



CANADIAN COMMISSION ON  
BUILDING AND FIRE CODES



# Energy

●●● **User's Guide**  
**National Energy Code of Canada for Buildings 2020**



National Research  
Council Canada

Conseil national de  
recherches Canada

Canada 

---

# **User's Guide – National Energy Code of Canada for Buildings 2020**

**Issued by the  
Canadian Commission on Building and Fire Codes  
National Research Council of Canada**

This publication was made possible with the technical and financial support of:



Natural Resources  
Canada

Ressources naturelles  
Canada

First Edition 2014  
Second Edition 2019  
Third Edition 2019  
Fourth Edition 2024

NRCC-CONST-56652E

© His Majesty the King in Right of Canada, as represented by  
the National Research Council of Canada, 2024

Paper: ISBN 978-0-660-67693-7 Cat. No. NR24-24/1-2023E  
PDF: ISBN 978-0-660-67692-0 Cat. No. NR24-24/1-2023E-PDF

Printed in Canada

First Printing

Aussi disponible en français :

Guide de l'utilisateur du Code national de l'énergie pour les bâtiments – Canada 2020  
NRCC-CONST-56652F

Papier : ISBN 978-0-660-67695-1

PDF : ISBN 978-0-660-67694-4

NR24-24/1-2023F

---

# Table of Contents

## Introduction

**Commentary on Part 3 Building Envelope**

**Commentary on Part 4 Lighting**

**Commentary on Part 5 Heating, Ventilating and Air-conditioning Systems**

**Commentary on Part 6 Service Water Systems**

**Commentary on Part 7 Electrical Power Systems and Motors**

**Commentary on Part 8 Building Energy Performance Compliance Path**

**Commentary on Part 10 Tiered Building Energy Performance Compliance**



# Introduction

This is the fourth edition of the User's Guide – National Energy Code of Canada for Buildings (NECB). It is intended to complement the NECB 2020 and increase users' understanding of the Code's intent and application in the field; as such, it is not to be used as a standalone document. The User's Guide contains background information, explanatory material and, in some cases, suggested approaches to certain design or compliance matters, but does not contain mandatory requirements and is neither a textbook nor a design guide. The figures it contains are schematic only and the examples are illustrative only: they do not represent design recommendations.

The User's Guide comprises commentaries on NECB Parts 3 to 8, and 10 but does not address each and every Article of the NECB: content was developed for subject areas that were deemed to require further explanation or detailed examples and calculations.

## Development

**GOVERNANCE CHANGE NOTE:** The national code development system underwent a governance change in November 2022 to support efforts to harmonize construction codes in jurisdictions throughout Canada. The Canadian Commission on Building and Fire Codes (CCBFC), which had been in place since 1991, was dissolved and replaced by a new governance model in which the Canadian Board for Harmonized Construction Codes (CBHCC) is responsible for developing, approving and maintaining the National Model Codes based on the strategic priorities set by the Canadian Table for Harmonized Construction Codes Policy. The 2020 National Model Codes and the related User's Guides were developed by the CCBFC; however, the User's Guides were approved for publication by the CBHCC.

This edition of the User's Guide – NECB is based on the 2017 edition of the User's Guide. New content was developed for the 2020 edition to address new and updated requirements in the NECB 2020.

The User's Guide was prepared by the Task Group on User's Guides of the Standing Committee on Energy Efficiency. Members of the Task Group include:

S. Dueck (Chair)	T. Lau
P. Andres	C. O'Keefe
M. Chatfield	H. Schroeder
L. Dalglish	L. Wynder
D. Guidolin	

The User's Guide was reviewed by the Standing Committee on Energy Efficiency and recommended to the CBHCC for publication. Members of the Standing Committee include:

A. Pride (Chair)	B. Deeks	T. Lau	M. Peer
P. Andres	S. Dueck	N. Lessard	J. Pockar
D. Bailey	L. Hasan	W. Leung	D. Rambaruth
R. Bortolussi	T. Imhoff	R. Marshall	M. Roy
J. Comtois	S. Kemp	Z. May	L. Wynder
S. Crowell	D. Krauel	A. Oding	
L. Dalglish	C. Kuruluk	C. O'Keefe	

The following Codes Canada staff members within the Construction Research Centre at the National Research Council of Canada (NRC) provided technical and administrative support to the Task Group and Standing Committee:

T. Achour	M. A. Nazim
Y. Carrier	T. Wise
A. Laroche	R. Ullah
T. Maeiyat	M. Zeghal
H. Martin	

Special thanks are owed to J. Baker, A. Bigonnesse, R. Boulay, F. Genest and G. Sturgeon for contributing their technical expertise to the development of this User's Guide.

## Overview of the NECB

### Objectives

As with the other National Model Codes, the NECB is an objective-based code. Its primary objective is to limit the negative impact of building design and construction on the environment (objective "OE Environment"). Specifically, its provisions aim to limit the probability that buildings will use an excessive amount of energy (sub-objective "OE1.1 excessive use of energy"). Whereas the other Codes address different objectives of safety, health, protection of buildings and accessibility, the NECB's sole objective is energy efficiency. For example, while the NECB contains requirements related to the power demand of ventilation fan systems, it does not have ventilation flow requirements as these primarily address the health objective and are found in the National Building Code of Canada.

### NECB Parts Covered in this Guide

The User's Guide addresses the technical provisions of the NECB, which are contained in NECB Parts 3 to 8 and 10 of Division B:

- Part 3: Building Envelope
- Part 4: Lighting
- Part 5: Heating, Ventilating and Air-conditioning Systems
- Part 6: Service Water Systems
- Part 7: Electrical Power Systems and Motors
- Part 8: Building Energy Performance Compliance Path
- Part 10: Tiered Building Energy Performance Compliance

### Compliance

NECB Parts 3 to 8 include the base Code requirements, while NECB Part 10 includes tiered building energy performance requirements. There are three compliance paths for the base Code requirements of the NECB: the prescriptive path, the trade-off path and the performance path. However, only the performance path is available for compliance with the tiered building energy performance requirements of NECB Part 10 (see the flow chart in NECB Figure A-1.1.2.1. of Division B).

The prescriptive path is a formulaic approach to achieving building design compliance whereby the provisions in NECB Sections 3.2., 4.2., 5.2., 6.2. and 7.2. are applied as stated. For example, to achieve a Code-compliant building envelope using the prescriptive path method, a designer would follow the provisions presented in NECB Section 3.2., Prescriptive Path.

If a builder, designer or building owner wants to use a particular building system or parameter that does not meet the minimum performance level required in the prescriptive path, it may still be possible to demonstrate compliance using the trade-off path provisions in NECB Sections 3.3. and 4.3. (there are no trade-off options in NECB Parts 5 to 7). The trade-off path affords some degree of flexibility in the application of the prescriptive requirements, with limitations. For example, trade-offs are allowed within building envelope requirements and within lighting requirements, but building envelope performance cannot be traded-off against lighting system performance. Other

limitations are specific to individual trade-off paths: for example, the lighting trade-off path applies only to interior lighting and not to exterior lighting.

The overriding principle behind the trade-off paths is that the total energy used by the proposed building system or parameter must be less than or equal to that of the reference building system or parameter, which is based on the prescriptive path. The main advantage of the trade-off-path is the flexibility it allows designers over the prescriptive path.

A designer can use any of the prescriptive or trade-off options presented in each Part or a combination of prescriptive for some building parameters and trade-off for others.

The building energy performance compliance path in NECB Part 8 is a whole building approach. The path compares the performance of a proposed building to that of a reference building, i.e., one that follows the prescriptive path. The performance path allows performance losses in one building system or parameter to be offset by performance gains in another. For example, a proposed building with a boiler that is less efficient than required in the prescriptive path could have a building envelope with better thermal performance than required in the prescriptive path. If the total energy consumption of the proposed building is less than or equal to that of the reference building, the design is considered NECB-compliant. The performance path cannot be used to test the compliance of individual building systems or parameters; if chosen, it must be used for the compliance of all building systems and parameters.

Due to the complexity of the calculations involved (e.g., hourly calculations) in applying the performance path provisions, computer simulations are typically used to demonstrate compliance.

## **Referenced Standards**

Unless otherwise specified, the applicable editions of the standards referenced in this User's Guide are the editions referenced in the NECB 2020, including any updates to those standards published by the NRC.

## **Additional Information**

Readers are encouraged to familiarize themselves with the preface of the NECB to gain important insight into the Code development process, the structure of the NECB, and the relationship between its Divisions, as well as a deeper understanding of the objective-based approach and how it opens the door to innovation.





# Commentary on Part 3

## Building Envelope

### Scope (Article 3.1.1.1.)

1. NECB Part 3 addresses the transfer of heat and air through the building envelope and establishes the minimum acceptable measures for the adequate thermal performance of the building envelope materials, components and assemblies. The NECB defines the building envelope as the collection of components that separate conditioned space (including semi-heated space) from unconditioned space, the exterior air or the ground, or that separate interior spaces intended to be conditioned to temperatures differing by more than 10°C at design conditions. The building envelope, which includes the walls, roofs, floors, fenestration and doors of a building, plays an important role in determining the amount of energy required to accommodate the occupancy of a building. The transfer of heat and air through the building envelope is an important consideration for energy efficiency.

### Application (Article 3.1.1.2.)

2. The requirements in NECB Part 3 apply to all new buildings and additions that are equipped with space-conditioning systems or have provisions for the future installation of such systems and that have a heating and/or cooling system output capacity that is equal to or greater than 10 W/m<sup>2</sup> of floor surface area. The requirements do not apply to unconditioned spaces or to farm buildings.

### Compliance (Article 3.1.1.3.)

3. Compliance with NECB Part 3 can be achieved by following one of three paths: the prescriptive path, the trade-off path, or the performance path. The three paths allow designers the flexibility to either strictly apply the NECB prescriptive requirements, use trade-offs to meet the requirements, or apply a more detailed whole building energy performance approach to their design. The flow chart in NECB Figure A-3.1.1.3.(1) shows the available options for building envelope compliance. The three compliance paths are explained in Paragraphs 48 to 87.

### Thermal Characteristics of Building Assemblies (Article 3.1.1.5.)

4. The thermal characteristics of building envelope materials are typically determined through testing in accordance with relevant material standards, many of which are referenced in the National Building Code of Canada 2020 (NBC). Where no standard exists for a particular material, or where a particular standard does not address the thermal characteristics of a material, this data can be obtained through testing in accordance with ASTM C177, "Standard Test Method for Steady-State Heat Flux Measurements and Thermal Transmission Properties by Means of the Guarded-Hot-Plate Apparatus," or ASTM C518, "Standard Test Method for Steady-State Thermal Transmission Properties by Means of the Heat Flow Meter Apparatus." Manufacturers often reference these standards in their material literature along with the thermal properties of their materials.
5. An understanding of heat transfer fundamentals, including the concepts of thermal conductivity and thermal resistance, is essential to the design, selection and evaluation of building envelope assemblies that comply with the NECB Part 3 requirements.
6. Heat spontaneously travels from an area of high temperature to an area of low temperature in an attempt to reach thermal equilibrium. Heat flow in building envelope assemblies occurs through a combination of convection, radiation and conduction.

## Commentary on Part 3

7. Many of the NECB Part 3 requirements are based on the concept of effective thermal resistance, which assumes that the combination of convection, radiation and conduction through building envelope assemblies occurs purely as conduction. The effective thermal resistance represents the resistance to heat flow through an assembly of a given surface area under a given temperature differential.
8. Another heat transfer mechanism addressed by NECB Part 3 is air leakage, the bulk movement of air through unintended cracks and openings in building envelope assemblies. The direction of air movement through the assemblies can be from the interior conditioned space to the exterior (exfiltration) or from the exterior to the interior conditioned space (infiltration). In either direction, heat energy is exchanged. For example, heat energy can be lost through exfiltration in the winter months.
9. Thus, heat transfer in building envelope assemblies can be minimized by controlling air leakage and by using a combination of building components that resist heat flow.
10. The overall thermal transmittance (U-value) is a measure of the rate, in  $W/(m^2 \times K)$ , at which heat is transferred through a building assembly whose two faces are simultaneously exposed to different temperatures. The U-value represents the amount of heat transferred through a unit area, in a unit of time, induced under steady-state conditions by a unit temperature difference between the environments on its two faces. The U-value reflects the capacity of all elements to transfer heat through the thickness of the assembly, including through air films. The effective thermal resistance (RSI value, in metric units) is the reciprocal of the overall thermal transmittance, as shown in the following equation, and is measured in  $(m^2 \times K)/W$ :

$$RSI = \frac{1}{U}$$

where

RSI = effective thermal resistance, in  $(m^2 \times K)/W$ , and

U = overall thermal transmittance, in  $W/(m^2 \times K)$ .

11. Ideally, building envelope assemblies are selected or designed based on the building type, use and location since they must be appropriate for both internal and external loads. They can drastically improve a building's overall performance and energy efficiency. Building envelope assemblies do not require the direct use of energy and have a significant impact on thermal comfort and building heating and cooling loads. The consideration of outdoor conditions to which a building envelope assembly will be subjected is one of the first steps in the design and selection process.
12. A reasonable estimate of annual heating energy load can be obtained from the thermal transmittance of the building and the heating degree-days (HDD) of the building location. The NECB Part 3 requirements for the maximum U-value of building envelope assemblies are related to the applicable HDD category for the building location. An HDD value is the sum of the differences between the mean exterior temperature for the day and  $18^\circ\text{C}$  for every day in the year when the mean temperature is below  $18^\circ\text{C}$ . Values for selected locations in Canada are listed in NECB Table C-1. For locations not listed, HDD values may be obtained from Environment and Climate Change Canada ([www.climate.weather.gc.ca/index\\_e.html](http://www.climate.weather.gc.ca/index_e.html)).
13. The NECB uses the following six HDD categories, known as zones:

**Table 3-1  
Heating Degree-Day Zones**

Zone	Heating Degree-Days of Building Location, Celsius Degree-Days
4	< 3000
5	3000 to 3999
6	4000 to 4999
7A	5000 to 5999
7B	6000 to 6999
8	$\geq 7000$

14. In general, the ascending numbered zones designate southern to northern areas of Canada. The zones are based on the climate zones found in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1, “Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings.” Canada has no locations falling under zones 1 to 3; zone 4 is the mildest zone in Canada (Victoria, British Columbia, is an example of a zone 4 location), while zone 8 is the coldest (Yellowknife, Northwest Territories, is an example of a zone 8 location). In the NECB Part 3 requirements, the maximum overall thermal transmittance of building assemblies decreases (i.e., becomes more stringent) as the HDD value increases. For example, the maximum overall thermal transmittance for roofs in zone 4 is  $0.164 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2 \times \text{K})$  compared to  $0.110 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2 \times \text{K})$  for roofs in zone 8.

### Accounting for Thermal Bridging Elements (Sentence 3.1.1.5.(5) and Article 3.1.1.7.)

15. In the 2011 and 2015 editions of the NECB, thermal bridging of only a few building elements was factored into the calculation of the overall thermal transmittance of building envelope assemblies. The surface areas of these elements were considered as heat transfer paths. This approach disregarded other heat transfer paths, such as window-to-wall transitions, which have no definable area but are a source of significant heat transfer. Not accounting for all occurrences of thermal bridging across the building envelope meant that opaque building assemblies could have greater actual overall thermal transmittance values than those required by the NECB—possibly two or more times higher than the values determined from calculation methods that ignore the cumulative effect of thermal bridging.
16. The consequences of not appropriately accounting for the impact of thermal bridges include:
- Code compliance and acceptance of building assemblies that will not perform to the level required by the NECB,
  - higher energy use than expected based on the results of energy use analyses carried out with inaccurate input values,
  - missed opportunities to achieve lower energy costs, and
  - wasted resources (e.g., when a high level of thermal insulation is called for in a design and the assumed benefit is not achieved due to thermal bridging).
17. Like the 2017 edition, the 2020 edition of the NECB requires that thermal bridging of the elements listed in NECB Sentence 3.1.1.7.(1) be accounted for. This requirement is intended to encourage Code users to use strategies to mitigate the effects of thermal bridging through improved construction details. Current methods of determining overall thermal transmittance produce more accurate values by including linear and point transmittance data in the calculations to account for thermal bridging.
18. See the Building Envelope Thermal Bridging Guide (“BETB Guide”) for a detailed description of a methodology to determine the thermal characteristics of a building envelope assembly using a generic building interface or using both a clear field assembly and the linear and point transmittance values in the BETB Guide’s thermal performance catalogue. Reference can also be made to ISO 14683, “Thermal bridges in building construction – Linear thermal transmittance – Simplified methods and default values.”
19. The following is a summary of the steps, as presented in the BETB Guide, required to calculate the thermal characteristics of a building envelope assembly:
- (1) Determine the clear field transmittance,  $U_o$ , of the total opaque area of the assembly (i.e., wall, floor or roof). The clear field transmittance value includes the effects of uniformly distributed thermal bridging components, and can be calculated using an appropriate choice of either the Isothermal Planes Method, the Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method, or two- or three-dimensional thermal modeling.
  - (2) Determine the thermal performance of interface details by evaluating the linear transmittance,  $\Psi$ , and point transmittance,  $\chi$ , values at transitions between assemblies, as listed in NECB Sentence 3.1.1.7.(1). Linear and point transmittance values can be determined using catalogued data from sources such as the BETB Guide.

## Commentary on Part 3

- (3) The overall thermal transmittance,  $U_T$ , of the assembly is then calculated using the following equation:

$$U_T = \frac{\Sigma(\Psi \times L) + \Sigma(\chi)}{A_{\text{total}}} + U_o$$

where

$L$  = thermal bridge length, in m, and  
 $A_{\text{total}}$  = total opaque area of the assembly, in m<sup>2</sup>, for which  $U_o$  applies.

20. By accounting for the effects of thermal bridging, the assembly's thermal transmittance is effectively increased (i.e., derated) by including an allowance for heat loss at each of the interface details.
21. The calculation requires detailed takeoffs of areas, interface lengths and point quantities. Resources such as the BETB Guide may provide appropriate reference details for project assemblies. If reference details are not available, then two- or three-dimensional thermal modeling may be required to quantify heat losses.
22. Note that the BETB Guide is not a compliance document; rather, its focus is on the methodology for determining the thermal characteristics of building envelope assemblies and interface details.

### Calculation of Overall Thermal Transmittance (Article 3.1.1.7.)

23. A thorough understanding of the methods used in determining or calculating the U-value of opaque building envelope assemblies is important for demonstrating compliance with NECB Part 3.
24. NECB Sentence 3.1.1.7.(4) provides a credit for any unconditioned space protecting a component of the building envelope. The enclosure's assumed overall thermal transmittance (U-value) of 6.25 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K) allows the effective thermal resistance (RSI value) for the protected areas of above-ground opaque building envelope assemblies to be reduced by 0.16 (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W, as demonstrated in Example 3-1. The credit provided in NECB Sentence 3.1.1.7.(4) does not apply to vented spaces because such spaces are considered to be part of the exterior space (see NECB Note A-3.1.1.7.(4)).

**Example 3-1 – Maximum Overall Thermal Transmittance of an Exterior Above-Ground Opaque Wall Protected by an Enclosed Unconditioned Space**

In Regina, Saskatchewan, an exterior above-ground opaque wall is protected by an enclosed unconditioned space. According to NECB Table C-1, Regina has an HDD of 5600, which corresponds to Zone 7A (see Table 3-1 in Paragraph 13). The maximum overall thermal transmittance (U-value) for above-ground opaque walls in Zone 7A is 0.215 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K) according to NECB Table 3.2.2.2. To apply the credit of 6.25 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K) provided in NECB Sentence 3.1.1.7.(4), both the credit and the maximum overall thermal transmittance must be converted to RSI values as follows:

$$RSI_{\text{wall}} = \frac{1}{U_{\text{wall}}} = \frac{1}{0.215 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2 \times \text{K})} = 4.65 \text{ (m}^2 \times \text{K)}/\text{W}$$

$$RSI_{\text{credit}} = \frac{1}{U_{\text{credit}}} = \frac{1}{6.25 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2 \times \text{K})} = 0.160 \text{ (m}^2 \times \text{K)}/\text{W}$$

The adjusted RSI value for the protected wall is then calculated as follows:

$$RSI_{\text{net}} = RSI_{\text{wall}} - RSI_{\text{credit}}$$

$$RSI_{\text{net}} = 4.65 \text{ (m}^2 \times \text{K)}/\text{W} - 0.16 \text{ (m}^2 \times \text{K)}/\text{W} = 4.49 \text{ (m}^2 \times \text{K)}/\text{W}$$

To obtain the adjusted maximum overall thermal transmittance (U-value) for the protected wall, the adjusted RSI value is converted to a U-value as follows:

$$U_{\text{net}} = \frac{1}{RSI_{\text{net}}} = \frac{1}{4.49 \text{ (m}^2 \times \text{K)}/\text{W}} = 0.223 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2 \times \text{K})$$

Therefore, the adjusted maximum overall thermal transmittance for the protected wall is 0.223 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K).

25. Building envelope assemblies are typically composed of several components, which may include building materials, thermal insulation and air spaces (or air cavities). Each component has its own ability to resist heat flow. Since heat transfer occurs across the entire assembly, the thermal resistance (RSI value) of each component, including any air space, is used to calculate the U-value of the assembly. Air films on the interior and exterior surfaces of the assembly also have an impact on heat transfer and must be considered in the calculation of the U-value. The thermal resistance of these air films is affected by their position in the assembly, the direction of heat transfer, the temperature of the surface and the air, the difference between the temperature of the surface and that of the surroundings, and the surface's long-wave emittance.
26. In cases where the U-value of a building envelope assembly has not already been determined through computer analysis and/or laboratory tests, it can be calculated using the simplified calculation procedures described in the "ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals." The method of calculation used depends on the type of assembly in question. Three methods of calculating the U-value of a building envelope assembly are described below:
  - Isothermal Planes Method: method for assemblies with continuous components and no thermal bridging effects. Refer to Figure 3-1 in Paragraph 33.
  - Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method: method that applies to wood-frame assemblies where heat flow through the thermal bridge is parallel to heat flow through the insulation and the temperature at each plane in the assembly is constant. This method differs from the Isothermal Planes Method, which applies only to assemblies with continuous components. Refer to Figure 3-2 in Paragraph 38.
  - Metal-frame Assembly Method: method that applies to metal-frame assemblies where an effective value for the insulation/framing portion is used and the Isothermal Planes Method is used for continuous material layers. Refer to Figure 3-3 in Paragraph 41.
27. To calculate the U-value of a building assembly using the three methods described in Paragraph 26, the following information is required:

## Commentary on Part 3

- the RSI value and thickness of each component that makes up the assembly (see Paragraph 28),
  - the RSI values of air spaces that form part of the assembly (see Paragraph 29),
  - the RSI values of indoor and outdoor air film resistances, as applicable (see Paragraph 30), and
  - the type, size and spacing of repetitive framing members that form part of the assembly and have a thermal bridging effect on the assembly (see Paragraph 31).
28. Typical RSI values for some common insulation and building envelope materials are listed in Tables 3-4 to 3-8 (see Paragraph 88). RSI values can also be obtained from material manufacturers and the “ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals.”
29. The RSI value of air spaces varies with heat flow direction. Typical RSI values for air spaces are listed in Table 3-8 (see Paragraph 88) according to the type of assembly (i.e., ceiling, floor or wall) and the thickness of the air space. For air space geometries not shown, data can be sourced from the “ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals.”
30. The RSI value of air films also varies with heat flow direction. For exterior air films, the RSI values depend on wind speed. Typical RSI values for air films are listed in Table 3-8 (see Paragraph 88) according to type (interior or exterior) and location (ceiling, floor or wall). For air film types not shown, data can be sourced from the “ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals.”
31. Values for framing percentages for typical wood-frame assemblies are listed in Table 3-9 (see Paragraph 88) according to assembly type and frame spacing. Values for framing percentages can also be calculated based on actual construction. These values are needed to calculate U-values in the Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method for wood-frame assemblies.

### U-value Calculation for Building Assemblies with Continuous Insulation – Isothermal Planes Method


32. Where a building assembly contains only continuous materials, the simplest method of calculating the U-value can be used, which is the one-dimensional Isothermal Planes Method. It applies, for example, to building assemblies that do not use framing within the insulating portion of the assembly, such as flat roofs or a fully insulated floor slab. The method involves adding together the thermal resistances of each component of the assembly. The reciprocal of this sum is the U-value of the assembly,  $U_T$ , which is calculated as follows:

$$U_T = \frac{1}{RSI_T}$$

where

$RSI_T$  = sum of the thermal resistances of the components of the assembly, including interior and exterior air films, in  $(m^2 \times K)/W$ .

33. Figure 3-1 shows a graphical representation of the heat flow through a flat roof assembly according to the Isothermal Planes Method.




**Figure 3-1**  
**Graphical representation of heat flow in the Isothermal Planes Method**

34. Examples of U-value calculations using the Isothermal Planes Method are presented in Examples 3-2 to 3-5.

**Example 3-2 – Floor: Insulated Concrete Slab-on-Grade**

Figure A shows the direction of heat flow through an insulated concrete slab-on-grade with radiant heating.



**Figure A**  
**Graphical representation of heat flow through an insulated concrete slab-on-grade (section view)**

Since the assembly contains only continuous materials, the Isothermal Planes Method is used to calculate the overall thermal transmittance. The RSI values of all the assembly's components can be obtained from Tables 3-4 to 3-8 (see Paragraph 88), taking into account the thickness of the materials and the RSI value of the interior air film. The overall thermal transmittance,  $U_T$ , can be calculated as follows:


Assembly Components	RSI, (m <sup>2</sup> ·K)/W
Interior air film	0.16
100-mm cast-in-place concrete slab (normal density aggregate)	0.04
Vapour barrier	0.00
64-mm EPS insulation (Type 2)	1.79
RSI <sub>T</sub>	1.99
$U_T = 1/RSI_T$	0.503



## Commentary on Part 3

### Example 3-3 – Roof: Flat Roof (4-ply built-up roofing (BUR) and steel deck)

Figure A shows the direction of heat flow through a roofing assembly with 4-ply BUR and a steel deck.



EG01337B


**Figure A**  
Graphical representation of heat flow through a flat roof – 4-ply BUR and steel deck (section view)

Since the assembly contains only continuous materials and the metal decking does not penetrate the thermal insulation, the Isothermal Planes Method is used to calculate the overall thermal transmittance. The RSI values of all the assembly's components can be obtained from Tables 3-4 to 3-8 (see Paragraph 88), taking into account the thickness of the materials and the RSI values of the interior and exterior air films. If the roof insulation is tapered to allow roof drainage, the average insulation thickness would be taken into account in the calculations. The overall thermal transmittance,  $U_T$ , can be calculated as follows:

Assembly Components	RSI, (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W
Exterior air film	0.03
4-ply roof membrane (built-up roofing, 10 mm)	0.06
25-mm wood fibreboard insulation (insulating fibreboard)	0.40
127-mm polyisocyanurate insulation	4.85
Vapour barrier	0.00
Steel deck	0.00
Interior air film	0.11
$RSI_T$	5.45
$U_T = 1/RSI_T$	0.183

**Example 3-4 – Roof: Flat Roof (4-ply BUR and cast-in-place concrete deck)**

Figure A shows the direction of heat flow through a roofing assembly with 4-ply BUR and a cast-in-place concrete deck.



EG01338C

**Figure A**  
**Graphical representation of heat flow through a flat roof – 4-ply BUR and concrete deck (section view)**


Since the assembly contains only continuous materials, the Isothermal Planes Method is used to calculate the overall thermal transmittance. The RSI values of all the assembly's components can be obtained from Tables 3-4 to 3-8 (see Paragraph 88), taking into account the thickness of the materials and the RSI values of the interior and exterior air films. The overall thermal transmittance,  $U_T$ , can be calculated as follows:

Assembly Components	RSI, (m <sup>2</sup> ·K)/W
Exterior air film	0.03
4-ply roof membrane (built-up roofing, 10 mm)	0.06
13-mm wood fibreboard insulation (insulating fibreboard)	0.21
101-mm polyisocyanurate insulation (permeably faced)	3.86
Vapour barrier	0.00
152-mm cast-in-place concrete roof deck	0.06
Interior air film	0.11
$RSI_T$	4.33
$U_T = 1/RSI_T$	0.231

## Commentary on Part 3

### Example 3-5 – Roof: Flat Roof (protected membrane and pre-cast concrete deck)

Figure A shows the direction of heat flow through a roofing assembly with a protected membrane and pre-cast concrete deck.



**Figure A**  
Graphical representation of heat flow through a flat roof – single-ply membrane and concrete deck (section view)

Since the assembly contains only continuous materials, the Isothermal Planes Method is used to calculate the overall thermal transmittance. The RSI values of all the assembly's components can be obtained from Tables 3-4 to 3-8 (see Paragraph 88), taking into account the thickness of the materials and the RSI values of the interior and exterior air films. The overall thermal transmittance,  $U_T$ , can be calculated as follows:

Assembly Components	RSI, (m <sup>2</sup> ·K)/W
Exterior air film	0.03
60-mm crushed stone (ballast)	0.04
Filter fabric	0.00
152-mm extruded polystyrene insulation	5.32
Single-ply roofing membrane	0.00
Protection sheet	0.00
152-mm pre-cast concrete roof deck	0.06
Interior air film	0.11
<b>RSI<sub>T</sub></b>	<b>5.56</b>
<b>U<sub>T</sub> = 1/RSI<sub>T</sub></b>	<b>0.180</b>

**U-value Calculation for Wood-frame Construction – Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method**


- 35. For building assemblies that use wood-frame construction, the U-value can be calculated using the Isothermal Planes Method for the continuous material layers and the Parallel Path Method for the framing portion of the assembly. The method involves adding the thermal resistances of each component of the assembly together for the continuous material layers and using the Parallel Path Method to calculate the thermal resistance of the assembly along the line that goes through the framing portion of the assembly, and along the line that goes through the cavity portion of the assembly. For the Parallel Path Method, the values are combined in proportion to the relative areas of the framing and insulation to calculate the effective RSI value.
- 36. The effective (i.e., overall) thermal resistance,  $RSI_T$ , using the Parallel Path Method is calculated as follows:

$$RSI_T = \frac{100}{(\% \text{ area of framing}/RSI_F) + (\% \text{ area of cavity}/RSI_C)}$$

where

$RSI_F$  = thermal resistance of the framing portion of the assembly, and  
 $RSI_C$  = thermal resistance of the cavity portion of the assembly.

- 37. The values for the framing and cavity percentages can be obtained from Table 3-9 (see Paragraph 88) or can be calculated based on the actual construction. The reciprocal of  $RSI_T$  is the overall U-value of the assembly,  $U_T$ .
- 38. Figure 3-2 shows a graphical representation of the heat flow through a wood-frame wall assembly according to the Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method.



**Figure 3-2**  
**Graphical representation of heat flow in the Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method**  
**Note to Figure 3-2:**


(1) All materials are continuous on either side of framed portion.

- 39. Examples of U-value calculations using the Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method are presented in Examples 3-6 to 3-8.

## Commentary on Part 3

### Example 3-6 – Roof: Attic-Type Wood Truss

Figure A shows the direction of heat flow through an attic-type wood truss assembly.



EG01348B

**Figure A**


#### Graphical representation of heat flow through an attic-type wood truss (section view)

Since the assembly contains wood framing, the Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method is used to calculate the overall thermal transmittance. The RSI values of all the assembly's components can be obtained from Tables 3-4 to 3-8 (see Paragraph 88), taking into account the thickness of the materials and the RSI values for the interior and exterior air films. The framing percentages can be obtained from Table 3-9 (see Paragraph 88). The overall thermal transmittance,  $U_T$ , can be calculated as follows:

Assembly Components			RSI, (m <sup>2</sup> ·xK)/W
Roof air space (exterior air film)			0.03
Blown cellulose insulation			3.50
	% Area Framing	% Area Cavity	
Framing percentages (wood trusses @ 610 mm o.c.)	11	89	
	RSI <sub>F</sub> through bottom chord, (m <sup>2</sup> ·xK)/W	RSI <sub>C</sub> through cavity, (m <sup>2</sup> ·xK)/W	
Bottom chord (89 mm x 0.0085 RSI/mm)	0.757	–	1.78
Blown cellulose insulation	–	2.14	
Vapour barrier			0.00
13-mm gypsum board			0.08
Interior air film			0.11
RSI <sub>T</sub>			5.50
$U_T = 1/RSI_T$			0.182

**Example 3-7 – Wall: Wood-frame Wall (exterior insulation and finish system)**

Figure A shows the direction of heat flow through a wood-frame wall with an exterior insulation and finish system (EIFS).



EG01350B

**Figure A**  
**Graphical representation of heat flow through a wood-frame wall with EIFS (plan view)**


Since the assembly contains wood framing, the Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method is used to calculate the overall thermal transmittance. The RSI values of all the assembly's components can be obtained from Tables 3-4 to 3-8 (see Paragraph 88), taking into account the thickness of the materials and the RSI values of the interior and exterior air films. The framing percentages can be obtained from Table 3-9 (see Paragraph 88). The overall thermal transmittance,  $U_T$ , can be calculated as follows:

Assembly Components			RSI, (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W
Exterior air film			0.03
Lamina			-
76-mm EPS insulation (Type 2)			2.13
Water-resistive barrier (vertical notched)			0.00
16-mm exterior gypsum sheathing			0.101
	% Area Framing	% Area Cavity	
Framing percentages (wood studs @ 406 mm o.c.)	23	77	
	RSI <sub>F</sub> through stud, (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W	RSI <sub>C</sub> through cavity, (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W	
Wood studs (89 mm × 0.0085 RSI/mm)	0.757	-	1.62
Batt insulation	-	2.47	
Vapour barrier			-
16-mm gypsum board			0.098
Interior air film			0.12
RSI <sub>T</sub>			4.10
U <sub>T</sub> = 1/RSI <sub>T</sub>			0.244

## Commentary on Part 3

### Example 3-8 – Wall: Wall in Contact with Ground (concrete wall with wood framing)

Figure A shows the direction of heat flow through a concrete wall with wood framing in contact with the ground.



EG01351B

**Figure A**

**Graphical representation of heat flow through a wall in contact with ground – interior wood framing (plan view)**

Since the assembly contains wood framing, the Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method is used to calculate the overall thermal transmittance. The RSI values of all the assembly's components can be obtained from Tables 3-4 to 3-8 (see Paragraph 88), taking into account the thickness of the materials and the RSI values of the interior and exterior air films. The framing percentages can be obtained from Table 3-9 (see Paragraph 88). The overall thermal transmittance,  $U_T$ , can be calculated as follows:

Assembly Components			RSI, (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W
Dampproofing and drainage layer			0.00
200-mm concrete			0.08
Moisture protection coating			0.00
	% Area Framing	% Area Cavity	
Framing percentages (wood studs @ 610 mm o.c.)	13	87	
	RSI <sub>F</sub> through stud, (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W	RSI <sub>C</sub> through cavity, (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W	
Wood studs (140 mm × 0.0085 RSI/mm)	1.19	–	3.18
Batt insulation	–	4.23	
Vapour barrier			0.00
16-mm gypsum board			0.098
Interior air film			0.12
RSI <sub>T</sub>			3.48
$U_T = 1/RSI_T$			0.287

**U-value Calculation for Metal-frame Construction – Variation on the Isothermal Planes Method**

40. For building assemblies that use metal-frame construction, a variation on the Isothermal Planes Method can be used in which the framed portion is treated as a continuous layer since its effective thermal resistance is known. The effective thermal resistance of the insulation/framing is obtained from Tables 3-2 and 3-3 for the framed portion of the assembly and added to the sum of the thermal resistance value of each component of the assembly to obtain the overall thermal resistance of the assembly. The use of Tables 3-2 and 3-3 should be limited to the rated RSI value of insulation shown in the Table and interpolation within those Table values. The Table values should not be extrapolated as an accurate result will not be obtained.

**Table 3-2**  
**Effective RSI Values of the Insulation/Framing Layer in Metal-frame Roof and Floor Assemblies (1.2 m o.c.)<sup>(1)</sup>**

Rated RSI Value of Insulation	Correction Factor	Effective Framing/Cavity RSI Value
0.00	1.00	0.00
0.70	0.97	0.68
0.88	0.96	0.85
1.41	0.94	1.32
1.76	0.92	1.62
1.94	0.91	1.76
2.11	0.90	1.90
2.29	0.90	2.06
2.64	0.88	2.32
2.82	0.87	2.45
3.35	0.86	2.88
3.52	0.85	2.99
3.70	0.84	3.11
4.23	0.82	3.46
4.40	0.81	3.57
5.28	0.79	4.17
6.16	0.76	4.68
6.69	0.74	4.95
7.04	0.73	5.14
7.92	0.71	5.63
8.80	0.69	6.07
9.68	0.67	6.49

<sup>(1)</sup> This Table is reproduced from Table A9.2-1 of ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1 with permission (©ASHRAE, www.ashrae.org).




## Commentary on Part 3

**Table 3-3**  
**Effective RSI Values of the Insulation/Framing Layer in Metal-frame Wall Assemblies<sup>(1)</sup>**

Nominal Depth of Cavity, mm	Actual Depth of Cavity, mm	Rated RSI Value of Air Space or Insulation	Effective Framing/Cavity RSI Value at 406 mm o.c.	Effective Framing/Cavity RSI Value at 610 mm o.c.
Empty Cavity, No Insulation				
100	89	0.16	0.14	0.16
Insulated Cavity				
100	89	1.94	0.97	1.16
100	89	2.29	1.06	1.27
100	89	2.64	1.13	1.37
150	152	3.35	1.25	1.51
150	152	3.70	1.30	1.58
200	203	4.40	1.37	1.69

<sup>(1)</sup> This Table is reproduced from Table A9.2-2 of ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1 with permission (©ASHRAE, www.ashrae.org).


41. Figure 3-3 shows a graphical representation of heat flow in the U-value calculation for metal-frame construction.



42. An example of a U-value calculation for metal-frame construction assemblies is presented in Example 3-9.

**Example 3-9 – Wall: Metal-frame Wall (insulated pre-cast concrete panels)**

Figure A shows the direction of heat flow through a metal-frame wall with insulated pre-cast concrete panels.



EG01353B

**Figure A**  
**Graphical representation of heat flow through a metal-frame wall – insulated pre-cast concrete panels (plan view)**

Since the assembly contains metal framing, the Isothermal Planes Method for calculating the overall thermal transmittance is used with the effective insulation/framing layer U-values from Tables 3-2 and 3-3 (see Paragraph 38) for the framed portion of the assembly. The RSI value of the assembly's other components can be obtained from Tables 3-4 to 3-8 (see Paragraph 88), taking into account the thickness of the materials and the RSI values of the interior and exterior air films. The overall thermal transmittance,  $U_T$ , can be calculated as follows:

Assembly Components	RSI, (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W
Exterior air film	0.03
250-mm precast concrete sandwich panel (includes 76-mm extruded polystyrene)	2.73
Effective RSI value steel studs/batt insulation interpolated from Table 3-3, batt = 2.46 (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W	1.094
Vapour barrier	0.00
16-mm gypsum board	0.098
Interior air film	0.12
<b>RSI<sub>T</sub></b>	<b>4.072</b>
<b><math>U_T = 1/RSI_T</math></b>	<b>0.246</b>

## **Commentary on Part 3**


43. Where building assemblies are more complex, such as in metal and glass curtain wall systems, the thermal bridging that occurs due to mullions and other components must be considered in the determination of the U-value. For complex assemblies, computer analysis and/or laboratory testing will provide greater accuracy in the determination of the U-value.
44. In wood- or metal-frame assemblies, heat transfer across the portion of the assembly that includes the framing members is greater than through the portion that is insulated (stud cavity). These framing members reduce the overall thermal efficiency of the assembly and the impact can be substantial since framing materials can account for 20% or more of the surface area of an assembly. This thermal bridging effect depends on the type of assembly, the type of framing material used and the spacing of the framing member. These factors are considered in the U-value calculations. Values for framing percentages for typical wood assemblies are listed in Table 3-9. Effective insulation/framing RSI values for metal framing are listed in Tables 3-2 and 3-3.
45. Advanced framing is a technique used to reduce the quantity of materials used in wood-frame construction by engineering each framing element, as opposed to using standard framing details. Since fewer framing members are required, the effects of thermal bridging are reduced and therefore the effective thermal resistance of the assembly improves. Advanced framing is an energy-efficient alternative to standard wood-framing methods. Framing percentages associated with advanced wood framing are also listed in Table 3-9.

### **U-value Calculation for Masonry or Concrete Wall Construction**

46. For building assemblies that use cast-in-place concrete, concrete masonry units (with or without filled cores) or solid masonry units (such as clay brick units in multi-wythe construction), with or without wood- or metal-framing, the U-value of the assembly is calculated using the method that best suits the construction type. If the assembly uses wood framing on the interior, the Isothermal Planes and Parallel Path Calculation Method can be used; if the assembly uses metal framing or does not use any framing, the Isothermal Planes Method can be used.
47. A U-value example for a cast-in-place concrete wall with an exterior insulation finish system (EIFS) is presented in Example 3-10.

**Example 3-10 – Wall: EIFS over Cast-in-Place Concrete Wall**

Figure A shows the direction of heat flow through a structural cast-in-place concrete wall with EIFS.



EG01347C

**Figure A**  
**Graphical representation of heat flow through a concrete wall with EIFS (plan view)**

This Example shows how to determine the clear field assembly U-value using the BETB Guide. This assembly closely matches 2014 BETB Guide Version 1.0, Detail 6.1.1 with  $RSI_T$  2.64 ( $m^2 \times K$ )/W EIFS. This would result in a clear field U-value,  $U_T$ , of 0.323 W/( $m^2 \times K$ ) ( $RSI_T$  of 3.10 ( $m^2 \times K$ )/W).

**Prescriptive Path Method of Compliance (Section 3.2.)**

48. The prescriptive path method of compliance establishes the minimum acceptable thermal performance requirements for the building envelope and includes specific requirements for energy efficiency. It includes general requirements related to the protection of insulation materials, the continuity of insulation and the maximum allowable total vertical fenestration and door area to gross wall area ratio (FDWR). The prescriptive path also includes specific requirements related to the thermal characteristics of above-ground components of the building envelope, building assemblies in contact with the ground and the control of air leakage. With the prescriptive path method, building envelope compliance is achieved when all of the requirements in NECB Section 3.2. are met.
49. The requirements for above-ground components of the building envelope include specific criteria for vestibules, thermal design criteria for above-ground opaque building assemblies (exterior walls, roofs and exposed floors) and thermal design criteria for fenestration, doors and access hatches. The thermal design criteria are expressed in terms of a maximum overall thermal transmittance value (U-value), based on the HDD for the building location.
50. NECB Sentence 3.2.1.1.(1) requires that the building envelope be designed to limit the key factors affecting the field performance of thermal insulation. Conditions from both the interior and exterior building envelope environments, including air leakage and convection, wetting and moisture bypassing the plane of thermal resistance affect the performance of the materials that make up the building envelope. Proper detailing for the management of water, vapour and thermal transfer

## Commentary on Part 3

in building assemblies is necessary for overall performance and for resistance to mechanisms of deterioration.

51. While heat, air and moisture transfer through the building envelope are distinct processes, they do act concurrently. As such, all included materials, components and assemblies in the building envelope must be designed to have sufficient capacity and integrity to suitably resist or accommodate the concurrent transfer loads acting upon them. The various components that make up a building envelope assembly perform different but interrelated functions. Although thermal insulation, for example, is used to control heat transfer, it can also reduce the likelihood of condensation within a wall cavity. The control of heat, air and moisture through building envelope assemblies, in accordance with NBC Part 5, directly impacts the thermal performance of such assemblies.
52. Insulation materials are most effective when installed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations and in a manner that will achieve the expected thermal resistance of the insulation. For example, compressing insulation reduces its effective thermal resistance and consequently increases the U-value of the building envelope assembly.

### Spaces Heated to Different Temperatures (Article 3.2.1.3.)

53. NECB Sentence 3.2.1.3.(1) provides the equation to calculate the maximum allowable U-value for situations where a building assembly separates interior conditioned spaces that will be simultaneously heated to temperatures that differ by more than 10°C, for example, walls separating an office space from a refrigerated warehouse as presented in Example 3-11.
54. For heat flow calculations and heating system sizing, the most severe outdoor conditions that are likely to occur are used. For  $t_0$  in the equation in NECB Sentence 3.2.1.3.(1), the 2.5% January design temperature is used as the outdoor design temperature, which represents a design condition that occurs all but 2.5% of the time. For 2.5% of the time, the building envelope will likely be subject to colder temperatures. If heating systems are closely sized to the calculated heat loss results, and actual outdoor temperatures fall below the winter design value, the system will not maintain the required indoor temperatures. In practice, this is rather unlikely since boilers and other heating equipment only come in certain size ranges and so may be slightly oversized. NECB Table C-1 provides January winter design temperature values for 1% and 2.5%. The 2.5% winter design temperature is normally used. However, if there is a critical need to maintain indoor temperatures, the 1% (or an even more stringent design temperature) could be used.

#### Example 3-11 – Maximum Overall Thermal Transmittance of Assemblies Separating Spaces Heated to Different Temperatures ( $\Delta > 10^\circ\text{C}$ )

A food distribution company in Winnipeg, Manitoba is building a warehouse with office space. The warehouse will be maintained at 10°C to prevent food spoilage and the swelling of canned goods; the office space will be maintained at 22°C. The warehouse and office share a common wall.

According to NECB Table C-1, Winnipeg has an HDD below 18°C of 5670, which corresponds to zone 7A (see Table 3-1 in Paragraph 13). The maximum overall thermal transmittance (U-value) for above-ground walls in zone 7A is 0.215 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K) according to NECB Table 3.2.2.2. (note that NECB Sentence 3.2.2.2.(2) provides a slight relaxation for semi-heated buildings; see Paragraphs 65 to 67 and Example 3-15).

The maximum allowable U-value for the wall separating the warehouse and office spaces is calculated using the equation in NECB Sentence 3.2.1.3.(1) as follows:

$$U_1 = \left[ \frac{(t_2 - t_0)}{(t_2 - 0.5 \times t_1 - 0.5 \times t_0)} \right] \times U$$


$$U_1 = \left[ \frac{(22 - (-33))}{(22 - (0.5 \times 10) - (0.5 \times (-33)))} \right] \times 0.215$$

$$U_1 = 0.353 \text{ W} / (\text{m}^2 \times \text{K})$$

Therefore, the maximum overall thermal transmittance value of the wall separating the warehouse and office spaces is 0.353 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K).

**Allowable Fenestration and Door Area (Article 3.2.1.4.)**

55. Since fenestration and door components can have a significant impact on the overall thermal performance of the building envelope, a maximum allowable total vertical fenestration and door area to gross wall area ratio (FDWR) is prescribed in the prescriptive path based on HDD values for the building location. For locations that have between 4000 and 7000 HDD, the FDWR is determined based on a linear equation found in NECB Sentence 3.2.1.4.(1). The maximum allowable FDWR decreases with an increased HDD. The FDWR is a fixed value for locations below 4000 HDD and above 7000 HDD.
56. Figure 3-4 shows a graphical representation of the FDWR equations.

**Example 3-12 – Calculating Maximum Allowable FDWR**

The HDD value for a particular location can be found in NECB Table C-1. The HDD for Flin Flon, Manitoba is 6440. The FDWR can then be calculated using the following equation from NECB Sentence 3.2.1.4.(1):

$$\text{FDWR} = (2000 - 0.2 \times \text{HDD}) / 3000$$

$$\text{FDWR} = (2000 - 0.2 \times 6440) / 3000$$


$$\text{FDWR} = 0.24$$

The maximum allowable FDWR for a building located in Flin Flon, Manitoba is 0.24.

## Commentary on Part 3

### Example 3-13 – Determining FDWR Compliance with the Prescriptive Requirements

A five-storey condominium building is proposed for Richmond, British Columbia. The building measures 12 m wide × 16 m long × 16 m high. There are four units on each floor. The designer proposes that each unit have two windows on each of its two exterior walls. Each window measures 1.5 m wide × 1.5 m high. On the ground floor, each facade includes two doors, each measuring 2.1 m high × 0.9 m wide. The floor-to-floor height is 3.2 m. Figure A shows a sketch of the building.



**Figure A**  
Sketch of building

According to NECB Table C-1, Richmond has an HDD below 18°C of 2800. As per the prescriptive requirements in NECB Sentence 3.2.1.4.(1), the maximum allowable FDWR is 0.40.


To determine whether the proposed building satisfies the prescriptive FDWR requirements, the gross wall area of the building is calculated as described in NECB Sentence 3.1.1.6.(3).

The gross wall area is calculated to be 896 m<sup>2</sup>. The maximum allowable FDWR is 0.40 in the prescriptive path, which is multiplied by the gross wall area for a maximum allowable fenestration and door area of 358 m<sup>2</sup>. The gross area of the proposed windows and doors is calculated to be 195 m<sup>2</sup>.

The FDWR for this Example is 0.22 (195 m<sup>2</sup>/896 m<sup>2</sup>). Since the FDWR is less than the prescriptive FDWR, the building satisfies the prescriptive requirements.

**Thermal Characteristics of Fenestration and Doors (Articles 3.2.2.3. and 3.2.2.4.)**

- 57. The energy performance of fenestration and doors has a significant impact on the energy efficiency of a building. Due to their nature and function, these components of the building envelope are typically less energy efficient than other above-grade assemblies, and as such, their proper selection is an important opportunity to improve the energy performance of the entire building envelope.
- 58. The NECB Part 3 requirements for the maximum U-value of vertical fenestration, skylights and doors vary based on the applicable HDD category for the building, as is the case with opaque building assemblies. Vertical fenestration assemblies include windows, clerestories, clerestories, translucent wall panels, glass block walls, transoms, sidelights, glass doors (sliding, overhead or swinging), inserts in doors, and other vertical fenestration assemblies (including their frames) that transfer visible light, such as curtain walls, storefronts and window walls. Skylights include glazed architectural structures, unit skylights, roof windows, tubular daylight devices and other fenestration assemblies that are inclined less than 60° from horizontal (see Figure 3-5). Doors include access hatches. There are no thermal requirements for storm doors, automatic sliding doors, glass doors, revolving doors and fire shutters since these types of doors typically cannot achieve the performance levels of other doors due to their function and properties.





## Commentary on Part 3

59. The numerous design options for fenestration and doors—such as type of framing material, frame colour, number of glazing layers, glass type, spacer systems, tints, coatings, films and gas filling—are variables that have an impact on energy performance.
60. Two properties that are used to describe the thermal performance of fenestration and doors are U-value and Solar Heat Gain Coefficient (SHGC). The U-value is a measure of the overall heat transfer through the entire fenestration or door product, including the frame, the glass edge and the centre of the glass. It represents the overall rate of heat transfer through all of these components and is measured in  $W/(m^2 \times K)$ . Although the NECB does not include any specific requirements for SHGC, selecting fenestration and door products with SHGC values that are appropriate for a particular building has an impact on building heating and cooling loads. The SHGC represents the amount of incident solar radiation transferred through a product, expressed as a decimal fraction between 0.0 and 1.0. The lower the SHGC value, the lower the amount of solar heat gain. Fenestration with a high SHGC value can help reduce heating costs, while products with low SHGC values can help reduce cooling costs. The proper selection of fenestration and door products requires consideration of the entire building design, including location, orientation, exterior shading, thermal properties, and areas of fenestration and doors.
61. The prescriptive requirements for the U-value of vertical fenestration range from 1.9  $W/(m^2 \times K)$  for Zone 4 building locations to 1.44  $W/(m^2 \times K)$  for zone 8 building locations. The prescriptive requirements for the U-value of skylights range from 2.69  $W/(m^2 \times K)$  for zone 4 building locations to 2.01  $W/(m^2 \times K)$  for zone 8 building locations. The prescriptive requirements for the U-value of doors range from 2.12  $W/(m^2 \times K)$  for zone 4 building locations to 1.44  $W/(m^2 \times K)$  for zone 8 building locations.
62. The range of vertical fenestration and door products that meet the NECB prescriptive requirements is broad. For vertical fenestration, a U-value of 1.9  $W/(m^2 \times K)$  can typically be achieved using, for example, a thermally broken aluminum frame, double-glazed units with a low-emissivity coating, and a non-metallic spacer and argon gas fill. A U-value of 1.44  $W/(m^2 \times K)$  can typically be achieved using, for example, a thermally broken aluminum frame, triple-glazed units with a low-emissivity coating, and a non-metallic spacer and argon gas fill.
63. The directional orientation of building assemblies can affect their heat transfer characteristics. For example, the same type of glazing could have a greater heat loss when used in a horizontal or low-sloped application (e.g., skylight) compared to a vertical application, due to increased convection between the panes of glass.
64. Sentence 1.4.1.2.(1) of Division A of the NECB defines the term “skylight” as “a form of fenestration that is inclined less than 60° from the horizontal.” Therefore, any fenestration that is inclined 60° or more from the horizontal is considered vertical fenestration.

### Thermal Requirements for Semi-Heated Buildings (Subsections 3.2.2. and 3.2.3.)

65. A semi-heated building with a set-point temperature of less than 15°C is permitted to meet less stringent building envelope requirements than those for a standard building, provided its annual energy consumption is less than or equal to the building energy target of the reference building, which uses a set-point temperature of 18°C. Examples of semi-heated buildings include, but are not limited to, warehouses, ice rinks, and self-service storage facilities.
66. When the prescriptive path is used to determine the thermal characteristics of building envelope assemblies for semi-heated buildings, the design data from NECB Table C-1 for heating degree-days (HDD) below 15°C apply. The lower number of HDD listed for semi-heated buildings compared to standard buildings means that, for many locations in Canada, less stringent overall thermal transmittance values (U-values) can be used.
67. Example 3-14 compares the maximum U-values for a semi-heated building and a standard building in the same location.

**Example 3-14 – Determination of the Overall Thermal Transmittance of Building Envelope Assemblies in a Semi-Heated Building**

A semi-heated building is proposed for Nelson, British Columbia. According to NECB Table C-1, Nelson has an HDD below 15°C of 2600 and an HDD below 18°C of 3500, which correspond to zones 4 and 5, respectively (see Table 3-1 in Paragraph 13). According to NECB Tables 3.2.2.2., 3.2.2.3., 3.2.2.4. and 3.2.3.1., the following overall thermal transmittance values apply to building envelope assemblies in these climate zones:

Building Envelope Assemblies/Components	Heating Degree-Days of Building Location, in Celsius Degree-Days	
	Zone 4: < 3000 (Semi-Heated Building)	Zone 5: 3000 to 3999 (Standard Building)
	Maximum Overall Thermal Transmittance, in W/(m <sup>2</sup> ×K)	
Above-ground assemblies		
walls	0.290	0.265
roofs	0.164	0.156
floors	0.193	0.175
Vertical fenestration	1.90	1.90
Skylights	2.69	2.69
Doors	2.12	1.90
Assemblies in contact with the ground		
walls	0.568	0.379
roofs	0.568	0.379
floors (for 1.2 m)	0.757	0.757

According to NECB Sentence 3.2.2.2.(2), a semi-heated building in Nelson, British Columbia, is permitted to use the maximum U-values for building envelope assemblies in zone 4 instead of the more stringent ones for its counterpart in zone 5 (standard building).

In some locations, the climate zone that applies to standard buildings and semi-heated buildings is the same. For example, in Abbotsford, British Columbia, the values for HDD below 15°C and below 18°C (2000 and 2860, respectively) both correspond to climate zone 4. Similarly, in Kuujjuaq, Quebec, the values for HDD below 15°C and below 18°C (7520 and 8550, respectively) both correspond to climate zone 8. In such cases, the maximum overall thermal transmittance values for building envelope assemblies, fenestration and doors are the same for both standard and semi-heated buildings.

In other locations, the climate zone that applies to semi-heated buildings is removed by more than one zone from the climate zone that applies to standard buildings. For example, in Smith River, British Columbia, the values for HDD below 15°C (5980) and below 18°C (7100) correspond to zones 7A and 8, respectively, which represents a reduction of two climate zones when determining the maximum overall thermal transmittance values for building envelope assemblies of semi-heated buildings.

## Commentary on Part 3

### Air Leakage (Subsection 3.2.4.)

68. Many functions of the building envelope rely on adequate air leakage control. NECB Sentence 3.2.4.1.(1) requires that the building envelope be designed and constructed with a continuous air barrier system to control air leakage into and out of the conditioned space. The uncontrolled infiltration and exfiltration of air through the building envelope can lead to increased heating and cooling loads. An effective air barrier system is continuous and minimizes air leakage, and can reduce the energy needed for heating and cooling.
69. There are two options to demonstrate compliance with this air leakage control requirement:
- (1) performing whole building envelope air leakage testing in accordance with NECB Article 3.2.4.2., or
  - (2) constructing the building envelope using tested opaque building assemblies, fenestration assemblies and doors, connected in an airtight manner, in accordance with NECB Article 3.2.4.3.
70. For whole building envelope air leakage testing, NECB Article 3.2.4.2. requires that the air leakage rate be measured under both pressurized and depressurized conditions. The normalized air leakage rate must not exceed  $1.50 \text{ L}/(\text{s}\times\text{m}^2)$  when tested in accordance with ASTM E3158, “Standard Test Method for Measuring the Air Leakage Rate of a Large or Multizone Building,” at a pressure differential of 75 Pa. Note that additions to existing buildings require special attention when setting up an air leakage test: it is necessary to fully isolate the addition from the existing building for the test, which may be difficult under some circumstances.
71. ASTM E3158 provides a quantitative field test procedure and calculation method for determining the airtightness of a building envelope using blower doors or equivalent equipment. Testing in accordance with this standard is one option for demonstrating conformance with NECB Subsection 3.2.4.
72. ASTM E3158 is applicable to all large or multi-zone buildings, as well as to portions of such buildings. It is also applicable to the testing of single zones within buildings. However, the intent of NECB Sentence 3.2.4.2.(1) is that the whole building envelope be tested.
73. The standard provides specific test preparation instructions for building envelopes with intentional openings (e.g., HVAC grilles, louvers, dampers) that are either sealed or unsealed. Airtightness testing is generally conducted with such openings sealed so that only unintentional air leakage through the building envelope is measured. For some projects, testing with intentional openings unsealed will be less logistically challenging and will provide a conservative estimate of infiltration into the building. It is critically important to closely follow the test preparation instructions, as failure to do so can have a significant effect on the final test results.
74. While the test procedure specified in ASTM E3158 enables the determination of the air leakage rate of the building envelope, it does not require that the location of any air leakage sites be identified. The standard allows for the testing to be carried out under a pressurized condition, a depressurized condition, or a combination of both. However, NECB Sentence 3.2.4.2.(1) requires that the air leakage test be conducted under both pressurized and depressurized conditions. The results of these two tests are averaged for evaluation against the  $1.50 \text{ L}/(\text{s}\times\text{m}^2)$  compliance criterion.
75. Note that air barrier assemblies and materials must meet the requirements of both the NECB (for energy) and NBC Section 5.4. (for environmental separation) as part of an overall design. Air barriers consist of materials and accessories that are combined to form assemblies that are ultimately connected and continuous over the entire building envelope to provide an effective barrier to air leakage.
76. As stated in NBC Article 5.4.1.2., air barrier assemblies must conform to CAN/ULC-S742, “Standard for Air Barrier Materials – Specification,” or must contain at least one air barrier material that meets the requirements of CAN/ULC-S741, “Standard for Air Barrier Materials – Specification.” They must also be designed to meet the selected Performance Class of NBC Table 5.4.1.1. NECB Sentence 3.2.4.3.(1) requires air barrier assemblies to have an air leakage rate no greater than  $0.2 \text{ L}/(\text{s}\times\text{m}^2)$  measured at a pressure differential of 75 Pa. NECB Sentence 3.2.4.3.(2) requires that such assemblies either conform to CAN/ULC-S742 or meet three compliance criteria that do not involve assembly testing.
77. Many air barrier assemblies on the market have been tested and comply with CAN/ULC-S742, or have been designed, evaluated and constructed to provide the principal resistance to air leakage. A

standardized test cannot cover all potential building assemblies. Testing of non-standard assemblies can be carried out using the same testing method as for standardized assemblies if the test specimen is modified to replicate the non-standard assembly. This might mean using more representative specimen dimensions, materials or construction methods that were not considered by the standard.

78. While the NECB does not require entire buildings to undergo airtightness testing, attention should be paid to the airtightness of junctions between assemblies. A whole building envelope air leakage test performed in accordance with ASTM E3158 is an optional means to demonstrate compliance.
79. NECB Sentences 3.2.4.3.(3) to (9) specify the maximum allowable air leakage rates for fenestration products, including metal and glass curtain walls, fixed windows and skylights, and operable windows and skylights, as well as doors, including revolving and automatic commercial sliding doors, overhead doors and main entry exterior doors.

### Simple Trade-off Path Method (Subsection 3.3.1.)

80. The simple trade-off path method of compliance offers designers flexibility in satisfying the NECB requirements for the building envelope. In this method, the reference building is defined as a building whose building envelope complies with the prescriptive requirements of the NECB.
81. The simple trade-off path may only be used for new buildings. The NECB does not allow the simple trade-off path to be used for additions to existing buildings or for semi-heated buildings.
82. Compliance with the simple trade-off path is determined using the equation in NECB Sentence 3.3.1.2.(2) to demonstrate that, for the proposed building, the sum of the areas of all above-ground assemblies of the building envelope multiplied by their respective U-values is not more than the same sum calculated for the reference building (based on the limits prescribed in the prescriptive path). This compliance calculation ensures that the average heat transmission of the proposed building does not exceed that of the reference building. Note that the sum of the assembly areas for the proposed building and for the reference building must be equal.
83. The simple trade-off path method only addresses design deviations in the proposed building from the maximum overall thermal transmittance values of above-ground assemblies and the allowable fenestration and door areas (per the total vertical fenestration and door area to gross wall area ratio (FDWR)), as prescribed for the reference building in the prescriptive path. The two input parameters are the U-values of the assemblies and their areas, and either or both can vary for the proposed building within the simple trade-off rules.
84. The simple trade-off path can be used in several ways. For example, the proposed building is allowed to have an FDWR that exceeds the maximum allowable FDWR value prescribed for the reference building, if the opaque above-ground assemblies of the proposed building have more stringent U-values to compensate. Alternatively, a proposed building with an FDWR that is lower than the maximum allowable value is allowed to use opaque wall assemblies with U-values that exceed the maximum allowable values prescribed for the reference building. The U-values of above-ground assemblies can also be traded-off against each other. For example, the proposed building is permitted to have an above-ground exterior opaque wall assembly with a U-value that exceeds the maximum allowable value prescribed for the reference building, if a fenestration system with a lower U-value (i.e., more stringent) is used to compensate for the additional heat lost through the opaque wall assembly.
85. This method of compliance includes restrictions regarding the type of trade-offs that are permitted: vertical above-ground building assemblies can only be traded-off against other vertical above-ground assemblies; horizontal above-ground assemblies can only be traded-off against other horizontal above-ground portions; and trade-offs with assemblies in contact with the ground are not permitted. For example, an above-ground exposed floor assembly is permitted to have an overall thermal transmittance that is higher than that allowed in the prescriptive path, if it can be shown, through the trade-off calculation, that this deviation can be compensated by selecting a roof assembly that has a lower overall thermal transmittance than that prescribed in the prescriptive path. Trade-offs between FDWR and U-values can only be done on vertical elements (i.e., FDWR vs. U-value of walls, windows or doors).
86. Examples of simple trade-off path calculations are presented in Examples 3-15 and 3-16.

## Commentary on Part 3

### Example 3-15 – Simple Trade-off Path Calculation for Community Centre

A one-storey community centre is proposed for Winnipeg, Manitoba. The building has a gross wall area of 560 m<sup>2</sup>. According to NECB Table C-1, Winnipeg has an HDD below 18°C of 5670, which corresponds to zone 7A (see Table 3-1 in Paragraph 13). Using the prescriptive path, the maximum allowable FDWR, according to NECB Article 3.2.1.4., for a building located in Winnipeg is 0.289 (FDWR = (2000 – 0.2 × HDD)/3000); however, based on the owner's requirements, the proposed building has an FDWR of 0.320.

According to the prescriptive requirements for zone 7A, above-ground walls are required to have an overall thermal transmittance (U-value) of not more than 0.215 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K) and vertical fenestration and doors are required to have a U-value of not more than 1.73 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K) or 1.90 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K), respectively. Using the maximum allowable FDWR of 0.289, the sum of the UAs (ΣUA) of the reference building is calculated as follows:

#### Prescriptive Path (Reference Building)

Building Envelope Assembly	Prescriptive Path U-Value (U <sub>r</sub> ), W/(m <sup>2</sup> ×K)	Area Ratio due to Maximum FDWR	Area (A <sub>r</sub> ), m <sup>2</sup>	UA (U <sub>r</sub> × A <sub>r</sub> ), W/K
Walls	0.215	0.711	398.16	85.60
Vertical fenestration	1.73	0.257	143.92	248.98
Doors	1.90	0.032	17.92	34.05
Totals:		1	560	368.63 (369)

Note that the sum of the area ratios for vertical fenestration and doors is equal to the maximum allowable FDWR.

Following the method of the simple trade-off path, the owner's requirement for a higher FDWR can be traded-off against the U-values of the vertical fenestration, doors and/or walls, since these assemblies are vertical above-ground portions of the building envelope, provided that the ΣUA of the proposed building does not exceed the ΣUA of the reference building (369 W/K in this Example).

In order to show compliance using the proposed FDWR of 0.320, the designer applies the simple trade-off calculations using vertical fenestration with a lower U-value (i.e., better performing than prescriptive). As shown in Option 1, by using fenestration with a U-value of 1.55 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K), the ΣUA of the proposed building (366 W/K) remains below that of the reference building (369 W/K) and the design is compliant with the trade-off path.

#### Option 1 – Simple Trade-off Path (Proposed Building)

Building Envelope Assembly	Required U-Value (U <sub>p</sub> ), W/(m <sup>2</sup> ×K)	Area Ratio due to Design Requirements	Area (A <sub>p</sub> ), m <sup>2</sup>	UA (U <sub>p</sub> × A <sub>p</sub> ), W/K
Walls	0.215	0.680	380.80	81.87
Vertical fenestration	1.55	0.285	159.60	247.38
Doors	1.90	0.035	19.60	37.24
Totals:		1	560	366.49 (366)

**Example 3-15 – Simple Trade-off Path Calculation for Community Centre (Continued)**

The designer could also use a combination of measures to lower the U-value of the proposed building, for example, by modifying the U-values of the walls, vertical fenestration and doors. In the calculation in Option 2, the U-values of the vertical fenestration and doors are decreased, allowing increased wall U-values.

**Option 2 – Simple Trade-off Path (Proposed Building)**

Building Envelope Assembly	Required U-Value ( $U_{ip}$ ), W/(m <sup>2</sup> ×K)	Area Ratio due to Design Requirements	Area ( $A_{ip}$ ), m <sup>2</sup>	UA ( $U_{ip} \times A_{ip}$ ), W/K
Wall type 1	0.284	0.430	240.8	68.39
Wall type 2	0.227	0.250	140.0	31.78
Vertical fenestration	1.45	0.285	159.6	231.42
Doors	1.80	0.035	19.6	35.28
Totals:		1	560	366.87 (367)

**Example 3-16 – Simple Trade-off Path Calculation for Warehouse**

A warehouse with a total gross wall area of 2 310 m<sup>2</sup> and an FDWR of 0.082 (8.2%) is proposed for Winnipeg, Manitoba. According to NECB Table C-1, Winnipeg has an HDD of 5670, which corresponds to zone 7A (see Table 3-1 in Paragraph 13). Since the FDWR is less than the maximum allowable for Winnipeg (0.289), the designer wishes to trade-off a better performing assembly against the U-value of the vertical fenestration, doors and/or above-ground walls.

The reference building's  $\Sigma$ UA is calculated as follows using the maximum allowable FDWR of 0.289:

**Prescriptive Path (Reference Building)**

Building Envelope Assembly	Prescriptive Path U-Value ( $U_{ir}$ ), W/(m <sup>2</sup> ×K)	Area Ratio due to Maximum FDWR	Area ( $A_{ir}$ ), m <sup>2</sup>	UA ( $U_{ir} \times A_{ir}$ ), W/K
Walls	0.215	0.711	1 642.41	353.12
Vertical fenestration	1.73	0.078	180.18	311.71
Doors	1.90	0.211	487.41	926.08
Totals:		1	2 310	1 590.91 (1 591)

Note that the sum of the area ratios for vertical fenestration and doors is equal to the maximum allowable FDWR.

Following the simple trade-off path method, the proposed FDWR, which is less than the maximum allowable, can be traded-off against the U-values of the vertical fenestration, doors and/or walls, since these assemblies are vertical above-ground portions of the building envelope, provided that the  $\Sigma$ UA of the proposed building does not exceed the  $\Sigma$ UA of the reference building (1 591 W/K in this Example).

The designer applies the simple trade-off calculations using only a wall U-value that is increased from the NECB prescriptive requirement (lesser performing than prescriptive). As shown in Option 1, by using walls with a maximum U-value of 0.584 W/(m<sup>2</sup>×K), the  $\Sigma$ UA of the proposed building (1 590 W/K) remains below that of the reference building (1 591 W/K) and the design is compliant with the trade-off path.

## Commentary on Part 3

### Example 3-16 – Simple Trade-off Path Calculation for Warehouse (Continued)

#### Option 1 – Simple Trade-off Path (Proposed Building)

Building Envelope Assembly	Required U-Value ( $U_p$ ), W/(m <sup>2</sup> ×K)	Area Ratio due to Design Requirements	Area ( $A_p$ ), m <sup>2</sup>	UA ( $U_p \times A_p$ ), W/K
Walls	0.584	0.918	2 120.58	1 238.42
Vertical fenestration	1.73	0.022	50.82	87.92
Doors	1.90	0.060	138.60	263.34
Totals:		1	2 310	1 589.68 (1 590)

The designer could also use a combination of measures to increase the U-value of the proposed building, for example, by modifying the U-values of the walls, vertical fenestration and doors. The calculation in Option 2 shows the trade-off of a lower FDWR for lesser performing walls, vertical fenestration and doors.

#### Option 2 – Simple Trade-off Path (Proposed Building)

Building Envelope Assembly	Required U-Value ( $U_p$ ), W/(m <sup>2</sup> ×K)	Area Ratio due to Design Requirements	Area ( $A_p$ ), m <sup>2</sup>	UA ( $U_p \times A_p$ ), W/K
Walls	0.473	0.918	2 120.58	1 003.03
Vertical fenestration	2.20	0.022	50.82	111.80
Doors	3.40	0.060	138.60	471.24
Totals:		1	2 310	1 586.07 (1 586)

## Performance Path Method of Compliance (Section 3.4.)

87. The performance path option is described in NECB Part 8. This method uses a whole building engineering approach to demonstrate building envelope compliance. Both the proposed and reference buildings are modeled identically using an overall building air leakage rate of 1.50 L/(s×m<sup>2</sup>) at a pressure differential of 75 Pa, which is converted to an adjusted air leakage rate relative to the above-ground wall area at a pressure differential of 5 Pa (see NECB Article 8.4.2.9.). With this option, trade-offs can be made between not only building envelope assemblies, but all parameters of the building, including HVAC, lighting and other building systems. For example, a less stringent building envelope is permitted with increased HVAC efficiencies. Building envelope limitations when using the performance path are described in NECB Article 3.4.1.2.

## Tables to be used with Examples 3-1 to 3-10

88. The thermal resistance values given in Tables 3-4 to 3-7 are generic and approximate values for the materials listed. The values were obtained by multiplying the thermal resistance (RSI) values per millimetre of thickness found in Table 3-8 by the thickness of the material. As such, values published by manufacturers for their proprietary materials may differ. Whenever possible, RSI values should be obtained from product manufacturers, where the values have been determined in accordance with applicable material standards. Data can also be obtained from the Canadian Construction Materials Centre's registry of product assessments, or from the "ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals."
89. All data in Tables 3-4 to 3-8 is reported at 24±1°C (75±2°F).

**Table 3-4  
Thermal Resistance Values of Common Insulation Materials: Insulation Boards, Slabs and Sheathing**

Insulation Materials	RSI/mm	RSI Values for Thickness of Insulation Board, Slab and Sheathing Materials											
		Material Thickness, mm											
		13	16	19	25	38	51	64	76	89	101	127	152
Expanded polystyrene (EPS)													
Type 1	0.026	0.34	0.42	0.49	0.65	0.99	1.33	1.66	1.98	2.31	2.63	3.30	3.95
Type 2	0.028	0.36	0.45	0.53	0.71	1.06	1.43	1.79	2.13	2.49	2.83	3.56	4.26
Type 3	0.030	0.39	0.48	0.57	0.76	1.14	1.53	1.92	2.28	2.67	3.03	3.81	4.56
Extruded polystyrene (XPS), Types 2, 3, 4	0.035	0.46	0.56	0.67	0.88	1.33	1.79	2.24	2.66	3.12	3.54	4.45	5.32
Semi-rigid mineral fibre <sup>(1)</sup>	0.0298	0.39	0.48	0.57	0.75	1.13	1.52	1.91	2.26	2.65	3.01	3.78	4.53
Insulating fibreboard	0.016	0.21	0.26	0.30	0.40	0.61	0.82	1.02	1.22	1.42	1.62	2.03	2.43
Polyisocyanurate/polyurethane – permeably faced, Types 1, 2, 3	0.03818	0.50	0.61	0.73	0.97	1.45	1.90	2.44	2.90	3.40	3.86	4.85	5.80
Polyisocyanurate/polyurethane – impermeably faced, Types 1, 2, 3	0.03937	0.51	0.63	0.75	0.98	1.50	2.00	2.52	2.99	3.50	3.98	5.00	5.98
Gypsum sheathing	0.0063	0.082	0.101	0.120	0.158	0.239	0.321	0.403	0.479	0.561	0.636	0.800	0.958
Particleboard – low density (593 kg/m <sup>3</sup> = 37 lb./ft. <sup>3</sup> )	0.0098	0.127	0.157	0.186	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Particleboard – medium density (800 kg/m <sup>3</sup> = 50 lb./ft. <sup>3</sup> )	0.0077	0.100	0.123	0.146	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Particleboard – high density (993 kg/m <sup>3</sup> = 62 lb./ft. <sup>3</sup> )	0.0059	0.077	0.094	0.112	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Plywood – generic softwood	0.0087	0.109	0.135	0.161	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Plywood – Douglas fir	0.0111	0.139	0.172	0.205	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Waferboard – 705 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.0095	0.124	0.152	0.181	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Oriented strandboard (OSB)	0.0098	0.127	0.157	0.186	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

(1) Semi-rigid mineral fibre includes both glass and rock wool fibre.

**Table 3-5  
Thermal Resistance Values of Common Insulation Materials: Blanket and Batt Insulation**

Insulation Materials	RSI Values for Thickness of Blanket and Batt Insulation Materials										
	Material Thickness, mm										
	89/92	89/92	140	152	140/152	152	140/152	178/216	241	267	279/300
	Material R-Value										
	R12	R14	R19	R20	R22	R22.5	R24	R28	R31	R35	R40
Blanket and batt mineral fibre (rock or glass)	2.11	2.46	3.34	3.52	3.87	3.96	4.23	4.93	5.46	6.16	7.04



## Commentary on Part 3

**Table 3-6**  
**Thermal Resistance Values of Common Insulation Materials: Spray-Applied Insulation**

Insulation Materials	RSI/mm	RSI Values for Thickness of Spray-Applied Insulation Materials									
		Material Thickness, mm									
		51	76	89	101	127	140	152	202	254	305
Sprayed cellulosic fibre – settled thickness	0.024	–	–	2.14	–	–	3.36	–	–	–	–
Sprayed glass fibre – density 16 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.025	–	–	2.23	–	–	3.50	–	–	–	–
Sprayed glass fibre – density 28.8 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.029	–	–	2.58	–	–	4.06	–	–	–	–
Sprayed polyurethane foam – medium density	0.036	1.84	2.74	3.20	3.64	4.57	5.04	5.47	7.27	9.14	10.98
Sprayed polyurethane foam – light density	0.0255	1.30	1.94	2.27	2.58	3.24	3.57	3.88	5.15	6.48	7.78

**Table 3-7**  
**Thermal Resistance Values of Common Insulation Materials: Loose-Fill Insulation**

Insulation Materials	RSI/mm	RSI Values for Thickness of Loose-Fill Insulation Materials									
		Material Thickness, mm									
		89	140	152	202	254	305	356	406	457	508
Loose-fill cellulose	0.025	2.23	3.50	3.80	5.05	6.35	7.63	8.90	10.15	11.43	12.70
Loose-fill glass fibre for attics	0.01875	1.67	2.63	2.85	3.79	4.76	5.72	6.68	7.61	8.57	9.53
Loose-fill glass fibre for walls	0.02865	2.55	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Loose-fill glass fibre for walls	0.0289	–	4.05	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Loose-fill pouring wool for attics	0.0200	1.78	2.80	3.04	4.04	5.08	6.10	7.12	8.12	9.14	10.16
Perlite	0.019	1.69	2.66	2.89	3.84	4.83	5.80	6.76	7.71	8.68	9.65
Vermiculite	0.015	1.34	2.10	2.28	3.03	3.81	4.58	5.34	6.09	6.86	7.62

**Table 3-8**  
**Typical Thermal Characteristics of Common Building Materials<sup>(1)</sup>**

Air Films	Thickness of Material	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W per mm	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W for thickness listed
Exterior ceiling, floors and walls: wind 6.7 m/s (winter)	–	–	0.03
Interior ceiling (heat flow up)	–	–	0.11
floor (heat flow down)	–	–	0.16
walls (heat flow horizontal)	–	–	0.12
Air Cavities <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	Thickness of Air Space	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W per mm	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W for thickness listed
Ceiling (heat flow up) faced with non-reflective material <sup>(4)</sup>	13 mm	–	0.15
	20 mm	–	0.15
	40 mm	–	0.16
	90 mm	–	0.16
Floors (heat flow down) faced with non-reflective material <sup>(4)</sup>	13 mm	–	0.16
	20 mm	–	0.18
	40 mm	–	0.20
	90 mm	–	0.22

**Table 3-8 (Continued)**

<b>Air Cavities<sup>(2)(3)</sup></b>	<b>Thickness of Air Space</b>	<b>Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W per mm</b>	<b>Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W for thickness listed</b>
Walls (heat flow horizontal) faced with non-reflective material <sup>(4)</sup>	9.5 mm	—	0.15
	13 mm	—	0.16
	20 mm	—	0.18
	40 mm	—	0.18
	90 mm	—	0.18
<b>Cladding Materials</b>	<b>Thickness of Material</b>	<b>Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W per mm</b>	<b>Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W for thickness listed</b>
Brick fired clay (2 400 kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) concrete: sand and gravel or stone (2 400 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	100 mm	0.0007	0.07
	100 mm	0.0004	0.04
Cement/lime, mortar and stucco	—	0.0009	—
Wood shingles 400 mm, 190 mm exposure 400 mm, 300 mm exposure (double exposure) insulating backer board	—	—	0.15
	—	—	0.21
	8 mm	—	0.25
Siding Metal or vinyl siding over sheathing hollow-backed insulating-board-backed foiled-backed Wood bevel, 200 mm, lapped bevel, 250 mm, lapped drop, 200 mm hardboard plywood, lapped Stone quartzitic and sandstone (2 240 kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) calcitic, dolomitic, limestone, marble and granite (2 240 kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Fibre-cement: single-faced, cellulose fibre-reinforced cement	—	—	0.11
	9.5 mm nominal	—	0.32
	9.5 mm nominal	—	0.52
	13 mm	—	0.14
	20 mm	—	0.18
	20 mm	—	0.14
	11 mm	—	0.12
	9.5 mm	—	0.10
	—	0.0003	—
	—	0.0004	—
	6.35 mm	0.003	0.023
	8 mm	0.003	0.026
	<b>Roofing Materials<sup>(5)</sup></b>	<b>Thickness of Material</b>	<b>Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W per mm</b>
Asphalt roll roofing	—	—	0.03
Asphalt/tar	—	0.0014	—
Built-up roofing	10 mm	—	0.06
Crushed stone	—	0.0006	—
Metal deck	—	—	negligible
Shingle asphalt	—	—	0.08

## Commentary on Part 3

Table 3-8 (Continued)

Roofing Materials <sup>(5)</sup>	Thickness of Material	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W per mm	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W for thickness listed
wood	—	—	0.17
Slate	13 mm	—	0.01
Sheathing Materials	Thickness of Material	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W per mm	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W for thickness listed
Gypsum sheathing	12.7 mm	0.0063	0.08
Insulating fibreboard	—	0.016	—
Particleboard			
low density (593 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0098	—
medium density (800 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0077	—
high density (993 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0059	—
Plywood – generic softwood	9.5 mm	0.0087	0.083
	11 mm		0.096
	12.5 mm		0.109
	15.5 mm		0.135
	18.5 mm		0.161
Plywood – Douglas fir	9.5 mm	0.0111	0.105
	11 mm		0.122
	12.5 mm		0.139
	15.5 mm		0.172
	18.5 mm		0.205
Sheet materials			
permeable felt	—	—	0.011
seal, 2 layers of mopped (0.73 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	—	0.210
seal, plastic film	—	—	negligible
Waferboard (705 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0095	—
Oriented strandboard (OSB)	9.5 mm	0.0098	0.093
	11 mm		0.108
Insulation Materials <sup>(6)</sup>	Thickness of Material	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W per mm	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W for thickness listed
Blanket and batt: rock or glass mineral fibre			
R12	89/92 mm	—	2.11
R14	89/92 mm	—	2.46
R19 <sup>(7)</sup> (R20 compressed)	140 mm	—	3.34
R20	152 mm	—	3.52
R22	140/152 mm	—	3.87
R22.5	152 mm	—	3.96
R24	140/152 mm	—	4.23
R28	178/216 mm	—	4.93
R31	241 mm	—	5.46
R35	267 mm	—	6.16
R40	279/300 mm	—	7.04

**Table 3-8 (Continued)**

<b>Insulation Materials<sup>(6)</sup></b>	<b>Thickness of Material</b>	<b>Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W per mm</b>	<b>Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W for thickness listed</b>
<b>Boards and slabs</b>			
Roof board	—	0.018	—
Building board or ceiling tile, lay-in panel	—	0.016	—
Polyisocyanurate/polyurethane-faced sheathing: Types 1, 2 and 3			
permeably faced	25 mm	0.03818	0.97
	50 mm	0.0360	1.80
impermeably faced	25 mm	0.03937	1.00
	50 mm	0.0374	1.87
Expanded polystyrene (EPS) <sup>(8)</sup>			
Type 1	25 mm	0.026	0.65
Type 2	25 mm	0.028	0.71
Type 3	25 mm	0.030	0.76
Extruded polystyrene (XPS): Types 2, 3 and 4			
	25 mm	0.035	0.88
	50 mm	0.0336	1.68
Semi-rigid mineral fibre <sup>(9)</sup>	25 mm	0.0298	0.757
<b>Loose-fill insulation</b>			
Cellulose	—	0.025	—
Glass fibre loose fill insulation for attics	112 to 565 mm	0.01875	—
Glass fibre loose fill insulation for walls			
	89 mm	0.02865	2.55
	140 mm	0.0289	4.05
Pouring wool for attics	—	0.0200	—
Perlite	—	0.019	—
Vermiculite	—	0.015	—
<b>Spray-applied insulation</b>			
Sprayed polyurethane foam			
medium density	25 mm	0.036	0.90
	50 mm	0.036	1.80
light density	25 mm	0.0255	0.60
Sprayed cellulosic fibre	settled thickness	0.024	—
Spray-applied glass-fibre insulation			
density: 16 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	89 mm	0.025	2.30
	140 mm	0.025	3.53
density: 28.8 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	89 mm	0.029	2.64
	140 mm	0.029	4.06
<b>Structural Materials</b>			
<b>Concrete</b>			
Low-density aggregate			
expanded shale, clay, slate or slags, cinders (1 600 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0013	—
perlite, vermiculite and polystyrene bead (480 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0063	—
Normal-density aggregate			
sand and gravel or stone aggregate (2 400 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0004	—

## Commentary on Part 3

Table 3-8 (Continued)

Structural Materials	Thickness of Material	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W per mm	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W for thickness listed	
<b>Hardwood<sup>(10)(11)</sup></b>				
Ash	—	0.0063	—	
Birch	—	0.0055	—	
Maple	—	0.0063	—	
Oak	—	0.0056	—	
<b>Softwood<sup>(10)(11)</sup></b>				
Amabilis fir	—	0.0080	—	
California redwood	—	0.0089	—	
Douglas fir-larch	—	0.0069	—	
Eastern white cedar	—	0.0099	—	
Eastern white pine	—	0.0092	—	
Hemlock-fir	—	0.0084	—	
Lodgepole pine	—	0.0082	—	
Red pine	—	0.0077	—	
Western hemlock	—	0.0074	—	
Western red cedar	—	0.0102	—	
White spruce	—	0.0097	—	
Yellow cyprus-cedar	—	0.0077	—	
Wood, structural framing, spruce-pine-fir <sup>(12)</sup>	—	0.0085	—	
Steel, galvanized sheet, 0.14% carbon content	—	0.0000161	—	
<b>Concrete Blocks</b>	<b>Thickness of Material</b>	<b>Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W per mm</b>	<b>Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W for thickness listed</b>	
Limestone aggregate with 2 cores cores filled with perlite	190 mm	—	0.37	
	290 mm	—	0.65	
Light-weight units (expanded shale, clay, slate or slag aggregate) with 2 or 3 cores	no insulation in cores	90 mm	—	0.24
		140 mm	—	0.30
		190 mm	—	0.32
		240 mm	—	0.33
		290 mm	—	0.41
	cores filled with perlite	140 mm	—	0.74
		190 mm	—	0.99
		290 mm	—	1.35
	cores filled with vermiculite	140 mm	—	0.58
		190 mm	—	0.81
		240 mm	—	0.98
		290 mm	—	1.06
	cores filled with molded EPS beads	190 mm	—	0.85
	molded EPS inserts in cores	190 mm	—	0.62

**Table 3-8 (Continued)**

<b>Concrete Blocks</b>	Thickness of Material	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W per mm	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W for thickness listed				
Medium-weight units (combination of normal- and low-mass aggregate) with 2 or 3 cores	no insulation in cores	190 mm	—	0.26			
	cores filled with molded EPS beads	190 mm	—	0.56			
	molded EPS inserts in cores	190 mm	—	0.47			
	cores filled with perlite	190 mm	—	0.53			
	cores filled with vermiculite	190 mm	—	0.58			
Normal-weight units (sand and gravel aggregate) with 2 or 3 cores	no insulation in cores	90 mm	—	0.17			
		140 mm	—	0.19			
		190 mm	—	0.21			
		240 mm	—	0.24			
		290 mm	—	0.26			
	cores filled with perlite	190 mm	—	0.35			
		cores filled with vermiculite	140 mm	—	0.40		
			190 mm	—	0.51		
			240 mm	—	0.61		
	290 mm	—	0.69				
<b>Hollow Clay Bricks</b>	Multi-cored without insulation in cores	90 mm	—	0.27			
	Rectangular 2-core	no insulation in cores	140 mm	—	0.39		
			190 mm	—	0.41		
			290 mm	—	0.47		
	cores filled with vermiculite	140 mm	—	0.65			
		190 mm	—	0.86			
		290 mm	—	1.29			
	Rectangular 3-core	no insulation in cores	90 mm	—	0.35		
			140 mm	—	0.38		
			190 mm	—	0.41		
240 mm			—	0.43			
290 mm			—	0.45			
cores filled with vermiculite		140 mm	—	0.68			
		190 mm	—	0.86			
		240 mm	—	1.06			
		290 mm	—	1.19			
<b>Interior Finish Materials</b> <sup>(13)</sup>	Thickness of Material	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W per mm	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W for thickness listed				
				Gypsum board	—	0.0061	—
				Hardboard – medium-density (800 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0095	—

## Commentary on Part 3

Table 3-8 (Continued)

Interior Finish Materials <sup>(13)</sup>	Thickness of Material	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W per mm	Thermal Resistance (RSI), (m <sup>2</sup> ×K)/W for thickness listed
Interior finish (plank, tile) board	—	0.0198	—
Particleboard			
low-density (590 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0098	—
medium-density (800 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0074	—
high-density (1 000 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	0.0059	—
underlay	15.9 mm	—	0.140
Plywood	—	0.0087	—
Flooring material			
Carpet and fibrous pad	—	—	0.370
Carpet and rubber pad	—	—	0.220
Cork tile	3.2 mm	—	0.049
Hardwood flooring	19 mm	—	0.120
Terrazzo	25 mm	—	0.014
Tile (linoleum, vinyl, rubber)	—	—	0.009
Tile (ceramic)	9.5 mm	—	0.005
Wood subfloor	19 mm	—	0.170
Plastering			
Cement plaster: sand aggregate	—	0.0014	—
Gypsum plaster			
low-density aggregate	—	0.0044	—
sand aggregate	—	0.0012	—

- (1) The thermal resistance values given in Table 3-8 are generic values for the materials listed or minimum acceptable values taken from product standards. Values published by manufacturers for their proprietary materials may differ slightly but are permitted to be used, provided the values were obtained in accordance with applicable material standards. For materials not listed in this Table, or where the listed value does not reflect the thickness of the product, the thermal resistance value must be calculated by dividing the material's thickness, in metres, by its conductivity, in W/(m×K), which can be found in the manufacturer's literature.
- (2) RSI values can be interpolated for air cavity sizes that fall between 13 mm and 90 mm, and they can be moderately extrapolated for air cavities measuring more than 90 mm. However, air cavities measuring less than 13 mm cannot be included in the calculation of effective thermal resistance of the assembly.
- (3) Where strapping is installed, use the RSI value for an air layer of equivalent thickness.
- (4) Reflective insulation material may contribute a thermal property value depending on its location and installation within an assembly. Where a value is obtained through evaluation, it may be included in the calculation of the thermal resistance or transmittance of the specific assembly.
- (5) Materials installed towards the exterior of a vented air space in a roof assembly cannot be included in the calculation of overall thermal transmittance of the assembly.
- (6) All types of cellular foam plastic insulation manufactured to be able to retain a blowing agent, other than air, for a period longer than 180 days should be tested for long-term thermal resistance (LTTR) in accordance with CAN/ULC-S770, "Standard Test Method for Determination of Long-Term Thermal Resistance of Closed-Cell Thermal Insulating Foams." This thermal resistance value must be input as the design thermal resistance value for the purpose of energy calculations. Product standards contain a baseline LTTR for a thickness of 50 mm, from which the LTTR for other thicknesses can be calculated.
- (7) An RSI 3.52 (R20) batt compressed into a 140-mm cavity has a thermal resistance value of 3.34 (R19); if installed uncompressed in a 152-mm cavity (e.g., in a metal stud assembly), it will retain its full thermal resistance value of 3.52 (m<sup>2</sup>×K)/W.
- (8) Expanded polystyrene insulation is not manufactured to be able to retain a blowing agent; therefore LTTR requirements do not apply.
- (9) Semi-rigid mineral fibre includes both glass and rock wool fibre.
- (10) The thermal resistance values for wood species are based on a moisture content (MC) of 12%. In Canada, equilibrium moisture content for wood in buildings ranges from 8–14%. Thermal resistance values for wood species with 12% MC are readily available. The difference between the thermal properties of wood species with 12% MC and those with 14% MC is negligible.
- (11) For wood species not listed in this Table, the RSI value of a wood species of equal or greater density (or specific gravity (relative density)) can be used since the thermal resistance of wood is directly related to its density (higher density wood has a lower thermal resistance).
- (12) 0.0085 is considered a common value for structural softwood (SPF).
- (13) Materials installed towards the interior of a conditioned air space cannot be included in the calculation of effective thermal resistance of the assembly.

**Table 3-9  
Framing and Cavity Percentages for Typical Wood-frame Assemblies**

Wood-frame Assemblies		Frame Spacing, mm o.c.									
		304		406		488		610		1 220	
		% Area Framing	% Area Cavity	% Area Framing	% Area Cavity	% Area Framing	% Area Cavity	% Area Framing	% Area Cavity	% Area Framing	% Area Cavity
Floors	lumber joists	–	–	13	87	11.5	88.5	10	90	–	–
	I-joists and trusses	–	–	9	91	7.5	92.5	6	94	–	–
Roofs/ Ceilings	ceilings with typical trusses	–	–	14	86	12.5	87.5	11	89	–	–
	ceilings with raised heel trusses	–	–	10	90	8.5	91.5	7	93	–	–
	roofs with lumber rafters and ceilings with lumber joists	–	–	13	87	11.5	88.5	10	90	–	–
	roofs with I-joint rafters and ceilings with I-joists	–	–	9	91	7.5	92.5	6	94	–	–
	roofs with structural insulated panels (SIPs)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	9	91
Walls	typical wood-frame	24.5	75.5	23	77	21.5	78.5	20	80	–	–
	advanced wood-frame with double top plate	–	–	19	81	17.5	82.5	16	84	–	–
	SIPs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	14	86
	basement wood-frame inside concrete foundation wall	–	–	16	84	14.5	85.5	13	87	–	–





# Commentary on Part 4

## Lighting

### Scope (Article 4.1.1.1.)

1. NECB Part 4 applies to interior and exterior lighting components and systems that are connected to the building's electrical service. The requirements address four subject areas: interior lighting power, interior lighting controls, exterior lighting power and exterior lighting controls.
2. The following lighting systems are exempt from these requirements:
  - emergency lighting that is automatically off during normal building operation; and
  - lighting in dwelling units.

Also, if it can be shown to the authority having jurisdiction that the nature of the occupancy makes it impractical to apply the NECB Part 4 requirements, the lighting design may be exempt from having to comply with NECB Part 4 (for example, lighting systems that are designed to meet health or safety regulatory requirements, such as those used in hospitals or extended health care facilities that have requirements for higher illuminance levels prescribed by the local jurisdiction or health authority).

### Compliance (Article 4.1.1.3.)

3. Compliance with NECB Part 4 can be achieved by applying one of the following paths:
  - the prescriptive path in NECB Section 4.2., which is a simple approach whereby the designer applies the requirements as stated to achieve compliance for interior and exterior lighting;
  - the trade-off path in NECB Section 4.3., which provides additional flexibility in meeting the interior lighting power and interior lighting control requirements (it cannot be used to show compliance with the exterior lighting requirements); and
  - the performance path in NECB Part 8, which is an approach that entails the modeling of the entire building (if this path is chosen, it must be followed for all building parameters covered by the NECB, i.e., building envelope, lighting, HVAC, service water heating and electrical power systems/motors).

The flow charts in NECB Figures A-4.1.1.3.(1)-A and A-4.1.1.3.(1)-B show the compliance paths for interior lighting and exterior lighting, respectively.

### Prescriptive Path (Section 4.2.)

4. The requirements in the prescriptive path were adapted from the lighting requirements contained in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1, "Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings."
5. LED (light-emitting diode) bulbs provide high-quality, energy-efficient lighting at a minimal cost. In addition, they have a much longer service life compared to fluorescent bulbs, which means reduced maintenance costs, and they are available in almost any colour temperature, are free of mercury, and emit very little heat.
6. Designers should be mindful of the situations and locations where proper lighting is required for safety or security reasons, and refer to the appropriate NBC requirements as well as the NECB when developing a lighting design: energy conservation must not be at the expense of safety.

### Interior Lighting Power (Subsection 4.2.1.)

7. A lighting design for a building complies with the NECB prescriptive interior lighting power requirements if the installed interior lighting power does not exceed the interior lighting power allowance. The interior lighting power allowance can be determined by using the building area


## Commentary on Part 4

method or the space-by-space method. The building area method provides a typical building lighting average whereas the space-by-space method is a more detailed approach to calculating the interior lighting power allowance.

- Example 4-1 demonstrates how to calculate the interior lighting power using both the building area and space-by-space methods, and illustrates how to comply with the lighting control requirements.

### Example 4-1 – Interior Lighting Power

This Example uses a space containing four space types: a meeting room, a lobby, a washroom and an open office space (see Figure A). With the exception of the washroom, all spaces have access to daylight through double-glazed windows.



EG01339B

**Figure A**  
**Floor plan of space**

All measurements represent the interior wall-to-wall dimensions, i.e., the wall thickness is discounted. The gross lighted area of each space can thus be determined by the given dimensions.

The designer chooses to illuminate each space with 2 ft. × 4 ft. (0.61 m × 1.2 m) recessed LED luminaires with a design operating wattage of 42 W, which is the connected lighting power per luminaire. The lighting design also includes exit signs but these do not have to be included in the calculation as per NECB Clause 4.2.1.4.(4)(j).

**Example 4-1 – Interior Lighting Power (Continued)**

The following table provides information on the lighting design that allows for the calculation of the installed interior lighting power.

**Lighting Power Design**

Space Type	Number of Luminaires	Connected Lighting Power per Luminaire, W	Installed Interior Lighting Power, W
Meeting room	10	42	420
Lobby	8	42	336
Washroom	5	42	210
Open office	28	42	1 176
			Total: 2 142

**Building Area Method (4.2.1.5.)**

The entire space in Example 4-1 can be identified as being for office use, therefore the building area method can be used to determine the interior lighting power allowance. Note that the building area method could still be used even if up to 10% of the gross lighted area could be classified as “other than office” (see NECB Sentence 4.2.1.5.(3)). The lighting power density (LPD) listed in NECB Table 4.2.1.5. for building type “office” is 6.9 W/m<sup>2</sup>. The gross lighted area of the space—which is equal to the actual area of the space in this case—is 320 m<sup>2</sup> (20 m × 16 m).

The interior lighting power allowance can now be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Interior lighting power allowance}_{\text{building area method}} &= \text{LPD} \times \text{gross lighted area} \\ &= 6.9 \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m}^2} \times 320 \text{ m}^2 \\ &= 2\,208 \text{ W} \end{aligned}$$

**Space-by-Space Method (4.2.1.6.)**

Although the space meets the criteria to apply the building area method, the space-by-space method can also be used, whereby the lighting power allowance for each of the four spaces is added together. The following table provides the results of the calculations.

**Lighting Power Allowance Using the Space-by-Space Method**

Space Type	Gross Lighted Area, m <sup>2</sup>	LPD from NECB Table 4.2.1.6., W/m <sup>2</sup>	Interior Lighting Power Allowance, W
Meeting room	36	10.5 <sup>(1)</sup>	378.0
Lobby	48	9.0 <sup>(2)</sup>	432.0
Washroom	36	6.8 <sup>(3)</sup>	244.8
Open office	200	6.6 <sup>(4)</sup>	1 320.0
			Total: 2 374.8

- (1) LPD using space type “Conference/Meeting/Multi-purpose room”
- (2) LPD using space type “Lobby – other”
- (3) LPD using space type “Washroom – other”
- (4) LPD using space type “Office – open plan”

The interior lighting power allowance using the space-by-space method is 2 374.8 W.

## Commentary on Part 4

### Example 4-1 – Interior Lighting Power (Continued)

#### Conclusion

The installed interior lighting power and the interior lighting power allowances compare as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Installed interior lighting power} &= 2\,142 \text{ W} \\ \text{Interior lighting power allowance}_{\text{building area method}} &= 2\,208 \text{ W} \\ \text{Interior lighting power allowance}_{\text{space by space method}} &= 2\,375 \text{ W} \end{aligned}$$

The lighting design in this Example would comply with the prescriptive lighting path following either the building area method or the space-by-space method.

Note that, although the installed interior lighting power for the meeting room (420 W) exceeds the interior lighting power allowance for the meeting room space type (378 W), this does not mean that the overall lighting design fails. For the purpose of showing compliance, the lighting power can be distributed in any way, in any of the spaces, provided the total installed interior lighting power (2 142 W) does not exceed the total interior lighting power allowance (2 208 W if using the building area method or 2 375 W if using the space-by-space method) (see NECB Sentence 4.2.1.3.(2)).


While the building area method requires a simpler calculation, it typically results in a lower power allowance. The space-by-space method can present advantages over the building area method because it accounts for the distribution of space types weighted by their individual areas, whereas the building area method assumes a standard distribution of space types in order to set one average LPD level, which may not be as representative of the building design in some cases.

### Interior Lighting Controls (Subsection 4.2.2.)

9. The prescriptive lighting path of NECB Section 4.2. also defines requirements for interior lighting controls. These requirements reflect the direction of current technologies and practices as outlined in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1. NECB Table 4.2.1.6. lists the types of lighting controls that are required and optional for each space type.
10. Example 4-2 compares the lighting design for an open office space with the NECB requirements for interior lighting controls.

**Example 4-2 – Interior Lighting Controls**

In this Example, a designer is developing the lighting design for a 120 m<sup>2</sup> open office space with workstations that is occupied during regular weekly hours. See Figure A.



**Figure A**  
**Open office space with workstations**

The proposed lighting design for the office space shown in Figure A is as follows:

- installed interior lighting power of 750 W,
- one manual lighting control device located next to the entrance door,
- occupant sensors that turn on all the lights when occupants are detected,
- photocontrols (daylight sensors) installed next to each of the three windows, and
- a scheduled shut-off control that operates based on the time of day and day of the week (the lights are programmed to turn off at 8 p.m. until 7 a.m. when the office space is unoccupied).

## Commentary on Part 4

### Example 4-2 – Interior Lighting Controls (Continued)

#### Compliance of Lighting Power

According to NECB Table 4.2.1.6., the lighting power density for the space type “Office – open plan” is limited to 6.6 W/m<sup>2</sup>. The maximum lighting power allowance calculated in accordance with NECB Clause 4.2.1.6.(1)(c) is therefore 792 W (10 m × 12 m × 6.6 W/m<sup>2</sup>), which is greater than the design interior lighting power of 750 W. As such, the proposed interior lighting power complies with the NECB.

#### Compliance of Lighting Controls


The following table compares the interior lighting control requirements stated in NECB Table 4.2.1.6. and Article 4.2.2.1. with the proposed lighting design for the open office space shown in Figure A.

Lighting Control Requirements from NECB Table 4.2.1.6.		Description of Proposed Lighting Design and Lighting Controls	Compliance of Proposed Lighting Design with NECB Table 4.2.1.6. and Article 4.2.2.1.
Type of Lighting Control	Requirement for Space Type “Office – open plan”		
Manual	Required	Office is 120 m <sup>2</sup> in area and a manual light switch will be installed at the entrance.	Design complies as it meets Table 4.2.1.6., Clause 4.2.2.1.(3)(a) and Sentence 4.2.2.1.(4).
Restricted to Manual ON Restricted to Partial Automatic ON	At least one of these two types of lighting controls must be implemented	Occupant sensors turn on all the lights when occupants are detected.	Design does not comply as it does not meet Table 4.2.1.6. or Sentence 4.2.2.1.(6) or (8). Compliance could be achieved by requiring that the lights be turned on manually or by programming the occupant sensors to turn on 50% of the lights instead of all of them.
Bi-Level	Required	Not included in design.	Design does not comply as it does not meet Table 4.2.1.6. or Sentence 4.2.2.1.(9). Compliance could also be achieved by installing lighting controls of the type “Restricted to Partial Automatic ON.”
Automatic Daylight Responsive Controls for Sidelighting	Required	Location of sensors and controlled luminaires is not included in design.	Design partially complies as it meets Table 4.2.1.6. but does not meet Sentences 4.2.2.1.(10) and (11).
Automatic Daylight Responsive Controls for Toplighting	Required	No skylights or roof monitors are included in design.	Compliance with Table 4.2.1.6. and Sentence 4.2.2.1.(13) does not apply.
Automatic Partial OFF	Not required	Not included in design.	Compliance with Table 4.2.1.6. and Sentence 4.2.2.1.(16) is optional.
Automatic Full OFF Scheduled Shut-off	At least one of these two types of lighting controls must be implemented	Scheduled shut-off control is included in design.	Design complies as it meets Table 4.2.1.6. and Sentence 4.2.2.1.(20). Compliance could also be achieved by providing vacancy sensors for “Automatic Full OFF” according to Sentence 4.2.2.1.(18).

As noted in the table above, the proposed design for the interior lighting controls for the open office space does not fully comply with NECB Table 4.2.1.6. or Article 4.2.2.1. unless non-compliant and partially compliant elements are corrected.

**Lighting Controls in Storage Garages (Article 4.2.2.2.)**

11. The NECB lighting control requirements for storage garages are aligned with the corresponding requirements stated in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1, which facilitates design and enforcement in jurisdictions that reference both documents.
12. Example 4-3 illustrates how the requirements for lighting controls in storage garages stated in NECB Article 4.2.2.2. are applied to the storage garage in Figure A.



**Determination of Primary and Secondary Sidelighted Areas (Article 4.2.2.3.)**


13. Implementing daylighting strategies reduces energy use for interior lighting. The daylighting control provisions presented in NECB Article 4.2.2.1. allow both primary and secondary sidelighted areas to be taken into account using the calculation methods in NECB Article 4.2.2.3.
14. When calculating the primary and secondary sidelighted areas of a room, areas that are illuminated by light from more than one window must not be double-counted. Figure A of Example 4-4 shows the primary, secondary and corresponding overlapping sidelighted areas for the spaces from Example 4-1.
15. Example 4-4 illustrates the calculation of primary and secondary sidelighted areas.



## Commentary on Part 4

### Example 4-4 – Calculation of primary and secondary sidelighted areas

In this Example, the windows span the full width of the meeting room, lobby and office space, and extend from the sill height of 1 m to the ceiling height of 3 m. There are no vertical obstructions (e.g., partition walls) higher than 1.5 m.



**Figure A**  
Primary, secondary and overlapping sidelighted areas

All windows in Figure A span across the entire length of a wall so that the sidelighted width is the same as the length of the corresponding wall. The window head height (HH), which is the distance from the floor to the top of the glazing, is 3 m since the windows extend all the way to the ceiling. This defines the sidelighted depth ( $1 \times HH$ ) since there are no obstructions higher than 1.5 m in the spaces.

**Example 4-4 – Calculation of primary and secondary sidelighted areas (Continued)**

In the open office space, the primary sidelighted areas,  $A_{DL, OOS}$ , overlap by 3 m in two of the corners.  $A_{DL, OOS}$  is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{DL, OOS} &= \text{primary sidelighted areas} - \text{overlapping primary sidelighted areas} \\
 &= A + B + C - \text{overlapping primary sidelighted areas} \\
 &= (10 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m}) + (20 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m}) + (10 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m}) - [(3 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m}) + (3 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m})] \\
 &= 120 \text{ m}^2 - 18 \text{ m}^2 \\
 &= 102 \text{ m}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

The calculation of primary and secondary sidelighted area for the space types in Figure A are calculated as follows:

Space Type	Primary Sidelighted Area, m <sup>2</sup>	Secondary Sidelighted Area, m <sup>2</sup>
Meeting room	27	9
Lobby	24	24
Washroom	0 <sup>(1)</sup>	0 <sup>(1)</sup>
Open office	102	66

<sup>(1)</sup> The washroom has no windows, therefore no sidelighting.

16. None of the three exemption conditions stated in NECB Sentence 4.2.2.1.(12) apply to Example 4-4 since:
- there are no adjacent structures,
  - the glazing is not less than 2 m<sup>2</sup>, and
  - none of the spaces are retail spaces.

**Determination of Daylighted Area Under Roof Monitors (Article 4.2.2.4.)**

17. The NECB criteria on how to calculate the daylighted area under roof monitors are aligned with the corresponding criteria in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1, which facilitates design and enforcement in jurisdictions that reference both documents.


The method for the determination of the daylighted area under roof monitors is similar to the one for skylights. See Example 4-5.

## Commentary on Part 4

### Determination of Daylighted Area Under Skylights (Article 4.2.2.5.)

#### Example 4-5 – Determination of Daylighted Area Under Skylights

Daylight is supplied to the engine room of a fire station through 15 double-glazed skylights, which are evenly spaced 6 m apart in both directions across the roof (see Figure A). Each skylight measures 1 m × 1 m and has a light well depth of 0.5 m. The engine room's ceiling height is 10 m and there will not be any permanent vertical obstructions within the space.



EG01341B

**Figure A**  
Distribution of skylights in fire station engine room (plan view)

NECB Figure A-4.2.2.5.(2) illustrates how to calculate the daylighted area under skylights, which needs to be assessed for each skylight from the projection. The extent of the daylighted area in each direction beyond the simple projection on the area directly beneath the skylight is defined by the smallest of the following distances (see NECB Clauses 4.2.2.5.(2)(a) to (c)):

- 70% of the ceiling height;
- the distance to any other daylighted area; and
- the distance to the nearest face of any vertical obstruction that is further away than 70% of the distance between the top of the vertical obstruction and the ceiling.

**Example 4-5 – Determination of Daylighted Area Under Skylights (Continued)**

In this Example, the daylighted area of each skylight overlaps the daylighted area of adjacent skylights (the skylights are 6 m apart and distance (a) is equal to 7 m) and the daylighted areas of the perimeter skylights are bound by the exterior walls (vertical obstruction). Thus, it can be concluded that the whole engine room is the total daylighted area:

$$\begin{aligned}A_{DL,skylight} &= A_{\text{engine room}} \\ &= 30 \text{ m} \times 18 \text{ m} \\ &= 540 \text{ m}^2\end{aligned}$$

**Special Lighting Applications (Article 4.2.2.6.)**

18. The NECB requires certain special lighting applications to be controlled separately from the general lighting and lighting in guest rooms and suites in commercial temporary lodgings to be automatically controlled. These requirements are aligned with the corresponding requirements in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1, which facilitates design and enforcement in jurisdictions that reference both documents.


**Exterior Lighting Power and Exterior Lighting Controls (Subsections 4.2.3. and 4.2.4.)**

19. The NECB requirements that limit the amount of energy allowed to be used by exterior lighting are aligned with the corresponding requirements in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1, which facilitates design and enforcement in jurisdictions that reference both documents.
20. The exterior lighting power allowances listed in the 2011 and 2015 editions of the NECB were based on the use of a combination of less efficient lighting technologies such as high-intensity discharge (HID), metal halide and high-pressure sodium luminaires. However, lighting technology has advanced rapidly in recent years; as such, the lighting power allowances listed in the 2020 edition of the NECB are based on the use of LED luminaires, which are now widely available. LED bulbs provide high-quality, energy-efficient lighting at a minimal cost. In addition, LED bulbs have a much longer service life compared to fluorescent bulbs resulting in reduced maintenance costs, and they are available in almost any colour temperature, are free of mercury, and emit very little heat.
21. Building facade and landscape lighting can be programmed to shut off automatically based on building operating hours to reduce energy use when exterior lighting is not required.
22. Example 4-6 works through the requirements for exterior lighting systems with respect to lighting power (NECB Subsection 4.2.3.) and lighting controls (NECB Subsection 4.2.4.).

## Commentary on Part 4

### Example 4-6 – Exterior Lighting Power

In this Example, a designer is developing the exterior lighting design for a supermarket building with an adjacent open parking lot. The supermarket building is 10 m high and has a 3-m-wide walkway along two of its facades. The main entrance is 4 m wide and faces the parking lot. The building site is located in a commercial district with moderate activity. See Figure A.



**Figure A**  
Layout of supermarket building and parking lot

The designer wants to install luminaires in the following four exterior applications:

- (1) facades: six luminaires illuminating the wall with the main entrance
- (2) main entrance: two luminaires
- (3) walkways: seven luminaires
- (4) parking lot: eight luminaires

#### **Exterior Lighting Power (4.2.3.)**

The exterior lighting power allowance varies depending on the lighting zone in which the building will be located (note that this is different from the climate zones used in NECB Part 3). The supermarket building in this Example will be located in a commercial district that is not a high-activity area; so, according to NECB Table 4.2.3.1.-A, the zone 3 exterior lighting power allowances will apply.

The exterior lighting power allowance is a combination of three allowances: the basic site allowance, the lighting power allowances for specific building exterior applications and the lighting power allowances for general building applications. The basic allowance in NECB Table 4.2.3.1.-B can be used towards any lighting application—specific or general. The specific lighting power allowances in NECB Table 4.2.3.1.-C can only be used for the application to which they apply, so any remaining allowance is lost. The general lighting power allowances in NECB Table 4.2.3.1.-D can be used towards any of the general lighting applications, so any remaining allowance can be applied to other general exterior applications.

**Example 4-6 – Exterior Lighting Power (Continued)**

**Basic Site Allowance for Exterior Lighting**

The basic site allowance for exterior lighting in zone 3 is 500 W.

**Lighting Power Allowances for Specific Building Exterior Applications**

The facade under consideration in this Example qualifies as a specific building exterior application. The lighting design consists of six luminaires each equipped with a 50 W metal halide (MH) lamp. The lamp and ballast combination draws 70 W of electrical power. The connected exterior lighting power for the facade is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Connected exterior lighting power}_{\text{facade}} = 6 \text{ luminaires} \times 70 \frac{\text{W}}{\text{luminaire}} = 420 \text{ W}$$

According to NECB Table 4.2.3.1.-C, the exterior lighting power allowance for facades is 1.6 W/m<sup>2</sup> for each illuminated wall or surface area or 12.3 W/m for each illuminated wall or surface length. The results for each of these calculations are as follows:

$$\text{Exterior lighting power allowance}_{\text{facade,area}} = 1.6 \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m}^2} (35 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m}) = 560 \text{ W}$$

$$\text{Exterior lighting power allowance}_{\text{facade,linear}} = 12.3 \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m}} \times 35 \text{ m} = 430.5 \text{ W}$$

The following table compares the specific exterior lighting power allowance with the connected exterior lighting power. The lighting design for the facade draws less wattage than the allowance and therefore complies with the NECB. Because the facade is a specific building exterior application, the difference between the connected power and the power allowance cannot be used towards any other exterior lighting application.

Exterior Lighting Application	Connected Exterior Lighting Power, W	Specific Exterior Lighting Power Allowance, W
Facade	420	560

Had the connected exterior lighting power been greater than the specific exterior lighting power allowance for one or more of the exterior applications, the designer could have applied some or all of the basic site allowance for exterior lighting in lighting zone 3 (500 W) towards any of those applications in order to achieve a compliant exterior lighting design. If any of the basic site allowance is applied towards the specific building application, the basic site allowance is reduced accordingly. In this case, the entire basic site allowance for exterior lighting is still available for the remaining exterior lighting applications.

## Commentary on Part 4

### Example 4-6 – Exterior Lighting Power (Continued)

#### Lighting Power Allowances for General Building Exterior Applications

The calculation of the general building exterior lighting allowances is similar to the one for the specific exterior lighting power allowances. The parameter required is either the surface area or the length of the exterior application to be illuminated. The following table summarizes the connected exterior lighting power and the general exterior lighting power allowances applicable to Example 4-6 based on NECB Table 4.2.3.1.-D.

Exterior Lighting Application	Number of Luminaires	Lamp and Ballast Combined Total Watts per Luminaire (lamp watts)	Connected Exterior Lighting Power	Size of Illuminated Wall or Surface	Lighting Power Allowance for General Exterior Applications	General Exterior Lighting Power Allowance
Main entrance	2	90 W (70 W MH)	180 W	4 m	69 W/m	276 W
Walkways	5	90 W (70 W MH)	450 W	204 m <sup>2</sup> ((35 m + 3 m + 30 m) × 3 m)	1.2 W/m <sup>2</sup>	245 W
Parking lot	6	180 W (150 W MH)	1 080 W	1 140 m <sup>2</sup> (38 m × 30 m)	0.65 W/m <sup>2</sup>	741 W
			Total: 1 710 W			Total: 1 262 W

In this Example, the total connected exterior lighting power of 1 710 W is greater than the total general exterior lighting power allowance of 1 262 W. The basic site allowance of 500 W for exterior lighting has not yet been used so it can be added to the general exterior lighting power allowance for a total allowance of 1 762 W, which is now greater than the total connected exterior lighting power, making the exterior lighting design compliant with the NECB Subsection 4.2.3. requirements.

Note that the walkways and parking lot have higher connected lighting power values than their applicable exterior lighting power allowance. But since all applications in this Example are general exterior lighting applications, the power allowances, including the basic site allowance, for each application can be applied to other applications, which is an approach that is similar to the space-by-space method for interior lighting power.

#### Exterior Lighting Controls (4.2.4)

The exterior lighting applications require lighting controls as stated in NECB Sentence 4.2.4.1.(1) since they do not meet the exemption conditions specified in NECB Sentences 4.2.4.1.(2) and (3):


- the exterior lighting is not designed for dusk-to-dawn operation; and
- the exterior lighting applications do not consist of covered vehicle exterior entrances or exits.

The designer decides to use a combination of photosensors and timer shut-off switches. In addition, a backup power supply is integrated into the design to meet the requirements of NECB Sentence 4.2.4.1.(6).

The exterior lighting design in this Example now complies with NECB Subsection 4.2.4.

**Trade-off Path (Section 4.3.)**

23. The lighting trade-off path is an alternative NECB Part 4 compliance path that applies only to interior lighting power and interior lighting controls (see NECB Article 4.3.1.2. for the limitations on the application of this path, and see also NECB Figure A-4.1.1.3.(1)-B). As shown in Figure 4-1, in the trade-off path, the estimated energy consumption for the interior lighting systems of a given design is compared to the estimated baseline energy consumption of a lighting design that follows the prescriptive path: these two values are referred to as the installed interior lighting energy (IILE) and the interior lighting energy allowance (ILEA). The IILE must be less than or equal to the ILEA for the interior lighting design to be deemed compliant.




**Figure 4-1**  
**Compliance flow chart for the interior lighting trade-off path**

- 24. The lighting trade-off path consists of two compliance options: compliance with NECB Subsections 4.3.2. and 4.3.3. or with a combination of selected NECB provisions and CSA C873.4, “Building energy estimation methodology – Part 4 – Energy consumption for lighting” (commonly referred to as “BEEM”).
- 25. The combined NECB/BEEM option presents a simplified approach to the calculation of lighting energy consumption by using monthly averages instead of the dynamic equations used in hourly time-interval-based software. It allows three daylighting control systems—standard systems, light-directing systems and permanent shading—as well as three latitude ranges (30° to 45°, 45° to 60°, and 60° to 75°).
- 26. Although it is common to find some differences between the results of daylighting calculations using NECB Subsections 4.3.2. and 4.3.3. and those using NECB/BEEM, they should nevertheless be comparable.
- 27. The installed lighting power density (LPD) and the influence of the lighting controls in both the daylighted and non-daylighted areas of a space must be considered when estimating the energy consumption of a lighting system design. The overall estimated consumption is the sum of the estimates for each of the spaces in a building. Figure 4-2 is a graphical representation of the calculation of the estimated lighting energy use for one space (see equations in NECB Sentences 4.3.2.1.(2) and 4.3.3.1.(2)).




## Commentary on Part 4



28. Example 4-7 presents the calculations in the lighting trade-off path through a scenario in which the building owner's demands make it difficult for the designer to comply with the NECB prescriptive interior lighting requirements. The Example shows that the trade-off path affords the designer a way of meeting the owner's expectations while still complying with the objectives of the prescriptive requirements.

**Example 4-7 – Trade-off Path Calculations**

This Example deals with the lighting design for a seminar room and an open office space. The seminar room has no windows (so no daylighted areas). The open office space has a double-glazed window facing west, which spans across the width of the office and extends all the way up to the 3 m high ceiling. The spaces will be used from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday to Friday, for 250 days per year (the spaces shut down two weeks per year). The building containing these spaces is not obstructed on the outside from a daylighting perspective.



**Figure A**  
**Layout of spaces**

The owner of the building requires an LPD of 9.0 W/m<sup>2</sup> in the seminar room because of the higher colour rendering and illuminance requirements of the activities carried out therein. The owner also insists on an LPD of 7.0 W/m<sup>2</sup> in the open office space to achieve 500 lux on the work surface. The design includes occupancy controls in both spaces but does not include daylight-dependent controls or personal dimming controls.

The owner's LPD requirements exceed the LPD allowances using either the building area method (6.9 W/m<sup>2</sup> for building type "Office" in NECB Table 4.2.1.5.) or the space-by-space method (7.6 W/m<sup>2</sup> for space type "Classroom/Lecture hall/Training room" and 6.6 W/m<sup>2</sup> for space type "Office – open plan" in NECB Table 4.2.1.6.). The design therefore does not comply with the prescriptive requirements; however, the designer can resolve the situation using the trade-off path. In doing so, the focus is shifted from the installed power for lighting to energy consumption, i.e., on the operation of the lighting system.

If the LPDs cannot be changed due to lighting requirements for activities carried out in the spaces, controls can be added to reduce the energy consumption to a level that is equal to or less than the energy consumption defined by the prescriptive approach. Example 4-7 shows that compliance with the objectives of NECB Section 4.2. and meeting the owner's needs can be achieved by applying the trade-off path.

Compliance using the trade-off path is achieved when the installed interior lighting energy (IILE) is less than or equal to the interior lighting energy allowance (ILEA).

## Commentary on Part 4

### Example 4-7 – Trade-off Path Calculations (Continued)

#### **Calculation of the Annual Energy Consumption and Annual Energy Consumption Allowance of Interior Lighting for the Seminar Room**

The IILE is the sum of the annual energy consumption of the proposed interior lighting in the spaces under consideration and is calculated according to NECB Subsection 4.3.2. The steps involved in the calculation of the annual energy consumption of the proposed interior lighting in the seminar room,  $E_{1,proposed}$ , are presented below.

#### **Installed Interior Lighting Energy (4.3.2.)**

##### $E_{1,proposed}$ : Determination of Lighting Power Density (4.3.2.2.)

The proposed design uses a lighting power density,  $p_{1,proposed}$ , of 9.0 W/m<sup>2</sup>.

##### $E_{1,proposed}$ : Determination of Daylighted and Non-Daylighted Areas (4.3.2.3. and 4.3.2.4.)

Since there are no windows in the seminar room, the daylighted area,  $A_{DL,1}$ , is 0 m<sup>2</sup>. The entire area of the seminar room is therefore the non-daylighted area,  $A_{NDL,1}$ , calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{NDL,1} &= A_1 - A_{DL,1} \\ &= (3 \text{ m} \times 6 \text{ m}) - 0 \text{ m}^2 \\ &= 18 \text{ m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

##### $E_{1,proposed}$ : Determination of Operational Times (4.3.2.6.)

Based on the start and end times during which the space is in use (8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday to Friday, minus two weeks per year), the operational times during daytime,  $t_{day}$ , and nighttime,  $t_{night}$ , can be determined from NECB Tables 4.3.2.6.-A and 4.3.2.6.-B:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{day,1} &= 2217 \text{ h/a} \\ t_{night,1} &= 33 \text{ h/a} \end{aligned}$$

Since the space is used 250 days per year,  $t_{day,1}$  and  $t_{night,1}$  do not have to be adjusted in accordance with NECB Sentence 4.3.2.6.(3).

##### $E_{1,proposed}$ : Determination of Factor for Daylight Harvesting (4.3.2.7.)

Since the seminar room does not have a window, the factor for daylight harvesting,  $F_{DL,1}$ , is set to 0 in accordance with NECB Article 4.3.2.7.

##### $E_{1,proposed}$ : Determination of the Factors for Occupancy Control and Personal Control (4.3.2.10.)

The factor for occupancy control,  $F_{occ,1}$ , is determined by first looking up the relative absence of occupants,  $C_{A,1}$ , in NECB Table 4.3.2.10.-A for space type “Classroom/Lecture hall/Training room,” the space type that most closely resembles a seminar room: the applicable factor is 0.5. The factor for the occupancy-sensing mechanism,  $C_{occ,ctrl,1}$ , which is “automatic full OFF (full ON)” in this case, is then determined from NECB Table 4.3.2.10.-B: the applicable factor is 0.67.  $F_{occ,1}$  can now be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{occ,1} &= 1 - (C_{A,1} \times C_{occ,ctrl,1}) \\ &= 1 - (0.5 \times 0.67) \\ &= 0.665 \end{aligned}$$

The design does not include personal dimming controls, so the factor for personal control,  $F_{pers,1}$ , is set to 1. Note that, even if personal dimming controls were installed in the seminar room,  $F_{pers,1}$  would still be 1 because  $C_{pers,ctrl,1}$  is equal to 0 for space type “Classroom/Lecture hall/Training room” according to NECB Table 4.3.2.10.-A ( $F_{pers,1} = 1 - C_{pers,ctrl,1} = 1 - 0 = 1$ ).

**Example 4-7 – Trade-off Path Calculations (Continued)**

**Calculation of the Annual Energy Consumption and Annual Energy Consumption Allowance of Interior Lighting for the Seminar Room (cont.)**

**Installed Interior Lighting Energy (4.3.2.) (cont.)**

*E<sub>1,proposed</sub>*: Determination of Effective Annual Operational Times (4.3.2.5.)

The annual operational times and the factors to account for the impact of the control systems are combined as follows to determine the effective annual operational times:

$$t_{\text{eff,day,DL},1} = t_{\text{day},1} \times F_{\text{DL},1} \times F_{\text{occ},1} \times F_{\text{pers},1} = 2\,217 \text{ h/a} \times 0 \times 0.665 \times 1 = 0 \text{ h/a}$$

$$t_{\text{eff,day,NDL},1} = t_{\text{day},1} \times F_{\text{occ},1} \times F_{\text{pers},1} = 2\,217 \text{ h/a} \times 0.665 \times 1 = 1\,474 \text{ h/a}$$

$$t_{\text{eff,night},1} = t_{\text{night},1} \times F_{\text{occ},1} \times F_{\text{pers},1} = 33 \text{ h/a} \times 0.665 \times 1 = 22 \text{ h/a}$$

*E<sub>1,proposed</sub>*: Determination of E<sub>1,proposed</sub>

With all the variables either calculated or determined by reference to the relevant NECB tables, E<sub>1,proposed</sub> can now be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,\text{proposed}} &= p_{1,\text{proposed}} \times [A_{\text{DL},1} \times (t_{\text{eff,day,DL},1} + t_{\text{eff,night},1}) + A_{\text{NDL},1} \times (t_{\text{eff,day,NDL},1} + t_{\text{eff,night},1})] \\ &= 9.0 \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m}^2} \times [0 \text{ m}^2 \times (0 \text{ h/a} + 22 \text{ h/a}) + 18 \text{ m}^2 \times (1\,474 \text{ h/a} + 22 \text{ h/a})] \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1\,000 \text{ W}} \\ &= 242.35 \text{ kWh/a} \end{aligned}$$

**Interior Lighting Energy Allowance (4.3.3.)**

The ILEA is the sum of the annual energy consumption allowances for interior lighting in the spaces under consideration using the prescriptive path and is calculated as described in NECB Subsection 4.3.3. The steps involved in the calculation of the annual energy consumption allowance for interior lighting in the seminar room, E<sub>1,prescriptive</sub> are presented below.

*E<sub>1,prescriptive</sub>*: Determination of Lighting Power Density (LPD<sub>1</sub>) (4.3.3.2.)

The LPD<sub>1</sub> for the space type “Classroom/Lecture hall/Training room” is 7.6 W/m<sup>2</sup> according to NECB Table 4.2.1.6.

*E<sub>1,prescriptive</sub>*: Determination of Daylighted and Non-Daylighted Areas (4.3.3.3. and 4.3.3.4.)

The values of A<sub>DL,1</sub> and A<sub>NDL,1</sub> are the same as for the proposed design, i.e., 0 m<sup>2</sup> and 18 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

*E<sub>1,prescriptive</sub>*: Determination of Operational Times (4.3.3.6.)

The values of t<sub>day,1</sub> and t<sub>night,1</sub> are the same as for the proposed design, i.e., 2 217 h/a and 33 h/a, respectively.

*E<sub>1,prescriptive</sub>*: Determination of Factor for Daylight Harvesting (4.3.3.7.)

The value of F<sub>DL,1</sub> is the same as for the proposed design, i.e., 0.

## Commentary on Part 4

### Example 4-7 – Trade-off Path Calculations (Continued)

#### Calculation of the Annual Energy Consumption and Annual Energy Consumption Allowance of Interior Lighting for the Seminar Room (cont.)

##### Interior Lighting Energy Allowance (4.3.3.) (cont.)

###### $E_{1,prescriptive}$ : Determination of the Factors for Occupancy Control and Personal Control (4.3.3.10.)

The occupancy controls for the proposed lighting design match the type required in the prescriptive path, i.e., “automatic full off (full on)”. Therefore,  $F_{occ,1}$  is the same as calculated for the proposed design, i.e., 0.665.

$F_{pers,1}$  is set to 1 according to NECB Sentence 4.3.3.10.(2).

###### $E_{1,prescriptive}$ : Determination of Effective Annual Operational Times (4.3.3.5.)

The values of  $t_{eff,day,DL,1}$ ,  $t_{eff,day,NDL,1}$  and  $t_{eff,night,1}$  are the same as for the proposed design, i.e., 0 h/a, 1 474 h/a and 22 h/a, respectively.

###### $E_{1,prescriptive}$ : Determination of $E_{1,prescriptive}$

With all the variables either calculated or determined by reference to the relevant NECB tables,  $E_{1,prescriptive}$  can now be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,prescriptive} &= LPD_1 \times [A_{DL,1} \times (t_{eff,day,DL,1} + t_{eff,night,1}) + A_{NDL,1} \times (t_{eff,day,NDL,1} + t_{eff,night,1})] \\ &= 7.6 \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m}^2} \times [0 \text{ m}^2 \times (0 \text{ h/a} + 22 \text{ h/a}) + 18 \text{ m}^2 \times (1474 \text{ h/a} + 22 \text{ h/a})] \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1000 \text{ W}} \\ &= 204.65 \text{ kWh/a} \end{aligned}$$

#### Calculating the Annual Energy Consumption and Annual Energy Consumption Allowance of Interior Lighting for the Open Office Space

The calculation of the annual energy consumption and annual energy consumption allowance of interior lighting for the open office space,  $E_{2,proposed}$  and  $E_{2,prescriptive}$ , follows the same steps as for the seminar room. Since this space has a west-facing window, daylight harvesting will be taken into consideration (see NECB Article 4.3.2.7.).

##### Installed Interior Lighting Energy (4.3.2.)

###### $E_{2,proposed}$ : Determination of Lighting Power Density (4.3.2.2.)

The owner insists on a lighting power density,  $p_{2,proposed}$ , of 7.0 W/m<sup>2</sup>.

###### $E_{2,proposed}$ : Determination of Daylighted Area (4.3.2.3.)

The window spans across the entire western wall and extends to the ceiling. The daylighted area for sidelighting is determined in accordance with NECB Article 4.2.2.3. The width of the daylighted area is 10 m and the depth is 3 m, resulting in a total daylighted area of:

$$A_{DL,2} = 10 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m} = 30 \text{ m}^2$$

**Example 4-7 – Trade-off Path Calculations (Continued)**

**Calculating the Annual Energy Consumption and Annual Energy Consumption Allowance of Interior Lighting for the Open Office Space (cont.)**

**Installed Interior Lighting Energy (4.3.2.) (cont.)**

*E<sub>2,proposed</sub>*: Determination of Non-Daylighted Area (4.3.2.4.)

The remainder of the open office space is the non-daylighted area calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{NDL},2} &= A_2 - A_{\text{DL},2} \\ &= (10 \text{ m} \times 6 \text{ m}) - 30 \text{ m}^2 \\ &= 30 \text{ m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

*E<sub>2,proposed</sub>*: Determination of Operational Times (4.3.2.6.)

The open office space and the seminar room have the same operational times, so the values of  $t_{\text{day}}$  and  $t_{\text{night}}$  are the same, i.e., 2 217 h/a and 33 h/a, respectively.

*E<sub>2,proposed</sub>*: Determination of Factor for Daylight Harvesting (4.3.2.7.)

To calculate the factor for daylight harvesting,  $F_{\text{DL},2}$ , for the office, the daylight supply factor,  $C_{\text{DL},\text{sup},2}$ , the daylight system control factor,  $C_{\text{DL},\text{ctrl},2}$ , and the daylight-dependent control factor for electric lighting,  $C_{\text{EL},\text{ctrl},2}$ , have to be determined.

*E<sub>2,proposed</sub>*: Determination of the Daylight Supply Factor for Sidelighting (4.3.2.8.)

The daylight supply factor,  $C_{\text{DL},\text{sup},2}$ , depends on the effective luminous transmittance of the fenestration,  $\tau_{\text{eff},2}$ , the raw daylight supply factor for the rough opening,  $C_{\text{DL},\text{sup},\text{raw},2}$  and the obstruction factor,  $f_{\text{obst},2}$ .

Calculation of  $\tau_{\text{eff},2}$  for the fenestration providing sidelighting: the double-glazed windows have a luminous transmittance,  $\tau_{\text{D65},2}$ , of 81%; approximately 10% of the window area is taken up by framing, so the factor to account for framing,  $k_{1,2}$ , is 0.9; the default values for factors  $k_{2,2}$ , 0.8, and  $k_{3,2}$ , 0.85, apply in this case (see NECB Sentence 4.3.2.8.(2)):

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{eff},2} &= \tau_{\text{D65},2} \times k_{1,2} \times k_{2,2} \times k_{3,2} \\ &= 0.81 \times 0.9 \times 0.8 \times 0.85 \\ &= 0.496 \end{aligned}$$

Determination of  $C_{\text{DL},\text{sup},\text{raw},2}$  for the rough opening: the applicable value for a design illuminance of 500 lux and sidelighting on the west side of the room is 0.64 according to NECB Table 4.3.2.8.

Calculation of  $f_{\text{obst},2}$ : since the building is unobstructed,  $f_{\text{obst},2} = 1$ .

The daylight supply factor,  $C_{\text{DL},\text{sup},2}$ , can now be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\text{DL},\text{sup},2} &= \tau_{\text{eff},2} \times C_{\text{DL},\text{sup},\text{raw},2} \times f_{\text{obst},2} \\ &= 0.496 \times 0.64 \times 1 \\ &= 0.317 \end{aligned}$$

## Commentary on Part 4

### Example 4-7 – Trade-off Path Calculations (Continued)

#### Calculating the Annual Energy Consumption and Annual Energy Consumption Allowance of Interior Lighting for the Open Office Space (cont.)

##### Installed Interior Lighting Energy (4.3.2.) (cont.)

###### $E_{2,proposed}$ : Determination of $C_{DL,ctrl,2}$ and $C_{EL,ctrl,2}$ (4.3.2.7.)

Determination of daylight system control factor,  $C_{DL,ctrl,2}$ : the initial proposed design does not incorporate daylight controls, so the daylight system must be controlled **manually**; the applicable factor for manual daylight system control according to NECB Table 4.3.2.7.-A is 0.5.

Determination of daylight-dependent control factor for electric lighting,  $C_{EL,ctrl,2}$ : the electric lighting will only be controlled **manually** in response to daylighting (it will not use daylight-dependent controls); the applicable factor according to NECB Table 4.3.2.7.-B is 0.1.

The factor for daylight harvesting,  $F_{DL,2}$ , can now be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{DL,2} &= 1 - C_{DL,sup,2} \times C_{DL,ctrl,2} \times C_{EL,ctrl,2} \\ &= 1 - (0.317 \times 0.5 \times 0.1) \\ &= 0.98 \end{aligned}$$

###### $E_{2,proposed}$ : Determination of Factors for Occupancy Control and Personal Control (4.3.2.10.)

The factor for occupancy control,  $F_{occ,2}$ , is determined using the same equation as for the seminar room. The value of the factor for the relative absence of occupants,  $C_{A,2}$ , for space type “Office – open plan” from NECB Table 4.3.2.10.-A is 0.2 and the factor for the occupancy-sensing mechanism,  $C_{occ,ctrl,2}$ , “automatic full off (full on)” from NECB Table 4.3.2.10.-B is the same as for the seminar room, i.e., 0.67.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{occ,2} &= 1 - C_{A,2} \times C_{occ,ctrl,2} \\ &= 1 - (0.2 \times 0.67) \\ &= 0.866 \end{aligned}$$

The lighting design for the office does not include personal dimming controls, so  $F_{pers,2}$  is set to 1. Note that, if dimming controls were installed,  $F_{pers,2}$  would be equal to 0.9 because  $C_{pers,ctrl,2}$  is equal to 0.1 for space type “Office – open plan” according to NECB Table 4.3.2.10.-A ( $F_{pers,2} = 1 - C_{pers,ctrl,2} = 1 - 0.1 = 0.9$ ).

###### $E_{2,proposed}$ : Determination of Effective Annual Operational Times (4.3.2.5.)

The annual operational times and the factors to account for the impact of the control systems are combined as follows to determine the effective annual operational times:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{eff,day,DL,2} &= t_{day} \times F_{DL,2} \times F_{occ,2} \times F_{pers,2} = 2217 \text{ h/a} \times 0.98 \times 0.866 \times 1 = 1889 \text{ h/a} \\ t_{eff,day,NDL,2} &= t_{day} \times F_{occ,2} \times F_{pers,2} = 2217 \text{ h/a} \times 0.866 \times 1 = 1920 \text{ h/a} \\ t_{eff,night,2} &= t_{night} \times F_{occ,2} \times F_{pers,2} = 33 \text{ h/a} \times 0.866 \times 1 = 29 \text{ h/a} \end{aligned}$$

**Example 4-7 – Trade-off Path Calculations (Continued)**

**Calculating the Annual Energy Consumption and Annual Energy Consumption Allowance of Interior Lighting for the Open Office Space (cont.)**

**Installed Interior Lighting Energy (4.3.2.) (cont.)**

*E<sub>2,proposed</sub>: Determination of E<sub>2,proposed</sub>*

With all the variables calculated or determined by reference to the relevant NECB tables, E<sub>2,proposed</sub> can now be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{2,proposed} &= P_{2,proposed} \times [A_{DL,2} \times (t_{eff,day,DL,2} + t_{eff,night,2}) + A_{NDL,2} \times (t_{eff,day,NDL,2} + t_{eff,night,2})] \\
 &= 7.0 \frac{W}{m^2} \times [30 m^2 \times (1\,889 \text{ h/a} + 29 \text{ h/a}) + 30 m^2 \times (1\,920 \text{ h/a} + 29 \text{ h/a})] \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1\,000 \text{ W}} \\
 &= 812.07 \text{ kWh/a}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Interior Lighting Energy Allowance (4.3.3.)**

The steps involved in the calculation of the annual energy consumption allowance for interior lighting in the open office space, E<sub>2,prescriptive</sub>, are presented below.

*E<sub>2,prescriptive</sub>: Determination of Lighting Power Density (LPD<sub>2</sub>) (4.3.3.2.)*

The LPD<sub>2</sub> for the space type “Office – open plan” is 6.6 W/m<sup>2</sup> according to NECB Table 4.2.1.6.

*E<sub>2,prescriptive</sub>: Determination of Daylighted and Non-Daylighted Areas (4.3.3.3. and 4.3.3.4.)*

The values of A<sub>DL,2</sub> and A<sub>NDL,2</sub> are the same as for the proposed design, i.e., 30 m<sup>2</sup> and 30 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

*E<sub>2,prescriptive</sub>: Determination of Operational Times (4.3.3.6.)*

The values of t<sub>day</sub> and t<sub>night</sub> are the same as for the proposed design, i.e., 2 217 h/a and 33 h/a, respectively.

*E<sub>2,prescriptive</sub>: Determination of Factor for Daylight Harvesting (4.3.3.7.)*

The value of F<sub>DL,2</sub> is the same as for the proposed design, i.e., 0.98.

*E<sub>2,prescriptive</sub>: Determination of the Factors for Occupancy Control and Personal Control (4.3.3.10.)*

The occupancy controls for the proposed lighting design match the type required in the prescriptive path, i.e., “automatic full off (full on)”. Therefore, F<sub>occ,2</sub> is the same as calculated for the proposed design, i.e., 0.866.

F<sub>pers,2</sub> is set to 1 since there are no personal dimming controls.

*E<sub>2,prescriptive</sub>: Determination of Effective Annual Operational Times (4.3.3.5.)*

The values of t<sub>eff,day,DL,2</sub>, t<sub>eff,day,NDL,2</sub> and t<sub>eff,night,2</sub> are the same as for the proposed design, i.e., 1 889 h/a, 1 920 h/a and 29 h/a, respectively.



## Commentary on Part 4

### Example 4-7 – Trade-off Path Calculations (Continued)

#### Calculating the Annual Energy Consumption and Annual Energy Consumption Allowance of Interior Lighting for the Open Office Space (cont.)

##### Interior Lighting Energy Allowance (4.3.3.) (cont.)

##### $E_{2,prescriptive}$ : Determination of $E_{2,prescriptive}$

With all the variables either calculated or determined by reference to the relevant NECB tables,  $E_{2,prescriptive}$  can now be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2,prescriptive} &= LPD_2 \times [A_{DL,2} \times (t_{eff,day,DL,2} + t_{eff,night,2}) + A_{NDL,2} \times (t_{eff,day,NDL,2} + t_{eff,night,2})] \\ &= 6.6 \frac{W}{m^2} \times [30 m^2 \times (1\,889 \text{ h/a} + 29 \text{ h/a}) + 30 m^2 \times (1\,920 \text{ h/a} + 29 \text{ h/a})] \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1\,000 \text{ W}} \\ &= 765.67 \text{ kWh/a} \end{aligned}$$

##### Comparing the Results

To check whether the entire space complies with the objectives of the prescriptive lighting requirements, the results of  $E_{proposed}$  and  $E_{prescriptive}$  for the seminar room and the office need to be combined in accordance with NECB Sentence 4.3.2.1.(1) to determine the IILE and NECB Sentence 4.3.3.1.(1) to determine the ILEA:

$$\begin{aligned} IILE &= E_{1,proposed} + E_{2,proposed} = 242.35 \text{ kWh/a} + 812.07 \text{ kWh/a} = 1\,054.42 \text{ kWh/a} \\ ILEA &= E_{1,prescriptive} + E_{2,prescriptive} = 204.65 \text{ kWh/a} + 765.67 \text{ kWh/a} = 970.32 \text{ kWh/a} \\ IILE &> ILEA \end{aligned}$$

Because the IILE is greater than the ILEA, the lighting design as currently proposed does not comply with the trade-off path. In an effort to both preserve the owner's lighting power requirements and comply with NECB Part 4, the designer decides to add automatic daylight system controls and automatic daylight-dependent dimming controls to the lighting within the daylighted zone of the open office space, which means the value of  $C_{DL,ctrl,2}$  is now 0.86 (instead of the initial 0.5 for manual control) and  $C_{EL,ctrl,2}$  is now 1 (instead of the initial 0.51 for manual control), which, in turn, means the value of  $F_{DL,2}$  becomes 0.73 (instead of the initial 0.92):

$$\begin{aligned} F_{DL,2} &= 1 - (C_{DL,sup,2} \times C_{DL,ctrl,2} \times C_{EL,ctrl,2}) \\ &= 1 - (0.317 \times 0.86 \times 1) \\ &= 0.73 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the effective operational times in the daylighted area are now 1 402 h/a (instead of 1 889 h/a):

$$\begin{aligned} t_{eff,day,DL} &= t_{day} \times F_{DL} \times F_{occ} \times F_{pers} \\ &= 2\,217 \text{ h/a} \times 0.73 \times 0.866 \times 1 \\ &= 1\,402 \text{ h/a} \end{aligned}$$

**Example 4-7 – Trade-off Path Calculations (Continued)**

**Comparing the Results (cont.)**

The value of  $E_{2,proposed}$  for the open office space with the automatic daylight system controls and the automatic daylight-dependent dimming controls is now 709.80 kWh/a (instead of 812.07 kWh/a):

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{2,proposed} &= p_{2,proposed} \times [A_{DL,2} \times (t_{eff,day,DL,2} + t_{eff,night,2}) + A_{NDL,2} \times (t_{eff,day,NDL,2} + t_{eff,night,2})] \\
 &= 7.0 \frac{W}{m^2} \times [30 m^2 \times (1\,402 \text{ h/a} + 29 \text{ h/a}) + 30 m^2 \times (1\,920 \text{ h/a} + 29 \text{ h/a})] \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1\,000 \text{ W}} \\
 &= 709.80 \text{ kWh/a}
 \end{aligned}$$

This new value of  $E_{2,proposed}$  is added to  $E_{1,proposed}$ , resulting in a reduced IILE:

$$\begin{aligned}
 IILE &= E_{1,proposed} + E_{2,proposed} = 242.35 \text{ kWh/a} + 709.80 \text{ kWh/a} = 952.15 \text{ kWh/a} \\
 \therefore IILE &= 952.15 \text{ kWh/a} < ILEA = 970.32 \text{ kWh/a}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Conclusion**

By adding automatic daylight system controls and automatic daylight-dependent dimming controls in the open office space, the overall energy consumption for lighting in the two spaces in Example 4-7 is reduced and meets the requirement of being less than or equal to the ILEA: the lighting design now complies with NECB Part 4.



# Commentary on Part 5

## Heating, Ventilating and Air-conditioning Systems

1. Except where otherwise noted in the NECB, all equipment, systems and controls installed to meet a new building's heating, ventilating and/or air-conditioning requirements must comply with the requirements of NECB Part 5. This Commentary provides additional information on the means of achieving compliance. See the flow chart in NECB Figure A-5.1.1.3.(1) for the two compliance paths applicable to HVAC systems.

### Air Distribution Systems (Subsection 5.2.2.)

2. NECB Subsection 5.2.2. contains requirements for the design and installation of ducts, including the following:
  - provisions for balancing air distribution systems to allow the adjustment and limitation of the volume of air delivered throughout the system;
  - duct sealing and leakage testing requirements to limit loss of conditioned air and reduce energy use;
  - insulation requirements to limit heat loss from air distribution systems; and
  - requirements on the use of outdoor air to reduce the amount of energy needed for mechanical cooling.


### Duct and Plenum Insulation (Article 5.2.2.5.)

3. Required insulation should be installed in accordance with industry good practice, such as that defined in the TIAC 2013, "Mechanical Insulation Best Practices Guide," the ANSI/SMACNA 006, "HVAC Duct Construction Standards – Metal and Flexible," and Chapter 23 of the "ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals."

The requirement for duct insulation is influenced by

- (a) duct location (conditioned versus unconditioned spaces), and
  - (b) the effect of heat loss or gain on energy use.
4. Ducts that pass through semi-conditioned or unconditioned spaces should be insulated depending on their location and ambient air conditions. See Figure 5-1 for examples of locations where ducts are required to be insulated.
  5. Duct work that is located outside the building envelope may require additional insulation above the levels listed in NECB Table 5.2.2.5. Furthermore, the insulation and its protective jacket should be rated for outdoor use.

## Commentary on Part 5




**Protection of Duct Insulation (Article 5.2.2.6.)**

6. Duct insulation that may be subject to mechanical or weather-related damage must be protected by a wrap or covering, typically made of plastic or metal. Condensation must be prevented from forming within the insulation as the thermal resistance of many insulation products decreases with exposure to moisture. As a secondary effect of exposure to moisture, microbial growth can occur, resulting in the deterioration of the protective jacket. A vapour barrier is required on cold-air supply ducts to prevent the formation of condensation within the insulation or on the duct.

**Cooling by Direct Use of Outdoor Air – Air Economizer System (Article 5.2.2.8.)**

7. Air economizers within the air-handling system are equipped with motorized dampers that adjust the amount of outdoor air that is drawn into the system when the building requires cooling. Figure 5-2 provides a schematic of an air economizer system.





8. The NECB requirements on air economizer systems aim to maximize the potential for passive cooling by:
  - prioritizing the use of outdoor air in conjunction with mechanical cooling to reduce the energy needed to condition the space,
  - using controls that activate the air economizer only when outdoor air conditions are favourable to a reduction in energy use, and
  - modulating/staging cooling equipment for trim cooling.

**Cooling by Indirect Use of Outdoor Air – Water Economizer System (Article 5.2.2.9.)**

9. Water economizers reduce mechanical cooling requirements by using outdoor air to chill cooling distribution fluid, which is then used to cool supply air through a cooling coil. Typically, water is circulated through a cooling tower or fluid cooler where it is cooled, then circulated through the supply air cooling coils. Energy savings are achieved by reducing compressor operation. There are two typical configurations for a water economizer cycle:
  - (a) evaporative cooling, an example of which is shown in Figure 5-3, and
  - (b) sensible cooling, an example of which is shown in Figure 5-4.

## Commentary on Part 5




**Demand Control Ventilation Systems (Article 5.2.3.4.)**

- 10. It is common practice to employ demand control ventilation (DCV) to control contaminant levels (especially carbon monoxide and nitrous oxides) in enclosed semi-heated and conditioned spaces where fuel-powered equipment is used.
- 11. The NECB requirements on DCV are aligned with the corresponding requirements for DCV in heated and unheated indoor parking garages stated in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1, which facilitates design and enforcement in jurisdictions that reference both documents.

**Example 5-1 – Control Sequence for Staging Ventilation Fans**

A warehouse where gas-powered forklifts are used has implemented fan staging as a means to control carbon monoxide (CO) levels in two adjacent ventilation zones (A and B). Each zone is equipped with a make-up air unit (MAU) and an exhaust fan (EF), whose activation is triggered sequentially based on the limit set-point of CO detected: low (25 ppm), high (50 ppm) or critical (65 ppm).



EG02790A

**Figure A**  
**Control sequence for staging ventilation fans upon detection of CO levels above 25 ppm in zone A**

If CO levels above 25 ppm are detected in zone B, the control sequence is reversed, i.e., starts in zone B.

The controls system returns to normal operation, i.e., turns off the MAUs and the EFs, once the CO level is below the low-limit set-point.




## Commentary on Part 5

12. NECB Article 5.2.3.4. allows two DCV methods:
  - (a) staging the ventilation fans, e.g., by cycling system fans on/off, and
  - (b) modulating the outdoor airflow rates, e.g., by operating the ventilation fans at the lowest speed that effectively controls contaminants through variable-speed or multi-speed motors.
13. Examples 5-1 and 5-2 illustrate the control sequences for the two NECB DCV methods.

### Example 5-2 – Control Sequence for Modulating the Outdoor Airflow Rates

An indoor parking garage uses outdoor air to control the CO levels in a single ventilation zone, which is equipped with a make-up air unit (MAU) and an exhaust fan (EF) with variable-speed motors.



EG02791A

**Figure A**

**Control sequence for modulating outdoor airflow rates upon detection of CO levels above 25 ppm in a single ventilation zone**

The controls system returns to normal operation, i.e., turns off the MAU and the EF, once the CO level is below the low-limit set-point.

14. DCV systems can significantly reduce the amount of energy used by HVAC systems in kitchen facilities. DCV systems adjust the amount of exhaust air required to maintain acceptable conditions for kitchen staff by monitoring cooking activity via duct temperature sensors, smoke detectors, and infrared sensors.
15. Example 5-3 demonstrates how to determine whether a DCV system is required for a commercial kitchen.

**Example 5-3 – DCV for a Commercial Kitchen Facility**

A building located in Edmonton, Alberta contains a kitchen with three kitchen hoods—KH-1, KH-2 and KH-3—that are exhausted by two fans—EF-1 and EF-2: EF-1 exhausts KH-1 with a capacity of 708 L/s and EF-2 exhausts both KH-2 and KH-3 with a total capacity of 1 415 L/s.

The HDD below 18°C for the building location is 5120, which corresponds to climate zone 7A. According to NECB Table 5.2.3.4., the threshold design exhaust fan airflow rate for buildings in this zone is 1 410 L/s.

The KH-1/EF-1 system's exhaust airflow rate of 708 L/s is well under the threshold value of 1 410 L/s. However, the KH-2, KH-3/EF-2 system's exhaust airflow rate of 1 415 L/s exceeds the threshold: a DCV system capable of reducing the design exhaust and make-up airflow rates by at least 50% in response to appliance operation is therefore required to be installed on this second system as per NECB Article 5.2.3.4.

**Required Dampers (Article 5.2.4.1.)**

- Motorized dampers can help mitigate heat loss or gain due to unintended air exfiltration or infiltration as they are maintained in the closed position by an actuation force when the ventilation system is not operational. They can be interlocked with the fan operation either directly or indirectly through a controls system.

**Design and Installation of Piping (Article 5.2.5.1.)**

- HVAC piping should be designed to minimize pressure losses in an effort to minimize pumping energy.

**Piping Insulation (Article 5.2.5.3.)**

- Piping insulation is manufactured to provide the piping with the level of thermal insulation required by the NECB. Any alteration of the insulation (e.g., cutting, compressing, going around obstacles) can modify its thickness, which may in turn affect the physical properties, thermal properties or longevity of the installed insulation. A reduction in the thickness of the insulation will result in an increase in the demand and/or consumption of energy for heating and cooling. Where the piping is not properly insulated on cold water lines, the resulting condensation may migrate into the piping insulation, compromising the insulation's RSI value. In cases of severe condensation, the water may continue to migrate into the surrounding building materials, resulting in damage (e.g., corrosion, structural problems) and creating health hazards (e.g., rot, mould, mildew).
- Example 5-4 illustrates that, according to NECB Sentence 5.2.5.3.(3), piping located within a conditioned space that conveys a fluid with a design operating temperature greater than 16°C and less than 41°C does not need to be insulated.

**Example 5-4 – Pipe Insulation**

A chilled water system is designed for a supply temperature of 6°C with an 11°C rise. The designer must determine whether insulation is required on the return piping.


As the chilled water return temperature is 17°C, the piping is exempt from the NECB requirement to be insulated. However, return water temperature is often lower than the design return temperature during part-load operation. Therefore, insulation may in fact be required according to the NBC in order to prevent the formation of condensation at part-load conditions.

**Variable-Flow Pumping Systems (Article 5.2.6.2.)**


- Figures 5-5 and 5-6 illustrate two types of pumping system.
- In the primary-secondary pumping configuration shown in Figure 5-5, a secondary pump is selected to provide the design flow in the load from the common pipe between the supply and return lines. A two-way valve permits a variable primary flow in the supply by reducing the primary flow; a

## Commentary on Part 5

secondary pump provides a constant flow in the load coil. The primary pump at the chiller or boiler is selected to circulate the primary and mains, and the secondary pump is sized for the load coil.



22. Figure 5-6 illustrates a primary-secondary pump configuration for a chiller (or boiler) plant that requires constant-flow operation. The primary pumps provide a constant flow within the plant (primary loop) and the secondary pumps deliver flow from the plant to terminal and equipment loads (zones), which are equipped with two-way valves. The secondary pumps vary the flow within the secondary loop based on the load demand.




### Pumping Power Demand (Article 5.2.6.3.)

23. The allowable pumping power is a function of the thermal energy demand. It is common practice to install larger pumps than actually required. More efficient distribution layouts and sizing of pipes and loads allow for reduced pumping power.

24. Example 5-5 works through the calculation of the pumping power demand of the pumps in a heating plant to determine compliance with NECB Table 5.2.6.3.

**Example 5-5 – Hydronic Pump Sizing**

The pumping power demand is calculated by adding up the power of all pump motors required to operate during peak design conditions.



EG02715A

**Figure A**  
Schematic layout of pumping power demand for a heating plant for the purpose of pump sizing

In the heating plant shown in Figure A, both boilers (B1 and B2) are needed to supply design capacity, therefore, both boiler pumps (BP1 and BP2) must be considered in the calculation of pumping power demand. Furthermore, since the distribution pumps (P1, P2 and P3) are sized for 50% of the design flow, P3 is considered redundant, so only the power demands on P1 and P2 are used in the calculation.

$$W_{\text{motorpower}} = W_{\text{BP1}} + W_{\text{BP2}} + W_{\text{P1}} + W_{\text{P2}} = 5\,600\text{ W}$$

$$\text{kW}_{\text{thermalpeak}} = \text{kW}_{\text{B1}} + \text{kW}_{\text{B2}} = 1\,200\text{ kW}$$

$$W_{\text{motorpower}}/\text{kW}_{\text{thermalpeak}} = 4.67\text{ W/kW}$$

The pumping power demand in this Example exceeds the maximum value of  $4.5\text{ W}_{\text{motorpower}}/\text{kW}_{\text{thermalpeak}}$  permitted by NECB Table 5.2.6.3. for hydronic heating loops. Therefore, the pumping power demand as designed does not comply with the NECB.



**Temperature Controls (Subsection 5.2.8.)**


25. To apply NECB Article 5.2.8.1., spaces can be grouped into control zones based on the similarity of their operating characteristics so that required space conditions can be maintained in all spaces. In general, exterior zones cannot be grouped with interior spaces. Similarly, spaces with windows facing one direction should not be grouped with spaces having windows that face another direction. Although radiant heating and cooling systems are normally controlled by thermostats, the mean radiant temperature effects should also be taken into account to avoid overheating or comfort issues within the space. For example, in a space with a radiant floor providing most or all of the heat, the space (air) temperature may be able to be set lower than in a system without radiant heating, with no compromise in occupant comfort.

## Commentary on Part 5


### Energy Recovery Systems (Article 5.2.10.1.)

26. Typical energy recovery technologies are shown in Figures 5-7 to 5-10.





**Figure 5-9**  
**Heat pipe**



**Figure 5-10**  
**Heat pipe assembly**

## Commentary on Part 5

Example 5-6 demonstrates how to determine whether energy recovery is required using NECB Sentence 5.2.10.1.(1).


### Example 5-6 – Exhaust Air System in Edmonton, Alberta

The owner of a building located in Edmonton, Alberta wants to determine if an energy recovery system is required to be installed on the building's exhaust air system, which operates less than 8 000 hours per year and uses 35% outdoor air at a design supply fan airflow rate of 3 200 L/s.

Because the exhaust air system operates less than 8 000 hours per year, the applicable table to consult is NECB Table 5.2.10.1.-A. Given that the HDD below 18°C for the building location is 5120, which corresponds to climate zone 7A, the threshold supply fan airflow rate for this zone is 1 180 L/s for an outdoor air usage rate between 30% and 40%. The building's exhaust air system's design supply fan airflow rate exceeds this threshold, therefore, an energy recovery system is required.


## Heat Recovery from Dehumidification in Swimming Pools (Article 5.2.10.2.)

27. Desiccant and mechanical dehumidification are two common methods of pool dehumidification. A typical desiccant dehumidification unit is shown in Figure 5-11.



## Heat Recovery from Ice-making Machines in Arenas and Curling Rinks (Article 5.2.10.3.)

28. Ice-making systems in ice arenas generate a significant amount of heat that is often sufficient to meet a building's space- and water-heating requirements.
29. Condenser heat rejection is typically reclaimed through a designated condenser that is connected in parallel with the main condenser. Figure 5-12 shows an example of a heat-recovery system set-up and presents potential applications for the use of the recovered heat.



EG01322B


**Figure 5-12**  
**Schematic of ice arena heat-recovery system and applications of recovered heat**

**Airflow Control Areas (Article 5.2.11.2.)**

30. Airflow control areas are required in order to compartmentalize air distribution systems that serve multiple temperature-control zones so as to reduce energy use in unoccupied spaces. Figure 5-13 presents an example of an airflow control strategy using a variable-air-volume (VAV) system.



## Commentary on Part 5



**Figure 5-13**  
Example of airflow control

### Equipment Efficiency (Subsection 5.2.12.)

31. It is important to note that federal, provincial or territorial regulations or municipal bylaws may supersede the minimum efficiency requirements stated in NECB Subsection 5.2.12. Should the equipment performance requirements set out in the federal, provincial or territorial regulations or municipal bylaws differ from those found in NECB Subsection 5.2.12., the requirements providing the higher performance level apply as stated in NECB Sentence 1.1.1.3.(2) of Division A.
32. To determine whether the requirements of NECB Table 5.2.12.1.-P apply, non-decorative gas-fired fireplaces can be identified by a marking on their rating plate indicating that the fireplace has been tested to Table Note (5) of NBC Table 9.36.3.10. Non-decorative fireplaces are intended to be used as a heat source and may be equipped with a thermostat.

### Heat Rejection Equipment (Article 5.2.12.2.)

33. The NECB performance requirements for heat rejection equipment are aligned with the corresponding requirements stated in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1, which facilitates design and enforcement in jurisdictions that reference both documents.
34. Example 5-7 illustrates how to determine compliance of a cooling tower with NECB Table 5.2.12.2.

**Example 5-7 – Calculating Cooling Tower Performance**

A direct-contact cooling tower is equipped with two 15 kW centrifugal fans and has a design flow of 112 L/s at rated conditions. The water is 35°C when it enters the cooling tower and 29.4°C when it leaves the cooling tower.

$$\text{Total electrical load} = 2 \times 15 \text{ kW} = 30 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Total thermal load} = q \times C_p \times \rho \times \Delta_T$$

where

$q$  = fluid flow, in L/s,

$C_p$  = specific heat, in kJ/(kg×°C),

$\rho$  = density of fluid, in kg/m<sup>3</sup>, and

$\Delta_T$  = temperature difference of water entering versus leaving.

$$\text{Total thermal load} = 112 \text{ L/s} \times 4.186 \text{ kJ}/(\text{kg} \times ^\circ\text{C}) \times 1000 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3 \times (35^\circ\text{C} - 29.4^\circ\text{C}) = 2578 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Total electrical load}/\text{Total thermal load} = 30 \text{ kW}/2578 \text{ kW} = 0.0116$$

The electrical kW/thermal kW of 0.0116 is less than the maximum permitted value of 0.026 listed in NECB Table 5.2.12.2. Therefore, the performance of the cooling tower as designed complies with the NECB.




# Commentary on Part 6

## Service Water Systems

1. Except where noted otherwise in the NECB, mechanical equipment, systems and controls installed to meet a new building's service water requirements must comply with the requirements of NECB Part 6. This Commentary provides additional information on the means of achieving these requirements.
2. See the flow chart in NECB Figure A-6.1.1.3.(1), which shows the two compliance paths applicable to service water systems.

### Combination Service Water Heating and Space-Heating Equipment (Article 6.2.2.4.)

3. Figure 6-1 shows an example of a typical configuration of a boiler system that provides both service water heating and space heating.




**Figure 6-1**  
Example of typical configuration of combination space- and water-heating system

## Commentary on Part 6

### Piping Insulation (Article 6.2.3.1.)

- Figure 6-2 shows an example of hot service water piping that is required to be insulated in conformance with the requirements of NECB Article 6.2.3.1.




**Figure 6-2**  
Example of hot service water piping sections required to be insulated

Piping insulation is manufactured to provide the piping with the level of thermal insulation required by the NECB. Piping insulation should not be altered at locations requiring such insulation as this can affect the physical properties, thermal properties or longevity of the installed insulation, which may negatively impact the energy performance of piping systems. This is particularly important at locations where there may be an inclination to reduce or remove piping insulation, such as at or around pipe supports or tie-ins with other plumbing system components.

### Remote or Booster Heaters (Article 6.2.5.1.)

- To reduce heat loss in applications where service water must be delivered at 60°C or higher—such as in dishwashers and other process cycles—separate local booster heaters must be installed to accommodate these high-temperature loads. Figure 6-3 shows a schematic of a dual-temperature service water heater with a booster heater.



**Figure 6-3**  
**Example of primary heater and booster heater configuration**


**Maximum Water Flow Rates for Showers and Lavatories (Articles 6.2.6.1. and 6.2.6.2.)**

- 6. Installing water fixtures with low flow rates is an easy and inexpensive measure for reducing energy consumption in a building. It is particularly effective in buildings with high water usage such as residential and recreational buildings.
- 7. The maximum flow rates stated in the NECB are in line with guidelines and standards used across Canada (e.g., ASME A112.18.1/CSA B125.1, “Plumbing Supply Fittings”) and can be met by many readily available products that do not affect the experience or effectiveness of handwashing and showering.
- 8. Note that the National Plumbing Code of Canada also includes requirements on maximum flow rates.


**Pressure Booster Systems (Subsection 6.2.8.)**

- 9. The NECB requirements on pressure booster systems are aligned with the corresponding requirements stated in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 90.1, which facilitates design and enforcement in jurisdictions that reference both documents.
- 10. Variable-speed pressure booster systems deliver the pumping power required at any given time. As demand decreases, a pressure sensor registers an increase in line pressure and the pump output is reduced accordingly. The expansion tank helps minimize potential pressure damage to the plumbing system. See Figure 6-4.

## Commentary on Part 6



11. In contrast to variable-speed pressure booster systems, constant-speed pressure booster systems cycle as required to meet demand. A pressure switch in the expansion tank activates the pumps when the pressure drops below a certain set level (referred to as “cut-in pressure”) until the system pressure reaches a certain set level (referred to as “high cut-out pressure”), which means that the pumps are always providing higher-than-design pressure. The expansion tank provides draw-down volume so it must be sized generously to prevent frequent pump cycling. See Figure 6-5.



# Commentary on Part 7

## Electrical Power Systems and Motors

### Scope (Article 7.1.1.1.)


- NECB Part 7 applies to electrical power systems, transformers and motors connected to the building's electrical service and addresses the means for monitoring electrical distribution systems larger than 250 kVA, voltage drops in feeders and branch circuits.

### Compliance (Article 7.1.1.3.)

- See the flow chart in NECB Figure A-7.1.1.3.(1) for the two compliance paths applicable to electrical power systems and motors.

### Monitoring (Article 7.2.1.1.)

- The monitoring of electrical energy consumption is considered essential to energy management. The NECB requires that a means for monitoring electrical distribution systems larger than 250 kVA be installed. Typical compliance approaches are to design the distribution layout to include enough space to install equipment, such as meter sockets or clamp-on current transformers. Figure 7-1 shows the location of future meter sockets to monitor the interior lighting and HVAC panels.






## Commentary on Part 7

### Voltage Drop (Subsection 7.2.2.)

4. Feeder conductors run between the service entrance equipment (where the power enters the building) and the branch circuit distribution equipment (e.g., circuit breaker). A maximum voltage drop of 2% is allowed in the feeder conductor.
5. Branch circuit conductors run from the final circuit breaker to the outlet or load. A maximum voltage drop of 3% is allowed in the branch circuit conductor.
6. Figure 7-2 shows the permissible voltage drops in feeder and branch circuit conductors.



**Figure 7-2**  
Permissible voltage drops in feeder and branch circuit conductors

**Example 7-1 – Example of Voltage Drop Calculation**

A designer wants to calculate the voltage drop in a 208 V, 2-wire, single-phase heating circuit with a load of 50 A and a one-way length of 30.5 m. The circuit consists of R90, #6 AWG copper conductors. The impedance of conductors depends on whether the conduit is made of PVC, aluminum or steel, on the power factor of the load, and on the temperature of the conductor; for the purposes of this Example, the impedance is assumed to be 1.48 Ω/km.

The voltage drop, VD, in volts, for a single-phase circuit is calculated as follows:

$$VD = \frac{2 \times L \times Z \times I}{1000}$$

where

L = one-way circuit length, in m,

Z = effective impedance of conductor, in Ω (ohms)/km, and

I = load current accounting for power factor, in amps.

Therefore, the voltage drop in this Example is determined to be:

$$VD = \frac{2 \times 30.5 \text{ m} \times 1.48 \text{ } \Omega/\text{km} \times 50 \text{ A}}{1000 \text{ m/km}} = 4.50 \text{ V}$$

The approximate voltage drop is expressed as a percent of the circuit voltage calculated as follows:

$$\text{Percent VD} = \frac{4.5 \text{ V}}{208 \text{ V}} \times 100\% = 2.16\%$$

Since the voltage drop is less than 3%, the circuit meets the requirement of NECB Article 7.2.2.2.

The voltage drop for a three-phase circuit would be calculated as follows:

$$VD = \frac{\sqrt{3} \times L \times Z \times I}{1000}$$



# Commentary on Part 8

## Building Energy Performance Compliance Path

### Scope (Article 8.1.1.1.)

1. NECB Part 8 provides an alternative to using the trade-off path requirements stated in NECB Parts 3 and 4, and to using the prescriptive path requirements stated in NECB Parts 3 to 7 (see NECB Figure A-1.1.2.1.). In applying NECB Part 8, certain mandatory prescriptive provisions must still be followed as outlined in NECB Sections 3.4., 5.4. and 6.4. This Commentary clarifies the scope, applications, calculation methods and modeling rules addressed in NECB Part 8 and presents examples of how the performance path could be applied using three types of buildings.
2. The purpose of the NECB Part 8 performance compliance procedure is not to develop an accurate prediction of the annual energy use of a proposed building but, rather, to evaluate the effects of deviations from the prescriptive building component requirements using fair and consistent calculation methods. The performance compliance path is intended to compare the energy performance of a proposed building to that of a building designed in accordance with the prescriptive requirements of the NECB (the reference building), and is not intended to be predictive of actual (not building model) building energy consumption. As such, to complete the modeling exercise, the input data for certain building parameters must be determined based on reasonable assumptions. Simulation does not take into account any savings that would be achieved through manual operation of building components (e.g., the adjustment of curtains by occupants). Variations from the assumed occupancy default loads, variations in control and maintenance, variations from standard weather data and precision of the simulation program can all affect the modeled energy usage.
3. The performance path is a whole building approach in which two buildings are simulated (i.e., modeled): a proposed building whose calculated energy usage is representative of the actual design plans and specifications and a reference building which is a full replica building modeled as complying with the prescriptive requirements stated in NECB Sections 3.2., 4.2., 5.2., 6.2. and 7.2. The yearly energy usage of the reference building is referred to as the “building energy target” and the yearly energy usage of the proposed building is referred to as the “annual energy consumption.” Both simulations account for the effect of the building envelope, lighting, HVAC and service water heating systems, and electrical power and motors on energy consumption. Where construction techniques or building components are used that are more energy-efficient than those required in the prescriptive requirements, the extra performance over the prescriptive requirements can be credited, provided it can be quantified and is not dependent on occupant interaction; conversely, if construction techniques are used that are less efficient, a penalty on performance is modeled. A proposed building design is compliant if it uses equal or less energy than the reference building. NECB Part 8 contains the rules for calculating the energy use of the proposed and reference buildings.
4. NECB Part 8 applies to buildings whose occupancy and location are known. Precise information about the occupancy allows the appropriate internal loads and associated schedules to be specified, and the location defines environmental loads and reference building component design.

### Performance Path (Section 8.4.)

#### Compliance Overview

5. The basic steps in the determination of compliance are:
  - (1) Gather the input data for the energy model calculations from the proposed building design, its occupancy, associated schedules, and climatic data for the location;
  - (2) Check the input data for consistency and ensure all application limitations are satisfied;

## Commentary on Part 8

- (3) Calculate the annual energy consumption of the proposed building through building energy simulation (using building energy analysis software, for example);
- (4) If software is used but is not programmed to automatically generate a reference building, then create reference building data based on data from the proposed building design, such as identical floor space, building orientation, occupancy, schedules and climatic data, and the NECB prescriptive path requirements;
- (5) Calculate the annual energy consumption of the reference building (i.e., the building energy target);
- (6) Compare loads in non-compliance hours of the two modeled buildings. There are several reasons for this comparison:
  - One reason is to avoid generating an artificially low annual energy consumption by not fully heating the proposed building (i.e., not heating it to the set-point), while fully heating the reference building. Heating a building to a lower set-point than what is considered “normal” for the building type is acceptable under the NECB as long as the reference building is modeled using the same set-point (see NECB Article 8.4.4.2.);
  - Another reason is that some simulation software programs (e.g., DOE-2.1E) have limitations, which means they cannot properly take into account insufficient heating and/or cooling from one time step to the other, and/or from one system level (e.g., an air-handling unit) to a higher system level (e.g., the plant), which leads to incorrect energy consumption calculations;
  - Lastly, the number of unmet cooling hours is checked to verify that the amount of undersizing, if any, is similar in both the proposed and reference buildings. If the proposed building's thermal block is without any cooling capacity, then the reference building must also be modeled without cooling capacity;
- (7) Compare the energy used by the proposed building to that used by the reference building. If the proposed building uses more energy than the reference building, its design parameters must be revised and the results recalculated, or a different compliance path can be adopted; if the proposed building uses an equal or lesser amount of energy than the reference building, generate compliance reports for submission to the building official.

### Compliance Calculations (Subsection 8.4.2.)

6. Many energy analysis software programs can perform the detailed energy simulations for both the proposed and reference building designs: DOE-2.1E and DOE-2.2 calculation engines; CanQUEST and eQUEST, which combine a graphical pre-/post-processor to a DOE calculation engine; EnergyPlus, IES-VE, TRNSYS; and others, such as those shown on the Building Energy Software Tools Directory website ([www.ibpsa.us/best-directory-list](http://www.ibpsa.us/best-directory-list)). However, as of the 2020 edition of this User's Guide, only IES-VE can automatically generate a reference building that is compliant with the prescriptive requirements in NECB Parts 3 to 7 based on the specifications for the proposed building.
7. Where acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction (AHJ), all calculations need not be performed using the same method. For example, simple manual calculations may be combined with other means to take into account all pertinent characteristics of the proposed building leading to an energy credit and/or penalty. It is important to take into account any cross-effects between building characteristics or components where they apply, or to conservatively consider the results of those calculations.


### Air Leakage (Article 8.4.2.9.) (See also Sentences 8.4.3.3.(3) and 8.4.4.3.(6))

8. The air leakage rate through the building envelope of both the reference and proposed building models must be calculated using the equation given in NECB Sentence 8.4.2.9.(2). The air leakage rate of 1.50 L/(s×m<sup>2</sup>) at a pressure differential of 75 Pa, which is used in ASTM E3158, “Standard Test Method for Measuring the Air Leakage Rate of a Large or Multizone Building,” must be converted to an adjusted air leakage rate relative to the above-ground wall area at a pressure differential of 5 Pa, which is what most energy modeling software packages accept. If an air leakage rate for the proposed building model has been determined from the air leakage test, then that rate is permitted

to be converted to a rate at a typical operating pressure, which can then be simulated in the energy model. The reference building will always use 1.50 L/(s×m<sup>2</sup>) at a pressure differential of 75 Pa. Example 8-1 presents a sample calculation of the air leakage rate to be input in the energy model.

### Example 8-1 – Calculating the Adjusted Air Leakage Rate for the Energy Model

The building shown in Figure A is being designed by following the NECB Part 8 performance path to demonstrate compliance with the NECB. The energy modeler must determine the air leakage rate to be input in the energy model for the proposed building by using the building dimensions to convert the air leakage rate of 1.50 L/(s×m<sup>2</sup>) at a pressure differential of 75 Pa to an adjusted air leakage rate at a pressure differential of 5 Pa.



EG02876A

**Figure A**  
**Building dimensions**

## Commentary on Part 8

### Example 8-1 – Calculating the Adjusted Air Leakage Rate for the Energy Model (Continued)

Using the building dimensions, the areas of the building envelope components are calculated as follows:

Envelope Component	Dimensions, m	Area, m <sup>2</sup>
Roof	16 × 12	192
Slab in contact with ground	16 × 12	192
Below-ground walls	(16 + 16 + 12 + 12) × 3	168
Above-ground walls	(16 + 16 + 12 + 12) × 16	896
		Total: 1 448

According to NECB Sentence 8.4.2.9.(2), the following equation is used to adjust the air leakage rate of the building envelope:

$$I_{AGW} = C \times I_{75Pa} \times \frac{S}{A_{AGW}}$$

where

- $C = (5 \text{ Pa}/75 \text{ Pa})^{0.60}$ ,
- $I_{75Pa}$  = air leakage rate at a pressure differential of 75 Pa = 1.50 L/(s×m<sup>2</sup>),
- $S$  = total area of the building envelope = 1 448 m<sup>2</sup>, and
- $A_{AGW}$  = total area of above-ground walls = 896 m<sup>2</sup>.

Therefore, the adjusted air leakage rate,  $I_{AGW}$ , at a pressure differential of 5 Pa is calculated as follows:

$$I_{AGW} = (5 \text{ Pa}/75 \text{ Pa})^{0.60} \times (1.50 \text{ L}/(\text{s} \times \text{m}^2)) \times (1\,448 \text{ m}^2)/(896 \text{ m}^2) = 0.477 \text{ L}/(\text{s} \times \text{m}^2)$$

### HVAC Systems Calculations (Article 8.4.2.10.)

9. HVAC equipment efficiencies used as input in the proposed building energy model calculations must correspond to the rating conditions indicated in the relevant rating standard listed in NECB Tables 5.2.12.1.-A to 5.2.12.1.-P, and not to the actual design conditions shown in the specifications and drawings to ensure a fair comparison with the reference building's equipment, which will also be modeled with the efficiency listed in the Table. For example, for a proposed building located in Whistler, British Columbia, the combustion efficiency of a boiler would be entered in the model calculations without any adjustment to account for altitude, that is to say, as if the boiler were located at sea level.
10. It is important to note that the modeling of packaged system ventilation fans (supply and condenser) must be done separately from that of the compressors, since, at times, these fans may operate independently from the compressors—e.g., to distribute ventilation air during occupied hours without cooling or heating demand—or may operate at part-load conditions (for VAV applications), etc. Depending on the energy analysis software used, the equipment efficiencies may need to be manually adjusted to exclude the fan power demand, which would be entered separately in the energy model.

### Annual Energy Consumption of the Proposed Building (Subsection 8.4.3.)

11. The proposed building model must be consistent with the proposed building's specifications and design parameters and with the HVAC system rating conditions indicated in the relevant rating standard listed in NECB Tables 5.2.12.1.-A to 5.2.12.1.-P, and must take into account energy use resulting from the building envelope, lighting, HVAC systems, service water heating systems, and electrical power systems and motors that will be installed.

### Building Energy Target of the Reference Building (Subsection 8.4.4.)

12. The reference building model is a replica of the proposed building except that the design parameters entered into the calculations correspond to the prescriptive path requirements for each of the

building parameters that affect energy consumption (i.e., building envelope (3.2.), lighting (4.2.), HVAC systems (5.2.), service water heating systems (6.2.) and electrical power systems and motors (7.2.)) for the building location's applicable heating degree-day zone (see NECB Sentence 1.1.4.1.(1)).

### General (Article 8.4.4.1.)

13. In the process of defining the reference building, one basic assumption is that the input data must be the same for the reference building as for the proposed building:
  - floor area and shape,
  - thermal blocks,
  - building type or space functions,
  - building location and orientation,
  - location of fenestration elements (windows, skylights, glazed doors) (these elements may have to be adjusted in cases where they differ from the ratio allowed in the NECB),
  - occupant density, service water heating, and internal loads and schedules, and
  - supply, return and exhaust fan schedules.

### Operating Schedules (Sentences 8.4.3.2.(1) and 8.4.4.2.(1))

14. The intent of NECB Sentences 8.4.3.2.(1) and 8.4.4.2.(1) is to ensure that an equal basis of comparison is used for the two models, since internal loads, schedules and building occupancy can greatly affect the energy consumption of a building.
15. Load values and schedules other than those provided in the NECB are permitted to be used, provided they are reasonable in the context of the proposed building design and its expected operation and that the same values and schedules are used in the reference building energy model.
16. Examples 8-2 to 8-4 give insight into the modeling of an NECB reference building if the software used does not automatically generate one.



## Commentary on Part 8

### Example 8-2 – Component Design for Shell-and-Core Office Building

A 33-storey office building to be located in Toronto, Ontario is being designed as a shell and core. The tenant office spaces will be left unfinished until they are leased, at which time they will be built to suit the tenants' needs. For the purposes of this Example, the tenant fit-up assumptions are identical in the proposed and reference buildings and are treated as being minimally compliant, i.e., as meeting the prescriptive requirements. To determine the annual energy consumption of the proposed building, HVAC, envelope, lighting and service water heating assumptions are needed as input data for the model to show compliance. See the following table.

**Building Component Assumptions Used to Model the Shell-and-Core Office Building**

Component	Proposed Building Assumptions	Reference Building Assumptions
Building Envelope	<p>Use design of proposed building. Building envelope assemblies that cover less than 5% of total assembly type may be combined with assemblies having same orientation and similar thermal performance (NECB Sentence 8.4.3.1.(6)).</p> <p>Determine solar heat gain coefficient, solar absorptance and air leakage in accordance with NECB Article 8.4.3.3.</p> <p>Fenestration and doors according to design of the proposed building.</p>	<p>Use prescriptive performance levels in NECB Section 3.2.</p> <p>Use same solar absorptance values as for proposed building assemblies except use 0.7 for roof assemblies (see NECB Sentences 8.4.4.3.(1) and (2)).</p> <p>If total vertical fenestration and door area to gross above-ground wall area ratio (FDWR) and skylight area differ from prescriptive maximums in NECB Article 3.2.1.4., adjust the FDWR and skylight area proportionally along each orientation until they comply with that Article (see NECB Sentence 8.4.4.3.(3)).</p>
Lighting	<p>Use building area method in NECB Article 4.2.1.5. to determine lighting power density (LPD) (8.5 W/m<sup>2</sup> for tenant spaces in this Example) (see NECB Note A-4.2.1.3.).</p> <p>Since the same LPD value is used for tenant spaces in both the proposed and reference building designs, no energy credit is available for lighting power reductions in tenant spaces.</p>	<p>Use same LPD as proposed building for tenant areas.</p> <p>The building area method does not take into account task lighting and room configurations within an office building.</p>
HVAC	<p>If HVAC zones in tenant areas have not yet been designed, multiple HVAC zones may be combined to create a single thermal block (see NECB Article 1.4.1.2. of Division A for definition). However, thermal blocks in interior and perimeter spaces cannot be combined ("perimeter space" refers to the space from the exterior wall extending about 4.6 m inward towards the core).</p> <p>Every thermal block is deemed to be heated and cooled, even if only rough-ins are provided (NECB Sentence 8.4.3.1.(4)).</p> <p>Use applicable default loads for occupant density, peak receptacle load and service water heating from NECB Table A-8.4.3.2.(2)-A and applicable default operating schedule from NECB Note A-8.4.3.2.(1) (in this Example, NECB Table A-8.4.3.2.(1)-A best represents the building type).</p>	<p>Use same types of heating and cooling systems as those used for proposed design. Loads are different due to differences in the building envelope. HVAC zoning must be identical to the proposed design in terms of floor areas, total envelope area per orientation, and internal assembly areas. However, the fenestration, door and skylight areas per orientation will be different from those of the proposed building as they must comply with NECB Article 3.2.1.4.</p> <p>Select appropriate HVAC system using NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-A (in this Example, it is a multi-zone built-up system with baseboard heating). The baseboard heating must use the same energy source as the proposed design.</p> <p>Use same default operating schedule and loads as for proposed design.</p>

**Example 8-2 – Component Design for Shell-and-Core Office Building (Continued)**

**Building Component Assumptions Used to Model the Shell-and-Core Office Building (cont.)**

Component	Proposed Building Assumptions	Reference Building Assumptions
HVAC (cont.)	<p>According to design of proposed building.</p> <p>According to design of proposed building.</p>	<p>Minimum outdoor air requirements are based on minimum requirements dictated by applicable standards.</p> <p>Apply same oversizing factors to the heating and cooling equipment as the ones applied to equipment in proposed building (see NECB Article 8.4.4.8.). However, if the oversizing factor of the heating equipment in the proposed building exceeds 30%, oversize the heating equipment in the reference building by 30%, and if the oversizing factor of the cooling equipment in the proposed building exceeds 10%, oversize the cooling equipment in the reference building by 10%. Calculate air handler load in each thermal block for heating, reheating, cooling and recooling systems, capacities of space-heating and space-cooling systems, air handler airflow rates for supply and return systems, and outdoor air requirements for cooling with outdoor air.</p>
Service Hot Water	<p>Same as for reference building.</p> <p>According to design of proposed building.</p>	<p>Use applicable default office loads from NECB Table A-8.4.3.2.(2)-A and applicable default operating schedule from NECB Note A-8.4.3.2.(1) to calculate number of occupants and service water heating load.</p> <p>The service water heater uses the same energy source(s) and equipment type as the proposed design and conforms to applicable performance standards identified in NECB Table 6.2.2.1., assuming none of the exceptions in NECB Sentences 8.4.4.20.(2) to (4) apply.</p>

## Commentary on Part 8

### Example 8-3 – Component Design for a Semi-Heated Warehouse

A 4 600 m<sup>2</sup> × 7.3 m high warehouse that is semi-heated to 7°C is being designed for construction in Edmonton, Alberta. The owner has requested that the bottom 3 m of the warehouse walls be constructed with concrete masonry units (CMU) to resist forklift damage and that the upper walls be made of sheet-steel-clad steel frame construction. The proposed design consists of 6% vertical fenestration and 6% doors. The flat roof is constructed as a steel deck insulated with isocyanurate rigid foam insulation and covered with a fastened single-ply roofing membrane. A 72 m<sup>2</sup> one-storey heated office is located at one end of the building. Since the CMU areas of the wall assemblies do not meet the prescriptive performance requirements and the steel building wall areas could not accommodate trade-off performance adjustments, the designer has decided to show compliance using the building energy performance compliance path. This proposed semi-heated building is permitted to meet less stringent building envelope requirements if its annual energy consumption is less than or equal to the building energy target of the reference building, which uses a set-point temperature of 18°C. To determine the annual energy consumption of the proposed building, HVAC, envelope, lighting and service water heating assumptions are needed as input data for the model to show compliance. See the following table.

#### Building Component Assumptions Used to Model the Semi-Heated Warehouse

Component	Proposed Building Assumptions	Reference Building Assumptions
Building Envelope	<p>Use design of proposed building. Building envelope assemblies that cover less than 5% of total assembly type may be combined with assemblies having same orientation and similar thermal performance (NECB Sentence 8.4.3.1.(6)).</p> <p>Determine solar heat gain coefficient, solar absorptance and air leakage in accordance with NECB Article 8.4.3.3.</p>	<p>Use prescriptive performance levels in NECB Section 3.2. for all wall and roof areas.</p> <p>Use same solar absorptance values as for proposed building assemblies except use 0.7 for roof assemblies (NECB Sentences 8.4.4.3.(1) and (2)).</p> <p>Adjust window and door areas and skylight area proportionally along each orientation until they comply with the FDWR in NECB Article 3.2.1.4. (see NECB Sentence 8.4.4.3.(3)).</p>
Lighting	<p>Use actual operating schedule of proposed building or default operating schedule for warehouse lighting determined from NECB Table A-8.4.3.2.(1)-A (in this Example, NECB Table A-8.4.3.2.(1)-A best represents the building type).</p> <p>Use lighting power density (LPD) of proposed design or use default LPD value for warehouse spaces listed in NECB Table 4.2.1.5. (4.8 W/m<sup>2</sup>) in both the proposed and reference building models (see NECB Note A-4.2.1.3.).</p>	<p>Use same operating schedule as for proposed building.</p> <p>Use default LPD value for warehouse spaces listed in NECB Table 4.2.1.5. (4.8 W/m<sup>2</sup>).</p>
HVAC	<p>Use actual proposed operating schedule and loads and a heating system set-point operating temperature of 7°C. HVAC system is specified as a unit heater.</p> <p>If actual loads and schedule are not known, use applicable default loads for warehouses from NECB Table A-8.4.3.2.(2)-A (1 500 m<sup>2</sup> per occupant) and applicable default operating schedule from NECB Note A-8.4.3.2.(1) (in this Example, NECB Table A-8.4.3.2.(1)-A is the most applicable).</p>	<p>The set-point temperature of the heating system of the reference semi-heated warehouse is 18°C (see NECB Sentence 8.4.4.2.(3)). Use the same operating schedule as for the proposed building.</p> <p>Use same default operating schedule and loads as for proposed design. Determine appropriate HVAC system using NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-A (in this Example, the appropriate one is a single-zone make-up air unit with baseboard heating). The performance of the HVAC system must meet the prescriptive requirements of NECB Tables 5.2.12.1.-B to 5.2.12.1.-J.</p>

**Example 8-3 – Component Design for a Semi-Heated Warehouse (Continued)**

**Building Component Assumptions Used to Model the Semi-Heated Warehouse (cont.)**

Component	Proposed Building Assumptions	Reference Building Assumptions
HVAC (cont.)	<p>Unit heaters complying with ANSI Z83.8/CSA 2.6 have separate combustion air and venting vertically through the roof or horizontally through a wall. Unit heaters do not supply outdoor air to meet outdoor air ventilation requirements. In ANSI/ASHRAE 62.1, the minimum outdoor air ventilation rate for warehouses is 0.3 L/(s·m<sup>2</sup>) (0.06 cfm/ft.<sup>2</sup>). An air handler or a make-up air unit (MUA) with 100% outdoor air may be used to meet zone ventilation requirements.</p>	<p>Apply same oversizing factors to the heating and cooling equipment as the ones applied to equipment in proposed building (see NECB Article 8.4.4.8.). However, if the oversizing factor of the heating equipment in the proposed building exceeds 30%, oversize the heating equipment in the reference building by 30%, and if the oversizing factor of the cooling equipment in the proposed building exceeds 10%, oversize the cooling equipment in the reference building by 10%.</p> <p>The HVAC zoning must be identical to the proposed design in terms of floor areas and internal assembly areas.</p> <p>Minimum outdoor air rates for ventilation for each zone will be the same as the proposed building model.</p> <p>Calculate air handler load in each thermal block for heating and reheating systems, capacities of space-heating systems, and air handler airflow rates for supply and return systems.</p> <p>Fan power is that of the proposed design or as calculated according to NECB Article 8.4.4.17., whichever is less.</p>
Service Hot Water	<p>Use actual proposed operating schedule, loads and service water heating equipment. If actual loads and schedule are not known, use applicable default loads for warehouses from NECB Table A-8.4.3.2.(2)-A (1 500 m<sup>2</sup> per occupant and 300 W service water heating load per person) and applicable default operating schedule from NECB Note A-8.4.3.2.(1) (in this Example, NECB Table A-8.4.3.2.(1)-A is the most applicable).</p>	<p>Use proposed operating schedule and loads, and service water heating equipment that meets the performance requirements in NECB Table 6.2.2.1.</p>

## Commentary on Part 8

### Example 8-3 – Component Design for a Semi-Heated Warehouse (Continued)

As stated above, the total vertical fenestration and door area to gross wall area ratio (FDWR) for the proposed building is 6% windows + 6% doors resulting in a decimal ratio of 0.12. Given that the area of the building envelope is 2 000 m<sup>2</sup>, the resulting window and door areas of the proposed building are as follows:

Orientation	Proposed Building (FDWR = 0.12 or 240 m <sup>2</sup> )	
	Windows (6%)	Doors (6%)
South	80 m <sup>2</sup>	20 m <sup>2</sup> (swinging)
West	40 m <sup>2</sup>	20 m <sup>2</sup> (swinging)
North	–	80 m <sup>2</sup> (overhead)
East	–	–

Given that the heating degree-days (HDD) below 18°C for the location of the building (Edmonton, Alberta) is 5120 (see NECB Table C-1), the maximum allowable FDWR for the reference building is 0.325 ((2000 – 0.2 × 5120)/3000) (see NECB Sentence 3.2.1.4.(1)). As stated in NECB Sentence 8.4.4.3.(3), the FDWR of the reference building must be adjusted proportionally along each orientation. The individual fenestration and door areas along each orientation of the reference building are obtained by multiplying the fenestration and door areas of the proposed building by an adjustment factor calculated as follows:

$$\text{Adjustment factor} = \frac{\text{FDWR}_{\text{maximum allowable}}}{\text{FDWR}_{\text{proposed design}}} = \frac{0.325}{0.12} = 2.7$$

The resulting window and door areas of the reference building are as follows:

Orientation	Reference Building (FDWR = 0.325 or 648 m <sup>2</sup> )	
	Windows (16.2%)	Doors (16.2%)
South	216 m <sup>2</sup> (10.8%)	54 m <sup>2</sup> (swinging) (2.7%)
West	108 m <sup>2</sup> (5.4%)	54 m <sup>2</sup> (swinging) (2.7%)
North	–	216 m <sup>2</sup> (overhead) (10.8%)
East	–	–

The FDWR of the reference building can be adjusted using the same approach when the FDWR of the proposed building is greater than that permitted by NECB Sentence 3.2.1.4.(1).

In this Example, since the FDWR of the proposed building is less than that permitted by NECB Sentence 3.2.1.4.(1), the proposed building design is given an energy consumption credit that can be applied to the design of other building components or systems.

**Example 8-4 – HVAC Design for Hospital Addition**

A five-storey-high above-grade addition, with a penthouse control room, and a two-storey-high above-grade addition are planned for a hospital. Both additions also include one storey below grade. The table below lists the proposed space types in the addition as well as the HVAC systems to be installed to serve those spaces. The reference HVAC systems to be used in the energy model calculations are provided in the last column; they are based on HVAC systems recommended in NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-A for space types that are identical or similar to the space types for the proposed addition.

**HVAC System Design Assumptions for Hospital Addition**

Space Type	Proposed Building HVAC Design <sup>(1)</sup>	Reference Building HVAC Design Based on NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-A <sup>(1)</sup>
Penthouse control room/office (intermittent occupancy)	Served by baseboard heater with thermostatically controlled exhaust fan for removal of excess heat, if necessary. Zero mechanical cooling required.	If considered a mechanical/electrical control room, select System 1 (AC with baseboard heating) and set cooling capacity at 0 kW. If considered an office, select System 3 (SZ RTU) and set cooling capacity at 0 kW (non-mechanically cooled).
Top 4 floors of 5-storey addition plus top floor of 2-storey addition: cancer ward rooms, long-term care rooms, some surgery recovery rooms	Both additions are served by same multi-zone HVAC system: dual duct, dual fan with occupant-sensor-controlled fan-powered VAV boxes with space fan coil units for perimeter heating and cooling.	Just like the proposed case, the reference system selection is based on space type, not number of storeys. If considered patient/recovery rooms, select System 3 (SZ RTU). Multiple spaces can be combined to form zones as is the case with proposed design.
Ground floors of 5- and 2-storey additions: offices, lobby, dining area, gym, recreation area, and dialysis and physiotherapy rooms	Space-heating is provided by a hydronic system and space-cooling is provided by a VAV air handler with a water-cooled chiller.	Designate the gym, office, recreation and lobby areas as a General Area and select System 3 for maximum 2 storeys (SZ RTU). Designate dialysis, dining and physiotherapy areas as Assembly, Multipurpose Area and select System 6 for greater than 4 storeys (multi-zone built-up VAV system). In this Example, space-heating and -cooling system selections are the same as for the proposed design.
Ground floor of 5-storey addition:  Space type A: steam/spa/sauna/water pool therapy rooms and adjoining change rooms and washrooms  Space type B: food/meal preparation (not a main kitchen) area and a garbage recycling room  Space type C: 2 sets of bathrooms	A is served by a dedicated heating unit (mini-boiler with some solar preheat for the pool); pool area is not air-conditioned.  B is served by a dedicated dry cooler for food storage and space cooling; both zones are served by one dedicated MUA unit.  C (both sets) is served by one MUA serving two non-adjacent zones.	Designate A as a General Area and select System 3 (SZ RTU); pool area is not air-conditioned.  Designate B as a Food Service Area and select System 4 (SZ MUA) (group the two zones into a single zone so as to be able to use System 4).  Designate C as a General Area and select System 3 (SZ RTU) (group the two zones into a single zone so as to be able to use System 3).

## Commentary on Part 8

### Example 8-4 – HVAC Design for Hospital Addition (Continued)

#### HVAC System Design Assumptions for Hospital Addition (cont.)

Space Type	Proposed Building HVAC Design <sup>(1)</sup>	Reference Building HVAC Design Based on NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-A <sup>(1)</sup>
Basement of 5-storey addition:	Each of the 4 areas has dedicated AHU. MRI and X-ray areas are not heated but are cooled with dedicated chilled water units. Waste heat from equipment is collected through a glycol heat exchanger and piped to adjacent mechanical room to supplement heating of fan coil water.	
Space type A: MRI area	Cooling for A > 20 kW.	Designate A as a Data Centre and, based on cooling load of proposed, select System 2 (four-pipe fan coil with MUA).
Space type B: X-ray diagnostic area	Cooling for B < 20 kW.	Designate B as a Data Centre and, based on cooling load of proposed, select System 1 (AC with constant-volume MUA)
Space type C: four surgical preparation rooms	C is served by a single MUA.	Designate C as a Hospital Area and select System 3 (SZ RTU).
Space type D: maintenance areas (mechanical/electrical/storage/janitorial)	D is served by a single MUA.	Designate D as a Warehouse Area and select System 4 (SZ MUA).
Basement and ground floor of 2-storey addition: 4 ICU (intensive care unit) rooms, 10 surgical recovery rooms, 6 surgery rooms	Design uses multi-zone MUA unit with air delivery controls dedicated to each room. When room is not in use, MUA airflow is reduced. The multi-zone system behaves like a single-zone system controlling heating and cooling to each room.	Designate as Hospital Area and select System 3 (SZ RTU). If the proposed design is modeled as a single zone for each room, then each room zone in the reference design is modeled with a separate SZ RTU. If the proposed design is modeled as one large zone, then the reference design is modeled as one large zone using System 3 (SZ RTU).

<sup>(1)</sup> The abbreviations used in the Table have the following meanings:

- AC = air conditioner
- AHU = air-handling unit
- MUA = make-up air unit
- RTU = rooftop unit
- SZ = single zone
- VAV = variable air volume

### Building Envelope Components (Sentence 8.4.4.3.(3))


17. The overall thermal transmittance of the wall assemblies in the proposed building design must be determined using the methodology noted in NECB Article 3.1.1.7.
18. Example 8-3 illustrates building envelope design inputs for a semi-heated warehouse.

### Interior Partitions (Sentence 8.4.4.3.(7))

19. The U-value of interior partitions in the reference building model must be determined in accordance with NECB Article 3.2.1.3., where applicable, i.e., where the temperature set-points on each side of the partitions differ by more than 10°C. Otherwise, their U-value should be identical to that of the interior partitions in the proposed building.

### HVAC System Selection (Article 8.4.4.7.)

20. The HVAC systems described in NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-B are shown schematically in Figures 8-1 to 8-6.



**Figure 8-1**


**System 1: Unitary air conditioner with baseboard heating**

**Notes to Figure 8-1:**


- (1) For each thermal block, the peak outdoor air ventilation rate must match the rate determined for the proposed building according to NECB Article 8.4.4.15. Except for dwelling units, the outdoor air requirements for all thermal blocks must be met by a single ventilation system (see Table Note (2) of NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-B).
- (2) Supply fan with a total static pressure of 640 Pa and a combined fan-motor efficiency of 40% in accordance with NECB Clause 8.4.4.18.(3)(b).
- (3) Air-cooled direct-expansion cooling coil with remote condenser.
- (4) The supply airflow rate to each zone is determined according to NECB Sentence 8.4.4.18.(2).
- (5) The baseboard heating type is electric resistance or is hydronic with a fuel-fired water boiler and must match the energy type of the proposed building's heating system in accordance with NECB Sentence 8.4.4.9.(4). According to NECB Clause 8.4.4.9.(3)(a), the heating capacity of the baseboard heater must match the thermal block's heating load due to the transfer of energy through the building envelope only.
- (6) An exhaust fan must be added, as applicable, according to NECB Sentence 8.4.4.17.(1).
- (7) Refer to NECB Article 8.4.4.19. to determine if energy recovery is required for the single ventilation system based on the calculated supply airflow rate and the required ventilation rate.
- (8) System 1 is modeled as follows:
  - Each thermal block is considered to have its own separate HVAC system, which is served by a central ventilation system (see Table Note (2) of NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-B).
  - Outdoor air and supply air are to be delivered to the thermal block continuously and at a constant volume during the supply fan's scheduled "On" hours as listed in NECB Tables A-8.4.3.2.(1)-A to A-8.4.3.2.(1)-K, and no outdoor air is to be delivered during the supply fan's scheduled "Off" hours.
  - The supply air temperature is to modulate based on the thermal block's load to maintain the space temperature setpoint in accordance with NECB Clause 8.4.4.18.(3)(a).
  - If heating is required during the supply fan's scheduled "Off" hours to maintain the space temperature setpoint, heating is to be provided via baseboard heating only.



## Commentary on Part 8



EG02870A




**Figure 8-3**


**System 3: Single-zone packaged rooftop unit with baseboard heating**

**Notes to Figure 8-3:**

- (1) The peak outdoor air ventilation rate must match the rate determined for the proposed building for the thermal block according to NECB Article 8.4.4.15.
- (2) Supply fan with a total static pressure of 640 Pa and a combined fan-motor efficiency of 40% in accordance with NECB Clause 8.4.4.18.(3)(b).
- (3) Air-cooled direct-expansion cooling coil.
- (4) The heating coil is served by a fuel-fired or electric resistance furnace to match the energy type of the proposed building's heating system in accordance with NECB Sentence 8.4.4.9.(4).
- (5) The supply airflow rate to each zone is determined according to NECB Sentence 8.4.4.18.(2).
- (6) An exhaust fan must be added, as applicable, according to NECB Sentence 8.4.4.17.(1).
- (7) The baseboard heating type is electric resistance or is hydronic with a fuel-fired boiler and must match the energy type of the proposed building's heating system in accordance with NECB Sentence 8.4.4.9.(4). According to NECB Clause 8.4.4.9.(3)(a), the heating capacity of the baseboard heater must match the thermal block's heating load due to the transfer of energy through the building envelope only.
- (8) Cooling with outdoor air must follow the Articles specified in NECB Table 8.4.4.12.
- (9) Refer to NECB Article 8.4.4.19. to determine if energy recovery is required for the thermal block based on the calculated supply airflow rate and the required ventilation rate.
- (10) System 3 is modeled as follows:
  - Each thermal block is considered separate from all other thermal blocks.
  - Outdoor air and supply air are to be delivered to the thermal block continuously and at a constant volume during the supply fan's scheduled "On" hours as listed in NECB Tables A-8.4.3.2.(1)-A to A-8.4.3.2.(1)-K, and no outdoor air is to be delivered during the fan's scheduled "Off" hours.
  - The supply air temperature is to modulate based on the thermal block's load to maintain the space temperature setpoint in accordance with NECB Clause 8.4.4.18.(3)(a).
  - If heating is required during the supply fan's scheduled "Off" hours to maintain the space temperature setpoint, heating is to be provided via baseboard heating only.


## Commentary on Part 8





EG02873A

## Commentary on Part 8




EG02874A

21. For System 6, Table Note (3) of NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-B requires that thermal blocks in buildings with more than four above-ground storeys be grouped together, which aligns with typical market practice.

This approach ensures that the reference building does not have many small multi-zone systems, which would make it difficult to meet the energy recovery requirements of NECB Subsection 5.2.10.

**Heat Pumps (Article 8.4.4.13.)**

22. An HVAC system (System 3 as described in NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-B) including a heat pump is shown schematically in Figure 8-7 and is briefly described in the notes to the Figure.



**Figure 8-7**  
**HVAC system (System 3) including a heat pump**

**Notes to Figure 8-7:**

- (1) The peak outdoor air ventilation rate must match the rate determined for the proposed building for the thermal block according to NECB Article 8.4.4.15.
- (2) Supply fan with a total static pressure of 640 Pa and a combined fan-motor efficiency of 40% in accordance with NECB Clause 8.4.4.18.(3)(b).
- (3) Direct-expansion coil serving for cooling and heating depending on the heat pump operating mode. In cooling mode, the heat pump is air-cooled, while the heat source in heating mode is outdoor air. The heating capacity at an outdoor air temperature of 8.3°C is identical to the peak cooling capacity, and is reduced to 50% of this value at -8.3°C.
- (4) Auxiliary heating is a fuel-fired or electric resistance furnace to match the energy type of the proposed building's heating system in accordance with NECB Table 8.4.4.13.
- (5) The supply airflow rate to each zone is determined according to NECB Sentence 8.4.4.18.(2).
- (6) The baseboard heating type is electric resistance or is hydronic with a fuel-fired boiler and must match the energy type of the proposed building's heating system in accordance with NECB Sentence 8.4.4.9.(4). According to NECB Clause 8.4.4.9.(3)(a), the heating capacity of the baseboard heater must match the thermal block's heating load due to the transfer of energy through the building envelope only.
- (7) Cooling with outdoor air must follow the Articles specified in NECB Table 8.4.4.12.
- (8) Refer to NECB Article 8.4.4.19. to determine if energy recovery is required for the thermal block based on the calculated supply airflow rate and the required ventilation rate.
- (9) This system is modeled as follows:
  - Each thermal block is considered separate from all other thermal blocks.
  - Outdoor air and supply air are to be delivered continuously and at a constant volume during the supply fan's scheduled "On" hours as listed in NECB Tables A-8.4.3.2.(1)-A to A-8.4.3.2.(1)-K, and no outdoor air is to be delivered during the supply fan's scheduled "Off" hours.
  - The supply air temperature is to modulate based on the thermal block's load to maintain the space temperature setpoint in accordance with NECB Clause 8.4.4.18.(3)(a).
  - If heating is required during the supply fan's scheduled "Off" hours to maintain the space temperature setpoint, heating is to be provided via baseboard heating only.

## Commentary on Part 8

23. Example 8-5 describes the HVAC system of the reference building for a proposed multi-unit residential building with in-suite water loop heat pumps.

### Example 8-5 – HVAC System of the Reference Building for a Proposed Multi-Unit Residential Building

A multi-unit residential building with in-suite water-loop heat pumps is being designed by following the NECB Part 8 performance path to demonstrate compliance with the NECB.

According to NECB Sentence 8.4.4.13.(1), since the proposed building's HVAC system includes water-loop heat pumps, the reference building's HVAC system is to be selected using NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-A, rather than modeled as a packaged heat pump. According to NECB Table 8.4.4.7.-A, since the proposed building is heated and cooled with in-suite heat pumps, the reference building's HVAC system is to be identical to the proposed building's system. In this case, the HVAC system for both the reference and proposed buildings is to be a water-loop heat pump.

The supply airflow rate for each thermal block (i.e., each dwelling unit) is determined according to NECB Sentence 8.4.4.18.(2), and the supply fan power is determined according to NECB Sentence 8.4.4.18.(3) and has a static pressure of 640 Pa and a combined fan-motor efficiency of 40%.

According to NECB Sentence 5.2.10.4.(1), since a self-contained mechanical ventilation system serves single dwelling units, the system must be equipped with heat-recovery capability, except in climate zones 4, 5 and 6. In climate zones 7A, 7B and 8, heat recovery is required for each dwelling unit, with a minimum sensible-heat-recovery efficiency of 50% according to NECB Sentence 5.2.10.4.(5).

For water-loop heat pumps, cooling and heating efficiencies are determined according to NECB Table 5.2.12.1.-E. The water loop must be served by heat rejection equipment according to NECB Article 8.4.4.11. and by heat injection equipment of the same energy type as the proposed building's heating system. If the energy type is gas-fired, then the heating efficiency for the reference building is determined in accordance with NECB Table 5.2.12.1.-E. If the energy type is electric, then the reference building is to use an electric boiler. The temperature of the condenser water loop is to match that for the proposed building.

### Equipment Oversizing (Article 8.4.4.8.)

24. The design heating/cooling load calculations can either be performed using the energy analysis software or dedicated load calculation software, as long as the same means—including equipment oversizing—are applied to both the proposed and reference building systems.
25. Equipment oversizing applies to the cooling and heating capacities of plants and systems, as well as to the airflow capacity of air-handling systems and terminal units. The value assigned for oversizing can be smaller than 1, meaning that the equipment or system is actually undersized. Undersizing is an acceptable practice as long as the requirements of NECB Sentences 8.4.1.2.(3) and (4) are met.

### Outdoor Air (Article 8.4.4.15.)

26. The NECB requires that the total outdoor airflow rates supplied by the reference building's air-handling units (AHUs) be identical to those supplied by the proposed building's AHUs. This requirement applies regardless of the number of systems or their configuration, factors that could theoretically lead to a change in outdoor airflow rate based on the requirements of the applicable ventilation standard.

### Fans (Sentence 8.4.4.17.(1))

27. NECB Sentence 8.4.4.17.(1) refers to exhaust fans that are part of specialized exhaust systems (as described in Sentence 5.2.10.1.(3)). If the exhaust system in the proposed building is not considered to be a specialized exhaust system, then the exhaust system in the reference building must be equipped with an energy recovery system, if required by NECB Subsection 5.2.10., or the exhaust fan power must be counted against the power limitation for the fan system.
28. Example 8-6 illustrates the application of NECB Sentence 8.4.4.17.(1).

**Example 8-6 – HVAC System of the Reference Building for a Proposed Laboratory**

A laboratory is being designed by following the NECB Part 8 performance path to demonstrate compliance with the NECB. The proposed ventilation system has a dedicated laboratory exhaust fan for exhausting wet fumes and a make-up air unit to provide ventilation. The exhaust fan has an airflow rate of 10 000 L/s, a power demand of 16 kW and runs continuously.

Since the laboratory exhaust fan in the proposed ventilation system is considered to be a specialized exhaust system as described in NECB Sentence 5.2.10.1.(3), the following provisions apply to the reference building's HVAC system:

- According to NECB Sentence 8.4.4.17.(1), the exhaust fan in the reference building must be modeled with the same flow rate, power demand and schedule as the laboratory exhaust fan of the proposed design.
- According to NECB Sentence 5.2.10.1.(3), the reference building's ventilation system is not required to have energy recovery.

**Energy Recovery Systems (Article 8.4.4.19.)**

29. Regardless of where or how air is exhausted in the proposed building, energy recovery must be applied to all exhaust air defined in the reference building model if required by NECB Sentence 5.2.10.1.(1), while keeping in mind the exception stated in NECB Sentence 5.2.10.1.(3).
30. Example 8-7 illustrates the application of Sentence 8.4.4.19.(1).

**Example 8-7 – Energy Recovery for Single-Zone Systems of a Reference Building**

A single-storey museum in Calgary, Alberta (climate zone 7A) is being designed by following the NECB Part 8 performance pathway to demonstrate compliance with the NECB. The museum has three thermal blocks served by single-zone HVAC systems; the heating airflow rate, cooling airflow rate and outdoor air ventilation rate, as defined in NECB Sentence 8.4.4.18.(2), for each thermal block are indicated in Figure A. The ventilation systems are classified as non-continuously operating.

<p><b>Thermal block 1</b></p> <p>Heating airflow rate = 850 L/s Cooling airflow rate = 1 200 L/s Outdoor air ventilation rate = 500 L/s</p>	<p><b>Thermal block 2</b></p> <p>Heating airflow rate = 425 L/s Cooling airflow rate = 400 L/s Outdoor air ventilation rate = 100 L/s</p>
	<p><b>Thermal block 3</b></p> <p>Heating airflow rate = 425 L/s Cooling airflow rate = 590 L/s Outdoor air ventilation rate = 100 L/s</p>

EG02877A

**Figure A**  
**Thermal blocks in a single-storey museum**



## Commentary on Part 8

### Example 8-7 – Energy Recovery for Single-Zone Systems of a Reference Building (Continued)

The designer must determine whether energy recovery is required for the HVAC system for each thermal block in the reference building in accordance with NECB Table 5.2.10.1.-A. According to NECB Sentence 8.4.4.18.(2), the supply airflow rate to each thermal block is the greater of the heating airflow rate, the cooling airflow rate, or the outdoor air ventilation rate.

For thermal block 1:

$$\text{Constant volume supply airflow rate} = 1\,200 \text{ L/s}$$

$$\text{Percentage of outdoor air} = (500 \text{ L/s}) / (1\,200 \text{ L/s}) = 41.7\%$$

Since  $1\,200 \text{ L/s} \geq 470 \text{ L/s}$ , an energy recovery system is required for this thermal block according to Table 5.2.10.1.-A.

For thermal block 2:

$$\text{Constant volume supply airflow rate} = 425 \text{ L/s}$$

$$\text{Percentage of outdoor air} = (100 \text{ L/s}) / (425 \text{ L/s}) = 23.5\%$$

Since  $425 \text{ L/s} < 1\,890 \text{ L/s}$ , an energy recovery system is not required for this thermal block according to Table 5.2.10.1.-A.

For thermal block 3:

$$\text{Constant volume supply airflow rate} = 590 \text{ L/s}$$

$$\text{Percentage of outdoor air} = (100 \text{ L/s}) / (590 \text{ L/s}) = 16.9\%$$

Since  $590 \text{ L/s} < 2\,120 \text{ L/s}$ , an energy recovery system is not required for this thermal block according to Table 5.2.10.1.-A.

31. Even if air is exhausted close to a thermal block in the proposed building—which means that recovering heat from this exhaust air may not be possible—it must not be accounted for in the reference building model.

# Commentary on Part 10

## Tiered Building Energy Performance Compliance

### Compliance (Article 10.1.2.1.)

1. Part 10, Tiered Building Energy Performance Compliance, is new in the NECB 2020. NECB Table 10.1.2.1. lists four Energy Performance Tiers that can be chosen for projects or required by authorities having jurisdiction (AHJs). The higher the performance tier of the proposed building, the lower its energy consumption compared to the reference building.
2. Example 10-1 illustrates the application of the tiered building energy performance compliance path.

#### Example 10-1 – Applying the Tiered Building Energy Performance Compliance Path

An AHJ requires that new construction projects follow the Part 10 compliance path and achieve a minimum of Energy Performance Tier 3. The project team has determined that, as modeled according to Part 8, the annual energy consumption of the reference building (i.e., the building energy target) is 900 GJ and the annual energy consumption of the proposed building is 430 GJ. The following calculations show how to determine which energy performance tier this project complies with:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Percent building energy target} &= \frac{\text{Annual energy consumption of proposed building}}{\text{Building energy target of reference building}} \\ &= \frac{430 \text{ GJ}}{900 \text{ GJ}} \\ &= 0.48 \text{ or } 48\% \leq \text{Tier 3 requirement of } 50\% \end{aligned}$$

OR

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Percent improvement} &= \frac{\left( \text{Building energy target of reference building} - \text{Annual energy consumption of proposed building} \right)}{\text{Building energy target of reference building}} \\ &= \frac{900 \text{ GJ} - 430 \text{ GJ}}{900 \text{ GJ}} \\ &= 0.52 \text{ or } 52\% \geq \text{Tier 3 requirement of } 50\% \end{aligned}$$

Since the percent building energy target is less than or equal to 50% (or the percent improvement is greater than or equal to 50%), the project complies with Energy Performance Tier 3, as required by the AHJ.

### Conversions

SI Units	Imperial Units	To convert SI units to imperial units, multiply by	To convert imperial units to SI units, multiply by
<b>Temperature</b>			
°C	°F	1.8 and add 32	subtract 32 divide by 1.8
<b>Length</b>			
mm	in.	0.03937	25.4
cm	in.	0.3937	2.54
m	ft.	3.281	0.3048
<b>Area</b>			
mm <sup>2</sup>	in. <sup>2</sup>	0.00155	645.16
cm <sup>2</sup>	in. <sup>2</sup>	0.155	6.4516
m <sup>2</sup>	ft. <sup>2</sup>	10.76	0.092903
<b>Volume</b>			
cm <sup>3</sup>	in. <sup>3</sup>	0.061	16.3871
m <sup>3</sup>	ft. <sup>3</sup>	35.31	0.02832
L	gal. (Imp)	0.22	4.55
L	gal. (US)	0.2642	3.785
<b>Flow</b>			
L/s	ft. <sup>3</sup> /min. (cfm)	2.11889	0.471947
L/min.	ft. <sup>3</sup> /min. (cfm)	0.0353	28.329
m <sup>3</sup> /h	ft. <sup>3</sup> /min. (cfm)	0.5886	1.699
<b>Power</b>			
W	Btu/h	3.413	0.2930711
<b>Heat Flux</b>			
W/m <sup>2</sup>	Btu/hxft. <sup>2</sup>	0.317	3.154591
<b>Overall Heat Transfer Coefficient (U-value)</b>			
W/m <sup>2</sup> xK	Btu/hxft. <sup>2</sup> x°F	0.17612	5.678263
W/m <sup>2</sup> x°C	Btu/hxft. <sup>2</sup> x°F	0.17612	5.678263
<b>Thermal Resistance</b>			
m <sup>2</sup> x°C/W (RSI)	ft. <sup>2</sup> xh°F/Btu (R)	5.678	0.17611
<b>Thermal Conductivity, k</b>			
W/mxK	Btuxin./hxft. <sup>2</sup> x°F	6.93347	0.1442279
W/m <sup>2</sup> x°C (per m thickness)	Btuxft./hxft. <sup>2</sup> x°F	0.5777	1.731
W/m <sup>2</sup> x°C (per m thickness)	Btuxin./hxft. <sup>2</sup> x°F	6.9444	0.144
<b>Pressure</b>			
Pa	in. of water	0.004014	249
kPa	psi	0.145	6.895
kPa	psf	20.88	0.04788
<b>Energy</b>			
MJ	kWh	0.278	3.6
J	Btu	0.0009478	1 055.056