



Natural Resources  
Canada

Ressources naturelles  
Canada

**GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA  
OPEN FILE 8109**

**Rock-Eval/TOC data for selected samples of the Garbutt and  
Toad Formation from boreholes in the Liard Basin area,  
northeast British Columbia and southwest Northwest  
Territories**

**M.E. McMechan, M. Obermajer, and F. Ferri**

**2016**

**Canada** 



**GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA  
OPEN FILE 8109**

**Rock-Eval/TOC data for selected samples of the Garbutt and  
Toad Formation from boreholes in the Liard Basin area,  
northeast British Columbia and southwest Northwest  
Territories**

**M.E. McMechan<sup>1</sup>, M. Obermajer<sup>1</sup>, and F. Ferri<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Geological Survey of Canada, 3303 33<sup>rd</sup> St. NW, Calgary, AB, T2L 2A7

<sup>2</sup> Petroleum Geosciences Section, Tenure and Geoscience Branch, British Columbia Ministry of Natural Gas Development Victoria, 1810 Blanshard Street, Victoria, BC, V9A 5N7

**2016**

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, as represented by the Minister of Natural Resources, 2016

Information contained in this publication or product may be reproduced, in part or in whole, and by any means, for personal or public non-commercial purposes, without charge or further permission, unless otherwise specified.

You are asked to:

- exercise due diligence in ensuring the accuracy of the materials reproduced;
  - indicate the complete title of the materials reproduced, and the name of the author organization; and
  - indicate that the reproduction is a copy of an official work that is published by Natural Resources Canada (NRCan) and that the reproduction has not been produced in affiliation with, or with the endorsement of, NRCan.
- Commercial reproduction and distribution is prohibited except with written permission from NRCan. For more information, contact NRCan at [nrcan.copyrightdroitdauteur.nrcan@canada.ca](mailto:nrcan.copyrightdroitdauteur.nrcan@canada.ca).

doi:10.4095/299203

This publication is available for free download through GEOSCAN (<http://geoscan.nrcan.gc.ca/>).

**Recommended citation**

McMechan, M.E., Obermajer, M., and Ferri, F. 2016. Rock-Eval/TOC data for selected samples of the Garbutt and Toad Formation from boreholes in the Liard Basin area, northeast British Columbia and southwest Northwest territories; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 8109, 1 .zip file. doi:10.4095/299203

Publications in this series have not been edited; they are released as submitted by the author.

## ***INTRODUCTION***

Rock-Eval 6/TOC results were obtained for cutting samples from the following 22 boreholes in the Liard Basin area:

<b>Location</b>	<b>Well Authorizati on No.</b>	<b>Cuttings Interval Sampled</b>	<b>Garbutt, Top (m)</b>	<b>Rad Zone, Top (m)</b>	<b>Lower Garbutt, Top (m)</b>	<b>Underlying unit, Top m</b>
A-02-D/94-O-15	WA3493	1080 - 1380 ft (323 - 421 m)	413.4	463.6	n/a	Bluesky - 467.7
A-34-F/94-O-04	WA10754	1510 - 1810 m; 2005, 2365 m	1507.9	1690.3	1754.4	Chinkeh - 1811.9; Toad - 1849.3
A-50-I/94-O-14	WA13307	1190 - 1235 m; 1260 m	1086.7	1191.7	1218.3	Chinkeh - 1237.4; Toad - 1249.3
A-59-L/94-O-11	WA10746	1725 - 1920 m; 2180 m	1646.6	1739.1	1778.2	Chinkeh - 1813.8; Toad - 1827.9
A-67-D/94-O-06	WA2809	4690 - 4940 ft (1430 - 1506 m)	1261.5	1406.3	1458.9	Toad - 1530.2
A-77-D/94-O-11	WA1290	5390-5600 ft (1643 - 1707 m)	1490.6	1641.6	1671.1	Chinkeh - 1713.7
A-98-D/94-O-13	WA6397	1805 - 1890 m	1652.8	1803.1	1856.9	Toad - 1891.2
B-06-C/94-O-11	WA18890	1360 - 1535 m; 1580 m	1349.6	1464.2	1501	Chinkeh - 1541.2; Toad - 1561.5
B-37-I/94-N-09	WA6396	1955 - 2000 m	1761.3	1923.2	1960.2	Chinkeh - 1999.7
B-43-K/94-O-05	WA5725	1600 - 1855 m; 2000, 2200, 2400 m	1602.1	1767	1808.4	Toad - 1854
B-52-B/94-O-14	WA16198	1105 - 1270 m; 1405 m	1101.6	1222.2	1253.4	Chinkeh - 1272.2; Toad - 1289.0
B-55-E/94-O-13	WA 0442	5010 - 5600 ft (1527 - 1707 m)	1419.6	1549.5	1614.5	Toad - 1648
B-59-I/94-O-11	WA9941	1100 - 1270 m	1080.2	1196.1	n/a	Chinkeh - 1237
B-81-E/94-O-06	WA12611	1475 - 1560 m	1332.3	1467.9	1515	Chinkeh - 1563.4; Toad - 1568.3
B-83-K/94-O-14	WA13773	1220 - 1360 m; 1525 m	1202.3	1303.2	1329.9	Chinkeh - 1361.8; Toad - 1374.6
C-13-H/94-O-04	WA14517	1180 - 1435 m; 1500, 1630 m	1115.9	1258	1325.9	Chinkeh - 1351.6; Toad - 1362.8
C-31-F/94-O-11	WA13262	1630 - 1665 m	1491.3	1616.6	1643	Chinkeh - 1669.6; Toad -1688.4
C-60-E/94-O-14	WA18587	1800-1965 m; 2095, 2305 m	1788.5	1907.1	1925.3	Chinkeh - 1968.4; Toad - 1988.7
C-76-G/94-O-04	WA10755	1695 - 1815 m	1511.5	1674.6	1732.1	Toad - 1815.5
C-86-B/94-O-05	WA10756	1450 - 1745 m; 1905, 2050, 2260 m	1442.5	1645.4	1678.1	Toad - 1743.2
D-28-B/94-O-12	WA24902	1720 - 1935 m; 2055, 2250, 2455 m	1690.1	1853.7	1875.5	Chinkeh - 1933.3; Toad - 1937.6
D-95-I/94-O-04	WA16276	1335 - 1445 m	1184.9	1329	1381.9	Toad - 1451.2

Sampling was focused on the Cretaceous Garbutt Formation particularly the organic rich radioactive zone (Rad Zone) and the Lower Garbutt below the Rad Zone. For 10 of these boreholes a few samples from shale intervals in the Triassic Toad Formation were also analyzed. Depth units sampled (feet or metres) are those in which the original well was drilled and logged, and how the samples are currently labelled. The stratigraphic tops listed above were interpreted from well logs and used by Ferri et al. (2015) in a study of the Garbutt Formation. These tops may differ from those in the BC government database associated with the Rock-Eval data.

Rock-Eval 6/TOC results were also obtained for samples of the Garbutt Formation from the following 10 cores, 5 from the Liard Basin and 5 from east of the Liard Basin:

Location	Well Authorization No.	Interval Sampled	Liard Basin	East of Liard Basin	VR
B-006-C/094-O-11	WA18890	1476.0 - 1494.0 m	Y		Y
B-A015-K/094-P-03	WA6477	620.25 - 624.25 m		Y	
B-017-H/094-I-09	WA13960	338.0 - 343.4 m		Y	Y
B-053-B/094-O-14	WA9950	1260 - 1278 m	Y		Y
B-059-I/094-O-11	WA9941	1190.4 - 1208.4 m	Y		
C-013-H/094-O-04	WA14517	1402.2 - 1414.2 m	Y		Y
C-069-K/094-O-14	WA12703	1597.3 m	Y		Y
D-033-F/094-P-13	WA6468	298.0 - 321.05 m		Y	
FORT LIARD A-01		1333-1351 m		Y	
FORT LIARD K-32		1322-1338.55 m		Y	

Other previously published Rock-Eval/TOC results for the Garbutt Formation in the Liard area are available from several reports (Potter et al., 2003; Fowler et al., 2007; Walsh and McPhail, 2007; Ferri et al., 2011, 2013; Obermajer et al., 2012; McMechan et al., 2013). Vitrinite reflectance data for five of the sampled cores are available from Reyes et al. (2015).

## ***EXPERIMENTAL***

Rock-Eval/TOC analysis provides fast and reliable characterization of the quantity and quality of sedimentary organic matter, as well as its thermal maturity. All samples were analyzed on a Rock-Eval 6 Turbo (RE 6) instrument equipped with a Total Organic Carbon analysis module. A typical RE 6 experiment is initiated with heating of a pulverized rock sample at 300°C for 3 min in nitrogen atmosphere, when naturally occurring hydrocarbons (free and adsorbed) are volatilized. The oven temperature is then steadily increased to 650°C at a rate of 25°C/min and decomposition of kerogen occurs. The amount of hydrocarbons volatilized at 300°C and evolved from kerogen during the ramped heating are quantitatively determined by a flame ionization detector, and recorded as the S1 and S2 peaks, respectively. The final stage involves oxidation and combustion of the residual organic matter

at 850°C. The temperature measured at the maximum of the S2 peak is referred to as T<sub>peak</sub> while T<sub>max</sub> is calculated by subtracting about 40°C from T<sub>peak</sub> (the exact correction is determined using a manufacturer standard). The amounts of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> are quantitatively determined by infrared detectors. Additional details on the RE6 instrument are available in Behar et al. (2001).

The percentage of carbon in CO<sub>2</sub> formed during oxidation and in the hydrocarbon peaks S1 and S2 is used to define the total organic carbon content (TOC), expressed as a weight percentage. The determination of the quality of organic matter is based upon the calculation of Hydrogen (HI) and Oxygen (OI) indices ( $HI=100 \times S2/TOC$ ,  $OI=100 \times S3/TOC$ ) which are related to the atomic H/C and O/C ratios (Espitalié et al., 1977). The OI versus HI cross plots ("pseudo van Krevelen diagrams") can be used as an organic matter type indicator at low and moderate maturities. The T<sub>max</sub> is an indicator of relative thermal maturity. According to Espitalié et al. (1985) the oil window is defined by the following T<sub>max</sub> ranges: 440°-448°C (Type I), 430°-455°C (Type II) and 430°-470°C (Type III). A cross plot of T<sub>max</sub> versus HI is used to constrain estimations of organic matter type and its thermal maturity, while the Production Index ( $PI=S1/[S1+S2]$ ) is used to indicate staining of a sample or as an additional maturity parameter.

Rock-Eval results correlate to other techniques (Espitalié et al., 1985; Tissot and Welte, 1978). Source rock potential is sensitive to lithology, TOC and S2 values. It is common practice to rate carbonate rocks with lower TOC comparable with richer clastic rocks. Extractable HC yields from leaner carbonate rocks are comparable to richer clastic rocks (Tissot and Welte, 1978, p. 430; Gehman, 1962). The organic matter associated with carbonate rocks is often more hydrogen-rich and thermally labile than that in fine-grained clastic rocks. As a result, more TOC in carbonate rocks may be transformed into bitumen compared with average clastic source rocks of comparable maturity.

Rock-Eval/TOC parameters have reliable significance only above threshold TOC, S1 and S2 values. If TOC is less than or equal to 0.3% then all parameters have questionable significance and the experiment suggests no petroleum source potential. Oxygen Index (OI) has questionable significance if TOC is less than or equal to 0.5%. Both T<sub>max</sub> and Production Index ( $PI = S1/(S1+S2)$ ), have questionable significance if S1 and S2 values are less than or equal to about 0.2 mg HC/g rock. Results can be affected by rock mineral composition. The mineral matrix may either retain hydrocarbon compounds, generally lowering the S1 or S2 peaks, while increasing T<sub>max</sub>, or liberate inorganic CO<sub>2</sub> increasing S3 and OI. These effects are important if TOC, S1 and S2 are low, an effect not significant where sources have TOC values greater than 5%. OI values greater than 150 mg/g TOC suggest either low TOC or a mineral matrix CO<sub>2</sub> contribution during pyrolysis. Generally, a TOC content of at least 2% is needed for a source rock. Note that TOC and Hydrogen Index decrease with increasing thermal

maturity due to hydrocarbon generation. Additional guidelines on the interpretation of Rock-Eval data may be found in Peters (1986), Snowdon (1995) and Sykes and Snowdon (2002).

### ***RESULTS***

Samples analyzed for this study range from immature east of the Liard Basin to immature to peak oil in the northern Liard Basin to peak wet gas in the southern Liard Basin. Ferri et al. (2015) presented a preliminary analysis of the unconventional potential of the Garbutt Formation in the Liard Basin based on the data in this report and the publications listed above. Ardakani et al. (2015) presented a preliminary interpretation of the hydrocarbon potential of the Garbutt Formation using the core samples from the Liard Basin. More substantial interpretations will be published by these authors soon.

### ***ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS***

We thank Aleksandra Petrusik and Wing Chang for assistance in collecting samples from cuttings, the BC Oil and Gas Commission for permission to sample cores and Dennis Jiang for reviewing this report.

### ***SELECTED REFERENCES***

Ardakani, O. H., Sanei, H., McMechan, M., Van de Wetering, N., Reyes, J. and Ferri, F. 2015. Hydrocarbon Potential and Depositional Environment of the Lower Cretaceous Garbutt Formation, Liard Basin, Canada; Geoconvention 2015, May 4 – 6, 2015, Calgary, AB. Available from: [http://www.geoconvention.com/archives/2015/060\\_GC2015\\_Hydrocarbon\\_Potential\\_Depositional\\_Environment\\_Lower\\_Cretaceous\\_Garbutt\\_Fm.pdf](http://www.geoconvention.com/archives/2015/060_GC2015_Hydrocarbon_Potential_Depositional_Environment_Lower_Cretaceous_Garbutt_Fm.pdf).

Behar, F; Beaumont, V; Pentead, H L De B; 2001. Rock-Eval 6 Technology: Performances and developments. Revue de l' Français du Pétrole, v.56/2, p. 111-134. [http://ogst.ifp.fr/articles/ogst/pdf/2001/02/behar\\_v56n2.pdf](http://ogst.ifp.fr/articles/ogst/pdf/2001/02/behar_v56n2.pdf)

Espitalié, J; Laporte, J.L; Madec, M; Marquis, F; Leplat, P; Paulet, A; Boutefeu, J; 1977. Methode rapide de caracterisaion des roches meres de leur potentiel petrolier et de leur degre d'evolution. Revue de l'Institut Français du Petrole vol. 32, p. 23-42.

Espitalié, J., Deroo, G. and Marquis, F., 1985. Rock Eval Pyrolysis and Its Applications. Preprint; Institut Francais du Petrole, Geologie No. 27299, 72 p. English translation of, La pyrolyse Rock-Eval et ses applications, Premiere, Deuxieme et Troisieme Parties, in Revue de l'Institut Francais du Petrole, v. 40, p. 563-579 and 755-784; v. 41, p. 73-89.

Ferri, F., Hickin, A. S. and Huntley, D. H. 2011. Geochemistry and shale gas potential of the Garbutt Formation, Liard Basin, British Columbia (parts of NTS 094N, O; 095B, C); in Geoscience Reports 2011, BC Ministry of Energy and Mines, p. 19–36.

Ferri, F., Hayes, M. and Goodman, E. 2013. 2007-2011 core and cuttings analysis, northeastern British Columbia; British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Natural Gas Development, Petroleum Geology Open File 2013-1.

Ferri, F., McMechan, M., Ardakani, O. H. and Sanei, H. 2015. The Garbutt shale of the B.C. Liard Basin: A potential liquids-rich play?; Geoconvention 2015, May 4 - 6, Calgary AB. Available from: [http://www.geoconvention.com/archives/2015/343\\_GC2015\\_The\\_Garbutt\\_shale\\_of\\_the\\_BC\\_Liard\\_Basin.pdf](http://www.geoconvention.com/archives/2015/343_GC2015_The_Garbutt_shale_of_the_BC_Liard_Basin.pdf).

Fowler, M. G., Obermajer, M. and Snowdon, L. R. 2007. Rock-Eval/TOC data for eleven NE British Columbia boreholes (map areas 94-I to 94-P); Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 5672.

Gehman, H. M. Jr., 1962. Organic matter in limestones; *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, v. 26, p. 885-897.

McMechan, M. E., Obermajer, M., Stewart, K. R. Jiang, C. and, Kung, L. 2013. Rock-Eval/TOC data for selected samples from boreholes in the Toad River area (NTS 94-N), Liard Basin, northeast British Columbia; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 7480.

Obermajer, M., Lane, L. S. and Osadetz, K. G. 2012. Rock-Eval/TOC data for selected samples from northeast British Columbia boreholes (map areas 94-N to 94-O); Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 7043.

Peters, K E, 1986. Guidelines for evaluating source rock using programmed pyrolysis; *Bulletin of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists*, v. 70, p. 318-329.

Potter, J., Goodarzi, F., Morrow, D.W., Richards, B.C. and Snowdon, L.R. 2003. Organic petrology, thermal maturity and Rock-Eval/TOC for upper Paleozoic to Upper Cretaceous strata from wells near Liard River, northeast British Columbia; Geological Survey of Canada Open File 1751, CD Rom.

Reyes, J., McMechan, M.E., and Ferri, F., 2015. Vitrinite reflectance data for selected samples from Devono-Mississippian and Lower Cretaceous shale cores in the Liard Basin area, northeast British Columbia; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 7902, 1 .zip file. doi:10.4095/297164

Snowdon, L R; 1995. Rock-Eval Tmax suppression: documentation and amelioration. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, v. 79, p. 1337-1348.

Sykes, R; Snowdon, L R; 2002. Guidelines for assessing the petroleum potential of coaly source rocks using Rock-Eval pyrolysis. *Organic Geochemistry* v. 33/12, p. 1441-1455.

Tissot, B. P. and Welte, D. H., 1978. *Petroleum formation and occurrence*; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 538 p.

Walsh, W. and McPhail, S. 2007. *Shale Gas Potential: Core and Cuttings Analysis, Northeast British Columbia*; British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Petroleum Geology Open File 2007-2.