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## Canadian species index

Animal wildlife is one of the most visible and well-studied aspects of biodiversity. The 2022 Living Planet Index, which tracks populations of vertebrate species, indicates an average global decline of $69 \%$ in the relative abundance of monitored wildlife populations since 1970.
The Canadian species index indicator uses similar methods to the Living Planet Index but is based on a selection of Canadian species. It shows whether the population abundance ${ }^{1}$ of monitored vertebrate species have increased or decreased since 1970. The index is an "average of trends", rather than a measure of change in the total number of animals: each species, whether it is common or rare, has the same effect on the index. This, in turn, provides an integrated measure of the condition of our environment.

## Key results

Between 1970 and 2018,

- the population abundance of all monitored vertebrate species declined by $7 \%$ on average
- the population abundance of monitored mammal and fish species decreased by $42 \%$ and $30 \%$ on average, respectively

Figure 1. Canadian species index, 1970 to 2018
Cumulative percentage change since 1970



Note: Trends are calculated based on the proportional change in population abundance for monitored vertebrate species. All species are weighted equally, such that a species that doubled in population would be balanced out by a species that declined by half. Direct comparisons with the previous version of the index cannot be made as there are differences across the whole time-series. See Recent changes. Source: Zoological Society of London (2023).

The national index includes 928 species of birds, fish, mammals, amphibians and reptiles. The number of species represents $52 \%$ of the 1798 native vertebrate species that regularly occur in Canada. ${ }^{2}$ While there is an overall

[^0]decrease in the national average trend across all monitored species, some species are increasing while others are decreasing.
The bird index includes 397 species and represents the largest number of species in the indicator. This accounts for $87 \%$ of native bird species. The average change in bird species populations is moderately positive, in part due to the increases in populations of waterfowls, birds of prey, and wetland birds and seabirds. ${ }^{3}$ However, populations of shorebirds, grassland birds and aerial insectivores are in steep decline, ${ }^{3}$ as are some of Canada's most common bird species (such as the Dark-eyed Junco, a forest bird). ${ }^{4}$

The fish index includes 375 species of freshwater and marine fish, and accounts for $35 \%$ of native fish species. The decline in the population abundance of fish species is mainly attributed to the decline in the population of marine fish species.
The mammal index includes 108 species, which make up $55 \%$ of native mammal species. The decline of many mammal species is mainly attributed to fragmentation and loss of remaining habitat. A wide range of species, from large bears to small squirrels, can have difficulty surviving in isolated and fragmented habitats. ${ }^{5}$
Amphibians and reptiles are included in the national Canadian species index. However, given the poor geographical extent and coverage across the reporting period, the index for amphibians and reptiles may not be representative and is therefore not shown separately. Amphibians and reptiles have a high proportion of species at risk of extinction. The General status of wild species indicator shows that $67 \%$ (33 of 49) of reptile species and $40 \%$ (19 of 47) of amphibian species are at risk of disappearing. ${ }^{6}$

[^1]
## Canadian species index by system

Wildlife species can be assigned to the terrestrial, freshwater or marine system based on the location where the species was monitored and the species' biology.

## Key results

From 1970 to 2018,

- the index for the terrestrial system, which includes most of the bird and mammal populations, and some reptiles and amphibians, decreased by $14 \%$
- the index for the marine system, which includes mammals (such as whales and seals), birds (such as terns), 1 reptile (the leatherback turtle) and most of the fish populations decreased by $16 \%$
- the index for the freshwater system, which includes birds (such as waterfowl), 2 mammals (beaver and river otter), fish, and the majority of amphibian and reptile populations, increased by $38 \%$

Figure 2. Canadian species index by system, 1970 to 2018
Cumulative percentage change since 1970

Note: Trends are calculated based on the proportional change in population abundance for monitored vertebrate species. All species are weighted equally, such that a species that doubled in population would be balanced out by a species that declined by half. Source: Zoological Society of London (2023).

In terrestrial systems (while not shown in the figure above), the decline is steep for mammals (57\% decrease), and reptiles and amphibians (42\% decrease). However, many groups of small mammals, reptiles and amphibians are underrepresented in this analysis and as such, the decline may be larger than reported.
In the marine environment, while the populations of marine birds have increased and that of marine mammals has remained stable, the decline in marine fish species has been the main force behind the overall decreasing trend (a decline of $40 \%$ from 1970 levels). Overfishing remains the main threat to marine fish populations, but habitat loss and degradation, pollution, interactions with farmed fishes and the presence of invasive species also have negative impacts. ${ }^{7}$

[^2]The freshwater system index increased by $41 \%$ primarily due to increases in freshwater birds and fish. The index for freshwater birds, such as ducks, geese and swans, increased by $53 \%$ from 1970 to 2018, and the one for freshwater fishes increased by 28\%.

## About the indicator

## What the indicator measures

The Canadian species index represents the average percent change in the abundances of Canadian vertebrate species' populations since 1970. The index is an "average of trends", rather than a measure of change in the total number of animals: each species, whether it is common or rare, has the same effect on the index. The index reports general trends rather than progress towards desired levels.

## Why this indicator is important

Animal wildlife populations depend on healthy habitats and can be negatively impacted by threats, such as pollution, habitat degradation or overhunting. The status of wildlife populations is a key factor that contribute to ecosystem health and species' resilience to threats. Trends in animal populations can provide an indication of the health of biodiversity and ecosystems in Canada.

## Related initiatives

This indicator supports the measurement of progress towards the following 2022 to 2026 Federal Sustainable Development Strategy Goal 15: Life on land - Protect and recover species, conserve Canadian biodiversity.
The indicator also contributes to the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework. It is linked to Goal A:

- "The integrity, connectivity and resilience of all ecosystems are maintained, enhanced, or restored, substantially increasing the area of natural ecosystems by 2050;
- Human induced extinction of known threatened species is halted, and, by 2050, extinction rate and risk of all species are reduced tenfold, and the abundance of native wild species is increased to healthy and resilient levels;
- The genetic diversity within populations of wild and domesticated species, is maintained, safeguarding their adaptive potential."
It is also linked to Target 4 of the same framework: "Ensure urgent management actions, to halt human induced extinction of known threatened species and for the recovery and conservation of species, in particular threatened species, to significantly reduce extinction risk, as well as to maintain and restore the genetic diversity within and between populations of native, wild and domesticated species to maintain their adaptive potential, including through in situ and ex situ conservation and sustainable management practices, and effectively manage humanwildlife interactions to minimize human-wildlife conflict for coexistence."


## Related indicators

The Species at risk population trends indicator shows whether population and distribution trends of species at risk that are listed under the Species at Risk Act are consistent with recovery or management objectives.
The General status of wild species indicator reports extinction risks across a broad set of species and can reveal early signs of trouble before species reach a critical condition.
The Trends in Canada's bird populations indicator reports average population trends of various groups of native Canadian bird species.

The Population status of Canada's migratory birds indicator provides a snapshot of the general state of birds in Canada that are listed in the Migratory Birds Convention Act.

## Data sources and methods

## Data sources

Data on changes in the abundance of vertebrate populations are gathered from a variety of sources and collated in the Living Planet Index database by the Zoological Society of London. Sources include peer-reviewed scientific literature, government reports, and reliable online databases. Examples of important sources include the North American Breeding Bird Survey and the Fisheries and Oceans Canada Library.

## More information

Population data were gathered from the literature, by performing online searches and by contacting experts. Birds have been monitored at the national level since about 1970 with high-quality data readily available for this species group. Fewer data are available for other species groups. To help address the imbalance in the data available for the different species groups, targeted searches were carried out for under-represented groups. Searches were also conducted to locate data for under-represented regions.

Data include counts of individuals, as well as proxy measurements such as indices of abundance, spawning density, or detection rates of individuals. Each record is also tagged with geographical and ecological information to allow for further analysis. Together, these records form the data set used to calculate the indices.

Information for 937 (52\%) of the 1798 native regularly occurring vertebrate species has been captured in the data set. ${ }^{8}$ Birds are the best represented species group, with about $89 \%$ of all bird species regularly occurring in Canada represented.
While many fish species are included ( 375 species), they account for only $35 \%$ of the total number of fish species regularly occurring in Canada.

While mammal species are included (108 species), they account for only $55 \%$ of the total number of mammal species regularly occurring in Canada.
Amphibians and reptiles are the least represented species group, as the data for the species regularly occurring in Canada have poor geographical coverage across the reporting period.

The index has been calculated for the period 1970 to 2018, as this is the time period over which sufficient data exist for credible estimates.

## Methods

The trend in the population abundance of each species is estimated using all the information available for that particular species in Canada. This may include measurements from just one site/location, or measurements from a combination of sites/locations for the same species. These trends are averaged across all species to generate the Canadian species index.
The Canadian species index is broadly similar to the Living Planet Index. The Living Planet Index for Canada uses the same methods as the Canadian species index and reports different sub-indices. ${ }^{9}$ However, the Living Planet Index excludes populations with only two data points and does not exclude overpopulated geese species.

## More information

## Data collection and tagging

To be included in this index, a time series, drawn from Canadian data contained in the Living Planet Index database must meet all of the following criteria:

- contain data for at least 2 points in time since 1970
- have been collected for a defined population using comparable methods across years

[^3]- use units of population abundance or a reliable proxy, such as spawning biomass or density
- have a referenced and traceable source

Each time series is referred to as a "population."
Each record is tagged with contextual information such as geographical region, species group and habitat type. Data tags allow a subset of the database to be extracted for targeted analysis. Information for these tags is drawn from the original data source if possible; however, additional reference material is also used. Species that occur in more than one system type (terrestrial, freshwater or marine) are tagged as belonging to the system in which they were observed and on which they rely on for at least part of their life cycle. For example, a time series containing the number of salmon spawning in rivers would be considered freshwater, while one containing observations at sea would be considered marine. These 2 time series would be considered different populations even though they may constitute the same population in the biological sense of the term.

## Preprocessing

## Species selection

Data for the overall index were restricted to vertebrate species that regularly occur in Canada. Classification was based on the Wild Species 2020 report. Species that were classified as "Not Applicable" were not included in the dataset as this classification is reserved for species that are not considered a suitable target for conservation. This includes exotic, hybrid or accidental species occurring infrequently and unpredictably in Canada. Species classified as "Presumed Extirpated" or "Probably Extirpated" were also excluded from the dataset, since they no longer occur in Canada.
Increasing population abundances are generally interpreted as a sign of environmental improvement. However, a few bird species are known to have a population abundance that is above acceptable bounds (see the Population status of Canada's migratory birds indicator), and for these species, an increase in population is a negative outcome. Three (3) species, Snow Goose (Anser caerulescens; both subspecies), Ross's Goose (Anser rossii) and Canada Goose (Branta canadensis), have been excluded from the index for this reason.
Species whose scientific name could not be matched to the taxonomic authorities used in the Living Planet Database were also excluded.

## Population modelling

For each population, a record of abundance over time is created. Modelling is used to reduce the effect of random variations and measurement noise. For time series containing at least 6 data points, trends were modelled using Generalized Additive Modelling. For shorter time series, and for any series that could not be modelled with Generalized Additive Modelling, a linear regression model was used. For time series with only 2 data values, this is equivalent to a straight line connecting the 2 points. Time series are not extrapolated beyond the start and end date of observations.
In some years and for some time series, a 0 was recorded. In a few cases, this may be due to a local extinction, but more often, it is because wildlife are not observed. A failure to observe wildlife may be because there are few wildlife to observe, which is a genuine signal of low numbers. It could also mean that wildlife were simply not detected. When this happens, for example, if unusual weather conditions made movement patterns unpredictable; then a 0 would represent a missing value. For the purposes of the indicator, Os have been treated as missing values, resulting in a conservative estimate of change.

## Calculation of the index

## Trends within a time series

For each time series, proportional change $d_{t}$ is calculated for each year for which data exist, as follows:

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{t}}=\log _{10}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{t}-1)}\right)
$$

where:
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ modelled population abundance estimate in year $t$
$\mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{t}-1)}=$ modelled population abundance estimate in year $t-1$

## Index calculation

For species with more than 1 time series, the average proportional change (lambda, $\lambda$ ) is calculated for each year across all time series (including all subspecies) for that species.
Formally, for species iin year $t$ :

$$
\lambda_{i, t}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{i, j, t}
$$

where:
$\lambda_{i, t}=$ average proportional change for species $i$ in year $t$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{t}}=$ proportional change for time series $j$, for species $i$ in year $t$
$\mathrm{m}=$ number of time series for species $i$ in year $t$
For a species with only 1 time series:

$$
\lambda_{i, t}=d_{i, t}
$$

The overall annual change is calculated as the average lambda across all species with data for that time step. In other words, the index for 2014 is the average $\lambda_{i}$ for all species with population estimates in 2013 and 2014. Species are weighted equally, regardless of data availability.
The index for a particular year is the sum of logged annual changes since 1970.
Percentage changes are calculated using the following formula:

$$
\text { Percent change }=\left(10^{\lambda}-1\right) \times 100
$$

Sub-indices are calculated using the same methodology, but for a selected subset of species or populations.

## Assessment of uncertainty

The degree of variability within the species-level lambdas ( $\lambda$ ) for a given year provides an indication of whether trends are similar across the species included in the index. A narrow interval means that most species are changing by similar proportions, while a wide interval means that there is a wide range of patterns. Because indexed species are not a random or representative selection of the species in the environment, this can only be a partial assessment of uncertainty. The uncertainty due to a nonrepresentative sample of species cannot be measured.

Figure 3. Distribution of species-level lambda values, national and by system, 1970 to 2018


Note: The dots show the average annual lambda across all species; vertical bars show the standard deviation of average annual lambda across all species.
Source: Zoological Society of London (2023).

Figure 4. Distribution of species-level lambda values, species group, 1970 to 2018


Note: The dots show the average annual lambda across all species; vertical bars show the standard deviation of average annual lambda across all species. Given the poor geographical extent and coverage across the reporting period, data for amphibians and reptiles may not be representative and is therefore not shown in the figure.
Source: Zoological Society of London (2023).

## Recent changes

The previous version of the indicator used the previous Wild Species reports to determine regularly occurring vertebrate species in Canada. The current release uses the Wild Species 2020 report. The number of regularly occurring species differs between the versions of the indicator, because one of the goals of the Wild Species report series is to continually increase the number of species assessed until coverage is complete.

## Caveats and limitations

The Canadian species index indicator was developed from the Living Planet Index. The methodology for the indicator has been improved and revised, so the 2 indices are not comparable.
The national trend is the average rate of change across all monitored vertebrate species. The indices may reflect changes in data availability. Data are not available for all species and do not always cover the geographic range of each species or the whole time period reported.
While large scale trends broadly reflect environmental change, smaller scale subindices can be subject to change if species with a different trajectory are added. Often, these 2 factors are both present.

The index uses previously collected data. It is therefore biased towards certain species (for example, species that are easy to observe, species that are managed for human use or for conservation, and species with aesthetic appeal). Birds are well represented, but most other vertebrate groups are not. Some species are represented by data that come from a local study involving a small part of the total population. While there is considerable
uncertainty surrounding the trends for these species, combining data for many species leads to more interpretable results.
This indicator only captures recent changes in biodiversity and likely underestimates the overall anthropogenic impact on species.
The index should be interpreted with these limitations in mind.
There are similarities with the Canadian species index and the indicators used in the State of Canada's Birds report, in that both are averages of trends. However, there are also differences, as the Canadian species index for birds includes a slightly different set of species ( 408 species compared to 349 for the State of Canada's Birds) and data sources and does not incorporate estimates of uncertainty in the data. Species whose range expanded into Canada after 1970 were not included in the analysis. These are: Wild Turkey, Anna's Hummingbird, Blacknecked Stilt, Great Egret, Red-bellied Woodpecker, Bushtit, Carolina Wren, Blue-gray Gnatcatcher, and Bluewinged Warbler. The Canadian species index also includes populations with only two data points.
The Canadian species index does not measure the change in the total number of birds or other species groups. By contrast, a recent scientific study showed an overall decline in birds because it was much more sensitive to changes in populations of abundant species than changes in rare species, and many of our most abundant species have declined (for example, Dark-eyed Junco and Savannah Sparrow). ${ }^{10}$

Finally, new data for earlier time periods continue to be added to the database, improving estimates of change over time. For these reasons, direct comparisons with the previous version of the index cannot be made.

## More information

The Canadian species index has been developed from the Living Planet Index, originally conceived by the World Wildlife Fund and now developed in partnership with the Zoological Society of London. The index is based on a peer-reviewed method that can integrate many types of population measurements. ${ }^{11}$
The index is descriptive. Because the underlying data have been collected for other purposes, the set of species contained in the index has unknown sampling biases. For this reason, it does not meet the requirement for randomized sampling that is necessary for traditional statistical hypothesis testing, and changes in the index cannot be tested for statistical significance. Trends in the index provides an indication of trends in the environment, and can be used to identify where additional analysis or information is required.
Averaging trends across all populations within each species can obscure important variability among subspecies, varieties or geographic regions. Averaging trends across species may also obscure important information. Analysis of different parts of the dataset can help uncover these patterns.
Population abundance measurements always include some uncertainty, because not every individual animal can be found and counted at every sampling interval. The effect of uncertainty in measurement cannot be separated from genuine changes in population abundance. Random variability may lead to a few more or less individuals being counted. If this variability leads to a large proportional change, as is the case when the average number of individuals found is small, the resulting uncertainty in the index can be large. However, uncertainty does average out over longer time series and over species. For this reason, interpretation of small subsets of data must be done with an understanding of the context of the biology of the species that are included and the strengths and weaknesses of the monitoring protocols.
Only vertebrate species are included in the index, because they are the only group with sufficient population-level data. Invertebrates and plants tend to be monitored using area of occurrence, a type of data not readily integrated into the index.

[^4]
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## Annex

## Annex A. Data tables for the figures presented in this document

Table A.1. Data for Figure 1. Canadian species index, 1970 to 2018

| Year | National index (percent change since 1970) | Number of species | Bird index (percent change since 1970) | Number of bird species | Mammal index (percent change since 1970) | Number of mammal species | Fish index (percent change since 1970) | Number of fish species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0.00 | 449 | 0.00 | 337 | 0.00 | 27 | 0.00 | 85 |
| 1971 | 1.45 | 460 | 1.27 | 339 | -8.81 | 31 | 5.68 | 90 |
| 1972 | 2.66 | 461 | 2.57 | 342 | -15.93 | 32 | 10.05 | 82 |
| 1973 | 3.52 | 475 | 3.96 | 342 | -21.93 | 37 | 12.51 | 96 |
| 1974 | 3.98 | 498 | 5.58 | 357 | -30.66 | 34 | 14.15 | 107 |
| 1975 | 4.53 | 493 | 7.11 | 365 | -35.58 | 32 | 14.51 | 95 |
| 1976 | 4.85 | 497 | 8.36 | 362 | -37.31 | 29 | 13.21 | 104 |
| 1977 | 5.03 | 505 | 9.23 | 364 | -37.22 | 37 | 12.08 | 102 |
| 1978 | 5.59 | 503 | 9.96 | 367 | -34.21 | 27 | 10.97 | 108 |
| 1979 | 6.34 | 509 | 10.83 | 367 | -31.60 | 29 | 10.66 | 113 |
| 1980 | 7.25 | 517 | 11.78 | 369 | -28.08 | 30 | 10.37 | 117 |
| 1981 | 8.03 | 519 | 12.60 | 369 | -24.41 | 29 | 9.93 | 119 |
| 1982 | 8.01 | 524 | 13.19 | 371 | -25.55 | 28 | 9.31 | 122 |
| 1983 | 8.11 | 535 | 13.70 | 378 | -27.30 | 26 | 8.83 | 128 |
| 1984 | 8.97 | 565 | 15.24 | 376 | -28.47 | 30 | 8.78 | 156 |
| 1985 | 9.70 | 551 | 16.79 | 381 | -29.85 | 31 | 9.11 | 131 |
| 1986 | 10.02 | 558 | 18.13 | 381 | -31.00 | 29 | 7.70 | 141 |
| 1987 | 8.85 | 585 | 17.71 | 377 | -32.44 | 39 | 5.10 | 161 |
| 1988 | 8.06 | 549 | 17.72 | 376 | -31.30 | 33 | 2.14 | 133 |
| 1989 | 7.23 | 579 | 17.83 | 376 | -29.88 | 38 | -1.21 | 157 |
| 1990 | 6.96 | 567 | 18.03 | 378 | -28.17 | 47 | -4.13 | 130 |
| 1991 | 6.58 | 590 | 18.27 | 379 | -26.92 | 42 | -6.27 | 156 |
| 1992 | 6.30 | 580 | 18.49 | 384 | -26.80 | 41 | -7.61 | 142 |
| 1993 | 6.50 | 613 | 18.56 | 384 | -27.25 | 47 | -6.81 | 168 |


| Year | National index (percent change since 1970) | Number of species | Bird index (percent change since 1970) | Number of bird species | Mammal index (percent change since 1970) | Number of mammal species | Fish index (percent change since 1970) | Number of fish species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 7.07 | 606 | 18.38 | 385 | -28.45 | 51 | -3.99 | 154 |
| 1995 | 7.15 | 603 | 17.98 | 387 | -26.79 | 56 | -2.91 | 141 |
| 1996 | 6.95 | 595 | 17.32 | 380 | -22.86 | 52 | -3.20 | 142 |
| 1997 | 6.52 | 583 | 16.44 | 381 | -19.82 | 58 | -4.60 | 122 |
| 1998 | 5.27 | 617 | 15.40 | 381 | -20.35 | 56 | -6.64 | 157 |
| 1999 | 4.17 | 592 | 14.20 | 381 | -22.16 | 61 | -7.95 | 123 |
| 2000 | 3.31 | 616 | 12.93 | 383 | -23.21 | 59 | -8.55 | 149 |
| 2001 | 2.77 | 601 | 12.11 | 382 | -24.94 | 62 | -8.49 | 134 |
| 2002 | 2.07 | 625 | 11.12 | 381 | -26.32 | 56 | -9.22 | 161 |
| 2003 | 1.59 | 664 | 10.34 | 381 | -26.01 | 51 | -9.73 | 204 |
| 2004 | 1.07 | 675 | 10.53 | 385 | -23.76 | 53 | -12.97 | 210 |
| 2005 | 1.17 | 695 | 11.11 | 391 | -21.41 | 51 | -14.30 | 229 |
| 2006 | 1.32 | 676 | 11.57 | 381 | -19.55 | 44 | -14.61 | 227 |
| 2007 | 1.17 | 696 | 11.36 | 388 | -17.97 | 45 | -15.23 | 246 |
| 2008 | 0.43 | 678 | 10.53 | 389 | -17.57 | 38 | -16.16 | 242 |
| 2009 | -0.14 | 670 | 9.55 | 389 | -18.24 | 40 | -16.39 | 230 |
| 2010 | -0.76 | 680 | 8.89 | 388 | -20.36 | 46 | -16.69 | 234 |
| 2011 | -1.28 | 674 | 8.59 | 388 | -23.88 | 43 | -16.88 | 231 |
| 2012 | -2.59 | 679 | 8.25 | 388 | -30.32 | 33 | -18.36 | 245 |
| 2013 | -4.32 | 631 | 7.56 | 377 | -32.89 | 36 | -20.90 | 207 |
| 2014 | -5.86 | 652 | 6.74 | 377 | -36.83 | 37 | -22.69 | 229 |
| 2015 | -6.61 | 634 | 6.08 | 377 | -37.45 | 26 | -23.58 | 223 |
| 2016 | -6.44 | 602 | 5.73 | 375 | -36.99 | 32 | -22.80 | 187 |
| 2017 | -7.48 | 378 | 5.67 | 314 | -42.68 | 26 | -26.55 | 29 |
| 2018 | -7.43 | 325 | 5.79 | 310 | -42.26 | 7 | -29.81 | 7 |

Note: Trends are calculated based on the proportional change in population abundance for monitored vertebrate species. All species are weighted equally, such that a species that doubled in population would be balanced out by a species that declined by half. Direct comparisons with the previous version of the index cannot be made as there are differences across the whole time-series. See Recent changes.
Source: Zoological Society of London (2023).

Table A.2. Data for Figure 2. Canadian species index by system, 1970 to 2018

| Year | Terrestrial index (percent change since 1970) | Number of terrestrial species | Freshwater index (percent change since 1970) | Number of freshwater species | Marine index (percent change since 1970) | Number of marine species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0.00 | 270 | 0.00 | 74 | 0.00 | 106 |
| 1971 | -0.04 | 274 | 3.08 | 76 | 4.88 | 112 |
| 1972 | -0.35 | 276 | 5.94 | 80 | 9.42 | 106 |
| 1973 | -0.90 | 278 | 8.47 | 79 | 12.88 | 119 |
| 1974 | -2.05 | 292 | 11.19 | 75 | 15.69 | 132 |
| 1975 | -2.40 | 295 | 13.82 | 80 | 17.08 | 119 |
| 1976 | -2.22 | 290 | 14.79 | 81 | 17.21 | 127 |
| 1977 | -1.90 | 295 | 15.01 | 81 | 16.95 | 130 |
| 1978 | -1.15 | 290 | 16.40 | 78 | 16.47 | 136 |
| 1979 | -0.40 | 293 | 18.19 | 79 | 16.70 | 138 |
| 1980 | 0.65 | 294 | 20.21 | 85 | 16.85 | 139 |
| 1981 | 1.60 | 295 | 22.56 | 86 | 16.60 | 139 |
| 1982 | 1.34 | 294 | 24.85 | 83 | 15.93 | 148 |
| 1983 | 1.23 | 299 | 27.16 | 86 | 15.28 | 151 |
| 1984 | 2.44 | 296 | 29.24 | 89 | 14.88 | 181 |
| 1985 | 3.27 | 301 | 31.14 | 90 | 15.12 | 161 |
| 1986 | 4.31 | 301 | 32.47 | 94 | 14.09 | 165 |
| 1987 | 3.93 | 303 | 32.04 | 94 | 11.51 | 189 |
| 1988 | 3.72 | 293 | 32.61 | 95 | 9.29 | 163 |
| 1989 | 3.63 | 299 | 33.30 | 97 | 6.67 | 184 |
| 1990 | 3.60 | 309 | 37.03 | 99 | 4.39 | 160 |
| 1991 | 3.48 | 308 | 38.27 | 97 | 2.95 | 186 |
| 1992 | 3.25 | 309 | 39.67 | 110 | 1.93 | 162 |
| 1993 | 2.72 | 314 | 42.55 | 108 | 2.12 | 193 |
| 1994 | 1.60 | 316 | 46.97 | 119 | 3.61 | 172 |
| 1995 | 0.79 | 322 | 49.31 | 116 | 4.24 | 166 |
| 1996 | 0.59 | 313 | 47.62 | 117 | 4.84 | 166 |


| Year | Terrestrial index (percent change since 1970) | Number of terrestrial species | Freshwater index (percent change since 1970) | Number of freshwater species | Marine index <br> (percent change since <br> 1970) | Number of marine species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 0.07 | 320 | 45.40 | 121 | 5.51 | 143 |
| 1998 | -1.52 | 318 | 43.43 | 125 | 5.37 | 175 |
| 1999 | -3.56 | 325 | 43.60 | 120 | 5.36 | 148 |
| 2000 | -4.88 | 323 | 43.54 | 122 | 5.26 | 171 |
| 2001 | -6.10 | 322 | 44.34 | 130 | 5.67 | 150 |
| 2002 | -6.99 | 320 | 45.04 | 140 | 4.77 | 165 |
| 2003 | -7.28 | 313 | 44.99 | 142 | 3.79 | 211 |
| 2004 | -7.09 | 319 | 44.83 | 133 | 1.97 | 226 |
| 2005 | -6.64 | 320 | 44.67 | 131 | 1.73 | 250 |
| 2006 | -6.40 | 309 | 45.09 | 143 | 1.45 | 235 |
| 2007 | -6.42 | 308 | 44.46 | 124 | 0.89 | 274 |
| 2008 | -7.14 | 301 | 42.89 | 137 | -0.03 | 253 |
| 2009 | -8.14 | 301 | 41.76 | 129 | -0.43 | 252 |
| 2010 | -9.21 | 303 | 41.63 | 136 | -1.22 | 253 |
| 2011 | -10.23 | 301 | 41.93 | 129 | -1.93 | 258 |
| 2012 | -11.49 | 299 | 41.85 | 142 | -4.35 | 246 |
| 2013 | -12.39 | 296 | 39.87 | 112 | -7.47 | 229 |
| 2014 | -13.28 | 300 | 37.56 | 125 | -9.77 | 237 |
| 2015 | -13.55 | 294 | 36.56 | 122 | -11.12 | 222 |
| 2016 | -13.44 | 301 | 36.52 | 90 | -10.82 | 214 |
| 2017 | -14.25 | 255 | 37.83 | 86 | -16.94 | 37 |
| 2018 | -14.21 | 237 | 37.71 | 66 | -16.49 | 22 |

Note: Trends are calculated based on the proportional change in population abundance for monitored vertebrate species. All species are weighted equally, such that a species that
doubled in population would be balanced out by a species that declined by half.
Source: Zoological Society of London (2023)

Table A.3. Data for

Figure 3. Distribution of species-level lambda values, national and by system, 1970 to 20188

| Year | National index, average lambda | National index, standard deviation | National index, number of species | Terrestrial index, average lambda | Terrestrial index, standard deviation | Terrestrial index, number of species | Freshwater index, average lambda | Freshwater index, standard deviation | Freshwater index, number of species | Marine index, average lambda | Marine index, standard deviation | Marine index, number of species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | n/a | n/a | 449 | n/a | n/a | 270 | n/a | n/a | 74 | n/a | n/a | 106 |
| 1971 | 0.00623 | -0.05201 | 460 | -0.00015 | 0.04915 | 274 | 0.01318 | 0.08973 | 76 | 0.02070 | 0.06897 | 112 |
| 1972 | 0.00517 | -0.04889 | 461 | -0.00136 | 0.05023 | 276 | 0.01188 | 0.06847 | 80 | 0.01838 | 0.06061 | 106 |
| 1973 | 0.00364 | -0.04832 | 475 | -0.00241 | 0.04943 | 278 | 0.01027 | 0.04414 | 79 | 0.01354 | 0.06055 | 119 |
| 1974 | 0.00190 | -0.05032 | 498 | -0.00505 | 0.05356 | 292 | 0.01076 | 0.03944 | 75 | 0.01067 | 0.05510 | 132 |
| 1975 | 0.00230 | -0.04649 | 493 | -0.00160 | 0.04684 | 295 | 0.01015 | 0.04050 | 80 | 0.00518 | 0.05726 | 119 |
| 1976 | 0.00132 | -0.04341 | 497 | 0.00081 | 0.03925 | 290 | 0.00369 | 0.04780 | 81 | 0.00049 | 0.05369 | 127 |
| 1977 | 0.00077 | -0.04802 | 505 | 0.00142 | 0.04855 | 295 | 0.00081 | 0.04595 | 81 | -0.00095 | 0.05199 | 130 |
| 1978 | 0.00228 | -0.04075 | 503 | 0.00332 | 0.03803 | 290 | 0.00522 | 0.03862 | 78 | -0.00179 | 0.05400 | 136 |
| 1979 | 0.00311 | -0.03308 | 509 | 0.00329 | 0.02839 | 293 | 0.00664 | 0.03303 | 79 | 0.00085 | 0.04871 | 138 |
| 1980 | 0.00366 | -0.03286 | 517 | 0.00454 | 0.03246 | 294 | 0.00736 | 0.02926 | 85 | 0.00057 | 0.04602 | 139 |
| 1981 | 0.00316 | -0.03537 | 519 | 0.00409 | 0.03440 | 295 | 0.00839 | 0.03415 | 86 | -0.00093 | 0.04719 | 139 |
| 1982 | -0.00006 | -0.04491 | 524 | -0.00111 | 0.04244 | 294 | 0.00807 | 0.03545 | 83 | -0.00253 | 0.05272 | 148 |
| 1983 | 0.00040 | -0.04364 | 535 | -0.00046 | 0.04004 | 299 | 0.00796 | 0.03682 | 86 | -0.00243 | 0.05360 | 151 |
| 1984 | 0.00344 | -0.04633 | 565 | 0.00512 | 0.05213 | 296 | 0.00703 | 0.04060 | 89 | -0.00149 | 0.04982 | 181 |
| 1985 | 0.00287 | -0.04495 | 551 | 0.00353 | 0.05332 | 301 | 0.00633 | 0.04315 | 90 | 0.00091 | 0.04211 | 161 |
| 1986 | 0.00126 | -0.04895 | 558 | 0.00435 | 0.04815 | 301 | 0.00439 | 0.05115 | 94 | -0.00392 | 0.05610 | 165 |
| 1987 | -0.00462 | -0.05617 | 585 | -0.00161 | 0.02465 | 303 | -0.00140 | 0.04798 | 94 | -0.00993 | 0.07889 | 189 |
| 1988 | -0.00316 | -0.04954 | 549 | -0.00086 | 0.03541 | 293 | 0.00186 | 0.03780 | 95 | -0.00872 | 0.06279 | 163 |
| 1989 | -0.00338 | -0.04874 | 579 | -0.00039 | 0.03328 | 299 | 0.00226 | 0.03533 | 97 | -0.01054 | 0.06172 | 184 |
| 1990 | -0.00110 | -0.05200 | 567 | -0.00011 | 0.03253 | 309 | 0.01200 | 0.07374 | 99 | -0.00941 | 0.05668 | 160 |
| 1991 | -0.00154 | -0.04748 | 590 | -0.00049 | 0.04233 | 308 | 0.00391 | 0.05132 | 97 | -0.00601 | 0.04791 | 186 |
| 1992 | -0.00114 | -0.04372 | 580 | -0.00098 | 0.03893 | 309 | 0.00438 | 0.03690 | 110 | -0.00433 | 0.04995 | 162 |
| 1993 | 0.00082 | -0.05535 | 613 | -0.00225 | 0.03353 | 314 | 0.00886 | 0.08262 | 108 | 0.00082 | 0.06377 | 193 |
| 1994 | 0.00234 | -0.06045 | 606 | -0.00473 | 0.04784 | 316 | 0.01326 | 0.09327 | 119 | 0.00629 | 0.06023 | 172 |
| 1995 | 0.00033 | -0.04686 | 603 | -0.00348 | 0.02997 | 322 | 0.00685 | 0.06578 | 116 | 0.00260 | 0.05704 | 166 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}\hline \text { Year } & \begin{array}{c}\text { National } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { average } \\ \text { lambda }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { National } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { standard } \\ \text { deviation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { National } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { species }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Terrestrial } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { average } \\ \text { lambda }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Terrestrial } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { standard } \\ \text { deviation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Terrestrial } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { number of } \\ \text { species }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Freshwater } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { average } \\ \text { lambda }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Freshwater } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { standard } \\ \text { deviation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Freshwater } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { number of } \\ \text { species }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Marine } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { average } \\ \text { lambda }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Marine } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { standard } \\ \text { deviation }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Marine, } \\ \text { index, } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { species }\end{array}\right]$

Note: $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=$ not applicable.
Source: Zoological Society of London (2023).

Table A.4. Data for

Figure 4. Distribution of species-level lambda values, species group, 1970 to 20188

| Year | Bird index, average lambda | Bird index, standard deviation | Bird index, number of species | Mammal index, average lambda | Mammal index, standard deviation | Mammal index, number of species | Fish index, average lambda | Fish index standard deviation | Fish index, numbe of species |  | Reptile and amphibian, standard deviation | Reptile and amphibian, number of species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | n/a | n/a | 337 | n/a | n/a | 27 | n/a | n/a | 85 | n/a | n/a | no data |
| 1971 | 0.00546 | 0.03433 | 339 | -0.04005 | 0.12784 | 31 | 0.02398 | 0.08550 | 90 | n/a | n/a | no data |
| 1972 | 0.00555 | 0.03233 | 342 | -0.03529 | 0.12042 | 32 | 0.01761 | 0.06936 | 82 | n/a | n/a | 5 |
| 1973 | 0.00586 | 0.03131 | 342 | -0.03216 | 0.11567 | 37 | 0.00961 | 0.06597 | 96 | -0.00469 | 0.01304 | 1 |
| 1974 | 0.00669 | 0.03022 | 357 | -0.05152 | 0.12123 | 34 | 0.00628 | 0.05732 | 107 | -0.00469 | 0.01304 | 2 |
| 1975 | 0.00626 | 0.02936 | 365 | -0.03194 | 0.11213 | 32 | 0.00136 | 0.05982 | 95 | -0.00469 | 0.01304 | 1 |
| 1976 | 0.00502 | 0.02851 | 362 | -0.01183 | 0.09719 | 29 | -0.00494 | 0.05889 | 104 | -0.02763 | 0.05739 | 2 |
| 1977 | 0.00351 | 0.02756 | 364 | 0.00059 | 0.13062 | 37 | -0.00435 | 0.05378 | 102 | -0.05254 | 0.08420 | 2 |
| 1978 | 0.00290 | 0.02683 | 367 | 0.02033 | 0.09406 | 27 | -0.00433 | 0.05701 | 108 | -0.00469 | 0.01304 | 1 |
| 1979 | 0.00340 | 0.02496 | 367 | 0.01693 | 0.05752 | 29 | -0.00124 | 0.05222 | 113 | -0.00232 | 0.01302 | 1 |
| 1980 | 0.00370 | 0.02360 | 369 | 0.02179 | 0.07419 | 30 | -0.00114 | 0.04824 | 117 | -0.00232 | 0.01302 | 2 |
| 1981 | 0.00319 | 0.02339 | 369 | 0.02160 | 0.08235 | 29 | -0.00170 | 0.05162 | 119 | 0.002059 | 0.01499 | 3 |
| 1982 | 0.00225 | 0.02344 | 371 | -0.00661 | 0.09936 | 28 | -0.00249 | 0.05904 | 122 | -0.0448 | 0.12127 | 3 |
| 1983 | 0.00195 | 0.02318 | 378 | -0.01029 | 0.10544 | 26 | -0.00190 | 0.05983 | 128 | 0.014166 | 0.04175 | 3 |
| 1984 | 0.00586 | 0.04327 | 376 | -0.00707 | 0.08389 | 30 | -0.00020 | 0.05583 | 156 | -0.00271 | 0.02227 | 8 |
| 1985 | 0.00581 | 0.04372 | 381 | -0.00845 | 0.05229 | 31 | 0.00133 | 0.04698 | 131 | -0.04859 | 0.12987 | 7 |
| 1986 | 0.00495 | 0.04435 | 381 | -0.00720 | 0.04532 | 29 | -0.00566 | 0.06197 | 141 | 0.006028 | 0.04988 | 8 |
| 1987 | -0.00156 | 0.04698 | 377 | -0.00912 | 0.04489 | 39 | -0.01062 | 0.06231 | 161 | -0.0029 | 0.03467 | 7 |
| 1988 | 0.00006 | 0.02231 | 376 | 0.00725 | 0.08938 | 33 | -0.01242 | 0.06562 | 133 | -0.00578 | 0.03202 | 8 |
| 1989 | 0.00038 | 0.02395 | 376 | 0.00888 | 0.07085 | 38 | -0.01446 | 0.06612 | 157 | -0.00909 | 0.02838 | 12 |
| 1990 | 0.00074 | 0.02502 | 378 | 0.01044 | 0.06714 | 47 | -0.01306 | 0.05972 | 130 | 0.064507 | 0.18152 | 13 |
| 1991 | 0.00089 | 0.02361 | 379 | 0.00751 | 0.09489 | 42 | -0.00976 | 0.05121 | 156 | 0.005342 | 0.11790 | 13 |
| 1992 | 0.00080 | 0.02315 | 384 | 0.00072 | 0.08562 | 41 | -0.00627 | 0.05315 | 142 | 0.005337 | 0.06283 | 14 |
| 1993 | 0.00024 | 0.02423 | 384 | -0.00270 | 0.06742 | 47 | 0.00373 | 0.08886 | 168 | -0.00929 | 0.07872 | 16 |
| 1994 | -0.00064 | 0.02374 | 385 | -0.00721 | 0.10821 | 51 | 0.01298 | 0.08804 | 154 | -0.0233 | 0.13241 | 19 |
| 1995 | -0.00149 | 0.02288 | 387 | 0.00998 | 0.05854 | 56 | 0.00483 | 0.07434 | 141 | -0.03138 | 0.09135 | 21 |


| Year | Bird index, average lambda | Bird index, standard deviation | Bird index, number of species | Mammal index, average lambda | Mammal index, standard deviation | Mammal index, number of species | Fish index, average lambda | Fish index, standard deviation | Fish index, number of species | Reptile and amphibian index, average lambda | Reptile and amphibian, standard deviation | Reptile and amphibian, number of species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | -0.00242 | 0.02147 | 380 | 0.02271 | 0.07847 | 52 | -0.00130 | 0.08065 | 142 | -0.03077 | 0.12852 | 22 |
| 1997 | -0.00327 | 0.02093 | 381 | 0.01679 | 0.07371 | 58 | -0.00632 | 0.07419 | 122 | 0.002059 | 0.03809 | 23 |
| 1998 | -0.00390 | 0.02217 | 381 | -0.00289 | 0.06863 | 56 | -0.00941 | 0.06974 | 157 | -0.00247 | 0.13914 | 27 |
| 1999 | -0.00453 | 0.02361 | 381 | -0.01000 | 0.06445 | 61 | -0.00612 | 0.05680 | 123 | 0.014264 | 0.12727 | 25 |
| 2000 | -0.00487 | 0.02315 | 383 | -0.00587 | 0.06595 | 59 | -0.00285 | 0.06334 | 149 | 0.01513 | 0.05531 | 23 |
| 2001 | -0.00317 | 0.04253 | 382 | -0.00993 | 0.06152 | 62 | 0.00031 | 0.06934 | 134 | 0.016325 | 0.05287 | 27 |
| 2002 | -0.00385 | 0.02173 | 381 | -0.00802 | 0.06068 | 56 | -0.00349 | 0.07451 | 161 | 0.026375 | 0.05720 | 28 |
| 2003 | -0.00306 | 0.03007 | 381 | 0.00178 | 0.07136 | 51 | -0.00247 | 0.13632 | 204 | 0.00729 | 0.12411 | 27 |
| 2004 | 0.00077 | 0.02191 | 385 | 0.01301 | 0.06601 | 53 | -0.01585 | 0.11009 | 210 | 0.022808 | 0.08504 | 24 |
| 2005 | 0.00224 | 0.03036 | 391 | 0.01322 | 0.07289 | 51 | -0.00669 | 0.09002 | 229 | 0.004862 | 0.06084 | 24 |
| 2006 | 0.00180 | 0.02695 | 381 | 0.01012 | 0.03798 | 44 | -0.00158 | 0.08077 | 227 | -0.01603 | 0.06846 | 17 |
| 2007 | -0.00079 | 0.01774 | 388 | 0.00847 | 0.03683 | 45 | -0.00313 | 0.06255 | 246 | 0.013398 | 0.06342 | 9 |
| 2008 | -0.00327 | 0.03136 | 389 | 0.00207 | 0.05030 | 38 | -0.00481 | 0.06582 | 242 | 0.013682 | 0.04450 | 11 |
| 2009 | -0.00385 | 0.03293 | 389 | -0.00352 | 0.05522 | 40 | -0.00120 | 0.06699 | 230 | 0.014622 | 0.04890 | 12 |
| 2010 | -0.00264 | 0.02695 | 388 | -0.01142 | 0.05993 | 46 | -0.00156 | 0.06518 | 234 | 0.005242 | 0.05635 | 12 |
| 2011 | -0.00121 | 0.02809 | 388 | -0.01964 | 0.08538 | 43 | -0.00099 | 0.06557 | 231 | 0.007925 | 0.03762 | 13 |
| 2012 | -0.00135 | 0.03029 | 388 | -0.03835 | 0.09461 | 33 | -0.00781 | 0.07374 | 245 | 0.01602 | 0.02236 | 11 |
| 2013 | -0.00279 | 0.02413 | 377 | -0.01633 | 0.06479 | 36 | -0.01373 | 0.07834 | 207 | -0.00113 | 0.05669 | 9 |
| 2014 | -0.00333 | 0.02384 | 377 | -0.02630 | 0.08097 | 37 | -0.00996 | 0.08295 | 229 | 0.000906 | 0.03780 | 8 |
| 2015 | -0.00270 | 0.02648 | 377 | -0.00426 | 0.09150 | 26 | -0.00502 | 0.07769 | 223 | 0.007909 | 0.02944 | 8 |
| 2016 | -0.00142 | 0.02828 | 375 | 0.00318 | 0.05715 | 32 | 0.00443 | 0.07619 | 187 | 0.010938 | 0.03060 | 9 |
| 2017 | -0.00025 | 0.02672 | 314 | -0.04110 | 0.26147 | 26 | -0.02162 | 0.16581 | 29 | -0.0014 | 0.03435 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0.00050 | 0.02587 | 310 | 0.00319 | 0.04316 | 7 | -0.01977 | 0.06707 | 7 | 0.02739 | 0.01304 | 1 |

Note: No species data was available for reptiles and amphibians for 1970 and 1971. n/a $=$ not applicable.
Source: Zoological Society of London (2023).

Additional information can be obtained at:

Environment and Climate Change Canada
Public Inquiries Centre
12th Floor Fontaine Building
200 Sacré-Coeur Blvd
Gatineau QC K1A OH3
Telephone: 1-800-668-6767 (in Canada only) or 819-938-3860
Email: enviroinfo@ec.gc.ca


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Population abundance represents the relative size of the population of a species in an area. It can be measured in various ways, including population size (the number of individuals in a population) and population density (the number of individuals of a species in an area).
    ${ }^{2}$ The number of native vertebrate species that regularly occur in Canada is based on the Wild Species 2020 report and does not include species classified as "Presumed Extirpated", "Probably Extirpated" and "Not Applicable."

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ North American Bird Conservation Initiative Canada (2019) State of Canada's Birds 2019. Environment and Climate Change Canada.
    ${ }^{4}$ Rosenberg KV et al. (2019) Decline of the North American avifauna. Science 366(6461): 120-124. Retrieved on May 4, 2023.
    ${ }^{5}$ Parks Canada (2022) Stressors. Retrieved on May 4, 2023.
    ${ }^{6}$ Species considered as at risk of disappearing include those with the NatureServe conservation status rank of Vulnerable, Imperiled, Critically imperiled, Potentially extirpated and Presumed extirpated.

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ Canadian Endangered Species Conservation Council (2023) Wild Species 2020: The General Status of Species in Canada. National General Status Working Group. Retrieved on May 4, 2023.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ Data derived from Canadian Endangered Species Conservation Council (2023) Wild Species 2020: The General Status of Species in Canada. National General Status Working Group.
    ${ }^{9}$ WWF-Canada (2020) Living Planet Report Canada. Retrieved on May 1, 2023.

[^4]:    ${ }^{10}$ Rosenberg KV et al. (2019) Decline of the North American avifauna. Science 366(6461): 120-124.
    ${ }^{11}$ Collen B et al. (2009) Monitoring Change in Vertebrate Abundance: the Living Planet Index. Conservation Biology: 23(2): 317-327.

