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## Executive Summary

The Food Safety Action Plan (FSAP) aims to modernize and enhance Canada's food safety system. As part of the FSAP enhanced surveillance initiative, targeted surveys are used to test various foods for specific hazards.

The main objectives of the fruit juice concentrate survey were:

- To provide baseline surveillance data for pesticide residues and metals in fruit juice concentrates
- To obtain a snapshot of the increasingly-consumed imported fruit juice concentrates where pest control measures are not under the authority of the Canadian Government

There were 186 fruit juice concentrate samples collected and analyzed in the targeted survey. The samples included 22 different types of fruit juice concentrates from 23 countries. The top import countries of fruit juice concentrates were targeted, which include the United States, Brazil and Argentina. The samples were analyzed for pesticide residues and metals using multi-residue and multi-metal methods. In total, 186 multi-residue tests ( 55800 pesticide analyses) and 186 multi-metal tests ( 3348 metal analyses) were conducted on the 186 samples.

The pesticide multi-residue method can detect about 300 individual carbamate, organochlorine and organophosphate compounds. The multi-metal method can detect 18 metal elements, including aluminum, arsenic, antimony, beryllium, boron, cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, manganese, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, lead, selenium, tin, titanium and zinc.

Of the 186 samples tested, 146 ( $78.49 \%$ ) contained no detectable pesticide residues. The remaining 40 samples had detectable levels of pesticide residues, of which 14 had more than one detectable pesticide residue. All survey samples with detected pesticide residues were in compliance with paragraph 4(d) of the Food and Drugs Act, specifically, the juice concentrate products are not adulterated. All detected pesticide residues were in compliance with existing Canadian Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs).

All 186 samples were tested for metals. Many of the metals included in the analysis occur naturally in fruit juice concentrates and are essential nutrients for humans. Increased levels of metals (i.e. arsenic, lead) may occur in fruit juice concentrates as a result of 1) pesticide applications (when applied directly (copper) or when included as a component of a pesticide formulation), 2) environmental contamination and 3) food processing/packaging. Although higher than expected levels of manganese were found in some pineapple juice concentrate samples, these levels and all other levels of the detected metals in fruit juice concentrates did not pose a human health risk when consumed as single-strength products.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Food Safety Action Plan

## Objective

The Food Safety Action Plan (FSAP) aims to modernize and enhance Canada's food safety system. The FSAP includes multiple partners and processes that work collectively towards providing safe foods for Canadians.

The Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) has been given the lead in the area of enhanced surveillance, an important initiative of the FSAP. The CFIA works on this initiative with input from 1) Federal partners, including Agriculture Canada and Health Canada, 2) Provincial and Territorial (P/T) representatives and 3) industry and other nongovernment organizations (NGOs).

As part of the FSAP enhanced surveillance initiative, targeted surveys are used to test various foods for specific hazards. Targeted surveys are a complementary approach to the Agency's regular monitoring activities and will allow the Agency to ask specific questions regarding the level and presence of various chemical and microbiological hazards in targeted foods.

### 1.2 Targeted Surveys

Targeted surveys can be considered special or pilot surveys that are used to gather preliminary information about the occurrence of chemical residues and metals in food. They are designed to answer a specific question. Therefore the testing activity is targeted to a sample population (such as commodity types and/or geographical areas). Due to the large number of chemicals and food types that exist in the world today, it is not possible to use targeted surveys to identify and quantify all chemical hazards in foods. The CFIA uses a prioritization approach to identify food-hazard combinations of greatest potential health risk. Risk prioritization is performed by 1) consulting the results of a risk-based model, 2) consulting the scientific opinion of Federal, Provincial and Territorial (F/P/T) partners and non-government organizations (NGOs), and 3) using existing survey/monitoring data.

The risk-based model was developed by a multi-disciplinary Food Safety Science Committee (FSSC). Publicly available hazard and food exposure information is entered into a model that generates a relative risk score. The hazards are further evaluated by FSSC members and a consensus is reached on their overall priorities.

The current targeted survey reports on the level of pesticides and heavy metals in fruit juice concentrates. Fruit juice concentrates are widely consumed in Canada. Children consume more fruit juice (per kilogram body weight) than any other age group in Canada. For example, in Canada, 1-3 year old children consume 165-192 grams of fruit juice per
day ${ }^{1}$ compared to 19 year old adults who consume 136-176 grams of fruit juice per day ${ }^{2}$. Given the difference in body weight between these two age groups, it is evident that children consume more fruit juice per kg body weight.

### 1.3 Fruit Juice \& Fruit Juice Concentrate

### 1.3.1 Definition of Fruit Juice and Fruit Juice Concentrate

Under the Food and Drug Regulations, fruit juice is defined as the 'the unfermented liquid expressed from sound ripe fresh fruit, and includes any such liquid that is heat treated and chilled'. Fruit juice concentrate 'shall be fruit juice that is concentrated to at least one half of its original volume by the removal of water ${ }^{3}$.

### 1.3.2 Canadian Consumption

Fruit juice (derived from either freshly pressed fruit or diluted from concentrate) is consumed by nearly all age groups in Canada. It is becoming more prevalent on the Canadian market, with per capita consumption up $15 \%$ since 1981, from 23.26 L/year in 1981 to 26.77 L/year in $2007^{4}$. Canadians are consuming more exotic fruit juices such as passion fruit. There has also been an increased consumption of lemon and pineapple juices. Pineapple juice reached a new record in 2007 of $0.9 \mathrm{~L} /$ person. Among all juices, orange juice remains Canada's juice of choice, at $11.8 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{person}$ in 2007 followed by apple juice at $6.0 \mathrm{~L} /$ person ${ }^{4}$. In 2008, other commonly consumed fruit juices in Canada include grape juice ( 3.99 L /person) and grapefruit juice $(0.47 \mathrm{~L} / \text { person })^{5}$.

### 1.3.3 Juice Concentrate Processing

## Starting Materials

Fruit used for juicing must be sound and free from major damage or contamination that can promote bacterial growth and/or mould/mildew. The fruit juice industry generally utilizes misshapen, skin-blemished and poorly coloured fruit unsuitable for the fresh, frozen or canned fruit market. Fruits for juice have been selected over time or have been bred specifically for juice production. More recently, a wider range of varieties are being utilized as bitterness and other unwanted characteristics can be removed by processing. More 'blends' are now appearing on the market and are perceived as having better flavour over single varietal juice ${ }^{6}$.

## Brix: A Measure of Sugar Strength

Fruit juice concentrates are normally sold with a measure of degrees Brix $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Bx}\right) .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Bx}$ is a measurement of the dissolved sugar-to-water mass ratio of a liquid. It is measured with a saccharimeter that measures specific gravity of a liquid or more easily with a refractometer. A $45^{\circ} \mathrm{Bx}$ solution is $45 \%$ (sugar/water), with 45 grams of sugar per 100 grams of sugar-water solution. A $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Bx}$ solution is equivalent to 10.027 lbs solid/gallon ${ }^{7}$. In general, juice companies will manufacture single-strength juice products
based on lbs solids/gallon, most within the range of 0.866 lbs solid/gallon and 1.803 lbs solid/gallon $\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{Bx} \text { and } 20^{\circ} \mathrm{Bx}\right)^{8}$.

## Types of Processing

Fruit juice processing can be broken down into two different processing technologies. The first is soft fruit processing, and includes pome fruits (apples, pears), berries, grapes and stone fruit. The other is citrus fruit processing and it involves all citrus fruits (orange, grapefruit, lemon, lime, etc.). More information can be found in Appendix A.

### 1.4 Potential Hazards in Fruit Juice Concentrates

There are several types of hazards that can exist in fruit juice concentrates. These include physical, microbiological and chemical hazards.

Much of the fruit used for the fruit juice concentrate industry is grown in fields, either close to the ground or in trees. Therefore, extraneous matter, such as sand, grit and debris from the wind can be present on fruit. The process flow charts, presented in Appendix A for the various types for fruit juice concentrates, demonstrate that physical hazards (extraneous matter) are minimized in the production process as multiple levels of washing and, in some cases, inspection will occur.

Microbiological hazards can also exist in fruit. Blemishes and cuts that occur on the surface of fruits as a result of a physical injury is an ideal location for bacterial growth, insects, mould and mildew. Mould can also form during transportation and storage. There are multiple processing steps that can aid in reducing microbiological hazards from fruit when producing fruit juice concentrates. Washing will physically remove surface hazards whereas heating (or high pressure) steps can destroy moulds and bacteria that may have contaminated the inside fruit matrix.

Chemical hazards that originate from fruits in fruit juice concentrates may consist of pesticides, mycotoxins and environmental contaminants (that may include toxic metal species). Others can be introduced during processing and include chemical preservatives and metals from food additives. The deliberate addition of simple sugars or fortification can be considered adulteration of the product if the practice is not declared. However, this practice does not generally represent a health hazard.

Chemical preservatives are on occasion added to fruit juice concentrates and juices, including sodium and potassium salts of sorbic, benzoic and sulphurous acids as well as dimethyl dicarbonate. Sulphur dioxide is typically added to lime and lemon juice concentrates in Europe.

Mycotoxins are a natural toxin produced by fungi and can be very toxic. For example, patulin appears in apples when fungi causes rot. Although the cumulative effects of patulin exposure are not adequately understood, it is believed to be genotoxic. The CFIA

National Chemical Residue Monitoring Program (NCRMP) has historically found patulin in many apple-based products, including apple juice. The CFIA monitors for patulin in apple-products on an annual basis.

Pesticides are an important tool in crop management practices and are widely used all over the world. Although pesticides are deliberately added to enhance growth conditions for the fruit used in fruit juice concentrate processing, inappropriate uses of these chemical compounds may pose a health hazard. Pesticides are to be applied according to label instructions as the pesticide 1) may only be effective when applied at the appropriate rate and time and 2) may require sufficient time for residues to deplete to an acceptable level before it is harvested and consumed. More information on pesticide residues in fruit juice concentrates can be found in Section 1.5.

Metals can be used as tools in crop management practices. Metals can also originate from the environment, and through the use of food additives. Unlike synthetic pesticides, metals can be ubiquitous in nature at low levels and can be essential components of living organisms. High levels of certain metals can represent a health hazard. More information on metals in fruit juice concentrates can be found in Section 1.6.

This report will focus on pesticide residues and metals in fruit juice concentrates.

### 1.5 Pesticides

All fruits are susceptible to pests, including fungi, insects and worms. There are many known pest management programs used to control pests in fruit crops in a variety of countries.

Many of the fruit juices consumed by Canadians and tested in this survey are from tropical fruits. As these crops do not grow in Canada the pest management tools and techniques used in foreign countries are not under the control of the Canadian Government. The resulting residues from these pesticide practices must meet established Canadian Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) to be legally sold in Canada.

It is important to note that much of the fruit utilized in the production of fruit juice concentrates is grown specifically for this purpose and pesticides used for aesthetic purposes are normally not necessary. Therefore, as fewer pesticides are used on fruit, the fruit juice would have less pesticide residues.

The CFIA is responsible for enforcing the MRLs established by Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA). All new Canadian pesticide MRLs are established under the Pest Control Products Act (PCPA). Although many pesticides used in foreign countries have no applicable uses in Canada, the PMRA may establish import MRLs for regulatory purposes. When no pesticide residue guidelines exist, the general MRL of 0.1 part per million (ppm) applies.

Health Canada must determine if the consumption of the maximum amount of residues (residues expected to remain on food products when a pesticide is applied according to label directions) will not be a concern to human health. This maximum amount of pesticide residues expected is then legally established as a maximum residue limit. Health Canada sets science-based MRLs to ensure that the food Canadians consume is safe. The MRLs are set at levels well below the amount that could pose a health concern. In general, an MRL applies to a raw agricultural food commodity as well as to any processed food product (i.e., fruit juice concentrate) that contains it ${ }^{9}$.

### 1.6 Metals

Metals are essential components for plant life. Unlike organic chemicals, metals are neither created nor destroyed by biological or chemical processes. Metals such as chromium, copper, iron, manganese, selenium and zinc are essential minerals required for good health in humans. While inadequate amounts of an essential mineral in the diet can be detrimental to health, high levels of certain metals may result in toxic effects. Metals of particular concern to human health include arsenic, cadmium, lead and mercury.

Ongoing lead exposure can lead to anaemia, kidney toxicity and may result in damage to the central nervous system and brain. Young children and the developing foetus are most susceptible to lead toxicity. Health effects of mercury exposure will vary depending on the chemical form. Elemental mercury, when inhaled, can cause damage to the respiratory tract, mouth and lungs. Inorganic mercury may cause gastrointestinal and kidney damage. Ongoing exposures to organic mercury compounds, such as methyl mercury, can be detrimental to a child's developing brain and sensory changes are observed in both children and adults. Arsenic is considered a human cancer-causing agent. Ongoing exposure can lead to cardiovascular and circulatory effects ${ }^{10}$. Cadmium exposure (namely inorganic cadmium) can produce health effects on the kidney, stomach and bones. Cadmium may also play a role in human carcinogenesis ${ }^{11}$.

In biological systems, metals can be transformed from one ionic species to another; however, harsh conditions are usually required to convert metals between inorganic and organic forms. As discussed, toxic metals, such as mercury, cadmium and arsenic, exist in a number of physico-chemical forms, some of which are highly toxic to human health while others are less toxic to biological processes. The toxicity, bioavailability, bioactivity, transport and impact of the element in the body are determined by the particular element species present in food ${ }^{12}$. Currently, the CFIA has analytical capabilities limited to the determination of total metal species. However, as new research begins to unveil the effects from toxic species of metal elements, more robust and sensitive methods are needed to determine (both qualitatively and quantitatively) metal speciation in food samples.

Fruit juice concentrates may contain metals originating from a variety of sources. Metals can be deliberately added to fruit crops as components of pesticide formulations or as a pesticide itself (i.e., copper). These agricultural chemicals are regulated and monitored in the same way as pesticides. Metals can also be present in fruit juice concentrates as a result of processing or from the addition of food additives. For example, food colours
can contain metal species such as aluminum, arsenic, iron, lead, silver and titanium. Processing equipment can also be a source of metals. Tin may leach into fruit juice concentrates that are stored in plated cans (i.e., pineapple juice).

Metals in fruit juice concentrates can result from environmental contamination. The fruit can become contaminated with toxic metals from fertilizers (i.e., cadmium) or from water and soil sources (such as arsenic, cadmium, lead, mercury, etc). Many of these toxic metal species can result from industrial waste and persist in the environment. As a result of these possible metal sources, the presence of metal analytes in fruit juice concentrates is not unexpected.

### 1.7 Targeted Survey Objective

CFIA's regular monitoring program for chemical residues in foods is the National Chemical Residue Monitoring Program. This program tests for multiple hazards in various commodities including a limited scope of fruit juices and fruit juice concentrates. The fruit juices and concentrates that fall under the NCRMP include frozen concentrated orange juice, apple and grape juice concentrates (and grape juice from concentrate) and nectars (prune, peach, pear and apricot)).

Since many fruit juice concentrates types are not regularly monitored under the NCRMP, there was a need to collect baseline surveillance data for both pesticide residues and metal levels in fruit juice concentrates. Furthermore, most of Canada's fruit juice concentrates are imported, and consumption data indicate that more Canadians are consuming imported products derived from fruit juice concentrates than ever before. Therefore, there is a need to survey all types of imported fruit juice concentrates. The types of fruit juice concentrate selected for the survey were derived from import statistics from the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA), consumption statistics (Statistics Canada) and through collaboration with the Processed Products section of the CFIA's Agrifood Division.

## 2 Survey Samples \& Analytical Methods

### 2.1 Targeted Survey Sample Overview

A full description of all juice concentrate samples, including juice type, sample number, origin and sample description can be found in Appendix B.

There were a total of 186 samples collected for the fruit juice concentrate survey from 23 countries. Most of the samples were picked up by CFIA inspectors at importer warehouses and distributors. Generally, the sample consisted of a small amount of liquid from larger holding tanks of concentrated fruit juice.

The distribution of samples with respect to country of origin is depicted in Figure 2-1. The samples were distributed to exporting countries according to import statistics available from the CBSA and consumption statistics from Statistics Canada. The countries chosen for sampling represent $94 \%$ of Canada's imported fruit juice concentrates; the United States accounts for $65 \%$. As the United States is the most important exporter of fruit juices to Canada, most of the survey samples were products from the United States, as indicated by the label. It is not possible to determine if in fact all of the juice concentrate ingredients were grown in the United States. This uncertainty exists for all samples collected in the survey.


Figure 2-1 Distribution of Samples by Country of Origin

There were a total of 22 different fruit concentrate types collected. More emphasis was put on orange and grape juice concentrates as they are the highest imported fruit juice concentrates in Canada. The number of samples for each fruit juice concentrate type was determined based on import statistics from the CBSA and input from the staff of the Processed Products section of the CFIA. Figure 2-2 is a graphical depiction of sample distribution by concentrate type. The term 'citrus' refers to lime and tangerine. The term 'other' refers to all concentrates from other single fruits.


Figure 2-2 Distribution of Samples by Juice Concentrate Types

Although Figure 2-2 illustrates that the 'mixtures' concentrates were under-sampled, the major components of fruit juice concentrates, namely grape, orange, 'other' and pineapple concentrates were either sampled according to the import statistics or were over-sampled. A breakdown of these 'other' fruit concentrate types is illustrated in Figure 2-3.


Figure 2-3 Distribution of 'Other' Juice Concentrates

### 2.2 Survey Limitations

The fruit juice concentrate survey is designed to give a snapshot of the fruit juice concentrate industry. There are a limited number of samples (186 in total) that are used to collect information on fruit juice concentrates as a class of foods. Conclusions regarding sample country of origin cannot be made as it is impossible to establish where the fruit ingredients used to manufacture the product were grown. The term 'sample origin' refers to the country of manufacture, as indicated on the product label. The survey does not examine seasonality, year-to-year trends and impact of product shelf-life. The survey also does not consider the cost of the commodity on the open market.

### 2.3 Analytical Methods

To analyze the survey samples whose pesticide treatment history is generally unknown, the CFIA laboratories perform analytical methods capable of simultaneously determining a large number of pesticide residues. All of the analyses in the fruit juice concentrate report were analyzed by accredited third party laboratories. The CFIA has established requirements for the acceptance of analytical results from third party laboratories. Such laboratories must be accredited to ISO/IEC 17025, General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories or its replacement by the Standards Council of Canada (SCC). Acceptance of results is contingent on those routine tests and analytical matrices being included in the laboratory's current scope of accreditation ${ }^{13}$.

To become accredited, an analytical method must: a) be relevant for its intended purpose and b) meet certain validation parameters. Typical validation characteristics considered include:

- recovery
- selectivity
- specificity
- accuracy
- linearity/range
- precision
- repeatability/reproducibility
- limit of quantitation (LOQ)
- limit of detection (LOD)

There were two analytical tests conducted on all samples in the targeted survey; a method for the determination of pesticides in processed foods by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and a method for the analysis of metals in processed foods by inductively-coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).

### 2.3.1 Pesticide Residue Analysis

The pesticide method must meet the majority of the requirements of the CFIA reference method PMR-002-V1.1 entitled 'Determination of Pesticides in Honey, Fruit Juice and

Wine (With Solid Phase Extraction Clean-Up and GC-MSD and HPLC Fluorescence Detection)'. The pesticide method can detect for 300 pesticides (consult Appendix C for full list of pesticides included in method). The multi-residue method includes banned pesticides (in Canada), pesticides that have established Canadian MRLs and pesticides that lack MRLs.

### 2.3.2 Metal Analysis

All samples were analyzed for metals using a third party method that can detect the following 18 metals: aluminum ( Al ), antimony ( Sb ), arsenic (As), beryllium (Be), boron $(B)$, cadmium $(\mathrm{Cd})$, chromium $(\mathrm{Cr})$, copper $(\mathrm{Cu})$, iron $(\mathrm{Fe})$, mercury $(\mathrm{Hg})$, manganese $(\mathrm{Mn})$, molybdenum $(\mathrm{Mo})$, nickel $(\mathrm{Ni})$, lead $(\mathrm{Pb})$, selenium $(\mathrm{Se})$, tin $(\mathrm{Sn})$, titanium ( Ti ) and zinc ( Zn ).

## 3 Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Introduction

The results from this targeted survey are presented graphically below. The supporting information is presented in tabular form in the appendices.

When discussing the results of this study, it is important to remember the origin of the chemical compounds that are being evaluated. The application of pesticides to a food crop is a deliberate action whereas the presence of metals in a food product can be the result of multiple processes such as the direct addition to the food as a food additive or pesticide, from the soil or from other natural exposures (water).

The numerical analytical result values obtained were compared to the applicable standards established by Health Canada at the time of sampling. For the different types of compounds tested, the following documents were used:

- For pesticides, MRLs were established and regulated under the Pest Control Products Act (PCPA) and can be found on Health Canada's Consumer Product Safety website
http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pest/protect-proteger/food-nourriture/mrl-lmr-eng.php
- For metals, any applicable entry in the various divisions of the Food and Drug Regulations (FDR)
http://laws.justice.gc.ca/PDF/Regulation/C/C.R.C.,_c._870.pdf
Unless otherwise stated, the results in this report are presented in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}(\mathrm{ppm})$. No distinction was made in the discussion regarding the origin of the chemical tested (i.e., if the food was fortified with minerals and vitamins) as this information was not available for this survey.


### 3.2 Results for Pesticide Residues

### 3.2.1 Samples for Pesticide Analysis

The 186 samples ( 185 imported and one domestic) collected in this study were analyzed with the multi-residue pesticide protocol described in Section 2.2. In total, 55800 analyses were conducted. There were no Canadian pesticide MRL violations in any of the samples. A non-violative residue is defined as a detectable residue that is at or below the established MRL.

One hundred forty-six samples (78.49\%) had no detectable (ND) residues. The following is illustrated in Figure 3-1: 26 samples had one detectable residue, nine samples had two detectable residues, two samples had three detectable residues, one sample had four detectable residues and two samples had five detectable residues.


Figure 3-1 Distribution of Samples with Detectable Residues

### 3.2.2 Residue Distribution by Country of Origin

The fruit juice concentrates targeted survey included samples from 23 countries. Three countries were identified in the planning of the targeted survey as being Canada's top importing countries of fruit juice concentrates: the United States, Brazil and Argentina. Therefore, a large number of samples $(64.0 \%$ ) originate from these countries. A complete list of the detected pesticide residues by sample origin is represented in Table 3-1. The United States, with 62 samples, had 21 ( $33.9 \%$ ) samples with detectable residues. There were 10 different pesticide residue species found in these samples. Brazil, with 34 samples, had three ( $8.8 \%$ ) samples with detectable residues of dimethoate. Argentina, with 23 samples, had six ( $26.1 \%$ ) samples with detectable residues and a total of three different chemical residue species detected. Figure 3-2 illustrates the proportion of samples with detectable residues (positive samples) with respect to samples with no
detectable residues (negative samples) by sample origin. Although all samples from Austria, Colombia and Spain had detectable pesticide residues, it should be noted that these findings are based on only one or two samples and should not be considered representative of fruit juice concentrates from these countries.

Table 3-1 Residue Distribution by Sample Origin

| Juice Origin | Total Number of Samples | Number of Positive Samples | Number of Negative Samples | \% ND | ANALYTE | Number of Detected Analytes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 23 | 6 | 17 | 73.9\% | 2-phenylphenol | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Captan | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ImazaliI | 4 |
| Austria | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | Captan | 1 |
| Brazil | 34 | 3 | 31 | 91.2\% | Dimethoate | 3 |
| Chile | 6 | 3 | 3 | 50.0\% | Azoxystrobin | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Captan | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Carbaryl | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cyprodinil | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fludioxonil | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Iprodione | 2 |
| Colombia | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | Permethrin (Total) | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Phosalone | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quintozene | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Trifloxystrobin | 1 |
| South Africa | 4 | 3 | 1 | 25.0\% | Bromopropylate | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Diphenylamine | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Endosulfan Total | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fenbuconazole | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Imazalil | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Methidathion | 1 |
| Spain | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | Carbaryl | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Metalaxyl | 2 |
| United States | 62 | 21 | 41 | 66.1\% | 2-phenylphenol | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Azoxystrobin | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Captan | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Carbaryl | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chlorpyrifos | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cyprodinil | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Iprodione | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Myclobutanil | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Procymidone | 1 |

### 3.2.3 Residue Distribution by Juice Concentrate Type

There were 22 types of fruit juice concentrates sampled in the survey. The type entitled 'Mixtures' is considered a fruit juice concentrate mixture of two or more single juice concentrates. Of the 22 different concentrates in the survey, 13 ( $56.5 \%$ ) contained at least one detectable pesticide residue. The following fruit juice concentrates had no detectable
pesticide residues: guava, kiwi, lime, mango, passion fruit, pear, pineapple, pomegranate and tangerine. The following concentrates had pesticide residues: apple, banana, blueberry, cherry, cranberry, fruit mix, grape, grapefruit, lemon, orange, peach, plum and raspberry. Grape, orange, grapefruit and lemon concentrates had the greatest number of detectable residues; however, these concentrates also represent $69.4 \%$ of all the samples in the survey. Figure 3-3 illustrates the proportion of positive samples to negative samples for concentrate types with detected pesticide residues. It should be noted that Figure 3-3 indicates that banana, blueberry, peach and raspberry concentrates have detectable residues in $100 \%$ of the samples collected. These results are based on only one sample for each concentrate and should not be considered representative of these fruit juice concentrate types. Table 3-2 lists the residues found in the various types of fruit juice concentrates.

Figure 3-2 Proportion of Positive Samples by Sample Origin


Figure 3-3 Distribution of Positive Samples by Concentrate Type


Table 3-2 Nature of Pesticide Residues in Different Fruit Juice Concentrates

| Juice Origin | Total Number of Samples | Number of Positive Samples | Number of Negative Samples | \% ND | ANALYTE | Number of Detected Analytes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apple | 11 | 2 | 9 | 81.8\% | Captan | 2 |
| Banana | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | Permethrin (Total) | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Phosalone | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quintozene | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Trifloxystrobin | 1 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | Azoxystrobin | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Captan | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Carbaryl | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cyprodinil | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Iprodione | 1 |
| Cherry | 3 | 2 | 1 | 33.0\% | Azoxystrobin | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Carbaryl | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Iprodione | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Myclobutanil | 1 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 1 | 7 | 87.5\% | Iprodione | 1 |
| Mixtures | 3 | 1 | 2 | 66.7\% | Diphenylamine | 1 |
| Grape | 48 | 14 | 34 | 70.8\% | 2-phenylphenol | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Azoxystrobin | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Captan | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Carbaryl | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cyprodinil | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fludioxonil | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Imazalil | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Iprodione | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Metalaxyl | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Myclobutanil | 1 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 5 | 3 | 37.5\% | 2-phenylphenol | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Imazalil | 3 |
| Lemon | 15 | 5 | 10 | 66.7\% | Bromopropylate | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chlorpyrifos | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Imazalil | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Methidathion | 1 |
| Orange | 46 | 5 | 41 | 89.1\% | Carbaryl | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chlorpyrifos | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Dimethoate | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Imazalil | 1 |
| Peach | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | Endosulfan Total | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fenbuconazole | 1 |
| Plum | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50.0\% | Captan | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Iprodione | 1 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | Iprodione | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Procymidone | 1 |

### 3.2.4 Discussion of Specific Pesticide Residue Results

The results of the survey indicate that the overall compliance rate with Canadian pesticide MRLs in fruit juice concentrates was $100 \%$. This is similar to the compliance rates seen in most fresh fruit and processed fruit products sampled in the NCRMP. There were 22 different pesticide residues detected in the survey. Carbaryl was the most commonly detected pesticide residue, found in 13 samples, 10 of which were grape juice concentrates. Imazilil was found in 10 samples, nine of which were citrus fruits (lemon, grapefruit and orange). Captan and iprodione were each found in six samples. These pesticide residues are often detected in fresh fruits sampled in the NCRMP.

Out of a total of 186 samples, 146 samples ( $78.5 \%$ ) had no detectable pesticide residues. Forty samples had one or more detectable residue(s). There were a total of 64 positive results; 36 ( $56.3 \%$ ) results were lower than their specific MRLs and 28 (43.7\%) were lower than the general 0.1 ppm MRL. All of the results are compliant with established regulations.

### 3.3 Results for Metals

### 3.3.1 Samples for Metal Analysis

The 186 samples ( 185 imported and 1 domestic) collected in this study were subjected to the multi-metal laboratory method described in Section 2.2. This method analyzes for 18 metals, including aluminum ( Al ), antimony ( Sb ), arsenic ( As ), beryllium ( Be ), boron ( B ), cadmium $(\mathrm{Cd})$, chromium $(\mathrm{Cr})$, copper $(\mathrm{Cu})$, iron $(\mathrm{Fe})$, mercury $(\mathrm{Hg})$, manganese $(\mathrm{Mn})$, molybdenum (Mo), nickel (Ni), lead (Pb), selenium (Se), tin ( Sn ), titanium ( Ti ) and zinc $(\mathrm{Zn})$.

The results presented below are a measure of the total metal concentration present in the food and do not distinguish between organic and inorganic forms, or ionic species. As such, these results do not provide direct information about the bioavailability or the toxicity of the metal. The metal results do not reveal the potential source (i.e. endogenous versus deliberate addition from pesticide use or food additive, etc). Nevertheless, the results obtained in this case study may be used to estimate metal levels in fruit juice concentrates and to identify any existing patterns.

All the survey samples had detectable levels of metal elements. The following section provides a discussion of the metal results by sample origin and fruit juice concentrate type.

### 3.3.2 Discussion of Metals by Country and Fruit Juice Concentrate Type

An important calculation was performed on the data before evaluating metal levels in the juice concentrate samples. As most products in this survey are juice concentrates, the metal levels detected represent what is present in the un-diluted form of the product. When metal levels exceeded acceptable levels in the concentrates, appropriate dilution
factors were used to determine the expected metal concentration in the single-strength product. In order to estimate the metal levels in the ready-to-serve (single-strength) juices consumed by Canadians, Brix factors were obtained from the juice concentrate importer.

There were samples from 23 countries in the fruit juice concentrate survey. A list of detected metals by sample origin is presented in Appendix D. There were 22 fruit juice concentrate types in the survey. A list of detected metals by concentrate is available in Appendix E. Note that the metal results are reported for the concentrated 'as sold' product, and not on the single-strength basis that may have been used to assess the acceptability of the levels found. A brief discussion of the results follows.


#### Abstract

Aluminum

Aluminum is an element that can be present naturally in the foods consumed by Canadians. It is commonly used in food processing as a firming agent, anti-caking agent, stabilizer, food colour, etc. The Food and Drug Regulations (FDR) specify the levels of aluminum that are permitted in food when used as a food additive. This survey does not explore the source of the aluminum present (i.e. whether the source is natural, from pesticide use or from food processing). There were 171 ( $91.9 \%$ ) samples with detectable amounts of aluminum. The level of aluminum observed in this survey ranged from 0.103 ppm to 33.05 ppm . None of the fruit juice concentrates had levels of aluminum that exceeded levels acceptable in Canada. There were no specific patterns observed for aluminum with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.


## Antimony

Antimony is a rare and non-essential metal. There are no Canadian tolerances or guidelines established for antimony in foods. However, antimony can be present in titanium dioxide. A 50 ppm tolerance for antimony is established in titanium dioxide when used in food colours. Of the 186 survey samples, nine had detectable levels of antimony. The levels ranged from 0.03 ppm to 0.239 ppm . There were no specific patterns observed for antimony with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.

## Arsenic

The levels of arsenic allowed in foods are specified in Table I of Division 15 of the FDR. In addition, a 3 ppm arsenic tolerance exists for food colours. Out of 134 samples with detectable levels of arsenic, 24 fruit juice concentrates exceeded the arsenic tolerance for fruit juice ( 0.1 ppm ). Arsenic is a natural element present in certain foods such as apple and pear seeds. It can also be a component of arsenic-containing fungicides. Upon considering the expected single-strength concentration of arsenic of these juice concentrate products, all samples were in compliance with Canadian regulations and are therefore considered safe. The 24 elevated arsenic samples originated from Argentina $(\mathrm{n}=6)$, Chile $(\mathrm{n}=1)$ and the United States $(\mathrm{n}=17)$. Arsenic levels exceeded the tolerance in
the following concentrates: grape ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ), cranberry ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), cherry ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) and blueberry ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ).

## Beryllium

Beryllium is a relatively rare element and is not known to be necessary for either plant or animal life. There are no Canadian tolerances or guidelines established for beryllium in food. Of the 186 survey samples, one had a detectable level of beryllium (raspberry concentrate from the USA $(0.036 \mathrm{ppm})$ ).

## Boron

There are no established Canadian tolerances or guidelines for boron in food. Boron is a natural element and ubiquitous in nature. It is found in most commodities and is reportedly being used (as boric acid) on whole fruit as a fungicide ${ }^{14}$. All 186 samples had detectable levels of boron.

Boric acid deposits on fruit resulting from its use as an agricultural compound may degrade to elemental boron. Given the natural levels of boric acid and boron occurring in the plant, elemental boron from agricultural chemical use would be indistinguishable from background levels. It is naturally present in crops such as pome fruit, stone fruit and grapes ${ }^{14}$.

The levels of boron in the juice concentrates ranged from 0.137 ppm to 54.94 ppm . A total of 107 concentrate results had higher than expected levels of boron when compared to boron levels from food products in the NCRMP ( 20 ppm ). After calculating the levels of boron for the expected single-strength products, all of the concentrate samples had boron results similar to those observed in the NCRMP. Boron and boric acid are of low toxicity and levels reported after considering the dilution factors would not pose a human health risk.

## Cadmium

There are no Canadian tolerances or guidelines established for cadmium in food. There were 74 samples with detectable amounts of cadmium ranging from 0.0021 ppm to 0.0896 ppm . The levels observed are very low and do not represent a health risk to humans. These levels of cadmium likely stem from natural components of fruit juices or environmental sources. There were no specific patterns observed for cadmium with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.

## Chromium

Chromium is an essential mineral in the human diet. There are no Canadian tolerances or guideline levels for chromium in food. There were 175 samples that had detectable levels of chromium, ranging from 0.01 ppm to 0.426 ppm . The detected levels of chromium in the fruit juice concentrate survey are similar to the levels found in the NCRMP and likely
originate from natural components of fruit juices. There were no specific patterns observed for chromium with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.

## Copper

Most of the samples (183 of 186) had detectable amounts of copper ranging from 0.033 ppm to 14.42 ppm . Copper can be used as a fungicide. A MRL of 50 ppm has been established for copper compounds in all fresh fruits and vegetables. This MRL also applies to processed foods derived from treated crops, such as fruit juice concentrates. All of the products were in compliance with the Canadian MRL. In general, grape fruit juice concentrates had lower amounts of copper than did other concentrates.

## Iron

There are no Canadian tolerances or guidelines for iron in food. All 186 samples had detectable amounts of iron, ranging from 0.341 ppm to 55.54 ppm . Iron is a natural component of most living organisms and is an essential nutrient in the human diet. There were no specific patterns observed for iron with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.

## Lead

Lead exposure may result from a number of environmental and food sources. There are several tolerances and guidelines for lead in food that are found in Division 15 of the FDR. A tolerance of 0.2 ppm exists for fruit juice and fruit nectar. In addition, a 10 ppm tolerance for lead is established for food colours. Of the 186 survey samples, 117 (63\%) had detectable levels of lead, ranging from 0.002 ppm to 0.232 ppm . One black cherry juice concentrate from the USA had a lead level of 0.232 ppm , which exceeds the Canadian tolerance for fruit juice. However, when considering the lead concentration in the single-strength product, the product does not exceed the tolerance for lead and is therefore considered safe. There were no specific patterns observed for lead with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.

## Manganese

Manganese is an essential trace mineral in the human diet. At present, there are no Canadian tolerances or guidelines for manganese in foods. All but one sample had detectable levels of manganese. The levels ranged from 0.04 ppm to 177.2 ppm .

There were 19 fruit juice concentrates that had exceedingly high levels of manganese not generally observed in products in the NCRMP. The sample origins included India ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ), the Philippines ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), Thailand $(\mathrm{n}=8)$ and the United States $(\mathrm{n}=4)$. The concentrates with higher amounts of manganese were pineapple $(\mathrm{n}=15)$ and one each for blueberry, grape, blackberry and raspberry.

Manganese is a major nutrient of pineapple. There is also evidence that manganese has been applied as a foliar spray in Thailand to help molybdenum uptake which in part helps lower the level of nitrates in pineapple. A high level of nitrate in pineapple is a serious quality problem for canneries as excess nitrate causes tin to deteriorate ${ }^{15}$. This application strategy, along with the pineapple's ability to uptake large amounts of manganese, may explain why higher than expected levels are being found in Thai pineapple juice concentrates. These levels have been assessed by Health Canada and are unlikely to pose a health risk to Canadians.

## Mercury

None of the samples tested as part of this targeted survey had detectable levels of mercury.

## Molybdenum

Molybdenum is an essential trace element in the human diet. There are no Canadian tolerances or guidelines established for molybdenum in foods. Of the 186 survey samples, 122 had detectable levels of molybdenum. The levels ranged from 0.020 ppm to 1.147 ppm . There were no specific patterns observed for molybdenum with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.

## Nickel

Sources of nickel in fruit juice concentrates can include food processing equipment and environmental contamination. There are presently no Canadian tolerances or guidelines for nickel in food. Of the 186 survey samples, 183 had detectable levels of nickel, ranging from 0.01 ppm to 1.831 ppm . There were no specific patterns observed for nickel with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.

## Selenium

Selenium is an essential trace element in the human diet. There are presently no Canadian tolerances or guidelines for selenium in foods. Eleven samples (6\%) had detectable levels of selenium. The levels ranged from 0.021 ppm to 0.143 ppm . There were no specific patterns observed for selenium with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.

## Tin

Tin is a major component in canning materials. The tolerance for tin in canned foods is 250 ppm . Most of the survey samples were either sold as concentrates in consumer-sized cans or as large metal drums destined for juice manufacturing companies. The level of tin ranged from 0.02 ppm to 92.88 ppm . The highest tin levels were found in pineapple juice concentrates from the Philippines. This may be explained by the background levels of
nitrates in pineapple, which may accelerate degradation of can walls and result in the release of tin. All of the samples were in compliance with the Canadian tolerance for tin.

## Titanium

There are presently no Canadian tolerances for titanium in foods. However, titanium dioxide is a component of food colours that can be used in food according to Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP). There were 174 samples that had detectable levels of titanium. The levels ranged from 0.106 ppm to 3.621 ppm . There were no specific patterns observed for titanium with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.

## Zinc

Zinc is an essential trace element in the human diet. There are no Canadian tolerances or guidelines established for zinc in foods. Of the 186 survey samples, 184 had detectable levels of zinc. The levels ranged from 0.11 ppm to 12.16 ppm . There were no specific patterns observed for zinc with respect to country of origin or juice concentrate type.

## 4 Conclusions

The fruit juice concentrates targeted survey included 22 different fruit juice concentrates from 23 countries. The design of the survey does not provide a statistically robust data set. However, the results obtained can provide a snapshot of the fruit juice industry as a whole.

The majority of the samples ( $78.5 \%$ ) were found to contain no detectable pesticide residues and all samples were in compliance with Canadian pesticide MRLs. These results are expected for fruit juice concentrates as it is anticipated that fewer pesticides are used on fruit intended for juice. Furthermore, processing (washing, heating, etc) may also remove or deplete pesticide residues.

All 186 survey samples contained one or more metals. Metals can be natural components of biological processes, can result from environmental contamination, nutritional fortification or arise from food processing and food packaging. It is therefore not unexpected to find low levels of metals in fruit juice concentrates. All of the 186 samples were in compliance with existing Canadian metal MRLs and tolerances. Mercury was not detected in any of the samples. All other metals were present at low concentrations that do not pose a human health risk.

## 5 Future Considerations

All 186 fruit juice concentrate samples were $100 \%$ compliant with Canadian standards for pesticide residues and metals. As the number of samples (186) was small, this does
not represent a statistically relevant data set, but rather provides a snapshot of the industry.

A future pesticide and metal survey on fruit juice concentrates will help address the following concerns:

- Increase the number of samples to obtain a statistically-relevant data set (300 minimum);
- Identify trends (i.e. a wet year may lead to a higher number of fungicide residues or increase in levels of heavy metals due to land water contamination, etc)
- Increase the scope of analysis by incorporating a second pesticide multi-residue screen and new metal speciation methods (developed by the CFIA laboratories in 2009 and now fully validated and available);
- Focus on fruit juice concentrate types and countries with increased incidence of pesticide residues to ensure continued compliance.
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## Appendix A

## Types of Fruit Juice Concentrate Processing

Fruit juice processing can be broken down into two different processing technologies. The first is soft fruit processing, and includes pome fruits (apples, pears), berries, grapes and stone fruit. The other is citrus fruit processing and it involves all citrus fruits (orange, grapefruit, lemon, lime, etc.). The following information, unless otherwise indicated, was adapted from Ashurst et al., 2007.

## Soft Fruit Processing

Juice processing requires that fruit be free from contaminants or any major damage. For example, damage to apples may be caused by mechanical harvesting, which often results in bruising and abrasions. Other fruits, like berries, are typically picked by hand. Fruit that is chosen for juicing must be thoroughly inspected for damage and washed before processing. Following washing, the majority of fruits are processed by milling, which is a technique that crushes the whole fruit and then squeezes the juice from the resulting mass ${ }^{16}$. The pits from stone fruits are removed in a subsequent processing step. Prior to the milling process, some preparations may be required, such as the de-stemming of grapes. Exotic soft fruits are not milled and undergo special procedures for initial processing.

The hammermill is the most commonly used tool for milling in North America. A hammermill consists of free-swinging hammers that crush fruit by forcing it through a screen. There are different hammers used depending on the desired function. Sharp hammers are used for chopping some fruits, while blunt hammers are required to grind and crush others.

Enzymatic processing is an important factor in the preparation of fruit juices. If fruits are not easily juiced (e.g. pome fruits), pectinolytic enzymes may be used to break down their cell structure. Pectinolytic enzymes improve the extraction efficiency of juicing by degrading pectin, which is an element of the fruit cell wall. Enzyme treatment is also used to maintain the quality of berry-derived juices by preventing changes to cell structure during long-term storage. Fruits that undergo enzymatic processing are mixed with pectinolytic enzymes and then heated for 1-2 hours. Other fruit processing techniques may also be utilized, including fruit presses (e.g. Stoll Press, Belt Press) and press aids (e.g. fibrous materials like coarse wood flour, wood pulp and rice hulls).

Fruit juice can be concentrated from soft fruit using evaporators, which allow for the recovery of volatile materials (fruit essence). A classic evaporator that is used in the fruit processing industry is the falling film vacuum plate evaporator with plate-and-frame heat exchangers. This type of evaporator is particularly useful for heat-sensitive fruit juices because it has limited heat contact time and minimizes juice loss. Another type of evaporator that may be used for fruit processing is the centrifugal evaporator. Following evaporation, fruit essences are collected by fractional distillation. On average, fruit
essence has a flavour that is over a 100 -fold more concentrated than the original juice. Essences tend to be stored separately from bulk concentrates and are added to products prior to retail. The addition of a fruit essence to a product can recover and strengthen the natural flavour that may have been lost or diminished during processing.

A clarification process is used for certain fruit juices, like apple juice, to allow juices to be sold as translucent products. Polyphenol oxidation is prevented in juices following the addition of ascorbic acid and pasteurization. Polyphenol oxidation causes browning of juices and contributes to the formation of pulp. As was previously mentioned, pectinolytic enzymes are added to some juices to facilitate juicing. These enzymes are also used to prevent the subsequent precipitation of pectin, which contributes to the cloudy appearance of juices. In addition, gelatin may be added to fruit juices to prevent tannin precipitation.

An overview of soft fruit processing is depicted in Figure A-1.

Figure A-1: Outline of Typical Process for Soft Fruit Processing (Adapted from Paquin, 2009)


## Citrus Fruit Processing

Prior to processing, citrus fruits intended for juicing may be inspected for minimum standards of ${ }^{\circ}$ Brix (a measurement of dissolved sugar-to-water mass ratio) and acidity. Debris and microorganisms on the fruit peel are removed by washing, sometimes with the use of detergents. Potentially harmful fruits are removed by graders as the fruit is conveyed into extractors. Fruits that grow in various sizes, like oranges, are sorted automatically before entering the extractors. Extractors are used to squeeze the juice and peel oil out of citrus fruits and generally consist of cups with sharp-edged metal tubes and metal fingers that intermesh. A piston is used to push the juice through small openings of the tube walls.

Ideally, there should be minimal interaction between the juice and the peel waste during extraction. This prevents the transfer of any bitter substances present in the peel or seeds into the juice. The amount of pulp extracted from the fruit is controlled by downstream separation in the finisher. The pulp is then washed several times to produce a solution. The pulp solution may be added back into the juice or may be concentrated to produce pulp wash solids that are used as a base for cloudy beverages.

Certain substances, such as grapefruit juice, pulp wash solids and limonin (a white crystalline substance found in orange and grapefruit seeds) can be extremely bitter to taste. A commercial process has been developed to decrease the level of bitterness in these juices. Firstly, pulp is separated from juice using centrifugation techniques followed by passing juice through resin-packed columns that selectively remove bitter compounds. Pulp is then recombined with the de-bittered juice before being concentrated in the evaporator.

The majority of orange and grapefruit juice is imported into Canada as frozen concentrates with ${ }^{\circ}$ Brix measures of $60-65$, representing a 5 - to 6 - fold concentrated product. Citrus juices tend to be concentrated in Thermally Accelerated Short-Time Evaporators (TASTE). These types of concentrators show many similarities to the falling film evaporators used in soft fruit processing. However, they differ in that they are designed for efficient removal of water for each kilogram of steam used for evaporation. The concentrated juice is then released, where it is flash-cooled under vacuum. Bulk vessels that hold up to 500000 L are used to store the concentrates at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The fruit essences are typically evaporated along with water, but are recovered in separate condensation units and then are sold separately.

Some countries, including Japan, use reverse osmosis (RO) to concentrate fruit juices. RO works by forcing a fruit solution through a membrane using pressure, which retains the concentrated juice on one side and allows the excess water to pass to the other side. Similar to other methods, the pulp is first separated from the juice extract, but is then recombined with the concentrated extract following RO at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reverse osmosis produces a less concentrated juice essence than evaporation techniques (only 42-51 ${ }^{\circ}$ Brix), but the juice is generally regarded as tasting far superior to traditional citrus juice from concentrate. This is due to the low-thermal process of reverse osmosis, which
allows flavour to be retained in citrus fruit essence. An overview of citrus fruit processing is depicted in Figure A-2.

Figure A-2: Outline of Typical Process for Citrus Fruit Processing (Adapted from Paquin, 2009)


Product flows:
Juice for concentrate and reconstitution -
Fresh squeezed juice
Direct (*NFC) juice
Citrus oils
Citrus essences -
*NFC = Not from concentrate

## Appendix B

The following table is a description of all the samples included in the survey.

Table B-1 Fruit juice concentrate samples included in targeted survey

| Concentrate Type | Sample Number | Origin | Sample Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apple | FSAP8-00122X | CHILE | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02189 | AUSTRIA | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02257 | CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02266 | SOUTH AFRICA | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02270 | CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02277 | CHILE | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02278 | BRAZIL | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02280 | CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02284 | BRAZIL | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02285 | CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02292 | CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Banana | FSAP8-02175 | COLOMBIA | BANANA JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Blackberry | FSAP8-02157 | UNITED STATES | BLACKBERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Blueberry | FSAP8-02289 | UNITED STATES | BLUEBERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Cherry | FSAP8-02276 | UNITED STATES | CHERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02288 | UNITED STATES | CHERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02300 | UNITED STATES | BLACK CHERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Cranberry | FSAP8-02034 | UNITED STATES | CRANBERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02039X | UNITED STATES | CRANBERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02152 | UNITED STATES | CRANBERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02154 | UNITED STATES | CRANBERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02161 | UNITED STATES | CRANBERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02162 | UNITED STATES | CRANBERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02183 | CHILE | CRANBERRY JUICE |


| Concentrate Type | Sample Number | Origin | Sample Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02185 | UNITED STATES | CRANBERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Grape | FSAP8-02011 | ARGENTINA | WHITE GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02023 | CANADA | GRAPE JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02031 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE FROM CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02032 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02033 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02035 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02040 | UNITED STATES | WHITE GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02041 | UNITED STATES | RED GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02042 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02044 | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02045 | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02046 | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02047 | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02047X | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02116 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02117 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02118 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02119 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02120 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02121 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02122 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02123 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02124 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02125 | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02126 | SPAIN | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02127 | SPAIN | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02128 | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02129 | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02130 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02137 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02139 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02140 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02141 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02163 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02171 | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02172 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02186 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02193 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02241 | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02241X | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |


| Concentrate <br> Type | Sample <br> Number | Origin | Sample Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | FSAP8-02259 | LEBANON | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02262 | MEXICO | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02263 | CHILE | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02267 | MEXICO | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02279 | ARGENTINA | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02286 | MEXICO | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02287 | UNITED STATES | GRAPE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02290 | UNITED STATES |  |
|  |  |  | GRAPEFRUIT JUICE <br> Grapefruit |
|  | FSAP8-02030 | UNITED STATES | GRAPEFRUITT JUICE |
|  | FONCENTRATE |  |  |


| Concentrate Type | Sample <br> Number | Origin | Sample Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FSAP8-02184 | PERU | LIME JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Mango | FSAP8-02017 | PHILIPPINES | MANGO JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02271 | BRAZIL | MANGO JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Orange | FSAP8-02015 | PHILIPPINES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02016 | DENMARK | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02018 | DENMARK | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02019 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02020 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02021 | DENMARK | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02022 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02029 | KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | MANDARIN ORANGE JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02037 | UNITED STATES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02038 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02057 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02058 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02059 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02060 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02061 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02062 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02063 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02065 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02068 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02069 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02070 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02071 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02072 | UNITED STATES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02122X | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02182 | UNITED STATES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02187 | UNITED STATES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02194 | UNITED STATES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02206 | UNITED STATES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02207 | UNITED STATES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02208 | UNITED STATES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02209 | UNITED STATES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02226 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02227 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02228 | UNITED STATES | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02230 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02231 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02244 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02245 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02247 | CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02247X | CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |


| Concentrate Type | Sample <br> Number | Origin | Sample Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FSAP8-02248 | MEXICO | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02249 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02250 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02293 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02294 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02299 | BRAZIL | ORANGE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Passion Fruit | FSAP8-02012 | BRAZIL | PASSION FRT JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02255 | ECUADOR | PASSION FRUIT JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02264 | ECUADOR | PASSION FRUIT JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Peach | FSAP8-02275 | SOUTH AFRICA | PEACH JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Pear | FSAP8-02274 | ARGENTINA | PEAR JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Pineapple | FSAP8-02003 | PHILIPPINES | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02004 | PHILIPPINES | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02005 | PHILIPPINES | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02006 | THAILAND | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02007 | PHILIPPINES | PINEAPPLE JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02008 | THAILAND | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02009 | PHILIPPINES | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02010 | PHILIPPINES | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02013 | PHILIPPINES | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02013B | PHILIPPINES | PINEAPPLE JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02014 | THAILAND | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02089 | INDONESIA | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02109 | PHILIPPINES | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02110X | THAILAND | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02111 | THAILAND | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02173 | THAILAND | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02242 | THAILAND | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02256 | PHILIPPINES | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02265 | INDONESIA | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02268 | THAILAND | PINEAPPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Plum | FSAP8-02192 | UNITED STATES | PLUM JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02273 | CHILE | PLUM JUICE CONCENTRATE |
| Pomegranate | FSAP8-02001 | ISRAEL | POMEGRANATE JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02151 | UNITED STATES | POMEGRANATE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02258 | LEBANON | POMEGRANATE JUICE CONCENTRATE |


| Concentrate <br> Type | Sample <br> Number | Origin | Sample Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | FSAP8-02269 | TURKEY | POMEGRANATE JUICE <br> CONCENTRATE |
|  | FSAP8-02272 | IRAN | POMEGRANATE JUICE <br> CONCENTRATE |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | FSAP8-02160 | UNITED STATES | RASPBERRY JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  |  |  |  |
| Tangerine | FSAP8-02283 | MEXICO | TANGERINE JUICE CONCENTRATE |
|  |  |  | APPLE/POMEGRANATE JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02002 | TURKEY | TROPICAL FRUIT JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02025 | PHILIPPINES | FRUIT JUICE |
|  | FSAP8-02191 | SOUTH AFRICA |  |

## Appendix C

## Table C-1: List of pesticides (300) included in third party method (Method for the Determination of Pesticides in Processed Foods and Animal Derived Foods)

| Analyte | Cycloate | Fluchloralin | Parathion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-phenylphenol | Cyfluthrin (I,II,III, IV) | Flucythrinate | Parathion-methyl |
| 3-OH Carbofuran | Cyhalothrin-lambda | Fludioxonil | Pebulate |
| Acephate | Cypermethrin | Flumetralin | Penconazole |
| Acibenzolar-s-methyl | Cyprazine | Fluorochloridone | Pendimethalin |
| Alachlor | Cyproconazole | Fluorodifen | Pentachloroaniline |
| Aldicarb | Cyprodinil | Flusilazole | Permethrin cis |
| Aldicarb Sulfone | Cyromazine | Fluvalinate | Permethrin trans |
| Aldicarb sulfoxide | Dacthal (chlorthaldimethyl) | Folpet | Phenthoate |
| Aldrin | delta-HCH (deltalindane) | Fonofos | Phorate |
| Allidochlor | Deltamethrin | Heptachlor | Phorate sulfone |
| Ametryn | delta-trans-allethrin | Heptachlor epoxide endo | Phosalone |
| Aminocarb | Demeton-O | Heptanophos | Phosmet |
| Aramite | Demeton-S | Hexachlorobenzene | Phosphamidon |
| Aspon | Demeton-S-methyl | Hexaconazole | Piperonyl butoxide |
| Atrazine | Des-ethyl Atrazine | Hexazinone | Pirimicarb |
| Azinphos-ethyl | Desmetryn | Imazalii | Pirimiphos-ethyl |
| Azinphos-methyl | Di-allate | lodofenphos | Pirimiphos-methyl |
| Azoxystrobin | Dialofos | Iprobenfos | Prochloraz |
| Benalaxyl | Diazinon | Iprodione | Procymidone |
| Bendiocarb | Diazinon o analogue | Iprodione metabolite | Prodiamine |
| Benfluralin | Dichlobenil | Isazophos | Profenofos |
| Benodanil | Dichlofluanid | Isofenphos | Profluralin |
| Benzoylprop-ethyl | Dichloran | Isopropalin | Prometon |
| BHC Alpha | Dichlormid | Isoprothiolane | Prometryne |
| BHC beta | Dichlorvos | Kresoxim-methyl | Pronamide |
| Bifenox | Diclobutrazole | Leptophos | Propachlor |
| Bifenthrin | Diclofenthion | Lindane (gamma-BHC) | Propanil |
| Biphenyl | Diclofop-methyl | Linuron | Propargite |
| Bromacil | Dicofol | Malaoxon | Propazine |
| Bromophos | Dicrotophos | Malathion | Propetamphos |
| Bromophos-ethyl | Dieldrin | Mecarbam | Propham |
| Bromopropylate | Diethatyl-ethyl | Metalaxyl | Propiconazole |
| Bufencarb | Dimethachlor | Metazachlor | Propoxur |
| Bupirimate | Dimethoate | Methamidophos | Prothiophos |
| Buprofezin | Dinitramine | Methidathion | Pyracarbolid |
| Butachlor | Dioxacarb | Methiocarb | Pyrazophos |
| Butralin | Dioxathion | Methiocarb Sulfoxide | Pyridaben |
| Butylate | Diphenamid | Methomyl | Quinalphos |


| Captafol | Diphenylamine | Methoprotryne | Quinomethionate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Captan | Disulfoton | Methoxychlor | Quintozene |
| Captan metabolite | Disulfoton sulfone | Methyl - trithion | Schradan |
| Carbaryl | Edifenphos | Methyl <br> Pentachlorophenyl sulphide | Secbumeton |
| Carbetamide | Endosulfan alpha | Metobromuron | Simazine |
| Carbofenthion | Endosulfan beta | Metolachlor | Simetryn |
| Carbofuran | Endosulfan sulfate | Metribuzin | Sulfallate |
| Carboxin | Endrin | Mevinphos-cis | Sulfotep |
| Chlorbenside | EPN | Mevinphos-trans | Sulprophos |
| Chlorbenzilate | EPTC | Mexacarbate | TCMTB |
| Chlorbromuron | Erbon | Mirex | Tebuconazole |
| Chlorbufam | Esfenvalerate | Monocrotophos | Tecnazene |
| Chlordane cis | Etaconazole | Monolinuron | Terbacil |
| Chlordane trans | Ethalfluralin | Myclobutanil | Terbufos |
| Chlordimeform | Ethion | Naled | Terbumeton |
| Chlorfenson | Ethofumsate | Nitralin | Terbutryne |
| Chlorfenvinphos (e+z) | Ethoprophos | Nitrapyrin | Terbutylazine |
| Chlorflurenol-methyl | Ethylan | Nitrofen | Tetrachlorvinphos |
| Chloridazon | Etridiazole | Nitrothal-isopropyl | Tetradifon |
| Chlormephos | Etrimfos | Norflurazon | Tetraiodoethylene |
| Chloroneb | Fenamiphos | Nuarimol | Tetramethrin |
| Chloropropylate | Fenamiphos sulfone | o, ${ }^{\prime}$ '-DDD ( $0, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}-$ TDE $)$ | Tetrasul |
| Chlorothalonil | Fenamiphos sulfoxide | o, p'-DDE | Thiobencarb |
| Chlorpropham | Fenarimol | o, p'-DDT | Tolclofos-methyl |
| Chlorpyrifos | Fenbuconazole | Octhilinone | Tolyfluanid |
| Chlorpyriphos-methyl | Fenchlorophos (Ronnel) | Omethoate | Triadimefon |
| Chlorthiamid | Fenfuram | Oxadiazon | Triadimenol |
| Chlorthion | Fenitrothion | Oxadixyl | Tri-allate |
| Chlorthiophos | Fenpropathrin | Oxamyl | Triazophos |
| Chlozolinate | Fenpropimorph | Oxycarboxin | Tribufos |
| Clomazone | Fenson | Oxychlordane | Tricyclazole |
| Coumaphos | Fensulfothion | Oxyflurofen | Trifloxystrobin |
| Crotoxyphos | Fenthion | p, p'-DDD (p,p'-TDE) | Triflumizole |
| Crufomate | Fenvalerate | p, p'-DDE | Trifluralin |
| Cyanazine | Flamprop-isopropyl | p, p'-DDT | Vernolate |
| Cyanophos | Flamprop-methyl | Paraoxon | Vinclozolin |

## Appendix D

Table D-1: Metal analysis results in the concentrated sample by sample origin

| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | MAX (ppm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { (ppm) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aluminum |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 3 | 20 | 20.380 | 0.126 | 3.783 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.003 | 4.003 | 4.003 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 0 | 34 | 4.305 | 0.179 | 0.776 |
| CANADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.337 | 0.337 | 0.337 |
| CHILE | 6 | 0 | 6 | 18.930 | 0.341 | 5.430 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1.220 | 0.115 | 0.757 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.115 | 0.115 | 0.115 |
| DENMARK | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.411 | 0.184 | 0.332 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.497 | 0.269 | 0.383 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12.550 | 12.550 | 12.550 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.152 | 0.981 | 1.067 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.338 | 3.338 | 3.338 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.730 | 1.730 | 1.730 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4.418 | 3.009 | 3.714 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 0 | 7 | 9.209 | 0.113 | 3.117 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.406 | 2.406 | 2.406 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 4 | 10 | 1.771 | 0.119 | 0.711 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3.434 | 0.195 | 1.286 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5.188 | 4.022 | 4.605 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.627 | 0.118 | 0.364 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 14.640 | 3.124 | 8.882 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 5 | 57 | 33.050 | 0.103 | 4.121 |
| Antimony |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 20 | 3 | 0.047 | 0.030 | 0.036 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 34 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CANADA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHILE | 6 | 6 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 7 | 0 | - | - | - |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| DENMARK | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| INDIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| IRAN | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| MEXICO | 7 | 7 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PERU | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 14 | 0 | - | - | - |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MAX } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { MIN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH AFRICA | + | 3 | 1 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.034 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| THAILAND | 8 | 8 | 0 | - | - | - |
| TURKEY | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 57 | 5 | 0.239 | 0.057 | 0.146 |
| Arsenic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 1 | 22 | 0.467 | 0.007 | 0.084 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.033 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 17 | 17 | 0.037 | 0.006 | 0.012 |
| CANADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| CHILE | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0.134 | 0.014 | 0.053 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0.067 | 0.009 | 0.037 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| DENMARK | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.014 | 0.007 | 0.011 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.031 | 0.031 | 0.031 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.038 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| LEBANON | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0.099 | 0.011 | 0.046 |
| PERU | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 9 | 5 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.008 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.008 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.014 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0.049 | 0.014 | 0.031 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.070 | 0.019 | 0.045 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 14 | 48 | 0.647 | 0.006 | 0.142 |
| Beryllium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 23 | 0 | - | - | - |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 34 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CANADA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHILE | 6 | 6 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 7 | 0 | - | - | - |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| DENMARK | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| INDIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| IRAN | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| MEXICO | 7 | 7 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PERU | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 14 | 0 | - | - | - |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MAX } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MIN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 4 | 0 | - | - | - |
| SPAIN | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| THAILAND | 8 | 8 | 0 | - | - | - |
| TURKEY | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - |  |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 61 | 1 | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.036 |
| Boron |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 0 | 23 | 52.910 | 0.278 | 18.197 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13.610 | 13.610 | 13.610 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 0 | 34 | 14.020 | 0.794 | 6.392 |
| CANADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.421 | 2.421 | 2.421 |
| CHILE | 6 | 0 | 6 | 23.030 | 3.267 | 15.173 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 0 | 7 | 15.860 | 5.231 | 10.860 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.401 | 1.401 | 1.401 |
| DENMARK | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.428 | 0.231 | 0.338 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4.741 | 4.628 | 4.685 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.838 | 0.838 | 0.838 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5.248 | 3.178 | 4.213 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20.160 | 20.160 | 20.160 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.780 | 3.780 | 3.780 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.244 | 0.244 | 0.244 |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3.329 | 2.395 | 2.862 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 0 | 7 | 29.570 | 2.087 | 13.139 |
| PERU | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 0 | 14 | 2.787 | 0.137 | 1.100 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7.233 | 2.485 | 5.019 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 25.970 | 25.190 | 25.580 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 4.504 | 2.812 | 3.648 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 20.280 | 2.741 | 11.511 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 0 | 62 | 54.940 | 0.623 | 12.961 |
| Cadmium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 15 | 8 | 0.011 | 0.003 | 0.004 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 31 | 3 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.003 |
| CANADA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHILE | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0.040 | 0.002 | 0.015 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.004 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| DENMARK | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.018 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.044 | 0.038 | 0.041 |
| INDIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.027 | 0.009 | 0.018 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0.009 | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 9 | 5 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.004 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MAX } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.022 | 0.004 | 0.013 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 32 | 30 | 0.090 | 0.002 | 0.016 |
| Chromium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 2 | 21 | 0.249 | 0.032 | 0.077 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 0 | 34 | 0.173 | 0.012 | 0.027 |
| CANADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.018 |
| CHILE | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0.403 | 0.033 | 0.121 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0.042 | 0.014 | 0.030 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 |
| DENMARK | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0.017 | 0.011 | 0.014 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.028 | 0.027 | 0.028 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.306 | 0.306 | 0.306 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.088 | 0.085 | 0.087 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.055 | 0.055 | 0.055 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.035 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.049 | 0.039 | 0.044 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0.099 | 0.013 | 0.049 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 2 | 12 | 0.050 | 0.011 | 0.035 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0.060 | 0.017 | 0.043 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.063 | 0.052 | 0.058 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.143 | 0.046 | 0.069 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.138 | 0.023 | 0.081 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 4 | 58 | 0.426 | 0.010 | 0.101 |
| Copper |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 2 | 21 | 14.420 | 0.049 | 1.747 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.284 | 0.284 | 0.284 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 0 | 34 | 2.605 | 0.357 | 1.757 |
| CANADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.033 |
| CHILE | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1.932 | 0.402 | 0.864 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2.224 | 0.059 | 0.724 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.993 |
| DENMARK | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.159 | 0.117 | 0.131 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2.705 | 2.015 | 2.360 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.794 | 0.794 | 0.794 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2.003 | 1.943 | 1.973 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.371 | 1.371 | 1.371 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.294 | 0.294 | 0.294 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.085 | 0.085 | 0.085 |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.771 | 0.266 | 0.519 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1.520 | 0.286 | 0.875 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.407 | 2.407 | 2.407 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 1 | 13 | 2.292 | 0.035 | 0.976 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MAX } \\ \text { (ppm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MIN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1.870 | 0.056 | 0.953 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.370 | 0.184 | 0.277 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2.712 | 1.159 | 1.713 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.196 | 0.060 | 0.628 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 0 | 62 | 3.354 | 0.035 | 1.081 |
| Iron |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 0 | 23 | 25.440 | 0.427 | 10.908 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5.323 | 5.323 | 5.323 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 0 | 34 | 22.060 | 1.206 | 5.946 |
| CANADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.976 | 0.976 | 0.976 |
| CHILE | 6 | 0 | 6 | 55.540 | 3.658 | 16.355 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 0 | 7 | 6.582 | 1.141 | 3.861 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.763 | 2.763 | 2.763 |
| DENMARK | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1.154 | 0.669 | 0.894 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 10.530 | 9.470 | 10.000 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 31.400 | 31.400 | 31.400 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 13.990 | 10.820 | 12.405 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.901 | 1.901 | 1.901 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.149 | 2.149 | 2.149 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.622 | 0.622 | 0.622 |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 44.410 | 12.410 | 28.410 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 0 | 7 | 16.270 | 3.959 | 7.473 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.460 | 4.460 | 4.460 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 0 | 14 | 9.421 | 0.341 | 3.512 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7.001 | 2.030 | 5.307 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 11.410 | 10.110 | 10.760 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 10.230 | 6.382 | 8.022 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6.897 | 4.379 | 5.638 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 0 | 62 | 31.240 | 0.385 | 6.588 |
| Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 2 | 21 | 0.156 | 0.003 | 0.043 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.022 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 26 | 8 | 0.030 | 0.003 | 0.008 |
| CANADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| CHILE | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0.110 | 0.004 | 0.032 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.019 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| DENMARK | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.144 | 0.144 | 0.144 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.119 | 0.119 | 0.119 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.013 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0.050 | 0.002 | 0.027 |
| PERU | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 1 | 13 | 0.073 | 0.002 | 0.009 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MAX } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { (ppm) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.026 | 0.020 | 0.023 |
| THAILAND | 8 |  | 7 | 0.014 | 0.003 | 0.007 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.056 | 0.034 | 0.045 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 23 | 39 | 0.233 | 0.002 | 0.032 |
| Manganese |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 1 | 22 | 6.486 | 0.905 | 2.216 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.126 | 3.126 | 3.126 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 0 | 34 | 2.819 | 0.340 | 1.920 |
| CANADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.306 | 0.306 | 0.306 |
| CHILE | 6 | 0 | 6 | 13.100 | 1.882 | 5.171 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2.386 | 1.384 | 1.936 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.075 | 3.075 | 3.075 |
| DENMARK | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4.867 | 0.085 | 1.704 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2.607 | 2.388 | 2.498 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.687 | 0.687 | 0.687 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 73.710 | 62.550 | 68.130 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.395 | 4.395 | 4.395 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.079 | 1.079 | 1.079 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.214 | 0.214 | 0.214 |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.813 | 0.497 | 1.155 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 0 | 7 | 6.568 | 0.697 | 2.862 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 0 | 14 | 77.590 | 0.040 | 27.846 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2.248 | 1.110 | 1.656 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.697 | 1.581 | 1.639 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 177.200 | 65.820 | 109.944 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3.265 | 0.713 | 1.989 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 0 | 62 | 70.200 | 0.137 | 4.969 |
| Mercury |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 23 | 0 | - | - | - |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 34 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CANADA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHILE | 6 | 6 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 7 | 0 | - | - | - |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| DENMARK | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| INDIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| IRAN | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| MEXICO | 7 | 7 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PERU | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 14 | 0 | - | - | - |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MAX } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{MIN} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 4 | 0 | - | - | - |
| SPAIN | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| THAILAND | 8 | 8 | 0 | - | - | - |
| TURKEY | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 62 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Molybdenum |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 10 | 13 | 0.129 | 0.022 | 0.043 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 5 | 29 | 0.054 | 0.021 | 0.036 |
| CANADA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHILE | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0.154 | 0.044 | 0.088 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0.057 | 0.031 | 0.044 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| DENMARK | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.087 | 0.084 | 0.086 |
| INDIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.042 | 0.029 | 0.036 |
| IRAN | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| MEXICO | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0.052 | 0.025 | 0.036 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.052 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 9 | 5 | 0.030 | 0.020 | 0.023 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0.042 | 0.027 | 0.035 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.028 | 0.028 | 0.028 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.115 | 0.031 | 0.063 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 15 | 47 | 1.147 | 0.021 | 0.144 |
| Nickel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 1 | 22 | 0.269 | 0.028 | 0.080 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.065 | 0.065 | 0.065 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 0 | 34 | 0.111 | 0.010 | 0.064 |
| CANADA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHILE | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0.641 | 0.048 | 0.299 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0.091 | 0.028 | 0.067 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| DENMARK | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.055 | 0.012 | 0.027 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.186 | 0.083 | 0.135 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.074 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.150 | 0.125 | 0.138 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.231 | 0.231 | 0.231 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.096 | 0.073 | 0.085 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0.134 | 0.044 | 0.071 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 1 | 13 | 0.316 | 0.014 | 0.134 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | MAX (ppm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { (ppm) } \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.220 | 0.016 | 0.093 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.049 | 0.048 | 0.049 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1.225 | 0.388 | 0.707 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.440 | 0.051 | 0.246 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 0 | 62 | 1.831 | 0.013 | 0.163 |
| Selenium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 22 | 1 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 34 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CANADA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHILE | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0.032 | 0.032 | 0.032 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| DENMARK | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.143 | 0.094 | 0.119 |
| INDIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| IRAN | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| MEXICO | 7 | 7 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PERU | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 14 | 0 | - | - | - |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 4 | 0 | - | - | - |
| SPAIN | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| THAILAND | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0.089 | 0.021 | 0.055 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 61 | 1 | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.022 |
| Tin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0.233 | 0.021 | 0.061 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.114 | 0.114 | 0.114 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 13 | 21 | 0.069 | 0.021 | 0.035 |
| CANADA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| CHILE | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0.117 | 0.020 | 0.049 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0.042 | 0.025 | 0.031 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| DENMARK | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.113 | 0.035 | 0.082 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.272 | 0.272 | 0.272 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.072 | 0.072 | 0.072 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.235 | 1.086 | 1.161 |
| IRAN | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.053 | 0.053 | 0.053 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 16.500 | 0.244 | 8.372 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0.107 | 0.021 | 0.050 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.059 | 0.059 | 0.059 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 1 | 13 | 92.880 | 0.037 | 29.572 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | MAX (ppm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { (ppm) } \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0.049 | 0.029 | 0.039 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.031 | 0.027 | 0.029 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.092 | 0.022 | 0.050 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.040 | 0.028 | 0.034 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 20 | 42 | 0.378 | 0.021 | 0.057 |
| Titanium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 1 | 22 | 2.490 | 0.237 | 1.042 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.505 | 0.505 | 0.505 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 1 | 33 | 2.525 | 0.188 | 1.398 |
| CANADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.195 |
| CHILE | 6 | 0 | 6 | 3.621 | 0.194 | 1.205 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1.653 | 0.330 | 0.845 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.145 |
| DENMARK | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.746 | 0.488 | 0.648 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.542 | 0.516 | 0.529 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.381 | 0.381 | 0.381 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.584 | 0.510 | 0.547 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.637 | 0.637 | 0.637 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.351 | 0.211 | 0.281 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1.200 | 0.495 | 0.850 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.026 | 1.026 | 1.026 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 4 | 10 | 0.972 | 0.138 | 0.416 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1.055 | 0.116 | 0.496 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.029 | 0.991 | 1.010 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1.157 | 0.700 | 0.862 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.564 | 0.265 | 0.415 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 4 | 58 | 2.558 | 0.106 | 1.228 |
| Zinc |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARGENTINA | 23 | 1 | 22 | 4.636 | 0.122 | 2.039 |
| AUSTRIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.001 | 2.001 | 2.001 |
| BRAZIL | 34 | 0 | 34 | 3.631 | 0.176 | 1.838 |
| CANADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 |
| CHILE | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6.104 | 0.749 | 2.785 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2.203 | 0.426 | 1.304 |
| COLOMBIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.651 | 1.651 | 1.651 |
| DENMARK | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.996 | 0.828 | 0.915 |
| ECUADOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9.267 | 7.578 | 8.423 |
| INDIA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.413 | 1.413 | 1.413 |
| INDONESIA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6.997 | 5.344 | 6.171 |
| IRAN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.952 | 3.952 | 3.952 |
| ISRAEL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.830 | 0.830 | 0.830 |
| KOREA, REPUBLIC OF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.155 |
| LEBANON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.839 | 0.774 | 1.307 |
| MEXICO | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2.514 | 1.090 | 1.743 |
| PERU | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.521 | 3.521 | 3.521 |
| PHILIPPINES | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3.459 | 0.123 | 1.521 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# <br> Samples | Total \# <br> Negative | Total \# <br> Positive | MAX <br> $(\mathbf{p p m})$ | MIN <br> $(\mathbf{p p m})$ | MEAN <br> $(\mathbf{p p m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2.603 | 0.214 | 1.407 |
| SPAIN | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.714 | 1.440 | 1.577 |
| THAILAND | 8 | 0 | 8 | 5.863 | 3.304 | 4.449 |
| TURKEY | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2.571 | 0.397 | 1.484 |
| UNITED STATES | 62 | 0 | 62 | 12.160 | 0.390 | 2.434 |

## Appendix E

Table E-1: Metal analysis results in the concentrated sample by fruit concentrate type

| Metal Analyte | Total \# <br> Samples | Total \# <br> Negative | Total \# <br> Positive | MAX <br> (ppm) | MIN <br> $(\mathbf{p p m})$ | MEAN <br> (ppm) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aluminum |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 0 | 11 | 4.003 | 0.341 | 1.395 |
| Banana | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.115 | 0.115 | 0.115 |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 21.010 | 21.010 | 21.010 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19.420 | 19.420 | 19.420 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5.541 | 1.828 | 3.355 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 1 | 7 | 33.050 | 0.145 | 12.469 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3.124 | 0.195 | 1.176 |
| Grape | 48 | 1 | 47 | 20.380 | 0.126 | 3.847 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0.267 | 0.103 | 0.168 |
| Guava | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.505 | 0.484 | 0.495 |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18.930 | 18.930 | 18.930 |
| Lemon | 15 | 2 | 13 | 12.550 | 0.109 | 1.204 |
| Lime | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4.305 | 4.305 | 4.305 |
| Mango | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.404 | 0.404 | 0.404 |
| Orange | 46 | 2 | 44 | 2.678 | 0.115 | 0.662 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1.160 | 0.269 | 0.642 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.579 | 0.579 | 0.579 |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.448 | 1.448 | 1.448 |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 0 | 5 | 14.640 | 1.730 | 5.689 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 3 | 17 | 1.771 | 0.118 | 0.654 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3.098 | 0.569 | 1.834 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16.450 | 16.450 | 16.450 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.163 | 0.163 | 0.163 |
| Antimony |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 11 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Banana | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blackberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blueberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cherry | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cranberry | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0.058 | 0.057 | 0.058 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Grape | 48 | 42 | 6 | 0.239 | 0.030 | 0.120 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 8 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Guava | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Kiwi | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Lemon | 2 | 14 | 1 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.034 |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |  |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ \text { (ppm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { (ppm) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mango | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Orange | 46 | 46 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Peach | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pear | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 5 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pineapple | 20 | 20 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Plum | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Raspberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Tangerine | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arsenic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 1 | 10 | 0.067 | 0.011 | 0.031 |
| Banana | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.381 | 0.381 | 0.381 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.455 | 0.163 | 0.322 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0.614 | 0.018 | 0.312 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.019 | 0.006 | 0.010 |
| Grape | 48 | 3 | 45 | 0.647 | 0.006 | 0.117 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.022 | 0.006 | 0.011 |
| Guava | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 |
| Lemon | 15 | 4 | 11 | 0.041 | 0.006 | 0.016 |
| Lime | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| Mango | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| Orange | 46 | 25 | 21 | 0.018 | 0.005 | 0.010 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.040 |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0.092 | 0.031 | 0.058 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 9 | 11 | 0.049 | 0.007 | 0.023 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.048 | 0.038 | 0.043 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.062 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Beryllium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 11 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Banana | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blackberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blueberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cherry | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cranberry | 8 | 8 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Grape | 48 | 48 | 0 | - | - | - |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | MAX <br> (ppm) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MIN} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 8 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Guava | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Kiwi | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Lemon | 15 | 15 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Lime | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Mango | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Orange | 46 | 46 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Peach | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pear | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 5 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pineapple | 20 | 20 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Plum | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.036 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Boron |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 0 | 11 | 22.630 | 3.446 | 12.561 |
| Banana | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.401 | 1.401 | 1.401 |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15.570 | 15.570 | 15.570 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5.100 | 5.100 | 5.100 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 38.820 | 19.690 | 27.080 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 0 | 8 | 15.540 | 0.623 | 3.473 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2.741 | 0.287 | 1.838 |
| Grape | 48 | 0 | 48 | 54.940 | 0.278 | 21.573 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 0 | 8 | 4.407 | 2.075 | 2.872 |
| Guava | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.088 | 1.014 | 1.051 |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16.480 | 16.480 | 16.480 |
| Lemon | 15 | 0 | 15 | 7.721 | 0.137 | 4.237 |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3.722 | 2.406 | 3.064 |
| Mango | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.794 | 0.181 | 0.488 |
| Orange | 46 | 0 | 46 | 9.579 | 0.231 | 5.680 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4.741 | 2.081 | 3.817 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7.233 | 7.233 | 7.233 |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 21.630 | 21.630 | 21.630 |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 0 | 5 | 25.550 | 2.395 | 14.433 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 0 | 20 | 5.248 | 0.426 | 2.607 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 2 | 23.600 | 23.030 | 23.315 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9.540 | 9.540 | 9.540 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.087 | 2.087 | 2.087 |
| Cadmium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 7 | 4 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| Banana | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ \text { (ppm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { (ppm) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| Cherry | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cranberry | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.090 | 0.003 | 0.040 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| Grape | 48 | 23 | 25 | 0.024 | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| Guava | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| Lemon | 15 | 15 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Lime | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| Mango | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Orange | 46 | 40 | 6 | 0.018 | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0.044 | 0.038 | 0.041 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 5 | 15 | 0.027 | 0.002 | 0.011 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.003 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Chromium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0.050 | 0.012 | 0.032 |
| Banana | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.123 | 0.123 | 0.123 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.426 | 0.426 | 0.426 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.317 | 0.201 | 0.270 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0.387 | 0.058 | 0.142 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0.023 | 0.011 | 0.017 |
| Grape | 48 | 3 | 45 | 0.330 | 0.010 | 0.102 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.066 | 0.010 | 0.040 |
| Guava | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.027 | 0.015 | 0.021 |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.403 | 0.403 | 0.403 |
| Lemon | 15 | 2 | 13 | 0.306 | 0.014 | 0.068 |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.173 | 0.019 | 0.096 |
| Mango | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| Orange | 46 | 2 | 44 | 0.058 | 0.011 | 0.023 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.042 | 0.027 | 0.032 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.053 | 0.053 | 0.053 |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.038 |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.138 | 0.035 | 0.067 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0.143 | 0.022 | 0.056 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.069 | 0.026 | 0.048 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.079 | 0.079 | 0.079 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | MAX <br> (ppm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { (ppm) } \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tangerine | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 |
| Copper |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 0 | 11 | 1.079 | 0.056 | 0.465 |
| Banana | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.993 |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.411 | 0.411 | 0.411 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.444 | 1.444 | 1.444 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3.354 | 2.088 | 2.702 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1.180 | 0.108 | 0.646 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.327 | 0.060 | 0.210 |
| Grape | 48 | 2 | 46 | 14.420 | 0.033 | 0.703 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2.485 | 1.323 | 2.035 |
| Guava | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.624 | 0.443 | 0.534 |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.862 | 0.862 | 0.862 |
| Lemon | 15 | 0 | 15 | 2.647 | 0.035 | 1.467 |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2.407 | 1.719 | 2.063 |
| Mango | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.357 | 0.195 | 0.276 |
| Orange | 46 | 1 | 45 | 2.605 | 0.085 | 1.770 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2.705 | 0.558 | 1.759 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.557 | 1.557 | 1.557 |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.368 | 3.368 | 3.368 |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1.371 | 0.266 | 0.888 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 0 | 20 | 2.712 | 0.217 | 1.493 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.932 | 1.098 | 1.515 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.924 | 0.924 | 0.924 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.993 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iron |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 0 | 11 | 6.788 | 1.141 | 3.828 |
| Banana | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.763 | 2.763 | 2.763 |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 22.180 | 22.180 | 22.180 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12.790 | 12.790 | 12.790 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6.826 | 3.929 | 5.470 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 0 | 8 | 19.350 | 0.970 | 9.954 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4.379 | 1.191 | 2.533 |
| Grape | 48 | 0 | 48 | 44.410 | 0.385 | 8.951 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8.400 | 4.229 | 6.140 |
| Guava | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.525 | 1.174 | 1.350 |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 55.540 | 55.540 | 55.540 |
| Lemon | 15 | 0 | 15 | 31.400 | 0.742 | 7.850 |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | 2 | 22.060 | 4.460 | 13.260 |
| Mango | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.206 | 0.341 | 0.774 |
| Orange | 46 | 0 | 46 | 8.998 | 0.385 | 5.417 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 0 | 3 | 10.530 | 3.633 | 7.878 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5.409 | 5.409 | 5.409 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# <br> Samples | Total \# <br> Negative | Total \# <br> Positive | MAX <br> (ppm) | MIN <br> (ppm) | MEAN <br> (ppm) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pear | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | MAX (ppm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { (ppm) } \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2.125 | 0.966 | 1.546 |
| Mango | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.682 | 0.130 | 0.406 |
| Orange | 46 | 0 | 46 | 4.867 | 0.040 | 1.818 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2.607 | 0.983 | 1.993 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.900 | 1.900 | 1.900 |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.481 | 2.481 | 2.481 |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4.395 | 0.497 | 2.719 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 0 | 20 | 177.200 | 2.425 | 70.133 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5.313 | 5.260 | 5.287 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 70.200 | 70.200 | 70.200 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.548 | 1.548 | 1.548 |
| Mercury |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 11 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Banana | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blackberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blueberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cherry | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cranberry | 8 | 8 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Grape | 48 | 48 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 8 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Guava | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Kiwi | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Lemon | 15 | 15 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Lime | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Mango | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Orange | 46 | 46 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Peach | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pear | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 5 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pineapple | 20 | 20 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Plum | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Raspberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Tangerine | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Molybdenum |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 11 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Banana | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blackberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.162 | 0.162 | 0.162 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.129 | 0.092 | 0.110 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0.711 | 0.038 | 0.342 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | MAX (ppm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grape | 48 | 18 | 30 | 1.147 | 0.022 | 0.142 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0.070 | 0.036 | 0.052 |
| Guava | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.023 |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.154 | 0.154 | 0.154 |
| Lemon | 15 | 6 | 9 | 0.056 | 0.023 | 0.038 |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.052 | 0.048 | 0.050 |
| Mango | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Orange | 46 | 7 | 39 | 0.057 | 0.021 | 0.037 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.087 | 0.021 | 0.064 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.027 |
| Pear | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 5 | 15 | 0.115 | 0.020 | 0.046 |
| Plum | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.037 | 0.037 | 0.037 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.036 |
| Nickel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0.173 | 0.016 | 0.066 |
| Banana | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.837 | 0.837 | 0.837 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.748 | 0.748 | 0.748 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.351 | 0.065 | 0.176 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.641 | 0.019 | 0.274 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.051 | 0.027 | 0.035 |
| Grape | 48 | 2 | 46 | 0.236 | 0.013 | 0.067 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.125 | 0.068 | 0.096 |
| Guava | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.043 | 0.016 | 0.030 |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.459 | 0.459 | 0.459 |
| Lemon | 15 | 1 | 14 | 0.162 | 0.025 | 0.097 |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.111 | 0.095 | 0.103 |
| Mango | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.039 | 0.014 | 0.027 |
| Orange | 46 | 0 | 46 | 0.180 | 0.010 | 0.065 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.186 | 0.083 | 0.120 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.220 | 0.220 | 0.220 |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.440 | 0.073 | 0.217 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 0 | 20 | 1.225 | 0.031 | 0.380 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.619 | 0.387 | 0.503 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.831 | 1.831 | 1.831 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.045 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selenium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 10 | 1 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| Banana | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { (ppm) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blackberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blueberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cherry | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cranberry | 8 | 8 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Grape | 48 | 48 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 8 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Guava | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Kiwi | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Lemon | 15 | 14 | 1 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 |
| Lime | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Mango | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Orange | 46 | 44 | 2 | 0.032 | 0.022 | 0.027 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0.143 | 0.094 | 0.119 |
| Peach | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pear | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 5 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pineapple | 20 | 15 | 5 | 0.089 | 0.021 | 0.055 |
| Plum | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Raspberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Tangerine | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Tin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 3 | 8 | 0.114 | 0.020 | 0.039 |
| Banana | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.029 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.045 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.041 | 0.021 | 0.030 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0.164 | 0.041 | 0.089 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.194 | 0.028 | 0.087 |
| Grape | 48 | 14 | 34 | 16.500 | 0.021 | 0.529 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0.043 | 0.029 | 0.036 |
| Guava | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.043 |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| Lemon | 15 | 1 | 14 | 0.233 | 0.021 | 0.084 |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.059 | 0.052 | 0.056 |
| Mango | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Orange | 46 | 18 | 28 | 0.378 | 0.021 | 0.054 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0.272 | 0.272 | 0.272 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.030 |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0.244 | 0.040 | 0.112 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 0 | 20 | 92.880 | 0.022 | 19.340 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.034 | 0.022 | 0.028 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# Samples | Total \# Negative | Total \# Positive | MAX (ppm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { (ppm) } \end{gathered}$ | MEAN (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tangerine | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Titanium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1.542 | 0.330 | 0.679 |
| Banana | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.145 |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.878 | 1.878 | 1.878 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.737 | 1.737 | 1.737 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1.708 | 0.840 | 1.394 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2.128 | 0.194 | 1.032 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0.265 | 0.116 | 0.191 |
| Grape | 48 | 3 | 45 | 2.490 | 0.115 | 0.950 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2.558 | 1.019 | 1.886 |
| Guava | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.202 | 0.106 | 0.154 |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.621 | 3.621 | 3.621 |
| Lemon | 15 | 1 | 14 | 2.059 | 0.261 | 0.893 |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.473 | 1.026 | 1.250 |
| Mango | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Orange | 46 | 2 | 44 | 2.525 | 0.188 | 1.439 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.542 | 0.207 | 0.422 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.316 | 0.316 | 0.316 |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.622 | 0.622 | 0.622 |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.744 | 0.211 | 0.492 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 0 | 20 | 1.157 | 0.138 | 0.608 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.037 | 0.908 | 0.973 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.335 | 1.335 | 1.335 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.129 | 1.129 | 1.129 |
| Zinc |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple | 11 | 0 | 11 | 2.001 | 0.214 | 0.933 |
| Banana | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.651 | 1.651 | 1.651 |
| Blackberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5.218 | 5.218 | 5.218 |
| Blueberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6.945 | 6.945 | 6.945 |
| Cherry | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3.207 | 2.155 | 2.856 |
| Cranberry | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3.229 | 0.431 | 1.845 |
| Fruit Mix | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.461 | 0.337 | 0.398 |
| Grape | 48 | 1 | 47 | 5.551 | 0.110 | 1.804 |
| Grapefruit | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3.000 | 1.789 | 2.342 |
| Guava | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.026 | 0.932 | 0.979 |
| Kiwi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.614 | 3.614 | 3.614 |
| Lemon | 15 | 0 | 15 | 3.360 | 0.123 | 2.156 |
| Lime | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3.631 | 3.521 | 3.576 |
| Mango | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.572 | 0.289 | 0.431 |
| Orange | 46 | 1 | 45 | 2.519 | 0.155 | 1.835 |
| Passion Fruit | 3 | 0 | 3 | 9.267 | 2.203 | 6.349 |
| Peach | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.603 | 2.603 | 2.603 |


| Metal Analyte | Total \# <br> Samples | Total \# <br> Negative | Total \# <br> Positive | MAX <br> $(\mathbf{p p m})$ | MIN <br> $(\mathbf{p p m})$ | MEAN <br> $(\mathbf{p p m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pear |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pomegranate | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4.636 | 4.636 | 4.636 |
| Pineapple | 20 | 0 | 5 | 3.952 | 0.774 | 2.416 |
| Plum | 2 | 0 | 20 | 6.997 | 0.605 | 3.342 |
| Raspberry | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6.391 | 6.104 | 6.248 |
| Tangerine | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.160 | 12.160 | 12.160 |

