

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BRANCH
DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENCE
CANADA


DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT OTTAWA

DREO REPORT NO. 712
DREO R 712

INTERPRETATION OF SLAR IMAGERY OF ICE IN NARES STRAIT AND THE ARCTIC OCEAN

by

Moira Dunbar



PROJECT NO.
97-67-05

MARCH 1975
OTTAWA

CAUTION

This information is furnished with the express understanding that proprietary and patent rights will be protected.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENCE
CANADA

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT OTTAWA

REPORT NO. 712

INTERPRETATION OF SLAR IMAGERY OF ICE IN
NARES STRAIT AND THE ARCTIC OCEAN

by

Moira Dunbar
Earth Sciences Division

PROJECT NO.
97-67-05

RECEIVED MARCH 1975
PUBLISHED MARCH 1975
OTTAWA

UNCLASSIFIED

ABSTRACT

SLAR imagery of Nares Strait was obtained on four flights carried out in January, March and August 1973 and April 1974 by Canadian Forces Maritime Proving and Evaluation Unit in an Argus aircraft equipped with a Motorola APS-94D SLAR; the March flight also covered two lines in the Arctic Ocean, from Alert to the pole and from the pole down the 4⁰E meridian to the ice edge at about 80⁰N. No observations on the ground were possible, but some back-up was available on all flights from visual observations recorded in the air, and on the March flight from infrared line-scan and vertical photography.


The interpretation of ice features from the SLAR imagery is discussed, and the conclusion reached that in spite of certain ambiguities the technique has great potential which will increase with improving resolution. Extent of coverage per distance flown and independence of light and cloud conditions make it unique among airborne sensors.

UNCLASSIFIED

RÉSUMÉ

Des images de radar latéral aéroporté (RLA) ont été obtenues au cours de quatre vols d'un avion Argus des Forces armées canadiennes, en janvier, mars et août 1973, et en avril 1974. L'appareil, équipé d'un Motorola AN/APS-94D, a volé chaque fois le long du détroit Nares. De plus, en mars, il est également allé d'Alert au pôle nord, puis a descendu le long du méridien 4°E jusqu'à la limite des glaces flottantes. Aucune vérification de terrain n'était possible, mais des observations visuelles ont été faites en vol et enregistrées sur magnétophone. En mars, l'avion était en outre équipé d'un détecteur infrarouge à balayage linéaire et d'un appareil de photographie verticale.

L'interprétation des caractéristiques de la glace à l'aide des images RLA est discutée, et on en a conclu que, malgré certaines ambiguïtés, la méthode présente beaucoup de possibilités comme instrument de surveillance, et que celles-ci peuvent augmenter avec une résolution améliorée. Le très grand angle de couverture et la capacité de produire une image malgré l'obscurité et les nuages rendent le RLA unique parmi les télédéTECTEURS aéroportés.



ILLUSTRATIONS

- Fig. 1. Location map of Nares Strait.
- Fig. 2. SLAR image of Hall Basin taken 13 January 1973.
- Fig. 3. Hall Basin, 13 January 1973.
- Fig. 4. Hall Basin, 8 March 1973.
- Fig. 5. North entrance to Robeson Channel (a) and Hall Basin (b), 17 August 1973.
- Fig. 6. Northern Hall Basin, 21 April 1962, imaged by the USAF with an AN/APQ-56 from 60,000 ft (18,300 m). (From Anderson 1968).
- Fig. 7. Kennedy Channel, 8 March 1973.
- Fig. 8. Kennedy Channel, 17 August 1973.
- Fig. 9. Kennedy Channel, 6 April 1974. Southbound.
- Fig. 10. The same, northbound, right side of track only.
- Fig. 11. The Arctic Ocean between Alert and the pole, at about 84°30 N, 62°15 W.
- Fig. 12. (a) IRLS image of ice in Kane Basin, 8 March 1973.
(b) Enlarged SLAR image showing the same multi-year floe, 13 January, 1973.
- Fig. 13. (a) IRLS image of ice in Kane Basin, 8 March 1973, showing a patch of relatively thin ice (dark) among old floes.
(b) Enlarged SLAR image of the same area, 13 January 1973.
- Fig. 14. Smith Sound, 13 January 1973, showing the head of the North Water.
- Fig. 15. Smith Sound, 8 March 1973. (a) Head of North Water (b) Area a few miles south of Cape Alexander.
- Fig. 16. Smith Sound, 6 April 1974.
- Fig. 17. Looking west across head of North Water, 6 April 1974. Pim Island at left rear.

UNCLASSIFIED

- Fig. 18. *Littleton Island, Smith Sound, 6 April 1974.*
- Fig. 19. (a) *North part of Barrow Strait, coast of Bathurst Island at top. 8 April 1974.*
(b) *Northern Hudson Bay, S.E. coast of Coats Island at top. 8 April 1974.*
- Fig. 20. *Enlarged SLAR image (a) and photograph (b) of area off the south coast of Southampton Island, 8 April 1974.*
- Fig. 21. *Pelly Bay (69°N , 90°W), 8 April 1974.*
- Fig. 22. *Synthetic aperture SLAR image of the Gulf of St. Lawrence, March 1974.*

INTRODUCTION*

Sideways-Looking Airborne Radar (SLAR) has been around for some time, and its potential for ice reconnaissance has been recognized since the early 1960s. Nevertheless surprisingly little has been done to evaluate its characteristics in this respect and provide guidelines for interpretation of the imagery. The reasons have been mainly economic and logistic, concerning the availability of the SLAR itself and the logistic problems involved in setting up an adequate ground truth party; they do not necessarily reflect on the potential value of the technique.

There have, of course, been several studies of SLAR for ice reconnaissance, starting with an excellent presentation by Anderson (1) (2) which is of particular interest in the context of the present study because the geographical area covered was very similar. Perhaps the most thorough is the work of Johnson and Farmer (3) on data obtained during the Manhattan cruise of 1969. There is also a study of a multi-spectral SLAR experiment by Ketchum and Tooma (4) and one in the Gulf of St. Lawrence by the Canadian Atmospheric Environment Service (5) using the same instrument as the present study. Of these, however, only the Manhattan operation obtained any real ground truth, and this, although thorough, was taken for a different purpose and is described by the authors as "limited" in the context of the SLAR evaluation.

SLAR has also been successfully used for tracking ice drift, both during the Manhattan cruise (6) and by the Russians (7) who have developed what appears to be a rather sophisticated SLAR specifically for ice reconnaissance.

Ground truth is also conspicuously lacking in the present study, the background information being limited to tape-recorded visual observations (combined with a considerable familiarity with ice conditions in Nares Strait over four seasons), a small amount of photography, and infrared line-scan data, some of which can be directly compared to the SLAR imagery. Owing to the poor light conditions the photographic data, though very useful in confirming interpretation, is not good enough for reproduction and none is included in this report.

The imagery to be discussed was collected on a number of flights in a Canadian Forces Argus aircraft operated by the Maritime Proving and Evaluation Unit, Summerside, Prince Edward Island. The SLAR was a Motorola X-band AN/APS-94D, and on some flights the aircraft also carried a Reconofax 13 infrared line scanner (IRLS). Some photo coverage when light conditions allowed was obtained with a Vinten 70-mm vertical camera and a hand-held 35-mm camera.

* A shorter version of this report was presented at the International Glaciological Society's Symposium on Remote Sensing in Glaciology in Cambridge, England, in September 1974 and will be published in the Journal of Glaciology.

The flights covered the whole length of Nares Strait (Fig. 1) on three occasions in 1973; January 13, March 8 and August 17. The March flight also covered two tracks in the Arctic Ocean, from Alert to the North Pole up the 62°W meridian and thence to the ice edge down the 4°E meridian. A further flight over Nares Strait was made on April 6, 1974, and additional material from other parts of the Canadian Arctic and the Gulf of St. Lawrence will be referred to.

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE AN/APS-94D SLAR

GENERAL

The APS-94D is a real-aperture SLAR which images on either or both sides of the aircraft. The blank space in the middle represents a non-imaged strip having a width of about twice the flight altitude. The ranges available are 25, 50 and 100 km a side, with corresponding scales of 1:250,000, 1:500,000 and 1:1,000,000. Of these only the first two were used.

The range resolution of the SLAR is fairly constant at about 30 m, but the azimuth resolution varies with flight altitude and deteriorates across the range of the image. At 2,000 ft (610 m) flight altitude, for instance, it is 40 m at 5 km from the track and deteriorates at the rate of 8 m per kilometre. The general image quality also seems to deteriorate with range.

The azimuth scale depends on ground speed, which is fed into the system and the scale automatically adjusted to approximate the range scale. Another automatic correction is made for aircraft drift, but heading changes can cause serious distortions in the image geometry. A comparison of Figs. 7 and 8 shows considerable differences in the relationship of the track to the coasts at the south end of Kennedy Channel and even in the shape of Franklin Island. This is because the March track was not actually straight up the channel but followed the Greenland coast, entering the picture on a heading several degrees to port of the channel axis, with a correction to starboard opposite Franklin Island.

The horizontal distortions that occur on the imagery (e.g. at G on Fig. 3) are mostly due to heading changes, but a few are caused by altitude change or turbulence.

OPTIMUM RANGE/ALTITUDE COMBINATION

To establish a definitive optimum range and altitude for the APS-94D ice imagery it would be necessary to fly a series of combinations on the same day over the same ice. There are too many variables, both in the ice characteristics and the radar performance, for samples flown at different

times and places to be conclusive. Nevertheless in the course of a number of missions a considerable variety of combinations were tried, all of which point to the general conclusion that the lower the flight altitude the more detail of ice topography is obtained, and that the 25-km range gives more information than the 50-km. The very best detail was obtained on a brief sector of the run of 17 August 1973, with 500 - 800 ft (150 - 230 m) altitude at 25-km range (Fig. 5a). This was paid for, however, by a slightly sharper than usual falling-off of image quality down range. The usual combination used in Nares Strait was 2,000 - 3,000 ft (610 - 915 m) altitude and 25-km range; this proved satisfactory. At 4,000 ft (1220 m) and the same range the detail seemed to be less, and a very marked deterioration occurred in the imagery on 17 August 1973 when the aircraft went from 3,000 to 7,500 ft (915 - 2300 m) during one run. Detail of ice topography almost completely disappeared, and total signal return from the ice decreased sharply, leaving a very dark, low-contrast image. A section flown at 5500 ft (1675 m) was not much better, and one at 9000 ft (2750 m) had parts which show practically nothing. On the other hand quite reasonable imagery was obtained from 6000 ft (1830 m) on April 8, 1974 (Fig. 19).

For the 50-km range there is less data, as it was not used very much, but the best imagery at this range was flown at 4000 ft (1220 m) (Fig. 11). An attempt to use it at 1000 ft (300 m) was a total failure; although very good discrimination was obtained in the fairly close range, more than half the range was lost altogether. At 6000 and 7000 ft (1830 and 2150 m) fairly good imagery was obtained. A comparison of Figs. 2 and 3 shows the difference in detail between imagery at the 50-km range, flown at 7000 ft (2135 m) and the 25-km at 2000ft (610 m), both flown over the same area on the same day.

It would seem then that for maximum ice detail the best combination for the APS-94D is the 25-km range and an altitude between 1000 and 4000 ft (300 - 1200 m), while in cases where the extra coverage is more important than detail, the 50-km range at a rather higher altitude is preferable.

INTERPRETATION

GENERAL

Most previous papers on interpretation of SLAR ice imagery have included a list of ice types with descriptions of the way they appear in SLAR imagery (3) (5). Although these appear to be valid enough in respect to the SLAR used and the ice imaged in each case, the practice may be fraught with pitfalls for those trying to apply the criteria elsewhere. The problem is partly due to an over-simplification of the characteristics of ice; all ice of a given age category does not look alike, either to the eye or to the SLAR. This is particularly true of multi-year ice, which can have very different surface characteristics according to its age and life history, but even first year ice, although in general it is either very smooth or very rough with young ridges, can vary considerably from place to place. To a radar it can also look different according to whether the ice around it is older or younger, rougher or smoother, than itself.

Even more significant is the difference between SLAR systems, particularly if they are in different frequency bands. Comparison of the imagery in the various references quoted tends to show this, quite apart from the multi-spectral study of Ketchum and Tooma (4), which deals specifically with this question. An example may be seen by comparing Figs. 2 - 4 with Fig. 6. The latter, which is copied from Anderson (2) shows the same area at much the same time of year as Fig. 4. Accompanying photographs leave little doubt that the conditions depicted are very similar, yet the SLAR used, an AN/APQ-56 operating in the K-band, shows the multi-year floes as very light, and the surrounding matrix of first-year ice, much of which is severely hummocked, hardly shows at all, giving an impression of open pack ice. In contrast the APS-94D imagery shows the rough first-year ice as generally brighter than the multi-year floes.

Therefore the statements made in this paper should be assumed to refer only to the APS-94D, unless specifically otherwise stated.

ICE AGE AND THICKNESS

Perhaps the most important feature for most ice observation purposes is the age and thickness of the ice. The Nares Strait ice covers the whole gamut of age and thickness, from the nilas and other young stages of the North Water in Smith Sound to the heavy multi-year floes that drift down from the Arctic Ocean. There is therefore plenty of material to work on.

The ice in Nares Strait was shorefast in both the January and March flights, and the same features can be recognized in great detail in the imagery from both. The ice falls into three basic groups: old ice floes of a great variety of sizes; very rough deformed ice which will be referred to as first-year, though much of it must have formed from brash ice left over from the previous summer and is therefore of composite age; and smooth ice which may be first-year or younger. There is very little coastal fast ice except in the bays, and as many of these do not break up every year, some of the ice is at least two years old. Much of it is formed from pack ice which drifts into the bays in open seasons and consolidates, and is therefore of various ages.

OLD ICE

It has already been pointed out that ice of the same age does not necessarily look the same, and that this is particularly true of multi-year ice. Thus it is not surprising that the old ice does not all present the same appearance in the SLAR imagery. In this report the term old ice will be used, which includes second-year as well as multi-year ice, as it was found impossible to distinguish between them in the SLAR imagery and to try could only be misleading.

Since X-band radar cannot penetrate the ice surface, what it records is basically the surface roughness. If an ice floe has a smooth surface it will appear dark, if it is very rough it will give a bright return. Therefore it is only insofar as age can be equated to roughness that it can be unequivocally interpreted from the SLAR image. As there is no such direct correlation no unfailing way of determining age exists. There are, however, various characteristics which help, as will be shown.

In actual practice it is generally not hard to separate the old ice from the first-year ice in Nares Strait in winter and spring, because it is the only ice that forms discrete floes. This, however, is due to the particular regime of the area and is not true for all arctic channels. Old ice can be smooth or rough, gently undulating or hummocky and therefore can vary greatly in image quality. Examples may be found in Figs. 3 and 4 (floes A, B and C) and Figs. 9 and 10 (floes A - D). Floe A in Figs. 3 and 4 is a particularly interesting one. It appears to be a floe within a floe, the outer "collar" being probably younger than the inner part. This composite quality is quite common in multi-year floes. The smooth (dark) patches on the outer part of this floe are almost certainly frozen melt-puddles from the previous summer. Former puddles in fact probably account for many of the dark patches on the old ice. This is certainly true of the shore-fast ice in the fiord to the left of floes A and B. This fiord (Lady Franklin Bay) did not break up in the summer of 1972 (personal observation) but was largely covered with melt-water, which was already well frozen over at the end of August.

That the dark areas on these floes are in fact part of the old floe and not inclusions of thinner ice is shown in Fig. 12, which includes an IRLS image of part of a multi-year floe in Kane Basin, taken on March 8 (a) and an enlargement of the SLAR image of the same floe from the January 13 flight (b). It is not usually possible to make such comparisons, as the SLAR sees only sideways and the IRLS straight down. In this case, however, as the ice had not moved in any way between the two flights and the flights tracks were not identical, it was possible to identify the IR track from the second flight on the earlier SLAR imagery. The relationship between the two pictures can be established by the two curving ridges at A. The dark patch (B) and the very bright rough patch (C) in the SLAR image both have the same cold tone in the thermal image, showing that this is not younger ice like that at point D, which has a much warmer signature and is therefore thinner (8). The floe shown appears to be extremely ridgy, giving a considerably brighter SLAR signal than the surrounding deformed first-year ice (E). The ridges in area C are in fact detectable in the original IRLS image, though they do not appear in the reproduction. Similarly in Fig. 13 a number of floes which appear dark on the SLAR image (A and B for instance) are light (cold) in the IRLS, indicating thick ice.

Some of the tonal differences between the old floes are due to variation in the image quality across the range, which is characteristic of this SLAR system; floes tend to appear brighter close to the track, as for example in Fig. 7, where floe A is somewhat brighter than floe B. However, this is not an invariable rule, and many differences must also be due to the characteristics of the floes themselves. A good example is shown in Figs. 9 and 10, which were taken within two hours of each other. The different tones of floes A and B in the two pictures are due at least in part to their relative distance from the flight track. The right half of floe C shows the same difference, but the

light tone of the left half in Fig. 9 is due to a flare-out effect that tends to occur at the near edge of the imagery. Note, however, floe D, which has much the same tone in both images although it is appreciably nearer the track in Fig. 10. It is perhaps significant that in both images it is on the same side of the aircraft, whereas floes A, B and C are not. Owing to a slight tilt in the antenna as mounted on this particular aircraft there is an unevenness of image quality, the left side receiving rather higher backscatter than the right. This introduces yet another variable in the image interpretation, and may have an influence on the greater brightness of floes, A, B and C in Fig. 9.

In the only sample we have of summer imagery (Figs. 5 and 8) most of the floes are known from visual observation to be old ice. They appear consistently darker than the surrounding brash ice, and little surface detail is visible. The large floe A in Fig. 5(b) is described in the visual notes as a composite floe of various ages, yet it presents a rather even tone. The puddles, which were refrozen, do not show up clearly. However, all this may be due to poor radar functioning or possibly to poor choice of flight altitude (4000 ft (1200 m)). In the small area flown at 500 ft (150 m) (Fig. 5(a)) the surface detail on the floes is very much clearer.

In the Arctic Ocean imagery (Fig. 11) it is much harder to separate the old ice from the first-year, because here the pack has not been subjected to the melting and subsequent jostling of floes that has rounded and defined the edges of the old floes in Nares Strait. Nor is there the same degree of visual back-up in this area, as the light was getting poor by the time it was overflowed. However, if the proposition that many of the small dark patches represent refrozen melt-puddles is accepted, then most of the ice in Fig. 11 should be second-year or older, and this is in fact supported by the visual notes, which state that at least 7/10 of the ice visible in this area was multi-year, and most of the rest very hummocky and of indeterminate age.

Old ice, then, seems to be characterized by a wide range of grey-tones and may appear brighter or darker than the surrounding younger ice. In winter conditions it may frequently be identified by a pattern of refrozen melt-puddles which appear very dark.

FIRST-YEAR ICE

First-year ice is less varied in topography than old ice, being either undeformed and very smooth, or broken by young pressure ridges which are sharply angular and present a good target to the radar signal. In Nares Strait this stage is usually carried to the extreme, the ice being reduced to a jumble of ridges and hummocks which appears on the SLAR image as a general bright clutter. Examples of smooth first-year ice are seen at D, E and F in Fig. 4, at C in Figs. 14 and 15, and at A in Fig. 16. The rough first-year ice forms the "cement" that seals in the older floes over most of the channel, for example at E in Fig. 12 (b) and A in Fig. 15. As stated above much of this kind of hummocky ice is not strictly speaking first-year, but is formed by freezing together of brash ice from the previous open season. Brash ice is represented by all the

brighter areas in Figs. 5 and 8, and to judge from the amount of it present at this date (August 17) it should account for a fair proportion of the hummocky ice that forms in the winter. It is very likely for instance that the ice north of Franklin Island (at C) in Fig. 7 is of this category, with many small multi-year floes in amongst it, such as may be seen in Fig. 8 in much the same area.

A good sample of first-year ice appears in Fig. 20, which shows matching SLAR and photographic images.

YOUNG FORMS OF ICE

The main body of ice younger than first-year included in the imagery under consideration is in the North Water (Figs. 14 - 16). The only other areas are the refrozen leads in the Arctic Ocean (Fig. 11) and possibly area D in Fig. 3. The lead at D was not present at the time of an earlier flight on December 15 (9) so it may very well have been young ice on January 13. Areas E and F in Fig. 3 were already there in December so must be older. Unfortunately there was a complete undercast in this area on January 13 rendering visual observation impossible. This points up the inability of SLAR to discriminate ice thickness, as in all these areas the ice must have grown considerably thicker in the eight weeks separating Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, yet they look exactly the same in both. In March they had all reached the first-year stage. Similarly in the Arctic Ocean some of the leads were open, some refrozen, and some half and half, but they all look the same in the SLAR imagery.

The North Water on January 13 was almost cloud-free, a rather rare condition, but visibility was limited by darkness. At low altitude, however, and from the first-rate look-out position in the nose of the Argus, it is surprising how much can be seen in the dark. The visual observations, made on the southbound trip only (Fig. 14) showed an open lead about 400 m wide south of the ice edge, followed by concentrations of about 6/10th thin ice, consisting of nilas graduating southwards to grey ice. The leads and open patches tended to be oriented across the channel, and both the ice floes and leads tended to get progressively larger towards the south.

This description is borne out by Fig. 14, or at least by the parts of the imagery closest to the aircraft track; beyond about 5 km the young ice returned no signal at all in the north part of Smith Sound. On the west side of the track the edge of the fast ice shows up clearly at the extreme edge of the image, and about the latitude of Littleton Island some returns of what look like small ridges begin to appear. On the east side there is a very interesting pattern of streaks of slush ice and small cakes. As these are wind-blown features they can only form in otherwise open water, and the grey tone, for instance between the two strings of ice at B, is a typical sea-clutter return. The cusp-like pattern of the streaks is curious and the cause of much speculation; its origin is obscure.

Fig. 14 was taken on the southbound trip; on the northbound trip, exactly the same general conditions prevailed; the passage of time, however,

and also the speed of drift, is illustrated by floe A, which appears in both northbound and southbound imagery, and which moved south between the two passes at a speed of roughly 0.55 m s^{-1} . With a wind-speed of about 50 knots (25 m s^{-1}) recorded at our flight altitude (3000 ft (915 m)) this works out at about the speed expected from Zubov's rule of ice drift.

Fig. 15 shows the conditions on March 8, when there were good light conditions but an almost complete cover of frost smoke, allowing only periodic vertical glimpses of the ice. From these glimpses it appeared that the ice graduated from light nilas near the head of the North Water to predominantly grey-white south of Cape Alexander. The proportion of open water decreased from north to south; near the head it was estimated through breaks in the cloud to be as high as 5/10 in places.

Fig. 15 is designed to show the predominantly grey-white ice south of Cape Alexander (b) as well as the thinner ice and more open conditions to the north (a). It will be seen that the main difference between them is the heavier incidence of ridges or rafting in (b) and the presence of areas of medium grey tone in (a). The dark pieces in (a) are undoubtedly ice, and the greyer patches must presumably be the water, no doubt with new ice forming in it. Why it should appear rougher than the ice is intriguing, as it would seem unlikely that the expanses of it are large enough for any appreciable sea chop to form, except in the area off the Greenland coast. However, as there was a strong wind blowing, there were no doubt small ripples in the open water, and it is known that small ripples can give a large amount of backscatter (S.C. Clay, personal communication).

There was only a very narrow band of open water at the head of the polynya at the point of crossing. The line of the ice edge in Fig. 14 is still precisely traceable in Fig. 15; the expanse of light grey immediately south of this line in Fig. 15 is new ice. This is confirmed by the IRLS and the vertical photography.

A further flight over the North Water was made on April 6, 1974 (Fig. 16). On this occasion visibility was good and ice conditions much the same as those just described for Fig. 15. There was however no open area off the coast of Greenland, a circumstance no doubt largely attributable to the winds, which were light and up-channel as opposed to strong and down-channel as on the 1973 flights. Concentrations in general were higher, especially in the narrows (north of Cape Alexander) where they varied from 6/10 to 9/10 - very little different from the area farther south, the difference being in thickness rather than concentration.

Fig. 16 shows these conditions quite clearly and is indeed easier to interpret than Fig. 15. It is however hard to discriminate between the various thickness categories of young ice except by a gradually increasing impression of solidity and brightness in the ridges. A line of IR imagery taken at the same time shows great variation in thickness, but is of course not directly relatable to the SLAR image.

Photographs taken with a hand-held camera, however, can be related to the SLAR and bring out some interesting points. Fig. 17 can be related to Fig. 16 by the point B which is the same in both, and it emphasizes again the lack of identity of black areas on the SLAR imagery. The area north of B is

shown by the photograph to be entirely ice covered, in contrast to the open water immediately to the south, but both are black on the SLAR. Fig. 18 shows Littleton Island (below C in Fig. 16) with open water in the foreground and shorefast ice beyond. The fast ice can be compared in some detail with Fig. 16 and includes a number of floes, of which C is the largest and most easily identifiable, which are embedded in the fast ice and must therefore be older and thicker. In the photograph they appear lighter in tone, the rest of the ice having the grey look indicative of fairly young ice. In the SLAR the older floes have a fairly dark tone, the younger matrix much brighter, showing that there has been considerable deformation of the young ice. Although small in scale this ridging provides a fairly strong backscatter. The floes also have ridges on them, some of which can be seen on the original SLAR imagery (though probably not in the reproduction) but there are smooth areas between them. It may be noted that many of the small light pieces between floe C and the island (Fig. 18) can be quite clearly distinguished on the original SLAR imagery with the aid of a magnifying glass.

It will be seen that young ice forms are rather hard to interpret without ground truth or visual or other airborne back-up. There is very little doubt, however, that many of the questions raised could be answered by a thorough evaluation program with ground verification.

ICEBERGS

Icebergs show up well on the APS-94D imagery provided they are prominent enough and appear against a sufficiently uncluttered background. Examples may be seen in Fig. 15 on the extreme right just north of the Greenland coast (right of A), and in the same area in Fig. 16, where they show up very clearly in smooth first-year ice. A number may also be seen in Figs. 14 and 15 in the fast ice on the east side of the North Water, and at bottom right in Fig. 16. However a few bergs that were noted in Kane Basin nearer to the aircraft track are lost in the general bright clutter.

CONCENTRATION

Of the flights being considered here only the August one was concerned with concentrations less than 10/10ths, except for the young ice area of the North Water. In the August imagery (Figs. 5 and 8) it is not hard to measure the total concentration; the open areas appear black and all the ice various shades of grey. The situation is not quite so simple, however, in areas and times of year when young ice may be expected to be present, or when leads refreeze, as in the Arctic Ocean (Fig. 11). Here we are once again up against the problem of smooth surfaces, which can lead to real difficulty in distinguishing between ice and water, provided both are smooth.

It is often possible to discriminate between smooth ice and water by the small roughnesses that commonly appear on the ice surface and which show as faint light lines (Figs. 3 and 4, areas D, E, F). However, very similar lines occasionally appear in water openings where small amounts of brash ice are lined up by the wind. Frequently an experienced eye can tell the difference, but there remain many cases where even an experienced eye is at a loss. Many of the small black patches north of the head of the North Water, for instance (Figs. 14 - 16) would be almost impossible to interpret without having seen the area. The same is true of quite a number of the smaller black areas in Figs. 3 and 4, while at the scale of Fig. 2 the whole smooth area might be open.

Two better examples are shown in Figs. 19 and 21, taken on April 8, 1974. Fig. 19 shows two areas of apparently similar ice, one in Barrow Strait (a) and the other between Coats and Mansel islands in Hudson Bay (b). They look the same but in (a) all the leads were covered with first-year ice, snow-covered and solid, whereas in (b) they were all open. Fig. 21 shows an area in Pelly Bay, where very rough old fast ice, giving a very bright return (A) contrasts sharply with newer smooth fast ice, which gives no return at all (B). In these cases positive interpretation would be virtually impossible without visual or other back-up.

TOPOGRAPHY

Surface topography shows up on the APS-94D imagery either as discrete bright signals or in the form of variations in grey tone. The difference in tone, for instance, between areas B and D in Fig. 12 (b) is probably due to minor roughnesses and undulations of the surface of B. Similarly in the same picture the overall light grey tone at E and the bright patch near C are due to the roughness of the first-year and multi-year ice respectively. In both cases the ridges and hummocks are too close together for the system to resolve. More widely spaced ridges show up as bright lines.

Without detailed ground truth it is not possible to say how reliably the ridges are picked up by the SLAR or how many are missed owing to their orientation in relation to the radar beam or other reasons, but it is certain that this occurs. Fig. 13 shows a narrow strip of relatively thin ice on the IRLS image (left of floe B) which is confirmed by photography to be smooth, but which does not appear so on the SLAR. It is oriented parallel to the radar beam about 10-15 km from the near edge of the imagery and seems to be the victim of the deteriorating azimuth resolution, which fails to distinguish it from the ridges on either side. Equally narrow strips oriented across the beam show quite clearly above floe A.

Ridges and other surface features show up better in the middle and far ranges, as would be expected, the angle of incidence of the radar beam being more oblique, but there are few cases where features that show on one of the January and March pair do not appear at all on the other. (The differences between the smooth areas in Figs. 3 and 4 are due to photographic factors; in the original imagery, though the quality is a little different, all the topography

that appears in Fig. 3 can also be seen in Fig. 4). One of the very few such cases is shown at C on Figs. 14 and 15 where the topographic detail in the smooth ice in Fig. 15 is almost completely absent in Fig. 14. As there had been no change in the shape of the feature between the two flights there can have been no further ridge formation to account for the difference. Whether it is due to new snow-cover drifting against the ridges, to relative distance from flight track, or simply to variations in functioning of the SLAR is not clear.

Fig. 20 shows an enlarged section of SLAR imagery off the south coast of Southampton Island (taken April 8, 1974) and a hand-held oblique photograph of the same area. The box on 20(a) outlines the approximate area covered by 20(b). A comparison shows that there is a great deal of topographic detail in the SLAR image which one might hesitate to interpret without the back-up of the visible image. In particular the rough and smooth areas beyond the leads in the foreground show up quite clearly. An exception is the refrozen crack at A in 20(b), and even this is discernible on the original, though the contrast is so low that an interpreter would be likely to miss it altogether.

DYNAMIC FEATURES

Because of the wide coverage obtainable with SLAR, combined with its relatively good resolution, it is unrivalled among airborne sensors for depicting dynamic features like lead orientation, shear zones and drift patterns. Lead orientation is well exemplified in the Arctic Ocean imagery and is discussed in Dunbar and Lowry (10). Figs. 2 - 4 show a nice example of a shear zone running from Cape Lieber to Cape Beechey between the fast ice of Lady Franklin Bay and the ice of the main channel. A similar line appears on the opposite side of Hall Basin, where fast ice has formed east of a line from Joe Island to Cape Lupton before the main channel stopped moving. These lines are absent in Fig. 5, showing that the fast ice must have broken up in 1973.

Drift patterns are shown in Fig. 8 in the form of eddies in the lee of the islands, and in the North Water off the Greenland coast (Fig. 14).

SYNTHETIC APERTURE SLAR

It is clear that the most serious drawback of the APS-94D is its resolution, which is not adequate to resolve all necessary sea ice features. Fig. 22 is included to show that this problem is not the same with all SLARs. It shows a sample of imagery taken by a Goodyear APQ-102 synthetic aperture SLAR in March 1974 in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. The swath width is 10 miles. The resolution of this SLAR is 50 feet (15.25 m) and both resolution and image quality are constant across the range. The oldest ice shown is first year. Unfortunately no APS-94D imagery of similar ice is available to compare it with but its superior quality is obvious. However, the smooth-surface gremlin is

UNCLASSIFIED

still present. The inlet at top left is undoubtedly frozen and snow-covered, yet it looks exactly the same as the open water along the coast.

CONCLUSIONS

From the evidence presented here we may conclude that SLAR has great potential as a tool for the acquisition of sea ice data. Although there are a number of uncertainties in interpreting the APS-94D imagery, these are due mainly to problems of resolution, which, as can be seen from Fig. 22, are not insoluble. Others could be solved by a thoroughly ground-truthed test programme. The only problem that may prove permanent is that of distinguishing between smooth ice and water, and even this will surely diminish with higher resolution, as with finer detail discernible the number of cases where discrimination is not possible will decrease. However, these points should be borne in mind when considering such programmes as direct provision of SLAR ice imagery to ships. In the hands of an inexperienced interpreter it could be quite misleading, as he may well tend to read it as if it were a rather fuzzy photograph, even if he is quite accustomed to interpreting the ship's radar. Any ship receiving such imagery should have at least one trained interpreter on board.

As against these disadvantages there is the excellent coverage possible with SLAR, which provides more information with less footage of film to interpret than any other airborne sensor. This makes it a practical tool to use for repeated surveys, whether for ship operation, drift measurements, or other purpose, in contrast to photography, which is not only restricted by cloud and light conditions but requires so much flying time and produces such miles of film as to be prohibitive except in special cases. Above all there is the all-weather capability of radar, the only sensor than can penetrate both darkness and cloud.

UNCLASSIFIED

REFERENCES

1. Anderson, V.H. 1966. High altitude side-looking radar images of sea ice in the Arctic. *Proceedings of the Fourth Symposium on Remote Sensing of Environment*. University of Michigan, pp. 845-857.
2. Anderson, V.H. 1968. Radar imagery of Arctic pack ice. *U.S. Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory. Special Report 94*.
3. Johnson, J.D., and Farmer, L.D. 1971a. Use of Side-looking air-borne radar for sea ice identification. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol. 76, No. 9, pp. 2138-2155.
4. Ketchum, R.D. Jr., and Tooma, S.G. Jr. 1973. Analysis and interpretation of air-borne multi-frequency side-looking radar sea ice imagery. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol. 78, No. 3, pp. 520-538.
5. Hengeveld, H.G. [1972]. Operation Icemap II: Side-looking radar trials, spring 1972. *Atmospheric Environment Service, Environment Canada*. (Internal Report).
6. Johnson, J.D., and Farmer, L.D. 1971b. Determination of sea ice drift using side-looking air-borne radar. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Symposium on Remote Sensing of Environment*. University of Michigan, pp. 2155-2168.
7. Loshchilov, V.S. and Veovodin, V.A. 1972. Determination of drift elements of the ice cover and ice edge movements by means of air-borne radar. *Problems of the Arctic and Antarctic*, No. 40, [Translated from the Russian] U.S. Dept. of Commerce, pp. 19-26.
8. Poulin, Ambrose O. 1973. On the thermal nature and sensing of snow-covered arctic terrain. *U.S. Army Engineers Topographic Laboratories. Research Note ETL-RN-73-4*.
9. Dunbar, Moira. 1974. Winter ice reconnaissance in Nares Strait 1972-73. *Defence Research Establishment Ottawa. Technical Note No. 73-26*.
10. Dunbar, Moira and Lowry, R.T. In press. Remote Sensing of sea ice in Nares Strait and the Arctic Ocean, March 1973. *Proceedings of the Second Canadian Symposium on Remote Sensing, Guelph 1974*.




Fig. 1 Location map of Nares Strait




Fig. 2. SLAR image of Hall Basin taken 13 January 1973. Range 50 km, altitude 7000 ft (2135 m). On this and other SLAR images the north end of the channel is at the top and the arrow shows flight direction.




Fig. 3. Hall Basin, 13 January 1973. Range 25 km, altitude 2000 ft (610 m).






Fig. 4. Hall Basin, 8 March 1973. Range 25km, altitude 2000 ft (610 m).



5 (a)



5 (b)

Fig. 5. North entrance to Robeson Channel (a) and Hall Basin (b), 17 August 1973. Range 25 km, altitude 4000 ft (1220 m).




Fig. 6. Northern Hall Basin, 21 April 1962, imaged by the USAF with an AN/APQ-56 SLAR from 60,000 ft (18,300 m). (From Anderson 1968).




Fig. 7. Kennedy Channel, 8 March 1973. Range 25 km, altitude 2000 ft (610 m).




Fig. 8. Kennedy Channel, 17 August 1973. Range 25 km, altitude 4000 ft (1220 m). The difference in flight altitude between this and Fig. 7 is reflected in the length of radar shadow of the islands.




Fig. 9. Kennedy Channel, 6 April 1974. Range 25 km, altitude 3000 ft (915 m). Southbound.




Fig. 10. The same, northbound, right side of track only.




Fig. 11. The Arctic Ocean between Alert and the pole, at about 84°30 N, 62°15 W. Range 50 km, altitude 4000 ft (1220 m) 8 March 1973.




Fig. 12. (a) IRLS image of ice in Kane Basin, 8 March 1973. (Dark is warm, light is cold). Part of a multi-year floe, with thinner first-year ice at D.




Fig. 12. (b) Enlarged SLAR image showing the same multi-year floe, 13 January 1973 (range 25 km, altitude 2000 ft (610 m)).




Fig. 13. (a) IRLS image of ice in Kane Basin, 8 March 1973, showing a patch of relatively thin ice (dark) among old floes.




Fig. 13. (b) Enlarged SLAR image of the same area, 13 January 1973 (range 25 km, altitude 2000 ft (610)).





Fig. 14. Smith Sound, 13 January 1973. Range 25 km, altitude 3000 ft (915 m) showing the head of the North Water.



15 (a)

15 (b)




Fig. 15. Smith Sound, 8 March 1973. Range 25 km, altitude 2000 ft (610 m).
 (a) Head of North Water (b) Area a few miles south of Cape Alexander.




Fig. 16. Smith Sound, 6 April 1974. Range 25 km, altitude 3000 ft (915 m).




Fig. 17. Looking west across head of North Water, 6 April 1974. Pim Island at left rear.



Fig. 18. Littleton Island, Smith Sound, 6 April 1974.




Fig. 19. (a) North part of Barrow Strait, coast of Bathurst Island at top. 8 April 1974, range 25 km, altitude 6000 ft (1830 m) showing frozen leads.





Fig. 19. (b) Northern Hudson Bay, S.E. coast of Coats Island at top. 8 April 1974, range 25 km, altitude 6000 ft (1830 m) showing open leads.



20 (a)

20 (b)



Fig. 20. Enlarged SLAR image (a) and photograph (b) of area off the south coast of Southampton Island, 8 April 1974. Range 25 km, altitude 6000 ft (1830 m). Box in (a) outlines coverage of (b).




Fig. 21. Pelly Bay (69°N , 90°W), 8 April 1974. Range 25 km, altitude 6000 ft (1830 km). Shows contrast of very rough and smooth fast ice.




Fig. 22. Synthetic aperture SLAR image of the Gulf of St. Lawrence, March 1974. Altitude 37,000 ft (11,399 m) S.E. Prince Edward Island at left.

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D		
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall document is classified)		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY Defence Research Establishment Ottawa Defence Research Headquarters OTTAWA, Ontario K1A 0Z4		2a. DOCUMENT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified
		2b. GROUP NA
3. DOCUMENT TITLE Interpretation of SLAR Imagery of Ice in Nares Strait and the Arctic Ocean		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) REPORT		
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial) DUNBAR, MOIRA		
6. DOCUMENT DATE JANUARY 1975	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 38	7b. NO. OF REFS 10
8a. PROJECT OR GRANT NO. 97-67-05	9a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER(S) DREO Report 712	
8b. CONTRACT NO.	9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO.(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this document)	
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT unlimited		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY DREO	
13. ABSTRACT - Unclassified ① SLAR imagery of Nares Strait was obtained on four flights carried out in January, March and August 1973, and April 1974 by Canadian Forces Maritime Proving and Evaluation Unit in an Argus aircraft equipped with a Motorola APS-94D SLAR; the March flight also covered two lines in the Arctic Ocean, from Alert to the pole and from the pole down the 4°E meridian to the ice edge at about 80°N. No observations on the ground were possible, but some back-up was available on all flights from visual observations recorded in the air, and on the March flight from infrared line-scan and vertical photography. The interpretation of ice features from the SLAR imagery is discussed, and the conclusion reached that in spite of certain ambiguities the technique has great potential which will increase with improving resolution. Extent of coverage per distance flown and independence of light and cloud conditions make it unique among airborne sensors. //		

KEY WORDS

Ice Observations
 Sea Ice
 Nares Strait
 North Water
 SLAR
 IRLS
 Remote Sensing

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the organization issuing the document.
- 2a. **DOCUMENT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the document including special warning terms whenever applicable.
- 2b. **GROUP:** Enter security reclassification group number. The three groups are defined in Appendix 'M' of the DRB Security Regulations.
3. **DOCUMENT TITLE:** Enter the complete document title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a sufficiently descriptive title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification with the usual one-capital-letter abbreviation in parentheses immediately following the title.
4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** Enter the category of document, e.g. technical report, technical note or technical letter. If appropriate, enter the type of document, e.g. interim, progress, summary, annual or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the document. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
6. **DOCUMENT DATE:** Enter the date (month, year) of Establishment approval for publication of the document.
- 7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the document.
- 8a. **PROJECT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable research and development project or grant number under which the document was written.
- 8b. **CONTRACT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number under which the document was written.
- 9a. **ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official document number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this document.
- 9b. **OTHER DOCUMENT NUMBER(S):** If the document has been assigned any other document numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).
10. **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the document, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:
 - (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this document from their defence documentation center."
 - (2) "Announcement and dissemination of this document is not authorized without prior approval from originating activity."
11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.
12. **SPONSORING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring the research and development. Include address.
13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the document itself. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified documents be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the security classification of the information in the paragraph (unless the document itself is unclassified) represented as (TS), (S), (C), (R), or (U).

The length of the abstract should be limited to 20 single-spaced standard typewritten lines; 7½ inches long.
14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a document and could be helpful in cataloging the document. Key words should be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context.