analytical method for the determination of residues of AE F088657 and its metabolites AE 0542291 and AE B107137; and the glycerol conjugates of AE B107137 in/on plant material using LC-MS/MS. DACO 7.2.1/7.2.2/7.2.3.
3200143	2020, Laboratory validation of analytical method DC-006-P19-01 for the determination of residues of AE F088657 and its metabolites BCS-BT 38895, AE 0542291 and AE B107137 in soybean seed and corn grain. DACO 7.2.1/7.2.2/7.2.3.
3200368	2012, Storage stability of diflufenican and its metabolites AE B107137 (MB 38181), BCS-CO 86433 and BCS-CO 86434 in plant matrices for 24 month. DACO 7.3.
3200369	2018, Storage stability of diflufenican and its metabolites AE B107137 (MB 38181), BCS-CO 86433 and BCS-CO 86434 in plant matrices (high oil, high protein and high acid content) for 24 months. DACO 7.3.
3200370	2019, Storage stability of AE 0542291 in/on plant matrices for 24 months. DACO 7.3.
3200371	2020, Storage stability of AE F088657 metabolite BCS-BT38895 in soybean seed and evaluation of the stability of standard solution containing AE F088657 and its metabolites - Second progress report. DACO 7.3.
3200373	2020, Veal, M., AE F088657 SC500 - Magnitude of the residue on soybeans. DACO 7.4.
3200374	2020, Magnitude of the residues of AE F088657 in field corn after application of AE F088657 SC500 (500 g/L) in North America. DACO 7.4.
3200375	2003, [ <sup>14</sup> C]-Diflufenican: Metabolism in crops following planting in soil treated to simulate accumulation. DACO 7.4.3.
3200376	1999, ( <sup>14</sup> C)-diflufenican: A confined rotational crop study. DACO 7.4.3.

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3200377	2003, ( <sup>14</sup> C)-Diflufenican: Confined rotational crop: Characterisation and identification of the residue in wheat straw. DACO 7.4.3.
3200378	2019, Amendment 01 to final report of RADC0044 - AE F088657 - Magnitude of the residue in limited rotational crops - Mustard greens. DACO 7.4.4.
3200379	2019, Amendment 01 to final report of RADC0045 - AE F088657 - Magnitude of the residue in limited rotational crops – Turnip. DACO 7.4.4.
3200380	2019, Amendment 01 to final report of RADC0046 - AE F088657 - Magnitude of the residue in limited rotational crops - Wheat. DACO 7.4.4.
3200381	2010, Determination of the residues of diflufenican in/on the rotational crops sugar beet, carrot and potato after spraying of Diflufenican SC500 in the field in France (north). DACO 7.4.4.
3200382	2012, Determination of the residues of diflufenican in/on the rotational crops sugar beet, carrot and potato after spraying of diflufenican SC500 in the field in Spain. DACO 7.4.4.
3200383	2010, Determination of the residues of diflufenican in/on the rotational crops sugar beet, carrot and potato after spraying of diflufenican SC500 in the field in the Netherlands. DACO 7.4.4.
3200384	2020, AE F088657 - Magnitude of the residue in soybean processed commodities. DACO 7.4.5.
3200385	2020, Magnitude of the residues of AE F088657 in/on corn processed commodities after application of AE F088657 SC500 (500 g/L). DACO 7.4.5.

# 3.0 Environment

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
3200114	2021, Summary of the ecotoxicology studies for diflufenican SC500 (500 g/L),
	DACO: 12.7.9, Document M,Document N
3200115	2021, Summary of the ecotoxicology studies for diflufenican SC500 (500 g/L),
	DACO: 12.7.9, Document M,Document N
3200390	2019, Terrestrial field dissipation of AE F088657 in New York bare ground soil,
	2017, DACO: 8.3.2.2
3200392	2019, Terrestrial field dissipation of AE F088657 in midwest bare ground soil,
	2017, DACO: 8.3.2.2

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
3200393	2019, Terrestrial field dissipation of AE F088657 in Washington bare ground
	soil, 2017, DACO: 8.3.2.2
3200395	2005, Acute toxicity (14 days) of AE F088657 00 SC42 A203 to the earthworm
	<i>Eisenia fetida</i> in artificial soil with 5 percent peat, DACO: 9.2.3.1
3200396	2015, Diflufenican SC500 G: Effects on reproduction and growth of earthworms
	<i>Eisenia fetida</i> in artificial soil, DACO: 9.2.3.1
3200397	2005, Effects of AE F088657 00 SC42 A203 (acute contact and oral) on honey
	bees (Apis mellifera L.) in the laboratory, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2
3200398	2014, Diflufenican SC500A G: Effects on honey bee brood (Apis mellifera L.) -
	Brood feeding test, DACO: 9.2.4.3
3200399	2015, Diflufenican SC500A G - Assessment of effects on the adult honey bee,
	Apis mellifera L., in a 10 days chronic feeding test under laboratory conditions -
	Final report amendment 1, DACO: 9.2.4.4
3200400	2005, Dose-response toxicity (LR <sub>50</sub> ) of AE F088657 00 SC42 A203 to the
	predatory mite <i>Typhlodromus pyri</i> (Scheuten) under laboratory conditions,
	DACO: 9.2.5
3200401	2015, Diflutenican SC500 G: Effects on reproduction of the predatory mite
2200402	Hypoaspis aculeiter in artificial soil with 5% peat, DACO: 9.2.5
3200402	2005, Dose-response toxicity (LR <sub>50</sub> ) of AE F088657 00 SC42 A203 to the
	parasitic wasp Aphidius rhopalosiphi (Destefani-Perez) under laboratory
2200402	conditions, DACO: 9.2.6
3200403	2019, Diffurencian SC500 - Acute toxicity to <i>Daphnia magna</i> in a semi-static
2200405	48-nour immobilisation test, DACO: 9.5.2,9.5.5
3200403	1984, The acute toxicity of M&B 38344 to raindow trout ( <i>Salmo gairaneri</i> ), $DACO(0.5, 2, 1, 0, 5, 4)$
3200406	DACO. $9.5.2.1, 9.5.4$ 2010 Amended final report Diflutenican (AE E088657) SC500 (500 g/L): An
3200400	acute oral toxicity study with the northern boby hite using a sequential testing
	procedure DACO: 9621964
3200408	2005 AF F088657 00 SC42 A203: A 72-hour toxicity test with the freshwater
5200400	$a\log(Desmodesmus subspicatus)$ DACO: 9.8.2.9.8.6
3200409	2005, AE F088657 00 SC42 A203: A 72-hour toxicity test with the freshwater
5200109	alga (Desmodesmus subspicatus) in a water sediment system. DACO: 9.8.2.9.8.6
3200410	2019. Effects on the vegetative vigor of ten species of non-target terrestrial
0200110	plants (Tier 2) - Diflufenican SC500 g/L. DACO: 9.8.4
3200411	2019. Effects on the seedling emergence and growth of ten species of non-target
	terrestrial plants (Tier 2) - Diflufenican SC500 g/L, DACO: 9.8.4
3200930	2021, Summary of the fate, transport and transformation studies for diflufenican
	technical, DACO: 12.7.8, Document M, Document N
3200934	2021, Summary of the ecotoxicology studies for diflufenican TGAI, DACO:
	12.7.9, Document M, Document N
3201085	1986, Diflufenican-14C: Hydrolysis in aqueous conditions at 50 degrees and 70
	degrees Celsius., DACO: 8.2.3.2

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
3201086	1999, (14C)-M&B38181: Aqueous hydrolysis, DACO: 8.2.3.2
3201087	1986, Herbicides: Diflufenican-14C: Photodegradation study on soil, DACO:
	8.2.3.3.1
3201088	2008, Diflufenican soil analysis, DACO: 8.2.3.3.1
3201090	2000, (14C)-M&B 38181: Photodegradation in water, DACO: 8.2.3.3.2
3201091	2002, (14C)-Diflufenican: Aqueous photolysis and quantum yield at pH7,
	DACO: 8.2.3.3.2
3201092	2000, (2-pyridine-14C)-diflufenican: Route of aerobic degradation in one soil
	type at 20C, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2
3201093	2000, (14C)-Diflufenican: route of degradation in one soil (using (14C)-2,4-
	difluorophenyl ring-labelled and (14C)-3-trifluoromethylphenyl ring-labelled
	diflufenican) Code: AE F088657, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2
3201094	2001, Rate of degradation in three soils at 20 degrees C and one at 10 degrees C
2201005	(14C)-Diflutenican, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2
3201095	2002, Rate of degradation of (pyridyl-2-14C)-AE 06502/4 in three European
	solis at 20 degrees C under laboratory conditions Code: AE $00502/4$ (- AE $107127 - M&P 22181$ ) DACO: 8.2.2.4.2
3201096	D10/157 - M&D 50101, DACO. 0.2.3.4.2 2002 Pate of degradation of (nyridyl 2 14C) AE 0542201 in three European
5201070	soils at 20 degrees C under laboratory conditions Code: AF 0542291 (= M&B
	43625), DACO: 8.2.3.4.2
3201097	2016. [phenyl-UL-14Cl2.4-difluoroaniline: Aerobic degradation / metabolism in
0_01037	four soils - Report amendment no. 1-, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2
3201098	2019, [Pyridine-2-14C]AE F088657: Aerobic soil metabolism on two US soils,
	DACO: 8.2.3.4.2
3201099	2019, [Difluorophenyl-UL-14C]AE F088657: Aerobic soil metabolism on two
	US soils, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2
3201101	2000, (14C)-diflufenican anaerobic soil degradation, DACO: 8.2.3.4.4
3201102	1999, (14C)-M&B 38181 - Anaerobic soil degredation, DACO: 8.2.3.4.4
3201103	1996, Degradation and metabolism of diflufenican in water / sediment systems,
	DACO: 8.2.3.5.4
3201104	2003, Diflufenican: Degradability and fate in the water/sediment system, DACO:
	8.2.3.5.4
3201105	2018, [Difluorophenyl-UL-14C]AE F088657: Aerobic aquatic metabolism in
	two US water/sediment systems, DACO: 8.2.3.5.4
3201106	2019, [Pyridine-2-14C] diffutencian: Aerobic aquatic metabolism in two US
2201107	water/sediment systems, DACO: 8.2.3.5.4
3201107	2018, [Diffuorophenyi-UL-14U]AE F08865/: Anaerobic aquatic metabolism in
2201109	a water/sediment system, DACU: 6.2.5.5.0
3201108	2010, [r ynume-2-14U]AE FU8803 /: Anaerobic aquatic metabolism in two
2201100	2000 (14C) M& D28181 adsorption to and from four soils DACO, 82.4.2
3201109	2000, (14C)-Wi&D50101 ausorption to and from four solls, DACO: 8.2.4.2

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
3201110	2002, [14C]-M&B 43625: Adsorption / Desorption on Soil, DACO: 8.2.4.2
3201111	2006, [14C]-Diflufenican: Adsorption to and desorption from six soils, DACO:
	8.2.4.2
3201112	2016, [phenyl-UL-14C]2,4-difluoroaniline: Adsorption / desorption in four soils
	- Report amendment no. 1-, DACO: 8.2.4.2
3201147	2010, Diflufenican a.s.: Influence on the reproduction of the Collembolan
	species Folsomia candida tested in artificial soil, DACO: 9.2.7
3201148	1987, Diflufenican - The acute toxicity of diflufenican soil metabolite no.2 MB
	43625 to Daphnia magna, DACO: 9.3.2
3201149	2007, Diflufenican Technical - Acute toxicity to Daphnia magna, DACO: 9.3.2
3201150	2007, TFMP-NA (acid) - Acute toxicity to Daphnia magna, DACO: 9.3.2
3201151	2015, Acute toxicity of AE C522392 (BCS-BC35087) to the waterflea Daphnia
	magna in a static laboratory test system, DACO: 9.3.2
3201153	2000, Diflufenican - The chronic toxicity to Daphnia magna under static-
	renewal conditions diflufenican, DACO: 9.3.3
3201154	2007, Diflufenican Technical - Prolonged toxicity to Daphnia magna, DACO:
	9.3.3
3201155	2008, TFMP-NA (acid) - Toxicity to the sediment-dwelling phase of the midge
	Chironomus riparius, DACO: 9.3.4
3201156	2018, Diflufenican: A life cycle toxicity test with the freshwater amphipod
	( <i>Hyalella azteca</i> ) using spiked sediment, DACO: 9.3.4
3201160	2019, Diflufenican: A life cycle toxicity test with the midge ( <i>Chironomus</i>
	<i>dilutus</i> ) using spiked sediment, DACO: 9.3.4
3201163	2018, Diflutenican: A 96-hour shell deposition test with the eastern oyster
	(Crassostrea virginica), DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.4
3201165	2018, Diflutenican: A 96-hour static-renewal acute toxicity test with the
2201166	saltwater mysid ( <i>Americamysis bahia</i> ), DACO: 9.4.3
3201166	2019, Diflutenican: A life cycle toxicity test with the marine amphipod
	( <i>Leptocheirus plumulosus</i> ) using spiked sediment - Amended final report,
2201167	DACO: 9.4.5
3201167	2019, Diffurencian: A flow-through life-cycle toxicity test with the saltwater
2201170	Inysia (Americanysis bania), DACO: 9.4.5
5201170	0.5.2.1
3201171	2007 TEMP-NA (acid) - Acute toxicity to fish DACO: 9.5.2.1
3201171	2007, 11 m - M (acid) - Medic toxicity to fish, DACO. 7.3.2.1 2015 BCS_AI56853 (AF 05/2201) - Acute toxicity to fish (Oncorbynahus
5201172	2013, BCS-AI30033 (AE 03-2231) - Acute toxicity to fish ( <i>Oncornynchus</i> mykiss) under static conditions DACO: $0.5.2.1$
3201174	2007 Diflutenican Technical - Acute toxicity to fish DACO: 9.5.2.3
2201174	2007, TEMP NA (acid) A cute toxicity to fish, DACO: 0.5.2.2
3201173	2007, TENTE-INA (acid) - Acute toxicity to HSII, DACU: 9.5.2.5 2015, AE (522202) (DCS DC25097); A, $(4, 4, 5)$ ( $4, 5$ ) ( $D$ ) $(1, 4)$
3201176	2015, AE C522392 (BCS-BC3508/): Acute toxicity to fish ( <i>Pimephales</i>
	<i>prometas</i> ) under semi-static laboratory test under closed-vessel conditions,

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
	DACO: 9.5.2.3
3201178	2017, Diflufenican: A 96-hour flow-through acute toxicity test with the
	sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus), DACO: 9.5.2.4
3201180	1998, Diflufenican - Early life-stage toxicity test with fathead minnow
	(Pimephales promelas), DACO: 9.5.3.1
3201181	2007, Diflufenican Technical - Fish early life stage toxicity test for fathead
	minnow, DACO: 9.5.3.1
3201182	2018, Diflufenican: An early life-stage toxicity test with the sheepshead minnow
	(Cyprinodon variegatus), DACO: 9.5.3.1
3201184	1997, Diflufenican - Fish, juvenile growth test (28 days) under flow-through
2201105	conditions, DACO: 9.5.3.1
3201185	1998, (14C)-diffutencian - Bioaccumulation and metabolism in rainbow trout,
2201107	DACU: 9.5.6
3201187	1984, Acute oral toxicity study with M&B 38,544 technical in bobwnite quail,
2201100	DACU: 9.6.2.1
3201188	1984, The acute oral toxicity (LD50) of M&B38344 to the mailard duck, DACO:
2201180	2020 Diflutonicon: A conomy (Sering canaria) dictory toxicity study in lieu of
5201169	the oral toxicity study due to regurgitation $DACO: 96.2.3$
3201190	2013 Toxicity of diflutenican technical during an acute dietary LC50 with the
5201170	Northern bobwhite quail ( <i>Colinus virginianus</i> ), DACO: 9.6.2.4
3201191	2017. Mallard duck ( <i>Anas platvrhynchos</i> ) dietary toxicity test (LC50) with
	diflufenican, DACO: 9.6.2.5
3201193	2019, Diflufenican: A dietary LC50 study with the canary, DACO: 9.6.2.6
3201195	1992, Diflufenican - Reproduction in the bobwhite quail, DACO: 9.6.3.1
3201197	2019, Diflufenican: Reproductive toxicity test with the mallard (Anas
	platyrhynchos), DACO: 9.6.3.2
3201200	2020, Diflufenican: A reproduction study with the mallard, DACO: 9.6.3.2
3201202	2001, MB 43625: Algal inhibition test, DACO: 9.8.2
3201203	2002, A 72-hour toxicity test with the freshwater alga (Selenastrum
	<i>capricornutum</i> ) Code: AE C522392 (MB40401), DACO: 9.8.2
3201204	2019, Diflufenican: A 96-hour toxicity test with the freshwater alga
	(Raphidocelis subcapitata), DACO: 9.8.2
3201206	2019, Diflufenican: A 96-hour toxicity test with the freshwater diatom (Navicula
	pelliculosa), DACO: 9.8.2
3201208	2019, Diflufenican: A 96-hour toxicity test with the cyanobacteria (Anabaena
	flos-aquae), DACO: 9.8.2
3201211	1997, Diflufenican Technical - Toxicity to the freshwater diatom, Navicula
	pelliculosa, DACO: 9.8.2
3201212	1998, Diflutenican - Toxicity to the freshwater blue-green alga, Anabaena flos-
	aquae, DACO: 9.8.2

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
3201213	1998, Diflufenican - Toxicity to the freshwater blue-green alga, <i>Microcystis</i>
	aeruginosa, DACO: 9.8.2
3201214	2007, Diflufenican Technical - Algal growth inhibition assay, DACO: 9.8.2
3201215	2007, Diflufenican Technical - Algal growth inhibition assay Anabaena Sp.,
	DACO: 9.8.2
3201216	2007, Diflufenican Technical - Algal growth inhibition assay Navicula
	pelliculosa, DACO: 9.8.2
3201217	2007, TFMP-NA (acid) - Algal growth inhibition assay, DACO: 9.8.2
3201218	1997, Diflufenican - Freshwater algal growth inhibition study (72 hours)
	(Scenedesmus subspicatus), DACO: 9.8.2
3201219	1997, Diflufenican - Freshwater algal growth inhibition study (72 hours)
	Selenastrum capricornutum, DACO: 9.8.2
3201220	1998, Diflufenican - Freshwater algal growth inhibition study and recovery
	phase (Scenedesmus subspicatus), DACO: 9.8.2
3201221	1998, Diflufenican - Freshwater algal growth inhibition study in a sediment
	water system ( <i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i> ), DACO: 9.8.2
3201222	2019, Diflufenican: A 96-hour toxicity test with the marine diatom ( <i>Skeletonema</i>
	costatum), DACO: 9.8.3
3201224	1998, Diflutenican - Toxicity to the duckweed, <i>Lemna gibba</i> , DACO: 9.8.5
3201225	2007, Diflufenican Technical - Higher plant ( <i>Lemna minor</i> ) growth inhibition
	test, DACO: 9.8.5
3201226	2015, <i>Lemna gibba</i> G3 - Growth inhibition test with AE 0542291 (BCS-
	A156853) under static conditions, DACO: 9.8.5
3201227	2015, Lemna gibba G3 - Growth inhibition test with AE B107137 (BCS-
2201220	AB2/392) under static conditions, DACO: 9.8.5
3201228	2015, Lemna gibba G3 - Growth inhibition test with AE C522392 (BCS-
2201220	BC35087) under semi-static conditions, DACO: 9.8.5
3201229	2016, Amendment no. 1 - Toxicity of diffutencian (AE F088657) to the aquatic
	plant Myriophyllum spicatum in a static growth inhibition test - Final report -,
2201222	DACO: 9.8.5
3201232	(Venerus laguis) under static conditions, DACO: 0.0
2201224	2021 Environmental fate and ecological risk assessment for diflutenican (AE
5201254	2021, Environmental fate and ecological fisk assessment for unification (AE E088657) DACO: 8.6.9.9
3201833	2010 Diflutenican + metribuzin SC600 (200 + $400 \text{ g/L}$ ): Effects on the
5201855	vegetative vigor of ten non-target terrestrial plant species (Tier II) DACO: 9.8.4
3201836	2019 Diflutenican + metribuzin SC600 (200 + 400 g/L): Effects on the seedling
5201050	emergence and growth of ten non-target terrestrial plant species (Tier II)
	DACO: 9.8.4
3202661	2015. Diflufenican, technical: Honey Bee ( <i>Anis mellifera</i> L.) Larval Toxicity
2202001	Test. Single Exposure. DACO: 9.2.4.3
L	, <u>0</u>

PMRA	Reference
Document	
Number	
3202662	2015, Diflufenican, technical: Chronic Oral Toxicity Test on the Honey Bee
	(Apis mellifera L.) in the Laboratory, DACO: 9.2.4.4
3214437	2021, Rationale to request a waiver for a bluegill sunfish acute toxicity test with
	Diflufenican (AE F088657), DACO: 9.5.2.2
3360897	2007, Amendment 1 to the study report - Calculation of minimum detectable
	differences for the study - Outdoor aquatic mesocosm study with diflufenican
	SC500(500 g a.s./L), DACO: 9.8.7

#### 4.0 Value

PMRA Document Number	Reference
3200107	2021, Value assessment of diflufenican (DFF) and co-formulations for corn and soybeans, DACO: 10, 10.1, 10.2.1, 10.2.2, 10.2.3, 10.2.3.1, 10.2.4, 10.3, 10.3.1, 10.3.3, 10.4, 10.5, 10.5.1, 10.5.2, 10.5.3, 10.5.4, and 10.5.5.
3200108	2021, Value assessment of diflufenican (DFF) and co-formulations for corn and soybeans – Compilation of trial reports, DACO: 10.2.3, 10.2.3.3(B), 10.3.2, 10.3.2(A), and 10.3.3.

# **B.** Additional information considered

## i) Published information

### 1.0 Human and animal health

PMRA Document Number	Reference
1819485	1989, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Para-Chloroaniline Hydrochlorine in F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Gavage Studies). National Toxicology Program Technical Report Series No. 351. DACO: 12.5.4