

TRENDS REPORT

January 1, 1974 to December 31, 1978

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
and
SITE CLEARANCE PROGRAM

Neighbourhood and Residential
Improvement Division

Central Mortgage and Housing
Corporation

May, 1979

Robert L. Hale, Jr., Director
Jean E. Benoit, Assistant Director
Ollie M. Crain, Program Officer - NIP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0	<u>Introduction</u>	1
2.0	<u>Background</u>	3
3.0	<u>Summary</u>	5
	Table 1 - Scope of NIP and RRAP Activities for Canada	7
4.0	<u>Financial Report</u>	9
4.1	Federal Contributions	9
4.2	CMHC Loan Commitments	9
4.3	Federal Contribution Disbursements	10
4.4	Estimated Annual Cash Flow	10
4.5	Distribution of Federal Contributions to Provinces	11
4.6	Estimates of the Total Financial Activity Resulting from NIP	11
	Table 2 - Federal NIP Contributions to Provinces by Year	15
	Table 3 - CMHC Loan Commitments	16
	Table 4 - Federal Contribution Disbursements by Province	17
	Table 5 - Actual and Estimated Annual Cash Flow 1974-1982	18
	Table 6 - Actual Distribution of Federal NIP Contributions to Provinces Compared to Relative Need According to 'Needs Formula'	19
5.0	<u>Activity Report</u>	21
5.1	Operations at the Provincial Level	21
5.2	Operations at the Municipal Level	22
	Table 7 - Percentage of Federal NIP Contributions Allocated to Provinces during the 1974-1977 Period	24
	Table 8 - Percentage of Federal Contribution Committed to Municipal Size Class by Province	25
	Table 9 - Percentage of Provincial Contribution and the Minimum Municipal Share for NIP 50% and 25% Federal Funded Items	26
	Table 10 - Numbers of Original, Subsequent and Cancelled Commitments of Federal Contributions To Municipalities	27
	Table 11 - Amount and Percentage of Federal Funding Allocated to Municipalities by Size Class	28
6.0	<u>Stages of Program Delivery</u>	29
6.1	Selection Stage	29
6.2	Planning Stage	30
6.3	Number of Federal Commitments to NIP Areas (1974-77)	31

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
6.4	Implementation Stage	31
	Table 12 - Percentage of Municipalities that have Requested Funding for the Selection Stage	32
	Table 13 - Activity Stage of NIP Areas by Province as of December 31, 1978	33
	Table 14 - Percentage of Federal Contributions for Overhead Costs and Average Planning Stage Period	34
	Table 15 - Number of Original and Supplementary Federal Contributions to NIP Areas and Site Clearance Projects	35
7.0	<u>Operations at the NIP Area Level</u>	37
7.1	Federal Contributions to NIP Areas	37
7.2	NIP Area Characteristics	38
	Table 16 - Average Federal Contribution per NIP Area and NIP Area Resident by Agreement Year	41
	Table 17 - Average Federal Contribution to a NIP Area and NIP Area Resident by Province	42
	Table 18 - Presentation of NIP Area Characteristics in Averages and Percentages by Agreement Year	43
	Table 19 - Presentation of NIP Area Characteristics in Averages and Percentages by Province	44
7.3	Analysis of Expenditure Patterns during the Implementation Stage	45
	Table 20 - Percentage of Federal Contributions Expended on 50% and 25% Items	45
	Table 21 - Percent Breakdown of Implementation Stage Budget Allocations, by Agreement Year	48
	Table 22 - Percent Breakdown of Implementation Stage Budget Allocations, by Province	49
	Table 23 - Distribution of the Implementation Budget by Municipal Size Class	50
8.0	<u>Conclusion</u>	51
	8.1 Program Trends and Accomplishments	51
9.0	<u>Site Clearance Program</u>	53
	9.1 Introduction	53
	9.2 Background	53
10.0	<u>Program Delivery</u>	54
	10.1 Financial Report	54
	11.2 Program Activities	54
	Table 24 - Site Clearance Program Activities	55

Section Title

11.0 Appendices

- 11.1 Appendix A - Statement of Federal Contribution Commitments to Municipalities
- 11.2 Appendix B - Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments to Municipalities
- 11.3 Appendix C - NIP Activities and Improvements with 50% and 25% Federal Contributions

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the status of the Neighbourhood Improvement Program in Canada to December 31, 1978. It is intended to provide the progress of the program during four years of operation. The major focus is to identify the financial commitments, describe the characteristics of municipalities and NIP areas, review the status of activities in all NIP areas and describe key program trends and potential program impacts.

The information for the Trends report has been compiled from the monitoring data gathered by the Neighbourhood and Residential Improvement Division and NIP Evaluation Report. A review of the status of NIP areas with local office participation and Federal commitments and loans confirmed by regional offices are incorporated in the report.

2.0 BACKGROUND

In December, 1969, urban renewal activity under the provisions of the National Housing Act was curtailed. This instigated the development of the Neighbourhood Improvement Program. NIP was included in the amendments to the NHA enacted by Parliament in June, 1973. In enacting the legislation, Parliament established a statutory limitation of \$300 million for NIP with a program expiry date of March 31, 1978.

The objective of the program was to encourage and support the efforts of municipalities in the improvement of living conditions in residential neighbourhoods which showed evidence of need and potential viability.

The program operated on the basis of an annual agreement between the federal government and each of the provincial governments. The allocation of federal contributions to each province, municipal designation, neighbourhood selection, planning and implementation of the neighbourhood improvement plan plus commitments and disbursements of federal funds are regulated by program guidelines and procedures.

The criteria for neighbourhood eligibility are designed to be compatible with the characteristics of most Canadian urban areas. In general participating neighbourhoods are predominantly residential, with housing in need of rehabilitation and social/recreational amenities considered inadequate.

Through CMHC, the federal government contributes 50 per cent of the cost of the neighbourhood planning process, provision of social and recreational amenities and acquisition of land for social housing. A 25 per cent contribution is also available towards the cost for improvements of municipal services and acquisition and clearance of land where the existing use is not consistent with the planned general character of the neighbourhood. CMHC may make loans to assist municipalities meet their share of the costs incurred by their participation in NIP.

No new applications for Federal contributions were approved after December 31, 1977 and no CMHC loans were approved after March 31, 1978. Federal contributions will continue to be disbursed until NIP improvements are completed in each neighbourhood. The forecast is that the program should be completely phase-out by 1982.

3.0 SUMMARY

As of December 31, 1978, the federal government commitment is \$199.5 million in contributions of which \$76.8 million has been disbursed, leaving an outstanding commitment of \$122.7 million. A total of \$62.2 million in loans has also been approved.

All provinces participated in the program with the exception of New Brunswick which withdrew prior to the negotiation of the 1976 Fed/Prov. agreement. Contributions are committed to 319 municipalities to assist 480 NIP areas and 29 Site Clearance projects.

The designation of municipalities which participated in the program was the responsibility of each Province. During the four years of program funding, the municipalities that were designated ranged in population from 760 to 2.5 million. Municipalities with a population under 2,500 received 7.8% of the total federal allocation. The municipalities ranging in population from 2,500 to 100,000 received 56.2% and the over 100,000 in population received 36% of the total federal allocation.

Small municipalities selected neighbourhoods just within the program criteria of minimum 50% residential land use and maximum 20% vacant land, with a low density ratio, high percentage of homeownership and minimum \$100 per capita funding. In large municipalities, particularly in the over 100,000 population category, the NIP area characteristics are generally reversed. For example, the percentage of residential land was as high as 85% with no vacant space, average density ratio of 45.5 per hectare and high tenant occupancy with an average per capita funding of \$400.

On a national basis during the 4 year period, more than 75.8%, approximately \$151.125 million was allocated to the 50% federal contribution category, particularly social and recreational facilities, and less than 24.2%, nearly \$48.829 million for the 25% federal contribution category, mainly municipal services.

When the NIP expenditure characteristics are analyzed on a provincial basis, clear distinctions emerge. The funding ranged from a low of 61.6% on 50% category items on a provincial wide average to a high of 82.2%. The corresponding funding for the 25% category items in these two provinces was 36.3% and 9.2%. The remaining funds are held in reserve for future proposals or increased costs.

The effect and accomplishments of NIP in a neighbourhood differ substantively, depending on the contribution allocated to the Municipality and the capabilities of the Municipal staff to deliver NIP and RRAP.

The most visible and positive accomplishments of the program are the improvements in the social and physical environment of a NIP area. These and other factors have led to a highly favourable assessment of the program by both provincial and municipal officials as well as NIP area residents.

The scope of NIP and RRAP activities (1974 to December 31, 1978) is shown in Table I.

SCOPE OF NIP AND RRAP ACTIVITIES
FOR CANADA

TABLE 1

	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77
No. of Municipalities Designated for NIP and SCP	86	83	58	92	319
No. of Site Clearance Programs (SCP)	2	20	4	3	29
No. of New NIP Areas	110	113	106	151	480
Estimated Total Population in NIP Areas	315,700	278,000	286,600	330,000	1,210,300
Estimated Total No. of Dwelling Units In NIP Area	74,900	83,200	84,850	99,150	342,100
Estimated Total No. of Dwelling Units Needing RRAP	31,600	44,100	45,480	49,580	170,760
Estimated Total No. of Dwelling Units Beyond RRAP	3,750	2,580	3,140	3,070	12,540
Total No. of Dwelling Units RRAP'ed	341	3,665	10,247	15,419	29,672
Average NIP Area Population	2,870	2,482	2,678	2,200	2,545
Average Federal Contributions to NIP Areas	\$428,018	\$410,451	\$459,164	\$355,771	\$408,248
Average Federal Contribution per NIP Area Resident	\$149	\$162	\$171	\$161	\$162
Total Federal Contributions (\$000s) to Municipalities	\$47,397	\$48,296	\$49,788	\$54,057	\$199,538
% of Federal Contributions Expended on 50% Items	77%	76.2%	72.9%	76.9%	75.8%
% of Federal Contributions Expended on 25% Items	23%	23.8%	27.1%	23.1%	24.2%

TOTAL FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS
FOR ALL YEARS: (\$000's)

\$199,537

TOTAL PROVINCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS
FOR ALL YEARS (ESTIMATE)

\$108,508

TOTAL MUNICIPAL CONTRIBUTIONS
FOR ALL YEARS (ESTIMATE)

\$184,631

4.0 FINANCIAL REPORT

4.1 FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS

The amount of federal contributions allocated to each province is governed by an annual Fed/Prov. agreement within the global limit set by statute. All provinces were allocated federal funds in each of the four years of operations with the exception of New Brunswick which withdrew prior to the negotiation of the 1976 agreements. The Yukon and North West Territories did not enter the program.

On March 31, 1978, the program termination curtailed any additional federal funding. At that time, federal commitments totalled \$201.7 million. Since then, of the 22 NIP areas that have completed all activities funded under NIP, 4 NIP areas closed-out with a surplus of federal funds and 3 municipalities chose to cancel NIP in their selected neighbourhoods. Therefore the total federal commitment as of December 31, 1978 was reduced to \$199.5 million.

Table 2 provides the breakdown of Federal contribution by province and year.

Appendix A shows the statement of Federal contribution commitments by Province, Municipality and NIP area.

4.2 CMHC LOAN COMMITMENTS

Municipalities, by their participation in NIP, may apply for CMHC loans in an amount not exceeding 75% of the amount obtained by deducting the amount of CMHC contributions from the capital costs upon which the contribution was determined.

The majority of applications were made after the selected NIP areas entered the Implementation Stage. However, some municipalities which were still in the Selection or Planning Stages took out loans prior to the cut-off loan approval date.

of March 31, 1978 in anticipation that some assistance might be required to cover their share of NIP costs during the Implementation Stage. On completion of their projects, a number of municipalities are discovering that they do not require loans. As a result, loan cancellations are being received, particularly from municipalities in the Atlantic and Prairie provinces. As of December 31, 1978, 11 loans totalling approximately \$2.3 million were cancelled, reducing the CMHC loan commitment from \$64.4 million to \$62.1 million for 149 approved loans.

Table 3, shows the CMHC loans committed by Province and year.

Appendix B provides the statement of CMHC loan commitments by Province, Municipality and NIP area.

4.3 FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION DISBURSEMENTS

Of the \$199.5 million committed in contributions through the program, a total of \$76.8 million has been disbursed as of December 31, 1978. This leaves an outstanding commitment of \$122.7 million.

The slow take-up of funds in most cases is inherent in the design of the program. In the norm, the program proceeds through the selection and planning stages with a maximum time limit of 6 months each and a maximum expenditure of 10% of available federal funding. Thus, major expenditures occur during the Implementation Stage which averages about three years.

Table 4 shows the Federal contribution disbursements by Province.

4.4 ESTIMATED ANNUAL CASH FLOW

The estimated annual cash flow until all NIP contributions are disbursed is shown in Table 5. The table is based on the actual cash flow from 1974 to December 31, 1978 and an estimation of the expenditures for the remaining years. The forecast indicates a peak cash flow in 1979. This is due to the fact that the first NIP areas which had such a slow start will largely

complete their implementation during 1979 and further that NIP areas most recently selected have already entered the Implementation Stage.

4.5 DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO PROVINCES

Federal contributions were allocated to provinces based on a needs formula, which included the following census variables:

- A) % of Urban houses in need of major repair (1961 census)
- B) % of Urban wage earners, less than \$6,000 (1971 census)
- C) % of Urban houses built before 1946 (1971 census)
- D) % of Provincial population in urban centres (1971 census)
- E) Provincial urban population as a % of Canada's urban population.

The formula used was the following:

$$\text{Relative need index} = \frac{\frac{A + B + C + D}{4} + E}{2}$$

The application of this formula resulted in a relative percentage of need, which is compared to the actual budget distribution for the 4 years as shown in Table 6.

4.6 ESTIMATES OF THE TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY THAT WILL RESULT DIRECTLY FROM THE NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Three elements are involved in estimating the total financial activity that will result directly from the Neighbourhood Improvement Program:

1. The total amount of federal funds budgeted by municipalities for 50% and 25% items.
2. The provincial contribution.
3. The municipal share.

The Implementation Stage expenditure patterns for 279 NIP areas, is as follows:

Federal contribution for 50% items	\$78,686,000	
Federal contribution for 25% items	\$24,239,000	
Total Federal contributions		\$102,925,000
Total Provincial contributions		\$55,973,000
Total Municipal Share		\$95,239,000

By extrapolating the above data on the basis of the total Federal contributions committed for the Implementation Stage of selected NIP areas, and by assuming that similar expenditure patterns will hold for the remaining 201 NIP areas, the total estimated financial assistance by the three levels of governments towards NIP improvements will be:

Federal	\$199,536,507
Provincial	\$108,507,925
Municipal	\$184,631,130
Total	<u>\$492,675,562</u>

This figure represents a minimum estimate since the municipalities may implement eligible improvements with a much higher gross cost than can be funded with existing contribution ceilings as long as they are prepared to pay the remaining costs. Also, the above figures do not include changes that may take place in the NIP improvement plan during the Implementation Stage. The municipality may decide to implement 25% category items (for example, municipal services) instead of 50% category items (for example, social facilities) as previously planned. If this does happen then the municipal share of the total cost would increase accordingly and the total NIP budget for NIP areas expenditures would also increase. However, the Federal contribution would remain the same.

Since NIP was designed to promote the co-ordination of other programs that improve the physical and social character of the neighbourhood along with housing, funds from other sources are being channelled towards neighbourhood improvements. British Columbia in its Provincial Overview Report shows that \$3.5 million from other sources has been allocated to existing

improvements with expectations of additional funds for planned proposals. In the other provinces as NIP activities close-out, data on funding from other sources is being provided. However, the information is still too limited to estimate an input on a national scale.

FEDERAL NIP CONTRIBUTIONS TO PROVINCES BY YEAR
(\$000's)

TABLE 2

BY PROVINCE	1974	1975	1976	1977	ALL YEARS
NEWFOUNDLAND	\$1,340	\$1,600	\$2,345	\$2,000	\$7,285
P.E.I.	\$ 533	\$1,200	\$1,700	\$2,000	\$5,433
NOVA SCOTIA	\$2,670	\$2,400	\$2,650	\$3,000	\$10,720
NEW BRUNSWICK	\$2,667	\$1,904	0	0	\$4,571
QUEBEC	\$12,000	\$11,810	\$12,592	\$13,690	\$50,092
ONTARIO	\$15,937	\$14,881	\$15,301	\$16,952	\$63,071
MANITOBA	\$2,750	\$2,900	\$3,100	\$3,015	\$11,765
SASKATCHEWAN	\$2,750	\$2,501	\$2,600	\$2,900	\$10,751
ALBERTA	\$2,750	\$4,000	\$4,300	\$4,700	\$15,750
BRITISH COLUMBIA	\$4,000	\$5,100	\$5,200	\$5,800	\$20,100
CANADA	\$47,397	\$48,296	\$49,788	\$54,057	\$199,538

FEDERAL LOAN COMMITMENTS
BY CALENDAR YEAR AND PROVINCE

TABLE 3

NUMBER OF NIP LOANS AND TOTAL AMOUNT COMMITTED BY YEAR, IN \$000's

	NO.	1974	NO.	1975	NO.	1976	NO.	1977	NO.	1978	NO.	ALL YEARS
Newfoundland	2	\$1,635	2	\$2,083	2	\$3,000	5	\$2,800	4	\$600	15	\$10,118
P.E.I.	0	0	1	267	0	0	4	300	10	1,408	15	1,975
Nova Scotia	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	189	2	203	5	392
New Brunswick	0	0	0	0	1	773	1	627	0	0	2	1,400
Quebec	0	0	12	6,817	24	12,993	29	13,558	27	12,473	92	45,841
Ontario	0	0	0	0	1	12	1	346	1	277	3	635
Manitoba	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saskatchewan	0	0	1	45	1	60	1	179	11	1,297	14	1,581
Alberta	0	0	1	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	78
British Columbia	0	0	1	47	0	0	0	0	1	62	2	109
CANADA	2	\$1,635	18	\$9,337	29	\$16,838	44	\$17,999	56	\$16,319	149	\$62,128

FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION DISBURSEMENTS BY PROVINCE

TABLE 4

PROVINCE	COMMITMENT (1974-77)	DISBURSEMENT (1974-Dec. 31, 1978)	OUTSTANDING COMMITMENT
Newfoundland	\$7,285,000	\$4,182,583	\$3,102,417
P.E.I.	5,433,000	4,056,207	1,376,793
Nova Scotia	10,720,000	2,712,441	8,007,559
New Brunswick	4,571,261	2,325,866	2,245,395
Quebec	50,091,809	12,962,088	37,129,721
Ontario	63,070,937	24,442,687	38,628,250
Manitoba	11,765,000	2,710,372	9,054,628
Saskatchewan	10,751,474	4,976,645	5,774,829
Alberta	15,750,000	7,768,094	7,981,906
British Columbia	20,100,000	10,661,048	9,438,952
CANADA	\$199,538,481	\$76,798,031	\$122,740,450

ACTUAL AND ESTIMATED ANNUAL CASH FLOW 1974-1982

TABLE 5

DISBURSEMENT YEAR	YEAR OF COMMITMENT				ANNUAL CASH FLOW	CUMULATIVE
	1974	1975	1976	1977		
Act. - 1974	\$2,367.8	-	-	-	\$2,367.8	\$2,367.8
Act. - 1975	4,696.2	\$960	-	-	5,656.2	8,024
Act. - 1976	9,845	5,032.5	\$1,435.2	-	16,312.7	24,336.7
Act. - 1977	5,463.5	6,868	7,061.8	\$1,550.4	20,943.8	45,280.5
Act. - 1978	9,046.3	9,776.5	6,033.3	6,661.4	31,517.5	76,798
Est. - 1979	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	48,000	124,798
Est. - 1980	3,978.5	12,000	12,000	20,021.5	48,000	172,798
Est. - 1981	-	1,659.3	10,000	13,340.7	25,000	197,798
Est. - 1982	-	-	1,258.9	482.9	1,740.9	199,538.9
TOTALS	47,397.3	48,296.3	49,788.2	54,056	199,538.9	

ACTUAL DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL NIP CONTRIBUTIONS
TO PROVINCES COMPARED WITH RELATIVE NEED
ACCORDING TO "NEEDS FORMULA"

TABLE 6

PROVINCE	A: % NEED BY FORMULA	B: % ACTUAL COMMITMENT 1974-77	C: DIFFERENCE (B - A)
NEWFOUNDLAND	3.0%	3.61%	0.61%
P.E.I.	2.4%	2.69%	0.29%
NOVA SCOTIA	5.0%	5.34%	0.34%
NEW BRUNSWICK	5.0%	2.51%	-2.49%
QUEBEC	24.0%	24.83%	0.83%
ONTARIO	31.1%	32.09%	0.99%
MANITOBA	5.7%	5.83%	0.13%
SASKATCHEWAN	5.0%	5.33%	0.33%
ALBERTA	7.4%	7.81%	0.41%
BRITISH COLUMBIA	9.6%	9.96%	0.36%
CANADA	n/a	100%	n/a

5.0 ACTIVITY REPORT

5.1 OPERATIONS AT THE PROVINCIAL LEVEL

All provinces participated in NIP for the 1974-77 period with the exception of New Brunswick withdrawing for the 1976 and 1977 program years. Provincial participation was most visible in the negotiations of the annual Fed/Prov. agreements, designation of municipalities, provincial funding and assistance to municipalities on the delivery of NIP.

Each year the negotiation of new Fed/Prov. agreements was a lengthy process. As a direct result, approval of funding commitments was invariably delayed until the last quarter of the year. CMHC set the amount of annual federal funds to be allocated to each Province based on the needs formula and the statutory limitation of NIP funds. Table 7 shows the percentage of Federal NIP contributions allocated to each Province by year.

The designation of municipalities and allocation of Federal contributions was the responsibility of each Province. There was a wide variation from province to province in the number of municipalities designated and the percentage of federal contributions (1974-77) allocated to municipalities by population size. The provincial extremes are represented by Manitoba, designating 4 municipalities, and Saskatchewan, designating 51 municipalities. Saskatchewan and P.E.I. allocated a higher portion of the funds to the below 10,000 municipal population category and Manitoba and Alberta to the above 100,000 municipal population category. Table 8 shows the distribution of Federal contributions by Province.

NIP was designed with Federal contributions covering 50% and 25% of the cost to implement eligible items as specified under program guidelines. The amount of the provincial contribution towards the 50% and 25% Federal funded category items

was the decision of each Province. The percentage of provincial funding has a strong impact on municipalities, since after subtracting the federal and provincial contributions, the municipalities have to finance from their own revenues the remaining costs of all NIP cost-sharing activities.

In the provinces, from Quebec to British Columbia inclusive, the municipal share ranged from a low of 25% for the 50% Federal funded category items to a high of 45% in the Atlantic provinces. For the 25% Federal funded category items the municipal share ranged from a low of 50% to a high of 72.5% in the same respective provinces.

Table 9 provides the total NIP Federal contribution by province, the percentage of additional provincial contributions and the minimum municipal share towards 50% and 25% federal funding categories.

5.2 OPERATIONS AT THE MUNICIPAL LEVEL

During the four NIP years (1974-77) a total of 460 Federal contribution commitments were made to 322 municipalities. Of this total, 141 were subsequent commitments to 75 new NIP areas in previously designated municipalities. Since the beginning of the program, 3 municipalities chose to withdraw leaving 319 municipalities still participating in NIP. The commitments have resulted in the funding of 480 NIP areas and 29 site clearance projects.

Table 10, on the following page shows the number of NIP commitments to municipalities by Province and Year.

The provinces developed their own strategy for designating municipalities. The designated municipalities with population ranges up to 100,000 received 64% of the 1974-77 federal contributions, although this municipal size class contains less than

37% of the total Canadian population. Cities with a population of over 100,000, where 63% of the Canadian population lives, received 36% of the total federal contribution. During the four years there was an increase in funding to small municipalities, particularly in 1977 compared to 1974 and a decrease to urban centres over 100,000 in population.

Table 11 shows the amount and percentage of federal funding to municipalities by size class.

PERCENTAGE OF FEDERAL NIP CONTRIBUTIONS ALLOCATED TO PROVINCES
DURING THE 1974-77 PERIOD

TABLE 7

YEAR	1974	1975	1976	1977	ALL YEARS
FEDERAL ALLOCATION (\$000)	48,460	49,045	49,903	54,304	201,712

NEWFOUNDLAND	2.77%	3.26%	4.70%	3.70%	3.65%
P.E.I.	1.10%	2.44%	3.41%	3.70%	2.72%
NOVA SCOTIA	5.51%	4.89%	5.41%	5.52%	5.34%
NEW BRUNSWICK	5.50%	4.89%	0	0	2.51%
QUEBEC	24.76%	24.47%	24.85%	25.22%	24.83%
ONTARIO	35.08%	30.55%	31.17%	31.67%	32.09%
MANITOBA	5.67%	5.91%	6.21%	5.55%	5.83%
SASKATCHEWAN	5.67%	5.09%	5.21%	5.34%	5.33%
ALBERTA	5.67%	8.15%	8.62%	8.65%	7.81%
BRITISH COLUMBIA	8.25%	10.39%	10.42%	10.68%	9.96%

CANADA	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
--------	------	------	------	------	------

PERCENTAGE OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS COMMITTED TO MUNICIPAL SIZE CLASS BY PROVINCE

TABLE 8

PROVINCE	NO. OF MUNIC.	TOTAL FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS (\$000's)	% OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS COMMITTED TO MUNICIPAL SIZE CLASS			
			0 to 10,000	10,000 to 30,000	30,000 to 100,000	over 100,000
NEWFOUNDLAND	5	\$7,285	13.7%	30.0%	56.3%	0
P.E.I.	17	\$5,433	79.3%	20.8%	0	0
NOVA SCOTIA	23	\$10,720	29.7%	17.0%	30.3%	23.3%
NEW BRUNSWICK	9	\$4,571	26.3%	17.3%	56.4%	0
QUEBEC	59	\$50,092	18.2%	22.5%	32.0%	27.3%
ONTARIO	71	\$63,071	16.1%	18.8%	22.9%	42.2%
MANITOBA	4	\$11,765	4.7%	10.3%	7.9%	77.2%
SASKATCHEWAN	51	\$10,751	65.5%	11.2%	0	23.2%
ALBERTA	37	\$15,750	35.6%	1.0%	5.9%	57.6%
BRITISH COLUMBIA	43	\$20,100	23.2%	13.9%	21.8%	41.2%
CANADA	319	\$199,538	23.5%	17.2%	23.3%	36.0%

PERCENTAGE OF PROVINCIAL CONTRIBUTION AND THE MINIMUM MUNICIPAL SHARE FOR NIP 50% AND 25% FEDERAL FUNDED ITEMS

TABLE 9

	TOTAL FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS (\$000's)	PROVINCIAL RATE OF CONTRIBUTION FOR CMHC CONTRIBUTIONS OF		MINIMUM MUNICIPAL SHARE	
		50%	25%	50%	25%
NEWFOUNDLAND	\$7,285	12.5%	18.75%	37.5%	56.25%
P.E.I.	\$5,433	12.5%	18.75%	37.5%	56.25%
NOVA SCOTIA	\$10,720	5.0% ³	2.5% ³	45%	72.5%
NEW BRUNSWICK	\$4,571 ¹	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	62.5%
QUEBEC	\$50,092	25%	25%	25%	50%
ONTARIO	\$63,071	25%	25%	25%	50%
MANITOBA	\$11,765	25%	25%	25%	50%
SASKATCHEWAN	\$10,751	25%	25%	25%	50%
ALBERTA	\$15,750	25%	12.5% ²	25%	62.5%
BRITISH COLUMBIA	\$20,100	25%	12.5%	25%	62.5%

1. New Brunswick withdrew from new designations in 1976 and 1977.
2. Was 25% in 1974.
3. Increased to 10% and 5% respectively for 1977.

NUMBERS OF ORIGINAL, SUBSEQUENT AND CANCELLED
COMMITMENTS OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION TO
MUNICIPALITIES FOR NIP AND SCP, BY PROVINCE & YEAR

TABLE 10

PROVINCE	NUMBER OF COMMITMENTS TO MUNICIPALITIES																
	1974			1975			1976			1977			1974-77				
	ORIGINAL	SUBSE- QUENT**	TOTAL	ORIGINAL	SUBSE- QUENT	TOTAL	ORIGINAL	SUBSE- QUENT	TOTAL	ORIGINAL	SUBSE- QUENT	TOTAL	ORIGINAL	SUBSE- QUENT	TOTAL	CANCELA- TION	NET TOTAL
NFLD.	2	0	2	0	2	2	1	2	3	2	1	3	5	5	10	0	10
P.E.I.	2	0	2	2	0	2	5	2	7	8	3	11	17	5	22	0	22
N.S.	3	0	3	13	0	13	3	6	9	5	7	12	24	13	37	1 (1976)	36
N.B.	5	0	5	5	1	6							10	1	11	1 (1975)	10
P.Q.	12	0	12	18 (1 SC)*	3	21	16 (2 SC)*	9	25	14 (2 SC)*	12	26	60 (5 SC)*	24	84	1 (1975)	83
ONTARIO	36	0	36	9	22	31	8	17	25	18	20	38	71	59	130	0	130
MANITOBA	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	4	3	7	0	7
SASK.	12	0	12	17	0	17	6	4	10	16	2	18	51	6	57	0	57
ALTA.	5	0	5	7	5	12	8	2	10	17	2	19	37	9	46	0	46
B.C.	8	0	8	13	2	15	11	5	16	11	9	20	43	16	59	0	59
CANADA	86	0	86	85	36	121	59	48	107	92	57	149	322	141	463	3	460

*Commitments to municipalities that have only site clearance projects.

**Subsequent commitments are commitments made to a prior designated municipality for new NIP areas and as refunding to previously selected NIP areas.

AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF FEDERAL FUNDING ALLOCATED
TO MUNICIPALITIES BY SIZE CLASS

Municipality * Size Class	1974		1975		1976		1977		All Years	
	(\$000)	%	(\$000)	%	(\$000)	%	(\$000)	%	(\$000)	%
Under 2,500	1,832	3.8	4,846	9.9	3,743	7.5	5,296	9.8	15,717	7.8
2,500 to 10,000	4,628	9.6	6,722	13.7	6,627	13.3	13,655	25.1	31,632	15.7
10,000 to 30,000	5,583	11.5	8,612	17.5	11,247	22.5	9,310	17.1	34,752	17.2
30,000 to 100,000	12,527	25.8	12,766	26.0	11,302	22.6	10,423	19.2	47,018	23.3
over 100,000	23,886	49.3	16,154	33.0	16,981	34.0	15,637	28.8	72,658	36.0
CANADA	48,400	100%	49,101	100%	49,900	100%	54,315	100%	201,776	100%

*Municipality in this context is referred to as an area with corporate status, omitting only unorganized territories.

6.0 STAGES OF PROGRAM DELIVERY

There are three stages in the delivery of NIP:

- Selection Stage: Review neighbourhoods that fit the NIP selection criteria and select one or more neighbourhoods for participation. Suggested time frame - up to 6 months.
- Planning Stage: Organize resident participation, establish priorities and develop a concept plan for the expenditure of NIP funds. Suggested time frame - 6 months.
- Implementation Stage: Execute planned activity, administer and implement approved items within budget limitation. Suggested time frame - up to three years.

6.1 SELECTION STAGE

In the first year of NIP, 1974, the majority of municipalities took more than 6 months to complete the selection activity. The time required for the selection process decreased each year thereafter to the point that in 1977 approximately 60% of the NIP areas moved directly into planning. In some cases, neighbourhoods entered the program at the Implementation Stage. This does not demonstrate that selection and planning activities did not take place. Some municipalities chose to fund the two stages on their own and others, particularly in Quebec, chose NIP areas that were former Urban Renewal projects where planning had already taken place.

The Selection Stage maximum federal contribution was 2% of the total allocation to a municipality in a Fed/Prov. agreement year. During the 1974-77 period, only \$1.27 million or .6% of the total \$199.5 million NIP contribution was committed for the Selection Stage of the program.

Table 12 shows the percentage of municipalities, by size class and agreement year, that requested funding for the Selection Stage.

The first neighbourhoods were selected mid-way through 1974. The majority of these NIP areas did not enter Implementation until 1976, therefore giving them until 1979 to complete their NIP activities. Of the 480 NIP areas, 361 or 75% are in the Implementation Stage and 22 or 5% have completed NIP funded activities.

Table 13 shows the activity stage of NIP areas by Province.

6.2 PLANNING STAGE

On a national basis, the average time for planning was 9.1 months. The large urban centres overall, averaged 11.8 months and the municipalities with a population of under 2,500, approximately 6.3 months. The planning process became less time consuming for municipalities as program familiarization and required program delivery resources became available. This is emphasized by the fact that the majority of the 1977 NIP areas selected in the first quarter of 1978, entered the Implementation Stage well before the year end.

Planning, resident participation and administrative costs are eligible NIP items during both the Planning and Implementation Stages. A survey of actual disbursements for the Planning Stage indicates that approximately 3.1% of the total NIP funds are being expended during planning and the remaining 6.8% for mainly the administrative costs during implementation.

Table 14 shows the time taken for NIP planning and the percentage of federal contribution for overhead costs¹ by province and municipal size class.

¹Overhead costs include planning, resident participation and administration costs relating to a NIP area.

6.3 NUMBER OF FEDERAL COMMITMENTS TO NIP AREAS (1974-77)

The federal contributions allocated to 319 municipalities resulted in 548 commitments to 480 NIP areas.

Table 15 shows the number of original and supplementary commitments by province and year.

6.4 IMPLEMENTATION STAGE

As a result of a neighbourhood improvement plan, NIP area characteristics were examined and estimates of the cost to implement planned improvements were completed prior to the Implementation Stage. Therefore, major activities such as administration and actual implementation of improvements are carried out during the Implementation Stage.

The expenditure patterns during this stage fall into the 50% and 25% federal funding categories. The items under the 50% category are social and recreational facilities; land for social housing; resident participation, planning and administration; and relocation. The items under the 25% category are municipal services, acquisition and clearance of land for other uses than social/recreational facilities or social housing.

The scope of choices in the types of social/recreational or municipal services that may be implemented are very broad. An example of improvements funded by NIP are given in Appendix C.

PERCENTAGE OF MUNICIPALITIES THAT HAVE REQUESTED FUNDING FOR THE SELECTION STAGE BY SIZE CLASS OR MUNICIPALITY AND AGREEMENT YEAR TABLE 12

MUNICIPAL SIZE CLASS	1974	1975	1976	1977	ALL YEARS
BELOW 2,500	50%	29%	39%	48%	41%
2,500 TO 10,000	30%	82%	53%	65%	59%
10,000 TO 30,000	62%	48%	39%	50%	50%
30,000 TO 100,000	43%	29%	34%	34%	37%
OVER 100,000	35%	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	41%
ALL MUNICIPALITIES	43%	48%	44%	54%	48%

*TOO FEW CASES TO BE MEANINGFUL

ACTIVITY STAGE OF NIP AREAS BY PROVINCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1978

TABLE 13

PROVINCE	NO. OF NIP AREAS	SELECTION STAGE	PLANNING STAGE	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	COMPLETED NIP AREAS
NEWFOUNDLAND	11	-	4	7	-
P.E.I.	18	-	-	18	-
NOVA SCOTIA	46	-	16	30	-
NEW BRUNSWICK	13	-	-	12	1
QUEBEC	79	7	27	45	-
ONTARIO	134	-	27	105	2
MANITOBA	9	-	4	5	-
SASKATCHEWAN	56	-	-	50	6
ALBERTA	49	-	6	39	4
BRITISH COLUMBIA	65	-	5	51	9
CANADA	480	7	89	362	22
% OF TOTAL	100%	1.5%	18.5%	75%	5%

1. AVERAGE TIME FOR PLANNING STAGE
2. PERCENTAGE OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION TO A NIP AREA EXPENDED FOR PLANNING, RESIDENT PARTICIPATION AND ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS (OVERHEAD COSTS)

TABLE 14

BY PROVINCE	TIME TAKEN FOR NIP PLANNING STAGE		PERCENT OF FEDERAL NIP CONTRIBUTIONS COMMITTED FOR NIP AREA OVERHEADS, 1974-78	
	AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS IN PLANNING STAGE	NUMBER OF NIP AREAS IN SAMPLE	AVERAGE PERCENT OF CONTRIBUTION FOR OVERHEADS	NUMBER OF NIP AREAS IN SAMPLE
NEWFOUNDLAND	0.7	3	5.2%	6
PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	5.5	12	10.3%	15
NOVA SCOTIA	8.8	23	17.0%	21
NEW BRUNSWICK	12.1	11	12.2%	11
QUEBEC	16.8	12	8.9%	20
ONTARIO	11.9	75	8.9%	83
MANITOBA	11.6	5	22.1%	2
SASKATCHEWAN	7.4	47	5.1%	47
ALBERTA	7.5	37	12.6%	30
BRITISH COLUMBIA	6.8	53	11.1%	47

BY MUNICIPAL SIZE CLASS

UNDER 2,500	6.3	71	7.1%	73
2,500 to 10,000	8.1	65	9.7%	59
10,000 to 30,000	9.5	42	11.0%	49
30,000 to 100,000	11.3	49	9.3%	48
OVER 100,000	11.8	51	10.8%	53

CANADA	9.1	278	9.9%	282
--------	-----	-----	------	-----

NUMBERS OF ORIGINAL, SUPPLEMENTARY AND CANCELLED
COMMITMENTS OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO NIP AREAS
AND SITE CLEARANCE PROJECTS, BY PROVINCE AND YEAR

Table 15

PROVINCE	NUMBER OF COMMITMENTS TO NIP AND SCP																
	1974			1975			1976			1977			1974-77			CANCELA- TIONS	NET TOTAL
	ORIGINAL	SUPPL.	TOTAL	ORIGINAL	SUPPL.	TOTAL	ORIGINAL	SUPPL.	TOTAL	ORIGINAL	SUPPL.	TOTAL	ORIGINAL	SUPPL.	TOTAL		
N.F.L.D.	2	0	2	2	1	3	2	1	3	5	0	5	11	2	13	0	13
P.E.I.	2	0	2	2	0	2	6	1	7	8	3	11	18	4	22	0	22
N.S.	6	0	6	14	0	14	13	0	13	14	0	14	47	0	47	1	46
N.B.	9/1 *	0	10	5	0	5							14/1	0	15	1	14
P.Q.	15/1	0	16	18/19	3	40	23/4	2	29	24/3	0	27	80/27	5	112	1	111
ONTARIO	45	2	47	27	9	36	24	9	33	39	6	45	135	26	161	1	160
MANITOBA	2	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	3	0	3	9	0	9	0	9
SASK.	12	0	12	17	0	17	9	2	11	18	0	18	56	2	58	0	58
ALTA.	6	0	6	9/1	0	10	12	0	12	22	0	22	49/1	0	50	0	50
B.C.	13	0	13	19	0	19	15	1	16	18	3	21	65	4	69	0	69
CANADA	112/2	2	116	115/20	13	148	106/4	16	126	151/3	12	166	484/29	43	556	4	552

*First number applies to NIP areas, and second number to Site Clearance projects.

7.0 OPERATIONS AT THE NIP AREA LEVEL

7.1 FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO NIP AREAS

The selection of neighbourhoods is the responsibility of the municipality and each neighbourhood must meet the CMHC eligibility criteria prior to receiving a commitment for Federal contributions. In 1974, the criteria was very broad, which led to the selection of several large neighbourhoods with very low levels of funding. In 1975, for new neighbourhoods selected, a minimum federal contribution of \$100 per NIP area resident areas became mandatory and a minimum federal contribution of \$150,000 to a NIP area was recommended. The result was that the Federal contribution per NIP area resident increased in the following years.

In 1977, the number of NIP areas selected increased by 23%. This decreased the average Federal contribution per NIP area quite substantially. However, because the average population in the 1977 NIP areas is 2,200 which is less than in any single previous year, the average funding per NIP area resident was not affected.

Table 16 shows the average Federal contribution per NIP area and per NIP area resident by Agreement year.

A wide variation appears in the average federal contribution allocated to NIP areas among provinces as compared to the small variation from year to year within a province. This is also true with the average funding per NIP area resident. Nova Scotia for example designated 24 municipalities that selected a total of 46 NIP areas with an average federal contribution of \$233,043 and an average \$140 per resident funding. Manitoba, on the other hand designated 4 municipalities with a total of only 9 NIP areas with an average federal contribution of \$1,302,805 and an average of \$345 per resident funding. The lowest federal funding per

NIP area was in Saskatchewan, where 56 NIP areas were selected by the 51 designated municipalities with an average federal funding of \$191,247 per NIP area and a \$122 per NIP area resident.

Table 17 gives the average federal contribution to a NIP area and NIP area resident by Province.

7.2 NIP AREA CHARACTERISTICS

To ensure adherence to CMHC NIP area selection criteria, the description of the selected neighbourhood is submitted to CMHC at the time the municipality requests a federal contribution for the Planning Stage. After the concept plan is developed and the municipality completes the application for a commitment of federal funds for the Implementation Stage, the information is updated to more accurately reflect the neighbourhood characteristics. The statistical tables are based on the information that has been compiled from the applications and supplementary forms completed by the municipalities.

The average neighbourhood population decreased in 1975 compared to 1974 but on the whole was fairly consistent from year to year. This may have been as a result of the \$100 per NIP area resident funding criterion imposed in 1975. The average household income is below the municipal average, reflecting adherence to NIP criterion, that the mean household income in a NIP area will be below that of the municipality. The highest number of dwellings averaged 793 in 1976 and dropped to 661 in 1977. There is also a decrease in the percentage of tenant-occupied units particularly in 1977. Both changes are due to the increase in the number of small municipalities that entered the program in 1977 where population and densities are the reverse to those in larger urban centres and homeownership far exceeds rental dwelling units.

The percentage of dwellings needing RRAP, in most cases, was estimated during the Planning Stage. After the Residential Rehabilitation Assistance Program commenced, the 50.1% figure was proven to be well below the actual rehabilitation required.

The NIP area size must be contained within defined boundaries. The boundary lines were usually planned to include an area that would benefit not only from NIP type improvements but also include a high percentage of housing that could take advantage of the NIP companion RRAP program. Often a portion of the boundary was already defined by an existing physical dividing line such as a railroad, river, industrial park or track of vacant land. The average number of hectares in a NIP area fluctuated from one year to the next, particularly in 1974 and 1975. Also, for the duration of the program, on a municipal size comparison basis, the sizes of NIP areas were very diversified ranging from 2 hectares in an urban centre to 259 hectares in a small municipality, particularly when the whole town was designated as a NIP area.

The average percentage of gross residential land use in a NIP area is between 60% and 65% indicating that the required 50% residential component was applied fairly stringently.

The characteristics of a NIP area on a national scale by agreement year are shown in averages and percentages in Table 18.

Though NIP areas met the majority of the NIP selection criteria, a comparison by province shows varied NIP area characteristics.

The average household incomes based on 1971 census, ranged from a low of \$6,309 in Manitoba to \$9,166 in B.C. The average NIP area population ranged from 1,571 in Saskatchewan, where the majority of NIP areas are located in small municipalities, to 3,779 in Manitoba's urban centres. The densities of NIP areas varied considerably, from 12.2 per hectare in small municipalities to 65.1 in large urban centres. The average

gross residential land use varied from 55% in Prince Edward Island to 70% and 74% in Quebec and Nova Scotia, with an average of 64% for Canada. The average number of dwellings per NIP area ranged from 546 in Saskatchewan to 1,100 in Manitoba. The average percentage of owner-occupied and tenant-occupied dwellings ranged from a high 84% owner-occupied and a low 16% tenant-occupied in Saskatchewan, to the reverse of 31% owner-occupied and 60% tenant-occupied in Quebec.

Most of the above comparisons identify different characteristics of NIP areas located in small municipalities as compared to urban centres, as well as the regional economic disparities and the flexibility of the program to meet provincial and municipal priorities.

Table 19 shows the average NIP area characteristics by Province.

AVERAGE FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION PER NIP AREA AND PER NIP AREA RESIDENT BY AGREEMENT YEAR

TABLE 16

	1974	1975	1976	1977	ALL YEARS
NO. OF NIP AREAS	110	113	106	151	480
AVERAGE FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION TO NIP AREAS	\$428,018	\$410,164	\$459,164	\$355,771	\$408,248
AVERAGE FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION PER NIP AREA RESIDENT	\$149	\$162	\$171	\$161	\$162

AVERAGE FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION TO A NIP AREA AND PER NIP AREA RESIDENT BY PROVINCE

TABLE 17

PROVINCE	TOTAL NUMBER OF NIP AREAS	AVERAGE NIP AREA COMMITMENTS	AVERAGE FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION PER NIP AREA RESIDENT
NEWFOUNDLAND	11	\$654,343	\$228
P.E.I.	18	\$298,268	\$171
NOVA SCOTIA	46	\$233,043	\$140
NEW BRUNSWICK	13	\$383,462	\$130
QUEBEC	79	\$599,238	\$251
ONTARIO	134	\$468,798	\$158
MANITOBA	9	\$1,302,805	\$345
SASKATCHEWAN	56	\$191,247	\$122
ALBERTA	49	\$319,333	\$139
BRITISH COLUMBIA	65	\$304,272	\$87*
CANADA	480	\$408,248	\$161

*The low contribution per NIP area resident incurred by selection of Kitsilano in Vancouver in 1974.

PRESENTATION OF NIP AREA CHARACTERISTICS IN AVERAGES AND PERCENTAGES
BY AGREEMENT YEAR

TABLE 18

YEAR CHARACTER	1974	1975	1976	1977	ALL YEARS
AVERAGE NIP POPULATION	2,870	2,482	2,678	2,200	2,545
AVERAGE NIP MUNICIPALITY HOUSEHOLD INCOME	\$9,588	\$9,246	\$9,157	\$9,217	\$9,339
AVERAGE NIP AREA HOUSEHOLD INCOME	\$7,370	\$7,447	\$8,313	\$8,576	\$7,916
AVERAGE NUMBER OF DWELLINGS IN NIP AREA	681	743	793	661	718
% OWNER OCCUPIED DWELLINGS	53.3%	57.1%	64.9%	81.4%	61.6%
% TENANT OCCUPIED DWELLINGS	46.7%	42.9%	35.1%	18.6%	38.4%
% DWELLINGS NEED RRAP	42.2%	53.0%	53.6%	50.0%	50.1%
% DWELLINGS BEYOND RRAP	5.0%	3.1%	3.7%	3.1%	3.7%
AVERAGE NUMBER OF HECTARES IN NIP AREA	87	150	120	108	116
% GROSS RESIDENTIAL LAND USE	60%	65%	65%	64%	64%

AVERAGE NIP AREA CHARACTERISTICS, BY PROVINCE

TABLE 19

	AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD INCOME*	AVERAGE POPULATION	AVERAGE NO. OF HECTARES	AVERAGE % GROSS RESIDENTIAL LAND USE	AVERAGE NUMBER OF DWELLINGS	AVERAGE % DWELLINGS OWNER OCCUPIED	AVERAGE % DWELLINGS TENANT OCCUPIED	AVERAGE % DWELLINGS REQUIRING RRAP
NEWFOUNDLAND	\$7,145	2,867	78	56%	616	73%	27%	55%
PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	\$8,827	1,740	103	55%	523	64%	36%	61%
NOVA SCOTIA	\$6,845	1,665	81	74%	434	67%	33%	74%
NEW BRUNSWICK	\$6,485	2,696	530	57%	730	34%	66%	72%
QUEBEC	\$6,863	2,381	36	70%	558	31%	69%	60%
ONTARIO	\$8,339	2,958	80	59%	782	55%	45%	44%
MANITOBA	\$6,309	3,779	97	61%	1,100	51%	49%	48%
SASKATCHEWAN	\$7,402	1,571	128	64%	546	84%	16%	49%
ALBERTA	\$8,613	2,301	212	60%	832	66%	34%	46%
BRITISH COLUMBIA	\$9,166	3,498	123	68%	1,014	61%	39%	35%
CANADA	\$7,916	2,545	116	64%	718	61%	39%	50%

*usually obtained from 1971 census

7.3 ANALYSIS OF EXPENDITURE PATTERNS DURING THE IMPLEMENTATION STAGE

The intent of NIP was to encourage and assist municipalities in the stabilization and revitalization of older residential neighbourhoods and to improve the living conditions and amenities for low and moderate income people living in these neighbourhoods. To this purpose, the 50% and 25% funding categories were formalized. The 50% funding was to encourage and provide more financial support for social/recreational facilities and acquisition of land for social housing. The 25% funding was to assist municipalities to improve their municipal services within the selected neighbourhoods.

Table 20 shows the proportion of NIP contributions in the 50% and 25% funding categories and Table 21 shows the more detailed breakdown by item category.

Table 20

Percentage of Federal Contributions Expended on 50% and 25% Items:

<u>Funding Category</u>	<u>1974</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1976</u>	<u>1977</u>	<u>Cumulative 1974-77</u>
50%	77.0%	76.2%	72.9%	76.9%	75.8%
25%	23.0%	23.8%	27.1%	23.1%	24.2%

Table 20, indicates a fairly even distribution of funds from 1974 to 1977 for the 50% and 25% category items. In Table 21, however, there is a definite increase, ranging from 35.8% in 1974 to 61.5% in 1977, in the allocation towards social/recreational facilities. A decrease from 19% to 1.2% took place during the same period in the allocation towards land for social housing. This was no doubt due to restrictions placed in the acquisition of existing housing for re-sale.

As the improvements in the 1974 NIP areas are being completed, the NIP close-out reports are showing that a large portion of the 19% of federal contributions committed towards land for social housing has been re-allocated to fund social/recreational facilities.

These data also indicate that 23.1% of NIP contributions have been allocated towards improvements of municipal services. In some cases the need to upgrade services in a neighbourhood was a priority, particularly in medium sized municipalities. In the majority of NIP areas funds were allocated towards municipal improvements other than sewer and water services. A substantial portion of funding was allocated for improvements of a more visible nature. The paving of backlanes, street lighting, sidewalk improvement, street beautification, noise barriers, traffic control and general clean-up are some of the projects being implemented under the municipal services category.

Refer to Appendix C for detailed information.

When the budget allocations are analyzed on a provincial basis, they are quite diversified. The two extremes show Nova Scotia committing an average of 61.6% for 50% category items and 36.3% for 25% category items while in British Columbia the corresponding figures are 82.2% and 9.8%. The remaining percentage of the federal allocations was held in reserve for future proposals or as a contingency.

Social/recreational facilities accounted for 45.3% of the total federal contributions. This percentage ranged from 66.8% in B.C. to 20.3% in Quebec. Except for Newfoundland, Quebec and Manitoba, the remaining provinces used a minimum amount of funds for land for social housing. In the provinces where a larger percentage of funding was committed towards land for social housing, less funds were committed for social/recreational facilities.

During the Implementation Stage a minimum percentage of NIP funding is budgetted for planning and resident participation. The major portion is used to cover municipal administration costs. For these three activities, Nova Scotia and Manitoba show the highest estimates, that is 11.9% of the total implementation commitments to NIP areas and Saskatchewan the lowest with 2.8%.

Although the acquisition and clearance of land for social/recreational facilities and social housing is being implemented, it has very little effect on residents having to relocate judging that only .6% of the total 1974-77 federal contribution was allocated for relocation and compensation to individuals disposed of accommodation. A relationship does appear between expenditures for land for social housing and relocation costs. The two provinces, Quebec and Manitoba that allocated 38.6% and 31.7% for land for social housing show the highest allocation of 2.27% and 1.27% respectively for relocation.

Land used for a purpose inconsistent with the general character of the neighbourhood may be acquired and cleared for an end-use other than social/recreational facilities or social housing. This item is eligible for a 25% federal contribution. Only 1.2% of the total four year NIP implementation budget was committed for this purpose.

Table 22 shows the percentage breakdown of the Implementation Stage budget allocations by Province and Table 23 the distribution of the implementation budget by Municipal size class.

PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF IMPLEMENTATION STAGE BUDGET ALLOCATIONS, BY AGREEMENT YEAR

TABLE 21

BUDGETARY ITEMS	1974	1975	1976	1977	ALL YEARS
SOCIAL/RECREATIONAL FACILITIES	35.8%	52.1%	47.8%	61.5%	45.3%
LAND FOR SOCIAL HOUSING	19.0%	8.5%	9.1%	1.2%	12.2%
PARTICIPATION, PLANNING & ADMIN.	6.0%	7.5%	7.8%	5.8%	6.8%
RELOCATION	0.7%	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%
MUNICIPAL SERVICES	21.4%	23.0%	26.0%	22.9%	23.1%
LAND FOR OTHER USES	1.6%	0.8%	1.1%	0.2%	1.2%
RESERVE FUNDS	15.4%	8.0%	9.0%	7.9%	11.3%

PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF IMPLEMENTATION STAGE BUDGET ALLOCATIONS, BY PROVINCE

TABLE 22

PROVINCE	50% CATEGORY ITEMS				25% CATEGORY ITEMS		RESERVE
	SOCIAL & RECREATION FACILITIES	LAND FOR SOCIAL HOUSING	ADMIN, PLANNING & PARTICIPATION	RELOCATION	MUNICIPAL SERVICES	LAND FOR OTHER USES	
NEWFOUNDLAND	21.4%	27.1%	3.9%	0.1%	34.2%	0.0%	13.4%
P.E.I.	52.1%	3.2%	9.4%	0.2%	24.4%	0.3%	11.3%
NOVA SCOTIA	44.0%	5.2%	11.9%	0.5%	36.3%	0.0%	10.1%
NEW BRUNSWICK	53.3%	5.2%	6.6%	0.6%	28.2%	0.0%	6.1%
QUEBEC	20.3%	38.6%	7.4%	2.2%	22.6%	2.1%	7.2%
ONTARIO	43.6%	6.1%	6.2%	0.4%	26.1%	0.8%	16.9%
MANITOBA	22.0%	31.7%	11.9%	1.2%	23.5%	2.9%	5.9%
SASKATCHEWAN	57.7%	2.1%	2.8%	0.2%	27.3%	0.0%	9.9%
ALBERTA	58.6%	11.2%	8.9%	0.5%	12.8%	4.6%	3.3%
BRITISH COLUMBIA	66.8%	10.1%	5.2%	0.1%	9.2%	0.6%	7.9%
CANADA	45.3%	12.2%	6.8%	0.6%	23.1%	1.2%	11.3%

PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF IMPLEMENTATION STAGE BUDGET ALLOCATIONS, BY MUNICIPAL SIZE CLASS

TABLE 23

BUDGETARY ITEMS	UNDER 2,500	2,500 to 10,000	10,000 to 30,000	30,000 to 100,000	Over 100,000
SOCIAL/RECREATION	62.3%	43.6%	40.4%	39.3%	46.3%
LAND FOR SOCIAL HOUSING	7.7%	7.7%	6.0%	19.4%	14.1%
PARTICIPATION, PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION	4.7%	6.7%	7.6%	5.5%	7.8%
RELOCATION	0.3%	0.5%	0.9%	0.5%	0.6%
MUNICIPAL SERVICES	18.5%	33.9%	33.6%	22.1%	16.5%
LAND FOR OTHER USES	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	2.3%
RESERVE	6.0%	9.2%	11.3%	13.0%	12.5%

8.0 CONCLUSION

8.1 PROGRAM TRENDS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Exact program trends that developed because of the implementation of NIP social and physical improvements in a neighbourhood are difficult to identify separately from those that may have resulted due to other contributing factors. However, certain trends and accomplishments influenced by the design of the program and the funding assistance provided by the program have been recognized.

Increased availability of information and guidance material on NIP, RRAP and other urban related assistance programs.

Establishment of resident participation to assist in the planning of specific improvements to their neighbourhoods.

Provisions introduced to train municipal officials to deliver NIP and RRAP.

Improved local confidence in governmental intervention at the neighbourhood level.

Increased provincial awareness of municipal needs as well as resident participation in neighbourhood planning.

Provision of financial and administrative assistance by the provinces to municipalities and to NIP areas.

Greater awareness by municipal officials and residents of the positive aspects on the preservation of older residential areas and recognition of the need to continue neighbourhood maintenance and rehabilitation.

PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF IMPLEMENTATION STAGE BUDGET ALLOCATIONS, BY MUNICIPAL SIZE CLASS

TABLE 23

BUDGETARY ITEMS	UNDER 2,500	2,500 to 10,000	10,000 to 30,000	30,000 to 100,000	Over 100,000
SOCIAL/RECREATION	62.3%	43.6%	40.4%	39.3%	46.3%
LAND FOR SOCIAL HOUSING	7.7%	7.7%	6.0%	19.4%	14.1%
PARTICIPATION, PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION	4.7%	6.7%	7.6%	5.5%	7.8%
RELOCATION	0.3%	0.5%	0.9%	0.5%	0.6%
MUNICIPAL SERVICES	18.5%	33.9%	33.6%	22.1%	16.5%
LAND FOR OTHER USES	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	2.3%
RESERVE	6.0%	9.2%	11.3%	13.0%	12.5%

9.0 SITE CLEARANCE PROGRAM

9.1 INTRODUCTION

Under the Neighbourhood Improvement Program, federal funds and loans are available to designated municipalities for distribution to selected NIP areas and Site Clearance projects. However, since the Site Clearance Program is governed by its own policies and selection criteria, the contribution commitments and program activities are presented separately in this report.

9.2 BACKGROUND

The Site Clearance Program along with NIP was introduced on June 28, 1973, with a statutory limitation for both programs of \$300 million. The programs expired on March 31, 1978.

The program was designed to assist municipalities to enforce minimum standards for existing residential buildings outside "Neighbourhood Improvement Areas" and to assist in rationalizing land uses in low-income residential areas through the acquisition of noxious-use properties that have a blighting effect.

CMHC may, within the financial limits specified in an annual agreement with each province, make a contribution to a municipality in an amount not exceeding 25 percent of the cost of relocating individuals who have been dispossessed of housing accommodation as a result of a Site Clearance project, and 25 percent of the cost of property acquisition and clearance less the market value of the land.

Loans to or for the benefit of a municipality may also be made for up to 75 percent of the municipality's share of costs after deducting the federal contribution.

To qualify for assistance under the program, the total sum of acquisition and demolition cost of properties contiguous to one another may not exceed \$500,000 as prescribed by NHA Regulations.

10.0 PROGRAM DELIVERY

10.1 FINANCIAL REPORT

The Site Clearance Program is an adjunct of the Neighbourhood Improvement Program. Of the total \$199.5 million in federal contributions and \$62.1 million in CMHC loans committed, \$2.8 million in federal contributions and \$4.9 million in CMHC loans was committed towards Site Clearance projects. Of the \$2.8 million committed in federal contributions, a total of \$306,206 was disbursed as of December 31, 1978.

10.2 PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Nationally, the program was used very little. The Quebec municipalities were the prime users, accounting for 27 of the total 29 projects implemented. Table 24 shows the scope of activities of the Site Clearance Program from 1974-77:

In Quebec, 13 of the 27 Site Clearance projects are located in Montreal. None of these projects have been completed as the procedures to finalize land acquisitions are proving to be lengthy and complicated. One site, located in Farnham, Quebec, has been acquired and cleared. In the interim period, it is being used as a public parking lot.

All the Site Clearance projects are expected to be closed-out by 1981.

SITE CLEARANCE PROGRAM ACTIVITIES, FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS,
DISBURSEMENTS AND LOANS BY PROVINCE

TABLE 24

PROVINCE	NO. OF PROJECTS	FEDERAL COMMITMENT	DISBURSEMENT TO DEC. 31/78	LOAN AMOUNT
NEWFOUNDLAND	-	-	-	-
P.E.I.	-	-	-	-
NOVA SCOTIA	-	-	-	-
NEW BRUNSWICK	1	\$4,562	\$4,562	-
QUEBEC	27	\$2,689,398	\$301,644	\$4,921,952
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-
MANITOBA	-	-	-	-
SASKATCHEWAN	-	-	-	-
ALBERTA	1	\$125,000	-	-
BRITISH COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-
CANADA	29	\$2,818,960	\$306,206	\$4,921,952

SECTION 27.2 AND 27.4 NHA

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

SITE CLEARANCE PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS
TO MUNICIPALITIES

Jan. 1, 1974 to Dec. 31, 1978

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
STATEMENT OF CMHC CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS
BY PROVINCE

<u>Original Commitments 1974-77</u>	<u>Province</u>	<u>Revised Commitments 1974-78</u>	<u>Contribution Commitments by Year</u>				<u>Surplus 1978</u>
			<u>1974</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1976</u>	<u>1977</u>	
\$7,285,000	Newfoundland	\$7,285,000	\$1,340,000	\$1,600,000	\$2,345,000	\$2,000,000	-
5,433,000	Prince Edward Island	5,433,000	533,000	1,200,000	1,700,000	2,000,000	-
10,770,000	Nova Scotia	10,720,000	2,670,000	2,400,000	2,650,000	3,000,000	50,000
5,067,000	New Brunswick -	4,571,261	2,667,000	1,904,261	-	-	495,739
50,091,809	Quebec	50,091,809	12,000,000	11,809,986	12,591,823	13,690,000	-
64,703,699	Ontario	63,070,937	15,937,367	14,880,653	15,301,391	16,951,526	1,632,762
11,764,500	Manitoba	11,764,500	2,750,000	2,900,000	3,100,000	3,014,500	-
10,751,474	Saskatchewan	10,751,474	2,750,000	2,501,474	2,600,000	2,900,000	-
15,750,000	Alberta	15,750,000	2,750,000	4,000,000	4,300,000	4,700,000	-
<u>20,100,000</u>	British Columbia	<u>20,100,000</u>	<u>4,000,000</u>	<u>5,100,000</u>	<u>5,200,000</u>	<u>5,800,000</u>	<u>-</u>
<u>\$201,716,482</u>	CANADA	<u>\$199,537,981</u>	<u>\$47,397,367</u>	<u>\$48,296,374</u>	<u>\$49,788,214</u>	<u>\$54,056,026</u>	<u>\$2,178,501</u>

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

154-4-1

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

March 17, 1978

Updated - April 18, 1978

PROVINCE OF NEWFOUNDLAND

Updated - December 31, 1978

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
400,000	Bishop's Falls				400,000	Beaumont Station - Kinsmen	8,000	392,000
2,186,392	Corner Brook	638,930	554,962	992,500		Watson's Pier Road Curling Phase I & II	- 19,851	638,930 1,527,611
200,000	Happy Valley/Goose Bay			200,000		Happy Valley Town Site	1,000	199,000
4,098,608	St. John's	701,070	276,288 768,750	1,152,500	1,200,000	Mundy Pond St. John's East St. John's West Georgetown Midtown South Battery	- 15,000 23,050 14,400	977,358 753,750 1,129,450 299,100 542,000 344,500
400,000	Windsor				400,000	Whitmore Area	6,000	394,000
7,285,000	Total Federal Contributions	1,340,000	1,600,000	2,345,000	2,000,000		87,301	7,197,699

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS
PROVINCE OF PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

154-4-2
 March 17, 1978
 Updated - April 18, 1978
 Updated - December 31, 1978

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
270,000	Alberton			270,000		Whole Town	5,400	264,600
151,875	Borden			151,875		Whole Town	3,037	148,838
21,300	Central Bedeque				21,300	Whole Village	426	20,874
1,129,375	Charlottetown	463,000		416,375	250,000	Area 1 Area 2	9,260 5,000	453,740 661,375
80,000	Cornwall				80,000	Most of Village	1,600	78,400
150,000	Georgetown			150,000		Whole Town	3,000	147,000
300,000	Kensington		300,000			Whole Town	5,340	294,660
428,750	Montague			428,750		Whole Town	8,575	420,175
72,200	North Rustico				72,200	Whole Village	1,444	70,756
124,000	O'Leary				124,000	Whole Village	50	123,950
202,000	Parkdale				202,000	Whole Village	1,267	200,733
370,000	Sherwood				370,000	Most of Village	7,040	362,960
267,000	Souris			267,000		Whole Town	5,340	261,660
1,665,000	Summerside		900,000		765,000	Westward, Centreward Eastward	5,000	1,660,000

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMSTATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTSPROVINCE OF PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
116,000	Tignish	70,000		16,000	30,000	Whole Town	1,400	114,600
50,000	Tyne Valley				50,000	Whole Town	1,000	49,000
35,500	Wellington				35,500	Whole Village	NIL	35,500
5,433,000	Total Federal Contributions	533,000	1,200,000	1,700,000	2,000,000		64,179	5,368,821

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

154-4-3

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

March 17, 1978
Updated May 8, 1978

PROVINCE OF NOVA SCOTIA

Updated December 31, 1978

Total ommitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
720,000	Amherst		240,000		480,000	West Highlands (to be named)	4,800 9,600	235,200 470,400
100,000	Antigonish			100,000		Area #1	2,000	98,000
200,000	Bridgewater		200,000			North End	4,000	196,000
60,000	Canso		60,000			Area #1	1,200	58,800
855,000	Dartmouth	835,000		650,000		Harbourview Notting Park Tuft's Cove South Woodside	16,700 13,000	409,150 409,150 318,500 318,500
150,000	Digby				570,000 150,000	1) Austinville 2) North Woodside Digby	11,400 3,000	558,600 147,000
205,000	Dominion				205,000	Dominion NIP	4,100	200,900
890,000	Glace Bay		350,000	300,000		New Aberdeen Caledonia	7,000 6,000	343,000 294,000
1,460,000	Halifax	1,000,000		850,000	240,000 610,000	Bridgeport - Area 1 } Morien Hill - Area 4 } Old Northern Suburb Old South End North End	20,000 17,000 12,200	100,000 140,000 980,000 833,000 597,800
150,000	Liverpool		150,000			West End	3,000	147,000
100,000	Lockeport		100,000			Lockeport	2,000	98,000

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMSTATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTSPROVINCE OF NOVA SCOTIA (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
175,000	Louisbourg		100,000		75,000	West Area East Area	2,000 1,500	98,000 73,500
150,000	Lunenburg		150,000			Old Town	3,000	147,000
50,000	Middleton			50,000		North St./Lower Middle- ton	1,000	49,000
200,000	New Glasgow		200,000			Elizabeth Heights Vale Road	4,000	76,438 119,562
770,000	New Waterford		350,000	250,000	170,000	Area #1 (North) Area #2 (South) Area #3 (East)	7,000 5,000 3,400	343,000 245,000 166,600
150,000	Parrsboro				150,000	Parrsboro	3,000	147,000
100,000	Pictou			100,000		Mid-Town Westway	2,000	85,750 12,250
150,000	Stellarton		150,000			Albion	3,000	147,000
1,185,000	Sydney	835,000		350,000		Whitney Pier, Area 1 Central, Area 2 North End, Area 3 Area #4, Ashley Area #5, Whitney Pier Area #6. Whitney Pier	16,700 7,000	400,800 208,750 208,750 148,075 94,963 99,962

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMSTATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTSPROVINCE OF NOVA SCOTIA (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
350,000	Sydney Mines		200,000	50,000		Cranberry Barrington Frazer/Main	4,000 1,000 2,000	196,000 49,000 98,000
150,000	Trenton				100,000	Steeletown	3,000	147,000
100,000	Westville				100,000	Westville	2,000	98,000
150,000	Yarmouth		150,000			Yarmouth South	3,000	147,000
10,770,000	Total Federal Contribution	2,670,000	2,400,000	2,700,000	3,000,000		215,400	10,554,600
			<u>Cancellations</u>					
50,000	Middleton			50,000	15-6-78		1,000	49,000
10,720,000	Total Federal Contribution as of 31-12-78			2,650,000			214,400	10,505,600

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

154-4-4

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

March 17, 1978

PROVINCE OF NEW BRUNSWICK

Updated - April 19, 1978

Updated - December 31, 1978

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
451,000	Bathurst	451,000				Ryan Ward (Bathurst South)	8,000	443,000
4,261	Campbellton		4,261			(cancelled)	4,261	NIL
500,000	Dieppe		500,000			Lakeburn	10,000	490,000
339,000	Edmundston	339,000				Ecole St. Francois Edmunston East Rue Canada-Rue Victoria	6,000	111,000 111,000 111,000
563,000	Fredericton	563,000				Devon (North Side) Town Plant (South Side) Site Clearance Project	1,610	241,694 315,134 4,562
150,000	Grand Bay		150,000			Southern Portion	3,000	147,000
400,000	Grand Falls		400,000			Grand Falls East	8,000	392,000
150,000	Hampton		150,000			Whole Village	3,000	147,000
563,000	Moncton	563,000				East End	10,000	553,000
1,451,000	Saint John	751,000	700,000			South End North End	- 14,000	751,000 686,000
4,571,261	Total Federal Contribution	2,667,000	1,904,261				76,261	4,495,000
5,067,000	Fed/Prov Agreement Allocations	2,667,000	2,400,000				82,000	4,985,000
495,739	Campbellton				3-4-78			

Cancellations

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

154-4-5

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

March 17, 1978
updated May 15, 1978

PROVINCE OF QUEBEC

Updated December 31, 1978

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
560,000	Alma			560,000		Secteur N1, N2A, N2B		560,000
125,000	Amos				125,000	Site Clearance		125,000
1,200,000	Beauport (See also Giffard)		400,000		800,000	Des Cascades Secteur Montmorency	750	400,000 799,250
320,000	Blainville			320,000		Camp Bouchard		320,000
1,400,000	Boucherville		800,000		600,000	Vieux Village - Phase 1 Vieux Village - Phase 2		800,000 600,000
300,000	Cadillac		300,000			Bloc 46		300,000
723,548	Chicoutimi		723,548			Christ Roi		723,548
400,000	Dolbeau			400,000		Secteur Ste.-Thérèse		400,000
275,743	Donnacoona	275,743				Quartier #1		275,743
1,470,050	Drummondville		750,050		720,000	St. Joseph/St. Jean Baptiste Ste. Therese et St-Pierre		750,050 720,000
400,000	Drummondville Sud			400,000		Quartier St. Simon		400,000
550,500	Farnham	550,500				Quartier Centre Ouest		550,500
1,200,000	Gatineau			720,000	480,000	Champlain St.-Louis Archambault Greber	9,600	720,000 470,000

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF QUEBEC (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
480,000	Giffard (annexed to Beauport)		480,000			Quartier #1- Secteur Giffard		480,000
200,000	Granby			200,000		Zone du Parc		200,000
400,000	Hull		800,000			Zones 4 & 5		800,000
				800,000		Zones 3, 8 & 11		800,000
					800,000	Zones 1, 2, 3, 10 & 12	16,000	784,000
647,500	Joliette		87,500			Site Clearance Ste-Thérèse Ouest		87,500
				560,000		Zone de la Rue Baby		560,000
247,915	Jonquière	299,865				Aire Nelson		299,865
			123,300			Site Cl.-Arvida & St. Jean Eudes		123,300
				124,750		Site Cl.-Centre Ville & Kenogami		124,750
					700,000	Kenogami	14,000	700,000
560,000	LaBaie				560,000	Secteur Grande Baie		560,000
240,000	Lachine		680,000			Remy Phase I		680,000
				560,000		Remy Phase II		560,000
760,000	Laprairie	700,000				Zone du vieux Laprairie		700,000
			60,000			Site Clearance		60,000
400,000	L'assomption			400,000		Centre Ville		400,000
560,000	La Tuque				560,000	Lac St. Louis	11,200	548,800
400,000	Lauzon			400,000		Secteur Centre		400,000
300,000	L'Epiphanie		300,000			Quartier Quest		300,000

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF QUEBEC (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
480,000	Lévis			480,000		Zone #1		480,000
2,098,500	Longueuil	613,500	125,000	640,000	720,000	Secteur-Aire K-1 Site Clearance - Aire K3 Secteur-Aire K-2 Secteur-Aire P-1		613,500 125,000 640,000 720,000
280,000	Louisville				280,000	Secteur Manerevil	5,600	274,400
240,000	Marieville				240,000			240,000
122,500	Mistassini			122,500		Site Clearance-Secteur	N-0	122,500
400,000	Mont Joli				400,000	Jacques Cartier et de la Gare		400,000
400,000	Mont Laurier				400,000		500	399,500
5,900,000	Montreal	3,900,000	1,200,000		800,000	Terrasse Ontario Parc du Carmel St. Henri Nord Site Clearance (13 projects) Terrasse Ontario 2		1,500,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 800,000
525,000	Nicolet		400,000		125,000	Rue Panet et Environs Site Clearance-Cresse Leprohon		400,000 125,000
320,000	Outremont		320,000			Zone de Renovation		320,000
227,500	Pointe aux Trembles				227,500			227,500
473,052	Port Cartier		473,052			Quartier Shelter Bay		473,052

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF QUEBEC (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
4,971,750	Quebec City	2,100,500	1,200,000	871,250	800,000	Site Clearance St. Sauver St. Sauver Nord 1 & 3 St. Sauver Sud 2 St. Sauver Lots 4 & 5 Site Clearance St. Sauver St. Sauver Ilot #6 Stadacona Ilot #7 Site Clearance St.-Jean Baptiste Ilot 8	750	93,750 1,249,375 757,375 1,183,755 16,245 415,000 331,250 125,000 799,250
640,000	Rimouski				640,000	Ste-Agnès-St-Robert-& St-Odile		640,000
125,000	Riviere du Loup				125,000	Site Clearance		125,000
560,000	Roberval				560,000			560,000
125,000	Sacre Coeur			125,000		Site Clearance		125,000
400,000	St. Eustache			400,000		Vieux St. Eustache		400,000
521,986	St. Georges de Beauce	521,986				Centre Ville et Extension		521,986
400,000	St. Georges Ouest			400,000		Centre Ville et Extension		400,000
1,858,500	St. Hyacinthe	911,000		720,000	227,500	Christ-Roi-Phase I Christ-Roi-Phase II St. Joseph-L'Assomption		911,000 720,000 227,500
800,000	Saint-Jean	800,000				Vieux St.-Jean		800,000

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF QUEBEC (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
1,080,000	St. Jerome			520,000	560,000	Secteur Giraldeau Secteur Desjardins	11,200	520,000 548,600
400,000	St. Hubert	400,000				Maricourt - Phase I		400,000
320,000	Senneterre				320,000	Zones 1, 2 and 3		320,000
*693,000	Sept. Iles			693,000		Project Quatreliere		693,000
640,000	Shawinigan		640,000			St. Anne et 3-L		640,000
111,525	Shawinigan Sud		111,525			Site Clearance		111,525
1,596,011	Sherbrooke		796,011			Quartier Centre Sud Phase I		796,011
				800,000		Quartier Centre Sud Phase II		800,000
640,000	Sillery		640,000			Zone 1 & 2		640,000
926,906	Sullivan	926,906				Jacola		926,906
560,000	Terrebonne				560,000	Secteur Bas de la Cote		560,000
775,323	Trois Rivieres		400,000			Hertel I Hertel II		400,000 375,323
				375,323				
1,800,000	Verdun			1,000,000	800,000	Quartier #1 - Secteur A Quartier #1 - Secteur B		1,000,000 800,000

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF QUEBEC (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
560,000	Victoriaville				560,000	Centre Sud		560,000
50,091,809	Total Federal Contributions	12,000,000	11,809,986	12,591,823	13,690,000		62,600	50,029,209
8,191	Uncommitted				8,191			
50,100,000	Fed/Prov Agreement Allocations	12,000,000	12,000,000	12,400,000	13,700,000			
			<u>Cancellations</u>					
320,000	Saint Raymond				24-11-77	redistributed to other NIP areas to cover over- costs		
50,091,809	Total Federal Contributions as of 31-12-78	12,000,000	11,809,986	12,591,823	13,690,000		62,600	50,029,209
*Commitment to Drummondville is to be reduced by \$30,000 and to Sept Iles by \$66,500.								

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

March 17, 1978

154-4-6

Updated May 17, 1978

Updated December 31, 1978

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
645,000	Almonte	65,000	41,840 138,160		400,000	The Hill Area #2		106,840 538,160
150,000	Alnwick				150,000	Roseneath	3,000	147,000
500,000	Amherstburg			500,000		Central Area		500,000
236,540	Arnprior	70,000	166,540			Part "A"	587	235,953
150,000	Bath				150,000		3,000	147,000
500,000	Belleville				500,000		10,000	490,000
400,000	Bracebridge				400,000	Area #1		400,000
805,000	Brantford	105,000	190,000 150,000		360,000	North Ward Usher Lawrence Central School	1,059 100	293,941 150,000 359,900
2,122,026	Brockville	430,000	380,000	650,000	48,750 139,500 473,776	Centre Town Lower Town Uppertown West Uppertown East	3,880	474,870 519,500 650,000 473,776
425,000	Campbellford				425,000	NIP Area #1	8,500	416,500
258,000	Cambridge	258,000				Eagle Hill		258,000
700,000	Carleton Place			200,000	500,000	Lake Avenue West Lake Avenue East		200,000 500,000

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMSTATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTSPROVINCE OF ONTARIO (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
150,000	Chapleau		150,000			West Neighbourhood		150,000
219,500	Cochrane	69,500	150,000			Hill Crest West Annex	1,390	68,110 150,000
1,091,000	Cornwall	430,000	400,000	261,000		Mattice Park Bridge Area	6,969 6,368	684,031 393,632
550,000	East Gwillimbury, Twp. of	430,000		120,000		Holland Landing River Park Drive		264,427 285,573
1,470,000	Etobicoke	860,000		460,000	150,000	Laburnham Norris Crescent		1,320,000 150,000
200,000	Fort Erie				200,000		4,000	196,000
200,000	Gananoque			200,000		North West Area	4,000	196,000
400,000	Goderich				400,000			400,000
490,000	Gravenhurst		340,000		150,000	Urban Ward West Gravenhurst	2,238	337,762 150,000
258,000	Grimsby	258,000				Grimsby Beach	525	257,475
3,682,000	Hamilton	1,290,000	1,000,000		1,392,000	Kirkendall-Strathcona Gibson Landsdale		1,290,000 1,000,000 1,392,000
151,420	Hearst	151,420				Louisbourg St. Pie X		30,625 120,795

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
150,000	Ignace	60,000	90,000			Old Ignace North End	845	149,155
339,000	Iroquois Falls				339,000	Proquis Junction	50	338,950
150,000	Kenora		150,000			Brickyard Area		150,000
450,000	Kincardine				450,000		50	449,950
1,155,500	Kingston	645,000		321,000	189,500	St. Lawrence/Cataraqui		1,155,500
175,000	Kirkland Lake		175,000			Chaput Hughes		175,000
341,750	Lindsay	341,750				Scugog River		341,750
2,446,012	London	860,000		986,012*	600,000	Lorne Avenue Hamilton Road Manor Park Carling Heights Grey-Horton	16,000	464,205 379,795 501,325 484,687 600,000
430,000	Midland	430,000				Ontario Street	1,250	428,750
150,000	Napanee	69,500	80,500			Water Street	1,390	148,610
1,690,000	Niagara Falls	645,000	325,000		720,000	Glenview Area Woodbine Area Drummond South Ryerson Market	9,850	260,075 375,075 325,000 720,000
90,000	Orillia	90,000				Adair - George St.	1,800	88,200

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
1,655,000	Oshawa	105,000	800,000		750,000	O'Neil Community Area #2	5,000	905,000 745,000
2,404,000	Ottawa	1,284,000*		50,000		Bell Town Centre Town #4 Centre Town #3 Lower Town West North Hintonburg	9,640 2,452	817,150 305,900 201,310 567,548 500,000
350,000	Owen Sound				350,000		7,000	343,000
125,250	Paris			125,250		South Ward		125,250
590,000	Parry Sound			300,000	290,000	East Ward Project #2	6,000 50	294,000 289,950
1,220,000	Pembroke			800,000	420,000	Area #1 Area #2	2,339	797,661 420,000
575,000	Penetanguishene	125,000	150,000	300,000		West End East End	2,997	147,003 425,000
400,000	Perth				400,000	NIP Area	8,000	392,000
350,000	Peterborough		350,000			Simcoe Bethune (NIP Area #1)		350,000
237,500	Petrolia				237,500	Central Neighbourhood		237,500
1,100,000	Port Colborne	90,000	485,000	25,000	500,000	Canal South-East Canal North-East North West Redev. Area	1,800 9,700 10,000	113,200 475,300 490,000

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
372,000	Port Elgin				372,000		7,440	364,560
1,125,750	Prescott			1,125,750		Project #1		1,125,750
350,000	Renfrew				350,000		7,000	343,000
475,613	Richmond Hill		475,613			Lake Wilcox No. 1		475,613
258,000	Ridgetown	258,000				Ridgetown NIP Area		258,000
1,898,300	St. Catherines	645,000		40,000		Port Dalhousie	10,198	273,551
			415,800			Port Weller		401,251
				437,500		West St. Catherines		415,800
					360,000	Merritton	5,500	432,000
							2,000	358,000
280,000	Sandwich West, Twp. of	80,000	200,000			Lasalle, Waterfront		280,000
3,017,300	Sarnia	645,000		1,463,000		South Welton		2,108,000
			909,300			Elgin Park		909,300
430,000	Sarnia, Twp. of	430,000				Bright's Grove		430,000
2,360,000	Sault Ste. Marie	860,000		250,000		International Bridge		1,110,000
			500,000			Plaza II		500,000
					750,000	International Bridge		500,000
						Plaza III		750,000
						Bay View		750,000

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
210,000	Smooth Rock Falls				210,000	North East Neighbourhood	50	209,950
250,000	Southampton				250,000		5,000	245,000
500,000	Stratford			500,000		Area #1		500,000
1,558,697	Sudbury	773,697*				Howie Drive		441,312
			530,000			Capreol Ward		332,385
					255,000	Flour Mill	75	529,925
						Wahnapiatae Village	50	254,950
2,864,700	Thunder Bay	860,000		100,000		Ogden East End	17,200	942,800
			729,700	675,000		Bay Secord Area		729,700
					500,000	Westfort West		675,000
						North Cumberland		500,000
560,000	Timmins	110,000	200,000			Riverview South		310,000
				250,000		Riverview Centre		250,000
6,921,000	Toronto	1,163,500*				Dufferin Davenport		450,000
						Junction		285,000
			2,142,500			Niagara		428,500
						South East Spadina		743,750
				155,000		Wallace Emerson		947,750
				2,650,000		Kensington		606,000
						South Riverdale		1,121,000
						Dovercourt Park		736,000
					810,000	Queen & Lansdowne		793,000
						East of Main Area	16,200	352,000
						South-East Riverdale Area		441,800

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
1,280,000	Trenton		380,000		900,000	West Street Area Dundas East North Trenton	7,600	372,400 600,000 300,000
909,700	Vanier	90,000	819,700			Area #2	1,800	907,900
624,000	Wallaceburg		300,000	324,000		Neighbourhood #1 South Park		300,000 324,000
1,543,600	Welland		196,000	347,600	1,000,000	New York Central West Main Maple Leaf	20,000	196,000 347,600 980,000
322,000	Whitby				322,000	Ash Creek		322,000
287,500	Warton				287,500		50	287,450
2,345,279	Windsor	860,000	800,000	685,279		Glengarry Drouillard Road Remington Park		860,000 800,000 685,279
63,070,937	Total Federal Contributions as of	15,937,367	14,880,653	15,301,391	16,951,526		251,992	62,818,945
1,684,063	Surplus ³¹⁻¹²⁻⁷⁸ and Residual*	1,062,633	119,347	253,609	248,474			
4,755,000	Fed/Prov Agreement Allocations	17,000,000	15,000,000	15,555,000	17,200,000		251,992	64,503,008

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO (CONT.)

*Surplus and Residuals	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
	Arnprior		3,460					
	Brockville				87,974			
	Hearst	106,580						
	Kingston				160,500			
	Kirkland Lake	117,087						
	Lindsay	2,250						
	London			202,308				
	Ottawa	6,000						
	Peterborough		50,000					
	Richmond Hill		24,387					
	Sudbury	274,216						
	Toronto	556,500	37,500					
	Welland		4,000					
	1976 Agreement			51,301				
1,684,063	Surplus and Residuals Total	1,062,633	119,347	253,609	248,474			1,684,063

*Surplus is the balance remaining from the commitment after project completion.
 Residual is the amount of allocation that has not been committed.

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF MANITOBA

154-4-7
 March 17, 1978

Updated - April 27, 1978

Updated December 31, 1979

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
927,773	Brandon		927,773			Assiniboine Gardens		927,773
547,000	Le Pas				547,000	Area "A"		547,000
1,207,500	Portage La Prairie			1,207,500		North Central	19,250	1,188,250
9,082,227	Winnipeg	2,750,000	1,972,227	1,892,500	2,467,500	Centennial North Point Douglas St. Boniface NIP Area Brooklands William Whyte West Alexander	20,000	1,237,500 1,512,500 1,972,227 1,872,500 1,317,500 1,150,000
11,764,500	Total Federal Contributions uncommitted	2,750,000	2,900,000	3,100,000	3,014,500 385,500		39,290	11,725,250
12,150,000	Fed/Prov Agreement Allocation	2,750,000	2,900,000	3,100,000	3,400,000			

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

154-4-8

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

March 17, 1978

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

Updated May 12, 1978
Updated December 31, 1978

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
137,000	Battleford		137,000			Battleford Centre	368	136,632
82,040	Birch Hills				82,040	Whole Town		82,040
68,840	Blaine Lake				68,840	Whole Town		68,840
162,078.7	Canora	162,078.7				NIP Area No. 1		162,078.7
93,200	Carlyle		93,200			Carlyle NIP Area		93,200
110,000	Carnduff			110,000		Whole Town		110,000
101,240	Churchbridge				101,240	Churchbridge NIP Area	1,000	100,240
235,688	Creighton		235,688			Whole Town		235,688
148,000	Davidson		148,000			Whole Town		148,000
193,250	Estevan	193,250				Central Core Area		193,250
140,000	Eston			140,000		Whole Town		140,000
127,500	Foam Lake		127,500			Whole Town, NIP I		127,500
270,500	Fort Qu'appelle		270,500			Whole Town		270,500
140,000	Gravelbourg			140,000		Whole Town		140,000
130,000	Grenfell			130,000		Whole Town		130,000

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
142,950	Gull Lake		142,950			Gull Lake NIP Area		142,950
107,570	Herbert				107,570	Whole Town		107,570
288,629	Hudson Bay	288,629				Whole Town		288,629
381,300	Humbolt				381,300	Whole Town		381,300
99,280	Ituna				99,280	Whole Town	1,000	98,280
165,750	Kamsack	165,750				Kamsack NIP #1		165,750
119,000	Kelvington		119,000			Whole Town		119,000
110,000	Kerrobert			110,000		Whole Town		110,000
121,666	Kindersley	121,666				Kindersley Project #1		121,666
192,800	Langenburg		192,800			Langenburg NIP Area		192,800
320,000	La Ronge		320,000			Whole Town	211	319,789
126,550	Leader				126,550	Whole Town	2,531	124,019
272,854.3	Martensville	272,854.3				Whole Town		272,854.3
101,316	Meadow Lake	101,316				West End		101,316
286,619	Nipawin	286,619				Gordon Subdivision		286,619

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
272,208	North Battleford	272,208				Glenora Park		272,208
109,250	Outlook		49,250	60,000		Outlook Centre		109,250
172,750	Preeceville		172,750			Preeceville NIP		172,750
936,740	Prince Albert	192,000		400,000		East Flat Area West End Area #3	1,694 3,000	192,000 398,306 341,740
1,512,500	Regina	412,500		1,100,000	344,740	North Highland Albert Scott Area	22,000	412,500 1,078,000
58,250	Rose Valley				58,250	Whole Town		58,250
150,000	Rosthern			150,000		Whole Town		150,000
78,330	St. Walburg				78,330	Whole Town		78,330
982,989	Saskatoon	281,129		200,000		Riversdale Area Mayfair Nutana	4,000	281,129 200,000 497,860
238,160	Shaunavon				238,160	NIP 101	1,000	237,160
112,200	Shellbrook		112,200			Whole Town	800	111,400
76,910	Stoughton				76,910	NIP 101	1,530	75,380
88,580	Strasbourg				88,580	NIP 101		88,580

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
76,910	Sturgis				76,910	Whole Town	1,500	75,410
270,560	Tisdale				270,560	Tisdale NIP Area		270,560
141,000	Wadena		141,000			Whole Town		141,000
36,936	Wakaw		36,936			Whole Town		36,936
155,350	Watrous		155,350			Whole Town		155,350
102,550	Watson				102,550	Whole Town		102,550
107,350	Whitewood		47,350	60,000		Whole Town		107,350
96,330	Wolseley				96,330		1,000	95,330
10,751,474	Total Federal Contributions	2,750,000	2,501,474	2,600,000	2,900,000		41,634	10,709,840
1,474	Overcommitted		1,474					
10,750,000	Fed/Prov Agreement Allocation	2,750,000	2,500,000	2,600,000	2,900,000			

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

154-4-9

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

March 17, 1978

PROVINCE OF ALBERTA

Updated - April 28, 1978

Updated - December 31, 1978

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection "Stage"	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
133,333	Bassano		133,333			Town of Bassano		133,333
137,500	Beaverlodge				137,500	Whole Town		137,500
171,875	Bellevue	171,875				Village of Bellevue	2,371	169,504
150,000	Bow Island				150,000	Whole Town		150,000
4,431,250	Calgary	1,031,250	1,100,000	1,200,000	1,100,000	Inglewood-Ramsay Hillhurst-Sunnyside Site Clearance (Chinatown) Victoria Park, East Bowness-Montgomery Bridgeland Crescent Hghts/Régal Terrace Canmore & Canmore West		1,031,250 975,000 125,000 308,500 891,500 800,000 300,000 175,000
175,000	Canmore				175,000	Canmore & Canmore West		175,000
150,000	Carbon			150,000		Town of Carbon		150,000
250,000	Cardston			250,000		Town of Cardston		250,000
200,000	Coleman		200,000			Town of Coleman	4,000	196,000
30,000	Donnelly				30,000	Whole Town		30,000
100,000	Drayton Valley				100,000	Central Neighbourhood	2,000	98,000
300,000	Drumheller		300,000			NIP Area Phase I		300,000

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF ALBERTA (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
4,431,250	Edmonton	1,031,250	1,100,000	1,200,000	1,100,000	Canora Norwood Ritchie Calder Alberta Ave., Eastwood	20,000 6,400 17,600 22,000	1,011,250 1,100,000 313,600 862,400 1,078,000
400,000	Edson			400,000		Heatherwood Nbhd.	8,000	392,000
118,500	Falher				118,500	Whole Town		118,500
150,000	Foremost				150,000	Town of Foremost		150,000
250,000	Fort McLeod			250,000		Fort McLeod NIP		250,000
150,000	Fort McMurray				150,000	Waterways	3,000	147,000
300,000	Frank/Blairmore			300,000		Blairmore/Frank NIP		300,000
31,500	Girouxville				31,500	Whole Village		31,500
400,000	Grand Prairie		400,000			East Hillside	625	399,375
250,000	Hanna			250,000		NIP Area		250,000
150,000	Hussar			150,000		NIP Area		150,000
500,000	Lethbridge		500,000			Westminster	10,000	490,000
120,000	MacLennon				120,000	Whole Town		120,000
100,000	Manning				100,000	Whole Town	2,000	98,000

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF ALBERTA (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
400,000	Medicine Hat				400,000	Flats NIP		400,000
240,625	Munic. Affairs Dept. Dist. #5	240,625				Village of Hillcrest East Coleman/Blairmore Rd.	1,285 2,406	119,027 117,906
133,334	Munic. Affairs Dept. Dist. #7		133,334			Five Hamlets		133,334
275,000	Peace River	275,000				West Peace River	1,000	274,000
400,000	Regional Resource Project				400,000	Rockyford Village of Acme Beiseker		133,333 133,333 133,334
90,750	Sexsmith				90,750	Whole Village		90,750
150,000	Spirit River				150,000	Whole Town		150,000
150,000	Standard			150,000		Standard NIP Area		150,000
133,333	Trochu		133,333			Town of Trochu		133,333
150,000	Vulcan				150,000			150,000
46,750	Wembley				46,750	Whole Village		46,750
5,750,000	Total Federal Contributions	2,750,000	4,000,000	4,300,000	4,700,000		102,689	15,647,312
5,750,000	Fed/Prov Agreement Allocations	2,750,000	4,000,000	4,300,000	4,700,000			

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

154-4-10

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

March 17, 1978

Updated - April 19, 1978

PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

Updated December 31, 1978

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
1,250,000	Burnaby		750,000		500,000	Eastburn Willingdon Heights	5,309 10,000	744,691 490,000
100,000	Burns Lake				100,000	NIP Area #1	2,000	98,000
150,000	Campbell River, Dist. of			150,000		Willow Point	3,000	147,000
250,000	Chilliwack			250,000		South Chilliwack	4,800	245,200
150,000	Comox			150,000		NIP Area #1	3,000	147,000
250,000	Coquitlam, Dist. of	250,000				Maillardville	5,000	245,000
300,000	Courtenay				300,000	N.W. Courtenay	779	299,221
150,000	Creston				150,000	NIP Area #1	3,000	147,000
170,000	Cumberland		150,000		20,000	Whole Town	3,000	167,000
150,000	Dawson Creek				150,000	NIP Area #1	3,000	147,000
125,000	Duncan	125,000				Centennial	2,500	122,500
400,000	Esquimalt		250,000			South-West Bay	5,000	245,000
					150,000	Esquimalt North - Colville	1,066	148,934
250,000	Fernie				250,000	NIP Area #1	5,000	245,000

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMSTATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTSPROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
125,000	Fort St. James		125,000			Whole Village	2,500	122,500
200,000	Gibson			200,000		Whole Village	4,000	196,000
325,000	Golden				325,000	Whole Town	1,593	323,407
75,000	Grand Forks		75,000			13th St./Riverside Dr.	1,500	73,500
200,000	Kamloops		200,000			North Shore - McDonald Park	4,000	196,000
150,000	Kelowna			150,000		Area C-Panick Roseburn Area F-Southgate	2,041	99,448 48,511
150,000	Kent, Dist. of				150,000	Agassiz	1,100	148,900
625,000	Kimberley			250,000	375,000	Whole Town	2,500	622,500
250,000	Langley		250,000			Older Section	5,000	245,000
200,000	Maple Ridge			200,000		Hammond	4,000	196,000
250,000	Merritt			250,000		Whole Town	5,000	245,000
400,000	Mission, Dist. of		250,000		50,000 100,000	Mission Central Mission East	5,000 0	306,550 88,450
350,000	Nelson		200,000	150,000		Central Area	7,000	343,000

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
1,275,000	New Westminster	625,000				Queensborough Connaught Heights Sapperton Kelvin	7,000 4,000	509,949.37 115,050.63 343,000 296,000
350,000	North Vancouver		350,000	300,000		Ridgeway	7,000	343,000
250,000	Port Alberni		250,000			North Port	3,234	246,766
225,000	Port Moody				225,000	Downtown	4,500	220,500
525,000	Powell River	125,000		200,000		Cranberry Wildwood Townsite	2,500 2,000 4,000	122,500 198,000 196,000
200,000	Prince George		200,000			Peden Hill - Section "A"	4,000	196,000
250,000	Prince Rupert	250,000				"A"	5,000	166,600
						"B"		78,400
125,000	Revelstoke		125,000			Revelstoke NIP Area #1	1,664	123,336
300,000	Richmond		300,000			Steveston Burkeville	6,000	205,800 88,200
125,000	Rosland	125,000				Nickle Plate Hillcrest	2,500	122,500
150,000	Saanich				150,000	Burnside	-	150,000
180,000	Smithers				180,000	NIP Area #1	3,600	176,400

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION COMMITMENTS

PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA (CONT.)

Total Commitment To Munic. 1974-1977	Municipality	Commitments by Year				Name of NIP Area	Selection Stage	Commitment To NIP Area (Plan. & Impl.)
		1974	1975	1976	1977			
700,000	Surrey, Dist. of			150,000	550,000	Crescent Beach Bridgeview	3,000 4,350	147,000 545,650
375,000	Trail				375,000	East Trail	7,500	367,500
6,325,000	Vancouver	1,500,000				Kitsilano Cedar Cottage Mount Pleasant Downtown Eastside Strathcona Extension Grandview-Woodland Riley Park Kensington Kiwassa	5,250 22,500 44,000 30,000	600,000 894,750 647,500 332,500 122,500 1,225,000 931,000 1,274,000 196,000
1,500,000	Victoria	1,000,000				Victoria West James Bay Springridge-Fernwood	20,000 7,500	467,500 512,500 492,500
250,000	White Rock			250,000		Columbia	5,000	245,000
20,100,000	Total Federal Contributions	4,000,000	5,100,000	5,200,000	5,800,000		322,286	19,777,714
20,100,000	Fed/Prov Agreement Allocation	4,000,000	5,100,000	5,200,000	5,800,000			

SECTION 27.5 NHA
NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
STATEMENT OF CMHC LOAN COMMITMENTS
TO MUNICIPALITIES

Jan. 1974 - Dec. 31, 1978

Updated Dec. 31/78

NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
STATEMENT OF CMHC LOAN COMMITMENTS
BY PROVINCE

<u>Original Loan Commitment 1974-1978</u>	<u>Province</u>	<u>Revised Loan Commitment 1974-1978</u>	<u>Loan Commitments by Year</u>				
			<u>1974</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1976</u>	<u>1977</u>	<u>1978</u>
\$10,118,364	Newfoundland	\$10,118,364	\$1,635,081	\$2,083,351	\$2,999,932	\$2,800,000	\$600,000
1,974,661	Prince Edward Island	1,974,661	-	267,000	-	300,000	1,407,661
1,147,797	Nova Scotia	391,609	-	-	-	188,809	202,800
1,399,863	New Brunswick	1,399,863	-	-	773,000	626,863	-
46,030,689	Quebec	45,840,895	-	6,816,405	12,993,169	13,558,329	12,472,992
626,206	Ontario	635,206	-	-	12,100	346,450	276,656
-	Manitoba	-	-	-	-	-	-
1,767,808	Saskatchewan	1,580,528	-	45,000	60,000	178,603	1,296,925
1,123,379	Alberta	78,125	-	78,125	-	-	-
234,375	British Columbia	109,375	-	46,875	-	-	62,500
<u>\$64,423,141</u>	<u>CANADA</u>	<u>\$62,128,626</u>	<u>\$1,635,081</u>	<u>\$9,336,756</u>	<u>\$16,838,201</u>	<u>\$17,999,054</u>	<u>\$16,319,534</u>

Neighbourhood Improvement Program

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Updated December 31,
1978

Province of Newfoundland

June 2, 1978

Total Loan Commitment to Munic 1974-1978	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	Amortization Period	Increases/Decreases
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
650,000	Bishop Falls				550,000	100,000	Beaumont Heights	25 years	
2,641,043	Cornerbrook	479,197					Watson's Road	"	
			884,914				Curling Phase I	"	
				1,276,932			Curling Phase II	"	
6,177,321	St. Johns	1,155,884					Mundy Pond	"	
			1,198,437				St. Johns East	"	
				1,723,000			St. Johns West	"	
					608,375	280,000	Battery	"	
					387,875	40,000	Georgetown	"	
					703,750	80,000	Midtown South	"	
650,000	Windsor				550,000	100,000		"	
10,118,364	Total CMHC Loan Commitments	1,635,081	2,083,351	2,999,932	2,800,000	600,000			

Neighbourhood Improvement Program

Updated December 31, 1978

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of Prince Edward Island

June 2, 1978

Total Loan Commitment to Munic 1974-1978	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	Amortization Period	Increases/Decreases
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
87,188	Alberton				87,188		Whole Town	25 years	
120,068	Borden				120,068		Whole Town	25 years	
421,875	Charlottetown					421,875	NIP Area #2	20 years	
75,000	Cornwall					75,000	Most of Village	25 years	
49,619	Georgetown				49,619		Whole Town	25 years	
467,000	Kensington		267,000			200,000	Whole Town	25 years	
75,000	Montague					75,000	Whole Town	10 years	
54,150	North Rustico					54,150	Whole Village	25 years	
25,000	O'Leary					25,000	Whole Village	25 years	
76,125	Parkdale					76,125	Whole Village	10 years	
370,012	Sherwood					370,012	Most of Village	25 years	
100,000	Souris					100,000	Whole Town	25 years	
43,125	Tignish				43,125		Whole Town	25 years	
10,499	Wellington					10,499	Whole Village	15 years	
1,974,661	Total CMHC Loan Commitments		267,000		300,000	1,407,661			

Neighbourhood Improvement Program

Updated December 31, 1978

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of New Brunswick

June 2, 1978

Total Commitment to Munic 1974-1977	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	Amortization Period	Increases/Decreases
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
1,399,863	Saint John			773,000			South End	25 years	
					626,863		North End	25 years	
1,399,863	Total CMHC Loan Commitments			773,000	626,863				

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of Quebec

June 7, 1978

Total Commitment to Munic 1974-1977	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	20 Year Amortization Period	Increases/ Decreases
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
490,000	Alma				490,000		Secteurs N1, N2A, N2B		
250,000	Amos					250,000	Site Clearance		
1,050,000	Beauport (voir Giffard)			350,000		700,000	Des Cascades Montmorency		
280,000	Blainville				280,000		Camp Bouchard		
1,225,000	Boucherville			700,000		525,000	Vieux Village-Phase 1 " " Phase 2		
262,500	Cadillac			262,500			Bloc 46		
632,755	Chicoutimi			632,755			Christ-Roi (1)	37,755	
350,000	Dolbeau				350,000		Secteur Ste-Thérèse		
373,136	Donnacoona		373,136				Quartier #1		
1,260,094	Drummondville			630,094		630,000	St-Joseph/St-Jean Baptiste Ste-Thérèse et St-Pierre	35,044	
335,075	Drummondville Sud				335,075		Quartier St-Simon		
570,960	Farnham		570,960				Quartier Centre Ouest		
1,050,000	Gatineau				630,000	420,000	Champlain St-Louis Anchebauld Québec		

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of Quebec

June , 1978

Total Commitment to Munic 1974-1977	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	20 Year Amortization Period	
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
420,000	Giffard (annexé à Beauport)			420,000			Quartier #1-Secteur Giffard		
175,000	Granby				175,000		Zone du Parc		
2,122,501	Hull			700,000	22,501 700,000	700,000	Zones 4 & 5 Zones 3, 8 & 11 Zones 1,2,3,10 & 12		22,501
665,750	Joliette			175,750			P.D.T. Ste-Thérèse ouest		
1,456,671	Jonquière		275,355	246,600	490,000 249,500	685,216	Zone de la Rue Baby Aire Nelson Site Clearance-Arvida & St-Jean Eudes Site Clearance-Centre Ville & Kénogami Kénogami		
490,000	LaBaie					490,000	Secteur Grande Baie		
1,085,000	Lachine			595,000	490,000		Rémy Phase I Rémy Phase II		
932,544	Laprairie		802,544	130,000			Zone du vieux LaPrairie Site Clearance		
359,150	L'Assomption				359,150		Centre Ville		

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of Quebec

June 7, 1978

Total Commitment to Munic 1974-1977	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	20 Year Amortization Period	Increases/Decreases
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
490,000	La Tuque					490,000	Lac St-Louis		
375,000	Lauzon				375,000		Secteur Centre		
262,500	L'Epiphanie			262,500			Quartier Ouest		
352,500	Lévis				352,500		Zone #1		
1,996,111	Longueuil		556,111	250,000		560,000	Secteur-Aire K-1 Site Clearance-Aire K-3 Secteur-Aire K-2 Secteur-P-1		
245,000	Louiseville					630,000			
245,000	Louiseville					245,000	Secteur Manereuil		
210,000	Marieville					210,000			
250,000	Mistassini				250,000		Site Clearance Secteur N-0		
350,000	Mont-Joli					350,000	Jacques Cartier et de La Gare		
350,000	Mont-Laurier					350,000			
6,049,396	Montréal			1,312,500 1,050,000 1,050,000	1,936,896	700,000	Terrasse Ontario Parc du Carmel St-Henri Nord Site Clearance (13 projets) Terrasse Ontario 2		

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of Quebec

June 7, 1978

Total Commitment to Munic 1974-1977	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	20 Year Amortization Period	Increases/ Decreases
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
600,000	Nicolet			350,000		250,000	Rue Panet et environs Site Clearance Cresse- Leprohon		
280,000	Outremont			280,000			Zone de rénovation		
199,063	Pointe-aux-Trembles					199,063			
413,920	Port-Cartier			413,920			Quartier Shelter Bay		63,920
4,622,999	Quebec City		187,500				Site Clearance St- Sauveur		
			1,102,625				St-Sauveur Nord 1 & 3		
			676,625				St-Sauveur Sud 2		
				1,018,000			St-Sauveur Lots 4 & 5		
				33,000			Site Clearance St- Sauveur		
					460,313		St-Sauveur Ilot #6		
					195,280		Stadacona Ilot #7		
					249,656		Site Clearance		
						700,000	St-Jean Baptiste		
560,000	Rimouski					560,000	Ste-Agnès-St-Robert & St-Odile		
250,000	Rivière-du-Loup					250,000	Site Clearance		
490,000	Roberval					490,000	Notre-Dame & St-Jean de Brébeuf		
250,000	Sacré-Coeur				250,000		Site Clearance		

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of Quebec

June 7, 1978

Total Commitment to Munic 1974-1977	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	20 Year Amortization Period	Increases/Decreases
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
350,000	St-Eustache				350,000		Vieux St-Eustache		
362,412	St-Georges de Beauce		362,412				Centre-Ville et extension		
350,000	St-Georges Ouest				350,000		Centre-Ville et extension		
1,746,925	St-Hyacinthe		766,866		780,996	199,063	Christ-Roi-Phase I Christ-Roi-Phase II St-Joseph-L'Assomption		
700,000	Saint-Jean		700,000				Vieux St-Jean		
1,014,650	St-Jérôme				525,000	489,650	Secteur Giraldeau Secteur Desjardins		
cancelled	Saint-Raymond						Secteur Ouest		
442,271	St-Hubert		442,271				Maricourt-Phase I		
280,000	Senneterre					280,000	Zones 1, 2 et 3		
548,188	Sept-Iles				548,188		Projet Quadrilatère		
560,000	Shawinigan			560,000			St-Anne et 3-L		
223,050	Shawinigan Sud			223,050			Site Clearance		
1,400,000	Sherbrooke			700,000	700,000		Quartier Centre Sud-Phase I Quartier Centre Sud-Phase II		

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of Quebec

June 7, 1978

Total Commitment to Munic 1974-1977	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	20 Year Amortization Period	Increases/Decreases	
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978				
560,000	Sillery			560,000			Zones 1 & 2			
700,000	Sullivan		700,000				Jacola			
490,000	Terrebonne					490,000				
578,274	Trois-Rivières			350,000	228,274		Hertel I Hertel II			
1,575,000	Verdun				875,000		Quartier #1-Secteur A Quartier #1-Secteur B			
490,000	Victoriaville					490,000	Centre Sud			
46,803,395	Total CMHC Loan Commitments		7,516,405	13,255,669	13,558,329	12,472,992				
			<u>Cancellations by Year</u>							
262,500 700,000	Cadillac Sullivan			262,500			Bloc 46 Jacola			
45,840,895	Total CMHC Loan Commitments as of 31-12-78		6,816,405	12,993,169	13,558,329	12,472,992				

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of Manitoba

June 30, 1978

Total Commitment to Munic 1974-1977	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	Amortization Period	Increases/ Decreases
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
		There were no loan commitments made in the Province of Manitoba.							

Neighbourhood Improvement Program

Page 1

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Updated December 31, 1978

Province of Saskatchewan

June 7, 1978

Total Loan Commitment to Munic 1974-1978	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	Amortization Period	Increases/Decreases
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
45,000	Canora		45,000				NIP area No. I	10 years	
86,000	Carlyle					86,000	Carlyle NIP area	20 years	
157,000	Carnduff					157,000	Whole Town	5 years	
40,000	Churchbridge					40,000	Churchbridge NIP area	20 years	
147,000	Fort Qu'Appelle					147,000	Whole Town	15 years	
70,000	Gravelbourg					70,000	Whole Town	10 years	
114,915	Hudson Bay		114,915				Whole Town		
190,650	Humbolt					190,650	Whole Town	20 years	
85,000	Martensville		85,000				Whole Town		
178,603	Nipawin				178,603		Gordon Subdivision	20 years	
186,000	North Battleford			186,000			Glenora Park	3 years	
180,000	Rosthern					180,000	Whole Town	20 years	
135,000	St. Walburg					135,000	Whole Town	20 years	

Neighbourhood Improvement Program
Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of Saskatchewan

June 7, 1978

Total Loan Commitment to Munic 1974-1978	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	Amortization Period	Increases/Decreases	
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978				
180,000	Tisdale					180,000	Tisdale NIP area	20 years		
61,280	Wadena			60,000			Whole Town	10 years	1,280	
51,275	Watson					51,275		15 years		
60,000	Wolseley					60,000		15 years		
1,967,723	Total CMHC Loan Commitments		244,915	246,000	178,603	1,296,925			1,280	
			<u>Cancellations</u>							
114,915	Hudson Bay		114,915				Whole Town			
85,000	Martinsville		85,000				Whole Town			
186,000	North Battleford			186,000			Glenora Park			
1,581,808	Total CMHC Loan Commitments as of 31-12-78		45,000	60,000	178,603	1,296,925			1,280	

Neighbourhood Improvement Program

Updated December 31, 1978

Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Province of Alberta

June 8, 1978

Total Loan Commitment to Munic 1974- 1978	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	Amortization Period	Increases/ Decreases	
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978				
78,125	Bellevue		78,125				Ville de Bellevue	20 years		
468,750	Calgary	468,750					Inglewood/Ramsey	20 years		
451,503	Edmonton	72,985					Canora Area	10 years		
125,000	Peace River	378,518					Canora Area	20 years		
		125,000					West Peace River	15 years		
1,123,378	Total CMHC Loan Commitments	1,045,253	78,125	-	-	-				
			<u>Cancellations by Year</u>							
	Calgary	468,750					Inglewood/Ramsey	Sept. 20/78		
	Edmonton	72,985					Canora Area	"		
	Edmonton	378,518					Canora Area	"		
	Peace River	125,000					West Peace River	"		
1,045,253		1,045,253								
78,125	Total CMHC Loan Commitments as of 31-12-78		78,125							

Neighbourhood Improvement Program
Statement of CMHC Loan Commitments

Updated December 31, 1978

Province of British Columbia

June 8, 1978

Total Loan Commitment to Munic 1974-1978	Municipality	Loan Commitment by Year					Name of NIP area	Amortization Period	Increases/Decreases
		1974	1975	1976	1977	1978			
46,875	Rossland		46,875				Nickel Plate - Hillcrest 10 years		
125,000	Prince Rupert	125,000					Herman/Overbrook 25 years		
62,500	Duncan					62,500	Centennial Park 20 years		
234,375	Total CMHC Loan Commitment	125,000	46,875			62,500			
			<u>Cancellations by Year</u>						
125,000	Prince Rupert	125,000							
109,375	Total CMHC Loan Commitments as of 31-12-78		46,875			62,500			

NIP ACTIVITIES AND IMPROVEMENTS WITH 50% FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS

1. SELECTION, PLANNING, RESIDENT PARTICIPATION & ADMINISTRATION
(During both Planning and Implementation Stages)

- surveys: analysis of NIP area characteristics on existing housing conditions, existing land use and zoning, population household income, municipal services and neighbourhood amenities to support the designation of the area as an eligible NIP area.
- meetings: involving Provincial and Municipal officials, tenants, owners, businessmen and other agencies.
- salaries for consultants to do a feasibility study
- resident participation - establishment of a suitable resident participation process
- NIP and RRAP information distribution through newsletters, pamphlets, radio announcements, local newspaper advertising.
- rental of meeting space, establishment of administrative office either in town halls or NIP site offices
- salaries for staff delivering NIP and RRAP
- development of maintenance and occupancy by-laws
- development of a neighbourhood improvement plan that includes consultant fees, municipal administrative costs, participatory costs and publication charges.

2. SOCIAL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

a) Acquisition of land for social and recreational facilities.

b) Interior Facilities

Construct:

*Community Centre
 *Multi-use building
 *Recreation Centre
 Geodesic Dome Recreation Centre
 Covered Ice Rink
 Lawn-bowling Pavillion
 Outdoor Rink

Improve:

Expand Recreation Centre
 Rehabilitate Community Hall
 Renovate Village Hall
 Improve Community Centre
 Reconvert space for public amenities
 Day-care centre
 Museum

*Amenities in multi-use facilities such as the following:

New:

Culture Centre
Indoor Pool
Indoor Rink
Day-care Centre
Health care
Change rooms
Washrooms
Ambulance Facility
Gymnasiums
Senior Citizen Drop-in Centre

Cafeteria for Older Residents
without cooking facilities
Space for selling crafts
Craft facilities
Town Office
Library
Museum
Gymnastic equipment
Lacrosse Box

C. Exterior Facilities

New:

Amphitheatre
Outdoor swimming pool and
changing facilities
Asphalt running track
Tennis courts
Horse shoe pits
Baseball diamonds
Soccer
Basketball
Hop-scotch court
Develop park (parkettes)
Develop park for adults
Adventure playground
Linear playground
Creative play space - new Merry-go-
round and new gliding horse
Playground shelter
Playground furniture
Park furniture
Walkway, Scenic fountain, Open space
Bicycle path
Passive park
Tree Farm
Community park & Extension of park

Improve:

Ball diamond lights
Enlarge baseball field
Tennis courts
Outdoor pool
Golf Club
Gun Club
Renovation of existing club house
Park gateway & park equipment
Playground equipment
Park redesign (e.g. lights)
Upgrade park & playground
Close off street and create tot lot
Rest Area
Prevent park erosion
Relocation of existing playground
Soccer pitches

Others:

Link fencing, hedges, planters in parks
Market stalls redesigned
Demolition of concrete grandstand and
Insulbrick clubhouse
Utilization of vacant for sports
facilities
Creation of better access to parks
Creation of green space along river

Design of social and recreational facilities and supervision of construction were also covered by NIP.

3. LAND FOR SOCIAL HOUSING

- Assembling of land through acquisition and clearance for social housing.
- Spot clearance for infill housing.
- Acquisition of land for low and medium density housing.
- Acquisition of land for group homes.
- Acquisition of land for senior citizen residents.

4. RELOCATION

Moving expenses and temporary accommodations for tenants dispossessed of accommodations due to RRAP, houses slated for demolition, acquisitions of land for social housing.

NIP IMPROVEMENTS WITH 25% FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS

1. MUNICIPAL SERVICES

a) Underground Services

New:

general sewage
new storm sewers
new sanitary sewers
extension of sewer line
combined sewers - changed to
separate sewer system
provide municipal water
new waterline
extension of waterline
underground wiring

Improve:

drainage, watermain - increase trunk
size
sewer repair
storm draining
sanitary sewers
water aqueduct
manholes
catch basin

b) Above-ground Services

new sidewalks
asphalt parking area
cul-de-sacs and loops on roads
streets created to link
two isolated areas
street extension
curbs
street closure
traffic control lights
street lighting
ornamental street lighting
landscape buffer zone along
railway line

street upgrading
street reconstruction
street paving (resurfacing)
street grading
regravel road
sidewalks & laneways
parking
pave public parking lots
replace existing lighting system
burial of electrical lines
depollute river
fire hall

c) Miscellaneous

bus shelters
street furniture
street garbage receptacles
house numbers
street signs
flower beds
hedges
plant trees
removal of concrete & placement
of new topsoil

railway area clean-up
tree clean-up and reforestation
clean waterfront
general town clean-up
hydro
landscaping
reseeding
rodent control
redesign street signs