

QH 320 C3688

Science and Technology

, 2 Canadas

Sciences et Technologie

Ministry of State Ministère d'État

C Research and Information Services

Services de recherche et d'information

105

SOME COMMENTS ON A BRIEF FROM THE ASSOCIATION OF BIOLOGY CHAIRMEN OF CANADA

DECEMBER 22, 1975

Г

L

35 290

Library Ministry of State Economic and Regional Development Science and Technology Bibliothèque Département d'État Développement économique et régional Sciences et Technologie

rapport nº.

prepared for preparé pour DR, A. DESMARAIS	MINISTRY OF STATE MINISTRE DETAT BIBLIOVINGOUE
by Asition	SEP 16 1985
approved by approuvé par	SCIENCE AND TICHNOLOGY SCIENCES ET TECHNOLOGIE

### SOME COMMENTS ON A BRIEF FROM THE ASSOCIATION OF BIOLOGY CHAIRMEN OF CANADA

#### RESEARCH AND INFORMATION SERVICES

These comments confine themselves to the accuracy and appropriateness of the numerical data contained in pages 4 through 10 of the brief.

The first point to make is that while there are a number of errors in the data as presented they do not materially affect the conclusions as presented in this portion of the brief.

Each paragraph in the brief will be considered in turn.

Page 4, paragraphs 1 and 2.

Here and throughout the brief a single year is used to designate a fiscal year. Thus 1974 is used to indicate fiscal year 1973-74. Howeve, this is not always done correctly and in table 1a, upon which the statements in this paragraph are based, 1974 refers to 1973-74 and in table 1b it refers to 1974-75.

Figures for 1974-75 for table 1a are given in table A2.6 on page 89 of Federal Scientific Resources #4. The total for all bio-oriented research in 1974-75 as indicated in that table is \$117.0 million which would increase the total at the bottom of table 1 to \$170.0 million. Note that the collection of data on R&D by field of science has been discontinued for intramural R&D by Statistics Canada as it was not considered appropriate. This data is now collected for R&D carried out in universities - more on this point below.

The figures in table 1b are taken from the Information Exchange Centre (IEC) Directory as indicated. Two problems arise here; first, not all awards are reported to the IEC and second, for all departments and agencies other than the Medical Research Council, the Department of National Health and Welfare and the International Development Research Centre only those grants deemed by the Association to be appropriate to the life sciences have been included. With respect to the first point, the most recent survey of Federal Science Activities by Statistics Canada indicates that in 1974-75 the Medical Research Council provided \$40.4 million to Universities for Scientific Activities, \$39.1 million of that for R&D. Similarly the funds provided by Health and Welfare amount to \$14.3 million for total scientific activity and \$13.3 for R&D.

.../2

As mentioned above, in the most recent survey, Statistics Canada collects data on university funding by field of science. Data on the life sciences is subdivided, in the survey, into Biology, Clinical Medicine and Other. Unfortunately these subdivisions are useless, as the MRC reports their research expenditures for 1974-75 as \$13.0 million for each of the three sub-catagories and the National Research Council reports all of the \$15.5 million devoted to life sciences as "other".

Department or Agency	1973-74	1974-75	1975-76
		(\$ millions)	
Agriculture AECL Environment IAND IDRC MRC National Defence National Health & Welfare NRC Supply and Services	0.8 0.1 1.4 0.2 0.1 36.7 0.5 13.1 16.0	0.6 0.2 1.6 0.2 0.1 39.1 0.5 13.2 15.5	L.4 0.1 1.4 0.2 0.2 44.3 0.4 12.8 18.3 0.1
TOTAL	68.9	71.0 .	79.2

Federal funding of University Research in the Life Sciences

In the above table the figures for 1975-76 do not reflect the June budget cuts. All grants, contracts and scholarships programs are included and thus the \$16.0 million figure for the NRC for 1973-74 is not comparable with the less than \$11 million (\$10.5 million) mentioned in the second last line of page 4 of the report which includes only operating grants, equipment grants and travel fellowships.

Regarding the second point mentioned above, that only selected grants are included in table 1b of the report, Attachment 1 prepared by the STARI group in April of this year on just this topic shows another interpretation. Though the data are for 1973-74 instead of 1974-75 a comparison will indicate the magnitude of the effects that can be ascribed to the selection process.

.../3

Page 6, paragraph 1.

The figures in this paragraph and in table 2 are, as far as they go, largely correct. There are, however, two difficulties with the data as presented. First, the data presented in table 2 are extracted from a table which expresses research and development activity in terms of application and not field of science. These two parameters are not parallel although there is a correlation. An examination of Table 22 on page 37 of Federal Scientific Resources #4 from which this data is taken reveals that the entry for Applied bioscience is made up exclusively of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries ignoring totally the significant biologyrelevant amounts which may well reside hidden within confacturing Industry, Northern Development or Developing Countrie, to name three. Further if one were to examine the list of applications for Applied Chemistry (chemoscience?) one would find no category that would fit.

Ignoring the above point, however, we find that \$113.2 million that is ascribed to applied bioscience is greater than for such worthy causes as Medical Science, Mineral Location and Extraction, Pollution and a host of others. Further they point out that the \$12.4 million granted by the NRC biology selection committees represents 1.7% of the total. This may be true, but that figure above is meaningless. They provide no standard by which this figure can be measured. Would their argument look any different if the figure were 0.85% or 3.4%?

Page 6, paragraph 2

Concerning unsolicited proposals; of the 300 received since April 1, 1975, 22 have been from Biology or Biology-related university departments. Of these, 5 have been approved for a total of \$324 thousand, 5 are still pending and the other twelve have not been approved as unsolicited proposals although some possibly are being directly funded by the government departments concerned. The main reason for rejection was that the proposals did not fit in with departmental objectives.

Page 7, last paragraph

The figures given in this paragraph are correct.

Page 8, last paragraph

According to the most recent survey by Statistics Canada the NRC expects to distribute \$77.9 million to universities for scientific activities in 1975-76, the MRC expects to distribute \$45.6 million and the Canada Council \$23.0 million in the same period. These figures were decreased by approximately \$2.7 million, \$1.0 million and \$1.0 million respectively by the June budget cuts. The reduced figures are used in the following table.

.../4

	universities from indicated year to 1975-76				
	1963-64	1967-68	1970-71	1972-73	1974-75
NRC MRC	543.3 891.9	75.1 146.2	21.0 49.2	17.9 26.7	14.1 10.5
Can. Council			57.1	39.0	11.0

Page 9, last paragraph

The research price index used in this paragraph is not sanctioned by any official body, but it is recognized that the effect of inflation on the purchasing overs of the research dollar is serious and that the figures used here are not too far off the mark. Note that the argument would be just as valid if there were, say, a 25% decrease in purchasing power rather than the 41% quoted.

Page 10

The figures quoted on this page are largely correct.

-

.

ESTIMATE OF SUPPORT OF RESTARCH IN THE LIFE SCIENCES EXCLUDING MIDICINE BY IN FLOTEAL GOVERNMENT, BY OLPAKIMENT 1973774 TAKEN FROM THE "DIRECTORY OF FEDERALLY SUPPORTED RESTARCH IN UNIVERSITIES"

٠

....

DEPARTMENT	TOTAL
Agriculture	493,640
Defence Research Board	153,300
Energy, Mines and Resources	5,800
Environment (Atmospheric Environment Service)	52,558
Environment (Fisheries Service)	218,480
Environment (Canadian Wildlife Service)	139,286
Environment (Canadian Forestry Service)	79,550
Environment (Water Resources Research)	170,505
Indian Affairs and Northern Development	391,849
International Development Research Centre	56,775
Medical Research Council	4,287,332
Ministry of State for Urban Affairs	250
National Health and Welfare (Non-Medical Use of Drugs)	22,356
National Health and Welfare (Health)	490,301
National Research Council of Canada	10,963,789
TOTAL	17,525,771

Relevance of grants to life sciences is determined from the University department name. Some examples of departments included or excluded are given below:

۰.

Included	<u>Excluded</u>
Agriculture Agricultural Engineering Nutrition Soil Science by Dept. of Agric. Home Economics (sometimes) Microbiology Bio physics Bio chemistry Genetics Home Economics Food Sciences Crop Science Plant Science Animal Science Envionmental Biology Poultry Science Animal Husbandry	Medicine Pathology Immunology Veterinary Medicine Agricultural Economics Anthropology Psychology Behavioral Science Medical Microbiology Oral Biology Bacteriology Bio medical Sciences Environment Microbiology/Immunology
+ 0 1 C 3 C 1 Y	

The "Directory of Federally Supported Research in Universities" is also available for 1972-73.

.

.

•

# LISTING OF UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS SUBMITLED BY THE UNIVERSITY SECTOR WHICH INVOLME BIOLOGY OR ASPECT: THEREOF.

## COVERING THE PERIOD FROM APRIL - DECEMBER, 1975

....

-----

..........

.

,

90 201	SOCIETTING ONIVERSITY	PROJECT TITLE	FUNDING	DATE UP REX! <sup>1</sup> D	ACCEPTED (A) PEJECTED (R)
`.₽-A-45	Alberta	Risk Evaluation of Environmental Mutagens by Testing on Porward- mutation Systems of Saccha- ronyces Cerevisiae	\$69,237.	31.7.75	(A)
UPB32	Baitish Columbia	Biological Control of Conifer Seed Predation in the Deer Mouse (peromyscus Municulatus)	\$ 5,000.	14.4.75	(R)
UP~ <b>C73</b>	Cincordia	Science, Technology and Environmental Studies Project	\$ 4,800.	26.6.75	(R)
ŭ₽…D <b>-</b> 30	.alhousie	A Comprehensive Canage- ment model for the Canadian East Coast Fisheries	\$67,150.	23.4.75	(A)
UT G-19	Guelph	Energy Patios in Food Protection	\$175,004.	9.4.75	(R)
UP-G-17	Guelph	Safe Re-Entry Periods and Insecticides for Use on Minor Crops	\$11,653.	8.4.75	(2)
τ£G-2L	Guelph	Interrelationsships of Upper- Troph c Level Species in the Bay of Fundy	5-year project \$194,088. (Total)	22.4.75	(R)
UP-C-33	Guelph	Homogenerus Model Syst ms for Cathodic Catalysis	\$32,737.	22.9.75	(R)
(%-No-11	NoGill (MacDonald Compus)	The Control of Rad-Winged Blackbird Populations Damaging Corn Crops	\$79,200.	2.4.75	(A)
02-Mc-16	McGill (McDouald Campus)	Medical Hazard of Codvorm in Canada	\$114,447.	3.7.75	(R)
:JP-0-28	Ottawa	Investigation of Possible Moreury Contamination in Hospital Environment and its Effects on Mursing Care	\$ 7,500.	30.7.75	(R)
UP-S-54	Saskatichewa	Reological Studies in the Subarctic Caribou Range M.W.T.	\$3.26,179.	11.6.75	(R)
₩~S~57	Saskatchewan	A Study of Interactions Between Ingested Lead, Iron & Lead Iron Shot and Certain Important Infectious Diseases of Water fowl	\$45,630.	7.7.75	(R)
UP-T-17	Toronto	A Study of the Evaporation Rates of Spills of Hazardous Liquids	\$16,110.	14.4.75	(3)
UP-W-40	Waterloo	Analysis of Hydrometric and Water Quality Data ( > Mon- Stationarity and Efrect of Human Detivity	\$200 <b>,000.</b>	11.6.75	(R)
(P-N-4).	Waterleo	The Control of Spruce Budwonn with Juvenile Horstne Analogue: The Effects of the Juvenile Horm Analogue on Veproduction of the Shance Budworn	\$22,340. one	14.7.75	(A)
		an ga an second and more second as the			12

## UP'S UNDER REVUEW:

UP-G-37	Guelph	A Comparison of Agents to Stabilize Bovine Laukocytes During Transport	\$16,198.	8.12.75
UP-1-28	Lakehaad	The Effects of Elevated Temperatures on the Lite Cycle of Brook Trout (Salv. Linus fontinalis)	\$60,723.	3.12.75
UPQ8	Queen's	Population Studies and Mort lity Estimates in Snow Geese	\$286,948.	28.11.75
UD-W-43	Waterloo	Oxychlorination of Organic Pollutants	\$73,092.	11.12.75

Prepared by: John M. St. Louis December 17, 1975

