

"THE VIEWS EXPRESSED "LES OPINIONS EXPRIMEES IN THIS REPORT" DANS CE RAPPORT ARE NOT NECESSARILY INE SONT PAS NECESSAIREMENT THOSE OF DREE" CELLES DU MEER"

A NATIONAL PERSPECTIVE OF D.R.E.E.

Sec. Note

1

A Research Report

for

THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL ECONOMIC EXPANSION, OTTAWA

OF REGIONAL LOONDANCE Top LIN. DE LISTARY MAY 28 1070 Ölinyia BIJLIOTHEQUE EXPANSION ECONOMION

Prepared by

GOLDFARB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

July, 1975

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
CONCLUSIONS	2
HIGHLIGHTS AND IMPLICATIONS	4
DETAILED FINDINGS	
SECTION ONE - BACKGROUND PERSPECTIVE ATTITUDES TOWARDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN CANADA ATTITUDES TOWARDS IMPROVEMENT OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY ATTITUDES TOWARDS THE SUCCESS OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS RESPONSIBILITY FOR ECONOMIC EXPANSION SECTION TWO - PERSPECTIVE OF DREE AWARENESS OF DREE BY INITIALS AIDED AWARENESS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL ECONOMIC EXPANSION UNAIDED AWARENESS OF DREE FUNCTIONS CONTRIBUTION OF DREE TO CANADA	9 13 17 22 24 26 28 32
PERSPECTIVE ON DREE'S ROLE IN CANADA TODAY UNAIDED AWARENESS OF DREE PROJECTS ATTITUDES TOWARDS DREE IMAGE OF DREE	34 36 39 43

BASIC DATA

Southern states of

46

Page

QUESTIONNAIRE

INTRODUCTION

I. <u>PURPOSE</u>

14

Particular Control

After careful discussion with personnel from the Department of Regional Economic Expansion, Ottawa, a research design was planned and executed. The overall objective of this study was to gain perspective of national awareness, attitudes towards and image perspective of this Department.

II. METHOD AND GEOGRAPHICAL AREAS SURVEYED

Once the questionnaire had been designed, adjusted and agreed upon interviewing was initiated. The interviewing was conducted by telephone, and respondents were selected at random from the telephone directories.

The interviews were briefed in each market as to sampling procedures, interviewing techniques, and were made fully aware of the implications and possible pitfalls to be avoided in the varying research techniques.

Interviewing was carried out in June 1975, and each interview generally lasted about one hour.

Survey participants were to be over eighteen years of age, and one-half were to be male and one-half were to be female. In addition respondents were designed to come from two types of populations, one-half from cities of populations over fifty thousand and one-half from smaller and rural centres.

Quota sampling was used to get a larger proportion of respondents in some areas than actually reflect the population. In the results however the actual national scene is borne out through a population weighting procedure.

III. TABULAR RESULTS

The data in this study has been divided into many sub-groups in order to analyze it fully. In the body of the report, the tables presented represent what was considered to be most relevant to the analysis.

CONCLUSIONS

Control of

には

19. A.

1

The Department's visibility is centred or focused mainly in the Eastern provinces and secondarily in Quebec. In these regions, the awareness, knowledge base and image are significantly higher, more substantial and more positive than in the rest of Canada.

The social overtones of the Departments perceived functions are positive in people's minds. The name communicates the function. People react positively to the intent of the name. They feel the potential contribution is positive. It suggests intelligent spending on the part of the Federal Government.

To enhance DREE's image and overall stature in the mental marketplace, two kinds of stress are seen as necessary on a continuing basis:

 In communication, emphasis on the full phraseology: DREE - The Department of Regional Economic Expansion. The full terminology is necessary to reinforce the energy and vitality of the acronym to existing awarees, and for those who are not aware or knowledgeable about the Department to introduce its acronym, its name and to that extent its raison d'être.

Regional emphasis on the kinds of projects, the contribution and involvement DREE is having, the types of commitments in which DREE is involved, its partners, its local contributions in terms of employment and both short-term and long-term projected effects on that micro-economy. DREE needs to make people aware of the work it is doing in their area.

HIGHLIGHTS AND IMPLICATIONS

BACKGROUND PERSPECTIVE

Better than one-half (56%) of the Canadian public believe that economic development in Canada has not been well distributed. Furthering the public's perspective on the issue of economic distribution the research suggests Canadians largely believe that to date there has been excessive concentration in big cities (80%), and to a lesser degree (54%) that growth has not had a good effect upon Canada's small communities and rural areas.

Five in six (84%) are optimistic that the Federal Government has the capabilities of improving the regional economic imbalance.

Two in three (67%) evaluate the past and current efforts of the Federal Government towards the achievement of economic parity as only somewhat successful. The balance of opinion is more negative, with twentythree percent saying the Federal Government has not been successful at all.

Awareness of any specific program designed compensate for regional disparity is reported by one out of five (20%) overall, with Easterners (38%) by region playing back more Federal Government sponsored programs. As the level of Government responsible for the majority of recent area industrialization better than one in five (23%) identify the Federal Government. A comparable proportion (20%) designate their local/ municipal government, but the largest proportion (38%) attribute this responsibility to their Provincial Government.

PERSPECTIVE OF DREE

- Overall the initials D.R.E.E. generate awareness of the Department of Regional Economic Expansion among about one in ten (11%) Canadians. That is, one in ten know what they stand for. On a regional basis, though, awareness is substantially higher (54%) in the Maritimes than elsewhere.
 - On an aided basis this Ministry receives a claimed awareness level of three out of five (59%), with again a regional skew towards the Maritimes (90%).
 - Overall, about one in two (46%) people express awareness on an unaided basis of DREE functions. Propensity to be aware this Department's functions moreover, tends to be higher in the East (70%) than the West (34%).

On an aided basis, the research reports the following levels of awareness of specific DREE functions:

Assistance to specific areas	41
Grants for industry	41
Rural economic development	39
Local public services assistance	32

For each of these above mentioned functions claimed awareness is higher in Quebec and the East than in the Ontario and the West.

% of Respondents

On an unaided basis, identification of DREE's contribution to Canada is relatively unfocused when an opinion is stated. The majority (70%) however have no opinion, particularly residents of Western Canada (83%).

The principal roles of DREE when defined are to create more jobs (14%), to industrialize underdeveloped areas (7%) and to create new industries (6%).

With respect to projects under DREE's sponsorship claimed awareness nationally is reported by fourteen percent. By region, again awareness is higher among Maritimers (39%).

Attitudes Towards DREE

Overall, there is ambivalence generally expressed with respect to attitudes towards DREE, except that this Department is not regarded as well known (.26) or well understood (.23). What people are saying then is that they cannot voice a firm opinion of DREE when they have little knowledge or understanding of its functions. The implication is that DREE needs to communicate its purpose and functions more fully. DREE needs to make people aware of its contribution.

There is moderate agreement (.64) that DREE is a good investment for Canada.

DREE's main function is generally agreed upon (.71) as a promoter of industrial development in slow growth areas.

There is a slightly stronger feeling among Canadians that DREE sponsors only Federal Government projects (.60) as opposed to ones proposed by Provincial Governments (.52).

Image of DREE

DREE is considered by majority opinion to be a small (43%) but important (47%), up-to-date (48%) Ministry; a Department which offers Canadians good value for their money (40%).

People look positively at DREE, in that, they view this Ministry as more for the people (41%), as working with Provincial Government (46%) and with business (48%).

- Although in DREE projects a larger proportion (38%) feel local labour is utilized, the larger proportion (39%) does not feel more hopeful today because of this Department's efforts; the implication being that DREE has gone far enough into reducing regional disparities, or that people are not totally aware of DREE's involvement.
- Public opinion moving from West to East appears to be more positive with respect to the image frame of DREE. That is, Quebeckers and Easterners are more inclined to picture DREE as a giant, important, up-to-date, economical Department. They are more inclined to regard DREE as a ray of hope, as a reducer of regional differences, as a Department which works for the people, with Provincial Government and with business. More say DREE makes use of the local work force.

DETAILED FINDINGS

time y

i,

Š. M

SECTION ONE

M.

Ning

BACKGROUND PERSPECTIVE

ATTITUDES TOWARDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN CANADA (Reference Tables 1 to 3)

The balance of opinion across Canada is that economic development has not been very well distributed (56%).

Furthermore, sensitivity among Canadians with respect to the progress of economic development over the past ten years appears to be strongly a function of the feeling that there has been excessive concentration in big cities (80%).

There is also a stronger sentiment that Canada's economic growth has not had a good effect upon smaller communities and rural areas (54%).

By region, the research suggests that public sensitivity towards excessive development concentration in the larger urban areas is greater in English Canada than it is in Quebec.

> % of Respondents by region who are sensitive to excessive concentration in big cities

West	82
Ontario	86
Quebec	70
East	85

- 9 -

BASED ON ANYTHING THAT YOU MAY HAVE READ, HEARD, OR SEEN, DO YOU THINK THAT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN CANADA HAS BEEN WELL DISTRIBUTED?

	% of Respondents				
•	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Yes	40	34	42	49	26
No	56	60	53	50	68
Did Not State	4	6	5	1	6
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

DO YOU THINK THAT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN EXCESSIVE CONCENTRATION IN BIG CITIES?

	% of Respondents				
	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	<u>Quebec</u>	<u>East</u>
Yes	80	82	86	70	85
No	16	12	12	28	9
Did Not State	4	6	2	2	6
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

DO YOU THINK THAT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN CANADA HAS HAD A GOOD EFFECT ON SMALLER COMMUNITIES AND RURAL AREAS?

	% of Respondents					
	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	<u>Quebec</u>	<u>East</u>	
Yes	40	34	42	49	29	
No	54	57	53	47	66	
Did Not State	6	7	6	5	5	
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(1'57)	(58)	

義

水大

語を見

2

2010 N

- The Works

Anna a

and a state of the

4. 3 2.4

ATTITUDES TOWARDS IMPROVEMENT OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY (Reference Tables 4 to 6)

Five out of six (84%) Canadians believe that the Government has the capability of improving the current distribution of economic activity within the country.

In terms of what they feel the Government should be doing to improve this distribution problem, people's suggestions include the following:

% of Respondents who suggest the Government should do something Decrease unemployment 10 Increase planning/Distribution of industry in small areas 9 9 More industry in local area 7 More financial support for depressed areas 6 Spread out population density Subsidize industries 5

IN YOUR OPINION, DO YOU THINK THAT GOVERNMENT CAN DO ANYTHING TO IMPROVE THE DISTRIBUTION OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY ACROSS CANADA?

% of Respondents

	<u>Total</u>	West	<u>Ontario</u>	<u>Quebec</u>	<u>East</u>
Yes	84	79	86	84	88
No	15	19	13	16	11
Did Not State	1	2	1	-	1
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(5 <u>8</u>)

٦

×.

alarty.

教

and the second

Т. Ц

The second

宿

Ľ,

DO YOU THINK IT SHOULD?

	% of Respondents who say the Government can make improvements				
•	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Yes	98	99	98	99	98
Νο	1	· _	2	-	2
Did Not State	1	1	-	1	-
Base:	(487)	(125)	(179)	(132)	(51)

.

WHAT KINDS OF ADDITIONAL THINGS MIGHT THE GOVERNMENT DO?

% of Respondents

who say the Government should make improvements *

	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>	
Decrease unemployment Increase planning/Distribution of	10	6	5	17	18	
industry in small areas	9	14	12	2	7	
More industry for Canada	9	7	12	4	18	
More financial support to						
depressed areas	· 7	11	5	4	12	
Equalize distribution/Spread,						
cut population density	6	5	11	1	3	
Subsidize industry	5	8	4	3	6	
Subsidize farmers	4	6	4	2	~ 2	
Encourage Canadian-made products	3	4	3	4	3	
Develop natural resources	3	4	3	2	7	
Reduce taxes	3	4	2	4	1	
Improve home ownership/						
Subsidize housing expenses	3	7	3	-	-	
Financial support to rural and	•					
municipal governments	3	1	3	3	4	
Level off food prices/Price freeze Improve transportation/Roads/Rail/	2	1	3.	4	-	•
Air	2	3	3	2	2	
Other Comments	44	34	40	65	28	
Don't know/Recall	16	19	17	10	16	
					-	
Did Not State	1	4	-	-	3	
		• •••••				
	130	129	130	127	130	
Base:	(479)	. (124)	(174)	(130)	(50)	

Totals greater than 100% because of multiple responses.

244

A Carlotter

1 100

Jan State

Sector 1

10.00

A 214

.....

Same and

Warn .

1

]

No.

5.00

2

ATTITUDES TOWARDS THE SUCCESS OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS (Reference Table 7)

Correlating with the opinion expressed elsewhere in this study by the majority that there is room for improvement in the distribution of economic development, the concensus is that to date the Federal Government has only been somewhat successful (67%) in its efforts.

- Furthermore, a larger proportion (23%) term the Federal Government programs and efforts as unsuccessful rather than very successful (6%).
 - Regional opinion on the successfulness of Federal Government's attempts at distribution of economic activity varies. The most positive region is Quebec, with one sixth (16%) stating that in their minds the Federal Government has been very successful.

% of Respondents

	West	<u>Ontario</u>	<u>Quebec</u>	<u>East</u>
Very successful	1	4	16	4
Somewhat successful	57	73	69	68
Not successful at all	33	20	15	27

THINKING OF IMPROVING THE DISTRIBUTION OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY ACROSS CANADA, DO YOU THINK THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS BEING ...

% of Respondents

•	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	<u>Quebec</u>	<u>East</u>
Very successful	6	1	4	16	4
Somewhat successful	67	57	73	69	68
Not successful at all	23	33	20	15	27
Did Not State	4	9	3	1	1
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

•

j,

A Castal

意味

(more)

and the second sec

法警察

UNAIDED AWARENESS OF GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC DISTRIBUTION PROGRAMS

(Reference Tables 8 and 9) Overall, one in five (20%) report on an unaided basis awareness of programs designed by the Federal Government to redistribute economic activity. Easterners moreover have a greater propensity (38%) than people from other regions of Canada to recall Federal Government sponsored programs. Specific programs mentioned include the following: % of Respondents who express awareness Local Initiatives Program 15 14 DREE 10 **Opportunities** For Youth H.O.M.E. 7 Manpower 4

ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY PROGRAMS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THAT ARE DESIGNED TO IMPROVE THE DISTRIBUTION OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY ACROSS CANADA?

		. % of Respondents				
•		<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Yes		20	13	20	18	38
No		80	86	80	80	62
Did Not Sta	ate	_	1	-	2	-
Bas	se:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

1

3

IF YES, WHAT PROGRAMS ARE THESE?

•

% of Respondents who express awareness *

	Total	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Local Initiatives Program/LIP	15		12	20	30
Department of Regional and Economic Expansion/DREE	14	6	8	2	51
Opportunities for Youth/OFY	10	5	8	9	17
Home Ownership Grant/Assistance	7	19	11	-	-
Manpower/Job Opportunities	4	13	3	1	3
Improving transportation routes	4	5	4	-	9
Subsidizing industry in small areas	4	5	÷	-	15
Energy programs/Gas/Oil	3	5	7	-	-
Tourism	3	-	3	6	-
Canadian Development Council	. 2	-	5	2	-
Indian Affairs Programs	2	8	1	-	•
Other Comments	40	38	50	36	27
Don't know/Recall	10	15	4	18	7
Did Not State	7	5	4	18	1
	118	124	120	112	160
Base:	(114)	(21)	(43)	(29)	(22)

Totals greater than 100% because of multiple responses.

- 21 -

RESPONSIBILITY FOR ECONOMIC EXPANSION (Reference Table 10)

-

N.

12.3

A Second

Are in the

Z

id was a

Sec. 1

1

1.4.7.

1. 1.1.1.1

Loca

Sec.

In overall perspective three out of eight (38%) people report their Provincial Government as more responsible for bringing into their area new industries.

- Comparable proportions overall, attribute the majority of responsibility to the Federal Government through DREE (23%) and the local/municipal government (20%).
- Across regions the research suggests that Quebeckers have a greater propensity to name DREE as the instigating force behind new industrial development.

% of Respondents

	<u>West</u>	Ontario	Quebec	East
Federal Government/DREE	15	19	36	26
Provincial Government	50	23	41	45
Local/Municipal government	11	34	14	15
No government	15	19	4	11

WHO WOULD YOU SAY IS MORE RESPONSIBLE FOR BRINGING NEW INDUSTRY TO YOUR AREA?

· ·	% of Respondents				
•	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Provincial government	38	50	23	41	45
Federal government, that is, Dree	23	15	19	36	26
Local/Municipal government	20	11	34	14	15
No government	13	15	19	4	11
Did Not State	6	9	5	5	3
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

PERSPECTIVE OF DREE

SECTION TWO

and the second

I

ľ

AWARENESS OF DREE BY INITIALS (Reference Table 11)

Among the six Departments or agencies whose initials were examined by spontaneous Department or agency identification DREE ranks fourth. The overall perspective is as follows:

	% of Respondents who are aware		
СМНС	50		
MOT	28		
ITC	12		
DREE	11		
IAND	8		
PFRA	5		

By region, awareness of the Department of Regional Economic Expansion by its initials, DREE, appears to be substantially higher in the Eastern provinces than elsewhere.

	% of Respondents by region who are aware of DREE
West	. 7
Ontario	9
Quebec	2
East	54

CAN YOU IDENTIFY THE NAMES OF THE DEPARTMENTS OR AGENCIES THAT ARE REFERRED TO BY THE FOLLOWING INITIALS ...

% of Respondents

		<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	East
СМНС						
	Central Mortgage & Housing	50	63	56	22	67
	Other	. 4	4	4	2	5
	Don't know	46	33	40	76	28
PFRA						
	Prairie Farm Rehabilitation					•
	Administration	5	14	2	1	2
-	Other	3	5	2	1	4
	Don't know	92	82	95	9 8	94
	Did Not State	-	-	1	-	•. —
IAND	Indian Affairs and Northern					
	Development	8	12	10	1	9
	Other	2	1	2	2	4
	Don't know	90	87	88	97	87
DREE						
	Department of Regional				•	
	Economic Expansion	11	7	9	2	54
	Other	2	2	1	1	5
-	Don't know	87	91	90	97	41
MOT						
	Ministry of Transport	2 8	36	25	16	48
	Other	2	3	2	2	1
	Don't know	70	61	73	83	51
	Did Not State	-	1	-	-	-
ITC						
	Industry Trade and Commerce	12	13	13	10	11
	Other	4	2	6	1	10
	Don't know	84	84	81	89	80
	Did Not State	-	1	-	-	. –
	Paso	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(50)
•	Base:	(304)	(123)	(200)	(157)	(58)

٦

N. C.

Yes a

A

1

100

Same a

1. a. a.

(respect)

1

A. Carl

1.3.31

÷

AIDED AWARENESS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL ECONOMIC EXPANSION (Reference Table 12)

Of the seven Federal Government Departments examined for public awareness on an aided basis, the research suggests that the awareness level generated by the Department of Regional Economic Expansion ranks this Department seventh.

	% of Respondents
<u>wh</u>	o express awareness
Department of Agriculture	97
Ministry of Transport	95
Department of Energy, Mines and Resources	90
Department of Indian Affairs and	
Northern Development	90
Department of the Environment	85
Ministry of State for Urban Affairs	62
Department of Regional Economic Expansion	59

Aided awareness of the Department of Regional Economic Expansion appears to be higher in Eastern Canada.

	% of Respondents by region		
	who express awareness		
West	58		
Ontario	52		
Quebec	58		
East	90		

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS HAVE YOU HEARD OF?

% of Respondents

•	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Department of the Environment	85	. 92	88	70	92
Department of Energy Mines & Resources	9 0	97	92	78	93
Department of Agriculture	97	98	98	92	100
Department of Regional Economic Expansion	59	58	5 2	58	90
Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development	90	99	94	74	⁻ 93
Ministry of Transport	95	98	96	92	96
Ministry of State for Urban Affairs	62	66	70	48	59
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

Š.

1.00

E.

關

No.

S.

ž.

1

 $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$

UNAIDED AWARENESS OF DREE FUNCTIONS (Reference Table 13)

.•

About one out of two (46%) people have some impression about the function of DREE on an unaided basis. The principal functions cited moreover, include the following:

% of Respondents

Increase industrial expansion	
in underdeveloped areas	15
Equalize development throughout	
the country	7
Financial support in underdeveloped areas	6
Stimulate the economy	5
Decrease unemployment	4

The research suggests as well that propensity to be aware of DREE functions is highest in the East and lowest in the West.

	% of Respondents
	by region
	who express awareness
	of DREE functions
West	. 34
Ontario	47 .
Quebec	50
East	70

WHAT DOES DREE DO? WHAT IS ITS FUNCTION?

% of Respondents *

	<u>Total</u>	West	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Increase industrial expansion in underdeveloped areas	15	11	21	11	13
Equalize development throughout country	7	8	8	4	6
Financial support to underdeveloped areas	6	5	3	8	18
Stimulates economy	5	3	6	4	8
Decrease unemployment	4	2	2	7	10
Other Comments	20	9	19	17	35
Don't know/Can't recall	52	61	52	49	28
Did Not State	2	5	1	1	2
					-
	111	114	101	101	121
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

*

ALC: NO.

Totals greater than 100% because of multiple responses.

AIDED AWARENESS OF DREE FUNCTIONS (Reference Table 14)

たかとなったというないで、日本により

- On a national basis comparable awareness levels are received by DREE's assistance plan to attract industry (41%), by DREE's grants for industry (41%) and by DREE's rural economic development and services scheme (39%).
- DREE's function generating a lower level of aided awareness is its assistance plan for local public services (32%).

For each of DREE's functions examined, claimed awareness is higher in Quebec and the Maritimes than it is in Ontario or the West.

% of Respondents

	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	<u>Quebec</u>	East
Assistance to specific areas	32	32	56 -	60
Grants for industry	27	30	61	62
Rural economic development	30	27	53	64
Local public services assistance	20	21	50	55

TABLE 14

FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SERVICES, TELL ME WHETHER YOU WERE AWARE THAT DREE IS INVOLVED IN THIS KIND OF SERVICE.

	% of Respondents				
•	<u>Total</u>	West	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Assistance to make specific areas more attractive to industry	41	32	32	56	60
Grants for industry	41	27	30	61	62
Rural economic development and services	39	30	27	53	64
Assistance for local public services	32	20	21	50	55
Did Not State	45	59	60	20	19
Base:	(58 2)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

- 31 -

<u>CONTRIBUTION OF DREE TO CANADA</u> (Reference Table 15)

West

Across Canada seven out of ten (70%) people are unable to define the contribution which DREE is making to Canada. Among those who offer a definition moreover, the research suggests that largely opinions are unfocused.

One out of twenty (5%) speak about DREE's contribution as a supporter of economic expansion throughout Canada, this being the most focused response from the population.

In the Quebec and Eastern regions the research indicates that there is a greater likelihood for playback of a contribution to Canada by residents.

% of Respondents by region who indicate a contribution <u>by DREE to Canada</u> 17 24

Ontario	24
Quebec	46
East	39

TABLE 15

WHAT KIND OF CONTRIBUTION DO YOU THINK DREE IS MAKING TO CANADA?

% of Respondents *

	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	<u>Quebec</u>	<u>East</u>
Supports expansion throughout Canada	5	. 3	4	9	4
Improves living conditions	. 2	3	1	1	3
Other Comments	26	12	20	41	43
Don't know	· 62	72	69	50	41
Did Not State	8	12	7	5	11
	<u></u>	<u></u>			
	103	102	101	106	102
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

*

Totals greater than 100% because of multiple responses.

<u>PERSPECTIVE ON DREE'S ROLE IN CANADA TODAY</u> (Reference Table 16)

With today's economic climate the following roles are cited by the public as objectives for DREE:

Create more jobs	14
Industrialization of underdeveloped areas	7
Create new industries	6

Overall, more than one-half (52%) have no opinion/do not know what the role of DREE should be today.

% of Respondents

Sensitivity towards job creation as a function of DREE increases from the West to the East.

	% of Respondents by region who say <u>'create more jobs</u> '
West	6
Ontario	13
Quebec	18
East	28

TABLE 16

WHAT DO YOU THINK DREE'S ROLE SHOULD BE IN LIGHT OF THE PRESENT INFLATION AND UNEMPLOYMENT TRENDS IN CANADA?

	% of Respondents *				
•	<u>Total</u>	West	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Create more jobs	14	- 6	13	19	28
Create industrialization in underdeveloped areas	7	6	9	4	8
Create new industry	6	3	7	6	4
More even distribution of economic wealth	2	4	2	-	5
Other Comments	32	18	34	43	33
Don't know	49	65	45	44	35
Did Not State	3	5	3	1	4
	113	107	113	117	117
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

Totals greater than 100% because of multiple responses.

UNAIDED AWARENESS OF DREE PROJECTS (Reference Tables 17 and 18)

- Nationwide, the research suggests that 14% claim awareness of specific projects being conducted through DREE.
 - Awareness of DREE project involvement furthermore is skewed towards the Atlantic province region, with two out of five (39%) Easterners claiming awareness of specific DREE projects.

% of Respondents by region who claim awareness of specific <u>DREE projects</u>

	•	•
West		8
Ontario		11
Quebec		15
East		39

Among those who express awareness of specific projects, mentions which stand out include the following:

> % of Respondents who claim awareness of specific DREE projects

Financial assistance to local projects	17	
Financial assistance to companies		
in rural areas	8	2
Assistance to LIP	6	
Oil refinery in eastern Ontario	6	

TABLE 17

DO YOU RECALL SEEING OR HEARING ABOUT ANY RECENT PROJECTS IN WHICH DREE, THE FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL ECONOMIC EXPANSION IS INVOLVED?

	% of Respondents				
•	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	East
Yes	14	8	11	15	39
No	86	91	89	· 85	60
Did Not State	-	1	-	-	1
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

TABLE 18

WHAT PROJECTS CAN YOU RECALL?

	% of Respondents who claim				
· ·	awareness of specific DREE projects *				
•	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	East
Financial assistance to local projects	17	19	2	1	46
Financial assistance to companies in rural areas	8	23	7	7	-
Assistance to LIP	6	-	7	13	3
Oil refinery project in eastern Ontario	6	_	15	-	8
Athabasca Pipeline	2	-	7	-	2
Reassessment of rural properties	2	-	7	-	
Other Comments	32	-	30 ·	51	32
Don't know	27	45	37	25	11
Did Not State	6	14	-	7	6
			<u> </u>		
•	106	101	112	104	108
Base:	(81)	(12)	(22)	(24)	(23)

*

¹ Totals greater than 100% because of multiple responses.

ATTITUDES TOWARDS DREE (Reference Tables 19 and 20)

On a national basis the research suggests the following perspective of DREE:

	Index (Maximum 1.0)
Forward thinking people	.48
Useful to most Canadians	.45
Aware of problems	.45
Involved	.45
Useful to our province	.44
A conservative thinking Department	.42
Approachable	.39
Makes good use of its budget	.37
Poorly organized	.36
Well known	.26
Well understood	.23

What the above table highlights is that DREE overall has not made itself very well known across Canada, that DREE has been very unsuccessful in communicating its policies or its programs. By and large the public does not understand the role of this Federal Government Department.

Attitudes towards DREE differ by region as follows:

Index (Maximum 1.0)

	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	East
Useful to most Canadians	.41	.40	.53	.38
Aware of problems	. 32	.47	.52	.44
Useful in our province	.44	.39	.52	.37
Well known	.23	.15	.38	.33

DREE As An Investment

Carron St. Saugers and sec. 2

Generating an index of .64 the research suggests that there is moderate agreement among Canadians that DREE is a good investment for the country.

DREE As A Promoter Of Industrial Development

- People tend to agree somewhat to strongly (.71) that DREE's main function is to promote industrial development in slow growth areas.

Orientation of DREE

- Largely Canadians are uncertain (.52) whether DREE does or does not do what the provinces ask of this Department.
- There is a tendency for public opinion to be slightly stronger (.60) in agreement with the notion that DREE only sponsors projects conceived by the Federal Government.

TABLE 19

THINKING NOW OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL ECONOMIC EXPANSION, AND BASED ON ANYTHING YOU MAY HAVE READ, HEARD, SEEN OR EXPERIENCED, I WOULD LIKE YOU TO RATE THE DEPARTMENT ON A SCALE FROM ONE TO TEN FOR EACH STATEMENT I READ TO YOU. IF YOU AGREE STRONGLY WITH THE STATEMENT, GIVE IT A TEN; IF YOU DISAGREE STRONGLY OR FEEL THE STATEMENT DOESN'T DESCRIBE THE DEPARTMENT AT ALL, GIVE IT A ONE. THE NUMBERS BETWEEN REPRESENT THE DEGREES BETWEEN. LET'S START WITH ...

.

Index (Maximum 1.0)

	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Conservative thinking Department	• 42	.44	.40	. 42	.41
Involved	.45	.43	.46	.44	.47
Forward thinking people	.48	.45	.47	.52	.43
Poorly organized	.36	.35	.37	.36	.35
Useful to most Canadians	.45	.41	.40	.53	.38
Useful to us	.44	.44	.39	.52	.37
Well known	.26	.23	.15	.38	.33
Aware of the problems in	.45	. 32	.47	.52	.44
Approachable	.39	.40	.39	.36	.43
Makes good use of its budget	.37	.35	.37	.39	.38
Well understood by people	.23	.22	.17	.28	.24
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

TABLE 20

FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT DREE, TELL ME WHETHER YOU AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, OR DISAGREE STRONGLY.

. Index (Maximum 1.0)

•	<u>Total</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	East
DREE is a good investment in Canada	.64	.59	.62	.67	.65
DREE's main function is to promote industrial development in slow growth areas	.71	.62	.73	.74	.75
DREE does what the provinces want it to do	• 52	.46	.53	.53	.56
DREE only supports projects that the federal government wants it to do	.60	.66	.59	.61	.51
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157) -	(58)

IMAGE OF DREE (Reference Table 21)

The image frame of DREE is such that this Department on concensus is a small (43%) but important (47%), up-to-date (48%) Ministry. From DREE there is furthermore a greater propensity for Canadians to feel that they are getting value for their money (40%) than having their money wasted (26%).

• People think of DREE more often as a Department which works for the people (41%), that works with Provincial Government (46%), that works with business (48%).

いいいいち あっている ちんしち かっちょう

 Of those with an opinion a larger proportion suggest that DREE involves local people (38%) in its projects. DREE nevertheless has not made the majority more hopeful (39%), the implication being that DREE has not gone far enough into narrowing regional disparities.

- A larger proportion regard the name, DREE, as interesting (47%) rather than dull (28%).

- Public opinion moving from West to East appears to be more positive with respect to the image frame of DREE. Quebeckers and Easterners are more inclined to picture DREE as a giant, important, up-to-date economical Department. They are more inclined to regard DREE as a ray of hope, as a reducer of regional differences, as a Department for the people, and one which works with Provincial Government and business. More say that DREE makes use of the local work force.

% of Respondents

	<u>West</u>	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
A giant Department	16	31	38	51
An important Department	23	48	65	62
Up-to-date	25	46	66	66
Getting good value for the money	18	38	60	56
Made me more hopeful	11	32	28	39
Reducing regional differences	16	27	60	45
For the people	20	39	61	54
Works with Provincial Government	28	42	63	63
Works with business	32	41	66	65
Uses local people	15	3 5	59	61

WHEN YOU THINK OF DREE, FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING PAIRS OF IDEAS TELL ME WHICH ONE COMES CLOSER TO YOUR IMPRESSION OF DREE.

	% of Respondents				
、 .	<u>Total</u>	West	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Giant department	31	16	31	38	51
Small department	43	37	41	53	42
Did Not State	26	. 47	27	9	7
Up-to-date	48	25	46	66	66
Behind the times	20	20	22	19	21
Did Not State	32	55	32	15	13
Interesting name	47	26	39	73	65
Dull name	28	29	34	21	24
Did Not State	25	45	27	6	11
A waste of money	26	28	27	24	25
Canadians are getting good value	40	18	38	60	56
Did Not State	33	54	35	15	18
Reducing regional differences	35	16	27	60	45
Making no significant improvements	32	24	38	26	44
Did Not State	34	61	35	14	11
An important department	47	23	48	65	62
A minor department	24	26	21	25	30
Did Not State	29	51	31	10	8
For the people	41	20	39	61	54
For the government	27	22	25	29	39
Did Not State	32	58	36	10	7
Has made me more hopeful	26	11	32	28	39
Has not made me more hopeful	39	26	35	55	46
Did Not State	35	63	33	17	14
Uses local people	38	15	35	59	61
Brings in outside people	21	18	18	26	23
Did Not State	41	66	47	15	17
Works with the provincial government Not working with the provincial government	17	28 10	42 14	63 27	63 19
Did Not State	·37	61	44	1 1	18
Works with business	48	32	41	66	65
Does not work with business	14	8	14	18	20
Did Not State	38	60	45	16	15
Base:	(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)

SAMPLE	SIZE:		(582)	(159)	(208)	(157)	(58)
				. % of	Responde	ents	
			<u>Total</u>	West	<u>Ontario</u>	Quebec	<u>East</u>
Α.	SEX		·				
		Male Female	49 51	48 52	47 53	54 46	47 53
В.	MARIT	AL STATUS					
•		Married	75	68	81	77	69
		Single Divorced/Widowed/	14	15	14	9	23
		Separated	9	16	4	11	6
		Did Not State	2	1	-	3	3
с.	in wh	ICH OF THE FOLLOWII	NG AGE	CATEG	ORIES DO	YOU BELO	DNG?
		18 - 24	12	13	14	5	22
		25 - 34	28	34	25	29	22
		35 - 44	19	15	22	23	14
		45 - 5 4	17	14	20	14	18
		55 - 64	12	7	12	16	11
		65 and over	11	16	6	14	12
		Index	42	41	41	45	41

- 46 -

Ţ

% of Respondents

Total West Ontario Quebec East

WHAT IS YOUR/YOUR HUSBAND'S OCCUPATION?

Skilled labour	25	21	27	28	18
Professional	13	14	14	10	18
Clerical/Office work	12	13	10	14	14
Unemployed	10	15	8	9	11
Unskilled	8	5	10	9	10
Agency salesman	8	8	10	8	4
Housewife	7	6	5	12	2
Executive/Owner	7	8	6	5	6
Student	2	-	2	-	6
Craftsman	1	1	-	4	3
Farmer	-	-	1	-	1
Military	-		1	-	· —
Did Not State	5	- 9	4	1	7

IN WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING EDUCATION CATEGORIES DO YOU BELONG?

Some public school	5	. 3	2	11	3
Completed public school	14	10	13	24	7
Some high school	22	22	21	20	30
Completed high school	36	41	35	34	31
Some university	11	9	13	· 8	14
Completed university	6	10	6	2	5
Graduate school	5	3	8	1	7
Did Not State	1	1	2	-	4

E.

D.

Total West Ontario Quebe DU YOU BELONG TO A UNION? Yes 23 27 20 21 No 77 71 80 79 Did Not State 1 2 - - DO YOU LIVE IN House 64 71 68 50 Apartment 24 19 26 26 Townhouse 7 3 6 15 Other 4 5 1 10 Did Not State 1 1 - - DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 0ther 1 2 - - Did Not State 1 1 - - - - -	<u>ec Eas</u> t 24 74
Yes 23 27 20 21 No 77 71 80 79 Did Not State 1 2 - - DO YOU LIVE IN House 64 71 68 50 Apartment 24 19 26 26 Townhouse 7 3 6 15 Other 4 5 1 10 Did Not State 1 1 - - DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE ? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 0ther 1 2 - -	
No 77 71 80 79 Did Not State 1 2 - - DO YOU LIVE IN House 64 71 68 50 Apartment 24 19 26 26 Townhouse 7 3 6 15 Other 4 5 1 10 Did Not State 1 1 - - DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE ? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 0ther 1 2 - -	
Did Not State 1 2 - - DO YOU LIVE IN House 64 71 68 50 Apartment 24 19 26 26 Townhouse 7 3 6 15 Other 4 5 1 10 Did Not State 1 1 - - DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE ? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 0ther 1 2 - -	71
DO YOU LIVE IN House 64 71 68 50 Apartment 24 19 26 26 Townhouse 7 3 6 15 Other 4 5 1 10 Did Not State 1 1 - - DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE ? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 0ther 1 2 - -	1 1
House 64 71 68 50 Apartment 24 19 26 26 Townhouse 7 3 6 15 Other 4 5 1 10 Did Not State 1 1 - - DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 0ther 1 2 - -	2
Apartment 24 19 26 26 Townhouse 7 3 6 15 Other 4 5 1 10 Did Not State 1 1 - - DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 0ther 1 2 - -	
Townhouse 7 3 6 15 Other 4 5 1 10 Did Not State 1 1 - - DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 0ther 1 2 - -	70
Other 4 5 1 10 Did Not State 1 1 - - - DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 0ther 1 2 - -	26
Did Not State 1 1 DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 Other 1 2	-
DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE? Rent 43 38 36 60 Own 55 59 64 39 Other 1 2	2
Rent43383660Own55596439Other12	2
Own55596439Other12	
Other 1 2	36
	56
Did Not State 1 1	5
	3
IN WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES DOES YOUR TO HOUSEHOLD INCOME BEFORE TAXES FALL?	TAL
Less than \$6,000 12 11 7 16	16
\$6,000 - \$7,999 9 7 7 11	11
\$8,000 - \$9,999 12 10 12 14	15
\$10,000 - \$11,999 15 14 12 22	14

% of Respondents

H.

F. ·4

G.

I.

\$12,000 - \$14,999 16 10 24 14 12 \$15,000 - \$19,999 11 15 15 19 11 \$20,000 - \$24,999 7 9 9 4 8 5 \$25,000 and over 3 2 1 3 Average Income (\$000)12.7 12.9 14.0 11.1 11.8

QUESTIONNAIRE

PROJECT # 75729

e sa antidadan

Good morning/afternoon/evening. My name is of Dimension Consultants, a national survey and opinion research firm. We are conducting a survey among men and women across Canada this week and I would appreciate hearing your opinions on the subject we are asking questions on today. We are not selling anything. We are simply interested in your attitudes and opinions. May I have a few minutes of your time? Thank you.

6-1

SECTION ONE

1a) Were you born in Canada?

Yes	

 \Box 2 GO TO O.2

1b) IF YES, In what province? (DO NOT READ LIST)

British Columbia	[7−Y	Quebec	<u> </u>
Alberta	<u> </u>	New Brunswick	4
Saskatchewan	Ē 0	Prince Edward Island	5
Manitoba	<u> </u>	Nova Scotia	6
Ontario	2	Newfoundland	· 🗍 י

No

2. Have you lived in different parts of Canada, that is ... (READ LIST; CHECK ONE ONLY).

*	In three or more different provinces	8-1
*	In two provinces	<u> </u>
*	In one province only	<u> </u>

3. Have you travelled in Canada ... (READ LIST; CHECK ONE ONLY)

*	To three or more provinces other than the	
	one in which you live	9-1
*	To two provinces other than the one	
	in which you live	2
*	To one other province other than the	
	one in which you live	3
*	Only within the province in which you live	4

4. Based on anything that you may have read, heard, seen or based on personal experience, over the past 10 years, do you think that economic development in Canada ...

		<u>Yes</u>	No
*	Has been well distributed?	☐ 10-1	2
*	Has resulted in excessive concentration in big cities? Was had a good offect on smaller	□ 11-1	2
-	Has had a good effect on smaller communities and rural areas	12-1	□ 2

	Yes	13-1		No	
)	Do you think it sh	ould?			
	Yes	14-1		No	2
)	What kinds of add	litional things	might	goverr	nment do?
	Anything else?	·····			
					al government tha nomic activity ac
	Yes []15-1	No		GO TO Q.7
	Yes [IF YES, What pro	-		2	GO TO Q.7
)	IF YES, What pro	-	≫se?		GO TO Q.7
	IF YES, What pro	ograms are the	bise?	on of ea	GO TO Q.7

- 2 -

•

¢

::

8.

Section Section

12.100 Sta

141

Sections.

1.4.10

11 A. S. A.

1.000

1.2.1

の言

1913

A.S.K.

1465

.

a she w

Inter a line to a line of the

Can you identify the names of the departments or agencies that are referred to by the following initials ... (ROTATE)

Init	ials (READ)	Correct <u>Response</u> (DO NOT RL	AD)	<u>Other</u>	Don't Know
a)	СМНС	Central Mortgage and Housing	[] 17-1	<u> </u>	3
b)	PFRA .	Prairie Farm Rehabilita Administration	ation []]]18-1	2	3
c)	IAND	Indian Affairs and Northern Development	<u>□</u> 19-1	2	Z 3
d)	DREE	Department of Regional Economic Expansion	20-1	2	<u> </u>
e)	мот	Ministry of Transport	21-1	2	<u> </u>
f)	ITC	Industry Trade and Commerce	22-1	2	Z 3

9.

Which of the following federal government departments have you heard of? (READ LIST; CHECK AS MANY AS APPLY ... ROTATE)

	Yes	<u>No</u>
The Department of the Environment	23-1	
The Department of Energy, Mines and Resources The Department of Agriculture	□ 2 □ 3	
The Department of Regional Economic Expansion	4	
The Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development The Ministry of Transport The Ministry of State for Urban Affairs	5 6 7	

- 3 -

SECTION TWO

:.;

At the risk of repeating myself, DREE is a federal government department. The letters D...R...E... stand for the Department of Regional Economic Expansion.

a contributio	n do you 1	think DRE	E is making to	o Canada
a contributio	n do you t	think DRE	E is making to	o Canada
anything furt				
			·	
			cent projects onomic Expan	
	24-1	No [2 GO TO	SECTION
				s can you recall?

SECTION THREE

- In your mind who would you say is more responsible for bringing new industry to your area ... (READ LIST; ROTATE; CHECK ONE ONLY)
 - a) The Federal Government, that is, DREE
 - b) The Provincial Government 2
 - c) Local/Municipal Government 🔲 3
 - d) No government

Anything else?

2. Do you expect <u>economic conditions in your area</u> to change for the better, remain the same or change for the worse in ...

4

1

a) <u>t</u>	he next six months?	1	b)	in the next year?
Remain t	for the better the same for the worse	26-1 2 3		□ 27-1 □ 2 □ 3
Why? _			Why?	
<u></u>				
How wil	l this affect your pers	sonally?		
·				

3. Do you expect the inflation rate to climb, remain constant or drop ...

I

a) <u>in the next six r</u>	onths? b)	in the next year?
Climb Remain constant Drop	□ 28-1 □ 2 □ 3	□ 29-1 □ 2 □ 3
Why?	Why?	
		•

4.

Do you expect the <u>unemployment rate</u> to climb, remain constant or drop ...

- 6 -

a)	in the next six months	?	b)	in the next year?
Climb Remain Drop	constant	□ 30-1 □ 2 □ 3		□ 31-1 □ 2 □ 3
Why? _		-	Why?	<u></u>
		•	•	

5. Do you think that the choice has to be made between controlling inflation or controlling unemployment?

Yes 32-1	No
----------	----

 Which are you more personally afraid of ... (READ LIST; CHECK ONE ONLY)

2

.

A rising	inflation rate
A rising	unemployment rate

Which do you think government ought to concentrate on controlling ... (READ LIST; CHECK ONE ONLY)

□ 33-! □ 2

□ 34-1 □ 2

A rising inflation rate A rising unemployment rate

8.

7.

What do you personally think is the cause of inflation?

Do you have anything else to add?

9a)

a sul 3.

a state

E BOUND

Sec. 2

Contraction of the local distribution of the

10000

1

1 1.12

Who do you blame <u>most</u> for inflation? (DO NOT READ LIST; CHECK ONE ONLY)

9b) Who else do you think have been major contributors to inflation? (DO NOT READ LIST; CHECK AS MANY AS APPLY) ... Anyone else? ...(PROBE)

	9a	9b
· .	<u>Blame Most</u>	<u>Others Blamed</u>
Federal Government Provincial Government International forces Labour unions	□ 35-Y □ X □ 0 □ 1	□ 37-Y □X □ 0 □ 1
Business Farmers Landlords Construction industry	2 3 4 5	2 3 4 5
Banks/Financial institutions Teachers Civil servants Professional community (doctors/	☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8	6 7 8
lawyers et cetera)	9	9
Supermarkets Retail stores Yourself Other (WRITE IN)	□ 36-Y □X □0 □1	□ 38-Y □ X □ 0 □ 1

9c)

Why do you blame (READ RESPONSE TO Q.9a) ______ most for inflation?

Any other reasons? _____

What kind of a job do you think each of the following institutions is doing in the fight against inflation; an excellent, good, fair or poor job? Let's start with ______, in your opinion are they doing an excellent, good, fair or poor job?

10.

	(ROTATE)	Poor	<u>Fair</u>	Poor	<u>Excellent</u>
* *	The business community The labour community/Unions	39- 40-	$\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 1 \\ \hline 2\end{array}$	[] 3 [] 3	4
*	The professional community (that is doctors/lawyers/ dentists, etc.) Your local government	41- 42-	$\begin{array}{c} \cdot 1 \\ \cdot 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \end{array}$	□ 3 □ 3	□ 4 □ 4
* *	The Provincial Government The Federal Government	□ 43- □ 44-	$1 \square 2$ $1 \square 2$	□ 3 □ 3	4
* *	Farmers Landlords	45- 46-		□ 3 □ 3	4
* *	The construction industry Banks/Financial institutions	☐ 47- ☐ 48-	-1 [] 2 -1 [] 2	□ 3 □ 3	□ 4 □ 4
* *	Teachers Civil servants	☐ 49· ☐ 50·	-1 [] 2 -1 [] 2	[] 3 [] 3	1 4
* *	Supermarkets Retailers You	$\begin{array}{c c} & 51 \\ \hline \\ & 52 \\ \hline \\ & 53 \end{array}$	-1 🗋 2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

11a) Do you think work stoppages due to labour disputes and strikes have contributed greatly, contributed somewhat, contributed little or not contributed at all to Canada's inflation problem?

Contributed greatly
Contributed somewhat
Contributed little
Not contributed at all

	54-1
\Box	2
$\overline{\Box}$	3*
$\overline{\Box}$	4

□ 55-1 □ 2 □ 3

11b) Do you think <u>increases in profits</u> have contributed greatly, contributed somewhat, contributed little or not contributed at all to Canada's inflation problem?

Contributed greatly	
Contributed somewhat	•
Contributed little	
Not contributed at all	

12.	 Would you personally support a government program calling for voluntary wage and price restraint? 						
	Would support Would not support	□ 56-1 □ 2					
13.	Do you think such a program woul combatting inflation?	d be effective or ineffective	in				
	Effective. Ineffective	□ 57-1 □ 2					
	W hy?						
14.	Would you support or not support wage and price controls?		pulsory				
	Would support Would not support	☐ 58-1 ☐ 2					
15.	Do you think such a program woul combatting inflation?	ld be effective or ineffective	in				
	Effective Ineffective	59-1 - 2					
16.	In your opinion, where should <u>vo</u> begin? (DO NOT READ LIST; CHE		int				
	With labour60With businessXWith local covernment0With provincial government1With fodd, al government2With fodd, al government3With farmers3With landlords4With construction5	-Y With bonks/Financial institutions With teachers With civil servants With the professional community (doctors/ lawyers, etc.) Supermerkets Retail outlets With yourself Other	6 7 8 9 61-Y 0				
		(Write in)	- L. ł				
17.	Who do you think can <u>best contro</u> CHECK ONE ONLY)	<u>21 infletion?</u> (DO NOT READ I	.IST;				
	Labour62Business2Local government3	l-1 Farmers Civil servants Banks/Financial	☐ 6 ☐ 7				
	Provincial government 4 Federal government 5	institutions Other	8				
		(Write in)	9				

	HAVE RESPONDENT BE AS SPECIFIC AS POSSIBLE - WE WANT NAMES.									
	a) In the business community?									
	b) In the labour community?									
	c) In the professional community?									
	d) At the government level?									
19a)	Do you think government should reduce its spending to help fight inflation?									
	Yes 🗍 63	8-1 N	0 2 0	GO TO Q.20						
19b)	Which government(s)? (CHEC	K AS MAN	(AS APPLY.)							
	Federal government64-1Provincial government2Local/Municipal government3									
19c)	If government spending is to be reduced, for each of the following programs or services, tell me whether you think it should be one of the first to be cut, should not be cut right away, or one of the last to be cut.									
		One of the first to be cut	Should not be cut <u>right away</u>	One of the <u>last</u> to be cut						
* *	Highway construction Unemployment	65-1	□ 2	3						
*	insurance benefits Family allowance benefits Economic expansion	☐ 66-1 ☐ 67-1	· [] 2 [] 2	□ 3 □ 3						
	programs	68-1	2	□ 3						
*	Foreign aid University grants	☐ 69-1 ☐ 70-1	□ 2 □ 2							
-	Housing assistance programs	71-1	2	3						
*	Farm support programs Foreign aid	□ 72-1 □ 73-1	$ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} $	□ 3 □ 3						
*	Social essistance programs/ welfare Programs in schools such as	74-1	2	3						
	physical education/music/ home economics and so on	75-1	□ 2	3						

and the second second

and the second statement of the factor

内部の時には

1

			Very <u>likely</u>	Somewhat <u>likely</u>	Not likely <u>at all</u>
* *	A new car A raise for the next		76-1	2	3
	two years	• • •	77-1	<u> </u>	
*	One weekend a month One week's holiday		☐ 78-1 ☐ 79-1		
*	A larger house	• •	80-1	2	<u> </u>
				CARD 2	DUP 1-3 4-2
* *	A new television set Entertainment for six n	nonths	□ 6-1 □ 7-1		☐ 3 ☐ 3
21.	How much of your <u>wee</u> give up in return for a (READ LIST.)				
	None	8-1	\$21 to		4
	\$1 to \$10	2	\$31 to \$41 to		4 5 6 7
	\$11 to \$20			er than \$50	7
22.	Do you think your hou	sehold i	ncome is	kecping pace	with inflation?
	Yes	9-1	No	2	
23.	What do you think is t	he answ	ver to solv	ring the proble	em of inflation?
					·
	1917 - 1917 - 1917 - 1917 - 1917 - 1917 - 1917 - 1917 - 1917 - 1917 -				
24.	Who do you think is b inflation? (DO NOT R				fight against
	Federal government	☐ <u>1</u> 0-		servants	
	Provincial government Local government		Teacl Profe	iers ssionals	5
	Labour unions		(doc	tors/lawyers,	etc.) 🔲 õ
	Business Farmers	$\square 2$ $\square 3$		s/financial itutions	7
	Totuloro		Other		<u> </u>
					🗖 8

•.

` •

「加速」

ALC: N

		•
In comparison to other major industrial	countries, wha	t kind of record
do you think Canada has insofar as wor	rk days lost due	to strikes is

	concerned? Would you call Canada's record excellent, good, fair or poor?								
-	Excellent Good	□ 11-1 □ 2	Fair Poor	□ 3 □ 4					
26.			ed, somewhat concerne days lost in Canada du						
	Very concerne Somewhat con Not concerned	cerned	□ 12-1 □ 2 □ 3						
27.	<u>In comparison to other major industrial countries</u> , what kind of record do you think Canadian business has insofar as profits are concerned? Would you call Canada's business record excellent, good, fair or poor?								
	Excellent Good	□ 13-1 □ 2	Fair Poor	2 3 4					
28.			ed, somewhat concerne els of Canadian busine						
	Very concerne Somewhat cor Not concerne	icerned	□ 14-1 □ 2 □ 3						
29a)`		ontrolling inflat	ial countries, how well tion in your opinion? W or poor?						
	Fxcellent Good	□ 15 -1 □ 2	Fair Pcot	□ 3 □ 4					
29b)		controlling und	<u>ial countries</u> , how wel employment - excellent						
	Excellent Good	☐ 16-1 ☐ 2	Fair Poor	□ 3 □ 4					

25.

÷.,*

SECTION FOUR

1. Thinking back to DREE - the Department of Regional Economic Expansion, what do you think DREE's role should be in light of the present inflation and unemployment trends in Canada?

Do you have anything further to add?

2. As it relates to your own area, do you feel that regional development expenditures are (ROTATE)...a way of reducing unemployment <u>QR</u> one of the causes of inflation? (CHECK ONE ONLY.)

> A way of reducing unemployment One of the causes of inflation

17-1 2

3. Thinking now of the Department of Regional Economic Expansion, and based on anything you may have read, heard, seen or experienced, I would like you to rate the Department on a scale from one to ten for each statement I read to you. If you agree strongly with the statement, give it a ten; if you disagree strongly or feel the statement doesn't describe the Departmentat all, give it a one. The numbers between represent the degrees between. Let's start with ...

		Disa stron	-								gree trongly	<u>,</u>
*	A conservative thinking department	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	18
*	Involved	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	19
¥	Forward thinking people	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	20
*	Poorly organized	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	21
*	Useful to most Canadian	s l	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	22
*	Useful to us in (Name province)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	23
*	Well known	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	24
×	Aware of the problems in (Name province)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	25
*	Approachable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	26
*	Makes good use of its budget	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	27
*	Well understood by people in (Name province)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	28

(CHECK ONE ONLY FOR EACH PAIR.)

4.

d)

- 14 -

A giant department . Up-to-date	29-1 30-1			A small department Behind the times
Interesting name A waste of money	31-1 32-1	<u> </u>	2 2	Dull name Canadians are getting good value
Reducing regional differences An important department	33-1 34-1	<u> </u>		Making no significant improvements A minor department
For the people Has made me more hopeful	35-1 36-1			For the government Has not made me more hopeful
Uses local people Works with the provincial	37-1		2	Brings in outside people Not working with the
government Works with business	38-1 39-1		2 2	provincial government Does not work with business

4

40=b

- -

5. For each of the following statements about DREE, tell me whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree strongly.

Disses Disses

		Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Agree
	•	<u>strongly</u>	<u>somewhat</u>	<u>somewhat</u>	strongly
a)	DREE is a good investment in Canada	[] 41-1	2	3	- 4
Ъ)	DREE's main function is to promote industrial develop- ment in slow growth areas	[] 42-1	2	3	_ 4
c)	DREE does what the province: want it to do	s 	2	3	5 4
d)	DREE only supports projects that the federal government wants it to do	[44-1	<u> </u>	□ 3	- 4
6.	For each of the following ser that DREE is involved in this			r you were	aware
				Yes	No
a)	Grants for industry	• • • • • • • • •	••••	<u> </u>	
ъ)	Assistance for local public s sewers, water, highways, et			2	
c)	Assistance to make specific attractive to industry			3	

Rural economic development and services

		B.C.	Pr	airi	<u>e s</u>	<u>Ont</u>	Que	ebeç	<u>Mari</u>	times
*	Opportunities for employment Best Worst	- 46 - 47	5-1 7-1		2 2	□ 3 □ 3		4 4		5 5
*	Opportunities for better pay Best Worst		8-1 9-1		2 2	□ 3 □ 3		4 4		5 5
*	Opportunities for a pleasant way of life Best Worst	□ 50 □ 51)-1 1-1		2 2	3 3		4		5 5
*	Opportunities for young people starting their careers Best Worst		2-1 3-1		2 2] 4		5 5

- 15 -

• • •

.

7.

SECTION FIVE

7

5

1. The following represents statements which might be used to describe our economy. For each one tell me whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree strongly.

· ·	-	Disagree Somewhat	Agree Somewhat	Agree Strongly
Canada is not doing as well as other developed countries in controlling inflation	54-1	2	3	4
The government should worry about controlling inflation first and unemployment second	□ 55-j	2	3	4
Unemployment is a more serious problem than inflation	n 🗌 56-1	2	3	4
The Canadian economy is on the verge of collapse	57-1	. 2	🗌 3	- 4
Labour demands are escalating to the point where they threaten to wreck the economy	3	2	3	4
Canada cannot do much to counter inflation on its own unless world conditions improve	59-1	2	3	4
The business community is more at fault for inflation than the labour community	<u> </u>	□2 ·	3	4
The government needs to take drastic action to improve relations between business and labour in this country	61-1	2	□ 3	4
The business community is using inflation as an excuse to justify its price increases	_	2	3	_ 4
The labour community is more willing to make sacrifices to control inflation than the business community	63-1	2] 3	4
Canadians are trying to take more out of the economy that they are putting into it	n 🗌 6 4-1	2	3	4
The government would rether have a high inflation rate than a high unemployment rate	La []65 - 1	2	[] 3	<u> </u>
Organized labour is out of control in this country	[] 6E+1	2		4

2

- 16 -

For statistical classification purposes only, we require the following-information about you.

Α.	Sex:	Male	67-1		Female		2
В.	Marital	Status	:	Married Single Divorce Widowe Separat	ed/ ed/	☐ 68-1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	
c.	In whic	ch of the	e followi	ing age	categor	ies do y	ou belong?
	. •	18 - 24 25 - 34 35 - 44 45 - 54 55 - 64 65 and	4 4 4 4	69-1 2 3 4 5 6			
D.	What is	s your/	your hus	band's (occupat	ion?	
Ε.	In whic	ch of the	e follow	ing educ	ation c	ategorio	es do you belong?
		Comple Some h Comple Some u Comple	bublic so eted pub high sch eted high universit eted uni- tte school	lic scho ool h school y versity		☐ 71-1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7	
F.	Do you		to a uni			_	
_	_	Yes	72-1		No	2	
G.	Do you	live in A hous An apa A town Other	e rtment house	ecify)		☐ 73-1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4	
н.	Do you	rent or	own yo	ur place	of resi	de nce ?	
		Rent Own Other_	(Spec	ify)		☐ 74-1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	

70-

1.

In which of the following categories does your total household income before taxes fall?

Less than \$6,000 \$6,000 - \$7,999 \$8,000 - \$9,999 \$10,000 - \$11,999 \$12,000 - \$14,999 \$15,000 - \$19,999 \$20,000 - \$24,999 \$25,000 and over

75-1
2
🗌 3
4
5
□ 6
7
8

E

1.52

Thank you for your cooperation. I've enjoyed talking with you and hope in turn that you have found this experience an interesting one.

J. CITY (CHECK NEAREST METROPOLITAN AREA OR CITY)

VictoriaXQuebec3Edmonton0Arvida/Chicoutimi4Calgary1Sherbrooke5Regina2Three Rivers6Winnipeg3St. Hyacinthe7Matane876-4Halifax9Hamilton5Glace Bay76Brantford6Saint JohnXKitchener8Chatham/Newcastle1London9Chatham/Newcastle1Ottawa77-YSt. John's, Nfld.3PeterboroughXGander4Thunder Bay0Cornerbrook5	Vancouver	🔲 76-Y	Montreal	□77- 2
Calgary1Sherbrooke5Regina2Three Rivers6Winnipeg3St. Hyacinthe7Matane8Matane8Toronto,76-4Halifax9Hamilton5Glace Bay76Brantford6Saint JohnXKingston7Fredericton0Kitchener8Chatham/Newcastle1London9Charlottetown2Ottawa77-YSt. John's, Nfld.3PeterboroughXGander4Thunder Bay0Cornerbrook5	Victoria	X	Quebec	3
Regina2Three Rivers6Winnipeg3St. Hyacinthe7Matane8Toronto.76-4Halifax9Hamilton5Glace Bay76Brantford6Saint JohnXKingston7Fredericton0Kitchener8Chatham/Newcastle1London9Chatlottotown2Ottawa77-YSt. John's, Nfld.3PeterboroughXGander4Thunder Bay0Cornerbrook5	Edmonton	0	Arvida/Chicoutimi	4
Regina2Three Rivers6Winnipeg3St. Hyacinthe7Matane8Toronto.76-4Halifax9Hamilton5Glace Bay76Brantford6Saint JohnXKingston7Fredericton0Kitchener8Chatham/Newcastle1London9Charlottetown2Ottawa77-YSt. John's, Nfld.3PeterboroughXGander4Thunder Bay0Cornerbrook5	Calgary		Sherbrooke	5
Matane8Toronto.76-4Halifax9Hamilton5Glace Bay76Brantford6Saint JohnXKingston7Fredericton0Kitchener8Chatham/Newcastle1London9Charlottotown2Ottawa77-YSt.John's, Nfld.3PeterboroughXGander4Thunder Bay0Cornerbrook5	Regina	2	Three Rivers	<u> </u>
Toronto.76-4Halifax9Hamilton5Glace Bay76Brantford6Saint JohnXKingston7Fredericton0Kitchener8Chatham/Newcastle1London9Charlottotown2Ottawa77-YSt.John's, Nfld.3PeterboroughXGander4Thunder Bay0Cornerbrook5	Winnipeg	<u>3</u>	St. Hyacinthe	7
Hamilton5Glace Bay76Brantford6Saint JohnXKingston7Fredericton0Kitchener8Chatham/Newcastle1London9Charlottetown2Ottawa77-YSt.John's,Nfld.3PeterboroughXGander4Thunder Bay0Cornerbrook5			Matane	8
Brantford 6 Saint John X Kingston 7 Fredericton 0 Kitchener 8 Chatham/Newcastle 1 London 9 Charlottetown 2 Ottawa 77-Y St.John's,Nfld. 3 Peterborough X Gander 4 Thunder Bay 0 Cornerbrook 5	Toronto,	76-4	Halifax	9
Thunder Bay D Cornerbrook 5	Hamilton	<u> </u>	Glace Bay	78-Y
Thunder Bay D Cornerbrook 5	Brantford	<u> </u>	Saint John	<u> </u>
Thunder Bay D Cornerbrook 5	Kingston	[] 7	Fredericton	0
Thunder Bay D Cornerbrook 5	Kitchener	[] 8	Chatham/Newcastle	$\underline{-1}$
Thunder Bay D Cornerbrook 5	London	9	Charlottotown	<u> </u>
Thunder Bay D Cornerbrook 5	Ottawa	🛄 77-Y	St. John's, Nfld.	Ξ3
	Peterborough	□x	Gander	4
Windsor [1	Thunder Bay	0	Cornerbrook	— 5
	Windsor	<u> </u>		

RESPONDENT'S NAME

TELEPHONE NO.

R	FS	PO	N	DE	N	T١	s	ΑT	תר	RES	S
N	LO	ιU	1.4	ມມ	74	*	v	~+	ັບ	110	.

INTERVIEWER

DATE _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

VERIFIED BY:

CHECKED BY : _____

CODED BY:

