

## **Food Safety Action Plan**

#### **REPORT**

2011-2012 Targeted Surveys

Targeted Survey Investigating Bacteria of Concern in **Green Onions** 







## **Table of Contents**

E	xecutive Summary	2
1	Introduction	3
	1.1 Food Safety Action Plan	3
	1.2 Targeted Surveys	3
	1.3 Codes of Practice, Acts, and Regulations	4
2	Survey on Green Onions	5
	2.1 Rationale	5
	2.2 Targeted Microorganisms	6
	2.2.1 Bacterial Pathogens (Salmonella, E. coli O157 and Shigella)	6
	2.2.2 Generic E. coli - an Indicator of Fecal Contamination	6
	2.3 Sample Collection	6
	2.4 Sample Distribution	7
	2.5 Method Details	7
	2.6 Assessment Guidelines	8
	2.7 Survey Limitations	9
3	Results	10
4	Discussion and Conclusion	11
5	References	12
$\mathbf{A}$	ppendix A: List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	14
$\mathbf{A}$	ppendix B: Global Foodborne Disease Outbreaks Associated With Green Onic Contaminated with Microbial Pathogens (1994 – March 2011)*	
A	ppendix C: Analytical Methods Used for Microbial Analysis	16

## **Executive Summary**

The Food Safety Action Plan (FSAP) aims to modernize and enhance Canada's food safety system in order to better protect Canadians from unsafe food and ultimately reduce the occurrence of foodborne illness.

Green onions have been reported to be responsible for several outbreaks of foodborne illness in North America. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization (FAO/WHO) has ranked green onions in the second highest priority group of concern in terms of microbiological hazards among fresh fruits and vegetables. Often eaten raw, green onions are subject to extensive handling during and after harvest where pathogens can be introduced at any step in the production. *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) O157 have been identified as the primary bacterial pathogens of concern in green onions.

Considering these factors and their relevance to Canadians, green onions have been selected as one of the priority commodity groups of fresh fruits and vegetables for enhanced surveillance under the FSAP. Over the course of this four-year baseline study (2010/11 to 2013/14), approximately 4,500 green onion samples will be collected from retail locations and tested for the presence of various pathogens of concern.

The main objectives of this targeted survey (2011/12) were to generate baseline surveillance data on bacterial pathogens *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and *E. coli* O157, and on generic *E. coli* (an indicator of fecal contamination) for green onions available in the Canadian market. In total, 1154 samples of green onions (imported and domestic, conventionally and organically grown) were collected and tested. Bacterial pathogens were not detected in any of the green onion samples tested and levels of generic *E. coli* were always found to be acceptable. These results suggest that green onions in the Canadian market sampled during this survey were produced under Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) and Good Manufacturing Practices (GMPs).

The Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) regulates and provides oversight to the industry, works with provinces and territories, and promotes safe handling of foods throughout the food production chain. However, it is important to note that the food industry and retail sectors in Canada are ultimately responsible for the food they produce and sell, while individual consumers are responsible for the safe handling of the food they have in their possession. Moreover, general advice for the consumer on the safe handling of foods is widely available. The CFIA will continue its surveillance activities and inform stakeholders of its findings.

#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Food Safety Action Plan

In 2007, the Canadian government launched a five-year initiative in response to a growing number of product recalls and concerns about food safety. This initiative, called the Food and Consumer Safety Action Plan (FCSAP) (1), aims to modernize and strengthen Canada's safety system for food, health and consumer products. The FCSAP initiative unites multiple partners in ensuring safe food for Canadians.

The Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA)'s Food Safety Action Plan (FSAP) (2) is one element of the government's broader FCSAP initiative. The goal of the FSAP is to identify risks in the food supply, limit the possibility of occurrence of these risks, improve import and domestic food controls, and identify food importers and manufacturers.

Within the FSAP, there are 12 main areas of activity, one of which is risk mapping and baseline surveillance. The main objective of this area is to better identify, assess and prioritize potential food safety hazards through risk mapping, information gathering and analysis of foods in the Canadian marketplace. Targeted surveys are one tool used to test for the presence and level of particular hazards in specific foods.

#### 1.2 Targeted Surveys

Targeted surveys are used to gather information regarding the potential occurrence of hazards in food commodities. The microbiological targeted surveys aim to establish baseline data on priority and/or emerging microbiological hazards in targeted commodities, primarily fresh fruits and vegetables and imported food ingredients. A statistically significant number of samples will be collected over several years to allow for seasonal and/or production variations. This work differs from regular CFIA microbiological monitoring activities which test samples of a broad range of commodities for multiple hazards and are aimed to determine the compliance of defined lots with established microbial standards or guidelines for regulatory purposes.

To identify food-hazard combinations of greatest potential health risk for the targeted surveys, the CFIA uses a combination of scientific literature, documented outbreaks of foodborne illness, and/or information gathered from the Food Safety Science Committee, a group of Canadian federal, provincial and territorial subject matter experts in the area of food safety (3).

This targeted survey (2011/12) represents part of the collection of over 4,500 green onion samples over four years (2010/11 - 2013/14) and was designed to gather baseline

information on the occurrence of microbial pathogens of concern, as well as the presence and levels of generic *Escherichia coli*, in green onions available to Canadians at retail.

#### 1.3 Codes of Practice, Acts, and Regulations

International food safety standards, codes of practice, and guidelines relating to food, food production and food safety are developed under the joint Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization (FAO/WHO) Codex Alimentarius Commission. Producers of fresh fruits and vegetables are encouraged to follow these international codes of practice. Of relevance for this survey are the *Code of Hygienic Practice for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables* (CAC/RCP 53-2003) (4) and the *Recommended International Code of Practice - General Principles of Food Hygiene* (CAC/RCP 1-1969) (5). These codes address Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) and Good Manufacturing Practices (GMPs) which, when applied, control and reduce the potential for contamination with microbial, chemical, and physical hazards at all stages of the production of fresh fruits and vegetables, from primary production to packaging.

Fresh fruits and vegetables available in the Canadian market must comply with the *Food and Drugs Act* (FDA) (6) and the *Food and Drug Regulations* (FDR) (7), which prescribe certain restrictions on the production, importation, sale, composition and content of foods and food products. Section 4(1)a of the FDA prohibits the sale of food contaminated with foodborne pathogens, while sections 4(1)e and 7 prohibit the sale of unsafe food and food produced under unsanitary conditions.

Fresh fruits and vegetables that are imported or domestically produced and marketed interprovincially must also comply with the safety requirements of the *Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Regulations* (8) under the *Canada Agricultural Products Act* (9). These regulations are intended to ensure that fresh fruits and vegetables sold to consumers are safe, wholesome and properly graded, packaged and labelled.

The *Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Regulations*, and the food-related sections of the FDA and FDR are enforced by the CFIA.

The FSAP targeted surveys are primarily conducted for surveillance and not for regulatory compliance purposes. However, results indicating a potential risk to public health for any samples tested under this survey will trigger food safety investigations, including activities such as follow-up sampling, inspections of facilities, and consultations with Health Canada for health risk assessments. Depending on the findings, a recall of the affected product may be warranted.

### 2 Survey on Green Onions

#### 2.1 Rationale

Green onions have been reported to be responsible for several outbreaks of foodborne illness in North America. From 1994 to March 2012, there were eight documented outbreaks associated with green onions contaminated with microbial pathogens (Appendix B). Some of these outbreaks were associated with the bacterial pathogens *Shigella*, *Salmonella*, and *E. coli* O157. Surveys of fresh produce conducted in 1999 and 2000/2001 by the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) (10, 11) have also identified the presence of *Shigella* or *Salmonella* in 3.2% of domestic and 1.7% of imported scallions/green onion samples tested, suggesting that green onions were generally more likely to be contaminated than many other vegetables analyzed in those surveys.

Green onions are grown at ground level and, as such, can be contaminated in the field by the use of improperly composted manure, wildlife feces or untreated irrigation water. The unique structure of green onions, with their moist hollow tubular leaves, offers ideal growth conditions and protection from washing for microbial pathogens. In addition, green onions require extensive handling during harvesting and packaging and can, therefore, be contaminated by infected handlers. During processing, the use of contaminated water for rinsing, cooling and icing also represents a potential source of pathogen introduction. Even though pathogens associated with green onions can be destroyed with cooking, their presence creates a potential risk for foodborne illness as green onions are often consumed raw.

Green onions were classified in the second highest priority group of concern in terms of microbiological hazards among fresh fruits and vegetables during a joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting in 2007 (12). This was based on multiple factors, such as historical outbreaks and potential for contamination by pathogens.

Based on the above information and the Food Safety Science Committee's recommendations (3), green onions have been selected for enhanced surveillance under the FSAP. The overall objective of this surveillance is to gather baseline information on the occurrence of pathogens of concern (pathogenic bacteria, viruses and parasites) and an indicator of fecal contamination in green onions available to Canadians at retail.

This targeted survey (2011/12) is part of the information collection with a focus on investigating the presence and distribution of bacterial pathogens (*Shigella*, *E. coli* O157:H7/NM, and *Salmonella*) and the presence, distribution and levels of generic *E. coli* (an indicator of fecal contamination) in imported and domestic, conventionally and organically grown green onions.

#### 2.2 Targeted Microorganisms

#### 2.2.1 Bacterial Pathogens (Salmonella, E. coli O157 and Shigella)

Bacterial pathogens *Salmonella* and *E. coli* O157, are found naturally in the intestines of animals, such as poultry and cattle, respectively (13). Most outbreaks associated with these bacterial pathogens are linked to the consumption of contaminated food of animal origin (e.g., chicken, and beef). However, in the last decade, fresh fruits and vegetables have emerged as significant sources of these bacterial pathogens related illnesses (14). Fruits and vegetables can become contaminated with *Salmonella* and *E. coli* O157 in the field, by improperly composted manure, contaminated water, and/or wildlife feces (15).

Humans are the only host of *Shigella*. Food contaminated by infected food handlers and water contaminated with human feces are the most common causes of shigellosis. Shigellosis illnesses have been known to be associated with consumption of contaminated fruits, vegetables, shellfish, and chicken (13).

#### 2.2.2 Generic E. coli - an Indicator of Fecal Contamination

Typically, *E. coli* bacteria that inhabit the large intestines of humans and animals are harmless. Due to their regular presence in the stools of humans and animals, the occurrence of *E. coli* in foods indicates direct or indirect contamination with fecal matter (16). The presence of generic *E. coli* in foods can also indicate potential contamination with pathogenic enteric microorganisms, such as *Salmonella* or *E. coli* O157 that also live in the intestines of infectious humans and animals. It is important to note that the presence of generic *E. coli* in food only implies the increased risk of contamination with pathogenic microorganisms but does not conclusively indicate that these pathogens are present. High levels of generic *E. coli* in fresh produce sold at retail are an indication that contamination has occurred at some point between production and the time of sale.

#### 2.3 Sample Collection

All samples were collected from national retail chains and local/regional grocery stores as well as other conventional retail and natural food stores and farmers' markets located in various cities across Canada. The number of samples collected in various regions across Canada was based on the relative proportion of the population in the respective regions. Samples were collected between May 2011 and March 2012. Domestic samples were collected during the summer months (June-September). Imported samples were collected primarily in the fall, winter, and spring months. Samples that were labelled as organic at retail were identified as "organic" in this survey. Other samples were identified as "conventional"

For this survey, a sample consisted of a single sample unit (e.g., individual consumer-size bundle(s) from a single lot) with a total weight of at least 200 g. This sampling approach is common for surveys conducted at retail, and is also used by other federal partners such as the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) for the retail component of their FoodNet Surveys (17). Collected samples were required to be shipped under conditions that limited the growth of microorganisms during transit. Samples were declared "unfit" for analysis if there were issues regarding the conditions in which they were handled or shipped.

#### 2.4 Sample Distribution

**Table 1. Distribution of Green Onion Samples** 

(Percentages of total number of samples are shown in brackets)

Product Origin	Production	Total		
Troduct Origin	Conventional	Organic	Total	
Imported	591 (51.2%)	183 (15.9%)	774 (67.1%)	
Domestic	361 (31.3%)	19 (1.6%)	380 (32.9%)	
Total	952 (82.5%)	202 (17.5%)	1154 (100%)	

The majority of the imported samples originated from Mexico (78.7%), followed by the USA (17.6%). Two imported samples came from South Africa, and 27 samples, collected during the cold season, were from unidentified foreign countries.

All of the organic imported samples originated from either Mexico (86.3%) or the USA (13.7%).

#### 2.5 Method Details

The samples were analyzed using the analytical methods published in Health Canada's *Compendium of Analytical Methods for the Microbiological Analysis of Foods* (18) (Appendix C). These methods are used for regulatory testing by the CFIA and are fully validated for the analysis of fresh fruits and vegetables, including green onions.

For the detection of *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and *E. coli* O157, samples were analyzed by cultural presence/absence methods. The laboratories had the option of using rapid Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) based screening methods to first screen enrichment broths for the presence of DNA from the pathogen of interest, followed by cultural confirmation of presumptive positives.

Enumeration of generic *E. coli* was accomplished by the most probable number (MPN) or direct plating procedure.

#### 2.6 Assessment Guidelines

The assessment criteria presented below (Table 2 and Table 3) are based on the principles of the *Health Products and Food Branch Standards and Guidelines for Microbiological Safety of Foods* (18) and associated methods published in Health Canada's *Compendium of Analytical Method* (19).

Table 2. Assessment Guidelines for Bacterial Pathogens in Green Onions

Bacterial Analysis*	Assessment Criteria		
(Method Identification Number)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
E. coli O157:H7/NM (MFLP-30, Supplement 1 & 2, and MFLP-80)	Absent in 25 g	Present in 25 g	
Salmonella spp.** (MFLP-29 modified and MFHPB-20)	Absent in 25 g	Present in 25 g	
Shigella spp.** (MFLP-26 and MFLP-25)	Absent in 25 g	Present in 25 g	

<sup>\*</sup> Compendium of Analytical Methods (19).

Table 3. Assessment Guidelines for Generic E. coli in Green Onions

Bacterial Analysis*	Assessment Criteria				
(Method Identification Number)	Satisfactory	Investigative	Unsatisfactory		
Generic <i>E. coli</i> (MFHPB-19 or MFHPB-27)**	≤ 100	$100 < x \le 1,000$	> 1,000		

<sup>\*</sup> Compendium of Analytical Methods (19).

Unsatisfactory sample assessments are subject to follow-up actions, such as directed follow-up sampling, inspection of establishment, health risk assessment, and/or product action (e.g., product recall).

<sup>\*\*</sup>No criteria have been established by Health Canada at this time for these bacterial pathogens in fresh fruits and vegetables. However, in the absence of a specified criteria, presence in foods is considered to be a violation of FDA Section 4(1)a and is therefore assessed by the CFIA as unsatisfactory.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Concentration unit depends on method used. For MFHPB-19 method: MPN/g, for MFHPB-27 method: CFU/g.

Samples assessed as investigative require some follow-up activity. This could include, for example, further sampling to verify the levels of generic *E. coli* in the samples in question.

#### 2.7 Limitations

Samples tested during this survey were collected at retail locations across Canada, as opposed to monitoring samples that are picked up at distribution points and warehouses. As such, products sampled at retail could be mixed and originate from different shipments and/or suppliers. Though this represents what the Canadian consumer experiences, this imposes certain limitations with respect to the traceability of the products and the identification of the source of contamination in the case of positive results.

Results obtained for a targeted survey sample are from the analysis of a single sample unit. This sampling and testing strategy precludes the extrapolation of the laboratory result to the whole production lot as it is not statistically representative. This imposes certain limitations in the interpretation of the results to the specific lot in the absence of additional information.

Finally, given the seasonality, as well as the varying channels of commerce, the source of the products can change dramatically from one season to the next. As such, there is an insufficient number of samples in this survey to carry out a detailed analysis of the results based on a country of origin. In cases of positive results, unsatisfactory rates between countries are not considered to be statistically comparable.

## 3 Results

All of the green onion samples analysed were assessed as satisfactory (Table 4). Bacterial pathogens *Salmonella*, *E. coli* O157:H7/NM and *Shigella* were not detected in any of samples, and levels of generic *E. coli* were always deemed acceptable.

Table 4. Summary of the Results for Green Onion Samples Analyzed for E. coli O157:H7/NM, Salmonella, Shigella and Generic E. coli

Product	Production	Number of Samples	Assessment			
Origin	Practice		Satisfactory	Investigative	Unsatisfactory	
	Conventional	591	591	0	0	
Imported	Organic	183	183	0	0	
	Conventional	361	361	0	0	
Domestic	Organic	19	19	0	0	
Total		1154	1154 (100%)	0	0	

#### **4 Discussion and Conclusion**

The results of this survey (2011/12) indicate that bacterial pathogens *Salmonella*, *E. coli* O157 and *Shigella* were not detected in any of the 1154 green onion samples tested. Furthermore, the indicator of fecal contamination generic *E. coli* was not detected or detected at acceptable levels in all the samples. As a result, all the samples of green onions tested during this survey were assessed as satisfactory.

Surveys conducted by the US FDA on bacterial pathogens in fresh produce in 1999 and 2000/2001 identified that 1.7% of imported and 3.2% of domestic green onions sampled were contaminated with either *Shigella* or *Salmonella* (10, 11). More recent studies from other jurisdictions on Ontario and Alberta grown produce (20, 21), and testing from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Microbiological Data Program on fresh produce sold in the USA (22) have shown results similar to those obtained in CFIA's surveys with regards to contamination of green onions with bacterial pathogens, with levels ranging from 0 to 0.8%.

The overall finding of this survey suggests that green onions in the Canadian market are typically produced and handled under acceptable GAPs and GMPs.

While the food industry and retail sectors in Canada are ultimately responsible for the food they produce and sell, and individual consumers are responsible for the safe handling of the food they have in their possession, the CFIA regulates the industry, provides oversight and promotes safe handling of foods throughout the food production chain. Surveillance activities will continue and the CFIA will inform stakeholders of its findings.

#### **5 References**

- (1) Government of Canada. *Food and Consumer Product Safety Action Plan*. [online]. April 2009. Accessed March 2011, <a href="http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/hidb-bdih/initiative-eng.aspx?Hi=85">http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/hidb-bdih/initiative-eng.aspx?Hi=85</a>
- (2) Canadian Food Inspection Agency. *Food Safety Action Plan*. [online] 2009, Accessed March 2011, <a href="http://www.inspection.gc.ca/english/fssa/concen/concengov/govplane.shtml">http://www.inspection.gc.ca/english/fssa/concen/concengov/govplane.shtml</a>
- (3) Canadian Food Inspection Agency. *Food Safety Science Committee Summary Report 2008*. Accessed March 21, 2011, <a href="http://merlin.cfia-acia.inspection.gc.ca/english/fssa/inveng/guidoce.asp#refman5">http://merlin.cfia-acia.inspection.gc.ca/english/fssa/inveng/guidoce.asp#refman5</a>
- (4) CODEX Alimentarius Committee on Food Hygiene. *The Code of Hygienic Practice for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables (CAC/RCP 53-2003)*. [online]. 2011. Accessed March 2011, <a href="http://www.codexalimentarius.net/download/standards/10200/CXP\_053e.pdf">http://www.codexalimentarius.net/download/standards/10200/CXP\_053e.pdf</a>
- (5) CODEX Alimentarius Committee on Food Hygiene. *Recommended International Code of Practice General Principles of Food Hygiene (CAC/RCP 1-1969)*. [online]. 2011. Accessed March 2011, http://www.codexalimentarius.net/download/standards/23/cxp\_001e.pdf
- (6) Department of Justice Canada. *Food and Drugs Act* [online]. 2008 June, Accessed 2012 Oct, http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/F-27/
- (7) Department of Justice Canada. *Food and Drug Regulations* [online]. 2012 August, Accessed 2012 Oct, http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/regulations/C.R.C.,\_c.\_870/index.html
- (8) Department of Justice Canada. Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Regulations [online]. 2011 September, Accessed 2012 Oct, http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/regulations/C.R.C.,\_c.\_285/index.html
- (9) Department of Justice Canada. *Canada Agricultural Products Act* [online]. 2005 December, Accessed 2012 Oct, http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-0.4/
- (10) US FDA. FDA Survey of Domestic Fresh Produce FY 2000/2001 Field Assignment. [online]. 2011. Accessed 2011, http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodSafety/Product-SpecificInformation/FruitsVegetablesJuices/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/ucm118306.htm
- (11) US FDA. FDA Survey of Imported Fresh Produce FY 1999 Field Assignment. [online]. 2001. Accessed 2011, http://www.fda.gov/food/guidanceregulation/guidancedocumentsregulatoryinformat ion/produceplantproducts/ucm118891.htm
- (12) WHO/FAO. *Microbiological Risk Assessment Series Microbiological Hazards in Fresh fruits and vegetables* [online]. 2008. Accessed 2013, http://typo3.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/agns/pdf/jemra/FFV\_2007\_Final.pdf
- (13) US FDA. Bad Bug Book: Foodborne Pathogenic Microorganisms and Natural Toxins Handbook. 2nd Edition. 2012. Available at URL:

- $http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/Foodborne Illness Contaminants/UCM 297627.\\pdf$
- (14) Kozak G.K., MacDonald D., Landry L., Farber J.M. *Foodborne Outbreaks in Canada Linked to Produce:* 2001 through 2009. Journal of Food protection, Vol.76, No.1, 2013, Pages 173-183
- (15) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Ongoing multistate outbreak of Escherichia coli serotype O157:H7 infections associated with consumption of fresh spinach--United States, September 2006. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2006;55(38):1045-6.
- (16) Forsythe, S.J. *The Microbiology of Safe Food*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 2011.
- (17) Public Health Agency of Canada. *C-Enternet*. <a href="http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/c-enternet/index-eng.php">http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/c-enternet/index-eng.php</a>
- (18) Health Canada. Health Products and Food Branch Standards and Guidelines for the Microbiological Safety of Food an Interpretive Summary [online]. 2008. Accessed October 2012, <a href="http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/res-rech/analy-meth/microbio/volume1/intsum-somexp-eng.php">http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/res-rech/analy-meth/microbio/volume1/intsum-somexp-eng.php</a>
- (19) Health Canada. *Compendium of Analytical Method*. [online]. Accessed March, 2011, http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/res-rech/analy-meth/microbio/index-eng.php
- (20) L. Arthur, S. Jones, M. Fabri and J.Odumeru, *Microbial Survey of selected Ontario-Gorwn Fresh Fruits and Vegetables*. Journal of Food Protection, Vol. 70, No. 12, 2007, Pages 2864-2867.
- (21) V.M. Bohaychuk, R.W. Bradbury, R.Dimock and al. A Microbiological Survey of Selected Alberta-Grown Fresh Produce from Farmers' Market in Alberta, Canada. Journal of Food Protection, Vol. 72, No. 2, 2009, Pages 415-420
- (22) USDA Microbiological Data Program, Program Data and Reports, 2002 to 2009, Accessed March 2013, http://www.ams.usda.gov/AMSv1.0/ams.fetchTemplateData.do?template=Template O&navID=MDPProgramOverview&rightNav1=MDPProgramOverview&topNav=&leftNav=ScienceandLaboratories&page=MDPProgramReports&resultType=&acc t=microbiodataprg

## **Appendix A: List of Acronyms and Abbreviations**

**CFIA**: Canadian Food Inspection Agency

**CDC**: Centres for Disease Control and Prevention

**CFU**: colony forming unit

CFU/g: colony forming units per gram

E. coli: Escherichia coli

FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

FDA: Food and Drugs Act

**FDR**: Food and Drug Regulations

FCSAP: Food and Consumer Safety Action Plan

**FSAP**: Food Safety Action Plan

**GAPs**: Good Agricultural Practices

**GMPs**: Good Manufacturing Practices

MFHPB: Health Protection Branch/ Microbiology Food Health Protection Branch

**MFLP**: Microbiology Food Laboratory Procedures

**MPN**: Most Probable Number

NM: non-motile

**PCR:** Polymerase Chain Reaction

**PFGE:** Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis

**PHAC:** Public Health Agency of Canada

spp.: species

**USDA**: United States Department of Agriculture

**US FDA**: United States Food and Drug Administration

**WHO**: World Health Organization

g: gram

# Appendix B: Global Foodborne Disease Outbreaks Associated With Green Onions Contaminated with Microbial Pathogens (1994 – March 2012)\*

Year	Micro-organisms	Vehicle	Country	Cases	Source
1994	Shigella flexineri	Onion, green	USA, Multi-state	97	Outbreak alert database, Center for Science in the Public Interest
1996	Hepatitis A Virus	Onion, green	USA	60	CDC line list 1996**
1997	Cryptosporidium parvum (Protozoan parasite)	Onion, green (suspected)	USA	54	US FDA: Analysis and Evaluation of Preventive Control Measures for the Control and Reduction/Elimination of Microbial Hazards on Fresh-cut Produce, Chapter IV**
1998	Hepatitis A Virus	Onion, green	USA	43	J Infect Dis 2001 18398):1273-6**
2000	Hepatitis A Virus	Onion, green / scallions	USA, Multi-state	32	Outbreak alert database, Center for Science in the Public Interest
2003	Hepatitis A Virus	Onion, green	USA	742	MMWR November 28, 2003. 52(47);1155-1157**
2006	Escherichia coli O157:H7	Onion, green / scallions (suspected)	USA	300	CDC**
2010	Salmonella Oranienberg	Onion, green (suspected)	Canada	25	Foodborne Illness Outbreaks, Food Safety News

<sup>\*</sup> The data presented were collected from several sources of information, such as peer-reviewed journals, newspapers, press releases, health units, national laboratory and government websites.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Information provided by Judy D. Greig, Laboratory for Foodborne Zoonoses , PHAC

## **Appendix C: Analytical Methods Used for Microbial Analysis**

<b>Bacterial Analysis</b>	Method Identification Number (Date Issued)*	Title of Method		
		Detection of <i>Shigella</i> spp. in Foods by the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)		
	MFLP-25 (March 2006)	Isolation and Identification of Shigella spp. from Foods		
E. coli O157:H7/NM	MFLP-30 (May 2003, Supplement 1 May 2005 & Supplement 2 November 2006)	The Dupont Qualicon Bax® System Method for the Detection of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 in Raw Beef and Fruit Juice		
	MFLP-80 (March 2008)	Isolation of E. coli O157:H7 or NM in Foods		
Salmonella spp.	MFLP-29** (July 2007, modified)	The Qualicon Bax® System Method for the Detection of Salmonella in a Variety of Food and Environmental Samples		
	MFHPB-20 (March 2009)	Methods for the Isolation and Identification of <i>Salmonella</i> from Foods and Environmental Samples		
Generic E. coli	MFHPB-19 (April 2002)	Enumeration of Coliforms, Faecal Coliforms and of <i>E. coli</i> in Foods		
	MFHPB-27 (September 1997)	Enumeration of <i>Escherichia coli</i> in Foods by the Direct Plating (DP) Method		

<sup>\*</sup> Published in the Compendium of Analytical Methods (22)

<sup>\*\*</sup> MFLP-29 was performed as written with the following modification: Secondary enrichment was performed as outlined for cantaloupes, i.e., transferred from buffered peptone broth as specified to RVS and TBG broths (Rappaport-Vassiliadis Soya Peptone broth and Tetrathionate Brilliant Green broth) and incubated for 24 ± 2 h at 42.5°C. After incubation 2 ml from each of RVS and TBG are combined to one sample and proceed with step 7.3.1.4 of the method.