Fisheries and Oceans Canada | Pêches et Océans Canada
Ecosystems and Oceans Science | Sciences des écosystèmes et des océans

Pacific Region

Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat
Science Response 2025/022

STOCK STATUS UPDATE FOR ARROWTOOTH FLOUNDER *(ATHERESTHES STOMIAS)* FOR THE WEST COAST OF BRITISH COLUMBIA IN 2024

CONTEXT

Arrowtooth Flounder (*Atheresthes stomias*) stock status on the West Coast of British Columbia was last assessed using data from 1996–2021 (DFO 2023; Grandin et al. 2024). In the last assessment (reviewed in 2022; the '2022 stock assessment'), the stock was estimated slightly below the Upper Stock Reference (USR) in the base model and close to the Limit Reference Point (LRP) under one sensitivity model with higher recruitment variation. The model also showed declining estimated spawning stock biomass, declining survey indices, and declining estimated recruitment. Due to these issues, a two-year update on the stock was requested by the Groundfish Management Unit (GMU). This two-year span was chosen since the biennial survey indexes included in the model would each have one new year of data.

The 2022 stock assessment estimated a median stock size at the beginning of 2022 (or end of 2021) of 67.95 kilotonnes (kt) with a credible interval (CI) of 56.14–83.83 kt. When divided by the estimated unfished biomass (B_0), the median relative biomass for 2022 was estimated to be 0.37 with a CI of 0.26–0.51. The estimated median relative biomass for 2011, was estimated to be 0.77 with a CI of 0.53–1.09. The estimated biomass declined each year from 2011–2021.

This Science Response results from the regional peer review on October 2, 2024 on the Update Stock Assessment for Arrowtooth Flounder (*Atheresthes stomias*) in British Columbia.

Description of the Fishery and Management

The commercial fishery for Arrowtooth Flounder has been active since the 1950s. Prior to 2006 there were no limits on the amount of Arrowtooth Flounder that could be caught. In 2006 a Total Allowable Catch (TAC) of 15 kt was established and it remained at this level until 2017. In 2017, the TAC was increased to 17.5 kt and remained there for two years until it was reduced to 14 kt in 2019 as a precautionary measure to address concerns raised by the commercial trawl fleet about their oberved reduction in abundance of Arrowtooth Flounder. In 2020 the TAC was decreased to its current level of 5 kt to address industry concerns regarding declining Arrowtooth Flounder abundance on traditional fishing grounds (DFO 2020).

Before the introduction of 100% at-sea observer coverage in the British Columbia groundfish fleets in 1996, reporting of Arrowtooth Flounder discards in fishery logbooks was mandatory, but since Arrowtooth Flounder were not given a TAC until 2005, there was little incentive for skippers to record discards accurately until at-sea observers were present aboard vessels starting in 1996.

Since the 2022 stock assessment, there have been two years of new Arrowtooth Flounder commercial catch (2022 and 2023). These values are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1 along with the rest of the catch data starting in 1996. The catch (landings + discards) for the Freezer Trawler fleet increased by 30.5% from 2021 to 2022, but then decreased by 48.0% from 2022 to 2023. The Shoreside fleet decreased for both years, by 20.1% from 2021 to 2022, and by 14.6% from 2022 to 2023.



Figure 1. Commercial catch of Arrowtooth Flounder by fleet and gear type. Each year of catch starts on Feb. 21 and ends on Feb. 20. e.g. the year 2005 catch is all catch between Feb. 21, 2005 to Feb. 20, 2006. The shaded grey area from the beginning of the time series to 1996 indicate unreliable data. In the years prior to 1996, many tows of Arrowtooth Flounder were discarded without logs being kept.

Table 1. Recent coastwide commercial fishery landings and discards (tonnes) of Arrowtooth Flounder by fleet. 'Total' columns are the sum of landings and discards by fleet, and 'Total Catch' is the sum of the fleet 'Total' columns.

	Freezer Trawlers			Shoreside			
Year	Landings	Discards	Total	Landings	Discards	Total	Total Catch
1996	0.0	0.7	0.7	4,711.5	3,459.0	8,170.4	8,171.1
1997	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,795.8	2,442.5	5,238.3	5,238.3
1998	0.0	0.0	0.0	4,145.9	3,272.3	7,418.2	7,418.2
1999	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,927.9	4,019.9	7,947.8	7,947.8
2000	6.8	106.3	113.1	4,054.9	3,323.1	7,377.9	7,491.1
2001	12.5	18.9	31.4	8,276.9	2,321.5	10,598.4	10,629.8
2002	28.0	22.4	50.4	5,003.5	2,935.2	7,938.7	7,989.0
2003	6.7	9.4	16.1	4,060.7	3,036.5	7,097.2	7,113.4
2004	0.4	0.0	0.4	6,238.9	3,204.2	9,443.1	9,443.5
2005	1,257.8	340.8	1,598.6	14,979.5	2,235.5	17,215.1	18,813.7
2006	3,302.5	113.5	3,416.0	3,598.8	1,186.5	4,785.3	8,201.3
2007	1,123.4	41.8	1,165.3	1,695.7	1,706.1	3,401.8	4,567.0
2008	1,956.0	189.8	2,145.8	1,920.1	1,372.8	3,292.9	5,438.7
2009	0.0	2.1	2.1	1,259.2	2,616.8	3,876.1	3,878.2
2010	140.5	34.5	175.0	505.6	2,680.4	3,186.0	3,361.0
2011	2,841.8	335.3	3,177.1	3,030.8	2,072.1	5,102.8	8,279.9
2012	3,085.2	326.6	3,411.8	1,784.6	2,043.4	3,827.9	7,239.7
2013	7,375.2	392.6	7,767.8	1,537.9	1,865.2	3,403.1	11,170.8
2014	11,231.9	355.9	11,587.8	1,409.4	1,302.9	2,712.3	14,300.1
2015	8,855.3	637.3	9,492.6	1,194.9	1,125.3	2,320.3	11,812.8
2016	9,367.2	305.2	9,672.4	1,817.7	1,007.8	2,825.5	12,497.9
2017	8,286.9	292.9	8,579.8	2,143.3	680.6	2,823.8	11,403.6
2018	7,527.5	257.3	7,784.8	1,048.3	430.5	1,478.9	9,263.6
2019	5,836.1	312.4	6,148.5	1,191.5	302.7	1,494.2	7,642.7
2020	947.3	26.4	973.7	745.5	220.7	966.2	1,939.9
2021	2,504.4	44.8	2,549.1	1,156.5	270.2	1,426.7	3,975.8
2022	3,213.9	113.3	3,327.2	870.1	269.2	1,139.3	4,466.5
2023	1,638.6	92.8	1,731.4	688.7	283.9	972.6	2,704.0

ANALYSIS AND RESPONSE

Stock Assessment Model

The model used to assess this stock was the Integrated Statistical Catch-at-Age Model (ISCAM). It was tuned to four fishery-independent trawl survey series covering 1996–2023, a Discard CPUE series as an index of abundance, annual estimates of commercial catch from two fleets (Freezer Trawlers and Shoreside), and age composition data from the two fleets in the commercial fishery and the four surveys. A two-sex, two-fleet base model was selected and implemented in a Bayesian context using Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods. Leading parameters estimated included R_0, initial recruitment, h, steepness of the stock-recruitment relationship, $\bar{R}$, average recruitment, and q_k, where $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, catchability of the four surveys and the Discard CPUE index. Selectivity parameters were also estimated for each sex, fleet, and survey.

Parameter estimates and fixed values are given in Table 3. As in the 2022 stock assessment, the natural mortality was fixed at 0.2 for females and 0.35 for males. Selectivity was estimated for all survey indices but fixed for the Discard CPUE ($\hat{a}_{7,sex,1} = 9.5$ and $\gamma_{7,sex,1} = 0.5$ for both sexes in Table 3).

All estimated parameter estimates were close to those in Table 6 of the 2022 stock assessment. The median posterior for B_0 decreased from 184.16 in 2022 stock assessment to 178.50 for this model. The median posterior biomass estimates for most years were also slightly less than the estimates in the 2022 stock assessment, so the relative biomass looks almost identical to Figure 9 in the 2022 stock assessment, other than the two new points for 2022 and 2023 despite the new estimates of B_0 and median posterior biomass estimates being slightly lower in this update. In both cases, relative biomass estimates from 2020 and forward were under the USR $0.4B_0$ reference line.

Survey Indices and Catch

In this update, there is one new survey year for each of the three synoptic surveys included in the model (Figure 5). The Hecate Strait Multispecies Assemblage Survey has a terminal year of 2003 and therefore was not updated with any new data. The West Coast Vancouver Island Synoptic Survey took place in 2022; the Queen Charlotte Sound Synoptic Survey and the Hecate Strait Synoptic Survey both took place in 2023. Design-based estimates were included in the model for the synoptic survey indices. The Discard CPUE Index had a new index point added for each new year, 2022 and 2023 as it is based on the commercial discards in catch. The Discard CPUE Index is created using a Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM), and as such all the indices in the time series are estimated each time new data are added anywhere in the time series. The estimated values for each year in the Discard CPUE Index (the light grey points and bars in Figure 5) were therefore slightly different than those in Figure 14 of the 2022 stock assessment.

The 2022 point for the Discard CPUE Index and the 2023 point for the Queen Charlotte Sound Synoptic Survey were not fit well by the model; however, the median posterior estimates were within the 95% CI for those years. Overall, trends of all index estimates follow those seen in the 2022 stock assessment, with the Queen Charlotte Sound Synoptic Survey and Hecate Strait Synoptic Survey indices being fit slightly better in the 2005–2012 time period than in the 2022 assessment.

The increase in the survey indices for 2023 is driving the increase in estimated biomass for the beginning of 2024 seen in Figures 2 and 3.

Length and Age Data

Sex-specific length data have continued to be recorded for the surveys, but have been almost non-existent in the fishery after 2019. Figure 6 shows a summary of the length data; the lack of data since 2019 is evident, except for a small amount in 2019 and moderate amount in 2023 for the Freezer Trawler fleet. Recent survey data show a simliar trend to previous years, with more, and larger, females in the stock. In 2023, the Freezer Trawler fleet saw a larger proportion of smaller males than the large females.

Samples from 2022 and 2023 were not aged for this update, and therefore not included in the update model. Implications of this are discussed in the next section.

Even though there were no new ages added to the update model, age fits and residuals could have changed with the addition of other data. These were double-checked and did not vary at all from the fits and residuals in the 2022 stock assessment (Figures 16–26), and therefore are not shown in this update in the interest of keeping the document a reasonable length.

Recruitment

The posterior median recruitment was estimated to be below the 95% CI for the R_0 estimate for 2020–2023, with a very large CI (Figure 4). In the 2022 stock assessment, only the last two years of recruitment estimates had large CIs due to uncertainty around age class strength. The last four years of the model presented here have a large CI due to lack of new ages being included in the model for 2022 and 2023. Despite the large uncertainties, the recruitment estimates for 2020–2023 appear to be slightly increasing and higher than those estimated for 2015–2019.

It is recommended that in addition to catch data and survey indices, the next assessment or update incorporate age data from 2022 to present in the model to alleviate this limitation.

Growth

Growth parameters were estimated outside the ISCAM model and the only differences when compared to the 2022 stock assessment were in the scalar in length-weight allometry (α) parameter for males which went from 0.0000095 in the 2022 assessment to 0.0000096, the power in length-weight allometry (β) parameter for females which went from 3.0515274 in the 2022 assessment to 3.0511051, and the power in length-weight allometry (β) parameter for males which went from 2.9741834 in the 2022 assessment to 2.9734923. Table 2 shows all the values input into the model for this update, and can be compared directly with Table A.1 in the 2022 stock assessment (Grandin et al. 2024).

Fishing Mortality and Selectivity

The estimated fishing mortality follows the catch data closely, as this model is tuned to catch. The increase in catch in 2022 in the Freezer Trawler fleet is apparent as is the reduction in catch in 2023 (Figure 7).

Posterior estimates of age-at-50%-harvest ($\hat{a}_k$) and the standard deviation in the logistic selectivity ogive ($\hat{\gamma}_k$) are provided in Table 3. The median posterior estimates of those selectivity parameters were similar for males and females for both commercial gears and the surveys. The estimates were all close to the estimates in Table 6 of the 2022 stock assessment. There were no drastic changes in the selectivity estimates caused by the addition of new catch and survey index data. The selectivity plots are shown in Figure 8 which when compared to Figure 27 in the 2022 stock assessment, shows almost no difference.

MCMC Diagnostics

The Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chain was run to a length of 10,000,000, with every 5,000th posterior sampled, resulting in 2,000 posterior samples saved. Of those saved samples, the first 1,000 were removed as burn-in, leaving 1,000 posterior samples for inference.

Figure 9 shows the traceplots for the leading parameters; all appear stable as they did in the 2022 stock assessment with little autocorrelation.

The catchability parameters appear to show more correlation with each other in this update model than seen in the 2022 stock assessment. A comparison of the pairs plots from this update (Figure 10) and Figure 41 from the 2022 stock assessment shows that there is a higher correlation between the q_1 and q_3 parameters in particular. These are the catchability parameters for the Queen Charlotte Sound Synoptic Survey and the Hecate Strait Synoptic Survey.

The within-chain $\hat{R}$ and Effective sample sizes (ESS) for the main part of the posterior distribution (ESS_{bulk}) as well as the tails (ESS_{tail}) were computed for each lead parameter. All values are considered acceptable for convergence of the MCMC chain. The criteria are a value of 1.01 or less for $\hat{R}$ and a value close to the number of posteriors for the ESS values. Table 4 shows the values calculated.

Projection Assumptions

Projection assumptions for this update were identical to those in the 2022 stock assessment. Projected log recruitment deviations in the years 2025-2027 were drawn randomly with replacement from the estimated 2010–2019 deviations (omitting poorly estimated 2020–2024 deviations). All other model parameterizations remained the same as in the pre-projection period.



Figure 2. Spawning biomass of Arrowtooth Flounder for the base model with B_0 reference points. The solid black line with points show the medians of the posteriors, the shaded ribbon encapsulated by dashed lines covers the 95% CI for the posteriors, the point at B_0 is the median estimate for the unfished biomass, and the vertical line over that point is the 95% confidence interval.



Figure 3. Relative spawning biomass for the base model. The shaded area represents the 95% CI. Horizontal lines indicate the 0.2 B_0 (solid, red) and 0.4 B_0 (dashed, green) reference points. Because the ribbon represents relative spawning biomass (depletion) and the reference points are with respect to B_0, all uncertainty about the ratio of the spawning biomass to the reference points is captured in the ribbon and the reference points are shown as point values.



Figure 4. Recruitment of Arrowtooth Flounder for the base model. The black points are the medians of the posteriors, the vertical black lines are the 95% CIs for the posteriors, the point at R_0 is the median estimate for the initial recruitment parameter R_0, and the vertical line over that point and shaded ribbon across the time series is the 95% CI for R_0.



Figure 5. Index fits for the base model. The light grey points and vertical lines show the index values and 95% CIs; the black points show the medians of the posteriors; the black solid vertical lines show the 95% CIs of the posteriors.

Table 2. Growth parameters estimated outside the ISCAM model. All parameters were estimated using samples from the three synoptic surveys used in the model, and were filtered to include areas 3CD and 5ABCDE only. For the age-at-50% maturity estimates, the following values were used to further filter the data: maturity_convention_code = 4 (flatfish), maturity_code = 5 (Male - Spawning, testes large, white and sperm evident), (Female - Ripe, ovaries containing entirely translucent, mature ova. eggs loose and will run from oviducts under slight pressure), and usability codes = 0 (Unknown), 1 (Fully usable), 2 (Fail, but all data usable), 6 (Gear torn, all data ok).

Parameter	**Female**	**Male**
Asymptotic length (l_{inf})	61.770	47.159
Brody growth coefficient (k)	0.182	0.274
Theoretical age at zero length (t_0)	-0.479	-0.258
Scalar in length-weight allometry (α)	0.0000076	0.0000096
Power parameter in length-weight allometry (β)	3.051	2.973
Age at 50% maturity ($\dot{a}$)	5.566	4.103
SD at 50% maturity ($\dot{\gamma}$)	1.098	0.802

Table 3. Posterior median and 95% CI estimates of key parameters for the base model. Parameters with the same values in all three value columns (2.5%, 50%, and 97.5%) are fixed in the model. The selectivity parameters $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ are the two parameters representing the shape of each logistic selectivity curves. Subscripts for those parameters represent gear number, sex (m/f), and area number (all are area 1 for 'coastwide' in this model). The gear name is the second column in the table and correspond to the gear number subscripts.

Parameter	**Gear**	**Sex**	**2.5%**	**50%**	**97.5%**
R_0			84.07	117.67	168.73
h			0.70	0.88	0.97
M_1	–	female	0.20	0.20	0.20
M_2		male	0.35	0.35	0.35
$\overline{R}$			72.25	84.54	97.75

Parameter	Gear	Sex	2.5%	50%	97.5%
$\overline{R}_{\mathrm{init}}$	–	–	56.43	67.20	79.03
SB_0	–	–	127.53	178.50	255.95
B_{MSY}	–	–	20.97	33.62	61.14
MSY_1	Freezer trawlers	–	4.47	6.32	9.12
F_{MSY_1}	Freezer trawlers	–	0.44	1.36	2.97
U_{MSY_1}	Freezer trawlers	–	0.35	0.74	0.95
MSY_2	Shoreside	–	6.43	9.11	13.13
F_{MSY_2}	Shoreside	–	0.96	3.54	9.09
U_{MSY_2}	Shoreside	–	0.62	0.97	1.00
q_1	QCS Synoptic	–	0.15	0.17	0.20
q_2	HS Multi	–	0.12	0.14	0.15
q_3	HS Synoptic	–	0.14	0.16	0.18
q_4	WCVI Synoptic	–	0.09	0.11	0.15
q_5	Discard CPUE	–	1.20	1.34	1.49
$\hat{a}_{1,\mathrm{f},1}$	Freezer trawlers	female	7.52	8.08	8.65
$\hat{\gamma}_{1,\mathrm{f},1}$	Freezer trawlers	female	0.91	1.08	1.26
$\hat{a}_{1,\mathrm{m},1}$	Freezer trawlers	male	7.08	7.68	8.40
$\hat{\gamma}_{1,\mathrm{m},1}$	Freezer trawlers	male	0.83	1.00	1.19
$\hat{a}_{2,\mathrm{f},1}$	Shoreside	female	8.42	8.68	8.97
$\hat{\gamma}_{2,\mathrm{f},1}$	Shoreside	female	0.90	0.98	1.08
$\hat{a}_{2,\mathrm{m},1}$	Shoreside	male	7.97	8.38	8.79
$\hat{\gamma}_{2,\mathrm{m},1}$	Shoreside	male	0.95	1.05	1.16
$\hat{a}_{3,\mathrm{f},1}$	QCS Synoptic	female	3.61	5.35	7.92
$\hat{\gamma}_{3,\mathrm{f},1}$	QCS Synoptic	female	1.70	2.52	3.77
$\hat{a}_{3,\mathrm{m},1}$	QCS Synoptic	male	4.49	5.88	8.17
$\hat{\gamma}_{3,\mathrm{m},1}$	QCS Synoptic	male	1.18	1.71	2.45
$\hat{a}_{4,\mathrm{f},1}$	HS Multi	female	9.00	9.00	9.00
$\hat{\gamma}_{4,\mathrm{f},1}$	HS Multi	female	0.50	0.50	0.50
$\hat{a}_{4,\mathrm{m},1}$	HS Multi	male	9.00	9.00	9.00
$\hat{\gamma}_{4,\mathrm{m},1}$	HS Multi	male	0.50	0.50	0.50
$\hat{a}_{5,\mathrm{f},1}$	HS Synoptic	female	8.07	9.25	10.79
$\hat{\gamma}_{5,\mathrm{f},1}$	HS Synoptic	female	2.03	2.39	2.84
$\hat{a}_{5,\mathrm{m},1}$	HS Synoptic	male	8.29	9.59	11.22
$\hat{\gamma}_{5,\mathrm{m},1}$	HS Synoptic	male	1.71	1.98	2.32
$\hat{a}_{6,\mathrm{f},1}$	WCVI Synoptic	female	8.02	8.73	9.53
$\hat{\gamma}_{6,\mathrm{f},1}$	WCVI Synoptic	female	1.38	1.61	1.89
$\hat{a}_{6,\mathrm{m},1}$	WCVI Synoptic	male	6.42	7.04	7.74
$\hat{\gamma}_{6,\mathrm{m},1}$	WCVI Synoptic	male	0.93	1.10	1.32
$\hat{a}_{7,\mathrm{f},1}$	Discard CPUE	female	9.00	9.00	9.00
$\hat{\gamma}_{7,\mathrm{f},1}$	Discard CPUE	female	0.50	0.50	0.50
$\hat{a}_{7,\mathrm{m},1}$	Discard CPUE	male	9.00	9.00	9.00
$\hat{\gamma}_{7,\mathrm{m},1}$	Discard CPUE	male	0.50	0.50	0.50



Figure 6. Length-frequency plot where female fish are shown as red bars and male fish are shown behind as blue bars. The total number of fish measured for a given survey or fishery per year are indicated in the top left corner of each panel. Histograms are only shown if there are more than 20 fish measured for a given survey-year combination.



Figure 7. Fishing mortality for the base model for the two trawl fisheries for females only. The plots for the males are not shown, because they are the same. The shaded area represents the 95% CI.



Figure 8. Estimated and fixed selectivities by sex for the base model. The dots show estimated median selectivity-at-age, and the shaded areas show the 95% CI. Single dotted lines with no CI (HS Multi, Discard CPUE) represent fixed selectivities. Dashed lines represent maturity-at-age based on logistic curves fit to the proportion of mature fish at age.



Figure 9. Trace plots for MCMC output of estimated lead parameters in the base model. The MCMC run has chain length 10,000,000 with a sample taken every 5,000th iteration. Of the 2,000 samples taken, the first 1,000 were removed as a burn-in period. Numerical indices k on Catchability (q_k) parameters for the survey indices are defined as: 1 = QCS Synoptic, 2 = HS Multi, 3 = HS Synoptic, 4 = WCVI Synoptic, 5 = Discard CPUE.



Figure 10. Pairs plots for MCMC estimated parameters in the base model. The lines in the points plots in the lower triangular panels are linear models with shaded 95% confidence intervals. The line plots in the diagonal panels represent density of the parameter values, and the values in the upper triangular panels are the correlations between parameters with text size being directly proportional to the absolute value of those values. Numerical indices k on Catchability (q_k) parameters for the survey indices are defined as: 1 = QCS Synoptic, 2 = HS Multi, 3 = HS Synoptic, 4 = WCVI Synoptic, 5 = Discard CPUE.

Table 4. Values calculated to show convergence of the MCMC chain. Numerical indices on Catchability (q) parameters for the survey indices are defined as: 1 = QCS Synoptic, 2 = HS Multi, 3 = HS Synoptic, 4 = WCVI Synoptic, 5 = Discard CPUE.

Parameter	$\hat{R}$	ESS_{bulk}	ESS_{tail}
R_0	0.999	884	907
h	1.000	1,006	870
$\bar{R}$	0.999	1,003	979
$\bar{R}_{init}$	0.999	924	816
SB_0	0.999	884	907
q_1	0.999	969	908
q_2	1.000	844	984
q_3	0.999	1,006	1,026
q_4	1.000	993	1,021
q_5	1.000	916	982

Indicators of Stock Status

Reference points for Arrowtooth Flounder based on the Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) were strongly impacted by estimates of selectivity in the trawl fisheries. Because the selectivity ogives were estimated to the right of the maturity ogive, it appears that the younger fish have several times to spawn before becoming available to the fishery. This implies that all of the vulnerable (i.e., fully selected) biomass could be harvested because the population could be sustained by those younger spawners that are invulnerable to the fishery. This is a theoretical condition subject to the assumptions in the stock assessment model and the data limitations therein. Due to this limitation, MSY-base reference points were ruled out and reference points based on estimated equilibrium unfished spawning biomass, B_0, were used instead as B_0 is not impacted directly by selectivity estimates.

Based on a request from GMU, projections were performed for this update at catch levels from 1 to 8 kilotonnes (kt) in increments of 1 kt for this update instead of 1 to 15 kt as was applied in the 2022 stock assessment. Figure 11 shows the biomass trajectories resulting in the application of the catch levels as catch to the stock for the years 2025–2027. Figure 12 shows a closer view of the projected trajectories.

Harvest decision tables are provided as advice to managers (Tables 5–8) with constant catch policies ranging from 1 to 8 kt, from 2025 to 2027. To interpret the decision tables with respect to the LPR ($0.2B_0$) and candidate USR ($0.4B_0$), the probability of being above the candidate USR is $P(B_t > USR)$, the probability of being above the LRP but below the candidate USR is $P(B_t > LRP) - P(B_t > USR)$, and the probability of being below the LRP is $1 - P(B_t > LRP)$, where t is the year, and B_t is the relative biomass at the beginning of year t. During the review meeting for the 2022 stock assessment, there was a request to provide status relative to an 'alternative' candidate USR of $0.35B_0$.

Harvest decision tables provided in this document include probabilities at the beginning of the year of being above:

1. the LRP of $0.2B_0$ (Table 5),
2. the alternative candidate USR of $0.35B_0$ (Table 6), and
3. the candidate USR of $0.4B_0$ (Table 7),
4. the previous year's biomass (Table 8)

Figures 13–15 show the uncertainty in the relative spawning biomass estimates for projected years given the catch values provided in the harvest decision tables. They are essentially a visual depiction of the decision tables, except that the lower 2.5% and upper 2.5% of the posteriors are not included in the plots, but are included in the probability calculations in the decision tables. The horizontal lines in the figures are the 95% CIs of the relative biomass. The probabilities given in the harvest decision tables are close to being the proportion of those lines to the right of each reference point shown as vertical lines in the plots.



Figure 11. Estimated relative spawning biomass (B_t/B_0) for the base model. The shaded area represents the 95% CI and the solid line with points shows the connected medians. Horizontal lines indicate the $0.2B_0$ (solid red) and $0.4B_0$ (dashed green) reference points. The colored dots from 2025–2027 are the posterior medians representing the projected catch levels, with solid lines connecting them; the dashed lines from 2025–2027 represent the 95% CIs for those posteriors. The projected constant catch values (in kt) are shown in the legend. See the decision tables (Tables 5–8) for probabilities of being above reference points and of the stock increasing year-to-year in the projection years for each catch level.



Figure 12. Closeup view of most recent relative spawning biomass (Figure 11) for the Arrowtooth Flounder base model with B_0-based reference points and projections into the future. The projected constant catch values (in kt) are shown in the legend. See the decision tables (Tables 5–8) for probabilities of being above reference points and of the stock increasing year-to-year in the projection years for each catch level.



Figure 13. Projected 2025 relative spawning biomass for several possible catch levels in 2024. Black points are medians of the posterior and horizontal black lines are the 95% CI (2.5%–97.5%). The solid red line is the LRP, $0.2B_0$, the dotted blue line is the alternative candidate USR, $0.35B_0$, and the dashed green line is the USR, $0.4B_0$.



Figure 14. Projected 2026 relative spawning biomass for several possible catch levels in 2025. Black points are medians of the posterior and horizontal black lines are the 95% CI (2.5%–97.5%). The solid red line is the LRP, $0.2B_0$, the dotted blue line is the alternative candidate USR, $0.35B_0$, and the dashed green line is the candidate USR, $0.4B_0$.



Figure 15. Projected 2027 relative spawning biomass for several possible catch levels in 2026. Black points are medians of the posterior and horizontal black lines are the 95% CI (2.5%–97.5%). The solid red line is the LRP, $0.2B_0$, the dotted blue line is the alternative candidate USR, $0.35B_0$, and the dashed green line is the candidate USR, $0.4B_0$.

Table 5. Probabilities that projected biomass will be above the $0.2B_0$ LRP for catch levels of 1 to 8 kt.

Catch (kt)	$P(B_{2025} > 0.2B_0)$	$P(B_{2026} > 0.2B_0)$	$P(B_{2027} > 0.2B_0)$
1	0.998	0.998	0.998
2	0.997	0.998	0.998

Catch (kt)	$P(B_{2025} > 0.2B_0)$	$P(B_{2026} > 0.2B_0)$	$P(B_{2027} > 0.2B_0)$
3	0.997	0.997	0.998
4	0.997	0.997	0.996
5	0.997	0.997	0.994
6	0.995	0.992	0.990
7	0.994	0.991	0.985
8	0.993	0.988	0.975

Table 6. Probabilities that projected biomass will be above the $0.35B_0$ alternative candidate USR for catch levels of 1 to 8 kt.

Catch (kt)	$P(B_{2025} > 0.35B_0)$	$P(B_{2026} > 0.35B_0)$	$P(B_{2027} > 0.35B_0)$
1	0.776	0.864	0.899
2	0.756	0.838	0.871
3	0.731	0.804	0.836
4	0.703	0.771	0.791
5	0.680	0.740	0.746
6	0.655	0.705	0.702
7	0.636	0.658	0.647
8	0.606	0.615	0.603

Table 7. Probabilities that projected biomass will be above the proposed $0.4B_0$ USR for catch levels of 1 to 8 kt.

Catch (kt)	$P(B_{2025} > 0.4B_0)$	$P(B_{2026} > 0.4B_0)$	$P(B_{2027} > 0.4B_0)$
1	0.543	0.694	0.761
2	0.512	0.654	0.717
3	0.490	0.609	0.664
4	0.468	0.564	0.623
5	0.438	0.527	0.569
6	0.406	0.484	0.517
7	0.386	0.434	0.469
8	0.369	0.403	0.420

Table 8. Probabilities that projected biomass will increase from one projected year to the next for catch levels of 1 to 8 kt.

Catch (kt)	$P(B_{2025} > B_{2024})$	$P(B_{2026} > B_{2025})$	$P(B_{2027} > B_{2026})$
1	0.964	0.952	0.898
2	0.925	0.929	0.852
3	0.867	0.885	0.795
4	0.781	0.812	0.715
5	0.681	0.748	0.655
6	0.591	0.668	0.586
7	0.516	0.607	0.521
8	0.455	0.540	0.469

Ecosystem Considerations

There has not been any additional work done in ecosystem-based science for Arrowtooth Flounder in the two years since the 2022 stock assessment, although continuation of the body condition work (Appendices E and F, 2022 stock assessment) may be useful and will be revisited in the next stock assessment update.

A winter survey is planned for 2026 to sample several species, including Arrowtooth Flounder. Spawning and hatching are known to occur in winter (Rickey 1995; Blood et al. 2007), and analyzing maturities at that stage may give a better understanding of the maturity ogive and it's apparent shift to the right of selectivity.

CONCLUSIONS

The ISCAM model used in this update had the same structure and assumptions as the model used in the 2022 stock assessment. New data added were commercial catch for 2022 and 2023, new survey index points, and new Discard CPUE index points. The growth parameters, estimated outside the ISCAM framework, changed by a very small amount, which did not affect the model estimates.

With the addition of the new data, the relative spawning biomass trajectory from 1996–2021 remained largely unchanged from the 2022 stock assessment, although the initial biomass, B_0, and the absolute spawning biomass values were estimated to be slightly lower in this update than in the 2022 stock assessment.

There were no large changes in fits to any index points in the four survey indices and the Discard CPUE Index. Three of the synoptic survey indices had one new index point added each (the Hecate Strait Multispecies Assemblage Survey has a terminal year of 2003 and was not updated), and the Discard CPUE Index had two new index points added (2022 and 2023) since it is based on commercial catch.

The projections show medians and CIs for catches of 1 to 8 kt, in 1 kt increments (Figures 11 and 12). The figure shows that for catch values of 1–6 kt the median of projected biomass is expected to increase to 2027. For catch values of 7–8 kt the median of projected biomass is expected to remain flat, and remain below the USR of $0.4B_0$.

Recruitment in the last four years of the model is estimated with a large degree of uncertainty (Figure 4). This is due to the lack of new ages being included in the model for 2022 and 2023. We expect new age composition data to be available for the next update to this assessment.

There are several harvest decision tables provided in this document (Tables 5–8) which, for 8 different catch levels from 1 kt to 8 kt, give the probabilities of the projected relative biomass being above:

1. the LRP of $0.2B_0$ (Table 5),
2. the alternative USR of $0.35B_0$ (Table 6),
3. the USR of $0.4B_0$ (Table 7), and
4. the previous year's biomass (Table 8).

Some observations from the decision tables:

1. The probability of being above the LRP of $0.2B_0$ at the beginning of 2025 is 0.99 if the highest catch level of 8 kt is caught in 2024 (Table 5),
2. The probability of being above the LRP of $0.2B_0$ at the beginning of 2027 is 0.98 if the highest catch level of 8 kt is caught each year from 2024 to 2026 (Table 5),

3. The probability of being above the alternative candidate USR of $0.35B_0$ at the beginning of 2025 is 0.61 if the highest catch level of 8 kt is caught in 2024 (Table 6),
4. The probability of being above the alternative candidate USR of $0.35B_0$ at the beginning of 2027 is 0.60 if the highest catch level of 8 kt is caught each year from 2024 to 2026 (Table 6),
5. The probability of being above the candidate USR of $0.4B_0$ at the beginning of 2025 is 0.37 if the highest catch level of 8 kt is caught in 2024 (Table 7),
6. The probability of being above the candidate USR of $0.4B_0$ at the beginning of 2027 is 0.42 if the highest catch level of 8 kt is caught each year from 2024 to 2026 (Table 7),
7. A probability of 0.5 of being above the candidate USR of $0.4B_0$ at the beginning of 2025 occurs between 2 and 4 kt of catch in 2024. A higher catch will result in the probability being less than 0.5 (Table 7),
8. A probability of 0.5 of being above the candidate USR of $0.4B_0$ at the beginning of 2027 occurs between 4 and 5 kt of constant catch for each year from 2024 to 2026. A higher constant catch will result in the probability being less than 0.5 (Table 8), and
9. A probability of 0.5 of biomass increasing year-to-year for each year from 2024 to 2026 occurs between 7 and 8 kt of constant catch in each year (Table 8).

This stock should be reviewed once again in three years. In addition to new catch and survey index data, that update model should have new age data included for the three synoptic surveys and both fleets in the commercial fishery for the entire time series.

LIST OF MEETING PARTICIPANTS

Name	Affiliation
Chris Grandin*	DFO Science, Pacific Region
Sean Anderson	DFO Science, Pacific Region
Dana Haggarty	DFO Science, Pacific Region
Mackenzie Mazur	DFO Science, Pacific Region
Jim Ianelli	NOAA, Pacific Region
Jessica Finney	CSAS, Pacific Region
Deirdre Finn	DFO Fisheries Management, Pacific Region
Lindsay Richardson-Deranger	DFO Fisheries Management, Pacific Region
Rachel Rickaby	DFO Fisheries Management, Pacific Region

** An asterisk '*' indicates the primary author(s).*

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Blood, D.M., Matarese, A.C., and Busby, M.S. 2007. Spawning, egg development, and early life history dynamics of arrowtooth flounder (atheresthes stomias) in the gulf of alaska. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Prof. Pap. NMFS 7: 28 p.

DFO. 2020. Changes to arrowtooth flounder fishery management in 2020/21, memorandum for the regional director general, pacific. : 4.

DFO. 2023. Arrowtooth Flounder (*Atheresthes stomias*) Stock Assessment for British Columbia in 2021. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2023/042.

Grandin, C.J., Anderson, S.C., and English, P.A. 2024. Arrowtooth Flounder (*Atheresthes stomias*) Stock Assessment for the West Coast of British Columbia in 2021. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2024/071. 145 p.

Rickey, M.H. 1995. Maturity, spawning, and seasonal movement of arrowtooth flounder, atheresthes stomias, off washington. Fishery Bulletin 93:1: 127–138.

THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE FROM THE:

Center for Science Advice (CSA)
Pacific Region
Fisheries and Oceans Canada
3190 Hammond Bay Rd.
Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N7

E-Mail: DFO.PacificCSA-CASPacifigue.MPO@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Internet address: www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/

ISSN 1919-3769
ISBN 978-0-660-78035-1 Cat. No. Fs70-7/2025-022E-PDF
© His Majesty the King in Right of Canada, as represented by the Minister of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, 2026

This report is published under the Open Government Licence - Canada

Correct Citation for this Publication:

DFO. 2026. Stock Status update for Arrowtooth Flounder (*Atheresthes stomias*) for the West Coast of British Columbia in 2024. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Resp. 2025/022.

Aussi disponible en français :

*MPO. 2026. Mise à Jour de l'état des Stocks de flétan noir (*Atheresthes Stomias*) pour la côte ouest de la Colombie-Britannique en 2024. Secr. can. des avis sci. du MPO. Rép. des Sci. 2025/022.*