

# Image Cover Sheet

<b>CLASSIFICATION</b>  UNCLASSIFIED	<b>SYSTEM NUMBER</b>  105209 
---	---

**TITLE**  
VACCINES, PASSIVE IMMUNE APPROACHES AND TREATMENT OF BIOLOGICAL AGENTS

**System Number:**  
**Patron Number:**  
**Requester:**

**Notes:**

<b>DSIS Use only:</b>  <b>Deliver to:</b> JR
--

*This page is left blank*

*This page is left blank*

---

BEST COPY POSSIBLE

UNCLASSIFIED

Unlimited Distribution

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT SUFFIELD  
RALSTON, ALBERTA

SUFFIELD SPECIAL PUBLICATION NO. 155



VACCINES, PASSIVE IMMUNE APPROACHES AND  
TREATMENT OF BIOLOGICAL AGENTS

by

J.W. Cherwonogrodzky and V.L. Di Ninno

May 1992

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Unlimited Distribution

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT SUFFIELD

RALSTON, ALBERTA

SPECIAL PUBLICATION NO. 155

**VACCINES, PASSIVE IMMUNE APPROACHES AND  
TREATMENT OF BIOLOGICAL AGENTS**

by

**J.W. Cherwonogrodzky and V.L. Di Ninno**

**WARNING**

"The use of this information is permitted subject to  
recognition of proprietary and patent rights"

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED**ABSTRACT**

Brief summaries are given on examples of bacteria (Bacillus anthracis, Francisella tularensis, Brucella spp, Coxiella burnetii), viruses (Venezuelan equine encephalitis, smallpox, Rift Valley fever), and toxins (botulinum, ricin, staphylococcal enterotoxin B) that are of medical importance. Descriptions, morbidity and mortality, vaccine availability, value of passive immune approaches, recommended therapy, suggested priority for further development and references are given for these examples

**RÉSUMÉ**

Courts sommaires portant sur des exemples de bactéries (*Bacillus anthracis*, *Francisella tularensis*, *Brucella spp.*, *Coxiella burnetii*), de virus (virus de l'encéphalite équine du Venezuela, de la variole, de la fièvre de la vallée du Rift) et de toxines (toxine botulinique, ricine, entérotoxine B staphylococcique) ayant une importance du point de vue médical. Pour ces exemples, on donne plusieurs types de renseignements. description, morbidité et mortalité, existence d'un vaccin, valeur de l'immunothérapie passive, thérapie recommandée, priorités proposées pour ce qui est des nouveaux développements et références.

UNCLASSIFIEDTABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
ABSTRACT.....	0
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	1i
INTRODUCTION.....	1
AGENT SPECIFIC INFORMATION.....	4
a) Bacteria.....	4
i) <i>Bacillus anthracis</i> (anthrax).....	4
ii) <i>Francisella tularensis</i> (tularemia).....	7
iii) <i>Brucella</i> spp. (brucellosis).....	11
iv) <i>Coxiella burneti</i> (Q fever).....	16
b) Viruses.....	19
i) Venezuelan equine encephalitis (VEE).....	19
ii) <i>Variola</i> (smallpox).....	22
iii) Rift Valley fever (RVF).....	24
c) Toxins.....	26
i) Botulinum (Agent X).....	26
ii) Ricin (Agent W).....	29
iii) Staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB).....	31
Appendix A .....	34

UNCLASSIFIED

## INTRODUCTION

During the Middle East Crisis, the Coalition Forces were faced with an opponent that was prepared to wage war with unconventional weapons. Chemical warfare agents were stockpiled, environmental terrorism had been initiated (e.g. massive oil spills in the Arabian Sea, detonation of several hundred oil wells in Kuwait), nuclear capability was researched, and a germ warfare program was considered to be in progress.

As effective antidotes were available for the chemical warfare agents while the need of "high-tec" for nuclear capability was temporarily restrictive for Iraq, biological warfare (BW) agents were potentially a greater threat than other means of war. For the use of BW agents:

- only "low-tec" capabilities are needed for their production
- pathogenic bacteria or viruses are easily obtained (several are often endemic to a given area)

*BW AGENTS CAN BE ABOUT A MILLION-TIME MORE EFFECTIVE THAN  
chemical agents in debilitating people (see Appendix A)*

- only small amounts are needed to contaminate an area (see Appendix A).

UNCLASSIFIED

Also, unlike the other weapons, BW agents, such as some bacteria or viruses, can:

- infect an individual without their knowledge of such an occurrence
- grow within their hosts (instead of deteriorating or being inactivated with time, these may increase in potency)
- spread from soldier to soldier and be brought back to civilian populations,
- adapt and change their characteristics so as to overcome the defences of their host
- hide within tissues or cells of the host and cause relapses of disease over several years

Although BW agents are potentially a greater threat than other weapons of war, these are also the most readily countered with the appropriate vaccines, inactivating treatments, or antibiotics. Other consequences of having effective medical countermeasures are that:

- effective vaccines can protect a soldier against a BW agent for several years
- as several BW agents are also diseases endemic to some areas, a soldier protected against a BW agent may also be protected against a local disease (i.e. while he is on a peace-keeping duty).
- some medical countermeasures are broad spectrum in that a

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

prophylactic measure or therapy against one disease may be effective against several others

- in a theatre of war, the victor may be the one, not with the most weapons, but the one with the only vaccine
- the disarmament and destruction of BW stockpiles in foreign countries will probably progress more readily when the weapons are rendered useless by medical defences.

With the recognition that diseases or toxins are serious threats to either military scenarios or peace-keeping roles, there have been several requests for brief summaries on the availability and efficacy of existing vaccines, passive immune approaches, chemotherapeutic and other treatments for some medically significant biological agents. The following review, therefore, has been written to answer some of these needs. However, it should be noted that as defensive measures do not exist at this time for some of these agents, some existing vaccines are only partially effective and then only against a low dose challenge, and some strains may be resistant to existing therapies, this paper should be viewed only as a first draft that will require subsequent updating, revisions and additions. For the time being, this paper does attempt to clarify what is presently available for each threat agent and, where none exists, to offer suggestions as to what may be possible.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

## AGENT SPECIFIC INFORMATION

## a) BACTERIA:

i) Bacillus anthracis (anthrax): This large (1-20 um) gram-positive non-motile bacterium has a protective polyglutamate capsule. It grows rapidly and in fulminative infections, at the later stage of disease, as much as 1/4 of the blood volume may be bacteria (personal communications, John Ezzel, USAMRIID). Toxins are then released and, after the blood cells are lysed, the serum has a black (anthracite) appearance. At the end of a growth cycle, endospores form which are resistant to high temperatures and can persist in the environment for decades. These are susceptible to bleach or steam sterilization.

Morbidity and mortality: Transmission is made through scratches or abrasions of the skin, wounds and inhalation of spores. The infectious dose is about 1300 spores by inhalation (LCDC Material Safety Data Sheet). Incubation is 1-7 days but may be less than 1 day for pulmonary cases. Mortality for untreated cutaneous anthrax is up to 25%, for pulmonary cases it is almost 100%, and for rare intestinal cases it is fatal (1).

Vaccine availability: Protection is not significant if vaccination is with killed cells, but vaccination is effective if Protective Antigen (PA), a toxin found in culture supernatants,

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

is used with an adjuvant. A vaccine made by absorbing the culture supernatant to alum is available through the Biologic Products Program, Michigan Department of Public Health, East Lansing, Michigan (2). During the Middle East Crises, supply was a key limitation. Priority should be given to stockpiling the vaccine, determining its limitations and having quality assurance.

Value of passive immune approaches: Recovery from an anthrax infection does result in a high titre of serum antibodies to the whole cell (3). However, as protective antigen (PA) is the key component for making the edema factor (EF) and lethal factor (LF) active (4), antibodies to PA would probably be effective in prophylaxis against disease. Since the vegetative cells of B. anthracis grow rapidly in the blood and have a protective capsule, antibiotic prophylaxis may limit the disease.

Recommended therapy: The vegetative cells are usually sensitive to several antibiotics. If penicillin-sensitive, give 2 million units/i.v./every 2 hours, if penicillin-resistant give either ciprofloxacin at 1000 mg p.o., then 750 mg/p.o./12 hours or doxycycline at 200 mg/i.v. then 100 mg/i.v./12 hours (5).

Priorities for further development: Animal studies should be done on the efficacy and storage limitations of the current and newly developed vaccines. As PA is a key element to protection,

UNCLASSIFIED

research should be done to maximize production and purity of PA (see above, vaccine availability), determine if adjuvants (other than alum) better enhance immunity, and if PA "inactive" mutants produce a component which can be given directly as an immunogen. Studies of the live attenuated vaccines, such as B. subtilis containing PA, "Sterne-like" strains, or the newly developed recombinant vaccinia expressing PA should continue (4).

Prophylaxis with antibiotics, especially within release-delayed forms of liposomes or microspheres to extend their persistence in the body, should be investigated.

References:

1. Gander, T.J. (ed.). 1991. Jane's NBC Protection Equipment 991-92, Jane's Information Group Inc., Coulsdon, Surrey, U.K., p. 4.
2. Ivins, B.E., S.L. Welkos, S.F. Little, M.H. Crumrine, and G.C. Neiser. 1992. Immunization against anthrax with Bacillus anthracis protective antigen combined with adjuvants. Infect. Immun. 60: 662-668.
3. Purcell, W. 1973. Textbook of microbiology, W.B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia. page 612
4. Pistrup, J.D., and B.E. Ivins. 1983. Elaboration of Bacillus anthracis antigens in a new, defined culture medium. Infect. Immun. 39: 483-486.
5. Friedlander, A.M. 1990. Antibacterial chemotherapy. TTCP-4, USAMRIID, Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD, Annex V.

UNCLASSIFIED

ii) *Francisella tularensis* (tularemia): This small (0.2-0.3  $\mu$ m) gram-negative pleomorphic bacterium is a fatal disease of wild rodents. The organism is viable for weeks in water, soil and hides, and for years in frozen rabbit meat. It is very sensitive to high temperatures (40°C) and common disinfectants.

Morbidity and mortality: Transmission is made by infection through the skin, eyes or lungs, or by being bitten by infected flies and ticks. The infectious dose is about 10 organisms (LCDC Material Safety Data Sheet). For people accidentally infected, there is a sudden onset of chills, fever, incapacitation and pneumonic complications. The chronic form may cause enlargement of the lymph glands or typhoid-like symptoms. Clinical signs of disease are either pleuropulmonary or ulceroglandular (headache, fevers, ulcers at site of entry). Strains can be categorized as being either type A (North America, highly virulent, 10% mortality in people without treatment) or type B (Europe, Asia, less virulent, 1% mortality rate).

Vaccine availability: A live attenuated vaccine strain (*F. tularensis* LVS) is available from the CDC in Atlanta, Georgia (1). A retrospective analysis of researchers vaccinated and then exposed to the bacterium in the laboratory, showed that the incidence of typhoidal tularemia fell about 20-fold while the incidence of ulceroglandular tularemia remained unchanged (2).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Another study (3) showed that although it did proliferate in monkeys at the site of intratradermal or aerogenic inoculation, the infection was benign and temporary. It has been suggested that the vaccine has its limitations and may offer inadequate protection by the current schedule of immunization (4, 5).

Value of passive immune approaches: Protection appears to be given by cell-mediated responses following vaccination. However, the protection by antibodies (monoclonal vs polyclonal) should be investigated.

Recommended therapy: F. tularensis is usually sensitive to streptomycin. For the treatment of infections, either 15-20 mg streptomycin/kg/day for 14 days or 3-5 mg gentamicin/kg/day for 14 days should be given. For possible aerosol infection, either 2 gm tetracycline/day for 14 days or 100 mg. doxycycline b.i.d. for 14 days should be given (6).

Priorities for further development: antibiotics: In mice, liposome-encapsulation of ciprofloxacin greatly enhanced its effectiveness. A single intravenous dose offered either 2-3 days protection against acquiring the disease (10 LD<sub>50</sub>), or effective treatment 3-7 days after infection (7). Greater effectiveness was obtained for intranasal delivery. Histopathologic studies showed that, even if the bacterium was given to mice by different routes

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

(i.v., i.p., i.n.), the most affected organ was the lung (8). Further work is needed on liposome formulation, aerosol delivery mechanisms and challenge limits.

vaccines: We are investigating the concept of one O-polysaccharide vaccine to protect against several BW agents (see brucellosis). Also, although other researchers have found no evidence of toxins nor virulence factors associated with E. tularensis, it is likely that some do exist that account for differences in virulence with similar appearing strains and for the reports of necrosis at the sight of infection. We have observed that growing the bacterium in synthetic medium derepresses capsule formation (9) and will investigate these culture supernatants for the expression of other virulence factors of vaccine potential.

passive immunity: Protection by monoclonal vs polyclonal antibodies to this bacterium should be investigated.

References:

1. Reese, R.E., and R.G. Douglas, Jr. (ed.). 1986. A practical approach to infectious diseases, Little/Brown and Company, Boston/Toronto, page 735.
2. Burke, D.S. 1977. Immunization against tularemia: analysis of the effectiveness of live Francisella tularensis vaccine in prevention of laboratory-acquired tularemia. J. Infect. Dis. 135:55-60

UNCLASSIFIED

3. Eigelsbach, H.T., J.J. Tulis, M.H. McGavran, and J.D. White. 1962. Live tularemia vaccine. I. Host-parasite relationship in monkeys vaccinated intracutaneously or aerogenically. J. Bacteriol. 84: 1020-1027.
4. Green, T.W., and Eigelsbach, H.T. 1950. Immunity in tularemia. Report of two cases of proved reinfection. Intern. Med. 85: 777-782.
5. Metre, T.F. van, Jr., and P.J. Kadull. 1959. Laboratory acquired tularemia in vaccinated individuals: a report of 62 cases. Ann. Intern. Med. 50:621-632.
6. Friedlander, A.M. 1990. Antibacterial chemotherapy. TTCP-4, USAMRIID, Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD, Annex V.
7. Di Ninno, V.L., J.W. Cherwonogrodzky, J.P. Wong, L.L. Stadnyk and M.H. Knodel. 1992. Liposome-encapsulated ciprofloxacin is effective in the protection and treatment of BALB/c mice against *Francisella tularensis* (to be submitted)
8. Schofield, L.N., J.W. Cherwonogrodzky, and M.H. Knodel. 1990. The histopathology of *F. tularensis* LVS on BALB/c mice. TTCP-4, USAMRIID, Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD. Annex K.
9. Cherwonogrodzky, J.W., V.L. Di Ninno, M.H. Knodel and M.R. Spence. 1990. Pathogenicity of *Francisella tularensis* live vaccine strain (LVS). TTCP-4, USAMRIID, Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD. Annex J.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

iii) *Brucella* spp. (brucellosis): This gram-negative facultative parasitic bacterium thrives in white blood cells, resides quiescently in tissues and bone-marrow, and is seldom eliminated. At low temperatures it can survive in soil for 10 weeks and in liquid manure for 2.5 years. Contaminated materials are easily sterilized or disinfected by common methods.

Morbidity and mortality: Transmission to humans is usually by the ingestion of contaminated dairy products or meat, although laboratory workers are usually infected by the aerosol route. The infectious dose is unknown, but it is probably about 10 organisms. Symptoms are "undulant" fever, headaches, arthritis, depression and nausea. Some strains are more pathogenic than others with *B. melitensis*  $\geq$  *B. suis* > *B. abortus* (still very pathogenic) > *B. canis*. *Brucella ovis* and *B. neotomae* are not known to be pathogenic. Incubation before disease is from 6-60 days or more (the average is 14 days). The susceptibility of humans to *B. abortus* is about 50% and of these the mortality is 2-3%. The susceptibility of humans to *B. melitensis* or *B. suis* is about 75-80% and mortality is 3-6% (1).

Vaccine availability: There is no human vaccine available for Canadians. The Russians have used *B. abortus* strain 19, an attenuated strain that is used to immunize cattle, but the resulting illnesses showed little advantage. The Chinese have

UNCLASSIFIED

developed the vaccine strain B. suis biotype 1 strain 2 that is given orally, and they recently view this vaccine as "Top Secret" (though a stock is available from the Ministry of Agriculture, Weybridge, England). There is a phenol insoluble (PI) crude residue fraction (Brucellin-INRA) developed in France which appears to be protective but does give a high incidence of reactogenicity (?).

Value of passive immune approaches: In the mouse model, monoclonal antibodies against the O-polysaccharide (OPS) of Brucella have provided some protection. However, despite bacterial numbers being reduced about 1000-fold, infections persisted and increased after treatment stopped (3). The Russians have studied a combination of therapies in the mouse model; notably, immunization with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from Brucella followed by passive immunity.

Recommended therapy: Most clinicians prefer doxycycline (100 mg daily) rather than oral tetracycline (500 mg/4 times daily) for 4-6 weeks. For more seriously ill patients, streptomycin (1 g/d intramuscular, 2-3 weeks) is combined with doxycycline or tetracycline therapy (4). Colleagues that have had brucellosis, found the treatment effective but only after the symptoms were expressed, and infection was still life-long.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Priorities for further development: vaccines: i) We have had success in liposome-encapsulated LPS and OPS as effective vaccines in the mouse model (5). Large animal studies, possibly in Venezuela (pigs), Peru (goats), or Colombia (cattle) are required.

ii) DRES has a contract with the United Nations University (Tokyo) for the development of novel vaccines (an LPS-free 14 Kd protein, Chile; an 18 and 28 Kd protein clone, Argentina), and the testing of strain RB51 (in guinea pigs, Colombia; pregnant cattle, Ecuador). Within a Mexican laboratory investigating Brucella, of the 10 researchers that received the French vaccine, none acquired the disease although their sera has shown sero-conversion or exposure to the organism; of the 3 workers that refused the vaccine, all came down with the disease. Comparisons should be done on the efficacy of this vaccine.

iii) As B. abortus, B. melitensis, B. suis, Vibrio cholerae, Salmonella landau and godesburg, Yersinia enterocolitica O:9, and possibly F. tularensis and Y. pestis, have derivatives of the same highly immunogenic O-polysaccharide sugar on their cell walls (6), we have initiated a study (7) with the goal of developing 1 vaccine to protect against 9 diseases.

UNCLASSIFIED

iv; Dr. Om Suriyaballi of Animal Diseases Research Institute (Nepean, Ontario, Canada) has cloned the B. abortus O-chain expression into E. coli. Dr. Tom Dees of Texas A&M University is comparing the efficacy of B. neotomae and the Chinese B. suis strain 2 live vaccines.

antibiotics: We have found that liposome encapsulation improves the efficacy of ciprofloxacin both in the prophylaxis and treatment of Brucella. Unfortunately, it also appears that the formulation of these liposome (i.e. "designer" liposomes) is crucial for effective treatment. We are about to begin the study of this treatment in clearing brucellosis infections from goats in Peru.

passive immunity: It is unknown whether the limits to passive immunity can be overcome by using monoclonal antibodies with greater affinities and wider specificities, or if polyvalent antibodies (which can coat the bacterium more effectively and hence initiate the complement cascade) are more effective.

In the model of mice receiving anti-flu antibodies encapsulated in liposomes, protection and treatment was greatly enhanced (8). Potentially this technology can be applied also to the treatment of brucellosis. Dr. Thomas Ewart, Managing Director of Therimmune Scientific Ltd, 110 McClure Dr., King City, Ontario, L0G 1K0 (416-979-5295) voiced the potential for creating human monoclonals (these would have a longer half-life in the body and would provoke fewer allergic responses).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIEDReferences:

1. Gander, T.J.(ed.). 1991. Jane's NBC Protection Equipment 1991-92, Jane's Information Group Inc., Coulsdon, Surrey, U.K., p.4.
2. Arnaud-Bosq, C., M. Brun, and J. Roux. 1986. Profil immunologique d'une population exposee a la brucellose, non vaccinee par la fraction PI depuis 1 an ou moins. Med.Mal.Infect. 1:31.
3. Montaraz, J.A., A.J. Winter, D.M. Hunter, B.A. Sowa, A.M. Wu, and L.G. Adams. 1986. Protection against Brucella abortus in mice with O-polysaccharide-specific monoclonal antibodies. Infect. Immun. 51 961-963.
4. Young, E.J. 1989. Treatment of brucellosis in humans. In: E.J. Young, and M.J. Corbel (ed.), Brucellosis: clinical and laboratory aspects. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 131-133.
5. Wong, J.P., J.W. Cherwonogrodzky, v.L. Di Nirno, L.L. Stadnyk and M.H. Knodel. (submitted) Liposome potentiation of humoral immune response to lipopolysaccharide and O-polysaccharide antigens of Brucella abortus.
6. Cherwonogrodzky, J.W., G. Dubray, E. Moreno, and H. Mayer. 1990. Antigens of Brucella. In: K. Nielsen and J.R. Duncan (ed.), Animal brucellosis, CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 22-23.
7. Cherwonogrodzky, J.W., M.L. van hoek, and B. Brooks. 1990. Development of one vaccine for Brucella abortus and Francisella tularensis. TTCP-4, USAMRIID, Ft. Detrick, MD, Annex M.
8. Wong, J.P. 1990. Experimental immunotherapy. TTCP-4, USAMRIID, Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD, Annex U.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

iv) *Coxiella burnetii* (Q fever): This small, obligately parasitic gram-variable bacterium grows profusely in the yolk sac of embryonated hen's eggs (1) and matures from a pleomorphic cell to a very resistant body. It can probably persist on surfaces from 5-60 days, is resistant to 40°C and 0.5% phenol, but is susceptible to 0.5% formalin (2).

Morbidity and Mortality: This bacterium is unique among the rickettsial diseases in that it does not require transmission by an insect bite but can infect by the aerosol route. Clinical symptoms are severe fever, sweats, pneumonitis, pericarditis, hepatitis, and generalized infections. The incubation period is from 14-26 days with a mean of 19 days (2). Only 10 bacteria are needed to cause infection, and the fatality rate is usually less than 1% (LCDC Material Safety Data Sheet) although it can be as high as 4% during an epidemic.

Vaccine availability: An investigational inactivated vaccine is available through USAMRIID, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD. Phase I cells are purified, killed with formaldehyde, lyophilized, extracted with chloroform:methanol at 4:1 (the solvent removed a toxic component), and the residue (suspended in saline) has been shown to be effective in giving protection to mice (3).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Value of passive immune approaches: The disease is self-limiting and in the patient antibodies to Q-fever occur throughout a chronic infection. As the parasite resides within host cells, it is sequestered from the effects of humoral antibodies. It also immunosuppresses lymphocytes (3) and this may be an evolutionary means of the parasite controlling the host to ensure its survival. If antibodies are encapsulated within liposomes, these might reach the parasite within the host cell and limit disease.

Recommended therapy: Antibiotic prophylaxis has not been shown to be effective (2), possibly because the bacterium sequesters within host cells where it is protected from circulating antibiotics. For treatment, tetracycline is the antibiotic of choice, with chloramphenicol an alternative, over 2 weeks (4).

Priorities for further development: Efficacy of the noted vaccine has not been determined in humans. The use of liposomes to enhance the prophylaxis and treatment with antibiotics or antibodies (see *P. fulgencis*) should be investigated.

Reference:

1. Burrows, W. 1973. Textbook of microbiology, W.B. Saunders Co., Toronto, pp. 848-849.
2. Gander, T.J. (ed.). 1991. Jane's NBC Protection Equipment 1991-92, Jane's Information Group Inc., Coulsdon, Surrey, U.K.,

UNCLASSIFIED

p.4.

3. Williams, J.C., T.A. Damrow, D.M. Waag, and K.-I. Amano. 1986. Characterization of a phase I Coxiella burnetii chloroform-methanol residue vaccine that induces active immunity against Q fever in C57BL/10 ScN mice. Infect. Immun. 51:851-858.
4. Reese, R.E., and R.G. Douglas, Jr. (ed.) 1986. A practical approach to infectious diseases, Little/Brown and Company, Boston/Toronto, page 735.

UNCLASSIFIED

## b) VIRUSES

) Venezuelan equine encephalitis (VEE): This alphavirus that belongs to the family Togaviridae, is an enveloped single-stranded RNA virus that is about 70 nm in diameter (1). Although it is resistant to low temperatures and phenol, it is susceptible to heat (78°C/30 min) and formalin (2).

Morbidity and mortality: Although its transmission to humans is usually by insect bites (e.g. mosquitoes), laboratory accidents suggest it can infect by the aerosol route (2). As little as 1 viral unit is needed for infection, and symptoms (after 2-6 days, though incubation can be as short as 1 day) range from 'flu-like symptoms (severe headache, chills, fever, myalgia, nausea, vomiting) to central nervous system involvement, disorientation, convulsions, paralysis, coma and death (1). Fatalities are rare (less than 1%).

Vaccine availability: A killed formalized vaccine C-84 does exist as does an investigational attenuated vaccine strain TC-83. Comparisons have been done on the protection of hamsters against aerosol or subcutaneous challenges (3) and although both vaccines were effective against subcutaneous inoculations, only the attenuated strain was effective against aerosol challenges. As

UNCLASSIFIED

formaldehyde will denature antigens (4), it is understandable why gamma- irradiation (which affects nucleic acid integrity rather than protein conformation) yields a better killed vaccine (5).

Value of passive immune approaches: There is some evidence, at least in animal studies, that antibodies (6) and immuno-modulators (7) may provide some protection against VEE.

Recommended therapy: Unlike Eastern Equine Encephalitis which has a mortality of 80% in patients, the mortality for those with VEE is less than 1% and most patients have mild symptoms (8, 1). No specific treatment is recommended for VEE (1).

Priority for further development: Although death seldom occurs with VEE, its highly infectious nature, short incubation time and its effect on orientation underline the need for protection. The efficacy of existing vaccines should be determined.

References:

1. Material Safety Data Sheet - Infectious Substances, laboratory Centre for Disease Control.
2. Gander, T.J. (ed.). 1991. Jane's NBC Protection Equipment 1991-92, Jane's Information Group Inc., Coulsdon, Surrey, U.K., p. 9-10.

UNCLASSIFIED

3. Jahrling, P.B., and E.H. Stephenson. 1984. Protective efficacies of live attenuated and formaldehyde-inactivated Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis virus vaccines against aerosol challenge in hamsters. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 19: 429-431.
4. Nencioni, L., G. Volpini, S. Peppoloni, M. Bugnoli, T. de Magistris, I. Marsili, and R. Rappuoli. 1991. Properties of pertussis toxin mutant PT-9K/129G after formaldehyde treatment. *Infect. Immun.* 59: 625-630.
5. Reitman, M., and E.J. Tonik. 1971. Immunity to aerosol challenge in guinea pigs immunized with gamma-irradiated Venezuelan equine encephalitis vaccine. *Appl. Microbiol.* 21: 688-692.
6. Huprikar, J., M.C. Dal Canto, and S.G. Rabinowitz. 1990. Protection against lethal Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis (VEE) virus infection by cell-free supernatant obtained from immune spleen cells. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 97: 143-153.
7. Pinto, A.J., P.S. Morahan, and M.A. Brinton. 1988. Comparative study of various immunomodulators for macrophage and natural killer cell activation and antiviral efficacy against exotic RNA viruses. *Int. J. Immunopharmacol.* 10: 197-209.
8. Wiener, S.L., and J. Barrett, 1986. Trauma management for civilian and military physicians, W.B. Saunders Co., Toronto. p. 391.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

ii) **Variola (smallpox)**: The double-stranded DNA poxviruses are the largest and most complex viruses of vertebrates, being brick-shaped with dimensions of 0.3 X 0.2 X 0.1 um. (1). The virus is viable for several years in water at 22-27°C, and in the dry state it is more resistant than in the wet state. It is resistant to common disinfectants, but is susceptible to alcohol or acetone (22°C/1 h) and to moist heat (78°C/10 min.)(2).

Morbidity and mortality: Transmission is made by contact (skin, lesions, pus) with patients having the disease or with articles the patient has contaminated. Incubation is from 7-21 days, averaging 12 days (2). Symptoms are a high fever, generalized infection with pustular rash, toxemia and septic complications. Mortality is about 50% from the "major" form (1).

Vaccine availability: The disease has been eradicated due to the effectiveness of vaccinia, and indeed the word "vaccine" is derived from this treatment. As this agent had a long and varied passage history since Jenner's discovery of the efficacy of cowpox in 1798, different strains of vaccinia virus vary in their properties possibly because these were derived from either cowpox (1) or smallpox (3). As smallpox has been eradicated and since the vaccine may cause pustular lesions, encephalitis and fetal vaccinia, immunization against smallpox has been stopped. A vaccine is available but only in restricted stocks.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Value of passive immune approaches: Gamma-globulin, prepared from the sera of persons who were recently vaccinated (4-6 weeks before bleeding) prevents smallpox in those recently exposed to infection (3). Passive immunity is feasible as a means of prophylaxis or treatment.

Recommended therapy: Without a vaccine, the drug thiosemicarbazone is 75-95% effective as a prophylactic measure to prevent disease. It's effectiveness is often diminished because it also induces severe vomiting (1).

Priority for further development: Vaccinia is often used as a vehicle for recombinant vaccines. It is likely that these recombinants would protect also against smallpox.

References:

1. Fenner, F., and D.O. White. 1970. Medical Virology, Academic Press, New York, pp. 19, 251, 254, 259, 262.
2. Gander, T.J.(ed.). 1991. Jane's NBC Protection Equipment 1991-92, Jane's Information Group, Coulsdon, Surrey, U.K., p. 10.
3. Wilson, G.S., and A.A. Miles. 1955. Topley and Wilson's principles of bacteriology and immunity, Edward Arnold (Publ.) Ltd., London, p. 2117, 2123

UNCLASSIFIED

iii) **Rift Valley fever (RVF)** : This 23-35 nm Phlebovirus has 3 RNA segments, the smallest of which codes for the nucleocapsid (1). The virus is destroyed by 73°C/40 min.(2).

Morbidity and mortality: Transmission is usually by the bites of mosquitoes but incidences involving laboratory workers suggest infection by inhalation. It is highly infectious, incubation is from 1-4 days and both the onset of disease and recovery is rapid (2). Although clinical symptoms are often mild, when illness does occur the symptoms are pain in the extremities and joints, nausea, violent headaches, high fever and malaise (1).

Vaccine availability: There have been several advances in the development of attenuated strains, killed preparations, deletion mutants and recombinant subunit vaccines (3). A formalin-killed vaccine does protect humans from RVF. A mutagenized strain and a vaccinia-vectored recombinant may be other alternatives.

Value of passive immune approaches: Recovery from RVF is usually followed by immunity and neutralizing antibody in the blood (5). Passive immunity has been observed in the hamster model (4).

Recommended therapy: Current research has brought forth several means for therapy (ribavirin, ribamidine, liposomal-encapsulation of ribavirin, poly(ICLC), 9-beta-D-ribofuranosylpurine-6-carbox-

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

quides, immunomodulators such as glucan or interferon, lipoidal adjuvants and antibody treatment). Comparisons have yet to be done to determine which are the most effective.

Priority for further development: The efficacy of the various tried vaccines against those newly developed should be compared. Of the several means of treatment, comparisons should be made to determine which few are the most effective and if some are broad-spectrum for other viral diseases.

References:

1. Takehara, K., M-Min, J.K. Battles, K. Sugiyama, V.C. Emery, J. M. Dalrymple, and D.H.L. Bishop. 1989. Identification of mutations in the M RNA of a candidate vaccine strain of Rift Valley Fever Virus. *Virology* 169: 452-457.
2. Gander, T.J. (ed.). 1991. *Jane's NBC Protection Equipment 1991-92*, Jane's Information Group, Coulsdon, Surrey, U.K., p. 3.
3. Peters, C.J. 1990. Vaccines against Rift Valley Fever. *TTCF-3*, USAMRIID, Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD. Annex N.
4. Niklasson, B.S., G.F. Meadors, C.J. Peters. Active and passive immunization against Rift Valley fever virus infection in Syrian hamsters. 1984. *Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Immunol. Scand. [C]* 92: 197-200.
5. Wilson, G.S., and A.A. Miles. 1955. *Topley & Wilson's principles of bacteriology and immunity*, Edward Arnold Publ., pp. 2211-12.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

## c) TOXINS:

i) Botulinum (Agent X): Produced by the anaerobic bacterium Clostridium botulinum, this toxin is a high m.w. protein and 7 immunological types, A-G, have been found. It is stable for a week in non-aerated water, differs from other bacterial toxins in that it is not destroyed by gastro-intestinal secretions, but it is destroyed when boiled for 15 min. It should be noted that botulinum spores (which can germinate and produce the toxin under aerobic conditions) can survive boiling for 6 hours (1).

Morbidity and mortality: Transmission is usually through eating contaminated foods but the toxin may enter through breaks in the skin or by inhalation. The time before symptoms varies with the route of entry and the concentration of toxin (1). Symptoms are intoxication involving the nervous system and about 1/3 of the patients die within 3-7 days, usually to respiratory failure (2). The dose for an LD<sub>50</sub> in humans is 0.00003 ug/kg (3).

Vaccine availability: An effective pentavalent (ABCDE) vaccine has been developed by USAMRIID. Previously, a type A and B toxoid gave suitable protection after multiple injections, and a booster inoculation at 1 year raised the titre 500-fold over minimal protective levels with high titres persisting for 2 years (4).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Value of passive immune approaches: Anti-toxin antiserum, produced from horse serum, has been used as a treatment in the neutralization of the toxin. However, serum sickness and in severe cases, anaphylactic shock and glomerulonephritis, may result (5). There is probably more merit in the use of antibodies as prophylaxis, before the noted injury occurs to the neural tissue site.

Recommended therapy: Other than alleviating the symptoms and maintaining life-support, anti-toxin does reduce the side effects of botulism poisoning (6). The use of zinc (7), 4-aminopyridine and 3,4-diaminopyridine (8) appear to have a minor therapeutic value on some of the type toxins.

Priorities for further development: The efficacy of the vaccines is tested on laboratory animals, and yet animals appear to have different susceptibilities than humans. A better means of determining the effectiveness of existing vaccine or lots should therefore be considered (in vitro assays using human cell lines?). Work on novel vaccines (toxin subunits, de-activated derivatives, peptide fragment) should continue. Collaboration has begun between DRES (Dr. Les Nagata) and ADRI-Lethbridge (Dr. S. Masri) to develop genetically cloned human antibodies.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIEDReferences:

1. Gander, T.J.(ed.). 1991. Jane's NBC Protection Equipment 1991-92, Jane's Information Group, Coulsdon, Surrey, U.K., p. 12.
2. Material Safety Data Sheet - Infectious Substances, Laboratory Centre for Disease Control.
3. Beckett, B. 1983. Weapons of tomorrow, Plenum Press, N.Y., pp. 156-157.
4. Burrows, W. 1973. Textbook of microbiology, W.B. Saunders Co., Toronto, pp.636-641.
5. Eisen, H.N. 1974. Immunology: an introduction to molecular and cellular principles of the immune response, Harper and Row, Hagerstown, MD, pp. 527-555.
6. Scott, A.B.1988. Antitoxin reduces botulinum side effects. Eye. 2:29-32.
7. Nishimura, M. et al.Zinc antagonizes the effect of botulinum type A toxin at the mouse neuromuscular junction. Experientia. 15:18-20.
8. Simpson, L.L. 1986. a preclinical evaluation of aminopyridines as putative therapeutic agents in the treatment of botulism. Infect. Immun. 52: 858-862.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

ii) Ricin (Agent W): Produced by the castor bean, Ricinus communis, ricin consists of two hemagglutinins (RCL I and II) and 2 toxins (RCL III or D and IV). The toxins are dimers (66,000 m.w.), the agglutinins are tetramers (130,000 m.w.) (1).

Morbidity and mortality: Symptoms depend on the dose and route of entry (gastro-intestinal hemorrhage if given orally, organ necrosis if given intramuscularly, nasal and lung necrosis if given as an aerosol (2). The LD<sub>50</sub> is 0.02 ug/kg (3).

Vaccine availability: A ricin toxoid has been developed which when used as vaccine protects against toxin given by inhalation (2). Chemical modifications (4), reduction of the disulfide bridge (5), and deglycosylation (ricin enters the cell by a mannose receptor)(6) may be new tools for producing vaccines.

Value of passive immune approaches: Studies have shown that anti-ricin IgG protects animals, but its effectiveness is more pronounced against respiratory than intravenous exposures (2).

Recommended therapy: There is little literature on the treatment of ricin intoxication other than to maintain life support.

UNCLASSIFIED

Priorities for further development: As ricin binds to galactose, if a polysaccharide of this sugar (agar is a polymer of galactose having sulfhydryl groups) can be introduced into the cell (i.e. liposome therapy?) it may have some therapeutic value as might inactivating monoclonal antibody that binds to ricin's galactose-binding domain (7). As there is little effective therapy once the damage has been done, the best option may be to protect troops with vaccines or protective human monoclonals.

References:

1. Windholz, M., S. Budavari, R.F. Blumetti, E.S. Otterbein (ed.) 1983. The Merck Index, Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, N.J., p.1186.
2. D.R. Franz. 1990. Biological Toxins. TTCP-4, USAMRIID, Annex P.
3. Beckett, B. 1983. Weapons of tomorrow, Plenum Press, N.Y., p.156
4. Vitetta, E.S. 1986. Synergy between immunotoxins prepared with native ricin A chains and chemically-modified ricin B chains. J. Immunol. 136:1880-7
5. Wright, H.T., et al. 1987. The intersubunit disulfide bridge of ricin is essential for cytotoxicity. Arc. Bioch. Biophys. 256:280
6. Foxwell, B.M. 1987. The preparation of deglycosylated ricin by recombination of glycosidase-treated A&B-chains: effects of deglycosylation on toxicity and in vivo distribution. BBA 923
7. Colombatti, M. et al. 1987. Identification and characterization of a monoclonal antibody recognizing a galactose-binding domain of the toxin ricin. J. Immunol. 138:3339-3344.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

iii) Staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB): The bacterium Staphylococcus aureus (a common skin contaminant) produces several enterotoxins (SEA-SEE) (1) of which SEB is usually produced in the greatest amounts and is one of the most potent. Unlike most bacterial exotoxins, SEB is stable in boiling water (resistant to boiling/30 min) and to potable quantities of chlorine (2).

Morbidity and mortality: Transmission is usually by the ingestion of contaminated foods, but death in animals does occur when it is given intravenously (toxic shock syndrome). Incubation is relatively short (30 min - 4h), and recovery usually occurs within 24 hours. Clinical symptoms are sudden and with violent onsets of severe nausea, vomiting, stomach cramps, severe nausea and incapacitation. Fatalities are rare but do occur (2). The dose for an ED<sub>50</sub> (incapacitation) is 0.04 ug/kg (3).

Vaccine availability: A micro-encapsulated toxoid of SEB has been developed that produces an immune response in mice (4).

Value of passive immune approaches: To date passive immunity with antibodies raised to culture filtrates has not been successful, possibly due to the immune response to the other components, rather than to enterotoxin.

UNCLASSIFIED

Recommended therapy: In unsensitized monkeys, cimetidine and diazepam completely prevented emesis and diarrhea upon gastric challenge with SEB. The former compound is a selective blocker of the H<sub>2</sub> (histamine) receptor, the latter is a calcium channel blocker (1).

Priorities for further development: To develop a means of treatment or protection, the mechanism by which SEB induces its effects should be elucidated. Something as simple as diet, or the ingestion of an antagonist (4), may be all that is needed to prevent the symptoms of severe vomiting. If regions of SEB can be synthesized that convey antigenicity but not toxicity, novel vaccines could be developed (7). There is also considerable potential in the cloning of the SEB genome, or parts of the genome, into E. coli (1), and with this the expression of sufficient material for new toxoid vaccines.

References:

1. Spero, L., A. Johnson-Winegar, and J.J. Schmidt. 1988. Enterotoxins of staphylococci. In Hardegree, M.C., and A.T. Tu (ed.) Handbook of natural toxins, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, pp. 131-163.
2. Gander, T.J. (ed.). 1991. Jane's NBC Protection Equipment 1991-92, Jane's Information Group, Coulsdon, Surrey, U.K., p. 12.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

3. Beckett, B. 1983. Weapons of tomorrow, Plenum Press, N.Y., pp. 156-157.
4. Franz, D.R. 1990. Biological toxin. TTCP-4, USAMRIID, Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD, Annex P.
5. Burrows, W. 1973. Textbook of microbiology, 20th edition, W.B. Saunders Co., Toronto, p.416.
6. Laegreid, A. et al. 1986. Human and bovine milk: comparison of ganglioside composition and enterotoxin-inhibitory activity. *Pediatr. Res.* 20:416-421.
7. Bhatti, A.R., and V. Micusan. 1990. Synthesis and partial characterization of peptides of Staphylococcus aureus. TTCP-4, USAMRIID, Ft. Detrick, MD, Annex T.

UNCLASSIFIED

## APPENDIX A:

1. Calculations for the relative effectiveness of a BW agent:

The chemical warfare agent, SOMAN, has an LD<sub>50</sub> of  $5 \times 10^1$  ug/kg

The bacterial toxin, BOTULINUM TOXIN, has an LD<sub>50</sub> of  $3 \times 10^{-5}$  ug/kg

The bacterium, Francisella tularensis, weighs about  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  ug.

Assuming 10 organisms will causes infection in a 70 kg man,

and without treatment there is a 10% chance of death

then the LD<sub>10</sub> is  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  ug/70 kg or about  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  ug/kg

2. Calculation for the theoretical contamination of an area 1 km in diameter and 0.5 km in height (a sphere is  $\frac{4}{3} \pi r^3$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Volume of air : } & \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{4}{3} \times 3.14 \times (0.5 \text{ km})^3 \\
 & = 2.09 \quad \times \quad (5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm})^3 \\
 & = 2.09 \quad \times \quad 125 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^3 \\
 & = 2.09 \quad \times \quad 125 \times 10^9 \text{ litres} \\
 & = 2.6 \times 10^{11} \text{ litres}
 \end{aligned}$$

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

If a soldier breathes 50 litres of air/minute and takes 10 seconds to don a gas-mask, he will have inhaled about 8 litres of air.

For an exposure to F. tularensis, assume 10 organisms are sufficient to cause an infection. Hence, the soldier may get infected if he breathes in 10 viable bacteria/8 litres of air (or 1.25 viable bacteria/litre).

The minimum theoretical amount of bacteria to contaminate the given area is therefore:

$$1.25 \text{ bacteria/litre} \times 2.6 \times 10^{11} \text{ litres} = 3.2 \times 10^{11} \text{ bacteria}$$

This organism weighs about  $10^{-12}$  grams, so only  $3.2 \times 10^{-1}$  gram (about the weight of a paper-clip) of bacteria is needed to contaminate an area 1 km in diameter.

UNCLASSIFIED  
 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF FORM  
 (Highest classification of Title, Abstract, Keywords)

**DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA**

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall document is classified)

<p><b>1 ORIGINATOR</b> (the name and address of the organization preparing the document. Organizations for whom the document was prepared, e.g. Establishment sponsoring a contractor's report, or tasking agency, are entered in section 8.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defence Research Establishment Suffield</p>	<p><b>2 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION</b> (overall security classification of the document, including special warning terms if applicable)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Unclassified</p>	
<p><b>3 TITLE</b> (the complete document title as indicated on the title page. Its classification should be indicated by the appropriate abbreviation (S,C,R or U) in parentheses after the title.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vaccines, Passive Immune Approaches and Treatment of Biological Agents</p>		
<p><b>4 AUTHORS</b> (Last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank, e.g. Doe, Maj. John E.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">CHERWONOGRODZKY, John W and DI NINNO, Vincent L.</p>		
<p><b>5 DATE OF PUBLICATION</b> (month and year of publication of document)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">May 1992</p>	<p><b>6a. NO. OF PAGES</b> (total containing information. Include Annexes, Appendices, etc.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">35</p>	<p><b>6b. NO. OF REFS</b> (total cited in document)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">64</p>
<p><b>6 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES</b> (the category of the document, e.g. technical report, technical note or memorandum. If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g. interim, progress, summary, annual or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Special Report</p>		
<p><b>8 SPONSORING ACTIVITY</b> (the name of the department project office or laboratory sponsoring the research and development. Include the address.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">DRES</p>		
<p><b>9a. PROJECT OR GRANT NO.</b> (if appropriate, the applicable research and development project or grant number under which the document was written. Please specify whether project or grant)</p>	<p><b>9b. CONTRACT NO.</b> (if appropriate, the applicable number under which the document was written)</p>	
<p><b>10a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER</b> (the official document number by which the document is identified by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this document.)</p>	<p><b>10b. OTHER DOCUMENT NOS.</b> (Any other numbers which may be assigned this document either by the originator or by the sponsor)</p>	
<p><b>11 DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY</b> (any limitations on further dissemination of the document, other than those imposed by security classification)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited distribution</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Distribution limited to defence departments and defence contractors; further distribution only as approved</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Distribution limited to defence departments and Canadian defence contractors; further distribution only as approved</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Distribution limited to government departments and agencies; further distribution only as approved</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Distribution limited to defence departments; further distribution only as approved</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify):</p>		
<p><b>12. DOCUMENT ANNOUNCEMENT</b> (any limitation to the bibliographic announcement of this document. This will normally correspond to the Document Availability (11). However, where further distribution (beyond the audience specified in 11) is possible, a wider announcement audience may be selected.)</p>		

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

## SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF FORM

13. **ABSTRACT** is a brief and actual summary of the document. It may also appear elsewhere in the body of the document itself. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified documents be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall begin with an indication of the security classification of the information in the paragraph (unless the document itself is unclassified) represented as (S), (C), (R), or (U). It is not necessary to include here abstracts in both official languages unless the text is bilingual.

Brief summaries are given on examples of bacteria (Bacillus anthracis, Francisella tularensis, Brucella spp., Coxiella burnetii), viruses (Venezuelan equine encephalitis, smallpox, Rift Valley fever), and toxins (botulinum, ricin, staphylococcal enterotoxin B) that are of medical importance. Descriptions, morbidity and mortality, vaccine availability, value of passive immune approaches, recommended therapy, suggested priority for further development and references are given for these examples.

14. **KEYWORDS, DESCRIPTORS or IDENTIFIERS** (technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a document and could be helpful in cataloguing the document. They should be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location may also be included. If possible keywords should be selected from a published thesaurus, e.g. Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms (TEST) and that thesaurus-identified. If it is not possible to select indexing terms which are Unclassified, the classification of each should be indicated as with the title.)

Bacillus anthracis	anthrax	vaccines
Francisella tularensis	tularemia	antibiotics
Brucella spp.	brucellosis	antibodies
Coxiella burnetii	Q-fever	passive immunity
Venezuelan equine encephalitis	VEE	disease
variola	smallpox	
Rift Valley fever	RVF	
botulinum toxin	bacteria	
ricin	viruses	
staphylococcal enterotoxin B	toxins	

#105209

92-02328

UNCLASSIFIED